DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

+ + + + + MEETING

IN THE MATTER OF:

:

Mad Hatter CT Ave, LLC, :

t/a Mad Hatter :

1321 Connecticut Ave, NW : Fact Finding

Retailer CT - ANC 2B : Hearing

License No. 82646 : Case #18-251-00233 :

•

(Simple Assault)

Wednesday, February 27, 2019

The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board met in the Alcoholic Beverage Control Hearing Room, Reeves Building, 2000 14th Street, N.W., Suite 400S, Washington, D.C. 20009, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson, presiding.

PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson NICK ALBERTI, Member BOBBY CATO, JR., Member MIKE SILVERSTEIN, Member

ALSO PRESENT:

ANDREW KLINE, Licensee's Attorney
ERIC HEIDENBERGER, Managing Partner of Licensee
INVESTIGATOR EARL JONES, ABRA
OFFICER MALIK GOSHA-JORDEN, MPD

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	10:18 a.m.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We are back on
4	the record. Our next case is Case No., is a
5	Fact-Finding Hearing, 18-251-00233, Mad Hatter,
6	License No. 82646.
7	Will all parties, please, approach and
8	identify themselves for the record, please?
9	MR. KLINE: Good morning. Andrew
10	Kline on behalf of the licensee.
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning,
12	Mr. Kline.
13	MR. HEIDENBERGER: Good morning. Eric
14	Heidenberger, managing partner for Mad Hatter.
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning,
16	Mr. Heidenberger.
17	INVESTIGATOR JONES: Investigator
18	Jones with ABRA.
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning,
20	Mr. Jones.
21	INVESTIGATOR JONES: Good morning.
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

1	This is a Fact-Finding Hearing regarding a
2	matter. Can you identify yourself, sir?
3	OFFICER GOSHA-JORDEN: I'm Officer
4	Jorden from the 2nd District, Metropolitan Police
5	Department.
6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Officer what?
7	OFFICER GOSHA-JORDEN: Officer Jorden.
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Jorden. Good
9	morning, sir.
10	All right. This is a Fact-Finding
11	Hearing. Please know that this is not an
12	adversarial hearing, but rather an opportunity
13	for the licensee to explain the incident to the
14	violation and what, if any, steps have been taken
15	to address the situation.
16	Fact-Finding Hearings will also allow
17	the Board to more fully explore any questions
18	that they might have regarding the incident.
19	So, Mr. Jones, can you bring us up to
20	speed about what incident that occurred at the
21	Mad Hatter?
22	INVESTIGATOR TONES. Ves sir On

Sunday, November 25, 2018, at approximately 12:30 a.m., I received an ABRA Hotline call with reference to MPD advising that there was a simple assault that took place inside of Mad Hatter located at 1321 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.

The specifics of the call were not detailed. However, they did indicate that they did not know if the establishment was ABRA-licensed.

When I arrived, I met with Officer

Jorden and we both entered the establishment and spoke with General Manager Jose Gonzalo. In talking to Mr. Gonzalo, he advised that two male patrons along with a female patron were involved in a verbal altercation that quickly escalated into a physical altercation.

Mr. Gonzalo advised that the female patron initiated the altercation between two males, which ultimately resulted in one of the male patrons receiving a laceration to his hand.

Mr. Gonzalo advised that the altercation was diffused by security members and that the suspect

was escorted out the front entrance with the victim being escorted towards the rear exit.

Mr. Gonzalo stated that once outside, the victim left on his own and did not appear to be injured in any way. Mr. Gonzalo stated a few moments later the victim and his friend returned and advised that he had suffered an injury to his hand.

Mr. Gonzalo stated that the victim was brought back inside the establishment, given aid to his hand and MPD was then notified.

I requested a copy of this footage which verified what Mr. Gonzalo did say or did report to myself. And on November 26, 2018, MPD sent a letter to ABRA requesting a Fact-Finding Hearing with reference to this incident. The letter was referencing issues concerning management's ability to protect the safety and health of Mad Hatter's patrons.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

Based on your investigation, did you determine
whether or not any ABRA violations occurred?

INVESTIGATOR JONES: No. We did see the license just prior to leaving. All the licenses were current and up to date. From what we advised, I told him that I did need to see the surveillance footage before I could determine if there were any violations that were to be found.

