## DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

# ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

+ + + + + MEETING

IN THE MATTER OF: :

1215 CT, LLC, t/a Rosebar :

1215 Connecticut Ave NW : Fact Finding

Retailer CT - ANC 2B : Hearing

License No. 077883 :
Case #18-251-00180 :
(Simple Assault Inside

(Simple Assault Inside : the Establishment) :

Thursday, December 13, 2018

The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board met in the Alcoholic Beverage Control Hearing Room, Reeves Building, 2000 14th Street, N.W., Suite 400S, Washington, D.C. 20009, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson, presiding.

### PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson NICK ALBERTI, Member BOBBY CATO, JR., Member MIKE SILVERSTEIN, Member

# ALSO PRESENT:

MAKAN SHIRAFKAN, Licensee's Counsel AMEET JOHAL, Licensee

EARL JONES, ABRA Investigator

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	2:19 p.m.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
4	We're back on the record. Our next case is Case
5	No. 18-251-00180, Rosebar, License No. 077883.
6	Would all parties here for this
7	matter, Rosebar, please, appear and identify
8	themselves for the record, please?
9	MR. SHIRAFKAN: Good afternoon. Makan
10	Shirafkan, that's M-A-K-A-N S-H-I-R-A-F-K-A-N.
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Shirafkan,
12	I cannot hear what you are saying. I can't hear
13	you.
14	MR. SHIRAFKAN: I can't
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Huh?
16	MR. SHIRAFKAN: I've lost my voice.
17	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. I
18	MEMBER ALBERTI: Oh.
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: wasn't aware
20	of that, that's why I was
21	MR. SHIRAFKAN: That's why, I have
22	laryngitis.

1	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
2	Well, why don't you speak into the microphone
3	then? Bring the microphone when you speak.
4	MR. SHIRAFKAN: Can you hear me now?
5	Is that better? All right. Makan Shirafkan, M-
6	A-K-A-N S-H-I-R-A-F, as in Frank, K-A-N.
7	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon,
8	Mr. Shirafkan.
9	MR. SHIRAFKAN: The attorney for the
LO	licensee.
L1	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
L <b>2</b>	MR. JOHAL: My name is Ameet Johal, A-
L3	M, as in Mary, E, as in Edward, E, as in Edward,
L <b>4</b>	T, as in Tom, that's J-O-H-A-L, manager and
L5	officer of Rosebar.
L6	OFFICER CLARKE: I'm Officer Nathan
L7	Clarke. N-A-T-H-A-N C-L-A-R-K-E. I'm with MPD.
L8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon,
L9	Officer Clarke.
20	INVESTIGATOR JONES: Investigator Earl
21	Jones, E-A-R-L last name Jones, J-O-N-E-S.
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon.

INVESTIGATOR JONES: Good afternoon. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Shirafkan, this is a Fact-Finding Hearing because of an 3 4 incident that occurred at Rosebar, I think, on or 5 about September 9, 2018. ABRA was called. did an investigation. And at the same time, the 6 7 Chief of Police had requested that based on the 8 nature of the incident, that we should have a 9 Fact-Finding Hearing to address this issue. And so that's where we are while we 10 11 are here today. And Mr. Johal --12 MR. JOHAL: Johal, yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- this is a 13 14 Fact-Finding Hearing. It is -- we cannot order you to do anything at a Fact-Finding Hearing. 15 16 is basically the Board is gathering information 17 to determine whether or not we will take any 18 further action here. 19 MR. JOHAL: Yes, sir. 20 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I just wanted 21 to let you know that we cannot order you to do

anything in this type of proceeding.

always ask you to volunteer to do stuff and your attorney will let you know that you can either agree or disagree to volunteer. It is not -- it will not be taken positive or negatively if the Board asks you to volunteer to do something and it is not done. I just wanted to let you know that.

MR. JOHAL: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We are just trying to gather information to find out if we need to take any further action. So what I will do, I will start these proceedings. And I will have Investigator Jones to tell us about the incident. I will also ask Officer Clarke to further elaborate to let us know why is it that the Chief of Police has asked us to have a Fact-Finding Hearing. And then through your attorney, then you can respond. And once that is done, the Board will determine what appropriate action, if any, we will take.

MR. JOHAL: Okay. So, Mr. Jones?

INVESTIGATOR JONES: Thank you, sir.

On Sunday, September 9, 2018, at approximately
4:00 a.m., ABRA received a hot phone call from
MPD indicating that a robbery had taken place out
front of the Rosebar located at 1215 Connecticut
Avenue, N.W.

Upon arrival, myself and a previous
Investigator Devon Todd spoke with several MPD
officers, one officer, Officer Nathan Clarke,
advised that he was aware that there was a
robbery that had taken place out in front of the
establishment and they believe that it was
associated with the establishment.

I asked had anyone spoken to management regarding this and, at that point, he had advised that no one had spoken to or he didn't believe that they had spoken to management about it.

At that point, we entered the establishment, spoke to one of the owners of the establishment who advised that he was unaware of the robbery, but he did admit that there was a fight that had taken place inside.

At that point, I requested for

Investigator Todd to take pictures of the

incident area and to conduct any interviews that

he could from anyone that was still around at

that time of the incident.

During this time, I advised the owner that we needed a copy of the incident report, video footage needed to be pulled regarding this incident and I would follow back up with him within the next couple of days as far as that was concerned.

A couple of days later, we revisited to the establishment and at that point in time, the owner had advised that there was a woman who was outside who stated that her arm had been broken in front of the establishment and it was done, she claimed that, by MPD.

She also requested that video footage from the establishment of this portion of the incident and at that point in time, I was provided video footage of the incident in totality and was able to review, both

Investigator Todd and myself were able to review, the footage at the time at the establishment.

While reviewing the surveillance footage, we did see there was a fight that took place between two female patrons. Other female patrons did get involved. Security was -- quickly got in the middle and tried to diffuse the situation. At that point, you can see MPD, there was maybe two or three -- excuse me, three to four MPD officers who came up the stairs and was assisting staff removing individuals that were involved in the fight out of the establishment.

After that, you can see through video footage that there was a female who was outside and it appeared that she may have been a little belligerent with the officer and the officer, you can see that he had grabbed her arm and kind of turned it in the opposite direction and then escorted her out of the camera view.

At that point, I was given the video footage and we came -- we went back to the ABRA

Office where the report was completed.

Throughout this time, MPD, Officer

Clarke, had sent Investigator Todd several emails

regarding different, I guess, offenses as far as

the case was concerned. There were three, in

total, PD-251 reports that were produced from

this incident. And there was a request that a

Fact-Finding Hearing be conducted from MPD about

this incident.

And there was a request that a FactFinding Hearing be conducted from MPD about this
incident. The other thing that was noted was
that MPD indicated that the crime scene had been
cleaned up by the establishment prior to an
investigation being conducted.

And that's pretty much the gist of what happened.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Officer Clarke, do you want to add anything? And then also why is it that the Chief of Police thought that this was something that the Board should, if you know why, bring this establishment in for them to

report on what occurred?

OFFICER CLARKE: I don't know why the Chief brought this to the attention of the Board. I will say that this entire incident began as an aggravated assault inside of the establishment and involving the two females. And once outside, the two females were arguing. They had their entourage with them on both sides.

And during that argument or altercation between the two groups, somebody was actually videotaping it, that individual got punched. I guess he was approached by some unknown subjects and asked why he was taking it. The subjects then punched the victim in the face, took his phone and then ran off.

So we were working on aggravated assault and now robbery and trying to get the groups down the street in separate directions.

Some of them then converged back together, not the two victims, not the victim and suspect in the aggravated assault, but another incident involving another altercation. So there were

three altercations stemming from each inside of 1 2 Rosebar. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All 3 Okay. 4 right. At least from -- as far as MPD is 5 concerned, what, if anything, did the establishment do wrong, if anything at all? 6 7 OFFICER CLARKE: Nothing. They 8 alerted MPD when the altercation took place 9 upstairs. They cooperated with the 10 investigation. And I don't think that they knew, 11 at that time, it was an aggravated assault, 12 because that's when we would have to preserve a 13 crime scene. 14 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And I guess maybe that's why I'm somewhat confused. 15 16 there is some allegations that they had cleaned 17 up the crime scene, so I'm trying to figure out 18 what is it that they did? I mean, was there 19 blood? Was there -- what is it that they did 20 that was cleaned up?

circumstances if it's a felony, we ask the crime

Well, in normal

OFFICER CLARKE:

21

scene be preserved. This would have been an 1 2 indication by a detective investigating that, yeah, the crime scene was picked up not myself 3 4 and initial responding officers, we were unaware that her arm was broken until she was diagnosed 5 at the hospital hours later. 6 7 But the report was completed prior to 8 the assertion of the crime scene was cleaned up 9 was prior to her -- there was no -- on our part, there was really no dialogue between ourselves 10 11 and the detectives about the crime scene, that 12 aspect of them putting that together. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. 13 Mr. 14 Shirafkan, does this establishment have a security plan? 15 16 MR. SHIRAFKAN: Yes. 17 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And so what, if 18 anything -- how are you able to respond? 19 response, if any, do you have? 20 MR. SHIRAFKAN: What we have learned 21 is --22 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Remember to

pull the recorder. Your voice is limited as long as you speak in the microphone.

MR. SHIRAFKAN: Okay. Thank you.

Thanks. What we have learned sometimes just not saying much is probably the best. I just want to make -- clarify something with regards to the incident and everything that I'll say is on the video footage and I have brought pictures, snap-by-snap pictures, if the Board wants it showing exactly step -- every step taken showing what happened.

Two individuals did have an altercation. There was no objects used. There was no broken glass. There was no blood. There was no cut. It is more of I think someone -- a lady was walking by and she bumps into another lady. They kind of point a finger at each other and then they get into a fight.

And I think it is more of a hand being pulled or whatnot, so there was no crime scene inside. Now outside with the person and the phone were involved who weren't involved at all,

but inside -- and there was footage that showed there was nothing. The only thing was water that was spilled and you see people cleaning water afterwards and that's about it.

And none of these aggravated issues had come about until hours later when the person had gone to the hospital saying my hand is broken. But it shows and I think it's worth noting that within seven seconds of this incident happening and Investigator Jones can confirm or say that I'm not stating it correctly, but within seven seconds the security quickly breaks this up.

Within 31 seconds, MPD is on the scene, which is exactly what security plan says, break it up, get MPD. We had MPD detail, so within 31 seconds MPD detail is upstairs. They break this up. They take the folks downstairs outside. And then on the video footage, it looks like it becomes this MPD officer and this one individual that this battle is going back and forth and that's it.

We have been cooperative. And if there is any questions, if the Board would like to see anything else we can give, but that's pretty much all I have to say.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I haven't seen the video. If you think that it is necessary for the Board to see the video, so be it. I don't have -- I mean, I --

MR. SHIRAFKAN: I'll rely on the Investigator. I don't see it necessary if the Investigator sees differently, if he, based on his view, feels that the club could have done something else according to the plan or if the club did something wrong, then we can view it.

I don't need it to be shown. I just wanted to let you know that it is there and I have pictures of it, if you would like to see from inside and from outside, if that has a big bearing on your decision of what to do.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm not sure,
Mr. Shirafkan, if I want to look at videos. I
had the misfortune of looking at a video

1	yesterday and it was very troubling, so if you
2	if it's not necessary
3	MR. SHIRAFKAN: It's not necessary.
4	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And that was
5	the first time the Board had seen the video and
6	so it was very troubling once the Board saw the
7	video. So if
8	MR. SHIRAFKAN: I don't think it's
9	necessary.
LO	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: it's not
L1	germane and Mr. Jones, you know, if it's not
L <b>2</b>	germane for the Board to see the video, then I'll
L3	just pass on videos.
L <b>4</b>	INVESTIGATOR JONES: I think we are
L5	okay to pass.
L6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
L7	Thank you, Mr. Jones. As I said, the Board
L8	looked at the video, then we were all charged by
L9	what we saw and the response by the licensee. So
20	it was not what we had anticipated and expected.
21	Are there any questions by any Board
22	Members? Yes, Mr. Silverstein?

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: First of all, I
want to agree with the Chair. What we saw
yesterday was clearly bad for the digestion.
What I would like to ask Investigator Jones was
do you agree with both Attorney Shirafkan and
Officer Clarke that there was apparently no
failure on the part of the licensee in this case?
Is there anything they could have done? Anything
that we can learn or is this simply something
that was beyond their control and that they
handled as best they could?

INVESTIGATOR JONES: I believe that the two incidents are separate, but I believe the one inside, I think that they did all that they could do. The incident took place. They notified MPD, but he came in right away, staff and MPD worked together to get everybody out.

