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MS. FLETCHER: Good morning, everyone. May I see who's present from Whole Foods today? From Whole Foods today? Are Julie Earne and Lawrence Watson here? Would you please come forward and have a seat at this table right here, please? And the attorney for Whole Foods, I'm going to call Whole Foods first this morning. What about Tricia Cavell? And Robert Guills?

MR. GUILLS: Right here.
MS. FLETCHER: If you could come forward also. Is ANC 2F present?

MR. KLINE: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Would the parties in the matter of Whole Foods please come forth? Whole Foods Market. Case \#19-PRO-00052, Whole Foods Market Group, Inc. 1440-1446 P Street, NW. License \#ABRA-086071. This is a Retailer Class DR License Renewal. The protest issues are adverse impact on peace, order, and quiet.

My name is LaVerne Fletcher, conducting the roll call hearing as the Board's agent. Introductions, beginning with the Applicant, please.

MR. KLINE: Good morning, Ms. Fletcher. Andrew Kline on behalf of Whole Foods Market.

MS. FLETCHER: Thank you, Mr. Kline. Present on behalf of ANC 2F?

MR. GUGGENMOS: John Guggenmos, ANC 2F02, vice chair of $2 F$.

MS. FLETCHER: Mr. Guggenmos, would you spell your last name, please?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Absolutely. G-U-G-G-E-N-M-O-S.

MR. SIMON: Also present, Ian Simon, Commissioner, ANC 2F06.

MS. FLETCHER: Thank you very much.
MR. SIMON: S-I-M-O-N.
MS. FLETCHER: Which of you are going to represent ANC 2F?

MR. GUGGENMOS: I am.
MS. FLETCHER: Mr. Guggenmos.

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes.
MS. FLETCHER: We have a sign-in sheet on that table. Would you put your contact information on it when you get a moment?

We have several groups, going to try to figure out which individuals are with each group. The protests appear to have been filed in pieces, but maybe not. So what I've done, just to let you know, the groups of individuals, I have grouped you together by where you live. That's number one.

There is a group of individuals that live at 1425 Rhode Island Avenue, NW. That's one group. That is a group of 12 , represented by Julie Earne and Lawrence Watson. In the protest that the 12 filed, your protest says, The undersigned individuals comprise a group of owners at 1425 Rhode Island Avenue NW, a residential building abutting 1440-1446 P Street, Whole Foods. The group of 12 filed the protest as an abutting residential property.

MS. EARNE: Would you like us to
clarify where
MS. FLETCHER: And so, who's speaking?
MS. EARNE: This is Julie Earne, 1425.
Our residential building is opposite the alley for Whole Foods.

MS. FLETCHER: That's what you
indicated in your letter. So you did explain where you're located, Ms. Earne.

MR. GUIDOS: But none of us share a sidewalk.

MS. EARNE: Adjacent about
MS. FLETCHER: When you speak, you have to say who's speaking, for the court reporter, because she has to keep track of everything we all say. But that was Mr.

MR. GUIDOS: Guidos. But I am from a different group.

MS. FLETCHER: Oh, that's right. I have your group.

MR. GUIDOS: Robert Guidos.
MS. FLETCHER: Thank you. G-U-I-D-O-S?
Thank you. In the protest set above by the group
above by the group of 12 , it does say the Willison is located directly across the alley from P Street Whole Foods. What that means is that the properties don't abut. They don't touch. So you are across the street, but you are not abutting.

MS. EARNE: Adjacent.
MS. FLETCHER: Abutting. Means the properties are touching. There's a street that separates your group from Whole Foods.

MS. EARNE: It's an alley.
MS. FLETCHER: Right. So that makes it not abutting, by our definition. And I came to that conclusion because the Board's agent, that's me, I checked the D.C. geographic information system to determine which properties abut. And I realized that that address, 1425, does not abut Whole Foods.

What that means is that group does not have standing as protestants, because you filed your protest as abutting property owners, but you don't abut. Were you going to say something, Ms.

