

**THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS BOARD**

---

In the Matter of:	)	
	)	
Metro Art & Sculp Collab	)	Case No.: 24-ULC-00011
MASC DC Weeds	)	License No.: N/A
	)	Order No.: 2024-534
Cease and Desist	)	
	)	
at premises	)	
1528 U Street, N.W.	)	
Washington, D.C. 20009	)	

---

**BEFORE:** Donovan Anderson, Chairperson  
James Short, Member  
Silas Grant, Jr., Member

**PARTIES:** Metro Art & Sculp Collab  
MASC DC Weeds  
Jonathan Porter  
1528 U Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20009

Elli Souliotis  
John S. Slouliotis  
3210 N Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20007-2829

---

**ORDER TO CEASE AND DESIST**

---

On July 31, 2024, the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board (Board) reviewed compelling evidence that Metro Art & Sculp Collab, MASC DC Weeds, Jonathan Porter, Elli Souliotis and John S. Slouliotis engaged in a violation of Chapter 16B of Title 7 of the D.C. Official Code or permitted such illegal activity to occur. These persons and entities are hereby ordered to cease the illegal purchase, sale, exchange, delivery, or any other form of commercial transaction involving cannabis immediately.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The following statements represent the Board’s findings of fact based on the evidentiary record.

1. The Board incorporates by reference the facts and evidence presented in Case Report No. 24-ULC-00011.

2. On April 25, 2024, Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Administration (ABCA) Supervisory Investigator (SI) Jason Peru with ABCA and other District agencies inspected 1528 U Street, N.W., related to illegal cannabis activities. *Case Report No. 24-ULC-00011*, at 1. The premises were being occupied and run by a business identifying itself as Metro Art & Sculp Collab and MASC DC Weeds. *Id.* The landlords of the premises are Elli Souliotis and John S. Slouliotis. *Id.* at 1. During the inspection, the establishment was found to have engaged in illegal cannabis activity. *Id.* In response, a warning letter was issued advising of violations regarding the illegal sale and distribution of cannabis. *Id.* at *Exhibit No. 1*.

3. On July 23, 2024, SI Peru returned to the premises for a follow up inspection. *Id.* at 2. Inside, he found that the establishment was continuing to sell cannabis products containing tetrahydrocannabinol (THC). *Id.* For example, one photo shows a product labelled as a “SATIVA” (i.e., a strain of cannabis) and “DUTCH DIAMOND” in a green lidded jar. *Id.* at *Exhibit No. 3*. The jar is filled with a dried green leafy substance. *Id.* It also has a price label indicating that it is on “DAILY SPECIAL” and for sale for “\$10.” *Id.* Other products are similarly marked. *Id.* Another photo shows a lollypop called “DUM-DUMS” mimicking a well-known and similarly named brand of candy. *Id.* at *Exhibit No. 5*. The label states that the product contains “MARIJUANA, A SCHEDULE I CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE.” *Id.* The photos further show various cannabis products displayed on the store’s shelves in a manner consistent with retail operations. *Id.* at *Exhibit Nos. 3-5*.

4. There is no indication that the products being displayed for sale conform with Chapter 16B and the associated regulations’ manufacturing, testing, labeling, and packaging requirements.

5. There is no indication in ABCA’s records that 1528 U Street, N.W., has been issued any license, permit, endorsement, or other authorization from the Board that would authorize commercial cannabis operations. ABCA’s records show that there is no pending application for 1528 U Street N.W.; instead, the owner has filed an application to operate a retail medical cannabis operation at 924 5th Street, N.W., and a separate application for a conditional license, which does not authorize continued cannabis activity. *Id.* at 2; D.C. Code § 7-1671.06(k)(3).

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

6. Under § 7-1671.12a(a) of Chapter 16B of Title 7 of the D.C. Official Code,

If the ABC Board, after investigation but before a hearing, has cause to believe that a person is violating a provision of this chapter and the violation has caused or may cause, immediate and irreparable harm to the public, the ABC Board may issue an order requiring the alleged violator to cease and desist immediately from the violation.

D.C. Code § 7-1671.12a(a).

7. Under § 7-1671.08(f),

Beginning January 31, 2024, the ABC Board may issue the following fines to an unlicensed establishment that violates § 7-1671.06(c-1) or § 7-1671.06b(e), or has not filed an accepted and pending application with the ABC Board and knowingly engages or attempts to engage in the purchase, sale, exchange, delivery, or any other form of commercial transaction involving cannabis that is not purchased, sold, exchanged, or delivered in accordance with the provisions of this chapter or § 48-904.01§.

D.C. Code § 7-1671.08(f).

8. Under § 7-1671.08(a),

Any person who manufactures, cultivates, possesses, administers, dispenses, distributes, or uses cannabis, or manufactures, possesses, distributes, or uses paraphernalia, in a manner not authorized by this chapter or the rules issued pursuant to § 7-1671.13 shall be subject to criminal prosecution and sanction under subchapter I of Chapter 11 of Title 48 [§ 48-1101 et seq.].

D.C. Code § 7-1671.08(a).

9. Under § 48-904.1(a)(1),

Except as authorized by this chapter or Chapter 16B of Title 7 [§ 7-1671.01 et seq.], it is unlawful for any person knowingly or intentionally to manufacture, distribute, or possess, with intent to manufacture or distribute, a controlled substance. Notwithstanding any provision of this chapter to the contrary, it shall be lawful, and shall not be an offense under District of Columbia law, for any person 21 years of age or older to:

- (A) Possess, use, purchase, or transport marijuana weighing 2 ounces or less; [or]
- (B) Transfer to another person 21 years of age or older, without remuneration, marijuana weighing one ounce or less . . . .

D.C. Code § 48-904.1(a)(1).

10. An “Unlicensed establishment” is

a sole proprietorship, partnership, or other business entity that:

- (A) Sells, exchanges as part of a commercial transaction, or delivers cannabis and cannabis products;
- (B) Operates at or delivers from a specific location in the District; and

- (C) Is not licensed by ABCA as a cultivation center, retailer, internet retailer, manufacturer, courier, or testing laboratory.

D.C. Code § 7-1671.01(22).

11. Under § 7-1671.12e(a),

Any building, ground, or premises where cannabis is sold, exchanged as part of a commercial transaction, delivered, or permitted to be consumed by an unlicensed establishment shall be a nuisance, except any building, ground, or premises of an applicant that filed an accepted and pending application with the Board during the 90-calendar day open application period.

D.C. Code § 7-1671.12e(a).

12. The Board is persuaded that Metro Art & Sculp Collab, MASC DC Weeds, Jonathan Porter, Elli Souliotis and John S. Slouliotis and its agents operate unlicensed establishment or allowed an unlicensed establishment to operate and engage in the illegal sale and distribution of cannabis. *Supra*, at ¶¶ 2-3. In this case, the amount and manner in which cannabis is displayed for sale to the public shows that the business engages in the commercial distribution of cannabis at 1528 U Street, N.W., without a license issued by ABCA. *Supra*, at ¶ 3. In particular, the business is not in compliance with § 48-904.1(a)(1) where it possesses more than 2 ounces of cannabis and has cannabis products labeled for sale. *Id.* The Board further notes that no application has been filed for the address, which renders the property a nuisance based on the observed cannabis activity pursuant to § 7-1671.12e(a). Therefore, the Board is satisfied that the operations observed by SI Peru on July 23, 2024, constitute a violation of § 7-1671.08(f) and 7-1671.12e(a).

13. The Board further notes that § 7-1671.06b(a) authorizes the Board to issue a cease-and-desist order when an unlicensed establishment that has applied for a license when it “is found to be selling cannabis products that may be especially appealing to children or is using packaging or advertisements that might be especially appealing to children.” D.C. Code § 7-1671.06b(a). In this case, the facts show that the unlicensed establishment is selling a lollipop that mimics dum-dum lollipops, which is a well-known brand of candy. *Supra*, at ¶ 3. In light of this resemblance to a candy, which makes it appealing to children, the Board finds that this cease-and-desist order is further authorized by § 7-1671.06b(a).

14. The Board further notes that the sale and distribution of illegal cannabis immediately threatens the health and safety of the public because unregulated product may contain inappropriate and harmful substances (e.g., pesticides, other narcotics). In addition, unlicensed businesses are at risk of selling cannabis to persons that should not have access to cannabis, such as minors. Finally, such activity constitutes a nuisance under D.C. Official Code § 7-1671.13e. *See also Com. ex rel. Preate v. Danny's New Adam & Eve Bookstore*, 625 A.2d 119, 122 (1993) (It is well-settled that even a lawful business may be enjoined from operation if it is shown that, under the particular circumstance, its operation constitutes a public nuisance); *Camp v. Warrington*, 227 Ga. 674, 674, (1971) (“where it is made to appear with reasonable certainty that

irreparable harm and damage will occur from the operation of an otherwise lawful business amounting to a continuing nuisance, equity will restrain the construction, maintenance or operation of such lawful business.”). Therefore, the immediate cessation of all cannabis activity at the premises is appropriate under § 7-1671.12a(a).

### **ORDER**

Therefore, the Board, on this 31st day of July 2024, hereby **ORDERS** Metro Art & Sculpt Collab, MASC DC Weeds, Jonathan Porter, Elli Souliotis and John S. Slouliotis to immediately **CEASE AND DESIST** the illegal purchase, sale, exchange, delivery, or any other form of commercial transaction involving cannabis.

The ABCA shall deliver a copy of this order to the Parties by hand delivery or certified mail in accordance with D.C. Official Code § 7-1671.12a(a).

District of Columbia  
Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board

eSigned via SeamsDoc.com  
*Donovan Anderson*  
Key: ac430b9b59d5f0e4b730060d1dccc8

---

Donovan Anderson, Chairperson

eSigned via SeamsDoc.com  
*James Short*  
Key: 547ac373f820de0ac8d1b3325d2049ec

---

James Short, Member



---

Silas Grant, Jr., Member

Pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 7-1671.12a(b)(1), “[t]he alleged violator [or violators] may, within 15 days after the service of the order, submit a written request to the ABC Board to hold a hearing on the alleged violation.” D.C. Code § 7-1671.12a(b)(1). Upon receipt of the request, the Board will provide the requestor with a “a hearing in accordance with the procedures set forth in Chapter 5 of Title 2” to challenge the cease and desist and the Board shall “issue a decision within 90 days after the hearing.” D.C. Code § 7-1671.12a(b)(2).

The requestor may also request an expedited hearing if they submit a written request “within 10 days after the service of an order” and “request [that] the ABC Board [hold] an expedited hearing on the alleged violation.” D.C. Code § 7-1671.12a(c)(1). If a timely request for an expedited hearing is made, the “Board shall conduct a hearing within 10 days after the date of receiving the request and shall deliver to the alleged violator at their last known address a written notice of the hearing by any means guaranteed to be received at least 5 days before the hearing date.” D.C. Code § 7-1671.12a(c)(2). After the expedited hearing, the Board shall issue a decision within 30 days. D.C. Code § 7-1671.12a(c)(3).

Please note that the failure to request a hearing shall result in the order being deemed final. Please further note that if you fail to comply with the order of the Board, the Board may file a petition against you with the Superior Court of the District of Columbia and seek compliance by judicial order in addition to other enforcement actions permitted by law. D.C. Code § 7-1671.12a(f)(3).

If you request a hearing, you may appear at the virtual hearing unless other instructions to appear are provided, and you and the establishment, may be represented by legal counsel. You have the right to produce witnesses and evidence on your behalf and to cross-examine witnesses. You may examine evidence produced.

All hearings are conducted in the English language. If you, any corporate officer, or any witnesses to be called are deaf, have a hearing impediment, or cannot readily understand or communicate the spoken English language, an application may be made to the Board for the appointment of a qualified interpreter.

Your failure to appear at the time and place set for the hearing, if requested, either in person or through counsel, or both, will not preclude the Board from proceeding in this matter. Should you have any questions, contact ABRA Adjudication Specialist Danette Walker at 202-442-4418.

Finally, pursuant to section 11 of the District of Columbia Administrative Procedure Act, Pub. L. 90-614, 82 Stat. 1209, D.C. Code § 2-510 (2001), and Rule 15 of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, any party adversely affected has the right to appeal this Order by filing a petition for review, within thirty (30) days of the date of service of this Order, with the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, 430 E Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. However, the timely filing of a Motion for Reconsideration stays the time for filing a petition for review in the District of Columbia Court of Appeals until the Board rules on the motion. *See* D.C. App. Rule 15(b) (2004).