

**THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS BOARD**

In the Matter of:)	
)	
)	
Flight Pass, LLC)	Case No.: 24-ULC-00009
t/a The Green Room)	License No.: N/A
)	Order No.: 2024-534
Cease and Desist)	
)	
at premises)	
1338 U Street, N.W.)	
Washington, D.C. 20009)	

BEFORE: Donovan Anderson, Chairperson
James Short, Member
Silas Grant, Jr., Member

PARTIES: Flight Pass, LLC, t/a The Green Room
Rose Knox
Omer Abdulahi
1338 U Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20009

Michael Jahanbin
Jahanbio Management, LLC
4 Gentle Breeze
Newport Coast, CA 92657-1640

ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST

On July 31, 2024, the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board (Board) reviewed compelling evidence that Flight Pass, LLC, t/a The Green Room; Rose Knox; Omer Abdulahi; Michael Jahanbin; and Jahanbio Management, LLC, engaged in a violation of Chapter 16B of Title 7 of the D.C. Official Code or permitted such illegal activity to occur. These persons and entities are hereby ordered to cease the illegal purchase, sale, exchange, delivery, or any other form of commercial transaction involving cannabis immediately.

FINDINGS OF FACT

The following statements represent the Board’s findings of fact based on the evidentiary record.

1. The Board incorporates by reference the facts and evidence presented in Case Report No. 24-ULC-00009.

2. On April 25, 2024, Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Administration (ABCA) Supervisory Investigator (SI) Jason Peru with ABCA and other District agencies inspected 1338 U Street, N.W., related to illegal cannabis activities. *Case Report No. 24-ULC-00009*, at 1. The premises were being occupied and run by a business identifying itself as The Green Room or Flight Pass, which is operated by Flight Pass, LLC, Rose Knox and Omer Abdulahi. *Id.* The landlord of the premises are Michael Jahanbin; and Jahanbio Management, LLC. *Id.* at 1. During the inspection, the establishment was found to have engaged in illegal cannabis activity. *Id.* In response, a warning letter was issued advising of violations regarding the illegal sale and distribution of cannabis. *Id.* at *Exhibit Nos. 2 and 3.*

3. On July 23, 2024, SI Peru returned to the premises for a follow up inspection. *Id.* at 2. Inside, he found that the establishment was continuing to sell cannabis products containing tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). *Id.* at 2. For example, one photo shows a product claiming it was compliant with “District of Columbia Initiative 71” and stating that the user should not operate heavy machinery after use. *Id.* at *Exhibit No. 1.* Another photo shows a product called “DANK-LITE” described as “Pre-Roll”; “CARTRIDGES”; “JOINTS”; and a “BLUNT.” *Id.* at *Exhibit No. 6.* The same photo also described the product as having “THCP,” which is a reference to tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). *Id.* A sandwich Board for “THE GREEN ROOM” further advertises that the business offers “Premium Prerolls” for “\$5” and has “THC Edibles” and “Flower.” *Id.* at *Exhibit No. 8.* The same board also claims that the business offers “Psychedelic Mushrooms” and “Psychedelic Edibles.” *Id.* The photos further show various similar products displayed on the store’s shelves in a manner consistent with retail operations and displaying prices. *Id.* at *Exhibit Nos. 4-7.*

4. There is no indication that the products being displayed for sale conform with Chapter 16B and the associated regulations’ manufacturing, testing, labeling, and packaging requirements. Outside the establishment, on July 24, 2024, SI Peru observed a sign claiming that the Green Room is I-71 compliant. *Id.* at 2.

5. There is no indication in ABCA’s records that the parties to this matter have been issued any license, permit, endorsement, or other authorization from the Board that would authorize commercial cannabis operations. Finally, ABCA’s records do not reveal the filing of an application for a medical cannabis license at this time by any of the parties.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

6. Under § 7-1671.12a(a) of Chapter 16B of Title 7 of the D.C. Official Code,

If the ABC Board, after investigation but before a hearing, has cause to believe that a person is violating a provision of this chapter and the violation has caused or may cause, immediate and irreparable harm to the public, the ABC Board may issue an order requiring the alleged violator to cease and desist immediately from the violation.

D.C. Code § 7-1671.12a(a).

