

**THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS BOARD**

In the Matter of:)	
)	
ABT, LLC)	Case No.: 24-PRO-0003
t/a Powerhouse Cultivation Center)	24-PRO-0005
)	License No.: ABRA-126410
Applicant for a New)	ABRA-126737
Medical Cannabis Manufacturer License)	Order No.: 2024-078
)	
Applicant for a New)	
Medical Cannabis Cultivation Center License)	
)	
at premises)	
2355 Bladensburg Road, N.E., Unit B)	
Washington, D.C. 20018)	
)	

BEFORE: Donovan Anderson, Chairperson
James Short, Member
Silas Grant, Jr., Member

ALSO PRESENT: ABT, LLC, t/a Powerhouse Cultivation Center, Applicant

Cameron Mixon and Andrew Kline, Counsels, on behalf of the Applicant

Gilbert Smith, on behalf of the Gateway Community Association, Protestant

Nicholas Malin, on behalf of the Adams Row HOA, Protestant

Cindy Smith, on behalf of a Group of Three or More Residents and Property Owners, Protestant

Althea Carter, on behalf of a Second Group of Three or More Residents and Property Owners, Protestant

Maurice Hedrix, on behalf of a Third Group of Three or More Residents and Property Owners

Ali Fardshisheh, on behalf of an Abutting Property Owner (2800 V Street Limited Partnership), Protestant

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

The Application filed by ABT, LLC, t/a Powerhouse Cultivation Center (Applicant), for a New Medical Cannabis Manufacturer License and New Medical Cannabis Cultivation Center License (hereinafter “Applications”), resulted in several protest letters being filed with the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board (Board). Specifically, the Board received protest letters from (1) Gilbert Smith, on behalf of the Gateway Community Association; (2) Nicholas Malin, on behalf of the Adams Row HOA; (3) Cindy Smith, on behalf of a Group of Three or More Residents and Property Owners; (4) Althea Carter, on behalf of a Second Group of Three or More Residents and Property Owners; (5) Maurice Hedrix, on behalf of a Third Group of Three or More Residents and Property Owners; and (6) Ali Fardshisheh, on behalf of an Abutting Property Owner.

Subsequently, the Applicant filed a motion to dismiss the group of three represented by Cindy Smith. *Mot. to Dismiss*, at 1. In response, the Board *sua sponte* dismissed all protestants in accordance with § 7-1671.06a.

The Protestants filed a motion for reconsideration, which was opposed by the Applicant. The Board denies the motion for the reasons stated by the Board in its initial Order and the reasoning provided by the Applicant in its opposition.

ORDER

Therefore, the Board does hereby, this 28th day of February 2024, **DENY** the motion for reconsideration. Copies of this Order shall be sent to the Parties.

District of Columbia
Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board

eSigned via SeamasDoc.com
Donovan Anderson
Key: ac43cb98c6d45f0e46730069d1dccc8

Donovan Anderson, Chairperson

eSigned via SeamasDoc.com
James Short
Key: 547ae37392c2d9efac8d1b332dd2948ec

James Short, Member



Silas Grant, Jr., Member

Pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 25-433(d)(1), any party adversely affected may file a Motion for Reconsideration of this decision within ten (10) days of service of this Order with the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Administration, 2000 14th Street, N.W., Suite 400S, Washington, DC 20009.

Also, pursuant to section II of the District of Columbia Administrative Procedure Act, Pub. L. 90-614, 82 Stat. 1209, D.C. Official Code §2-510 (2001), and Rule 15 of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, any party adversely affected has the right to appeal this Order by filing a petition for review, within thirty (30) days of the date of service of this Order, with the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, 430 E Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001; (202/879- 1010). However, the timely filing of a Motion for Reconsideration pursuant to 23 DCMR § 1719.1 (2008) stays the time for filing a petition for review in the District of Columbia Court of Appeals until the Board rules on the motion. See D.C. App. Rule 15(b) (2004).