Earne?
MS. EARNE: Yes. Would the word adjacent be more -

MS. FLETCHER: Adjacent property owners cannot file a protest. Let me put it this way. Ms. Earne, I understand why you're concerned about this. Abutting property owners can file a protest. If there are, if you want to file a protest as a group of 12, a group of 12 of you could have filed the protest just as residents. 12 residents.

Abutting is a separate category. Abutting, there's a definition for an abutting property owner. Abutting property owner has to share a common wall.

MS. EARNE: Would the committee allow us to file as a group of residents? We are here, we are represented, we have five people, five residents, five owners.

MS. FLETCHER: And if you had filed as a group of five or more, then the answer would be yes. So here's what's going to happen.

MS. EARNE: We have filed as a group of five.

MS. FLETCHER: What the Board's agent has to go by is that you filed as an abutting property owner. That's the basis upon which you filed your protest. So - did you have a question over there?

MS. EARNE: Well, we would like to be considered a group of five.

MS. FLETCHER: You would have to have done that before the petition deadline. The petition deadline has passed, and so you can't amend the protest letter at a roll call hearing. We have to go with the protest that you actually filed. Who's speaking?

MR. WATSON: Lawrence Watson.
MS. FLETCHER: Yes, Mr. Watson.

MR. WATSON: The way we described that, we used the term abutting in the first sentence, but then in the second sentence we described what we meant by abutting by saying, the Willison is located directly across the alley from P Street

Whole Foods. So we were not aware of the precise definition used by the board when we were trying to explain what we meant by abutting there, and clarified it to mean across the alley from.

MS. FLETCHER: Here's what you can do.
I'm going to dismiss the group of 12 residing at 1425 Rhode Island Avenue NW, but what you can do, Mr. Watson, that's just the decision by the Board's agent. When you receive your order dismissing your protest based on what I said today, you may petition the ABC Board to reconsider what I said today and you can explain to them what you just said, and the ABC Board has the ability to overturn anything that I say.

So I'm going to dismiss the group of 12 residing at 1425 Rhode Island Avenue, because they do not abut. When you receive your order from the Board, petition the board for reinstatement as protestants based on what you said, Mr. Watson. They can overturn anything that I say today.

MR. WATSON: Okay. I appreciate that.

Thank you.
MS. FLETCHER: The board order will go to - So let me ask you this. Mr. Watson, you and Ms. Earne represent the group of 12. Who should get the board order? Ms. Earne?

MR. WATSON: Ms. Earne.
MS. FLETCHER: Because the board order is going to go to one or the other designated representatives.

MR. WATSON: Ms. Earne is fine.
MS. FLETCHER: Okay. Is that okay with you, Ms. Earne?

MS. EARNE: That's fine.
MS. FLETCHER: We then have a group of 79.

MR. GUIDOS: 94?
MS. FLETCHER: I'm going by addresses.
You all reside at different addresses, so I'll put the addresses together. So the groups will come together for you, but I had to go by addresses.

MS. EARNE: Sure.

MS. FLETCHER: Because for the group of 79, that letter says those individuals also filed as abutting property owners, and what that letter says is, that letter says, the undersigned individuals comprise a group of owners and/or residents of properties abutting the 1440 through 1446 P Street Whole Foods. That's what the letter says.

MR. GUIDOS: It says many things in that letter. On the next page, it says, we believe the best way to address this is through a settlement agreement with us, the undersigned adjacent neighbors. So we use adjacent and abutting throughout this petition.

MS. FLETCHER: I'm going to go with abutting, because that's how the letter started. What you can do, I'm going to dismiss the group of 79 because I'm going with what you said in the initial sentence, that's what I'm going to go with.

MR. GUIDOS: Why go with just the
initial sentence when it says

MS. FLETCHER: Because that's what I choose to do. I think that's the appropriate thing to do. Based on the way I read this letter, the intention of the group was to file as abutting property owners. I know you go on to mention adjacent at different points.

I'm going to dismiss this protest because you're not abutting property owners and what you can do is the same thing that $I$ mentioned to Mr. Watson. Petition the ABC Board and just tell them exactly what you just told me, that you intended to file as adjacent, but you filed as both. I'm going by your introductory sentence.

