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P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The next case in our calendar is Case Number 19-PRO-00010. It's a Pratt Group to be determined. License Number 112258.

Will the parties please approach and identify themselves for the record, please?
(No audible response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Case Number 19-PRO-00010. The Pratt Group to be determined, okay.

Will the parties please identify themselves for the record, please?

MS. YOHANNES: Sidon Yohannes on behalf of the Applicant.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, Ms. Yohannes.

MS. YOHANNES: Good morning.
MR. TURNER: James Turner, Chairman of ANC 1B.

Drummer?
MR. TURNER: Turner.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Turner. Good morning, Mr. Tuner.

MS. HAROUCH: Good morning. Janet Harouch on behalf of Meridian Hill Neighborhood Association and the abutting owners for Union Row.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, Ms. Harouch.

MR. MONTESINOS: Good morning, Board Members. Roberto Montesinos on behalf of the group of 231.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, Mr. Montesinos.

Are there any -- are there any preliminary matters in this case?

MS. YOHANNES: No preliminary matters for the Applicant.

MR. MONTESINOS: Nothing on our end.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. And this matter is since scheduled for a protest
hearing on April 10th at 1:30 p.m., so if these three cases -- if these protest hearings are not settled on April 10th, we're going to be here till -- we're going to have -- should we tell everybody to pack lunch if we have three protest hearings, two at 1:30 and one at 4:30 on April the 10th, but anyway.

MR. TURNER: Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
PARTICIPANT: We're working on it.
MR. TURNER: Mr. Chairman, I --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir. MR. TURNER: I believe that we'll -the ANC will be approving a settlement agreement on April 4th, you know, we don't want a protest hearing either.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And because there are abutting property owners, so -- the reason I'm saying that because even if the agency signs an agreement, if the abutting property owner, if the abutting property owners, if they don't sign on their agreement, then we still move
forward, so -- because they have their own -- so as long as everyone agrees, then we move forward, but that's fine.

MS. HAROUCH: And what about the matter of the conditional standing for the abutting owners?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Which owners? Well, it said that 3 out of the 14 abutting property owners were not granted -- hold on. All right, hold on. All right. All right.

Who was here -- who was at the roll call hearing?

MS. HAROUCH: Mr. Peabody, Mr. Kimura, and Mr. Babcock.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And you are?
MS. HAROUCH: I'm Janet Harouch. I'm their designated representative. Mr. Kimura is actually present here today.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who else is here as an abutting property owner?

MS. HAROUCH: Mr. Kimura is here today.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
MS. HAROUCH: Those three were present at roll call.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry?
MS. HAROUCH: The three you mentioned were present at roll call.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So, what other abutting property owner is here today?

MS. HAROUCH: There are no other abutting property owners.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So, then the only -- yes, ma'am.

MS. YOHANNES: May I? I believe the conditional standing was based on proof being made that they were actually abutting.

MS. HAROUCH: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So -- all right. So, why don't we have Mr. Kimura come forward? And, so, where does Mr. Kimura reside?

MR. TURNER: He's in Unit 206 at Union Row.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You see, I don't understand, because $I$ don't know building, so how can -- maybe you can tell me, Ms. Yohannes. How can he prove that he is an abutting -- is he an abutting property owner? MS. YOHANNES: I can't tell you that because I objected. I'd like for them to tell you why they believe that they're an abutting property owner.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So, why don't you then -- I don't know the building, so where is this -- so, where is it -- where's the location? And, so, how is it that Mr. Kimora -- I'm sorry, Mr. Kimora, how is it that he's an abutting property owner?

MS. YOHANNES: Can I be provided with a copy of --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay, all right.

MS. YOHANNES: I think she has a copy.

Thank you.
MS. HAROUCH: I have additional copies here.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay, you can provide us -- if you have additional copies, yes.

All right. So, where is the property on this map that was provided?

MS. HAROUCH: Mr. Kimura is in --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, where is the property? Where's the property?

MS. HAROUCH: The property is the same building as --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, I'm sorry. Where is the physical location -- on this map, where's the physical location of the establishment?

MS. HAROUCH: Oh. I have --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: In order for me to determine, $I$ have to know where the --

MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Chair, for the record, can they give the address first, the address of the building?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, I think it's more -- I think -- well, they can, but I think it's more so the apartments, whether or not -- so, what's the address of the property?

MS. HAROUCH: The property address is 2125 14th Street.

MEMBER SHORT: Say again.
MS. HAROUCH: 2125 14th Street.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So -- and the property of -- and the subject application is 2121 14th Street?

MS. HAROUCH: Right.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MS. HAROUCH: The retail businesses have different numbering than the residential -CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.

MS. HAROUCH: -- properties, but it's all the same building.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So, where is the building -- where's the building on the map?

MS. HAROUCH: This is the east wing of
the building --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
MS. HAROUCH: -- where the restaurant
will be located directly below the second floor. You see where the highlighted areas. I have a digital copy that highlights it better, but unfortunately, I didn't have a colored printer, so $I$ just outlined the overlay of the restaurant. And all of these units are directly abutting the restaurant.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I have not seen it, but I have no idea where the -- maybe Ms. Yohannes, maybe once they're done with the presentation, because I don't know what I'm looking at, so it's hard for me to -- it's hard for me to -- because I don't know where the restaurant -- where the physical location of the establishment is for me to look at this map to see whether or not --

MS. HAROUCH: May I point it out to you?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure.

MEMBER SHORT: Can you place where 14th Street is on that map? Where's 14th Street?
(No audible response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MS. HAROUCH: So, the area that's kind of got a little, the little alcoves, that's the glass facade of the building. And the area that I highlighted is the overlay of the restaurant, which will be directly below that area.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. MS. HAROUCH: And then all the abutting owners that filed protests are indicated on the drawing with their names and unit numbers. The area that was highlighted, both walls and floors abut the restaurant. And to the right of the highlighted area, the floors abut the restaurant in that it's a poured concrete floor, so it is shared.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, what unit number is -- there were -- what unit numbers were granted standing at the roll call? What numbers were granted standing at the roll call?