7. Under § 7-1671.08(f),

Beginning January 31, 2024, the ABC Board may issue the following fines to an unlicensed establishment that violates § 7-1671.06(c-1) or § 7-1671.06b(e), or has not filed an accepted and pending application with the ABC Board and knowingly engages or attempts to engage in the purchase, sale, exchange, delivery, or any other form of commercial transaction involving cannabis that is not purchased, sold, exchanged, or delivered in accordance with the provisions of this chapter or § 48-904.01§.

D.C. Code § 7-1671.08(f).

8. Under § 7-1671.08(a),

Any person who manufactures, cultivates, possesses, administers, dispenses, distributes, or uses cannabis, or manufactures, possesses, distributes, or uses paraphernalia, in a manner not authorized by this chapter or the rules issued pursuant to § 7-1671.13 shall be subject to criminal prosecution and sanction under subchapter I of Chapter 11 of Title 48 [§ 48-1101 et seq.].

D.C. Code § 7-1671.08(a).

9. Under § 48-904.1(a)(1),

Except as authorized by this chapter or Chapter 16B of Title 7 [§ 7-1671.01 et seq.], it is unlawful for any person knowingly or intentionally to manufacture, distribute, or possess, with intent to manufacture or distribute, a controlled substance. Notwithstanding any provision of this chapter to the contrary, it shall be lawful, and shall not be an offense under District of Columbia law, for any person 21 years of age or older to:

- (A) Possess, use, purchase, or transport marijuana weighing 2 ounces or less; [or]
- (B) Transfer to another person 21 years of age or older, without remuneration, marijuana weighing one ounce or less

D.C. Code § 48-904.1(a)(1).

10. An “Unlicensed establishment” is

a sole proprietorship, partnership, or other business entity that:

- (A) Sells, exchanges as part of a commercial transaction, or delivers cannabis and cannabis products;

- (B) Operates at or delivers from a specific location in the District; and
- (C) Is not licensed by ABCA as a cultivation center, retailer, internet retailer, manufacturer, courier, or testing laboratory.

D.C. Code § 7-1671.01(22).

11. Under § 7-1671.12e(a),

Any building, ground, or premises where cannabis is sold, exchanged as part of a commercial transaction, delivered, or permitted to be consumed by an unlicensed establishment shall be a nuisance, except any building, ground, or premises of an applicant that filed an accepted and pending application with the Board during the 90-calendar day open application period.

D.C. Code § 7-1671.12e(a).

12. It is further a violation for an licensed or unlicensed “cultivation center, manufacturer, retailer, or internet retailer to represent that goods or services or the business is compliant with the Legalization of Possession of Minimal Amounts of Marijuana for Personal Use Initiative of 2014, effective February 26, 2015 (D.C. Law 20-153; D.C. Official Code § passim) . . .” D.C. Code § 7-1671.06(c-1). It also a violation for an unlicensed establishment to “display signage or imagery advertising relating to: (1) The prices of cannabis or cannabis product in the window . . .” D.C. Code § 7-1671.06b(e)(1).

13. The Board is persuaded that Flight Pass, LLC, t/a The Green Room; Rose Knox; Omer Abdulahi; Michael Jahanbin; Jahanbio Management, LLC, and its agents operate an unlicensed establishment and engaged in the illegal sale and distribution of cannabis. *Supra*, at ¶¶ 2-3. In this case, the amount and manner in which cannabis is displayed for sale to the public shows that the business engages in the commercial distribution of cannabis at 1338 U Street, N.W., without a license issued by ABCA. *Id.* Furthermore, the business possessed products and signage that claimed compliance with I-71 (§ 48-904.1(a)(1)) despite the prohibition on this type of false and misleading advertising. *Supra*, at ¶¶ 3-4. The Board further notes that the business cannot be compliant with I-71 as advertised because a retail operation necessarily possesses more than 2 ounces of cannabis, and the listing of prices show that the transfer of cannabis is occurring with remuneration in violation of § 48-904.1(a)(1). It is also a violation for the establishment’s sandwich board to display the price of cannabis in violation of § 7-1671.06b(e)(1); *supra*, at ¶ 3. Therefore, the Board is satisfied that the operations observed by SI Peru on July 23, 2024, constitute a violation of § 7-1671.08(f); § 7-1671.08(a); § 7-1671.06(c-1); § 7-1671.06b(e)(1); and 48-904.1(a)(1).