MR. GUIDOS: Again, Robert Guidos. Is adjacent fine? Does adjacent work?

MS. FLETCHER: What happens is this. They have certain bases upon which you can file a protest. You can be an abutting property owner, which is what this says. If you say you're an abutting property owner, the Board's agent has to determine whether or not the properties abut.

Being an adjacent property owner is not a basis upon which you can file a protest, but you can file a protest as a group of five or more. There's no adjacent. If you hadn't said abutting and you just said adjacent and there has been at least five of you, then $I$ would be granting you standing right now.

MR. GUIDOS: Can I ask you how can we get the Board to make that amendment, and do we have another standing meeting?

MS. FLETCHER: What happens is, there's no amendment because the petition deadline has passed, but when you receive the Board's order dismissing the protest, you get to tell the Board what you're telling me right now and the Board can overturn what I'm saying today. And Mr. Guidos, what were you saying?

MR. GUIDOS: Do we have to bring a group of five again, because we have eight people here, seven people here.

MS. FLETCHER: But you don't have to do anything. The Board will just make a decision and
send you a - There won't be another hearing. It will be on paper. When you receive a board order dismissing the protest, it's going to tell you you have ten days to ask the Board to reinstate your group.

When you get that order, you'll tell the Board that when you were here for the roll call hearing, the Board's agent, the transcript is there too, it will say that the Board's agent dismissed the protest because the Board's agent determined that the properties did not abut and that was the basis of the protest. You get to tell the ABC board that your intention was to not file as abutting. That your intention was to file as adjacent.

The ABC Board can say, that's fine, and you're now granted status as protestants. Once they do that, I'll contact you, because we'll then need to schedule a date for a mediation, we'll need to schedule a date for a status hearing and a protest hearing, which we'll actually do today if there are any parties that
are going to be granted standing
If the Board grants you standing you are going to get pulled right back in. I'm going to contact you and pull you into the process wherever we are at the time. Mr. Watson?

MR. WATSON: Will there be a need subsequent to today if the petition is granted for us to produce at least five signatories again?

MS. FLETCHER: No, you're already done that.

MR. WATSON: Okay. Thank you.
MS. FLETCHER: You have all you need in terms of numbers, but $I$ have to operate within certain guidelines. You won't have to do anything. If the Board says you're in, you're in, and you'll hear from me, and you're going to hear the dates for upcoming hearings. You can just write them down. You'll just come to those. Because I'll know that the Board granted you standing and I'll contact you and let you know that I know.

Even if you didn't hear from me, if the Board grants you standing for the hearing dates that I'm about to give you, just come on because I'll know that you've been granted standing.

MR. WATSON: Thank you.
MS. FLETCHER: Anything else, Mr.
Guidos?
MR. GUIDOS: No, it's against regulations. Thank you.

MS. FLETCHER: You're very welcome.
There's a group of 10, going by addresses.
There's a group of 10 that reside at 1325 15th Street NW. The other thing, Ms. Earne, Mr. Watson and Mr. Guidos, $I$ also have the maps to show whether or not the properties abut, but you're not saying they abut anyway. You're saying they're adjacent. I always bring the copies of the maps I generate in case you need to look at them, or you want to look at them. So you're welcome to have a copy of the maps that I used, if that would be helpful.

MR. GUIDOS: The alleyway is owned by the District?

MS. FLETCHER: I don't know that. The geographic information system, the D.C. Government geographic information system doesn't give us that kind of information, but if you have some information to show the Board that you actually do abut, you can provide that to them. The Board goes by the map that $I$ generate, but if you have something to dispute what $I$ provide, then they look at that too.

MS. EARNE: This is Julie Earne. What is the definition of abutting?

MS. FLETCHER: Share a common wall. They're on one side and you're on the other. Across the street has not been our definition of abutting. You have to share a wall.

MS. EARNE: So across the alley
MS. FLETCHER: Right, exactly. By our definition, that hasn't been abutting but, Ms. Earne, if you have some information contrary to that to show them that alleyway abuts Whole Foods, you can provide that to the ABC Board.

They're looking for whatever other information that you have, and decide what they think would be the best course of action. Mr. Guidos, you want that map?

MR. GUIDOS: I would, yes.
MS. FLETCHER: Ms. Earne, would you like a copy of the map? This is a map generated by me. What it shows, the yellow if where Whole Foods is, and these are all the addresses in the protest. Mr. Klein, I have one of these for you as well.

MS. EARNE: You can give that to Mr. Kline for me.

MS. FLETCHER: So, that's showing all the addresses that were filed in the protest, just that they are across the street. As you say, it just shows that they are adjacent. That's all it shows. That's how I made my decision, based on the location of the properties.

MR. GUIDOS: This is Robert Guidos again. You were mentioning the other properties, they also signed on to our petition.

MS. FLETCHER: There is a group of ten. They live at 1325 15th Street NW, that signed onto your petition. Their protest as the group of ten residing at 1325 15th Street NW says, I hereby sign onto the protest petition in which Tricia Cavell and Robert Guidos are listed as designated representatives. So they signed on to your, they have to sign on to your protest.

MS. EARNE: Right.
MS. FLETCHER: What that means is that group is also dismissed, because they signed onto your petition, you filed as abutting, they're not abutting either. But if you look at the map, the address is on there.

Same thing for the group of ten. When you receive the order dismissing the protest, the order tells you to seek reinstatement of the protest through the ABC Board. If they find in your favor, I'll know, and I'll see you in mediation.

MR. GUIDOS: Can I ask
MS. FLETCHER: Mr. Guidos?

MR. GUIDOS: We are lumping all these three buildings together under one petition. There's not going to be separate groups of ten and 79 and seven.

MS. FLETCHER: In cases where individuals claim to be abutting, $I$ have to look at each individual address. If there had been 79 addresses, I would have had to look at each individual one. So anytime you claim to be abutting I have to look at where you live. That's why I broke this down by address.

MR. GUIDOS: To go forward, when we submit our appeal or whatever we're going to still lump us all together as just one group.

MS. FLETCHER: Just put your addresses. Just put your addresses and say that the Board's agent dismissed the protesters residing at the addresses that $I$ mentioned and you are seeking reinstatement because you are all adjacent, and you can say whatever you want to say. If the Board says you're in, you're in, and I'll be in touch. Then I'll see you in mediation.

There's a group of five individuals that live at 1437 Rhode Island Avenue. They are also represented by Tricia Cavell and you, Mr. Guidos. They also signed onto the petition, being represented by Ms. Cavell and Mr. Guidos. Same thing. That petition that they signed onto claims to be abutting. They are dismissed for the same reason.

Mr. Guidos, you are right, it's all one group. I just had to treat all the groups this way, because the original protest letter that they signed onto claims to be abutting. So you make your case that you're not abutting, and again, for that group of five, see you in mediation, represented by In mediation, just so you know, we would expect the designated representatives to come to mediation.

Anybody who filed a protest can come but the designated representatives are normally who come. But it s up to you who comes, but we go by what's in the file. So we would see Ms. Earne, Mr. Watson, Ms. Cavell, Mr. Guidos, in mediation.

Unless you decide to do something different.
So what this all comes down to is that all of the individuals who signed the protest or signed onto the original protest where the protestants claim to be abutting, all of the individuals and individual groups were dismissed but maybe I'll see you in mediation, assuming that you're going to petition the ABC Board to reinstate the protest. Explain what you intended. If you file a motion to reinstate the protest, copy Mr. Kline, because Mr. Kline, he has an opportunity to respond to your request for reinstatements.

MS. EARNE: Why?
MS. FLETCHER: Because any time you file a motion, the other side is entitled to a copy to any motion that you file. If Mr. Kline were to file a motion to dismiss your protests, he would have to copy you on it. Anytime a motion is filed, you have to provide a copy to the other side. This gives him an opportunity to respond.