MS. HAROUCH: They were all given conditional standing. I would just mention also that Mr. Kimura was given standing --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, what I -at least this is what I was told. I was told there were 14 property owners, abutting property owners who had protested, and it -- at least the notes told me only 3 were given standing and that the 11 others, which is Unit 205, 206, 207, 210, 209, 208, 211, 214, and 213 that these folks had to appear to prove that they were abutting, so that's why I'm asking. At the status hearing if standing was granted, what units was standing granted to?

MS. HAROUCH: It was my understanding that the conditional standing was based on proof of abutment for those present at the roll call. And for those not present, it would be at the discretion of the Board if they would accept the designation letters that were provided as they had done last time in the Mulebone case.

For instance, Mr. Carey was granted
standing. He's the --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on one minute, please.

All right. Okay. All right. If standing was granted to Yuka Kimura -- who's Yuka Kimura?

MS. HAROUCH: It's Mr. Kimura's spouse.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And she was at the roll call?

MS. HAROUCH: No, she was not. Just Mr. Kimura. They were both granted standing in the Mulebone case though.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry?
MS. HAROUCH: There may be some confusion in that. They were both granted standing in the Mulebone case for the same premise.

MS. YOHANNES: But not in this matter? MS. HAROUCH: Yes, not in this matter.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So, who is that we're supposed to -- all right. Let
me start again, because $I$ did not realize it was as complicated as it appears to be.

Who is here again today? Let's start at the beginning. Who's here today?

MS. HAROUCH: Mr. Kimura.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right. You are again? I'm sorry.

MS. HAROUCH: I'm Janet Harouch.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And you're -are you an abutting property owner also?

MS. HAROUCH: Well, no, but I do live on the second floor.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, what's your role here today?

MS. HAROUCH: I'm the designated representative for the abutting owners.

MS. YOHANNES: At the roll call hearing, at least, three of the abutting property owners were present, and that was -- sorry if I'm mispronouncing --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That was Ms. --
MS. YOHANNES: Yuko Kimura, Justin

Peabody, and Christina Babcock.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So, there was someone from Unit 206, Unit 212, and Unit 214.

MS. YOHANNES: Correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Those are the three folks who were at the abutting -- I'm sorry. Those were the three folks who were at the roll call.

MS. HAROUCH: Actually, the people who were present were Chris Babcock, Justin Peabody, and Kenji Kimura.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right, yes. I have that information, so that's three. And were those three people granted standing at the roll call, Ms. Yohannes?

MS. YOHANNES: They were granted conditional standing on the basis that they needed to provide additional proof that they were abutting, but they were present, but the other -like, I believe, 11 that were remaining still needed -- I mean, somebody still needed to be
present.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Okay. All right. So, the Board needs to decide today whether or not 212,214 -- so 206, 214, and 212 --

MS. YOHANNES: And just to be clear, so there's only been 3 of the 11 abutting property owners that have been present for status or roll call.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But I think it's now four, because -- were you, sir, Mr. Kimura, were you at the roll call?

MR. KIMURA: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's your
first name?
MR. KIMURA: Kenji, K-E-N-J-I.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So, they said Yuka was there. So, was -- so, Yuka was not there?

MR. KIMURA: No. I was there.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. All
right. So -- all right. So, there are two
abutting property owners from 206, but the wrong person was designated, so it was 206, Mr. Kenji Kimura was at the roll call from 206, Justin Peabody from 212 was at the roll call, and Christina Babcock from 214 were at the roll call. That's -- at least that's the information that I have.

And they were given conditional standing, but then they had to prove to the Board today that they were actually abutting property owner for the Board to grant standing to -- and the only three people who could qualify for standing today are -- well, is Mr. Kimura.

Is Ms. Babcock here, Babcock today?
Is she here?
MS. HAROUCH: Chris Babcock --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, Christina. It says Christina.

MS. HAROUCH: I think they confused the names. Christina Jaramillo is Chris Babcock's partner, and she was not present.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And she's not
present today?
MS. HAROUCH: No.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So --

MS. HAROUCH: It was Chris Babcock that was present at the roll call.

MS. YOHANNES: So, we don't have two additional members, right?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And Chris -right, so we don't have -- so the only -- is there anyone here from, who lives in Unit 212? Is there anyone who lives in Unit 212 here today?

MS. HAROUCH: No. We were told that he didn't need to be here today since he was present at roll call.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, he wasn't granted standing.

MS. HAROUCH: Ms. Fletcher sent us a letter clarifying that he being present at the roll call was sufficient.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But how is he able to -- the problem I'm going to have today is that if the person was not granted -- the person
was only granted conditional standing at the roll call. And the instructions $I$ have today is that you have to prove to me that you have, that you're an abutting property owner. How can you be told that you can't be here, because how can a person who's not here prove to me that they are an abutting property owner?

MS. HAROUCH: You can see their unit on the drawing is abutting.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's your position, Ms. Yohannes?

MS. YOHANNES: I think the group should be dismissed. There still is not two -there's only three people who were present. There's no additional people who were present today. This in itself is not --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But it's not a group. It's not a group, so it's an abutting individual, so --

MS. YOHANNES: Abutting. We have any abutting property owners, so --

MS. HAROUCH: But the requirement five
does not apply to the abutting --
MS. YOHANNES: So, there isn't -there also is not clarification in terms of proof. I can't tell what this map is. I can't tell where the property is, what walls are being shared or what. This is not clear, and I think that they should be dismissed on that basis, because it was, you know, there was an objection made during roll call. Nothing was provided before today. This is all that's been provided, and on that basis, they should be dismissed.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. The only property owner that are in play today are 206, 212, 214. There's no other property owner from any other property here today. Is that correct?

MS. HAROUCH: Correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And for 214, your representation is that you were told that 214, because they were at the roll call, they don't have to be here today. That's your -- that was your representation.

MS. HAROUCH: For the three present at roll call, correct.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right. Was that -- Ms. Yohannes, do you know whether or not that information was provided at the roll call?

MS. YOHANNES: I don't recall that being conveyed. I recall that they weren't granted conditional standing --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm saying a different thing.

MS. YOHANNES: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you know whether or not Ms. Fletcher had expressed to anyone to say, "If you were at the roll call," -I'm sorry, "If you were at the roll call, you don't have to be at the status hearing today?"

MS. YOHANNES: I don't recall.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MS. HAROUCH: I have an email from Ms. Fletcher if you'd like to see it?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. Provide that to the agency, please.

MS. HAROUCH: It's a digital.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You'll show Ms.

MS. HAROUCH: Oh, okay. All right, let me find it.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: If you have it on your phone, you can show it to Ms. Randall.

MS. HAROUCH: It might take me a little long to --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Well, we'll try to also get the transcript too, so --

MS. HAROUCH: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: How is that Ms.
-- I know that, Ms. Yohannes, you requested -you had asked that they verify that their properties abut. What is that you're expect -what is that you're requiring for the abutting property owner?

MS. YOHANNES: Just whether it's -- I mean, I see here that there's some sort of layout that's been provided. If a layout that's more
clear than this could be provided to show that they are abutting by the wall, the ceiling, the floor. I can't -- if you can tell --

MS. HAROUCH: I have a digital copy of the architectural drawing that you can enhance, but it's just difficult because we don't have a printer that can print a large enough copy. That's why I did the overlay.

MS. YOHANNES: In the drawing that $I$ have, there's a highlighted portion. And I believe we've already stated 206, 212, and 214 are the condos that need to -- they're the only ones that are in play right now.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: They're the only three.

MS. YOHANNES: Right.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: If we're going to grant standing, standing will only be granted to 206, 212, and 214. And the only reasons why I would grant standing, the Board would consider a grant standing to 214, although, 214 is not -well, is 212 here?
(No audible response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, there's no one. Only 206 is here.

MS. HAROUCH: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But the only way -- the only reasons why the Board would even consider granting standing to 212 -- well, you are their designated representative, so that's fine.

MS. HAROUCH: And may I offer prior evidence in the Mulebone case? These same residents for the same premises and the same units were granted standing.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry. You said what?

MS. HAROUCH: In the prior Mulebone case for the same premises, as well as the same unit owners, these people, same people were granted standing as abutting owners. May I offer this to you as well?

PARTICIPANT: I can get it.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, we'll get
it.
MS. HAROUCH: Standing in the prior case was granted to Mr. and Mrs. Kimura, as well as Justin Peabody, and I believe Douglas Carey, who is a State Department employee, and designated me as their representative. He's currently out of the country.

MS. YOHANNES: And just for the record, I understand the Board can look at it further, but what's been provided does not indicate which units that these individuals we're looking at.

MS. HAROUCH: It's in the record.
MS. YOHANNES: Well, not in the -- not with what you provided.

MS. HAROUCH: Yes, correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Is there -- when was this decision in the Mulebone -

- when was this granted? This is what?

MS. HAROUCH: 2016.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: In 2016.
Where's Mr. -- and is Mr. Kimura here?

Can you go on the stand, please, sir? You can have a seat there, sir. Can you raise your right hand, please?

MR. KIMURA: What is it?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you raise your right hand, please?

WHEREUPON,

## KENJI KIMURA

was called for examination by the Chairperson, having been first duly sworn, assumed the witness stand, was examined and testified as follows: CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What is your name, sir?

THE WITNESS: Kenji Kimura.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. And
where do you reside?
THE WITNESS: Unit 206.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's your
address?
THE WITNESS: 2125 14th Street, NW, Apartment 206.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You have to --
pull the microphone to you, sir, because $I$ can't hear you. Is there a green light there? Is there a green light?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, so speak into the microphone, please.

THE WITNESS: Okay. 2125 14th Street, NW, Apartment 206, Washington, D.C.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And how long have you been living in this unit, sir?

THE WITNESS: From December 2015. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, you have lived -- you have continuously lived in this unit from December of 2015?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Do you have any questions you want to ask him, Ms. Yohannes?

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. YOHANNES:
Q If you're abutting, you claim -- are you abutting --

A Yes.
Q -- the property? How are you abutting?

A My master bedroom and den is above the restaurant unit.

Q Do the walls touch?
A Directly above the restaurant unit. Of course, there's a floor between the first unit and -- first floor and the second floor. And our unit is second floor, and the restaurant, it's the first floor, then that's abutting.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Yohannes, and if this Board granted standing to Mr. Kimura in 2016, and he just stated on the record that he has -- I'm sorry.

Are you the -- are you the owner of -do you own this unit, sir?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
And he has stated under oath that he was the owner of the unit in the Board Order 2016-670. He has continuously lived in that unit
from Board Order 2016-670. I'm not going to disturb the decision of this Board that granted him standing, so him, I'll also -- I would ask the Board to grant Mr. Kimura in Unit 206 standing.

You can step down, sir. So, I'm just trying to be consistent. You can step down, sir.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm just trying to be consistent, so I'll ask the Board -- so what I'm going to do is as far as Mr. Kimura is concerned, $I$ make a motion that we grant Mr. Kumara in Unit 206 standing. And the reasons why I'm asking the Board to grant him standing is because in Board Order 2016-670, the Board granted him standing as an abutting property owner .

He has stated on the record that he has continuously lived in this unit. He is the owner of the unit. He lived in there in 2016. He currently lives in it. And I see no reason
why this consideration of the Board would not grant this same individual standing since he was previously granted standing.

Is there a second? MEMBER SHORT: Second. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short has seconded the motion. All those in favor say aye. (Chorus of aye.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Those opposed?
(No audible response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay, the matter passed, 6-0-0, so therefore, we're granting Mr. Kimura in Unit 206 standing, all right.

Now --
MS. HAROUCH: Mr. Anderson, I found the email from Ms. Fletcher. May I read it? CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You can -- if you can show our -- we can have an email, okay. I just want to make sure that the Board agent did indeed provide that information.

MS. HAROUCH: Mr. Peabody would have been here today had it not been for Ms. Fletcher's email.

