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The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board met in the Alcoholic Beverage Control Hearing Room, Reeves Building, 2000 14th Street, N.W., Suite 400S, Washington, D.C. 20009, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson, presiding.

## PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson NICK ALBERTI, Member
BOBBY CATO, JR., Member
MIKE SILVERSTEIN, Member
JAMES SHORT, Member
REMA WAHABZADAH, Member

## ALSO PRESENT:

KAREN TAMMANY CRUSE, Citizens Association of Georgetown

JAKE YOON, Applicant

KEVIN EDGAR, Dower Group
ROBERT ELLIOTT, Applicant
ELIZABETH EMES, Group of Five
RICK MURPHY, ANC 2E
DAINA POTTER, Applicant
P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, everyone. I would like to welcome you to the regularly scheduled meeting of the District of Columbia Alcoholic Beverage Control Board. Please know that today's hearings will be conducted in accordance with D.C. Official Code Section 2-574 of the Open Meetings Act.

Today is January 16, 2019. I would first like to introduce Members of the Board with us today. To my far right is Mr. Bobby Cato. To my immediate right is Mr. Nick Alberti. To my far left is Ms. Rema Wahabzadah. To her right is Mr. Mike Silverstein and to my immediate left is Mr. James Short. My name is Donovan Anderson. I'm the Chairman of the Board. We do have six Members in attendance today and we do have a quorum for the conduct of business.

> I would like to mention two things before we get started. First of all, if you have any electronic devices, pagers, cell phones or
such, please, turn them off to avoid any interruption of the proceeding.

Secondly, there is a piece of paper on each table. When your case is called, please, sign the document. This is to ensure the correct spelling of your name for the recorder.

Additionally, when you introduce yourself for the record, please, spell your name aloud for the court reporter.

It is 9:38 a.m. and our first order of business today is a Protest Hearing (Status) Case No. 18-PRO-00081, Sandbox Restaurant, License No. 110062.

Would the parties, please, approach and identify themselves for the record? All right. So let me start with the licensee. Who is the licensee?

MR. YOON: We are.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you introduce yourself for the record, please?

MR. YOON: Jake Yoon.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And you are?

MR. YOON: I am working with Mr. Elliott.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. I don't know who the parties are, so that's one of the reasons $I$ wanted to ask you to introduce yourself, let me know who you are. Okay? All right.

MR. ELLIOTT: There are three of us here for the applicant. I'm Robert Elliott and I own the corporate entity that is the applicant.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning.
MS. POTTER: My name is Daina Potter. I work with Mr. Elliott on Sandbox.

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Speak up, please.
MS. POTTER: Oh, my name is Daina Potter. I work with Mr. Elliott on the Sandbox license.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning. There is a sign-in sheet, $I$ would like everyone to make sure that you sign-in, please. The protestant and, please, when you introduce yourself, let me know what group you belong to,
please.
MR. MURPHY: I am Rick Murphy, ANC-2E. I'm an ANC Commissioner.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, Mr. Murphy.

MR. EDGAR: My name is Kevin Edgar, last name is spelled E-D-G-A-R. I am representing the Dower Group.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Edgar.
Okay, who else.
MS. TAMMANY CRUSE: Karen Tammany Cruse, T-A-M-M-A-N-Y C-R-U-S-E, and I represent the Citizens Association of Georgetown.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, Ms. Cruse. And who else? Who else is here?

MS. EMES: My name is Elizabeth Emes, E-M-E-S, and I represent a Group of Five abutting neighbors as well as two abutting property owners.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on. All right. The way I see you, Ms. Emes, you are representing a Group of Five or More, so you are
not -- were you given standing as -- well, no, I'm asking a different question.

Were you given standing as a Group of Five or More or were you given standing as an abutting property owner?

MS. EMES: Both.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on. I
need to get clarification of that, because there is a different standard, so I need to make sure that I -- I need to make sure, but that will be later on if we go to a Protest Hearing. I need to make sure that I know whether or not -- there is no issue with standing for you, but there is just a little different issue if you are granted standing as an abutting property owner or as a Group of Five or More. Okay. So that's one of the reasons why I had asked that.

All right. There is a Mr. Edgar? MR. EDGAR: Edgar.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Edgar. All
right. Mr. Edgar, you are for the group, the Dower Group, you are from the Group of Five or

More. Is that correct?

MR. EDGAR: Correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now, it's my understanding that you were given conditional standing at the Roll Call Hearing. And what was supposed to occur today is that you were supposed to bring four -- there should have been four additional members at this hearing today, who was not at the Roll Call.

Now, do you have -- is there four additional members here today who were not at the Roll Call Hearing?

MR. EDGAR: No, I'm the --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No. All right.
MR. EDGAR: -- only one from our group here.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, sir. Well, because you were given conditional standing and you had two hearings to bring five folks, and five folks are not here, $I$ then, by operation of law, make a motion to my group, I'm sorry to the Board, to dismiss. Yes, I'm sorry?

