THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

In the Matter of:)		
Elmar, LLC)	Case No.:	22-PRO-00104
t/a Vision Lounge)	License No.:	
)	Order No.:	2023-044
Application to Renew	v a)		
Retailer's Class CT L	License)		
)		
at premises)		
707 H Street, N.E.)		
Washington, D.C. 20	002)		
		_)		
BEFORE:	Donovan Anderson, Chairper	ron		
DEFORE.	James Short, Member	5011		
	Bobby Cato, Member			
	Rafi Aliya Crockett, Member			
	Jeni Hansen, Member			
	Edward S. Grandis, Member			
ALSO PRESENT:	Elmar, LLC, t/a Vision Loung	ge, App	olicant	
	Joel Kelty and Graham Gross of a Group of Five or More R	-	0 1	-
	Graham Grossman, on behalf (ANC) 6C, Protestant	of Adv	visory Neighbo	rhood Commission
	Marc Knobbe, Abutting Prop	erty Ov	wner (Condition	nal), Protestant
	Martha Jenkins, General Cou Alcoholic Beverage Regulation		ninistration	

ORDER DISMISSING ABUTTING PROPERTY OWNER

The Application filed by Elmar, LLC, t/a Vision Lounge (Applicant), to renew a Retailer's Class CT License, having been protested, came before the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board for a Roll Call Hearing on December 5, 2022, 2022, in accordance with D.C. Official Code § 25-601. The Application was protested by Advisory Neighborhood Commission (ANC)

6C, a group of residents and property owners, and Marc Knobbe who attempted to obtain standing as an abutting property owner.

The Board notes that as "[A]s a matter of law, when two lots have property lines that touch, those lots are considered abutting under § 25-601, because there is no distance between the lots in accordance with [23 DCMR] § 101.2." *In re Park Place, Inc., t/a The Park Place at 14th, Case No. 13-PRO-00153*, Board Order No. 2014-026, 2 (D.C.A.B.C.B. Jan. 15, 2014). "... [T]he Board has held that a property that is separated by an alley and does not share a property line cannot be deemed abutting for the purposes of standing." *In re States & Letters Restaurant, LLC, t/a The Dabney, Case No. 15-PRO-00020*, Board Order No. 2015-286, 2 (D.C.A.B.C.B. Jun. 3, 2015)

In this case, an investigation conducted by ABRA found that Mr. Knobbe's property is located at 727 7th Street, N.E. The licensed location is located at 707 H Street, N.E. Based on an examination of the zoning map, the properties appear separated by an alley. Therefore, Mr. Knobbe does not qualify for standing in this matter where the properties do not have property lines that touch.

ORDER

Therefore, for the above-mentioned reasons, the Board on this 25th day of January 2023, hereby **DISMISSES** Mr. Knobbe's protest. A copy of this Order shall be provided to the parties.

District of Columbia Alcoholic Beverage Control Board

eSigned via SeamlessDocs.com
• • •
Donovan Anderson
Kev: ac430b96b99d5f09e4b730093d1dccd8

Donovan Anderson, Chairperson



James Short, Member



Bobby Cato, Member



Rafi Crockett, Member

đ	01 011
Mani	Hansen, Member

Jeni Hansen, Member

I dissent from the position taken by the majority of the Board.



Edward S. Grandis, Member

Pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 25-433(d)(1), any party adversely affected may file a Motion for Reconsideration of this decision within ten (10) days of service of this Order with the Alcoholic Beverage Regulation Administration, 2000 14th Street, N.W., Suite 400S, Washington, DC 20009.

Also, pursuant to section 11 of the District of Columbia Administrative Procedure Act, Pub. L. 90-614, 82 Stat. 1209, D.C. Official Code §2-510 (2001), and Rule 15 of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, any party adversely affected has the right to appeal this Order by filing a petition for review, within thirty (30) days of the date of service of this Order, with the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, 430 E Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001; (202/879-1010). However, the timely filing of a Motion for Reconsideration pursuant to 23 DCMR

§1719.1 (2008) stays the time for filing a petition for review in the District of Columbia Court of Appeals until the Board rules on the motion. See D.C. App. Rule 15(b) (2004).