MS. YOHANNES: Can the Board, for the record, at least state the date of that email?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry?
MS. YOHANNES: Can we state the date of the email?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: This email was sent from Ms. Fletcher on February 28, 2019, and the email to -- from Ms. Fletcher stated that, "As the representative of the abutting property owners and/or group of 231, I am contacting you with information regarding standing of the protestants in the upcoming status hearing. On February 19, 2019, there was a roll call hearing in which 14 protestants claiming to be abutting property owners were granted conditional standing. Ms. Sidon Yohannes, Esq., the Applicant's attorney, was opposed to granting full standing to the protestants until they provide evidence that their properties abut the
establishment's property. Ms. Park indicated..." -- I'm pronouncing the name incorrectly, I apologize -- "...indicated that this evidence is available and will be presented at the status hearing. After review of this evidence, the Board may determine whether or not the protestants are abutting property owners," blah, blah, blah.

Okay. "Abutting property owners must appear in person at either the roll call hearing or the status hearing. In this case, 3 of the 14 abutting property owners appeared in person at the roll call hearing, which is Yuka Kimura, Justin Peabody, and Christina Babcock. This means that the 11 abutting owners must appear in person or may be dismissed."

All right, the implication by this
email is that although I would have preferred since the person was supposed to come to the hearing and prove that they were abutting property owners, but the implication of the email that was sent by the Board agent is that they
didn't have to appear.
MS. HAROUCH: Correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That doesn't make sense, but I'm not going to -- I'm not going to prejudice the person because they're not here, but it's kind of difficult for that person, for the unit, that someone in Unit 212 and 214 to prove that they are abutting property owners if they're not here. Now --

MS. HAROUCH: Mr. Peabody was also an abutting owner in --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So -- all right. All right. Then, what $I$ will also do, the recommendations I'm going to make to the Board is that $I$ then will make a recommendation to the Board that Mr. Justin James Peabody be granted standing.

And the reason -- the basis for the Board to grant standing to Mr. Justin James Peabody, it's because in Board Order 2016-670, Mr. Peabody was granted standing, was granted standing as an abutting property owner by this

Board, and so, therefore, he did appear at the roll call hearing, at the roll call hearing for this matter. It's the same property space, so therefore, I'm going to make a recommendation to the Board that the Board provide standing to Mr. Justin James Peabody in Unit 212. Is there a second? MEMBER SHORT: Second. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short has seconded the motion.

All those in favor say aye. (Chorus of aye.) CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

Those opposed?
(No audible response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The matter passed 6-0-0. All right.

Was Ms. Babcock, was she at the roll -- was she involved in the 2016-670 case?

MS. HAROUCH: No. That unit did not file a protest. However, Mr. and Mrs. Carey did file a protest, and they were accepted as
abutting owners in the prior case.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who?
MS. HAROUCH: Douglas and Yuko Carey.
Their names are highlighted on the 2016 document. Mr. Carey is a State Department employee. And the Board previously accepted his letter designation. He is, because of work responsibilities, unable to come back. He's outside the United States presently on formal government business. The Board --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, but we're saying -- no, we're saying something different. We're talking about Unit -- we're talking about Unit 214.

MS. HAROUCH: Oh, 214. No, he did not file a protest previously.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Where's Unit 212 and Unit 214? Where's Unit 212 in relation to Unit 214 ?

MS. HAROUCH: They're right next to each other.

MS. YOHANNES: Both Unit 212 and 214
are on the outside of the highlighted area.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, you said what?

MS. YOHANNES: I'm saying 212 and 214 are both outside of the highlighted, the area that was highlighted for you.

MS. HAROUCH: They do have a shared floor.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on. Now, the highlighted area is the restaurant. Is that correct?

MS. HAROUCH: Excuse me?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The highlighted area that you gave to us, that's the restaurant, right? Is that correct?

MS. HAROUCH: That's the overlay of the restaurant, correct.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But --
MS. HAROUCH: It's a shared floor throughout --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But how can you prove that 214 -- based on the highlighted area
that you gave me, I don't see how 214 -- I'm sorry. I don't see how -- I don't see how 214 is an abutted property area, is an abutting property. Yes, that's not anywhere within the highlighted area.

And I'm going to distinguish -- I'm going to distinguish 212. And the only reason I'm distinguishing 212, it's because it's the same building, and this Board gave status to abutting property owner status to 212, and so I can't -- I wouldn't have any reason -- this Board has to be consistent. It's the same property, so there's really no reason for me to state that the Board was incorrect or correct in 2016 when status was given to 212 , so $I$ just want to put that on the record, so -- but --

MS. YOHANNES: And may $I$, on the record, $I$ don't have the Board Order in front of me. I just have what was provided by Ms. -- was that individual in Unit 212 during, like for this Order when standing was provided or standing was granted in --

MS. HAROUCH: Yes.
MS. YOHANNES: -- 216 or 2016?
MS. HAROUCH: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, 212 was Peabody, yes. Yes, 212 was Peabody, so that's one of the reasons why I'm going with the previous Board Order.

MS. YOHANNES: And Peabody was in Unit 212 at that time?

MS. HAROUCH: 212, correct.
MS. YOHANNES: And he's not here to testify as to that, but $I$ don't have the rest of the Order, so if the Board can state for the record that he was in 212 at the time?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I will grant -- the decision was to grant standing to Mr. Peabody of Unit 212. If we do go to a protest hearing and if Mr. Peabody was not in -if Mr. Peabody has moved apartments between 2016 and current, then you can make a motion and -you can make a motion, and the Board will consider dismissing him if you prove that he was
in a different unit if that's not the same unit the Board had granted standing to him. I know that Mr. Kimura stated that he remained in the same unit between -- since 2016 , so I'm fine with that.

You have to prove to me, ma'am, how standing can be granted to 214, because you gave me -- you provided the Board an overview of the outlay of the restaurant, and I can't -- based on what's provided to me, I don't see how 214 could be an abutting property owner, so you need to explain to -- you need to explain to the Board, ma'am.

MS. HAROUCH: Only in that the, it's a poured concrete floor, and so in effect, that entire wing is abutting.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But that's not what you -- you provided us -- you have provided the Board with some overlay of the restaurant and nowhere was in that overlay that you provided us is Unit 214.

MS. HAROUCH: Yes, that's fine. You
can dismiss 214.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So, we're going to dismiss 214, all right. So, with all of that, we're only granting -- the Board is only granting standing to Unit 206 and 212, and so 206 is -- well, 206 is -- right. So, we'll grant standing to the owners of 206 and Unit 212, all right.

All right. All right. Are there any preliminary matters?

MS. YOHANNES: No other preliminary matters for us.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So, this matter then is scheduled for -- so what this means is that if the parties are working on a settlement agreement -- hold on one second.

So, the only - I'm sorry. Who do you represent, sir? Yes, sir. Yes.

MR. TURNER: ANC 1B.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: ANC, all right.
So -- and you, sir, in the yellow shirt, who again?

MR. MONTESINOS: The group of 231.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And, so, were you granted standing?

MR. MONTESINOS: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. All right. So, for settlement purposes -- yes, sir?

MR. TURNER: Could you clarify who has standing --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's what I'm --

MR. TURNER: All right. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's what I'm
-- that's where I'm -- that's why I made sure I get some clarification, all right.

So, as far as I'm concerned, standing, the ANC has standing. Of the group -- I'm sorry -- of the abutting property owners, it's 206 and 212, and there was no issue with the group since standing was given -- was granted. Let me -hold on one minute.

All right, so the group of 231 was granted standing, all right, was granted
standing, so --
MR. MONTESINOS: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- one, two, three, four folks were granted -- are now granted standing.

All right, for the group of 231, if there is a settlement agreement and if the ANC signs off in a settlement agreement and if you are now party to the ANC settlement agreement, you fall automatically, and there is nothing that the Board can do about it.

The Board cannot force the ANC to bring you on, to make you a party to a settlement agreement if they don't, if they don't have to. That's between you and the agency. I just want to make that clear.

For the two abutting owners even if there's a settlement agreement with ANC, if they do not agree with that, if both owners do not individually agree with the settlement agreement with the ANC, they can still move forward to -if one person agrees and the other person
doesn't, the one person who doesn't can -because they have their own standing, they can still move forward to a hearing here, but I just want to let the group know that if there -- and that's not the Board's decision. That's by operational law that if the ANC signs on the settlement agreement, and if you do not agree, if you're not a party to it, then you automatically fall and there's nothing that this Board can do to force you to be a party to the agreement.

Is that clear?
MR. MONTESINOS: Yes. I mean, to just clarify, so we could not move forward with a role in the protest hearing?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right, if the ANC signs a settlement agreement. If there's no settlement agreement, you can move forward, but I'm just saying, if the ANC signs a settlement agreement, then your group falls automatically.

MR. MONTESINOS: Understood.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The abutting property owner still remain, because they have
their own standing.
Yes, ma'am?
MS. HAROUCH: The Meridian Hill
Neighborhood Association was also granted standing.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, you're the designated representative for -- you're the designated representative for the Meridian Hill Civic Association, Neighborhood Association, and also for the abutting property owner, all right.

MS. HAROUCH: Correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. I'm looking at -- yes, Ms. Yohannes?

MS. YOHANNES: Nothing, no. Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. So, yes.
All right, yes. The Meridian Hill Civic -- the Meridian Hill Neighborhood Association also has standing. Now, what that also means then is that because they have their own standing, but if they're not a part of the settlement agreement, they can move forward with the hearing.

Even if the ANC has a settlement
agreement, if the abutting property owners or the Meridian Hill Neighborhood Association, if they do not agree to the settlement agreement, then they will still move forward with a hearing, so I just want to let the parties know that if you're serious about a settlement agreement, these are all the parties who -- for us not to have a protest hearing, these are the parties that would have to sign off on a settlement agreement or the ANC, the two abutting property owners and the Meridian Hill Neighborhood Association.

Is that clear to everyone?
MS. HAROUCH: Yes.
MS. YOHANNES: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
This matter then is scheduled for a protest hearing on April 10th at 1:30, so as you know that we already have April 10th at 1:30, 4:30, these are going to be some interesting days if these matters are not settled.

Do I need to -- all parties are now aware of the protest process and the proceeding.

If you have any questions, please reach out to the agency. You can reach out to Ms. April Randall here at the agency at -- and her phone number is 202-442-4353, April Randall. If you have any problems, any questions about the process, please reach out to her, and she'll provide you whatever guidance you need.

So, with that said, the matter -- the parties here are dismissed. All right. Thank you.

MR. MONTESINOS: Thank you, sir.
MS. YOHANNES: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
went off the record at 10:38 a.m.)

application 1:9 10:10 apply 21:1 approach 3:7
approving 5:14
April 5:1,3,6,15 46:17 46:18 47:2,4
architectural 24:5
area 12:5,7,9,14,16
$37: 1,5,10,14,2238: 3$ 38:5
areas 11:5
asked 23:16
asking 13:12 30:15
Association 2:14 4:7
45:4,9,9,17 46:2,11
assumed 27:10
attorney 32:20
audible 3:9 12:3 25:1 31:11 35:15
automatically 43:10 44:8,19
available 33:4
aware 46:22
aye 31:7,8 35:11,12

## B

Babcock 6:14 16:1,11 18:5,14,14,16 19:4 33:14 35:18
Babcock's 18:21
back 36:8
based 7:14 13:16 37:22 40:9
basis 16:18 21:7,11 34:18
bedroom 29:4
beginning 15:4
behalf $3: 16$ 4:6,12
believe 5:13 7:13 8:10
16:21 24:11 26:4
better 11:6
Beverage 1:2,13,14
blah 33:7,8,8
Board 1:2,13 4:11
13:19 17:3 18:9,11
24:20 25:6 26:9 29:13
29:21 30:1,2,4,11,15
30:16,16 31:1,21 32:4
33:6,22 34:15,16,19
34:20 35:1,5,5 36:6
36:10 38:9,11,14,18
39:7,13,21 40:2,8,12
40:19 41:4 43:11,12
44:9
Board's 44:5
BOBBY 1:18
bring 43:13
building 1:14 8:4,13

9:12,22 10:18,20,20
11:1 12:7 38:9
business 36:10
businesses 10:14
$\frac{\text { C }}{\text { calendar 3:4 }}$ call 6:12 7:3,6 12:21,22
call 6:12 7:3,6 12:21,22
13:17 14:10 15:17
16:9,16 17:9,12 18:3
18:4,5 19:5,14,19
20:2 21:9,20 22:2,5
22:14,15 32:16 33:10
33:13 35:2,2
called 27:9
Carey 13:22 26:4 35:21 36:3,5
case 3:3,4,10 4:17
13:21 14:13,17 25:11
25:17 26:3 33:11
35:19 36:1
cases 5:2
CATO 1:18
ceiling 24:2
Chair 9:20
Chairman 2:12 3:20 5:8 5:11
Chorus 31:8 35:12
Chris 16:11 18:16,20 19:4,8
Christina 16:1 18:5,18 18:18,20 33:14
Civic 45:9,16
claim 28:21
claiming 32:17
clarification 21:3 42:14
clarify 42:7 44:13
clarifying 19:18
clear 17:6 21:6 24:1
43:16 44:11 46:12
colored 11:7
COLUMBIA 1:1
come 7:19 33:19 36:8
complicated 15:2
concerned 30:13 42:15
concrete 12:17 40:15
conditional 6:5 7:14
13:2,16 16:18 18:8
20:1 22:8 32:18
condos 24:12
confused 18:19
confusion 14:16
consider 24:20 25:7 39:22
consideration 31:1
consistent 30:7,11 38:12
contacting 32:13
continuously 28:13 29:22 30:20
Control 1:2,13,14
conveyed 22:7
copies 9:2,5
copy 8:19,22 11:6 24:4 24:7
correct 16:5 21:16,17
22:2 26:16 34:2 37:11
37:15,17 38:14 39:10
45:11
Counsel 2:11
country 26:7
course 29:8
CT 1:8
current 39:20
currently 26:7 30:22

| D |
| :---: |
| D. 1:15 28:8 |

date $32: 5,7$
days 46:19
December 28:11,14
decide 17:3
decision 26:18 30:2 39:16 44:5
den 29:4
Department 26:5 36:5
designated 6:17 15:15
18:2 25:8 26:6 45:7,8
designation 13:20 36:7
determine 9:19 33:6
determined 3:5,11
different 10:15 22:10
36:12 40:1
difficult 24:6 34:6
digital 11:6 23:1 24:4
DIRECT 28:19
directly 11:4,9 12:9 29:7
discretion 13:19
dismiss 41:1,3
dismissed 20:13 21:7 21:11 33:16 47:9
dismissing 39:22
distinguish 38:6,7
distinguishing 38:8
DISTRICT 1:1
disturb 30:2
document 36:4
Donovan 1:15,17
Douglas 26:4 36:3
drawing 12:13 20:9 24:5,9
Drummer 4:1
duly 27:10
E

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.
east 10:22
effect 40:15
either 5:16 33:10
email 22:19 31:18,20
32:3,5,8,9,11 33:18 33:21
employee 26:5 36:5
enhance 24:5
entire 40:16
Esq 32:19
establishment 9:16 11:18
establishment's 33:1
everybody 5:5
evidence 25:11 32:22 33:3,5
examination 27:9 28:19
examined 27:11
Excuse 37:12
excused 30:9
expect 23:17
explain 40:12,12
expressed 22:13
F
facade 12:7
fall 43:10 44:9
falls 44:19
far 30:12 42:15
favor 31:7 35:11
February 32:10,16
file 35:21,22 36:16
filed 12:12
find 23:5
fine 6:3 25:9 40:4,22
first 9:21 17:15 27:10 29:8,9,11
five 20:22
Fletcher 19:17 22:13,20 31:18 32:10,11
Fletcher's 32:3
floor 11:4 12:17 15:12 24:3 29:8,9,9,10,11 37:8,19 40:15
floors 12:15,16
folks 13:10 16:7,8 43:4
follows 27:11
force 43:12 44:10
formal 36:9
forward 6:1,2 7:19
43:21 44:3,13,17
45:21 46:4
found 31:17
four 17:11 43:4
front 38:18
full 32:21
further 26:10

$\mathbf{H}$
hand 27:3,6
hard 11:15,15
Harouch 2:13 4:5,6,10 6:4,13,16,16,21 7:2,5 7:9,16 9:2,8,11,17 10:5,8,12,14,17,22 11:3,20 12:5,11 13:1 13:15 14:7,11,15,20 15:5,8,8,11,15 16:10 18:16,19 19:2,4,12,17 20:8,22 21:17 22:1,19 23:1,4,8,13 24:4 25:4 25:10,16 26:2,13,16 26:20 31:17 32:1 34:2 34:10 35:20 36:3,15 36:20 37:7,12,16,19 39:1,3,10 40:14,22 45:3,11 46:13
hear 28:2
hearing 1:7,14 5:1,16 6:12 13:12 15:18 22:16 32:15,16 33:5 33:10,11,13,20 35:2,2 39:18 44:3,14 45:21 46:4,8,17
hearings 5:2,6
highlighted 11:5 12:8 12:14,16 24:10 36:4 37:1,5,6,10,13,22 38:5
highlights 11:6
Hill 2:13 4:6 45:3,8,16 45:17 46:2,11
hold 6:9,10 14:2 37:9 41:16 42:20
$\frac{1}{\text { idea } 11 \cdot 12}$
idea 11:12
identify $3: 8,13$
implication 33:17,21
incorrect 38:14
incorrectly 33:2
indicate 26:11
indicated 12:12 33:1,3
individual 20:19 31:2 38:20
individually 43:20
individuals 26:11
information 16:14 18:6 22:5 31:22 32:14
instance 13:22
instructions 20:2
interesting 46:19
involved 35:19
issue 42:18

## J

James 1:19 2:12 3:20 34:16,19 35:6
Janet 2:13 4:5 6:16 15:8
Jaramillo 18:20
JR 1:18
Justin 15:22 16:11 18:3 26:4 33:14 34:16,19 35:6

## K

K-E-N-J-I 17:16
Kenji 2:17 16:12 17:16 18:2 27:8,14
Kimora 8:15,16
Kimura 2:17 6:13,17,21
7:18,19,22 9:8 13:3
14:5,6,12 15:5,22
16:12 17:12,13,16,20
18:3,13 26:3,22 27:4
27:8,14 29:13 30:4,12
31:14 33:13 40:3
Kimura's 14:7
Kumara 30:14
large 24:7
law 44:6
layout 23:21,22
Let's 15:3
letter 19:18 36:6
letters 13:20
License 1:7,10 3:5
light 28:2,3
little 12:6,6 23:9
live 15:11
lived 28:13,13 29:22 30:20,21
lives 19:10,11 30:22
living 28:10
LLC 1:6
located 11:4
Iocation 8:15 9:14,15 11:17
long 6:2 23:9 28:9
look 11:18 26:9
looking 11:15 26:12 45:13
lunch 5:5


