DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

+ + + + + MEETING

IN THE MATTER OF:

:

Ivy City Tavern, Inc.,
t/a Ivy City Tavern

1356 Okie Street NE : Show Cause

Retailer CT - ANC 5D : Hearing (Status)

License No. 93795 : Case #19-CC-00027 :

:

(Sale to Minor Violation: Failed to Take Steps: Necessary to Ascertain: Legal Drinking Age):

Wednesday
June 5, 2019

The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board met in the Alcoholic Beverage Control Hearing Room, Reeves Building, 2000 14th Street, N.W., Suite 400S, Washington, D.C. 20009, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson, presiding.

PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson BOBBY CATO, JR., Member JAMES SHORT, Member MIKE SILVERSTEIN, Member

ALSO PRESENT:

SIDONNE YOHANNES, APPLICANT COUNSEL FERNANDO RIVERO, DC OAG

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S			
2	9:48 a.m.			
3	CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: The next case on			
4	our calendar is Case Number 19-CC-00027, Ivy City			
5	Tavern, License Number 93795. Will the parties			
6	please approach and identify themselves for the			
7	record?			
8	MR. RIVERO: Good morning, Board			
9	members. Fernando Rivero, for the District of			
10	Columbia.			
11	CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: Good morning, Mr.			
12	Rivero.			
13	MS. YOHANNES: Sidonne Yohannes, on			
14	behalf of the licensee.			
15	CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: Good morning, Ms.			
16	Yohannes. Are there preliminary matters in this			
17	case?			
18	MR. RIVERO: Yes, the parties have an			
19	offer in compromise to present.			
20	CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: And what's the			
21	offer in compromise?			
22	MR. RIVERO: The offer consists of a			

written warning for first time offense under the statute.

CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: A written warning.

Mr. Rivero, this is a case where - this is a

failure to minor, monitoring.

MR. RIVERO: Yes.

investigator went to visit Ivy City Tavern with a 14-year-old student. Fourteen year old, and Ivy City Tavern sold her, after checking her ID, sold her alcohol. Why is it that this Board should accept a warning, which is if they sold alcohol to a 20 year old, a 19 year old, a 17 year old, but a 14 year old that - who goes to an establishment and provides her ID that states that she's 14 years old, and she's still sold. Why should this Board accept a warning for an event that personally, as the Board's chair, I believe that just is egregious.

MR. RIVERO: Thank you. The rationale for the office is as follows:

The age of the person who he sold the

alcoholic beverages is not a factor in the statute. What is more important in the statute is you have to prove intent. The office has looked at the evidence, and has made the conclusion that it would be very difficult to prove the intent that the statute requires for the egregious showing, and that is why the warning is offered to the Board at this time.

CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: But how can you say it's not the intent if one was to look at an ID?

First and foremost, I have a driver's license, and my ID, and my ID is a different shape from someone who's 14, and this person was born sometime in the year 2000. It's 2019, how could someone reasonably look at an ID that says that this person was born in, what, 2000 -

MR. RIVERO: Five.

CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: In 2005?

MR. RIVERO: Yes.

CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: How could a reasonable person look at an ID and it says that the person was born in 2005, and you can look at

this ID and say, oh this person is 21. That shows to me the intent to sell alcohol to a minor. There is no way one could look at an ID that says that someone is born in 2005 and we're now in 2019, and five from 19 is not 21. Does any of the Board members have any comments on this before I -

MR. SHORT: Yes.

CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: Yes, Mr. Short.

MEMBER SHORT: Just to make one observation. I too just am very concerned that someone could read a juvenile's identification card, not a driver's license, an identification card, and still sell to that person alcohol, knowing the statute. And, therefore, I'm leaning toward also - and I understand the Government's reason, and using the statute as it does. But for the record, I'd just like to simply say to me this appears to be egregious, outrageous, and very unreasonable that someone would sell alcohol to a person who has on their ID 2005, and this is - I mean 2000 - yes, they're born in 2005, and

this is now 2014. I just - not saying that the offer in compromise I would offer the Government's theory of it when it comes to that. But at any rate, I just would like to go on record as stating that an establishment that sells to a person who is 14 years old is just being irresponsible. That's all I have Mr. Chair. That you.

CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: Any other comments?
Yes, Mr. Silverstein.

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: I would like to associate myself with the comments just made by my colleague, Mr. Short, and by our Chair. The question is where do you draw the line. If not 14, is ten, six, four. There has to be an understanding of what is egregious, and this Board has decided that 14 is egregious. Whether that is the cut off or not we've not decided, but there comes a point where you simply have to use common sense. And common sense in this case would trump the idea of an absurd solution — of an absurd conclusion that 14 is not egregious.

It is egregious.

CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: All right. Any other comments by other Board members? Any comments you want to make, ma'am.

MS. YOHANNES: I will just note that it was a U.S. passport card, and not just an identification card. The physical features of the ID as noted by the Board are not the same, are not - there's no words, which is the difference. And I also just agree with the Government here in that the statute is clear in regards what's egregious, and age is not a factor.

CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: In my view at this moment, even the fact that the person didn't have an ID, and the person had a U.S. Passport card, that you're looking at U.S. passport card, and you still can't figure out that if someone is born in 2005 that - this is 2019, this person is not 21, so maybe tomorrow, but I'm not prepared to accept this today. My recommendation to the Board is to reject this offer in compromise. Is

there a second?

MEMBER SHORT: Second.

CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: Mr. Short has seconded the motion. All those in favor say aye.

(CHORUS OF AYES.)

Those opposed?

(NO AUDIBLE RESPONSE.)

We are rejecting the offer in compromise. Maybe tomorrow, but not today. This is - and I'll put it out there, if - and as far as the industry is concerned this is the easiest case for the industry. This is the easiest one, because if this agency send someone out, and it's clear that this person is underage, that's easy. She's 14, that's easy. That is easy. This is not Agency sent in a 20 year old, this is a 14 year old, and if we do that who knows what's going on. So I'm not prepared to do that today.

Anyway, this matter is scheduled for a show cause hearing on June 19th at 10:00. Maybe I can convince - can be convinced between now and then, but not today. Okay, thank you.

1	MS. YOHANNES: Thank you.
2	MR. RIVERO: Thank you.
3	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
4	went off the record at 9:56 p.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
LO	
L1	
L 2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	

Columbia 1:1 2:10 Government's 5:16 6:3 Ν **comes** 6:3,19 a.m 2:2 **N.W** 1:14 Н comments 5:6 6:9,12 above-entitled 9:3 **NE** 1:6 7:3.4 hearing 1:7,14 8:20 absurd 6:21,22 Necessary 1:10 common 6:20,20 accept 3:12,17 7:21 **note** 7:5 compromise 2:19,21 age 1:10 3:22 7:12 noted 7:8 6:2 7:22 8:9 **ID** 3:10,15 4:10,12,12 agency 8:13,16 **Number** 2:4.5 **concerned** 5:11 8:11 4:15,21 5:1,3,21 7:8 **agree** 7:10 conclusion 4:5 6:22 7:16 0 alcohol 3:11,12 5:2,14 consists 2:22 idea 6:21 **OAG** 1:22 5:20 **Control** 1:2,13,14 identification 5:12,13 alcoholic 1:2,13,14 4:1 observation 5:11 convince 8:21 7:7 **ANC** 1:7 offense 3:1 convinced 8:21 identify 2:6 **Anderson** 1:15,18 2:3 offer 2:19,21,22 6:2,2 COUNSEL 1:21 important 4:2 2:11,15,20 3:3,7 4:9 7:22 8:8 **CT** 1:7 **industry** 8:11,12 4:18,20 5:9 6:9 7:2,14 offered 4:8 **cut** 6:18 intent 4:3,6,10 5:2 8:3 office 3:21 4:3 investigator 3:8 **Anyway** 8:19 Okie 1:6 D irresponsible 6:7 appears 5:19 **old** 3:9,13,13,13,14,16 **D.C** 1:15 **Ivv** 1:5,6 2:4 3:8,9 **APPLICANT** 1:21 6:6 8:16.17 **DC** 1:22 approach 2:6 opposed 8:6 decided 6:17,18 Ascertain 1:10 outrageous 5:19 difference 7:10 **JAMES** 1:19 associate 6:12 different 4:12 **JR** 1:18 **AUDIBLE** 8:7 difficult 4:5 June 1:12 8:20 ave 8:4 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S **District** 1:1 2:9 iuvenile's 5:12 **AYES** 8:5 2:1 **Donovan** 1:15.18 **p.m** 9:4 draw 6:14 В parties 2:5,18 Drinking 1:10 knowing 5:15 behalf 2:14 passport 7:6,16,17 driver's 4:11 5:13 knows 8:17 believe 3:19 person 3:22 4:13,16,21 **Beverage** 1:2,13,14 4:22 5:1,14,21 6:6 Ε beverages 4:1 7:15,16,19 8:14 easiest 8:11,12 leaning 5:15 **Board** 1:2,13 2:8 3:11 personally 3:18 easy 8:14,15,15 **Legal** 1:10 3:17 4:8 5:6 6:17 7:3 physical 7:7 egregious 3:19 4:7 5:19 license 1:7 2:5 4:11 please 2:6 7:8.22 6:16,17,22 7:1,12 5:13 **Board's** 3:18 **point** 6:19 establishment 3:15 6:5 licensee 2:14 **BOBBY** 1:18 preliminary 2:16 **event** 3:18 **line** 6:14 **born** 4:13,16,22 5:4,22 prepared 7:20 8:18 evidence 4:4 look 4:10,15,21,22 5:3 7:19 present 1:17,20 2:19 looked 4:4 Building 1:14 presiding 1:15 F looking 7:17 **prove** 4:3,6 fact 7:15 provides 3:15 М factor 4:1 7:13 **put** 8:10 calendar 2:4 Failed 1:9 ma'am 7:4 card 5:13,14 7:6,7,16 failure 3:5 matter 1:4 8:19 9:3 Q 7:17 far 8:10 matters 2:16 case 1:8 2:3,4,17 3:4 question 6:14 favor 8:4 mean 5:22 6:20 8:12 features 7:7 MEETING 1:3 R **CATO** 1:18 Fernando 1:22 2:9 **Member** 1:18,19,19 cause 1:6 8:20 rate 6:4 figure 7:18 5:10 6:11 8:2 chair 3:18 6:8,13 rationale 3:20 first 3:1 4:11 members 2:9 5:6 7:3 **CHAIRMAN** 2:3,11,15 read 5:12 five 4:17 5:5 met 1:14 2:20 3:3,7 4:9,18,20 reason 5:17 follows 3:21 **MIKE** 1:19 5:9 6:9 7:2,14 8:3 reasonable 4:21 foremost 4:11 minor 1:9 3:5 5:3 Chairperson 1:15,18 reasonably 4:15 four 6:15 moment 7:15 checking 3:10 recommendation 7:21 Fourteen 3:9 monitoring 3:5 **CHORUS** 8:5 record 2:7 5:18 6:5 9:4 morning 2:8,11,15 City 1:5,6 2:4 3:8,10 Reeves 1:14 G motion 8:4 clear 7:11 8:14 regards 7:12 Government 7:11 colleague 6:13

reject 7:22	understanding 6:16	5
rejecting 8:8	unreasonable 5:20	
requires 4:6	use 6:19	5 1:12
RESPONSE 8:7		5D 1:7
Retailer 1:7	V	
Rivero 1:22 2:8,9,12,18	view 7:14	6
2:22 3:4,6,20 4:17,19	Violation 1:9	
9:2	visit 3:8	7
Room 1:14	VISIC 5.0	
Koom 1.14	W	8
S	W 1:15,18	9
Sale 1:9	warning 3:1,3,12,17 4:8	9:48 2:2
saying 6:1	Washington 1:15	9:56 9:4
says 4:15,21 5:4	way 5:3	
scheduled 8:19	Wednesday 1:12	93795 1:7 2:5
second 8:1,2	went 3:8 9:4	
seconded 8:4	words 7:9	
sell 5:2,14,20	written 3:1,3	
sells 6:6	Wiltedia G. 1,6	
send 8:13	X	
sense 6:20,20		
sent 8:16	Υ	
shape 4:12	year 3:9,13,13,13,14	
Short 1:19 5:8,9,10		
6:13 8:2,3	4:14 8:16,17	
show 1:6 8:20	years 3:16 6:6	
	Yohannes 1:21 2:13,13	
showing 4:7 shows 5:2	2:16 7:5 9:1	
Sidonne 1:21 2:13		
Silverstein 1:19 6:10,11	0	
simply 5:18 6:19		
six 6:15	1	
sold 3:10,10,12,16,22	<u> </u>	
solution 6:21	10:00 8:20	
states 3:15	1356 1:6	
stating 6:5	14 3:14,16 4:13 6:6,15	
Status 1:7	6:17,22 8:15,16	
statute 3:2 4:2,2,6 5:15	14-year-old 3:9	
5:17 7:11	14th 1:14	
Steps 1:9	17 3:13	
Street 1:6,14	19 3:13 5:5	
student 3:9	19-CC-00027 1:8 2:4	
Suite 1:15	19th 8:20	
T	2	
t/a 1:6	20 3:13 8:16	
Tavern 1:5,6 2:5 3:8,10	2000 1:14 4:14,16 5:22	
ten 6:15	2000 1.14 4.14, 16 5.22 20009 1:15	
thank 3:20 8:22 9:1,2	2005 1.15 2005 4:18,22 5:4,21,22	
theory 6:3	7:19	
today 7:21 8:9,18,22	2014 6:1	
tomorrow 7:20 8:9	2019 1:12 4:14 5:5 7:19	
trump 6:21	2019 1.12 4.14 5.5 7.19 21 5:1,5 7:20	
4. dilip 0.21	21 0.1,0 1.20	
U	3	
U.S 7:6,16,17		
underage 8:14	4	
understand 5:16	400S 1:15	
	1300 1110	1
1		

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Ivy City Tavern

Before: DC ABRA

Date: 06-05-19

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &