## THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

In the Matter of:							
N Street Dining, Inc. t/a Lazy Kate's Bistro Application for a New Retailer's Class CR License at premises 2300 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037		) Case No.: ) License No: ) Order No: ) ) ) ) ) )	19-PRO-00016 ABRA-112314 2019-188				
				BEFORE:	Donovan Anderson, Chairperson Nick Alberti, Member Mike Silverstein, Member James Short, Member Bobby Cato, Member Rema Wahabzadah, Member		
				ALSO PRESENT:	N Street Dining, Inc., t/a Lazy Kate's Bistro, Applicant Matthew Devendorf, Counsel, on behalf of the Applicant William Kennedy Smith, Chairperson, Advisory Neighborhood Commission (ANC) 2A		
	Julianne E. Dymowski, Esq., on behalf of A Group of Five or More Individuals, Protestants						
	Martha Jenkins, General Counsel Alcoholic Beverage Regulation Administration						

## ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

In Board Order No. 2019-153, the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board dismissed the Group of Five or More Individuals after ANC 2A entered into a settlement agreement with the above mentioned Applicant in accordance with D.C. Official Code § 25-609(b). Without citation to any authority, the Group filed a motion for reconsideration requesting reinstatement on the grounds of lack of notice. *Mot. for Recon.*, at 2. The motion is without merit, as § 25-609(b)

-

does not require advanced notice of ANC 2A's or the Applicant's intent to enter into a settlement agreement. Indeed, notice would serve no purpose, as the Group has no standing to challenge the settlement agreement, as it is not a party to the agreement. In re Rail Station Lounge, LLC, t/a Rail Station Lounge, Case No. 10-PRO-00153, Board Order No. 2011-216, ¶¶ 49-51 (D.C.A.B.C.B. Jun. 15, 2011) ("The validity of a Voluntary Agreement between an Applicant and an ANC may not be challenged by a third party" because a third party lacks the requisite standing). It is also irrelevant under the statute that the group members live outside the boundaries of ANC 2A. Mot. for Recon., at 2-3.

## ORDER

Therefore, the Board, on this 10th day of April 2019, hereby **DENIES** the motion for reconsideration. The ABRA shall deliver a copy of this order to the Parties.

District of Columbia Alcoholic Beverage Control Board

Nome V Donovan Anderson, Chairperson

Nick Alberti, Member

Nick Albert, Weinber

Mike Silverstein, Member

James She Member Bobby Cato, Member

Rema Wahabzadah, Member

Pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 25-433(d)(1), any party adversely affected may file a Motion for Reconsideration of this decision within ten (10) days of service of this Order with the Alcoholic Beverage Regulation Administration, Reeves Center, 2000 14th Street, NW, 400S, Washington, D.C. 20009.

Also, pursuant to section 11 of the District of Columbia Administrative Procedure Act, Pub. L. 90-614, 82 Stat. 1209, D.C. Code § 2-510 (2001), and Rule 15 of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, any party adversely affected has the right to appeal this Order by filing a petition for review, within thirty (30) days of the date of service of this Order, with the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, 430 E Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. However, the timely filing of a Motion for Reconsideration pursuant to 23 DCMR § 1719.1 stays the time for filing a petition for review in the District of Columbia Court of Appeals until the Board rules on the motion. *See* D.C. App. Rule 15(b) (2004).