MR. EDGAR: I was not present at the Roll Call, but I was told after the Roll Call Hearing that the Group of Five was grandfathered in --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, no.
MR. EDGAR: -- for this hearing.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No. They were given conditional standing, meaning that you had -- you have two hearings to bring -- for five people to actually come here. So if at the Roll Call Hearing there were three members, at the Status Hearing, then they had to bring another three who were not there.

And since between the -- because they have not brought five folks before the Board in two hearings, we have to dismiss them as a protestant.

They can join onto the other group. They can be part of the other group. They can be a part of the ANC. They can be a part of the citizens association, but they will not have their own standing to appear in this hearing.

MR. EDGAR: Well, I believe we were advised otherwise by representatives of the Board, but in any event, that's something we can sort out between now and the Protest Hearing. Of course, they will have the opportunity to appear as witnesses in like manner?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right. They can join on to any other group, but I am only going by the information that was given to me.

MR. EDGAR: We are a little confused.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yeah.
MR. EDGAR: But we need not deal with it today.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, but we have to deal with it today, because if -- because -so we don't have any -- if we go to -- if we were to go to a hearing, that there is no misunderstanding. So that's one of the reasons it's being dealt with today.

MR. EDGAR: Well, the reason I am confused, as I say, I was not at the Roll Call, but if I remember correctly when we held the
mediation, we were informed by the mediator that they did not need to bring all five.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But let me ask you a question, sir. And you were not at the Roll Call Hearing?

MR. EDGAR: That's correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can anyone tell me, my notes tell me that there were not five for the Dower Group. Does anyone -- how many people from the Dower Group was at the Roll Call Hearing?

MR. MURPHY: That was the one two weeks ago?

MS. EMES: Yes.
MR. EDGAR: No, there were two.
MS. EMES: Oh, two.
MR. EDGAR: Two.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: When Ms.
Fletcher was here, that was on a Monday and Ms. Fletcher was here.

MS. TAMMANY CRUSE: Well, we were informed that because it had been grandfathered
in from the previous --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But from --
MS. TAMMANY CRUSE: -- and all five had shown up at the previous case, that they did not have to show up again.

MR. EDGAR: Yes, this case started--
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm aware. I'm aware, sir.

MR. EDGAR: But we were informed that everybody didn't have to show up for this.

MS. EMES: We specifically asked the question.

MS. TAMMANY CRUSE: Mm-hmm.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Well, this is what I'm going to do. I'm going to -- let me recall this case. I'll recall this case in a couple of minutes. Let me have you step back. I'll try to get the transcript from the Roll Call.

Mediation is different. Okay? So I know that this case was rolled over from a previous case. But the information that was told
to me, but this was particular for the Dower Group that five folks were not there and they had to show up today.

But because it's very important, so just step back, we will recall the case, until we get clarification and then I'll recall the case. Okay. All right.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 9:46 a.m. and resumed at 9:50 a.m.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Let me recall Protest Case No. 18-PRO-00081, Sandbox Restaurant, License No. 110062.

All right. I had recalled this case based on the information regarding the standing of the Dower Group.

It's my understanding that Ms.
Fletcher did not inform that of the Dower Group, but the most important thing, at least to the Board, the Dower Group's designated representative was Mr. Dower. Mr. Dower sent an email to the Board this morning to inform the

Board that some last minute obligations are preventing two members of our group from attending today's status meeting.

Is there any way for them to visit ABRA at some point during other point to be able to sign-in on behalf of our group? These two individuals did not, and I'm reading this specifically, these two individuals did not attend in the previous meetings. Sincerely, Ben.

So Mr. Dower who the Group of Five or More, it's his group, he was aware that he had to provide additional members at this hearing today for him -- for that group to have standing. And he has admitted that he was -- he is not -- he has not been able to fulfill that obligation.

So based on that issue, based on that fact, $I$ am then making a motion to the Board that we dismiss the Dower Group from this matter. Is there a second?

MEMBER SHORT: Second.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short has seconded the motion.

All those in favor say aye.
ALL: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Those opposed? The matter passed 6-0-0.

What this means is that the Dower Group and their witnesses can join on as witnesses on any other group they want to. They themselves, however, do not have individual standing to challenge this matter.

And I just want to let the group -and one of the reasons why $I$ had asked earlier about the -- is your name Ms. Egan? Again, I'm sorry.

MR. EDGAR: It's Edgar, E-D-G-A-R.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, I'm sorry. Who -- I'm sorry.

MR. EDGAR: Ms. Emes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Emes.
MS. EMES: Emes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Emes. Yeah, one of the reasons why I had asked you about your standing, which I need to get clarification
whether or not you are an abutting property owner or if you are just a Group of Five or More, because I just want to let the folks know that if there is a settlement agreement that is signed between the ANC and the Group of Five or More -I'm sorry, and the licensee, then the groups fall, except for the civic association. The groups will fall.

Meaning that if they decide to have a settlement agreement and if you are a Group of Five or More and if you are not a part of the settlement agreement, then by operation of law, then you lose your right to participate in the matter, because we cannot force then to make you a party to a settlement agreement.

However, if you are an abutting property owner, even if the ANC signs a settlement agreement and if you don't agree with it, you still have standing to move forward. So that's one of the reasons $I$ was asking you what were you granted.

For the civic association, it's
similar in a sense that if there is a settlement agreement between the ANC -- between the abutting property owner and if the civic association doesn't agree with it, they can still move forward with the hearing, because they have standing. Their standing continues.

So that's one of the reasons $I$ was asking. But if we do move to a hearing or if there is a settlement agreement, then I'll get further clarification from the records to see whether or not it was just as a Group of Five or More or also as abutting property owners.

Okay. So that's why I was asking. MS. EMES: It was my understanding it was as two abutting property owners. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Well, that's fine. I mean, if it becomes -- it's not an issue, right, you have standing. It's not an issue. It only becomes an issue if there is a settlement agreement and if certain parties are not a party to the settlement agreement or if they still want to move forward.

But if we have to -- if that is an issue, I'll make sure that $I$ do further investigation to make sure that we know what is moving forward. But just again for the Dower Group, you can join onto whatever group you want to and continue to participate in this matter. Okay.

> Are there any other preliminary issues that needs to be discussed today by either side? All right. This matter is scheduled for a Protest Hearing on February 13th at 1:30. It's not -- if you were here earlier, I did state another Protest Hearing was scheduled for February 13th at 1:30, so but that is information they give me, but it's scheduled for February 13t at 1:30.

All right. Now that we have scheduled this matter for hearing, there are some additional information $I$ would like to present to both parties, so that if we have a Protest Hearing the matter is focused and it addresses only those issues that are being protested. The

Board does not intend to hear testimony on matters that are not relevant to this case.

You should have received by electronic or regular mail a letter explaining the protest process, a copy of the Protest Information Form and a copy of the Exhibit Form. If you do not have these documents, please, contact Assistant General Counsel April Randall following this hearing.

It is imperative that you review these rules closely and adhere to them before and during the Protest Hearing. You are also required to complete and submit the Protest Information Form (PIF) and the Exhibit Form seven days before the date of the hearing. These two forms and accompanying documents need to be submitted to ABRA's Legal Division and to all opposing parties in this matter.

If we do not receive a copy of your PIF, your application or your protest, whichever side you are representing, may be subject to dismissal. Likewise, if we do not receive a copy
of the Exhibit Form and the exhibits themselves, your exhibits may be excluded from the record upon a finding that the opposing party has been prejudiced or no good cause for failure to submit has been shown.

Likewise witnesses other than a party may also be subject to exclusion if not identified in the PIF.

Accordingly, these forms are very important as they greatly assist the Board in narrowing the protest issues, facilitating the process and keeping the parties on point during the hearing. They likewise provide notice to opposing parties enabling them to better prepare for the hearing.

We thank you for your cooperation. If you have any questions today or at any time leading up to the hearing, please, do not hesitate to contact AGC Randall at (202) 4424353.

All right. So I'll see you on -- yes, sir?

MR. ELLIOTT: I just wanted to ask you something. February 13 afternoon has two hearings scheduled.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
MR. ELLIOTT: $I$ have never been to a hearing, but $I$ think --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The -- if both -- our General Counsel's Office will work their magic and they will inform you what will happen that day. So if both hearings occur, then we will have both hearings occur and they will make adjustments to our calendar or they might postpone one. I don't know, but --

MR. ELLIOTT: Is there any possibility of picking a clear date for our hearing?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: It's -- by operation of law, it is scheduled for that date.

MR. ELLIOTT: I see.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We have to have it scheduled for April.

MR. ELLIOTT: Words on the placard, yeah. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: It has to be scheduled.

MR. ELLIOTT: I see.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now, if both parties decide that jointly want to continue it, they can make a motion to us. So if you want to continue it, you can make a motion. If they agree, we can. But right now, we are going to have it on the 13th. Okay? And but if you have any questions, please, call Ms. Randall. She will walk you through the process and give you whatever guidance you need.

If you believe that you need a different date, you can contact her, she will let you know what you need to do.

Our job or her job is to facilitate this process to make sure that you guys understand how this process operates. So therefore, if you are here, we will have a hearing. But as I have said before, I prefer if you can work out a settlement agreement, because if you work out a settlement agreement, you can
determine what works best for you in your neighborhood.

I'm not sure if any Board Members currently live in this area and so it's better if you make your own decisions. But if you want us to make the decision, of course, we will do that.

But we will see you on February 13th at 1:30. If I don't see you on February 13th at 1:30, I will not take it personal. Okay. You have a great day. Thank you very much.

MS. TAMMANY CRUSE: Thank you.
MR. EDGAR: Thank you.
MS. EMES: Thank you.
MR. ELLIOTT: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
(Whereupon, the Protest (Status)
Hearing was concluded at 10:00 a.m.)
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| 4353 20:20 |
| 442-20:19 |


| 5 |
| :---: |
|  |
| 6-0-0 15:4 |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| 9:35 3:2 |
| 9:38 4:10 |
| 9:46 13:9 |
| 9:50 13:10 |

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.
Washington DC

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Sandbox Restaurant

Before: DCABRA

Date: 01-16-19

Place: Washington, DC
was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

> Neae N Gurs ------------------Court Reporter