After reviewing the footage, after receiving the footage and reviewing it, everything that Mr. Gonzalo said appeared to be on the footage, so I didn't see any issues that I could observe at that time, sir.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And, I mean, based on your investigation, did you believe that they acted, at least in your opinion, appropriately?

INVESTIGATOR JONES: I did. When he-as I went back several times to speak with him to
see if his story would change with reference to
the patron being hurt and it didn't. His story
was consistent. I attempted to contact the
victim, but never received any call back from the
victim. But his story was consistent with him

being injured only after they returned with the 1 2 friend, but once they initially left, he didn't appear to be injured. He didn't say that he was 3 And he wanted to leave on his own 4 5 recognizance. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 6 All right. Officer Gosha-Jorden, am I correct? 7 Thank you. 8 OFFICER GOSHA-JORDEN: Gosha-Jorden. 9 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Gosha-Jorden, what, if anything, can you add, sir? Were you 10 the responding officer? 11 12 OFFICER GOSHA-JORDEN: Yes. 13 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. 14 OFFICER GOSHA-JORDEN: I mean, the only thing I can really add is that the only 15 16 complaint I really heard was that the victim's girlfriend said that she asked them to call and 17 18 they said that they pretty much did. That's what 19 the victim's girlfriend said. 20 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Is this part of your regular beat or you just happened to 21

22

be there when this --

OFFICER GOSHA-JORDEN: Yes, this is part of our regular beat.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So what, if anything, can you tell us about just generally what type of operation does the Mad Hatter run, at least from MPD's perspective? And the reason I'm asking that is because the Chief of Police asked us to have a Fact-Finding Hearing. So if you have any information you can provide?

OFFICER GOSHA-JORDEN: I mean, I have only been in this area since about October, so I mean, since I've been there, we haven't had too many issues with Mad Hatter.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.

OFFICER GOSHA-JORDEN: I mean, I think the main thing is with the establishments is they usually let people leave before we get there and then that's the problem that we run into. It's like they don't identify people, so, you know, usually the suspect is the one that leaves, because, you know, they know that we are coming. So that's really all. I mean, that's kind of

across the board.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Explain it again one more time for me, please. You are saying that an incident occurred, that -- so you are saying when an incident occurs, that they normally allow the folks to leave, so that impedes whatever investigation you can have. Is that what you are saying?

OFFICER GOSHA-JORDEN: Yes, right.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

Thank you. Anything you want to add?

OFFICER GOSHA-JORDEN: No.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr.

Kline?

MR. KLINE: Yes. Mr. Chairman, based on an incident that occurred on 9th Street several years ago, I don't think any of our clients are going to be preventing anyone from leaving any establishment, if that's the suggestion. I mean, in terms restraining an individual who has been involved in a crime, we certainly would not recommend that our clients do that.

There was a death in the licensed establishment a number of years ago, it turned out the establishment was not at fault, but that would be something that we would not recommend, even though there is the right to make a citizen's arrest. So in terms of that issue, I would put that aside.

It may be that the victim and the girlfriend were not communicating. The one thing I want to add is there is an incident report that was done internally. They have -- they own a number of places around town, obviously, from the manager's logs. And if I may, I would like to pass this up.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure

MR. KLINE: Which is just a short description. According to the information in the manager's log, the victim did not want them to call MPD. He was afraid of having to pay for an ambulance. He didn't want them to call. They ultimately exercised their own judgment and said well, we don't care. We are going to call

anyway. You are injured and you need attention. We are going to do that.

So this notion that they refused to call an ambulance, I mean, the girlfriend says that and we have no idea where that is coming from, based on the investigation that was done by the establishment.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes?

MR. HEIDENBERGER: I just wanted to add something. So I mean, it's possible. You know, drunk people a lot of times don't communicate well. But one thing I do want to add is I do see instances where an establishment say somebody doesn't pay a tab or something like that, they seem to find a way to, you know, keep them around until we get there in those instances.

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Yeah.

MR. KLINE: I don't know if we are talking specifically about this place or not. I mean, if we are talking in general, I'll say -- I mean, I will say that one thing that is troubling

about the Chief's letter is there seems to be a suggestion well, there is a lot of calls to police.