The incident outside, I think that again, I can't put that on -- yeah, I can't fault the establishment for what took place out front.

So no, I don't think that there is anything, regarding security plan-wise regarding

1 procedural-wise that they could have done 2 differently. 3 MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Thank you. No further questions, Mr. Chairman. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any more 6 questions by any other Board Members? I'm trying to get a copy of the letter that was sent to us 7 8 by the Chief of Police and see if I need to ask 9 any questions. I thought it was in front of me, but it's not. 10 11 All right. Let me, while we are 12 waiting, although we can't prove -- the incident 13 that -- I'm sorry, this night, was there any 14 special events occurring this night? 15 What is the policy? Is this a 21 or 16 over event or who can come in to your 17 establishment? 18 MR. SHIRAFKAN: It's 21 and over 19 event, so they do have to have a valid ID to 20 enter. 21 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Remember Mr. 22 Shirafkan, you have to speak --

1 MR. SHIRAFKAN: I'm sorry. It was a 2 21 and over event, to my understanding, and they had to produce an ID to get inside. 3 I don't 4 think there was anything special with regards to 5 special groups, special promotions, special 6 something that we look at and say that's special 7 something for any issues. I mean, it was just --8 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So 9 everyone in the establishment should be 21 or Is that correct? 10 over. 11 MR. SHIRAFKAN: Yes, on this given 12 night that's what it was. 13 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, in the 14 report and although there was -- although the 15 Board cannot -- I asked the question, I have 16 asked the question about the policy about the 17 ages to get in. Now, although we cannot prove 18 that there was a sale to minor event, but it's my 19 understanding that one of the persons who was 20 involved in this incident was 20 years-old. 21 So if this person was 20 years-old,

how was a 20 year-old person able to enter the

#### establishment?

MR. SHIRAFKAN: I don't have -- I
don't know. I don't know what the circumstances
was. I don't have -- that person should not have
got in. And maybe if there is a lesson to be
learned, it's just go back and tell our front
door that they need to be more careful, because
apparently someone slipped through.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right. Because it is in the report.

MR. SHIRAFKAN: Right.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And the conclusion by the Investigator is that we can prove that there was a sale, but it's clear that there was someone who was younger than 21 in this establishment. And I'm not sure -- and there was no allegation that the person had a fake ID to say that they were 21.

So I'm not sure what your security plan says, but clearly there was a minor in the establishment who entered the establishment that night and but for -- this could have been a much

larger incident if we could have seen one of 1 2 these, if the video had proven that this person was drinking. 3 4 So whatever has been done, it needs to 5 be beefed up. 6 MR. SHIRAFKAN: Yes, Mr. Chair. 7 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Did you have 8 another -- anything to mention, Mr. Jones? 9 INVESTIGATOR JONES: Yes, sir. I know 10 that MPD advised that they were requesting a Fact-Finding for this. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. 13 INVESTIGATOR JONES: However, I never received a letter or I don't believe the office 14 15 received any letter. So I just wanted to let you 16 know that. 17 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. All 18 right. Well, I guess everything tells me that 19 MPD requested a Fact-Finding, all the 20 information, I thought I had read it some place 21 and that's one of the reasons why I was asking.

I wasn't trying to put you on the spot, Mr.

Clarke, but I saw you here and when I see a police officer here, it tells me that someone from MPD says that you need to be there.

So I wasn't trying to put you on the spot. All right. Any final comments by anyone?

MR. SHIRAFKAN: No, Mr. Chair and

Members of the Board, no, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

Well, since -- as a result of the investigation, the Investigator did not find any violations during this night in question. The only potential violation could have -- was that it appeared that there was an underage minor in the establishment and I'm just letting the establishment know that whatever processing procedure that they are using to check or ensure that only 21 or over enter the establishment, that they need to revisit that, because it says that whatever policies that you have in place, that minors, at least one minor, this night had entered the establishment.