MR. WATSON: Lawrence Watson here. Will
his contact information be on the petition or copied on your denial that we receive?

MS. FLETCHER: You got your answer? MR. WATSON: Yes. MR. GUIDOS: And he'll be copying us on his?

MS. FLETCHER: Yes. The other thing I should tell you, just for the record only, is this. The ANC 2 F filed a protest. ANC 2 F filed a protest. If the group petitions the ABC Board for reinstatement as protestants, and the Board says yes, you are protestants, under our regulations when individual groups of five or more file a protest, if an ANC enters into a settlement agreement with a licensee, the Board automatically will dismiss any individual groups. Unless the ANC and the individual groups enter into a settlement agreement, then the whole case is settled.

But if ANC enters into a settlement agreement, it is with individuals as well. The Board dismisses the individuals, but the Board
would not dismiss an abutting property owner because an abutting property owner has standing all by him or herself. They would stay in. Just groups of individuals go away, if the ANC enters into a settlement. That may or may not happen, but I just have to tell you. Any questions about that at all?

MR. GUIDOS: I'll ask about the process, then.

MS. FLETCHER: Yes, Mr. Guidos?
MR. GUIDOS: When would those be dismissed if the ANC. It would be after we were negotiating a settlement agreement together or set for?

MS. FLETCHER: That would be, if the ANC enters into a settlement agreement with Whole Foods, the Board is going to issue an order. Let's say they approve the settlement agreement. The Board issues an order approving a settlement agreement. In that same order they would dismiss groups of individuals. In that same order. It would all happen at the same time.

MR. GUIDOS: It would happen, so after the negotiations have taken place.

MS. FLETCHER: Unless, so the ANC and Whole Foods can enter into an agreement any time they want. They can do it today, they could do it tomorrow, but if at the point that they do it, the Board has granted standing to your group as a group of five or more, then it would happen in that board order. Does that clear it up, Mr. Guidos?

MR. GUIDOS: Well, I guess we're going to hear when you talk to them about the next steps.

MS. FLETCHER: This next step, if the Board reinstates your protest, what I'm about to say is going to apply to you too. I'm going to give you a date for a status hearing and a protest hearing. If you're reinstated, these dates apply to you. But in the board order the dates will be included.

The date for the status hearing is
September 11. September 11 at 9:30 for the status
hearing. The protest hearing is scheduled for October 9 at 4:30 p.m.

MR. GUIDOS: What was the time for the September 11?

MS. FLETCHER: 9:30 a.m. That's the status hearing. September 11 at 9:30. And the protest hearing is October 9 at 4:30.

And as I say, Mr. Guidos, if you're reinstated you're going to hear from me next, because I'll need to contact you to restate these dates to you in an email to you from me.

We need to set a date for mediation.
I'll give you the next available day. The next available day for mediation is Thursday, June 27, that's Thursday, June 27, at 9:00, 10:00, 11:30.

MR. KLINE: That's not a good day for me.

MS. FLETCHER: Not a good day? It's the ANC that I'm running these dates by right now, because the ANC is granted standing today. I'm going to keep moving, then. We're going to keep moving into

MR. GUIDOS: Does that give us enough time to file our motion?

MS. FLETCHER: You can file the motion today. You can file the motion right away. MR. GUIDOS: Oh, I thought we had to hear from them first.

MS. FLETCHER: You're going to get a board order. You going to receive a board order dismissing you protest. It won't be today, what's going to happen is, the Board, an order will be issued, it's possible that the order will go on the Board's agenda, because the Board meets every Wednesday.

## It is possible that an order

dismissing the protest will go on the Board's agenda for a signature this coming Wednesday. It's possible. Or it could be next Wednesday. You can wait until you receive the board order to file your request for reinstatement. If you were to send it before that then we would just have it, but you might want to wait to see what the motion says. It's basically going to say what I
said, but you'll still get to read it and be in disagreement with it. It'll be in writing.

MR. GUIDOS: Mailed to us after Wednesday.

MS. FLETCHER: Yes. It's mailed to you on whichever Wednesday the Board signs the order, it's mailed to you within a day or so.

MS. EARNE: Julie Earne. Are we able to protest the decision today, today or tomorrow in advance of the Board's potential consideration on Wednesday, so when the Board meets on Wednesday the, our protest will be heard amongst, during that decision, right?

MS. FLETCHER: The Board will want to know what I said first, because you're going to be, your motion, your request for reinstatement is going to be asking the Board to consider reinstatement, they're going to take into consideration what I say, overturn what I said and accept what you said.

MS. EARNE: Would it be possible in the same meeting for them to hear what you've said
and then also hear our response to that immediately?

MS. FLETCHER: What happens is, once they procedurally, once the Board signs the motion, it goes out to you, this is the way it normally is. It goes out to you, you file your motion within ten days, our office puts the two documents together and they look at what happened today and they look at your request for reinstatement, and they decide to affirm what I said today or overturn what I said today and go along with the position you took. And the Board only meets on Wednesdays.

MS. EARNE: One more question. Let's say we do protest this decision and we are reinstated. If ANC is able to enter into a settlement agreement, that's irrelevant because as groups of five we wouldn't be a part of that anyway.

MS. FLETCHER: If you and the ANC entered into an agreement, then you would sign that agreement on behalf of the groups that you
represent. But, if the ANC enters into an agreement that you're not in agreement with, the Board dismisses the individual groups.

MS. EARNE: Okay.
MR. GUIDOS: I'm sorry, the mediation on the 27th, that's just an introduction and the beginning of discussions, and then there's potentially ongoing negotiations after that date if they don't arrive at agreement on that date?

MS. FLETCHER: Yes. What happens in mediation, that's the purpose of mediation. The mediation is for us to get together and negotiate a settlement to resolve this matter. That's what we do in mediation. Can you resume negotiations at the mediation? Yes. But that's the purpose of the mediation.

MR. GUIDOS: Possible it will all get settled on that day.

MS. FLETCHER: It's possible. There's a possibility. It's up to you. A lot of times it does, then it doesn't matter if it happens another day, because you get the process started. That's what
you're there for.
MR. KLINE: Ms. Fletcher, as I indicated I have a conflict on June 27. I would like to stretch the mediation date out anyway, to give the parties an opportunity to see what they might get resolved without the benefit of mediation and obviously if we're unable to get it fully resolved then we'll meet with you and put any finishing touches on an agreement, if an agreement could be reached.

So I would like to look the latter part of July.

MR. GUIDOS: ANC agrees.
MS. FLETCHER: Okay. How about Thursday, July 18, in the morning or afternoon? 9:00, 10:00, 11:00, 1:00, 2:00

MR. KLINE: That's fine for me, any of those times.

MS. FLETCHER: Mr. Simon or Mr. Guggenmos?
MR. GUIDOS: Oh, then 1:00 if we're all good.

MR. KLINE: That works.
MS. FLETCHER: 1:00? Mediation is set
for 1:00 on Thursday, July 18. That gives you time to get an order, file your motion, be reinstated if the Board's going to do it, and then I would see you on the 18th at 1:00. MR. GUIDOS: May I ask one last question?

MS. FLETCHER: Yes.
MR. GUIDOS: As far as, let's say we were to arrive at an agreement that's filed and blessed by the Board. How is that then enforced? MS. FLETCHER: It's enforced, we have an enforcement division that enforces the agreement if there are any violations of the agreement. We do compliance checks to enforce settlement agreements, or if you have a settlement agreement and you believe it's been violated, you can contact our enforcement division. It's enforced through the contact.

MR. GUIDOS: Thank you.
MS. FLETCHER: It's enforced through the enforcement division.

MR. GUIDOS: Oftentimes the violations
are happening at 3:00 in the morning.
MS. FLETCHER: We have a hotline you can call at any time. My card is on the table, too, if you have any other questions, too. Any other questions? Thank you for coming, maybe I'll see you at mediation. Thank you.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 10:39 a.m.)
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