14. The Board further notes that the sale and distribution of illegal cannabis immediately threatens the health and safety of the public because unregulated product may contain inappropriate and harmful substances (e.g., pesticides, other narcotics). In addition, unlicensed businesses are at risk of selling cannabis to persons that should not have access to cannabis, such as minors. Finally, such activity constitutes a nuisance under D.C. Official Code § 7-1671.13e.

See also Com. ex rel. Preate v. Danny's New Adam & Eve Bookstore, 625 A.2d 119, 122 (1993) (It is well-settled that even a lawful business may be enjoined from operation if it is shown that, under the particular circumstance, its operation constitutes a public nuisance); *Camp v. Warrington*, 227 Ga. 674, 674, (1971) (“where it is made to appear with reasonable certainty that irreparable harm and damage will occur from the operation of an otherwise lawful business amounting to a continuing nuisance, equity will restrain the construction, maintenance or operation of such lawful business.”). Therefore, the immediate cessation of all cannabis activity at the premises is appropriate under § 7-1671.12a(a).

ORDER

Therefore, the Board, on this 31st day of July 2024, hereby **ORDERS** Flight Pass, LLC, t/a The Green Room; Rose Knox; Omer Abdulahi; Michael Jahanbin; and Jahanbio Management, LLC to immediately **CEASE AND DESIST** the illegal purchase, sale, exchange, delivery, or any other form of commercial transaction involving cannabis.

The ABCA shall deliver a copy of this order to the Parties by hand delivery or certified mail in accordance with D.C. Official Code § 7-1671.12a(a).

District of Columbia
Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board

eSigned via SeamsDoc.com
Donovan Anderson
Key: ac430b9b59d5f0e4b730060d1dccc8

Donovan Anderson, Chairperson

eSigned via SeamsDoc.com
James Short
Key: 547ac373f820de0ac8d1b3325d2049ec

James Short, Member



Silas Grant, Jr., Member

Pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 7-1671.12a(b)(1), “[t]he alleged violator [or violators] may, within 15 days after the service of the order, submit a written request to the ABC Board to hold a hearing on the alleged violation.” D.C. Code § 7-1671.12a(b)(1). Upon receipt of the request, the Board will provide the requestor with a “a hearing in accordance with the procedures set forth in Chapter 5 of Title 2” to challenge the cease and desist and the Board shall “issue a decision within 90 days after the hearing.” D.C. Code § 7-1671.12a(b)(2).

The requestor may also request an expedited hearing if they submit a written request “within 10 days after the service of an order” and “request [that] the ABC Board [hold] an expedited hearing on the alleged violation.” D.C. Code § 7-1671.12a(c)(1). If a timely request for an expedited hearing is made, the “Board shall conduct a hearing within 10 days after the date of receiving the request and shall deliver to the alleged violator at their last known address a written notice of the hearing by any means guaranteed to be received at least 5 days before the hearing date.” D.C. Code § 7-1671.12a(c)(2). After the expedited hearing, the Board shall issue a decision within 30 days. D.C. Code § 7-1671.12a(c)(3).

Please note that the failure to request a hearing shall result in the order being deemed final. Please further note that if you fail to comply with the order of the Board, the Board may file a petition against you with the Superior Court of the District of Columbia and seek compliance by judicial order in addition to other enforcement actions permitted by law. D.C. Code § 7-1671.12a(f)(3).

If you request a hearing, you may appear at the virtual hearing unless other instructions to appear are provided, and you and the establishment, may be represented by legal counsel. You have the right to produce witnesses and evidence on your behalf and to cross-examine witnesses. You may examine evidence produced.

All hearings are conducted in the English language. If you, any corporate officer, or any witnesses to be called are deaf, have a hearing impediment, or cannot readily understand or communicate the spoken English language, an application may be made to the Board for the appointment of a qualified interpreter.

Your failure to appear at the time and place set for the hearing, if requested, either in person or through counsel, or both, will not preclude the Board from proceeding in this matter. Should you have any questions, contact ABRA Adjudication Specialist Danette Walker at 202-442-4418.

Finally, pursuant to section 11 of the District of Columbia Administrative Procedure Act, Pub. L. 90-614, 82 Stat. 1209, D.C. Code § 2-510 (2001), and Rule 15 of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, any party adversely affected has the right to appeal this Order by filing a petition for review, within thirty (30) days of the date of service of this Order, with the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, 430 E Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. However, the timely filing of a Motion for Reconsideration stays the time for filing a petition for review in the District of Columbia Court of Appeals until the Board rules on the motion. *See* D.C. App. Rule 15(b) (2004).