Okay. So with that said, I make a

1	motion that we take no further action on this
2	case. Is there a second?
3	MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Second.
4	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Silverstein
5	has seconded the motion.
6	All those in favor say aye.
7	ALL: Aye.
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Those opposed?
9	The matter passes 4-0-0.
10	Thank you for being here today and I
11	hope your voice gets better, Mr. Shirafkan.
12	MR. SHIRAFKAN: Thank you.
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
14	(Whereupon, the Fact-Finding Hearing
15	was concluded at 2:43 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

#### assertion 12:8 5:9 9:18 11:3.14 Α assisting 8:11 12:13,17,22 15:5,20 **A-** 3:12 associated 6:12 16:4,10,16 18:5,21 A-K-A-N 3:6 attention 10:3 19:8,13 20:9,12 21:7 **a.m** 6:2 attorney 3:9 5:2,17 17:5 21:12,17 22:8 23:4,8 able 7:22 8:1 12:18 Ave 1:7 23:13 19:22 Avenue 6:5 charged 16:18 **ABRA** 1:22 4:5 6:2 8:22 **aware** 2:19 6:9 **check** 22:16 action 4:18 5:11.19 Chief 4:7 5:16 9:20 10:3 aye 23:6,7 23:1 18:8 add 9:19 В circumstances 11:22 address 4:9 back 2:4 7:9 8:22 10:19 20:3 admit 6:21 claimed 7:17 14:21 20:6 advised 6:9,15,20 7:6 bad 17:3 clarify 13:6 7:14 21:10 based 4:7 15:11 Clarke 3:16,17,19 5:14 afternoon 2:9 3:7,18,22 6:8 9:3,18 10:2 11:7 basically 4:16 4:1 **battle 14:21** 11:21 17:6 22:1 **ages** 19:17 cleaned 9:14 11:16,20 **bearing** 15:19 aggravated 10:5,16,21 beefed 21:5 12:8 11:11 14:5 cleaning 14:3 began 10:4 agree 5:3 17:2,5 **believe** 6:11,16 17:12 **clear** 20:14 **ALBERTI** 1:17 2:18 **clearly** 17:3 20:20 17:13 21:14 **Alcoholic** 1:2,13,13 belligerent 8:17 club 15:12,14 alerted 11:8 best 13:5 17:11 **COLUMBIA** 1:1 allegation 20:17 come 14:6 18:16 **better** 3:5 23:11 allegations 11:16 **Beverage** 1:2,13,13 comments 22:5 altercation 10:10,22 **beyond** 17:10 completed 9:1 12:7 11:8 13:13 **big** 15:18 **concerned** 7:11 9:5 altercations 11:1 **blood** 11:19 13:14 11:5 **Ameet** 1:21 3:12 Board 1:2.13 4:16 5:5 concluded 23:15 **ANC** 1:7 5:19 9:21 10:3 13:9 conclusion 20:13 **Anderson** 1:15,17 2:3 15:2,7 16:5,6,12,17 conduct 7:3 2:11,15,17,19 3:1,7 16:21 18:6 19:15 22:7 **conducted** 9:8,11,15 3:11,18,22 4:2,13,20 **BOBBY** 1:18 **confirm** 14:10 5:9 9:18 11:3,14 break 14:16.18 confused 11:15 12:13,17,22 15:5,20 **breaks** 14:12 Connecticut 1:7 6:4 16:4,10,16 18:5,21 control 1:2,13,13 17:10 bring 3:3 9:22 19:8,13 20:9,12 21:7 **broken** 7:16 12:5 13:14 converged 10:19 21:12,17 22:8 23:4,8 14:8 cooperated 11:9 23:13 brought 10:3 13:8 cooperative 15:1 anticipated 16:20 copy 7:7 18:7 Building 1:14 **apparently** 17:6 20:8 **bumps** 13:16 correct 19:10 appear 2:7 **by-snap** 13:9 correctly 14:11 appeared 8:16 22:13 Counsel 1:20 approached 10:12 C couple 7:10,12 appropriate 5:19 C-L-A-R-K-E 3:17 **crime** 9:13 11:13,17,22 approximately 6:1 call 6:2 12:3,8,11 13:20 **area** 7:3 called 4:5 **CT** 1:6,7 arguing 10:7 camera 8:20 cut 13:15 argument 10:9 careful 20:7 arm 7:15 8:18 12:5 D case 1:8 2:4,4 9:5 17:7 arrival 6:6 **D.C** 1:14 23:2 asked 5:16 6:13 10:13 days 7:10,12 **CATO** 1:18 19:15,16 Chair 17:2 21:6 22:6 December 1:12 asking 21:21 Chairman 18:4 decision 15:19 asks 5:5 Chairperson 1:14.17 detail 14:16,17 aspect 12:12 detective 12:2 2:3,11,15,17,19 3:1,7 assault 1:9 10:5,17,21 detectives 12:11 3:11,18,22 4:2,13,20 11:11

determine 4:17 5:19 Devon 6:7 diagnosed 12:5 dialogue 12:10 different 9:4 differently 15:11 18:2 diffuse 8:7 digestion 17:3 direction 8:19 directions 10:18 disagree 5:3 **DISTRICT 1:1 Donovan** 1:15,17 door 20:7 downstairs 14:18 drinking 21:3 Ε **E** 3:13.13 E-A-R-L 3:21