Now, I want to address that. One of the reasons for that is the Department has done a very good job of policing that block and it so happens that Mad Hatter is in the middle of the block and the officers are generally around there, so it's quite possible that that's the address that is given when they are called.

But there is this quandary and we have all heard it for years, that establishments say well, you know, if we call the police, we are going to get in trouble. And this Board has done a very good job of assuring that is certainly not the case.

But the Chief's letter seems to send
a different message and it's not, obviously,
anything that this Board can do anything about,
but we intend to raise that through other
channels, because we don't think that the Chief
of Police should be sending the message to

discourage establishments from calling the 1 2 police. And that's what this letter seems to do. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, let me 3 4 I guess the question that you -ask you. 5 I think the point is there MR. KLINE: was an incident. There was reaction by the 6 7 establishment. They handled it appropriately. 8 They separated the parties that were involved. 9 They did what is good practice, which was to send one group out the front door and hold the other 10 11 group and send them out the back door. 12 And then when it was determined that 13 there was need for medical assistance, they called for medical assistance. 14 MEMBER ALBERTI: Can I ask a question? 15 16 MR. KLINE: They had the appropriate 17 number of security people on-site. And this 18 isn't just a tale. It was -- the video, was as 19 it is supposed to be, available. It was 20 reviewed. And the video confirmed exactly what 21 the establishment said went on. Go ahead, Mr. 22 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON:

Alberti.

MEMBER ALBERTI: So that's a great lead-in to my question, Mr. Kline. So I haven't seen the video and so I am going to rely on the Investigators. My question is having seen the video, is it apparent that this was an assault or could it have been interpreted as a fight between two parties, even though one of them started it?

So if it was obviously an assault, then I would expect the licensee, not necessarily to hold anyone, but to at least call the police and say we just had an assault.

But if it wasn't obviously an assault, then I'll agree that they probably handled this appropriately. But I haven't seen the video, so I have to ask you all, the Investigators, what your interpretation is.

INVESTIGATOR JONES: Well, from my interpretation, it was a slow moving type of thing and then once it kicked off, it went quickly and then security jumped in quick. There was a female that was instigating this issue

between two other guys. The guys were kind of being very nonchalant about it. One was a little agitated, but she kept agitating him.

And the other guy it appears that it was the kind of thing where he looked to be kind of walking off or he was just kind of -- he was still in the area, but he was kind of not really paying attention and then suddenly she just kind of got in the middle of it, pushed him, then the other guy swung and hit him and then they both hit the floor.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay.

INVESTIGATOR JONES: And then security jumped in.

MEMBER ALBERTI: So it wasn't obviously an assault. So I would have to agree from what I'm hearing is that the licensee, it appears to me anyway, handled it appropriately. And I just wanted to make sure I understood. Thank you.

MR. KLINE: Yeah, I mean, we understand that. And obviously, it's a fine

1	line.
2	MEMBER ALBERTI: Right it is.
3	MR. KLINE: I don't think we want to
4	say I mean, an assault is any unpermitted
5	touching. I mean, that's the definition of an
6	assault legally. I don't think we want
7	establishments calling MPD every time there is an
8	assault under the legal definition.
9	MEMBER ALBERTI: You are absolutely
LO	right. But we have establishments who witness
L1	assaults, but don't call, so I had to ask the
L2	question.
L3	MR. KLINE: No, no
L 4	MEMBER ALBERTI: I'm not saying they
L5	should have.
L6	MR. KLINE: Yes.
L7	MEMBER ALBERTI: But I'm advocating
L8	for the call at the time saying we just need to
L9	figure that out.
20	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So I'm reading
21	the report that you gave Mr. Kline and it says

that "Police report was filed, so we will get a

follow-up very soon." What does that statement 1 2 That's from your incident report. mean? Right. Well, we 3 MR. KLINE: 4 understand that to mean the police came and they 5 took a report, so that there would be follow-up 6 based on the report. I mean, these owners have 7 several establishments. They know when a police 8 report is generated concerning one of their 9 establishments, all ABC-licensed establishments that the report will then be forwarded to the 10 11 Board for follow-up. 12 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But I guess the 13 question I'm asking because how did your 14 establishment, how did the establishment know 15 that a police report was filed, if they didn't 16 file one? The police came. 17 MR. KLINE: They 18 took a report. The officer, Officer Jorden was 19 there. 20 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, the reason 21 -- I guess the reason -- if one was to read this,

it would appear that the establishment was the

one who called the police, when they said the police report was filed, because part of the issue is that the -- at least what I'm hearing -- what I'm getting from the report is that the establishment wanted to call an ambulance. The person didn't want to do the ambulance. And so I'm taking -- I'm extrapolating that the establishment didn't call the police or didn't call an ambulance because the individual did not want that to occur.

