

**THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD**

In the Matter of:)
)
Rosebud Liquor, Inc.)
t/a Rosebud Liquor)
)
Application for Transfer to New Location of a)
Retailer's Class A License)
)
at premises)
1711 17th Street, NW)
Washington, D.C. 20009)
)

Case No.: 22-PRO-00114
License No.: ABRA-060751
Order No.: 2023-008

Rosebud Liquor, Inc., t/a Rosebud Liquor, Applicant

Mark Mesiti, Abutting Property Owner, Protestant

Monica Obispado, Abutting Property Owner, Protestant

Neel Panchal, Abutting Property Owner, Protestant

Thomas Sachs, Abutting Property Owner, Protestant

Alexander Stout, Abutting Property Owner, Protestant

Karmali Bhanji, Abutting Property Owner, Protestant

Karolyn Karbel, Abutting Property Owner, Protestant

Shena Papat, Abutting Property Owner, Protestant

Michael Villa, Abutting Property Owner, Protestant

Paul Rich, Abutting Property Owner, Protestant

BEFORE: Donovan Anderson, Chairperson
James Short, Member
Bobby Cato, Member
Rafi Aliya Crockett, Member
Jeni Hansen, Member
Edward S. Grandis, Member

ORDER ON DISMISSAL OF APPLICATION AND PROTESTS

The Application filed by Rosebud Liquor, Inc., t/a Rosebud Liquor (Applicant), for a Transfer to a New Location of its Retailer's Class A License, having been protested, came before the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board (Board) for a Roll Call Hearing on December 19, 2022.

On December 19, 2022, the Board dismissed the Application because the Applicant failed to appear at the Roll Call Hearing.

Additionally, the Board dismissed the Protests of Mark Mesiti, Monica Obispado, Neel Panchal, Thomas Sachs, Alexander Stout, Karmali Bhanji, Karolyn Karbel, Shena Popat, Michael Villa, and Paul Rich because they are not abutting property owners. Specially, the Applicant's new location and their properties at 1700 17th Street, NW, #s 507, 407, 608, 509, 507, 209, 408, 308, 502, and 201, Washington, D.C., have no property line or boundary point in common with the property line of the licensed establishment as required pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 25-601(1)(B). Also, Thomas Sachs and Michael Villa failed to appear at the Roll Call Hearing.

The Applicant and Protestants may file a Request for Reinstatement with the Board within ten (10) days from the date of this Order.

ORDER

The Board does hereby, this 11th day of January 2023, **DISMISS** the Application and the Protests. Copies of this Order shall be sent to the Parties.

District of Columbia
Alcoholic Beverage Control Board

eSigned via SeamlessDocs.com
Donovan Anderson
Key: ac422b02b5d5f00e4e730693d1eccc8

Donovan Anderson, Chairperson

eSigned via SeamlessDocs.com
James Short
Key: 5470e373b223e66c8c1b332e2d294be2

James Short, Member

eSigned via SeamlessDocs.com
Bobby Cato
Key: 2b8d32ead7e146d76d7917e20d

Bobby Cato, Member

eSigned via SeamlessDocs.com
Rafi Aliya Crockett, Member
Key: 2527e91f84e1f5e401d152e6c127b1e2

Rafi Crockett, Member

eSigned via SeamlessDocs.com
Jeni Hansen, Member
Key: 8272031c52044749b5569c24189f

Jeni Hansen, Member

eSigned via SeamlessDocs.com
Edward Grandis, Member
Key: 50277bd27ff0f049e14adeb52541ce5

Edward S. Grandis, Member

Pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 25-433(d)(1), any party adversely affected may file a Motion for Reconsideration of this decision within ten (10) days of service of this Order with the Alcoholic Beverage Regulation Administration, 2000 14th Street, N.W., Suite 400S, Washington, DC 20009.

Also, pursuant to section II of the District of Columbia Administrative Procedure Act, Pub. L. 90-614, 82 Stat. 1209, D.C. Official Code § 2-510 (2001), and Rule 15 of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, any party adversely affected has the right to appeal this Order by filing a petition for review, within thirty (30) days of the date of service of this Order, with the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, 430 E Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001; (202/879- 1010). However, the timely filing of a Motion for Reconsideration pursuant to 23 DCMR §1719.1 (2008) stays the time for filing a petition for review in the District of Columbia Court of Appeals until the Board rules on the motion. See D.C. App. Rule 15(b) (2004).