March 1:12
master 29:4
matter 1:5 4:22 6:5 14:19,20 31:13 35:3 35:16 41:14 46:16 47:8,14
matters 4:17,18 41:10 41:12 46:20
mean 7:22 16:22 23:21 44:12
means 33:15 41:15 45:18
MEETING 1:3
Member 1:18,18,19,19 1:20 9:20 10:7 12:1 31:5 35:8
members 4:12 19:7
mention 13:2
mentioned 7:5
Meridian 2:13 4:6 45:3 45:8,16,17 46:2,11
met 1:14
microphone 28:1,6
MIKE 1:19
minute 14:3 42:20
mispronouncing 15:20
Montesinos 2:16 4:11
4:12,15,20 42:1,4
43:2 44:12,20 47:11
morning $3: 17,19$ 4:4,5 4:9,11,14
motion 30:13 31:7 35:10 39:20,21
move 5:22 6:2 43:21


46:10
$\mathbf{P}$

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 3:1
p.m 5:1
pack 5:5
Park 33:1
part 45:20
PARTICIPANT 5:10 25:21
parties 3:7,13 41:15 46:5,7,8,21 47:9
partner 18:21
party 43:9,13 44:8,10
passed 31:13 35:17
Peabody 6:13 16:1,11 18:4 26:4 32:1 33:14 34:10,16,20,21 35:6 39:5,5,8,17,18,19
people 16:10,15 18:12 20:14,15 25:18,18
person 18:2 19:22,22 20:6 33:10,12,16,19 34:5,6 43:22,22 44:1
phone 23:7 47:3
physical 9:14,15 11:17
place 12:1
play 21:13 24:13
please 3:7,8,13,14 14:3 22:22 27:1,3,6 28:6 47:1,6
point 11:20
portion 24:10
position 20:11
poured 12:17 40:15
Pratt 1:6 3:5,11
preferred 33:18
prejudice 34:5
preliminary 4:17,18 41:10,11
premise 14:18
premises 25:12,17
present 1:16 2:9 6:18 7:2,6 13:17,18 15:19 16:11,20 17:1,8 18:21 19:1,5,14,18 20:14,15 22:1
presentation 11:14
presented 33:4
presently 36:9
presiding 1:15
previous 39:7
previously 31:3 36:6,16
print 24:7
printer 11:7 24:7
prior 25:10,16 26:2 36:1
PRO-00010 3:11
problem 19:21
problems 47:5
proceeding 46:22
process 46:22 47:6 pronouncing 33:2
proof 7:14 13:16 16:19 21:4
properties 10:17 23:17 32:22
property 5:18,20,21 6:9 6:20 7:8,10 8:7,11,17 9:6,10,10,11 10:4,5 10:10 13:6,6 15:10,18 17:8 18:1,10 20:4,7 20:21 21:5,13,14,15 23:19 29:2 30:17 32:12,18 33:1,7,9,12 33:21 34:8,22 35:3 38:3,4,10,12 40:11 42:17 44:22 45:10 46:1,10
protest 1:7 4:22 5:2,5 5:15 35:21,22 36:16 39:18 44:14 46:8,16 46:22
protestants 32:15,17 32:21 33:7
protested 13:7
protests 12:12
prove 8:6 13:11 18:9 20:3,6 33:20 34:8 37:22 39:22 40:6
provide 9:5 16:19 22:21 31:22 32:22 35:5 47:7
provided 8:18 9:7 13:20 21:9,10 22:5 23:22 24:1 26:10,15 38:19 38:21 40:8,10,18,18 40:20
pull 28:1
purposes 42:6
put 38:15

| qualify 18:12 <br> questions $28: 17$ <br> $\mathbf{~ 4 7 : 1 , 5 ~}$ <br> raise 27:2,5 <br> Randall 23:7 47:3,4 <br> reach 47:1,2,6 <br> read 31:18 <br> realize 15:1 <br> reason 5:19 30:22 <br> $34: 1838: 7,11,13$ <br> reasons 24:19 25:6 <br> $30: 1439: 6$ <br> recall $22: 6,7,17$ |
| :--- |

recommendation 34:15 35:4
recommendations 34:14
record 3:8,14 9:21 26:9 26:13 29:14 30:19 32:5 38:16,18 39:14 47:15
Reeves 1:14
regarding 32:14
relation 36:18
REMA 1:20
remain 44:22
remained 40:3
remaining 16:21
represent 41:18
representation 21:19 21:22
representative $2: 13,16$ 6:17 15:16 25:8 26:6 32:12 45:7,8
requested 23:15
requirement 20:22
requiring 23:18
reside 7:20,21 27:16
residential 10:15
residents 25:12
response 3:9 12:3 25:1 31:11 35:15
responsibilities 36:8 rest 39:12
restaurant 11:3,8,10,17 12:8,15,17 29:5,7,10 37:10,14,17 40:9,19
retail 10:14
Retailer 1:8
review 33:5
Roberto 2:16 4:12
role 15:14 44:13
roll 6:11 7:3,6 12:21,22 13:17 14:10 15:17 16:9,15 17:9,12 18:3 18:4,5 19:5,14,19 20:1 21:9,20 22:2,5 22:14,15 32:16 33:10 33:13 35:2,2,18
Room 1:14
Row 2:14 4:8 8:2

## S

saying 5:19 22:9 36:12 36:12 37:4 44:18
says 18:18
scheduled 4:22 41:14 46:16
seat $27: 2$
second 11:4 15:12 29:9 29:10 31:4,5 35:7,8

41:16
seconded 31:7 35:10
seen 11:11
sense 34:4
sent 19:17 32:10 33:22
serious 46:6
settled 5:3 46:20
settlement 5:14 41:16 42:6 43:7,8,9,13,18 43:20 44:7,16,17,18 45:20,22 46:3,6,9
shared 12:18 21:6 37:7 37:19
she'll 47:6
shirt 41:21
Short 1:19 9:20 10:7 12:1 31:5,6 35:8,9
show 23:2,7 24:1 31:20
Sidon 2:11 3:15 32:19
sign 5:22 46:9
signs 5:20 43:8 44:6,16 44:18
SILVERSTEIN 1:19
sir 5:9,12 17:11 27:1,2 27:13 28:1,10 29:17 29:18 30:6,7 41:18,18 41:21 42:4,6 43:2 47:11
somebody 16:22
sorry 7:4 8:16 9:13
14:14 15:7,19 16:8 18:17 22:15 25:14 29:15 32:6 37:2 38:2
41:17 42:16
sort 23:21
space 35:3
speak 28:5
spouse 14:8
stand 27:1,11
standing 6:5 7:14 12:21
12:22 13:2,3,8,13,13
13:16 14:1,5,12,17
16:15,18 18:9,11,13
19:16 20:1 22:8 24:18
24:18,20,21 25:7,13
25:19 26:2 29:13 30:3
30:5,14,15,17 31:2,3
31:14 32:14,19,21
34:17,19,21,22 35:5
38:21,21 39:16 40:2,7
41:5,7 42:3,8,15,16
42:19,22 43:1,5 44:2
45:1,5,18,19
start 15:1,3
state 26:5 32:5,7 36:5 38:13 39:13
stated 24:11 29:14,20 30:19 32:11 40:3

States 36:9
status 1:7 13:12 17:8 22:16 32:15 33:4,11 38:9,10,15
step 30:6,7
Street 1:7,14 10:6,8,11
12:2,2 27:20 28:7
subject 10:10
sufficient 19:19
Suite 1:15
supposed 14:22 33:19
sworn 27:10
T
t/a 1:6
talking 36:13,13
TBD 1:6
tell 5:4 8:5,8,9 21:4,5 24:3
terms 21:3
testified 27:11
testify 39:12
Thank 9:1 30:8 42:11 47:9,11,12
three 5:2,5 7:2,5 15:18 16:7,8,14,15 18:12 20:14 22:1 24:15 43:4
till 5:4
today $6: 18,227: 815: 3$ 15:4,14 17:4 18:10,13 18:14 19:1,11,13,21
20:2,16 21:10,13,15
21:21 22:16 32:2
told 13:5,5,8 19:12 20:5 21:19
touch 29:6
transcript 23:11
try 23:11
trying 30:7,10
Tuner 4:4
Turner 2:12 3:20,20 4:2 4:2,3 5:8,11,13 7:21
8:1 41:19 42:7,11
two 5:6 17:22 19:6 20:13 43:3,17 46:10

## U

unable 36:8
understand 8:4 26:9 understanding 13:15 Understood 44:20 unfortunately 11:7 Union 2:14 4:7 8:1
unit 8:1 12:13,19,20
13:9 16:3,3,4 19:10
19:11 20:8 25:18
27:17 28:10,13 29:5,7
29:8,10,17,21,22 30:4

30:14,20,21 31:14
34:7,7 35:6,20 36:13 36:14,17,18,18,19,22 38:20 39:8,17 40:1,1 40:4,21 41:5,7
United 36:9
units 11:9 13:13 25:13 26:11
upcoming 32:15
$\frac{V}{\text { verify } 23: 16}$
$\frac{\text { W }}{\text { W 1:15,17 }}$
WAHABZADAH 1:20

## wall 24:2

walls 12:14 21:5 29:6
Washington 1:15 28:8
wasn't 19:15
way 25:6
Wednesday 1:11
went 47:15
weren't 22:7
wing 10:22 40:16
witness 27:10,14,17,20 28:4,7,11,15 29:18 30:8,9
work 36:7
working 5:10 41:15
wouldn't 38:11
wrong 18:1


## yellow 41:21

Yohannes 2:11 3:15,15 3:18,19 4:18 7:13 8:6 8:8,18,22 11:13 14:19 15:17,22 16:5,16,17 17:6 19:6 20:11,12,20 21:2 22:4,6,11,17
23:15,20 24:9,16 26:8 26:14 28:18,20 29:12 32:4,7,19 36:22 37:4
38:17 39:2,8,11 41:11
45:13,14 46:14 47:12
Yuka 14:5,5 17:18,18 33:13
Yuko 15:22 36:3
$\frac{Z}{\frac{0}{2}}$

1:30 5:1,6 46:17,18

10:38 47:15
10th 5:1,3,7 46:17,18
11 13:9 16:21 17:7
33:15
112258 1:7 3:6
14 6:8 13:6 32:17 33:11
14th 1:7,14 10:6,8,11
12:2,2 27:20 28:7
19 32:16
19-3:10
19-PRO-00010 3:4
1B 1:8 2:12 3:21 41:19
2

20 1:12
2000 1:14
20009 1:15
2015 28:11,14
2016 26:20,21 29:14
30:21 36:4 38:14 39:2
39:19 40:4
2016-670 29:22 30:1,16 34:20 35:19
2019 1:12 32:10,16
202-442-4353 47:4
205 13:9
206 8:1 13:9 16:3 17:4
18:1,2,3 21:14 24:11
24:19 25:3 27:17,21
28:8 30:4,14 31:14
41:5,6,6,7 42:17
207 13:9
208 13:10
209 13:10
210 13:9
211 13:10
212 16:3 17:4,5 18:4 19:10,11 21:14 24:11 24:19,22 25:7 34:7
35:6 36:18,18,22 37:4
38:7,8,10,15,20 39:4
39:5,9,10,14,17 41:5
41:7 42:18
2121 1:7 10:11
2125 10:6,8 27:20 28:7
213 13:10
214 13:10 16:4 17:4,4 18:5 21:14,18,20 24:11,19,21,21 34:7
36:14,15,18,19,22
37:4,22 38:1,2 40:7 40:10,21 41:1,3
216 39:2
231 2:16 4:13 32:13
42:1,21 43:6
28 32:10
3

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.
"

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Pratt Group

Before: DCABRA

Date: 03-20-19

Place: Washington, DC
was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

> Neae $\operatorname{cors} \rho$ ------------------Court Reporter