So when I'm reading your report and it says that the police report was filed, that kind of gives -- because it's coming from the establishment, it gives the impression that the establishment called the police. That's all I'm saying.

Because if I'm just reading the statement, it gives the implication that the establishment is the one who called the police, that's all.

MR. KLINE: The establishment did call the police.

1	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry?
2	MR. KLINE: The establishment did call
3	the police.
4	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, but I
5	thought that the report said at least the
6	report said from the victims that the
7	establishment didn't call the police.
8	MR. KLINE: No, the girlfriend said
9	the establishment didn't call the police, but
10	based on the interview that was done at the time,
11	management said yeah, the police was called.
12	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. All
13	right. Yes, Mr. Silverstein?
14	MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: There is a lot of
15	things going on here. I think, Mr. Kline, your
16	comments about 9th Street ring true to me. I
17	believe that was the DC-9 Case that you were
18	MR. KLINE: Yes, sir.
19	MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: holding a
20	person or laying hands on a person against their
21	will, in a case like this it's not the kind of
22	thing that one would want to do lightly. And if

you are in that situation, you err on the side of not touching, not restraining, not holding a person.

As far as the number of calls for service, this establishment is in the 1300 Block of Connecticut Avenue, right smack in the middle of club central. There are police literally on your door all the time, whether you make a phone call or simply look outside and say hey, we need some help, it's one of those situations where it's not always necessary to dial 911.

That can be superfluous and a waste of time. And also with all that is going on, calls for service may and often do involve what is going on outside. You have to have an address and that is the address that you are using.

Is there anything that you guys feel that anyone fell short on? Anything that can be learned or do we believe simply that this was an unfortunate incident and it was handled properly?

MR. KLINE: We think it was handled properly. I mean, we think that, as I said

1	before, the establishment had the requisite
2	number of security people. They reacted as soon
3	as they realized that the situation had
4	escalated, separated the people, sent them out
5	through separate doors as is appropriate.
6	And when it was learned that someone
7	needed medical attention, called for medical
8	attention.
9	MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Mr. Jones,
LO	Officer, anything you feel that they
L1	INVESTIGATOR JONES: I mean, knowing
L2	MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Knowing that, you
L3	know, a lot of this is just a judgment call at
L 4	the time.
L5	OFFICER GOSHA-JORDEN: Yes, I mean, I
L6	think they handled it, you know, as best as they
L7	could.
L8	MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Thank you, sir.
L9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other
20	questions by any other Board Members?
21	Mr. Jones, any final comments you want
22	to make?

Ī	
1	INVESTIGATOR JONES: No, sir. I think
2	the well, I will say this. I think the only
3	thing that and I know that per our, the way
4	that we handle things is we would like to have
5	some sort of footage, if we can get it within 48
6	hours. But I would kind of and I know that
7	you can't mandate this, but I would at least like
8	to have or become that there be making some sort
9	of footage that could be reviewed at that time or
10	can be saved to kind of save time and to also be
11	able to get things done in a really expeditious
12	type of manner.
13	But other than that, I think that they
14	did I think they handled the situation the
15	best that they could.
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
17	Thank you. Officer, any final comments you want
18	to make?
19	OFFICER GOSHA-JORDEN: No.
20	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr.
21	Kline?
22	MR. KLINE: I don't have anything

1	further at this point. I think we have covered
2	it.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
4	Thank you.
5	I will make a recommendation to the
6	Board that we take no further action on this
7	matter.
8	MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Second.
9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Silverstein
10	has seconded the motion.
11	All those in favor say aye.
12	ALL: Aye.
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So the Board
14	voted 4-0-0 that no further action on this
15	matter.
16	Thank you for being here today.
17	MR. KLINE: Thank you.
18	OFFICER GOSHA-JORDEN: Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.
20	Have a good day.
21	(Whereupon, the Fact-Finding Hearing
22	was concluded at 10:39 a.m.)

arrived 4:10 **EARL** 1:21 5:20 6:12 7:6,9,13,20 **aside** 10:7 8:3,14 9:2,10,13 entered 4:11 a.m 2:2 4:2 23:22 asked 7:17 8:8 10:15 11:8 13:3,22 entrance 5:1 ABC-licensed 17:9 **asking** 8:7 17:13 16:20 17:12,20 19:1,4 **Eric** 1:21 2:13 ability 5:18 assault 1:9 4:4 14:6,9 19:12 21:19 22:16,20 err 20:1 able 22:11 14:12,13 15:16 16:4,6 23:3,9,13,19 **escalated** 4:15 21:4 **ABRA** 1:21 2:18 4:2 16:8 change 6:18 escorted 5:1,2 5:15.22 establishment 4:8,11 assaults 16:11 channels 12:21 **ABRA-** 4:8 Chief 8:7 12:21 5:10 9:19 10:2,3 11:7 assistance 13:13,14 absolutely 16:9 assuring 12:15 Chief's 12:1.17 11:13 13:7,21 17:14 acted 6:14 attempted 6:20 citizen's 10:6 17:14,22 18:5,8,14,15 action 23:6,14 attention 11:1 15:8 21:7 **clients** 9:17,22 18:19,21 19:2,7,9 add 7:10,15 9:11 10:10 21:8 **club** 20:7 20:5 21:1 11:10.12 Attorney 1:20 **COLUMBIA** 1:1 establishments 8:16 address 3:15 12:4,10 available 13:19 coming 8:21 11:5 18:13 12:12 13:1 16:7,10 20:15,16 comments 19:16 21:21 **Ave** 1:6,7 17:7,9,9 adversarial 3:12 **Avenue** 4:5 20:6 22:17 exactly 13:20 advised 4:13,17,21 5:7 communicate 11:12 aye 23:11,12 exercised 10:21 6:4 communicating 10:9 **exit** 5:2 advising 4:3 complaint 7:16 **expect** 14:10 advocating 16:17 **concerning** 5:17 17:8 back 2:3 5:10 6:17,21 expeditious 22:11 **afraid** 10:19 concluded 23:22 **explain** 3:13 9:2 13:11 agitated 15:3 based 5:21 6:13 9:15 confirmed 13:20 explore 3:17 agitating 15:3 11:6 17:6 19:10 Connecticut 1:7 4:5 extrapolating 18:7 ago 9:17 10:2 **beat** 7:21 8:2 20:6 agree 14:14 15:16 behalf 2:10 consistent 6:20.22 ahead 13:22 believe 6:13 19:17 contact 6:20 Fact 1:7 aid 5:10 20:19 **Control** 1:2,13,13 **Fact-Finding** 2:5 3:1,10 **Alberti** 1:18 13:15 14:1 best 21:16 22:15 **copy** 5:12 3:16 5:15 8:8 23:21 14:2 15:12,15 16:2,9 **Beverage** 1:2,13,13 correct 7:7 far 20:4 16:14.17 block 12:6,8 20:5 covered 23:1 fault 10:3 **Alcoholic** 1:2,13,13 **board** 1:2,13 3:17 9:1 **crime** 9:21 favor 23:11 **allow** 3:16 9:6 12:14,19 17:11 21:20 **CT** 1:6,7 February 1:11 **altercation** 4:15,16,18 23:6,13 current 6:3 feel 20:17 21:10 **BOBBY** 1:18 fell 20:18 ambulance 10:20 11:4 D **bring** 3:19 female 4:14,17 14:22 18:5,6,9 brought 5:10 **D.C** 1:14 fight 14:7 **ANC** 1:7 Building 1:14 **date** 6:3 **figure** 16:19 **Anderson** 1:15.17 2:3 day 23:20 file 17:16 2:11,15,19,22 3:6,8 C **DC-9** 19:17 filed 16:22 17:15 18:2 5:20 6:12 7:6,9,13,20 call 4:2,6 6:21 7:17 **death** 10:1 18:12 8:3,14 9:2,10,13 final 21:21 22:17 10:19,20,22 11:4 definition 16:5,8 10:15 11:8 13:3,22 find 11:15 12:13 14:11 16:11,18 **Department** 3:5 12:5 16:20 17:12,20 19:1.4 description 10:17 Finding 1:7 18:5,8,9,21 19:2,7,9 19:12 21:19 22:16,20 detailed 4:7 fine 15:22 20:9 21:13 23:3,9,13,19 **determine** 5:21 6:5 floor 15:11 called 12:10 13:14 18:1 **Andrew** 1:20 2:9 18:15,19 19:11 21:7 determined 13:12 **folks** 9:6 anyway 11:1 15:18 dial 20:11 follow-up 17:1,5,11 calling 13:1 16:7 apparent 14:6 calls 12:2 20:4,13 different 12:18 footage 5:12 6:5,7,8,10 appear 5:4 7:3 17:22 diffused 4:22 care 10:22 22:5,9 appeared 6:9 case 1:8 2:4,4 12:16 discourage 13:1 forwarded 17:10 **appears** 15:4,18 19:17,21 **District** 1:1 3:4 **found** 6:6 approach 2:7 friend 5:6 7:2 **CATO** 1:18 **Donovan** 1:15,17 **appropriate** 13:16 21:5 door 13:10,11 20:8 central 20:7 front 5:1 13:10 appropriately 6:15 13:7 certainly 9:21 12:15 **doors** 21:5 fully 3:17 14:15 15:18 Chairman 9:15 drunk 11:11 further 23:1,6,14 approximately 4:1 Chairperson 1:14,17 area 8:11 15:7 Ε G 2:3,11,15,19,22 3:6,8 arrest 10:6

general 4:12 11:21 generally 8:4 12:8 generated 17:8 aettina 18:4 girlfriend 7:17,19 10:9 11:4 19:8 given 5:10 12:10 gives 18:13,14,18 **Gonzalo** 4:12,13,17,21 5:3,5,9,13 6:9 Gosha-Jorden 1:22 3:3 3:7 7:7,8,8,9,12,14 8:1,10,15 9:9,12 21:15 22:19 23:18 group 13:10,11 guess 13:4 17:12,21 Н

hand 4:20 5:8,11 handle 22:4 handled 13:7 14:14 15:18 20:20.21 21:16 22:14 hands 19:20 happened 7:21 happens 12:7 Hatter 1:6,6 2:5,14 3:21 4:4 8:5,13 12:7 **Hatter's** 5:19 **he--** 6:16 health 5:19 heard 7:16 12:12 hearing 1:7,13 2:5 3:1

3:11,12 5:16 8:8 15:17 18:3 23:21 Hearings 3:16 Heidenberger 1:21 2:13 2:14,16 11:9 help 20:10 **hey** 20:9

hit 15:10,11 hold 13:10 14:11 holding 19:19 20:2 Hotline 4:2

hours 22:6 hurt 6:19 7:4

idea 11:5 identify 2:8 3:2 8:19 impedes 9:7 implication 18:18 impression 18:14 incident 3:13,18,20 5:16 9:4,5,16 10:10 13:6 17:2 20:20 indicate 4:7 individual 9:20 18:9

information 8:9 10:17 initially 7:2 initiated 4:18 injured 5:5 7:1,3 11:1 injury 5:7 inside 4:4 5:10 **instances** 11:13,17 instigating 14:22 intend 12:20 internally 10:11 interpretation 14:17,19 interpreted 14:7 interview 19:10 investigation 5:21 6:13 9:7 11:6 Investigator 1:21 2:17

2:17,21 3:22 6:1,16 14:18 15:13 21:11 22:1

Investigators 14:5,16 **involve** 20:14 involved 4:14 9:21 13:8 issue 10:6 14:22 18:3 issues 5:17 6:10 8:13

J

iob 12:6.15 **Jones** 1:21 2:17,18,20 2:21 3:19,22 6:1,16 14:18 15:13 21:9.11 21:21 22:1 Jorden 3:4,7,8 4:11 17:18

Jose 4:12 **JR** 1:18 judgment 10:21 21:13 jumped 14:21 15:14

Κ

kept 15:3 kicked 14:20 Kline 1:20 2:9,10,12 9:14,15 10:16 11:19

keep 11:15

13:5,16 14:3 15:21 16:3,13,16,21 17:3,17 18:21 19:2,8,15,18 20:21 22:21,22 23:17

Knowing 21:12 knowing-- 21:11

laceration 4:20 laying 19:20 **lead-in** 14:3 learned 20:19 21:6 leave 7:4 8:17 9:6 **leaves** 8:20

leaving 6:2 9:18 **left** 5:4 7:2 **legal** 16:8 legally 16:6 **letter** 5:15,17 12:1,17 13.2 license 1:8 2:6 6:2 licensed 4:9 10:1 licensee 1:21 2:10 3:13 14:10 15:17 Licensee's 1:20 licenses 6:3 **lightly** 19:22 line 16:1 literally 20:7 **little** 15:2 **LLC** 1:6 located 4:5 **log** 10:18 logs 10:13 look 20:9

М

lot 11:11 12:2 19:14

looked 15:5

21:13

Mad 1:6.6 2:5.14 3:21 4:4 5:19 8:5,13 12:7 **main** 8:16 making 22:8 male 4:13.20 **males** 4:19 **MALIK** 1:22 management 19:11 management's 5:18 Manager 4:12 manager's 10:13,18 managing 1:21 2:14 mandate 22:7 manner 22:12 matter 1:5 3:2 23:7,15 mean 6:12 7:14 8:10,12 8:15,22 9:19 11:4,10 11:21,22 15:21 16:4,5 17:2,4,6 20:22 21:11 21:15 medical 13:13,14 21:7

21:7

MEETING 1:3 **Member** 1:18,18,19 11:18 13:15 14:2 15:12,15 16:2,9,14,17 19:14,19 21:9,12,18 23:8 members 4:22 21:20

message 12:18,22 met 1:13 4:10 Metropolitan 3:4

middle 12:7 15:9 20:6 **MIKE** 1:19 moments 5:6 morning 2:9,11,13,15 2:19,21 3:9 **motion** 23:10 **moving** 14:19 **MPD** 1:22 4:3 5:11,14 10:19 16:7 MPD's 8:6

Ν N.W 1:14 4:5 necessarily 14:10 necessary 20:11 need 6:4 11:1 13:13 16:18 20:9 needed 21:7 **never** 6:21 **NICK** 1:18 nonchalant 15:2 normally 9:6 notified 5:11 notion 11:3 **November** 4:1 5:14 number 10:2,12 13:17 20:4 21:2 **NW** 1:7

0 observe 6:11 **obviously** 10:12 12:18 14:9,13 15:16,22 occur 18:10 occurred 3:20 5:22 9:4 9:16 occurs 9:5 October 8:11 officer 1:22 3:3.3.6.7.7 4:10 7:7,8,11,12,14 8:1,10,15 9:9,12 17:18,18 21:10,15 22:17,19 23:18 officers 12:8 on-site 13:17 once 5:3 7:2 14:20 operation 8:5 opinion 6:14 opportunity 3:12

Р P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2:1 part 7:21 8:2 18:2 parties 2:7 13:8 14:8 partner 1:21 2:14

outside 5:3 20:9,15

owners 17:6

pass 10:14 recognizance 7:5 situations 20:10 unfortunate 20:20 recommend 9:22 10:4 **slow** 14:19 patron 4:14,18 6:19 unpermitted 16:4 patrons 4:14,20 5:19 recommendation 23:5 **smack** 20:6 **usually** 8:17,20 record 2:4.8 pay 10:19 11:14 somebody 11:14 paying 15:8 Reeves 1:14 soon 17:1 21:2 verbal 4:15 **people** 8:17,19 11:11 reference 4:3 5:16 6:18 **sorry** 19:1 13:17 21:2,4 referencing 5:17 **sort** 22:5,8 verified 5:13 speak 6:17 person 18:6 19:20,20 refused 11:3 victim 5:2,4,6,9 6:21,22 20:3 regarding 3:1,18 specifically 11:20 10:8,18 perspective 8:6 regular 7:21 8:2 specifics 4:6 victim's 7:16,19 **phone** 20:8 victims 19:6 rely 14:4 **speed** 3:20 report 5:14 10:10 16:21 **spoke** 4:12 physical 4:16 **video** 13:18,20 14:4,6 place 4:4 11:20 16:22 17:2,5,6,8,10 started 14:8 14:15 **places** 10:12 17:15,18 18:2,4,11,12 **stated** 5:3,5,9 violation 3:14 please 2:7,8 3:11 9:3 **statement** 17:1 18:18 violations 5:22 6:6 19:5,6 point 13:5 23:1 requested 5:12 **steps** 3:14 voted 23:14 **police** 3:4 8:7 12:3,13 requesting 5:15 story 6:18,19,22 W Street 1:14 9:16 19:16 12:22 13:2 14:11 requisite 21:1 16:22 17:4,7,15,17 responding 7:11 suddenly 15:8 W 1:15,17 restraining 9:20 20:2 suffered 5:7 18:1,2,8,12,15,19,22 walking 15:6 **suggestion** 9:19 12:2 wanted 7:4 11:9 15:19 19:3,7,9,11 20:7 resulted 4:19 policing 12:6 Retailer 1:7 **Suite** 1:14 18:5 possible 11:10 12:9 returned 5:6 7:1 Sunday 4:1 Washington 1:14 practice 13:9 reviewed 13:20 22:9 superfluous 20:12 wasn't 14:13 15:15 **PRESENT** 1:16,20 reviewing 6:7,8 **supposed** 13:19 waste 20:12 presiding 1:15 rina 19:16 surveillance 6:5 way 5:5 11:15 22:3 pretty 7:18 Room 1:14 suspect 4:22 8:20 Wednesday 1:11 preventing 9:18 run 8:5.18 swung 15:10 went 6:17 13:21 14:20 prior 6:2 witness 16:10 S probably 14:14 Χ problem 8:18 safety 5:18 t/a 1:6 properly 20:20,22 save 22:10 tab 11:14 Υ protect 5:18 saved 22:10 **taken** 3:14 provide 8:9 saying 9:4,5,8 16:14,18 **tale** 13:18 years 9:17 10:2 12:12 **pushed** 15:9 18:16 talking 4:13 11:20,21 Ζ put 10:7 **says** 11:4 16:21 18:12 tell 8:4 **Second** 23:8 terms 9:20 10:6 Q 0 seconded 23:10 **Thank** 7:7 9:11 15:20 quandary 12:11 security 4:22 13:17 21:18 22:17 23:4,16 question 13:4,15 14:3,5 14:21 15:13 21:2 23:17,18,19 16:12 17:13 seen 14:4,5,15 things 19:15 22:4,11 10:18 2:2 questions 3:17 21:20 send 12:17 13:9,11 thought 19:5 **10:39** 23:22 quick 14:21 sending 12:22 times 6:17 11:11 **12:30** 4:1 quickly 4:15 14:21 sent 5:15 21:4 today 23:16 **1300** 20:5 **quite** 12:9 separate 21:5 told 6:4 **1321** 1:7 4:5 touching 16:5 20:2 **separated** 13:8 21:4 14th 1:14 R service 20:5,14 town 10:12 **18-251-00233** 1:8 2:5 raise 12:20 short 10:16 20:18 trouble 12:14 2 reacted 21:2 side 20:1 troubling 11:22 reaction 13:6 **Silverstein** 1:19 11:18 true 19:16 2000 1:14 19:13,14,19 21:9,12 **turned** 10:2 read 17:21 20009 1:14 reading 16:20 18:11,17 21:18 23:8,9 two 4:13,18 14:8 15:1 **2018** 4:1 5:14 realized 21:3 **simple** 1:9 4:3 **type** 8:5 14:19 22:12 **2019** 1:11 rear 5:2 **simply** 20:9,19 **25** 4:1 reason 8:6 17:20,21 sir 3:2,9,22 6:11 7:10 **26** 5:14 reasons 12:5 19:18 21:18 22:1 ultimately 4:19 10:21 27 1:11 situation 3:15 20:1 21:3 understand 15:22 17:4 received 4:2 6:21 **2B** 1:7 22:14 understood 15:19 **receiving** 4:20 6:8 **2nd** 3:4

3		
4 4-0-0 23:14		
400S 1:14		
48 22:5		
5		
6		
7		
8		
82646 1:8 2:6		
9 911 20:11		
9th 9:16 19:16		

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Mad Hatter

Before: DCABRA

Date: 02-27-19

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac Nous &