Earl 1:22 3:20 **Edward** 3:13.13 either 5:2 elaborate 5:15 emails 9:3 **ensure** 22:16 enter 18:20 19:22 22:17 entered 6:18 20:21 22:21 entire 10:4 entourage 10:8 escorted 8:20 establishment 1:10 6:11,12,19,20 7:13,16 7:19 8:2,13 9:14,22 10:5 11:6 12:14 17:20 18:17 19:9 20:1,16,21 20:21 22:14,15,17,21 event 18:16,19 19:2,18 **events** 18:14 everybody 17:17 **exactly** 13:10 14:15 excuse 8:9 expected 16:20

F
face 10:14
Fact 1:7
Fact- 5:16 9:10
Fact-Finding 4:3,9,14
4:15 9:8 21:11,19
23:14
failure 17:7
fake 20:17
far 7:10 9:4 11:4
fault 17:19
favor 23:6
feels 15:12

felony 11:22 female 8:5,5,15 **females** 10:6,7 fight 6:22 8:4,12 13:18 figure 11:17 final 22:5 find 5:10 22:10 **Finding** 1:7 5:17 9:11 finger 13:17 first 16:5 17:1 folks 14:18 **follow** 7:9 footage 7:8,18,21 8:2,4 8:15,22 13:8 14:1,19 forth 14:22 four 8:10 Frank 3:6 front 6:4,10 7:16 17:20 18:9 20:6 further 4:18 5:11,15 18:4 23:1 G gather 5:10 qathering 4:16 germane 16:11,12 gist 9:16 give 15:3 given 8:21 19:11 **glass** 13:14

grabbed 8:18 groups 10:10,18 19:5 guess 9:4 10:12 11:14 21:18

Н hand 13:19 14:7 handled 17:11 happened 9:17 13:11 happening 14:10 hear 2:12,12 3:4 **Hearing** 1:7,13 4:3,9,14 4:15 5:17 9:8,11 23:14 hope 23:11

hospital 12:6 14:7 **hot** 6:2 hours 12:6 14:6

Huh 2:15

**ID** 18:19 19:3 20:17 identify 2:7 incident 4:4,8 5:14 7:3 7:5,7,9,20,21 9:7,9,12 10:4.21 13:7 14:9 17:15.18 18:12 19:20 21:1

incidents 17:13 indicated 9:13 indicating 6:3 indication 12:2 individual 10:11 14:21 individuals 8:11 13:12 **information** 4:16 5:10 21:20 initial 12:4 inside 1:9 6:22 10:5 11:1 13:21 14:1 15:18 17:14 19:3 interviews 7:3 investigating 12:2 investigation 4:6 9:15 11:10 22:9 Investigator 1:22 3:20 3:20 4:1 5:13,22 6:7 7:2 8:1 9:3 14:10 15:10,11 16:14 17:4 17:12 20:13 21:9,13 22:10 involved 8:6,12 13:22 13:22 19:20

J-O-H-A-L 3:14 J-O-N-E-S 3:21 Johal 1:21 3:12,12 4:11 4:12,12,19 5:8,21 **Jones** 1:22 3:20,21,21 4:1 5:13,21,22 14:10 16:11,14,17 17:4,12 21:8,9,13 **JR** 1:18

**involving** 10:6,22

**issues** 14:5 19:7

**issue** 4:9

Κ K-A-N 3:6 knew 11:10

lady 13:16,17 larger 21:1 laryngitis 2:22 learn 17:9 learned 12:20 13:4 20:6 **lesson** 20:5 **letter** 18:7 21:14,15 letting 22:14 License 1:8 2:5 licensee 1:21 3:10 16:19 17:7

Licensee's 1:20

limited 13:1

**little** 8:16

**LLC** 1:6 located 6:4 long 13:1 look 15:21 19:6 looked 16:18 looking 15:22 **looks** 14:19 lost 2:16

М M 3:13 M-3:5 M-A-K-A-N 2:10 Makan 1:20 2:9 3:5 management 6:14,16 manager 3:14 Mary 3:13 matter 1:5 2:7 23:9 mean 11:15,18 15:8 19:7 MEETING 1:3 **Member** 1:17.18.18 2:18 17:1 18:3 23:3 Members 16:22 18:6 22:7 mention 21:8 met 1:13 microphone 3:2,3 13:2 middle 8:7 **MIKE** 1:18 minor 19:18 20:20 22:13.20 minors 22:20 misfortune 15:22 **motion** 23:1.5 **MPD** 3:17 6:3,7 7:17 8:8 8:10 9:2,8,11,13 11:4 11:8 14:14,16,16,17 14:20 17:16,17 21:10 21:19 22:3

Ν N-A-T-H-A-N 3:17 **N.W** 1:14 6:5 name 3:12,21 **Nathan** 3:16 6:8 nature 4:8 necessary 15:6,10 16:2 16:3.9 need 5:11 15:15 18:8 20:7 22:3,18 needed 7:7,8 **needs** 21:4 negatively 5:4 **never** 21:13 **NICK** 1:17 **night** 18:13,14 19:12 20:22 22:11,20

normal 11:21 noted 9:12 notified 17:16 **noting** 14:9 **NW** 1:7

0 **objects** 13:13 occurred 4:4 10:1 occurring 18:14 offenses 9:4 office 9:1 21:14 officer 3:15,16,16,19 5:14 6:8,8 8:17,17 9:2 9:18 10:2 11:7,21 14:20 17:6 22:2 officers 6:8 8:10 12:4 once 5:18 10:6 16:6 opposed 23:8 opposite 8:19 order 4:14,21 outside 7:15 8:15 10:6 13:21 14:19 15:18 17:18 owner 7:6.14 **owners** 6:19

Р P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2:1 **p.m** 2:2 23:15 part 12:9 17:7 parties 2:6 pass 16:13,15 **passes** 23:9 patrons 8:5,6 PD-251 9:6 people 14:3 person 13:21 14:6 19:21,22 20:4,17 21:2 **persons** 19:19 **phone** 6:2 10:15 13:22 picked 12:3 pictures 7:2 13:8,9 15:17 **place** 6:3,10,22 8:5 11:8

17:15,20 21:20 22:19

plan 12:15 14:15 15:13

point 6:14,18 7:1,13,20

20:20

plan-wise 17:22

8:8,21 13:17 police 4:7 5:16 9:20

18:8 22:2

policies 22:19

portion 7:19

**policy** 18:15 19:16

**please** 2:7,8

positive 5:4 response 12:19 16:19 **speak** 3:2,3 13:2 18:22 valid 18:19 victim 10:14.20 potential 22:12 result 22:9 **special** 18:14 19:4,5,5,5 **PRESENT** 1:16,19 Retailer 1:7 19:6 **victims** 10:20 review 7:22 8:1 preserve 11:12 spilled 14:3 video 7:8,18,21 8:14,21 preserved 12:1 reviewing 8:3 **spoke** 6:7,19 13:8 14:19 15:6,7,22 presiding 1:15 revisit 22:18 **spoken** 6:13,15,16 16:5,7,12,18 21:2 revisited 7:12 pretty 9:16 15:4 spot 21:22 22:5 videos 15:21 16:13 previous 6:6 robbery 6:3,10,21 staff 8:11 17:16 videotaping 10:11 prior 9:14 12:7,9 **stairs** 8:10 view 8:20 15:12,14 10:17 probably 13:5 Room 1:14 **start** 5:12 violation 22:12 procedural-wise 18:1 Rosebar 1:6 2:5,7 3:15 violations 22:10 **stated** 7:15 4:4 6:4 11:2 voice 2:16 13:1 23:11 procedure 22:16 stating 14:11 proceeding 4:22 stemming 11:1 **volunteer** 5:1,3,5 S proceedings 5:12 **step** 13:10,10 processing 22:15 street 1:14 10:18 W S-H-I-R-A-F 3:6 **stuff** 5:1 produce 19:3 **S-H-I-R-A-F-K-A-N** 2:10 **W** 1:15,17 produced 9:6 sale 19:18 20:14 **subjects** 10:13,14 waiting 18:12 promotions 19:5 **Suite** 1:14 walking 13:16 saw 16:6,19 17:2 22:1 prove 18:12 19:17 Sunday 6:1 wanted 4:20 5:6 15:16 saying 2:12 13:5 14:7 20:14 surveillance 8:3 says 14:15 20:20 22:3 21:15 proven 21:2 suspect 10:20 **wants** 13:9 provided 7:21 scene 9:13 11:13.17 Washington 1:14 Т **pull** 13:1 12:1,3,8,11 13:20 wasn't 2:19 21:22 22:4 **pulled** 7:8 13:20 14:15 **T** 3:14 water 14:2,3 punched 10:12,14 second 23:2.3 t/a 1:6 went 8:22 put 17:19 21:22 22:4 seconded 23:5 taken 5:4 6:3,10,22 weren't 13:22 putting 12:12 seconds 14:9,12,14,17 13:10 whatnot 13:20 **security** 8:6 12:15 tell 5:13 20:6 woman 7:14 Q worked 17:17 14:12,15 17:22 20:19 tells 21:18 22:2 question 19:15,16 seen 15:5 16:5 21:1 thank 5:22 13:3 16:17 working 10:16 22:11 sees 15:11 18:3 22:7 23:10,12 worth 14:8 questions 15:2 16:21 sent 9:3 18:7 **Thanks** 13:4 wrong 11:6 15:14 18:4,6,9 separate 10:18 17:13 thought 9:20 18:9 Χ quickly 8:7 14:12 **September** 4:5 6:1 21:20 seven 14:9.12 three 8:9.9 9:5 11:1 R **Shirafkan** 1:20 2:9,10 Thursday 1:11 ran 10:15 2:11,14,16,21 3:4,5,8 today 4:11 23:10 year-old 19:22 read 21:20 3:9 4:2 12:14,16,20 **Todd** 6:7 7:2 8:1 9:3 vears-old 19:20,21 13:3 15:9,21 16:3,8 **Tom** 3:14 reasons 21:21 yesterday 16:1 17:3 received 6:2 21:14,15 17:5 18:18,22 19:1,11 **total** 9:6 younger 20:15 20:2,11 21:6 22:6 totality 7:22 **record** 2:4,8 Ζ recorder 13:1 23:11,12 tried 8:7 troubling 16:1,6 Reeves 1:14 showed 14:1 **showing** 13:9,10 trying 5:10 10:17 11:17 regarding 6:14 7:8 9:4 **shown** 15:15 18:6 21:22 22:4 17:22,22 **077883** 1:8 2:5 **shows** 14:8 regards 13:6 19:4 **turned** 8:19 1 rely 15:9 **sides** 10:8 **two** 8:5,9 10:6,7,10,20 Remember 12:22 18:21 **Silverstein** 1:18 16:22 13:12 17:13 **1215** 1:6,7 6:4 removing 8:11 17:1 18:3 23:3,4 type 4:22 **13** 1:12 report 7:7 9:1 10:1 12:7 Simple 1:9 14th 1:14 U 19:14 20:10 **simply** 17:9 18-251-00180 1:8 2:5 reports 9:6 sir 4:12,19 5:8,22 21:9 unaware 6:20 12:4 **request** 9:7,10 situation 8:8 underage 22:13 requested 4:7 7:1,18 understanding 19:2,19 slipped 20:8 **2:19** 2:2 **snap-** 13:8 **unknown** 10:13 21:19 **2:43** 23:15 requesting 21:10 somebody 10:10 upstairs 11:9 14:17 **20** 19:20,21,22 somewhat 11:15 respond 5:18 12:18 2000 1:14 **sorry** 18:13 19:1 responding 12:4 20009 1:14

		4
	1	1
<b>2018</b> 1:12 4:5 6:1		
<b>21</b> 18:15,18 19:2,9 20:15,18 22:17		
20:15.18 22:17		
<b>2B</b> 1:7		
20 1.7		
3		
<b>31</b> 14:14,17		
•		
4		
<b>4-0-0</b> 23:9		
<b>4:00</b> 6:2		
<b>400S</b> 1:14		
4000 1.14		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
<b>9</b> 4:5 6:1		

# <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Rosebar

Before: DCABRA

Date: 12-13-18

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &