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9:56 a.m.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Our next case is Case No. 18-CMP-00051, Case No. 18-251-00084, Case No. 18-251-00095, Case No. 18-251-00122, Green Island/Heaven \& Hell, License No. 74503.

Will the parties, please, approach and identify themselves for the record, please?

MR. ADAMS: Good morning, Mr.
Chairman. Walter Adams representing the District of Columbia.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, Mr. Adams.

MR. FARMER: Jonathan Farmer on behalf of Green Island.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, Mr. Farmer.

MR. WOLDEMARIAM: Mehari Woldemariam, Green Island.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, Mr. Woldemariam.

All right. Are there any preliminary
matters in this case?
MR. ADAMS: Yes, Mr. Chairman, there are a couple preliminary matters. One is logistical, the other one is substantive.

From a logistical standpoint, I believe that last week the District of Columbia provided exhibits for today's hearing and I provided it within the link, I'm not sure if all of the District's exhibits have been copied for the Board yet. So I'm not sure if the Board is ready.

The other logistical issue, Mr.
Chairman, is that the parties have discussed stipulations. Would the Board prefer the stipulations be handled after opening statements or would you like that to be handled now?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You can -- why not do the opening statements, so at least I know what the case is about and then you can let me know what the stipulations are. So I'll do it that way. At least I can follow along, because if you are stipulating, $I$ don't -- if I don't
know what the case is about, I don't know what you are stipulating to. All right.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Okay. Very well, Mr. Chairman. Thank you. And would you like the District to start with opening statements at this time?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure. Hold on a minute.

MR. ADAMS: So I have no other preliminary matter unless Mr. Farmer has --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Do you have any preliminary matters, Mr. Farmer?

MR. FARMER: I do not.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So
does the Government wish to make an opening statement? And in this particular case, the Government, and what I'll say you can -- in your -- if you make the opening statement and then as part of your opening statement --

MR. FARMER: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- then you can
let me know. You can let me know what the
stipulations are.
MR. ADAMS: Thank you very much, Mr.
Chairman. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, for convening this hearing. This is a combined hearing of four cases regarding this establishment, the Green Island Café/Heaven \& Hell.

Members of the Board, this is a very straightforward matter. Essentially, this is a case regarding an establishment that has been thumbing its nose at the direct orders of this establishment to and has done it on repeated basis.

Specifically what this establishment has done is failed to comply with the singular order, which is to maintain a reimbursable detail for this establishment. And based upon that, the nature of this, the Board should look at this case in a very serious manner.

Preliminarily, Board Order No. 2017-439 requires this establishment to hire at least two RDO officers for a minimum of four
hours from Thursday through Sunday nights. The RDO officers purpose is to help maintain safety for patrons of the establishment as well as to maintain order such as the very important public entrance of ensuring that there is a proper flow of traffic with -- in front of the establishment and the vicinity of the establishment in Adams Morgan.

On multiple dates in 2018, this
establishment failed to have reimbursable detail officers present. In these cases, the evidence will show that between February 10 and April 27, 2018, the establishment failed to hire or have reimbursable detail officers at the premises on 24 occasions.

The Board's indulgence. I apologize. So since this is combined hearings, I can -- I'll go through the particulars for each case.

For Case 18-CMP-00051, on February 10th and 11, 2018, an ABRA Investigator observed that the establishment was open and operating, but no MPD reimbursable detail officers were present
working at the establishment.
For Case 18-251-00095, from -- on March 2nd through March 25th of 2018, on 11 occasions, an officer, RDO officer was not -sorry, MPD officers observed that the establishment was open and operating, but did not have MPD RDO officers present working.

On the specific days were March 1st through the 3rd, March 8th through 10th, March 15th through 16th, and March 23rd through 25th.

The evidence will also show that for Case No. 18-251-00084, from March 30th through April 13th, on 8 occasions, MPD officers again observed the establishment was open and operating, but did not have the reimbursable detail officers working at the establishment. And those specific dates are March 30 through 31st, April 5th through the 7th, and April 12th through the 13th.

Lastly, for Case No. 18-251-00122,
from April 27th through 29th of 2018, on 2 occasions, again Metropolitan Police officers
observed that the establishment was open and operating and did not have any MPD officers present working for the establishment.

From May -- sorry, from January 23rd through May 9, 2018, the reason that the establishment did not have any reimbursable officers was the establishment was suspended from participation in the Metropolitan Police Department's Reimbursable Detail Program.

The reason that they were suspended was that they failed to comply with the obligations and in terms of paying the fees for that program as a result, because those fees were not paid in full, the MPD had no choice but to keep them suspended, since the establishment did not -- the evidence will show that since the establishment did not pay those fees in full until May that led to the 23 occasions that there were shown to not have reimbursable detail officers there.

So based upon the evidence that we will present, the Board will find by a
preponderance of the evidence that the establishment violated the terms of the establishment.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
MR. ADAMS: And that's it.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, I thought you were also going to give me the --

MR. ADAMS: Oh, yes. These are stipulations, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. ADAMS: And I have copies for the Board.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
MR. ADAMS: Do you have a copy, Mr.
Farmer? Okay.
First of all, Mr. Chairman, to help, I know you don't have all the copies of the exhibits yet. However, the parties are stipulating for documents that are admissible or that should be admissible and should be entered into evidence.

There are six documents that we
believe are admissible or that parties have agreed are admissible.

No. 1 is for Case No. 18-CMP-00051, Exhibit 101, that is the case report, that's the investigative report for the case.

The second one will be for Case No. 18-251-00095, that's Exhibit 101 again, and that is the case report by the Investigator, Nicole Langway.

The third one is Case No. 18-25100084, again that is the Exhibit 101 for -- and that is the case report for that case.

The fourth one is for Case No. 18-25100122, and that is again Exhibit 101, which is the case report.

For all the cases, combined cases, this is a combined hearing, we are stipulating to admission of Exhibit 106. This is an email from Brenda Smith, the MPD's RDO Coordinator to Jonathan Farmer, which is from March 26, 2018 at 4:30 p.m.

And also again for all cases, there
will be Exhibit 107, which is an email from Brenda Smith, MPD RDO Coordinator, to Jonathan Farmer on March 30th at 4:30 p.m.
(Whereupon, the abovereferred to documents were marked as Government Exhibit No. 101, 106 and 107 for identification.)

MR. ADAMS: And so the parties have stipulated that those documents are admissible and should be entered into evidence.

The following -- the parties stipulated to the following facts. And if you would like, I'll read into the record.

No. 1, that -- and again, this applies to all the cases involved here.

No. 1, that Green Island/Heaven \& Hell, Inc. t/a Green Island Café/Heaven \& Hell, which is the licensee, holds a Retailers Class CT License at 2327 18th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

No. 2, on August 16, 2017, the

District of Columbia Alcoholic Beverage Control Board issued an order renewing the license.

No. 3, this license, which is license number -- or excuse me, Board Order No. 2017-439, requires the licensee to hire at least two officers with the Metropolitan Police Department Reimbursable Detail RDO for a minimum of four hours after the close of the business between Thursday and Sunday.

No. 4, Brenda Smith is the RDO Coordinator for MPD. I didn't have coordinator, but it should be RDO Coordinator.

No. 5, the reimbursable detail is the deployment of MPD officers nearby establishments or venues for the purpose of maintaining public safety or mediating traffic and pedestrian congestion.

No. 6, to participate in the RDO program, a licensed establishment must enter into an ABC Establishment Reimbursable Program Agreement with MPD.

No. 7, on August 22, 2017, the
licensee entered into contract with MPD to enter the RDO program.

No. 8, Office of Chief Financial Officer issues invoices to RDO program participants.

No. 9, RDO program participants must pay invoices within 30 days of the date of the invoice.

No. 10, if an RDO program participant fails to pay invoices within 30 days of the date of the invoice, they could be suspended from participation in the program and therefore not provided with MPD RDO detail officers.

No. 11, the RDO program does not reinstate an RDO participant until the participant pays all past due invoices.

No. 12, on Friday -- and this now goes for specific cases, Mr. Chairman.

For Case No. 18-CMP-00051:
No. 12, on Friday, February 9, 2018, ABRA Supervisory Investigator Keith Gethers assigned Investigator Felicia Dantzler to monitor
licensed establishments in Adams Morgan for violations.

No. 13, on Saturday, February 10, 2018, at approximately 12:07 a.m., Investigator Dantzler visited the licensed establishment to determine if the establishment had an MPD RDO present working.

No. 14, Investigator Dantzler observed the establishment was open and operating, but no MPD RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 15, on February 10, 2018,
Supervisory Investigator Gethers instructed Investigator Dantzler to monitor and watch the establishments in Adams Morgan for ABRA violations.

No. 16, on Sunday, February 11, 2018, at approximately 2:30 a.m., Investigator Dantzler visited the establishment to determine if MPD RDO was present and working.

No. 17, Investigator Dantzler observed that the establishment was open and operating,
but no RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 18, During that time period, February 11th through -- sorry, February 10th through February 11, 2018, the licensee was suspended from participation in the MPD's RDO program for failure to pay invoices.

Transitioning to Case No. 18-25100095 :

No. 19, on Friday, March 2, 2018, at approximately 1:35 a.m., MPD Officer McCall Tyler observed that the establishment was open for business, but no RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 20, on Friday, March 2, 2018, at approximately 10:03 p.m., MPD Officer Dinko Residovic observed that the establishment was open for business, but no RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 21, on Saturday, March 3, 2018, at approximately 10:03 p.m., Officer Kevin Romero observed the establishment was open for business,
but no RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 22, on Friday, March 9, 2018, at approximately 12:13 a.m., MPD Officer Shellyann Seegobin observed that the establishment was open for business, but no RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 23, Saturday, March 10, 2018, at approximately 12:20 a.m., MPD Officer Seegobin again observed that the establishment was open for business, but no RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 24, on Thursday, March 15, 2018, at approximately 10:00 p.m., MPD Officer Anthony Padilla observed that the establishment was open for business, but no RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 25, on Friday, March 16, 2018, beginning at 10:00 p.m., MPD Officer Padilla observed that the establishment was open for business, but no RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 26, on Friday, March 23, 2018, at approximately 12:01 a.m., MPD Officer Padilla observed that the establishment was open for business, but no RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 27, on Saturday, March 23, 2018, at approximately 12:01 a.m., MPD Officer Padilla observed that the establishment was open for business and no RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 28, on Sunday, March 25, 2018, beginning at 12:01 a.m., MPD Officer Padilla observed the establishment was open for business and no RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 29, during that time period of March 2nd through the 25th of 2018, the licensee was suspended from participating in MPD's RDO program for failure to pay invoices.

Transitioning to the next case, Case No. 18-251-00084:

No. 30, on Saturday, March 30, 2018,
at approximately 12:01 a.m., MPD Officer Padilla observed that the establishment was open for business, but no RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 31, on Saturday, March 31, 2018, at approximately 12:01 a.m., MPD Officer Padilla observed the establishment was open for business, but no RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 32, on Thursday, April 5th at approximately 12 -- 10:00 p.m., MPD Officer Adam Bray observed that the establishment was open for business, but no RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 33, on Friday, April 6, 2018, at approximately 10:00 p.m., MPD Officer Bray observed that the establishment was open for business, but no RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 34, on Saturday, April 7, 2018, at approximately 10:00 p.m., MPD Officer Bray observed the establishment was open for business,
but no RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 35, on Thursday, April 12th at approximately 10:00 p.m., MPD Officer Sean Allen observed that the establishment was open for business, but no RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 36, on Friday, April 13, 2018, beginning at approximately 9:00 p.m., MPD Officer Michael Alexander Harden observed that the establishment was open for business, but no RDO officers were present working for the establishment.

No. 37, during that time period of March 30th through April 13th, the licensee was suspended from participation in MPD's RDO program for failure to pay invoices.

Transition to our last case, Case No. 18-251-00122:

No. 38, on Friday, April 27, 2018, beginning at approximately 10:00 p.m., MPD Officer Harden observed that the establishment
was open for business, but no RDO officers were present and working for the establishment.

No. 39, and Sunday, April 29, 2018, at approximately 3:50 a.m., MPD Officer Ricardo Alfaro-Santiago observed the establishment was open for business, but no RDO officers were present and working for the establishment.

No. 40, during that time period of April 27th through the 29th of 2018, the licensee was suspended from participation in MPD's RDO program for failure to pay invoices.

And those are the stipulations or the facts for those cases.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr.
Farmer, do you plan -- do you wish to make an opening statement?

MR. FARMER: Mr. Woldemariam has indicated that he prefers to make his own opening statement.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. All right.

MR. WOLDEMARIAM: That being --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on a minute. All right. Hold on, Mr. Silverstein. The client is going to speak. And, Mr. Woldemariam, I just, and this is just the way I operate, I want to make sure when the licensee speaks when they are represented by counsel, that the counsel -- it's for your own protection, sir. I do this to everyone.

I don't have a problem if you want to speak. But knowing that, be careful what is it that you are saying, because things that you say can be used against you. I just want you to be sure. That a lot of times when attorneys make opening statements, they do from a legalistic perspective.

But go ahead, sir.
MR. WOLDEMARIAM: I don't -- this is not about offering content. I don't have a reason to hire and pay him and I'm going to make this speech, that's not my goal.

My goal is let him make the statement and I have some idea also, because some of them
is not true, so $I$ have complained about this case to add the addition - I'm done talking, that's what I ask.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Anything else?
MR. WOLDEMARIAM: Not allowed, I stop.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, you can say
whatever you want to say, sir.
MR. WOLDEMARIAM: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You can say whatever you want to say, sir. It's you and your attorney between --

MR. WOLDEMARIAM: That's why I'm hiring Jonathan.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. All right. So do you have anything else you want to -- any representation you want to make, Mr. Farmer?

MR. FARMER: No. He wanted to make the opening statement. I told him to make his opening statement and then I'll bat clean up, if you will.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. And I'm saying is there any statements that you want to make?

MR. FARMER: No, not at this moment. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So how -- so we have had some stipulations. Basically, we are -- we have stipulations and the facts in cases. So how are we -- how will the cases be argued?

MR. ADAMS: The case will be argued. The District has one witness that is Brenda Smith for the -- for any, I guess, facts that have not been stipulated to, at this point.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
MR. ADAMS: And she will be the District's sole witness on those potentially disputed issues. And then, you know, we will proceed to the respondent's case if they have anything else to add.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Okay. All right. So does the Government wish to call its first witness?

MR. ADAMS: It does, Mr. Chairman. The Board wishes to call Brenda Smith.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Good morning, Ms. Smith. Can you raise your right hand, please?

Whereupon,
BRENDA SMITH
was called as a witness by Counsel for the Government, and having been first duly sworn, assumed the witness stand and was examined and testified as follows:

MS. SMITH: I do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. And when you speak, remember to pull the microphone next to you, so that we can hear you. Your witness, sir.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

|  | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | BY MR. ADAMS: |
| Q Good morning, Ms. Smith. |  |
| A Good morning. |  |

Q Could you, please, state your name and spell it for the record?

A Brenda Smith, B-R-E-N-D-A S-M-I-T-H.
Q And, Ms. Smith, you are a District of Columbia employee, correct?

A That's correct.
Q And we already know that you are the Reimbursable Detail Officer Coordinator for the District of Columbia?

A I am.
Q All right. And how long have you been in that position?

A For about 2 and a half years.
Q And can you give a general description of your duties as the RDO Coordinator?

A I am the person that the establishment --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Smith, bring the microphone, pull the microphone down so you are speaking into the microphone, please. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: I am the person that the
establishments contact when they request RDO services for their establishments. And then I contact MPD District Office to schedule officers, for the District to schedule officers to work that establishment.

BY MR. ADAMS:
Q Okay. Now as part of your duties, does that include the monitoring of invoices and payments?

A That also does include that. On a weekly basis, I receive an Open Payables list from the OCFO listing all unpaid invoices.

Q And before we go there, prior to your current position, did you have other positions within the District of Columbia Government?

A Yes, I was a Grants Manager for Public Safety.

Q And for which Agency was that?
A Justice Grants Administration.
Q And for Justice Grants Administration, and when was that, Ms. Smith?

A That was from 2010 to 2013.

Q And were your duties as -- for that position, was it similar to the duties that you have currently?

A No, they were different. I managed about 17 federal grants.

Q Okay. Very well. And so now turning to this position that you have now, so can you explain to the Board, you stated that the establishment began their service in terms of having the reimbursable detail. How does that occur?

A So the establishment will call me and inform me that they want RDO services. I then send them the ABC Agreement, which is our agreement. It's not a contract, but an agreement and it lists all the information pertaining to the services MPD provides.

And it includes ABRA's subsidy rate, MPD's rate, the time that they get the ABRA subsidy rate. It lists where they make payments. It lists that the payments are generated by the OCFO. It lists information about the duties of
an officer while they are on the establishment premises.

It lists things that officers can and cannot do, such as sit in their automobiles. It informs them that officers have to be posted around the establishment facilities, that they cannot stand in front of the establishment. They cannot check IDs.

Q Thank you. When you are talking about your job, is that the same for establishments that are mandated to be in -- have RDO service?

A Yes, it is.
Q Okay. Earlier you talked about creating overtime cards. Can you explain what that is?

A Sure. So when an establishment wants to start RDO services, there has to be an expense code to identify the establishment to ensure that those expenses are charged to the proper establishment.

So what I do is I create a special code that identifies that establishment. I then
send it out to all District Offices so that when an officer is assigned to an establishment, that code is put on their overtime sheet that is taken to that establishment.

I also send it to the District-wide T\&A Clerks, the Payroll Department and the OCFO's Department.

Q All right. Now, in terms of the OCFO, why do you send it to the OCFO? And let me make sure we got this. The OCFO is the Office of the Chief Financial Officer. Is that correct?

A Yes, it is.
Q Why do you send it to the OCFO?
A I send it to them because they are the ones that generate the invoices for the establishments.

Q And when you provide the information to the OCFO, what kind of information do you provide the OCFO?

A There is a sheet that is done. When an establishment wants to get a detail, they give me the date that they want the detail or I
use the date that the Board mandates them to have a detail.

It's called an Expense Sheet. And it lists the -- it lists my information, my name, my address, my phone number. It also lists the establishment information. The owner's name, the establishment address, email address and phone number. And then it lists the dates and times, the number of officers, the rates, whether it is ABRA subsidy rate or non-subsidy rate. And then that is sent to the OCFO and the Payroll Department in the proper District.

Q In terms of the -- you mentioned contact information for the establishments' owners, is there a certain way that OCFO communicates with the establishments?

A Yes. When they generate the invoices, they use that contact information that is on that worksheet.

Q Specifically, how? Is it through the mail or is it through email?

A They only send out invoices via email.

Q And do you know why that is?
A That's just convenient for them.
Q All right. But it's only through an email?

A Yes.
Q All right. Now, let's talk about invoices. You stated that the OCFO is the entity that provides invoices?

A Yes.
Q And how do they do that?
A So when an officer works at an establishment, it's based on their payroll. So once they go to the establishment, they have 24 hours to submit that overtime sheet and then payroll is generated every two weeks. That is also noted in the agreement.

Q All right. So it's every two weeks, is that when the invoices are also generated?

A Yes. So based on the payroll system, all that information used in that overtime code that I created, that expense goes in, the OCFO gets that information from the payroll system and
that's how they generate the invoice and it is done every two weeks.

Q In terms of this generation, what is generated, is that type of information that is also provided to the establishments?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And how do you know that the invoices are being generated to the establishments?

A So what the OCFO does is they will also email me in a batch form all invoices for MPD.

Q And what do you do with the information once you receive it from OCFO?

A I file it in an electronic file folder.

Q And how often, how frequently do you do that?

A They do it every two weeks. When they send it to me, $I$ file it.

Q And now once you receive these invoices, what do you do? What do you do in
order to track what is owed by each establishment?

A On a weekly basis, usually on a Friday afternoon, the OCFO sends me what is called an accounts receivable payables report, which lists every single unpaid invoice for MPD.

It contains two different MPD departments, so $I$ scrub the report that it shows only RDO invoices. It breaks it down into periods. The current period are invoices that are less than 30 days old. I don't worry about those. The next one is invoices that are 1 to 30 days past due. Those invoices $I$ concentrate on. I send out an email to any establishment that is listed on that 1 to 31 days past due.

Q And what email do you send for those establishments that are listed past due?

A I have a standard email that identifies, that says something to the fact that the OCFO has identified the establishment as delinquent. I copy and paste the information from the report, from the OCFO and I give them an
additional generally three to four days to pay the invoice. And $I$ let them know that if it's not paid by generally 2:00 p.m. on a Wednesday, that they will be suspended immediately.

Q And just to make sure we understand what past due means, what do you define as a past due on an invoice?

A So invoices could be generated let's say for instance on January 1st.

Q Yes.
A It is due 30 days after that.
Q So on January 31st then it will be past due?

A Yes, that is correct.
Q All right. Now, very -- now, can you speak to the Board about the payment process? How do establishments make payments?

A Right on the invoice at the top left hand corner it states where to make payments at. In addition to that, $I$ periodically send out informative emails and $I$ also let them know where to make payments at.

Q And specifically, where do payments go to?

A They currently go to 899 North Capitol, Room 600A.

Q And whose office is that?
A That is the OCFO's Accounting Office.
Q Okay. And is that for MPD's cluster?
A Yes, it is.
Q All right. Now, does that change for an establishment that is considered to be 30 days late or delinquent in their invoice?

A No, that's the same place to make payment.

Q And do your expectations or do the expectations and terms of -- actually, let me step back for a second.

When you issue the email that says the establishment is delinquent, do you provide any additional instructions regarding payment?

A I tell them that if they have made payment to provide me proof of payment. And that consists of or once they go to make the payment,
whoever is at the OCFO's Accounting Office, they will make a copy of the check. They will date stamp the copy of that check and tell the owner to send -- to take a copy of it with their cell phone and send me the copy, so $I$ can remove them off the delinquency status.

Q Okay.
A If payment is not received, if they don't send me a copy of the check, normally by an hour later, $I$ will contact the Accounting Department and ask if that establishment made the payment.

Q And I actually have to ask you this. How do you know -- regardless of if it's delinquent or on time, how do you know that an establishment hasn't even paid?

A So at the end of the day, the OCFO Accounting Office will take copies of all checks that they received and they will send me copies of the checks either at the end of the day or the next morning.

Q But in terms of a routine basis, not
in terms of just the delinquent cases, do you -how do you become aware that an establishment hasn't made payments?

A Either the establishment will send me a copy of the stamped check that they have paid or the OCFO will send me copies of the checks.

Q Now, I have a question. In terms -now, you state that the establishment -- that OCFO issues these invoices. What is the payment process? Is it specifically for the particular invoice that is owed or is it a situation where there is just an account and you just make payments on the account?

A I'm sorry, could you say that again?
Q For the payments, do establishments pay an invoice, the specific invoice or do they just make payments to a general account for RDO services?

A They can pay any invoice that they choose to pay.

Q But to say that when they are paying an invoice, do they have -- and let me ask you
this. Do they have to actually state the specific invoice that they are paying or do they use an alternative process?

A No, they generally know what invoice that is due, based on my delinquency notice email or they will call me and ask me what invoice is due or they may ask the accounting representative at the ocFo could they tell them what invoice is due to pay.

Q So let me ask you this question. So if an establishment owes on Invoice 1, but makes a payment on Invoice 2, do they get credit for both invoices or do they only get credit for Invoice 2?

A They only get credit for Invoice 2. Q And why is that?

A Because normally when they write the check, that's where on the for, on the memo section of the check, that's where they request the payment to be applied to.

Q And how do establishments know to make payments on the specific invoice?

A Well, they always receive the invoices, so they generally know what invoices are due.

Q Now, turning to the matter at hand. Are you familiar with the establishment, Green Island/Heaven \& Hell?

A I am.
Q And we know that they are a participant in the RDO program.

A Yes.
Q All right. Did there come a time in January of 2018 that this establishment was suspended from the RDO program?

A Yes.
Q And why was that?
A They had several unpaid invoices.
Q All right. I'm going to present to -MR. ADAMS: Actually to save time, I'm going to present to the -- to Ms. Smith several exhibits. What is marked for identification purposes as District's Exhibit 108 and I'm sorry, District's Exhibit -- I apologize, Mr. Chairman.

The Board's indulgence.
Yes, District's Exhibit 108, District's exhibit -- what is marked for identification purposes as District's Exhibit 109 and District's Exhibit 110.
(Whereupon, the abovereferred to documents were marked as Government Exhibit No. 108, 109 and 110 for identification.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
These are not the stipulated ones, right?
MR. ADAMS: These are not the stipulated ones.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
MR. ADAMS: Do you have copies? Mr. Chairman, does the Board need any time or --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, I just wanted to -- hold on one minute, please. One minute, please, sir. You can pass the -- we don't have the -- we are back on the record. We don't have the -- so you can now pass whatever
documents that are not stipulated. So we don't have those, so you can -- all right. I'm sorry.

Are these documents in -- they were previously disclosed, right?

MR. ADAMS: They were previously
disclosed. I will -- full disclosure, by consent yesterday, Exhibits 108 through 111, sorry, 112 were exchanged with counsel and provided to the Board. Unfortunately, we have this system called Box.com and apparently no one is able to open that link, but those exhibits were provided. Links to those exhibits for 108 through 112 were provided yesterday, the rest of the exhibits were provided last Wednesday.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So does the Board have a copy of those exhibits?

MR. ADAMS: They do. I did provide a link. I also provided a hard copy of those documents.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So why don't -- all right. We are waiting to get them, but you can go ahead.

MR. ADAMS: Okay. Very well.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. ADAMS: And I'll -- all right.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And for the record, tell us what the documents, what the exhibits are.

MR. ADAMS: Okay. Exhibit 108 is, I'll describe it generally, -- are emails from Ms. Smith to Heaven \& Hell.

Exhibit 109 is Invoice 5342.
Exhibit 110 is -- what is identified as Exhibit 110 is Invoice 5403.

Those are the ones that are relevant at this point.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. All right. Go ahead.

BY MR. ADAMS:
Q Ms. Smith, I would like you to turn your attention to Exhibit 109.

A Yes.
Q All right. And do you recognize that, what is marked as, for identification purposes,

Exhibit 109?
A Yes, I do. It's an invoice generated by the OCFO.

Q And how do you recognize that document?

A It's a standard document, a standard invoice that was sent out to the 0-- to Heaven \& Hell.

Q Do you personally recognize this invoice?

A Yes, I do.
Q Okay. What is the number of this invoice?

A It is No. 5342.
Q And when was Invoice 5342 issued?
A November 30, 2017.
Q Now, the invoice doesn't list a due date.

A The due date is December 30, 2017.
Q Is that actually listed on the
invoice?
A Yes, it is.

Q All right. And how much is owed on Invoice 5342?

A $\quad \$ 1,055.26$.
Q Now, turning your attention to what is marked for identification purposes -- actually, before I go -- I move on, is that a true and accurate representation of Invoice 5342 as it was issued from November of last year?

A Yes.
Q Of 2017.
A Yes, it is.
Q All right. Turning our attention to what is marked for identification purposes as District's Exhibit 5304, do you recognize that?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, Exhibit 5304?

MR. ADAMS: I'm sorry. It's marked as Exhibit 110.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
MR. ADAMS: But I apologize, I did misstate. What is -- I apologize. I'll withdraw that question.

Q Do you -- looking at what is marked for identification purposes as District's Exhibit 110 --

A Yes.
Q -- do you recognize that?
A Yes, $I$ do.
Q And how do you recognize that document?

A It is another invoice that was sent to Heaven \& Hell.

Q And do you recognize it from your work or through -- or elsewhere?

A I do. It was a delinquent invoice as well as the first one we discussed.

Q Now, identify the number for this invoice.

A This invoice number is 5403. MR. ADAMS: And I apologize. BY MR. ADAMS:

Q It's 5403?
A Yes.

Q All right. And how much is the amount of Invoice 5403?

A $\quad \$ 860.87$.
Q And does this invoice state when it was -- when the invoice was issued?

A It was issued December 14, 2017.
Q I apologize, December 30?
A December 14, 2017.
Q December 14, 2017. And when is it listed as being due or does it list as being due?

A It's due January 13, 2018.
Q All right. And is it a true and accurate representation of the Invoice 5403 from the time that it was issued?

A Yes.
Q Now, let me ask you this, so these invoices were, both invoices were, due to be paid, one Invoice 5342 was due, as you stated, to be paid on December 30th of 2017 , correct?

A Yes.
Q And the other was due to be paid on January 14, 2018?

A January 13, 2018.
Q January 13, 2018.
MR. ADAMS: Mr. Chairman, I would like to have admitted into evidence what is marked for identification purposes as District's Exhibits 109 and 110.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Just 109 and $110 ?$

MR. ADAMS: At this point, yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr.
Farmer?
MR. FARMER: No objection, but I would like to hear a statement of the purpose for admission.

MR. ADAMS: The purpose for admission is to establish why this establishment -- why we are here is in terms of the -- well, the Government's proffer is that these are the invoices that led to the actual suspension of this establishment.

MR. FARMER: No objection.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So Exhibit 109
and 110 are submitted without objection or are moved without objection.

> (Whereupon, the abovereferred to documents were received into evidence as Government Exhibit No. 109 and 110 )

MR. ADAMS: Thank you.
BY MR. ADAMS:
Q Ms. Smith, so on January 14, what was the payment status of those two invoices?

A They were not paid.
Q And so did you do anything after they were not paid by the due dates?

A I sent out a delinquency notice stating that those two invoices were not paid and they needed to be paid by most likely the following Wednesday by a certain time. And if they were not paid, the establishment would be suspended.

However, if they were paid, they needed to provide me proof of payment.

Q When did you provide this delinquency notice?

A I provided the delinquency notice on January 19th.

Q Is that 2018?
A I'm sorry, yes, 2019 -- 18.
Q 2018, so I apologize. So after you had provided this delinquency notice, what happened?

A Nothing.
Q So did you take -- what was the next action that you took?

A Well, then the next action that I took was to suspend them.

Q And what do you mean by suspend them? What do you mean by that? Can you describe it to me?

A So they had -- the notice went out on January 19th that if they did not make the payment by January 23rd, 12:00 noon, that they would be suspended. Payment hadn't been received, so they were suspended.

Q So what notice, if any, did you provide the establishment of the suspension?

A I sent another email stating that they were suspended.

Q Now, turning your attention to what is in front of you and marked for identification purposes as the District's Exhibit 108, do you have that?

A I do.
Q Ms. Smith, do you recognize that document?

A Yes.
Q And what is it?
A This is my email to Heaven \& Hell letting them know that they were suspended, a suspension notice.

Q And underneath that is that the full content of that document?

A No. It starts out on January 19th informing them that they are delinquent. And it also lists the invoices that are not paid.

Q And which invoices are listed?

A Invoice No. 5342 that was due on January -- I'm sorry, due on December 30, 2017 and Invoice 5403 that was due on January 13, 2018.

Q And those are the same documents that -- and those are the same invoices that we referred to as Exhibits 109 and 110. Is that correct?

A That is correct.
Q All right. And is that a true and accurate representation of the emails sent first to provide the establishment notice of its delinquency and then to actually suspend the establishment that you sent to -- sent in this case?

A Yes.
Q So after January 23rd, did the establishment pay the past due amount in full?

A After January 23rd, no, they did not. They were suspended on January 23rd at 4:00, at 4:02 p.m. And a notice was sent to them that they failed to meet the deadline and they were
suspended immediately.
Q And at any time, did it ever occur that the establishment got to the point where they paid their -- the amount due in full?

A I believe that they did not pay in full until May.

Q And was that --
A Around May 8, 2018.
Q On May 8th. And at that point, what did you do with the establishment? Did you reinstate them?

A I did.
Q And during the time that you -- during the time that -- during this period, did the establishment become delinquent on any other invoices?

A Yes. There were still invoices coming in because officers had worked a couple more days up until a day or two before the suspension. So invoices were still being generated.

Q So Ms. Smith, I'm going to have you turn your attention to what is in front of you
as, and marked for identification purposes, District's Exhibit 111, 112. And let's start with District's Exhibit 111.

A Yes.
Q Do you recognize District's Exhibit 111?

A I do.
Q And what is District's Exhibit 111?
A Invoice No. 5593.
(Whereupon, the above-
referred to document was
marked as Government Exhibit
No. 111 for identification.)
BY MR. ADAMS:
Q And does that -- and how do you recognize that document?

A That is an invoice for services rendered on January 21, 2018.

Q And but did you specifically review this document at the time it was issued?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And do you recognize it from
that time?
A Yes.
Q All right. Now, for Invoice 5593, does this document have an issuance date?

A It was issued on February 13, 2018.
Q And when was it due?
A Due on March 15, 2018.
Q And is it a true and accurate representation of the invoice at the time it was issued?

A Yes, it is.
Q Turning your attention to what is marked for identification purposes as the District's Exhibit 112, do you recognize that document?

A I do.
Q And what is that document?
A This is another invoice, an invoice to Heaven \& Hell.

Q And which invoice is this?
A Invoice No. 5665.
(Whereupon, the above- referred to document was marked as Government Exhibit No. 112 for identification.) BY MR. ADAMS:

Q And how do you recognize this invoice again?

A It was also an invoice to Heaven \& Hell.

Q And at the time that was issued, did you review this invoice?

A I did.
Q All right. So when was this -- and sorry, what's the number of this invoice?

A 5665 .
Q And when was this issued?
A It was issued March 5, 2018.
Q And when was it due?
A It was due April 4, 2018.
Q And what's the amount for that, this invoice?

A $\quad \$ 55.54$.
Q And actually going to the previous
document that was marked as Exhibit 111, how much was owed, was identified as owed in that document?

A \$222.16.
Q All right. All right. And is it a true and accurate representation of Invoice 5665 at the time it was issued?

A Yes.
Q All right.
MR. ADAMS: And to your knowledge -and actually before we move on, Mr. Chairman, I would like to have admitted into evidence invoices -- or what is marked for identification purposes as District's Exhibit 111 and District's Exhibit 112, which are invoices that were issued to the establishment.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Farmer?
MR. FARMER: No objection.
MR. ADAMS: Were these --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So moved.
(Whereupon, the abovereferred to documents were
received into evidence as Government Exhibit No. 111 and 112.)

MR. ADAMS: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

BY MR. ADAMS:
Q Were both of those invoices being paid on a timely basis?

A No.
Q In any way did that contribute to their suspension as well?

A Well, during this time, they were still suspended. The payment had not been made.

Q All right. Thank you very much.
MR. ADAMS: And lastly, I'm going to provide the witness what is marked for identification purposes as District's Exhibit 102. And Mr. Farmer, I believe, has a copy of that. Does the Board have copies of this one? CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, we do.

MR. ADAMS: All right. May I please approach the witness?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure.
BY MR. ADAMS:
Q Ms. Smith, do you recognize that is identified as the District's Exhibit 102?

A I do.

Q And what is it?
A This is my summary of things that occurred with Heaven \& Hell between the time period of January 1, 2018 through May 17, 2018.
(Whereupon, the abovereferred to document was marked as Government Exhibit No. 102 for identification.)

BY MR. ADAMS:

Q Is it a true and accurate representation of the transactions that you, $I$ guess, recorded with this establishment from January through May of 2018?

A Yes, it is.
Q All right. And essentially, is it a routine basis you create documents of that sort?

A I do when there are certain issues or
ongoing issues with an establishment, yes.
Q And what do you mean by certain issues? What do you mean?

A If there are problematic issues, I'll do it for -- to -- as a paper trail for myself, so I stay on top of the issue or if the issue has to go before the Board.

Q In terms of what is included with that, what is marked as Exhibit 102, does it capture all the transactions that occurred with the establishment from -- in terms of payments and invoices between May 20 -- I'm sorry, January 2018 and May 2018?

A Yes.
Q And does it show when full payment was made by the establishment?

A It does.
Q All right.
MR. ADAMS: Mr. Chairman, I would like to have entered into evidence what is marked for identification purposes as the District's Exhibit 102.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Farmer?
MR. FARMER: No objection.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So moved.
(Whereupon, the abovereferred to document was received into evidence as Government Exhibit No. 102.)

MR. ADAMS: Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions for Ms. Smith.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Farmer, do you have any questions for the witness?

MR. FARMER: I do, but I'll need a few minutes to compose.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record and resumed following a brief recess.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Are you ready, Mr. Farmer?

MR. FARMER: Yes, I am.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. We are
back on the record.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. FARMER:
Q Good morning, Ms. Smith.
A Hello, Mr. Farmer.
Q How are you?
A I'm good. Thank you for asking.
Q Ms. Smith, when were you made aware of
the Board Order regarding Green Island?
A I'm not sure of that exact date. I believe it may have been some time in --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Speak up, Ms. Smith.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure of the exact date, but $I$ believe it was some time in 2017.

BY MR. FARMER:
Q And how were you made aware of that order?

A At the time, it was Captain Augustine informed me of it.

Q Okay. Did you subsequently contact

Green Island?
A No, I did not. I believe Mr. Mehari may have contacted me. I'm just not quite sure, but $I$ don't -- I know $I$ did not have his name or phone number. So normally when establishments want RDO services, they contact me.

Q Normally. But in this specific case, are you -- is it your statement that Mr. Mehari contacted you?

A I do not recall and $I$ don't have any notes to look back on, but $I$ do remember Captain Augustine sending me an email about Green Door, Heaven \& Hell having mandated RDO services. But I really can't remember who contacted who when it comes to the actual information.

Q In terms of other establishments having RDO services, is it the usual practice of the police department to contact you about those services being --

A Sometimes they do. Sometimes the District does because they are out on the streets. So sometimes the establishment will
tell the police officers that they are interested in RDO services, so the District will send me an email and tell me that an establishment is interested in RDO services. And they will include their email in the cc box or they will tell the person what my email address is and they will contact me.

Q But in terms of specific orders by the ABC Board, is it usual for the police department to contact you regarding those services as required?

A No. I just -- I do remember Captain Augustine was very concerned about Green Door, Heaven \& Hell getting RDO services.

Q And what was the nature of that concern?

A He felt it was problematic and he wanted to ensure that there was -- he informed me there was a Board Order and that they had to receive RDO services.

Q I'm not sure I understand what you mean by problematic.

A Well, once I receive the order, I received a copy of the order from the Board. I'm sorry, it wasn't from the Board, but it was from ABRA that listed the protest inform -- the testimony from the Protest Hearing and the testimony of Captain Augustine and I guess Sergeant Rooney and their concern about the problems that were occurring at Green -- at Heaven \& Hell.

> So that's what I mean when I say problematic.

Q Okay. When you were describing your procedures, it seems to me that it is a multistep procedure in terms of making payments and also a multi-step procedure in terms of submitting invoices.

A Yes, it is.
Q Okay. Is it ever -- when is it explained to the recipient of those services the multiple steps that they have to go through to ensure that a voucher is submitted?

A To ensure a voucher is submitted?

Q Yes. My understanding of the program is that you require vouchers to be submitted from your offices, correct?

A We don't deal with vouchers. I'm not sure what you mean by that.

Q There are timesheets or something that you require the establishment to submit.

A So all that information is in the agreement. When every establishment before we even do services, I provide them an agreement, a snapshot of the agreement that kind of shortens, it highlights information from the agreement. I may have a conversation with the owner about it. I send out periodic emails about issues regarding the agreement. As I said it's all listed in the agreement.

Q Under the agreement, the individual offices that submit services are required to present a document to the establishment for their signature?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And what does that document

> consist of?

A It is called an over -- it's called an ABC Detail Overtime Timesheet, a PD157c form. At the top it lists the name of the establishment, the start time and end time of the detail and the officer's name.

The next section is to be completed by the ABC manager or owner. That is where they indicate the start time and the end time of the officers coming and going and their signature.

The bottom part is where an authorized roamer in the District signs off on that officer's sheet. So that's what that form is. And that is the sheet that information is transferred over into an official internal payroll sheet, which is called an 1130 Timesheet. And that is what is submitted to the T\&A Clerk for payment.

Q Is there any indication to the establishment of a time frame for submission of that document by the officer to the RDO Office or OCFO?

A Yes. It's in the agreement.
Q What is that time frame?
A 24 hours.
Q And is there any indication -- and how is the establishment supposed to know that that voucher is actually being -- or that document has actually been submitted?

A Well, in the agreement it states that the officer works the establishment and their invoice is based on a two week payroll period and it goes in. So it also states that they should expect an invoice every two weeks, because that's how officers are paid.

Q In the case of Green Island, were these documents always timely submitted?

A No, they were not always timely submitted.

Q And when these documents were not timely submitted, what did that mean in terms of the issuance of invoices?

A So when that -- when an officer misses the close of a payroll, that overtime gets placed
in the next payroll period. Because it's an adjustment, it's a slower process. And when it finally goes through the system, when it gets to the OCFO, the invoice is then labeled supplemental invoice.

Q And is it explained, what does this mean in terms of payment? Is payment delayed in terms of -- is the expectation of payment delayed --

A No.
Q -- as a result of that?
A No. When the time is submitted and the date of the payment is submitted, that's what generates the date on the invoice. And then 30 days after that, the invoice is due.

Q So is it your testimony that payments could be delayed due to the fact of the untimely submittal?

A No. No, not payments.
Q So despite the fact that there was an untimely submittal of the document, payments were never delayed so you could possibly be double-
billed for that?
A No, you could never get double billed for it, because the time hadn't been submitted.

So if the time gets submitted late, you are not paying for it. You are only going to get paid for it once it gets generated and placed in the system. So if an officer works in January and misses the payroll, you are not paying for it until he submits that time.

Q Okay. So is it your testimony that there was never any overlap in the invoices in terms of monies owed?

A An overlap?
Q Yes.
MR. ADAMS: Objection in terms of time period. I guess we are talking from the period of, I assume, the two invoices a period of January through May of 2018?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yeah, I'll
sustain the objection. Mr. Farmer, just let us know. Be more specific and far more concise in what time period you are speaking.

MR. FARMER: Okay. You can strike that question.

BY MR. FARMER:
Q Turning to what I believe is Exhibit 102, which is the compilation from January 1, 2018 to May 17, 2018. You have that document in front of you?

A Yes, I do.
Q Item No. 2 states that on January 3, 2018 Heaven \& Hell was informed that Invoice 5453 had multiple errors. However, other invoices are due. Can you tell me what those multiple errors were in that, in Invoice 5453?

MR. ADAMS: Objection. Relevance. We are not talking about that invoice.

MR. FARMER: I'm sorry?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You are not what?

MR. ADAMS: 5453 is not the -- one of the invoices being discussed.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I'm going to overrule the objection. It's on the exhibits,

SO - -

MR. FARMER: Yeah, okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- if he wants clarification on the exhibit, so be it.

THE WITNESS: Not without seeing that invoice.

BY MR. FARMER:
Q Okay.
MR. FARMER: Do you have any
questions?
MR. ADAMS: $I$ do not believe that that invoice is within the submission. Actually, let me double check just to make sure. The Board's indulgence.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure.
MR. ADAMS: I take it back. Page 110 that's of Exhibit 103, but page 110 and page 111 falls in, there is a Bates Stamp at the bottom of the page.

MR. FARMER: I'm sorry, which page?
MR. ADAMS: At the bottom of page -it's page numbers.

MR. FARMER: Okay.
MR. ADAMS: What actually are you looking at right now?

MR. FARMER: That's 50 --
MR. ADAMS: That's 5453.
BY MR. FARMER:
Q
Again, Ms. Smith, looking at the Bates Stamp --

MR. ADAMS: I apologize. I apologize, Mr. Chair. I don't believe that this witness has, actually has that document in front of her that Mr. Farmer is referring to.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But is it one of the exhibits? Because I --

MR. ADAMS: It's one of the exhibits. It's not one of the admitted exhibits.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. ADAMS: So Ms. Smith does not have it physically present now.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Does someone have a copy of the exhibit that she wants to give to her, for her to look at?

MR. ADAMS: Well, actually I was giving it to you before to her. This is your examination. I'll let you -- I'll provide it -have you provide it to her.

MR. FARMER: Mr. Chairman, I would like to present what is Bates Stamped as Exhibit H\&H000110 to Ms. Smith.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure go ahead. I mean, $I$ don't have those demarcations on what $I$ have, but $I$ do have the document, so but it's not demarcated that way, but go ahead. You can show her the exhibit. So then this one is a part of Exhibit 102?

MR. ADAMS: Actually, it's part of Exhibit 103 that has not - -

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, 103.
MR. ADAMS: -- yet been submitted.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
MR. ADAMS: It's -- but that is the correct page numbers.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Go ahead.

MR. ADAMS: If it's admitted, then I would submit it as evidence. I did submit to the Board, but not submitted into evidence.
(Whereupon, the abovereferred to document was marked as Government Exhibit No. 103 for identification.)

BY MR. FARMER:
Q So again, Ms. Smith, looking at Invoice 5453, you said that H\&H was informed that it had multiple errors. Can you tell me what those errors were?

A So Mr. Mehari contacted me and told me that this invoice was incorrect. He could not tell me exactly what was wrong, so I had to go through each one of these line-by-line to find out what was wrong.

So in order to verify the charges, it takes a lot of steps to do it. And one of the steps is that $I$ have to go back -- the District-when officers work establishments, the PD157c form is attached to a weekly report for each
establishment.
So I have to go back to the week of each one of these dates and pull that 157c form to look at it. And so I believe at some point in December, Heaven \& Hell was suspended. So I had to compare the dates that the club was suspended, because at times officers -- Heaven \& Hell was getting suspended frequently. So it was hard for some of the officers to keep up with dates they were suspended.

So some officers were going to the establishment. So I had to go back and adjust the dates that officers went to the establishment on the dates that they were suspended.

In addition to that, Heaven \& Hell were closed on the dates that they were supposed to have detail. They closed without notifying us, so I had to adjust some of these.

So that is why this invoice had
errors. So we had to make a lot of adjustments on this. And this invoice was not supposed to be paid until all the adjustments were corrected.

However, Mr. Mehari paid it anyway and it caused a lot of confusion.

Q So it's your -- is it your testimony that even though this invoice had multiple errors, he was expected to pay the invoice anyway?

A No, he was not expected to pay it.
Q How was he informed that he was not to pay it?

A I told him when he called me not to pay it.

Q Oh, okay.
A That is my procedure --
Q Okay.
A -- on all establishments that when there is an error on an invoice --

Q Okay.
A -- because $I$ don't want them to pay it when there are errors on the invoice. Give me a chance to find out what the error is, so we can correct it. But if there is not an error on there, then you have to pay it by the deadline
date or if the deadline date has passed, it becomes due immediately. That's just the procedure that $I$ do for all establishments.

Q And this communication about this procedure that you do is contained in a written document or is this verbal?

A This is a verbal document, verbal.
Q Again looking at Exhibit 102, there is a statement that the OCFO was confused as how to apply Check 4016, an overpayment of \$694.70. It further states that when you add other invoices 5281 and 5314, they total \$150. In fact, the four bottoms of another invoice 5281.

I'm not quite sure how that is
handled. Is the office in OCFO?
A So at year-end, the OCFO was closing out all invoices. They were trying to put all officers' time at the end of September 30th on all the invoices.

At some point when they thought they were finished, they sent out invoices. Somehow or another, they must have omitted officers' time
up to September 30th.
So instead of them doing separate invoices for those stragglers, they decided to go back into those original invoices and add time, those stragglers onto the invoice. In a lot of cases, establishments had already paid the original invoice.

So what it meant was invoices were paid, after they were paid, the stragglers got added on to that invoice, not knowing that, I guess, the OCFO for some reason or another not knowing that the invoice was paid, sent out a revised invoice with the new dollar amount, the full dollar amount.

So establishments were paying a new dollar amount, which was more than what they should have been paying. So that added more confusion to the situation.

Q Okay. Looking at Item No. 5 of Exhibit 102, you state that Heaven \& Hell was suspended on January 10, 2018. Is that correct?

A Yes.

MR. ADAMS: Objection. Relevance? It's a prior suspension. It's an example in a prior case.

MR. FARMER: I mean, you submitted this exhibit, but I -- again, I'm trying to illustrate the difficulties in communication between Heaven \& Hell and the police RDO system.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And the only reason I'm going to overrule the objection is because it's a document that -- this document is --- is this document in there? What is this?

MR. ADAMS: It's in.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: It's in evidence, so it's --

MR. FARMER: And I --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- you go ahead.

MR. FARMER: -- apologize, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No go ahead.
MR. FARMER: I received these things last night, but we were unable --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Farmer, I informed both parties that they need to exchange documents seven days in advance. I was told by Mr. Adams this morning that you both agreed to a late disclosure, so that's not my concern.

My rule is seven days in advance. And you guys did not comply with the seven day disclosure notice, so I, with all due respect, that's between the both of you. So I really don't care.

MR. ADAMS: So to clear it for the record, just these -- this document was provided seven days in advance. There are newly discovered documents that were provided yesterday.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I know. I'm just saying I don't have a problem with it, but I don't want anyone to be complaining that I got documents yesterday when I have told folks that seven days in advance and $I$ was told that documents -- that the parties agreed to a late disclosure, that's the only clarification I was
making. All right.
BY MR. FARMER:
Q Okay. Ms. Smith, turning my -- your attention to Item No. 6 in Exhibit 102, you state that Heaven \& Hell paid by certain Check No. Invoice 5453 and another check which was the balance of another Invoice 5281.

Item No. 7 states that H\&H was emailed a form listing all of the errors on the Invoice 5453 and that MPD would be providing them a credit that would take up to two months to post, however, and however all other invoices are good.

A Yes.
Q Is that correct?
A That is.
Q Where was that credit actually - that credit actually posted?

A I am not sure. It was posted periodically. Some of it was posted in March. And I think the last one might have been posted in April or early May.

Q So are you -- is there any way of
saying that there was ever an accurate accounting for all invoices due prior to suspension on January 10, 2018?

A Before January 10th?
Q That was the date of suspension.
A Yes. I did a summary of all of Invoice 53 -- I'm sorry, 5453 showing, well, actually a history of all the invoices, the dollar amounts, all the payments and how all the payments were applied to the invoices to Mr. Mehari and yourself.

Q Prior to suspension?
A Yes.
Q And when was that submitted?
A I do not recall. I don't have that information in front of me.

Q All right.
MR. FARMER: Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions for Ms. Smith.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Does the Government rest? Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. There is too much going on here. All
right. Any questions by any Board Members? Oh, let me just before -- let me take up -- put a pin in the case. Are the parties here for Capitol Wine and Spirits? I'm not quite sure how -- do you have any witnesses, Mr. Farmer?

MR. FARMER: I do not.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You don't have any witnesses. All right. So these are the options that I want to put out to you. We probably could take this case up maybe around 12:00, that's going to mess with our lunch, but that's not an issue. That is not your problem. So we probably could take up this case at maybe 11:45, 12:00 or we can postpone this case until March 13th at 1:30. Your option.

So why don't you tell me what you want to do? You can let me know what you want to do. All right. Okay. Fine.

All right. Any questions by any Board Members? Go ahead, Mr. Alberti.

MEMBER ALBERTI: The last question
actually is the lead-in to my question about you provided -- I'm looking at a part of, I guess, Exhibit 101, I think it is. And I have trouble keeping track the exhibits.

Towards the end of Exhibit 101, there is a spreadsheet. It kind of looks like this. THE WITNESS: Yes. MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. Was that sent to Mr. Woldemariam?

THE WITNESS: Yes. MEMBER ALBERTI: Do you know when? THE WITNESS: No, not off hand. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You need to speak into the microphone.

THE WITNESS: No, not off hand I don't remember.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Approximately?
THE WITNESS: It must have been
sometime in January.
MEMBER ALBERTI: And you are sure of that? Before the suspension?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Because that's what you testified earlier. Okay.

MR. ADAMS: I'm sorry, Mr. Alberti, Mr. Chairman, just for clarification that is Exhibit 101 for Case 18-251-00122, because there are four exhibits there.

MEMBER ALBERTI: I believe so. I am interested in the -- on this spreadsheet, I'm interested in the things -- there are dates here and I'm interested in the dates. Well, 2000 -$12 / 14 / 2017$ and earlier. So I'm interested in those rows.

So $I$ assume that that's the case that we are talking about?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Those dates?
MR. ADAMS: That is one case.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, but hold on
a minute. What exhibit is this?
MR. ADAMS: This is Exhibit 101 from Case 18-251-00 --

MEMBER ALBERTI: It's twice in here
with that exhibit.
MR. ADAMS: It has been admitted and it is page no. 94.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But there has been no -- Mr. Alberti, there has been no questions raised on Exhibit 101. So you can't really ask questions on Exhibit 101, because that also -- I'm just saying although it's in the record, but no one has asked any questions on that.

MEMBER ALBERTI: May I speak to that? Mr. Farmer asked if Mr. Woldemariam was informed and I believe this is how he was informed. But so can I ask Ms. Smith how he was informed?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, you can go ahead, yes.

MEMBER ALBERTI: So you said -- Mr. Farmer asked you if he was informed before the suspension and you said you gave him a list of all the checks and invoices due and how did --

THE WITNESS: I sent --
MEMBER ALBERTI: -- what did that look
like?
THE WITNESS: I sent them by email.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Did you send it in a spreadsheet or anything?

THE WITNESS: It was an attachment on the -- to the email.

MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. Can I ask if this exhibit would have been that attachment?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: So the exhibit we are talking about would have been an attachment to an email, to that email?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. But this goes through January 21st, so that would -- when was the date of the suspension?

THE WITNESS: There were several suspensions.

MEMBER ALBERTI: The one with this case.

THE WITNESS: The last suspension I recall in January was January 23rd.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. I'm going to inquire here whether I can ask about these dates? About the --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Alberti, you can ask about -- all right. These are the -we had testimony on Exhibit 109, 110, 111, 112 and 102. So those are the exhibits that there were specific questions on, so any exhibit, any of those numbers you can ask questions. If there are -- if no questions were asked on the exhibits, then it's unfair to either side to ask a question if that document is not -- if there were no direct questions on.

But yes, Mr. Farmer?
MR. FARMER: Maybe I'm confused. I don't know if the -- he said that this was attached to an email, but I don't have an identification of when or what email that is. And I don't know if that is an exhibit, so --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: As I -- there-I believe for the record his concern, I'm just trying to make sure that we talk about exhibits
that -- I know that exhibits were introduced, but -- were moved in evidence, but there were no testimony on certain exhibits, so I'm just mindful to say that we need to have questions -I mean, we can ask general questions, but if we are going to try to point someone to an exhibit that there was no direct examination of -- you can't say anything, Mr. Woldemariam.

You have to -- in this particular case, you have to talk through your lawyer. So that's basically where we are. Okay.

MR. FARMER: Okay. I guess, Mr. Chairman, my concern is again fighting over points, if we are going to ask questions about this particular summation of the invoices and in response to Mr. Alberti's question it is referring to an email, which I'm not sure is in the record or $I$ haven't had any identification to that, I'm not sure what we do with that.

MR. ADAMS: For clarification, there record is part of Exhibit 101 for again Case 18-251-00122. This is an attachment to a case
report provided by Nicole Langway that included emails, police reports, the order, the email communications between Ms. Smith and the establishment and yourself, Mr. Farmer, and actually you can see the pages.

MR. FARMER: Which one?
MR. ADAMS: You see pages 4 and it's Exhibit 4 and -- actually, Exhibit 5, but if this is described within the case report, but that was part of the case report. There is an attachment to an email, according to the case report it says an attachment to an email, dated 5/3/18 that was sent, I believe, to you, Mr. Farmer.

MR. FARMER: I'm sorry, which -you've shown the exhibit, but to which case?

MR. ADAMS: 18-251-00122. If I'm not mistaken, is the question withdrawn from Mr. Alberti?

MEMBER ALBERTI: I guess, because I have no --

MR. ADAMS: Right. So I'm not sure if --

MEMBER ALBERTI: Well, I still have a question. We still have a question, sir.

Okay. So the suspension of January 23rd was based on outstanding monies due as of when?

THE WITNESS: There were two outstanding invoices. The first one was due on December 30, 2017.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Um-hum.
THE WITNESS: The second one was due January 13, 2018.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. So on January 13th, you would have expected Mr. Woldemariam to have a balance of zero --

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: For due -- for invoices that were due?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. And
knowing some hiccups because of confusion of payments, overpayments and then credits and all of that, in the end, when you looked at all of
the invoices due and compared -- and the sum of all of the invoices due as of January 13th and the sum of all payments made as of January 13th, did he still owe monies?
the witness: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: And you know that because?

THE WITNESS: Because of the invoice-what I did, Mr. Alberti, is that with the issues of the credits and him making double payments, I conditionally took him off of suspension and I was trying to --

MEMBER ALBERTI: When did you conditionally take him off?

THE WITNESS: I believe it was January 17th.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. But then you put him back on on January 23rd?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. So then -- all right. So what happened between January 17 th and January 23rd?

THE WITNESS: There was two invoices that I named previously were not paid.

MEMBER ALBERTI: So on January 23rd, you concluded that he still owed -- when I look at all the invoices that he owed on, and I look at all the checks that he paid to that date, you say there was on outstanding balance?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Did you provide any information to Mr. Woldemariam from which he could glean that information?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
MEMBER ALBERTI: How?
THE WITNESS: Email.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Well, what was in
that email?
THE WITNESS: There -- in one of the exhibits, there is a list of all of the checks. I'm sorry, of a list of invoices.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Um-hum.
THE WITNESS: A list of payments. And how overpayments were applied.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Forget about the overpayments. I want to interrupt you, because I think you have answered my question. You gave him a list of all the invoices and the amounts for all those invoices?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: And you gave him a list of all the checks that he submitted?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. So he could check --

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: -- what he submitted.
And he could check the invoices that he got.
the witness: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: And you are saying that if I add up all the amounts on those invoices and compare it to all the amounts that he paid in checks, there was still an outstanding balance?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
MEMBER ALBERTI: And Mr. Woldemariam
should have been knowing that from your email -THE WITNESS: That's correct.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Thank you. I have no further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other questions? Yes, Mr. Silverstein?

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Very briefly.
Ms. Smith, you referenced comments and testimony made in a, I believe, Fact-Finding Hearing on June 6, 2018 by Lieutenant Augustine and Sergeant Rooney regarding the need for the RDO here, correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: And just to put in the record, this is where Lieutenant Augustine said that the licensee was, in his testimony, blatantly disregarding the conditions of their renewal, RDO, cameras, cooperating with police, in the case of the stabbing and not being cooperative with the community.

And then Sergeant Rooney said had the RDO been there, it might have been prevented, the
stabbing. The victim was stabbed in the abdomen and parts of his abdomen were hanging out of his body. The stabbing did not occur right out in front of Heaven \& Hell, but the parties came out of there and had there been an RDO, it might not have happened.

The two parties were jawing each other down the street before it happened. So you, in fact, informed the licensee that there was a real public safety issue here, that this was not simply a matter of complying with a Board Order, but beyond that, that public safety was a concern.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Could you recall what you said in your notification to him?

THE WITNESS: Well --
MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Something along those lines?

THE WITNESS: -- yeah. I believe that the conversation with me and Mr. Mehari was that he felt that he didn't need to have RDO services
and that particular incident was not from his patrons. And he didn't feel that he was responsible nor his patrons was responsible for it. And I informed him that the -- one of the reasons for this program is for public safety and that the Board felt that it was a need for him to have RDO services.

I kind of have that conversation any time the Board mandates an establishment to have RDO services.

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Thank you. No further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other questions by any other Board Members?

Ms. Smith, just one question I want to ask. You said you routinely email the licensee when -- to say that the invoice was outstanding. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And do you
receive a response from the -- did you receive any responses from him for any of the periods
when you emailed him?
THE WITNESS: Mr. Mehari does not use email. He will call me. And when he calls me, I will turn around after the phone call and send him an email to recap our telephone conversation.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm confused. You are saying that -- so are you saying that you sent him an email. He received the email, but then he telephones you in response to the email? Is that what you are saying?

THE WITNESS: Well, no. I sent him an email and many times he will not reply. He won't reply to an email or he doesn't respond to an email sometimes weeks or months later. And when he does, it's by telephone.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, I guess what I'm trying to find out from you is how do you know that he received the email? How do you know he received the email? If you are saying he doesn't respond or reply to the email.

THE WITNESS: I don't. At that time, I didn't know. Now, because of situations like
this, when I sent out emails, I use the Outlook Office Assistant by putting on the delivery receipt and the read receipt.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So you don't -but you don't know though -- I'm sorry, you said delivery and receipt, so $I$ think what I'm trying to find out is that you don't have any proof that he has ever read any of these emails that you send him.

THE WITNESS: No, I don't.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Farmer, any questions based on the questions that were asked by the Board?

MR. FARMER: No, thank you. Not at this time. I have some closing statement.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Adams?
MR. ADAMS: Yes.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. ADAMS:
Q Now going back to your earlier testimony, you were asked about OCFO's policy on emails, right? The fact that they can't get
access to the emails?
A Yes.
Q Do you remember that? Have you had conversations with Mr. Woldemariam about that policy?

A I have several times.
Q And what were those times that you--
A He wanted to have his invoices mailed to him. So I contacted one of the representatives from the OCFO and I asked him about it and he informed me that Mr. Mehari had even called him and his supervisor and asked him about having his invoices mailed to him. And he was told several times no, they do not mail invoices. Invoices are sent out electronically via email.

Q So in terms of the agreement, does it discuss the establishment's responsibility to check their email?

A I cannot recall.
Q Have you had conversations with Mr. Woldemariam about responsibility for him to check
email?
A Yes.
Q And then what were those conversations?

A That you will receive your invoices by email.

Q Now, you were asked several questions about Invoice 5453, which was the disputed invoice.

A Yes.
Q And in terms of -- and if I'm not mistaken, at some point you stated that there are communications telling Mr. Woldemariam not to pay that invoice?

A Yes, that was a telephone conversation.

Q That was a telephone conversation?
A Yes.
Q That occurred prior to the payment?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Now, but in general, this is a dispute where there was a situation whereas Mr.

Woldemariam stated that there was a problem with the invoice, correct?

A Yes.
Q So essentially, are you saying that in an instance where an establishment -- well, what do you do when establishments state that there is problems with their invoices?

A Whenever an establishment informs me that their invoice is wrong, I ask them for the invoice number. As you can see on a lot of the invoices, there is multiple dates. I will ask them what date is the issue with. And then I will tell them all right, well, until I finish my research, you do not have to pay this invoice, but once I finish my research if there is not a problem with the date, you have to pay the invoice by the due date.

If the due date has passed, the invoice is due immediately. If it is a problem with the invoice, you do not have to pay it until the adjustment is submitted and it will take payroll up to two months to correct it.

Q And you are saying that this is your policy for all establishments?

A Yes, sir.
Q And this case, specifically for this establishment, you made the effort to make sure their invoices were correct?

A Yes.
Q In terms of -- you were asked questions about adjustments or I believe the officers providing sheets to the establishments.

A Yes.
Q Whose responsibility is it to keep track of officer hours?

A It is the establishments, because they can take that sheet and make copies.

Q Now, you were asked questions about the invoice and payment process by Mr. Farmer. Can you tell us whether or not those -- whether the information from the agreement and the payment process was explained to Mr. Woldemariam?

A Yes.
Q And how was that done?

A There was a, what $I$ call a cheat sheet, which is just a recap of a shorter version of the agreement that $I$ have attached to the email when I send the agreement. And it is just an easier read of the agreement and it explains it in there and also $I$ have that conversation with the establishment about how that process works.

Q So you did have an oral conversation?
A Yes.
Q All right.
MR. ADAMS: I have no further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Ms. Smith, for your testimony. You can step down.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
MS. SMITH: You're welcome.
MR. ADAMS: At this time, the District rests its case.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. Farmer, do you wish to call a witness?

MR. FARMER: Yes, I wish to call Mr.

Woldemariam.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you raise your right hand, sir?

Whereupon,

## MEHARI WOLDEMARIAM

was called as a witness by Counsel for the respondent, and having been first duly sworn, assumed the witness stand and was examined and testified as follows:

MR. WOLDEMARIAM: I swear.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Your witness, sir. And when you speak, speak in the microphone, please.

MR. WOLDEMARIAM: Okay. DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. FARMER:
Q Mr. Woldemariam, you just heard the testimony to -- from Ms. Smith.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Farmer, I'm sorry, but who is Mr. Woldemariam?

MR. FARMER: I'm sorry?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who is Mr.

Woldemariam?
MR. FARMER: Okay.
BY MR. FARMER:
Q Mr. Woldemariam, would you tell the Board who you are, please?

A My name is Mehari Woldemariam. I'm the owner of Green Island Café/Heaven \& Hell Incorporated.

Q And is that -- and as the owner of that, have you had occasions where you have contacted Ms. Brenda Smith regarding MPD RDO officers?

A Yes, I do.
Q Okay. Did Ms. -- when did you have your initial contact with Ms. Smith?

A 2017 exactly, but in March, I believe.
Q I'm sorry, could you repeat that?
A I don't have the exactly date of the meeting.

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Please, speak into the microphone, sir.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I will.

BY MR. FARMER:
Q And how did -- did you call Ms. Smith or did she call you?

A The first time she called me.
Q Okay. And do you have an approximate date for that call?

A Well, it has been too long. I don't have it with me, but it's in the records.

Q And can you tell us anything about the substance of that call?

A Well, she asked me even the point about ABC to have RDO on the premises. Whatever else I say yes. So she tell me and I have opinion to make on something, I definitely came to you consult with my lawyer and when I send the invoice, $\mathbf{I}$ want them paid now. 899 North Capitol and the sixth floor, 601, I believe, I want them paid and get the -- my check.

Q Mr. Woldemariam, in your initial phone call with Ms. Smith, did she indicate any concerns that the police department had with your operation?

A Well, she don't and then she mention about RDO is going to be at this time and this time. And I respond to her also well, the RDO was starting, but there was no RDO this weekend. So they were all scheduled. I don't know what happened. I called about it myself where the RDOs was at. And she told me I'm going to check with Lieutenant Rooney and she get back up to -with me, that $I$ know.

Q Okay. Do you recall when you received your first invoice?

MR. ADAMS: Objection. Relevance.
THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that again?

MR. FARMER: I am trying --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr.
Woldemariam, if there is an objection, the lawyers will argue and then I will let you know whether or not you need to answer the question, sir.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Farmer?

MR. FARMER: We previously asked questions of Ms. Smith and I'm asking him whether -- how initial contact was made, basically, what was explained to the recipient of RDO services.

And we subsequently asked questions about the system invoices and how he was notified and how the invoices were submitted. And objections to those invoices.

I am trying to establish a chain of communication, if you will.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'll sustain the objection. You can answer the question, Mr. Woldemariam.

MR. ADAMS: Sustain or overrule it?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry. I overrule the objection. You can answer the question, Mr. Woldemariam.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, when we discuss what Ms. Smith and she give me all the information where to go and I get back to you. I'm going to ask Lieutenant Rooney, but she haven't. It was very poor communication with her
that first time.
BY MR. FARMER:
Q I'm going to turn your attention to the period of January of 2018 and specifically, there was an invoice which I think you are quite familiar with, Invoice No. 5453, which is in evidence as Exhibit 102. The case is 51, 95, 84 and 122.

Did Ms. Smith tell you that the invoices had errors in it?

A Can you repeat that again? My invoice what?

Q The Invoice No. 5453, which is in Exhibit 102, my question is did Ms. Smith tell you that the invoice had errors in it?

A Actually, I mentioned to her I've been charged, overcharged from you dates on that, because every invoice she send me and this is -I had all expense if you give it to me and I'm being overcharged. Then she posts on that back again, yes, there has been -- the money is supposed to come back to you, but I never see the
money back, because I overpaid.
As well also, only check I wrote to them, I had a copy to ABC ABRA Investigators or whoever works here and, you know, a copy in the beginning for evidence.

Q Again, turning your attention to January of 2018, did you receive a Delinquency Notice for unpaid invoices?

A Well, yes, I do, but $I$ have been told from her overpaid and that if I overpaid, I supposed to be reimbursed and if I have any balances, I supposed to pay. That's what I was working myself to do, Office of Payment at North Capitol to pay, whatever she send me in email, I see that amount, $I$ will then take care of it.

There is not occurred in this Ms. Smith's negligent, I don't know what happens, it doesn't come accurate and I was overpaid always.

Q Did you have a conversation with Ms. Smith after receiving the Delinquency Notice?

A Even I paid the bill, 1 call her myself. I paid this amount. No, you send --
you're in delinquency and no, I'm not because I paid. Do you have communication with the office for payment? As soon as I pay, I have a copy to prove it. Do you have any communication? She say let me ask them. Let me call them, that's what I get from her.

Q Okay. And after that communication with Ms. Smith, did you have --

A She called me. She asked did --
Q Let me ask my question first.
A Go ahead.
Q Okay. After that communication with Ms. Smith, did you testify, get this by telephone?

A I always communicate by telephone with her.

Q Okay.
A Thank you.
Q After that communication, did you have subsequent communication with Ms. Smith about the overpayment?

A Yes, I did mention to her and I also
mentioned to you I have overpaid this, they were charging me.

Q Well, the question is whether you had subsequent communication with Ms. Smith?

A Yes, I did.
Q Okay. And could you tell us the substance of that communication?

A Well, I tell her this week was only one MPD. Last week was only two MPD, they didn't show up. They show on Friday, they didn't show on Saturday. This is delinquency. This is not accurate job. What you want me -- how will I trust you? You send me a bill which is the MPD is not here. That was my fight all along.

And also the MPD come to the job, they
sign the paper and we never see them after that. And I was certain complain. I am not hiring, paying people for Adams Morgan, the whole Adams Morgan, even though $I$ like to see safety and peaceful Adams Morgan, but I'm not going to be charged for all Adams Morgan.

So the people have -- they can be on
foot, they can be sit down in the car or, according to me, across the street, but not elsewhere. I always complain about this. Thank you.

Q Okay. Mr. Woldemariam, I'm going to ask you again to concentrate on the period preceding your suspension on January 10, 2018. And you just testified that you had a subsequent communication with Ms. Smith before that particular time period. I'm asking you for the substance of that communication.

A As soon as I'm aware with the communication with her, my bill is paid right away. I don't say oh, the next day, I told them.

Q Thank you. Mr. Woldemariam, I'm asking you what was actually said during that conversation?

A We always discussing about the unpaid bill. She always talk about delinquency, delinquency, but I don't have delinquency because they work for it, they got to get paid for it. That's my thinking.

Q I'm sorry, I didn't hear.
A They work for it, they got to get paid for it. That's what I know.

Q Again, I'm asking you what was said. I'm just trying to be very specific.

A I -- what I say is the -- I ask her when you send delinquency, why don't you communicate with your office? That was discussed. Thank you.

Q Did you subsequently pay that -- the delinquency in January of 2018 ?

A Yes, I do.
Q And how did you make that payment?
A I always make a payment by check.
Q Okay.
A And I have a copy of it.
Q Where did you make that payment?
A At North Capitol, 601.
Q Okay. Did you communicate the fact that payment was made to Ms. Smith?

A I call her myself and she say you are in delinquency, that was the answer from her.

Q She said you were in delinquency after the suspension?

A Yes.
Q Again?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And what else did she say?
A And I tell her how can I be
delinquency when it's already paid? Five days after and why don't you check with your office, why don't you have good communication? When I paid them, I had a copy, I have proof. You should have something from your office. Let me call them, that's what I get answer from her. Let me call them and find out.

Q I'm sorry, you testified that Mrs. Smith --

A Yes.
Q -- she would call the office?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And did she subsequently communicate with you about the substance of that phone call?

A That specific phone call she didn't respond.

Q I'm sorry?
A After I paid, after we discuss, she supposed to call me back, but she doesn't.

Q In January of 2018, specifically January 23, 2018, were you suspended again?

A Yes, I do.
Q And were -- how were you notified of that suspension?

A She send me an email when I see it, I went to the North Capitol Street to have the balance -- actually, I paid with the three different checks paid them on one. Each one of them I had a copy in my office.

Q Okay. And when you paid that, was that payment communicated to Ms. Smith?

A No. It was I called and it was 4th Street, N.W., another office a man -- it was another man, $I$ get the number from the Office of Payment. They give it to me and $I$ call in and could you tell me the invoice what's due and he
give it to me over the phone.
The delinquent was in a false -- there was another office with the same, because I give the information from the North Capitol Street when I was paying. Say you don't know you are paying? I said I don't know, I don't have the invoice here right now.

So they give me three invoice again, \$22, \$55, amount small, it's not a lot. Those are pending. I said well, I'm not aware of those, but since you told me, let me pay for it and I pay for it.

Q Okay. And again, my question is did you communicate the fact that it was paid to Ms. Smith?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And how did you do that?
A Well, I -- you keep suspending my RDO, but I'm already paid. What are you trying to do here? Actually, she told me yeah, yeah, you overpaid actually. Well, why don't you correct it instead of suspend it? That's what my
question to her.
Q And this was after the January 23rd?
A Yes.
Q 2018 suspension?
A Yes.
Q Did you have any additional questions for Mrs. Smith?

A My additional question to her instead of suspending my RDO, why didn't you communicate? I'm willing to pay what $I$ owe. But if they come here and they don't work, I don't want to pay for it, because the biggest fight between me and her was the RDO came up here, sign and leave. And I have difficulty. And I guess every business person should be aware about that issue.

How are you going to spend your money when the people is not working for it? Because they RDO, they police officer, they have the power to do. I object for her also insisting there is one sent to me. I asked him consisting there is one concern towards me, I asked him a question and he want to suspend me. I asked my
lawyer to considering seeing about this case. He doesn't -- he even know my lawyer as well.

I tell Ms. Smith about this. I don't know who to complain. This was very damage for business people like me. Discourage them, destroy them, get them out of business and I am very, very serious about this, because the Board has to be concerned. I believe the Board is probusiness. Not against the business.

MR. FARMER: Mr. Chairman, I have no further questions for Mr. Woldemariam, at this time.

## CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Adams?

MR. ADAMS: Yes.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. ADAMS:
Q All right. So, Mr. Woldemariam, you are required to make payments to the invoices, correct?

A Correct.
Q All right. And so in fact on each invoice it states that you are supposed to state
what invoice you are paying, correct?
A Yes.
Q All right. And so for today, do you have evidence -- you don't have evidence that Invoice 5403 was paid prior to January 23rd, do you?

A I have every invoice and can now copy of payment.

Q Okay.
A It could be on time, no time, no miscommunication, misunderstanding, I'm very aware about that.

Q Okay.
A But not unpaid bill.
Q For Invoice 5403, was there -- do you have -- have you provided -- you have not provided evidence that you paid 5403 prior to May 2018, correct?

A Whatever you call it, I am very aware about the invoices and other thing I am aware of is I have to go pay them in North Capitol. I always mention I pay.

Q No, I mean 5403.
A Individual numbers right now, I cannot recall from my head.

Q Okay.
A But $I$ am aware no unpaid bill.
Q Well, let me say this. You have -today you are not presenting any copies of any payments that you have made for the two invoices that we have discussed today, correct?

A It's they always remember, if they look at me, if they ask me, $I$ give copy to my lawyer. I have a copy in my office.

Q Actually, I need this to be a yes or no answer. Do you -- have you presented today while you are testifying, you have not provided copies of those payments, correct?

A No.

Q Okay. Thank you.
MR. ADAMS: I have no further
questions. $0 h$, yeah, no further questions.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Oh, did you--
MR. ADAMS: No further questions. I
apologize, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions by any Board Members? No?

Mr. Woldemariam?
the witness: Yes?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Smith testified that she emails you. Do you receive these emails from her?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. I receive my email, not quickly when I am busy and I don't have time to look at it, but I go back again and see some is correct, because I might not see the same day or next day, but I see it. And I see it and then I pay it right away.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. All right. Okay. Mr. Adams, any questions based on the one question that $I$ asked?

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. ADAMS:
Q So you do read your emails from Ms. Smith, correct?

A I do read.

Q Okay.
MR. ADAMS: Thank you, Mr. --
THE WITNESS: I don't right away if I'm busy, but I do. If I don't look at it the same time, but I look at it next day. Thank you.

MR. ADAMS: No further questions.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Farmer?
MR. FARMER: No further questions.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr.
Woldemariam, thank you very much for your testimony, sir. You can step down.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
MR. WOLDEMARIAM: Can I make a statement on record, if it's possible?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You can -- not while you are in the witness stand, so you can step down. When your lawyer does closing, if he wants you to make a statement, that's between you and your lawyer, sir.

MR. WOLDEMARIAM: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you have any other witnesses, sir?

MR. FARMER: I do not.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you rest?
MR. FARMER: I do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. All right. Any rebuttal witnesses?

MR. ADAMS: The District has no rebuttal witnesses.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you wish to make a closing?

MR. ADAMS: Yes, very quickly. Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, thank you for your time. I know this hearing has gone longer than we had initially expected.

This is -- the situation is very clear. In fact, I think Mr. Woldemariam encapsulated this perfectly. He speaks to the idea that $I$ believe it was stated in front of the Board that he doesn't believe that he really needs the RDO service, that he speaks when -during his direct examination saying that speaking about what he subjectively terms to be the work of the RDO and whether or not they are
working for him, we -- even though this has already been explained.

There is really two significant facts that are here or really four. The Board -- and frankly, the Board has acknowledged in its prior decisions regarding Green Island/Heaven \& Hell and this RDO situation that did the establishment have notice? The establishment did have notice.

The invoices -- he admits that he does read his email. He admits that he gets -- Mr. Woldemariam admits that he receives his invoices via email. And that's the way that -- that's the rules of the system. That there are two -- the only invoices that are of significance are the ones -- or the most significant are 5342 and 5403.

They were provided on a timely basis and both of those invoices ran, in terms of that they expired. One is December 30 th and one on January 13th.

We have already had stipulations that once you are past that 30 -day mark, you are, his
words, in suspension. In fact, for 5342 , he was not suspended at that time. In fact, he had been reinstated from a suspension in December and then suspended again and then reinstated in midJanuary for prior invoices that have not been paid.

He is on notice that of how invoices, when an invoice is to be paid. He has been on notice and he admits on cross-examination that when you pay an invoice, you pay the actual invoice number and there is notice on the invoice that that's what you do.

So for instance, so when we talk about this whole idea well, we he paid 5453, but he wasn't supposed to pay that, that's not something for the Board to really get -- to really consider or even try to make -- actually, adjust it whatsoever.

It misstates that if you mistakenly pay the wrong invoice, that's on you and you made that mistake and that there is no situation of equity where it's considered, it is the
establishment's responsibility to make the payments to the right thing. You -- these are business people. They run these -- there is -themselves as business. They have to pay attention to the rules, pay attention to those guidelines and to the District's system.

Even if there is a payment on January
30th, none that were for again 5342 and 5403, there has been no proof that before January 23rd those payments were made. There is no proof that after, immediately after, in the weeks afterwards, that those specific invoices were paid.

There is proof that Mr. Woldemariam was provided notice on January 19th that -- first of all, he was provided notice that there was already to be paid already. He was provided a second notice by Ms. Smith that he was delinquent and that he has to pay immediately and still no response by that time.

So the January 23rd beginning of
suspension is valid, because he had notice. He
didn't come around.
And then what happens afterwards regardless of what payments were made, if he didn't make it to those invoices, it doesn't matter. And he didn't come around to doing that until May 8, 2018. As a result, on -- for the four cases that we have here, on 23 occasions, he was cited for not having someone from the establishment there. Now, that is the clear orders of this Board that he must have reimbursable detail officers from Thursday to Sunday if you are open.

But on 23 occasions, he did not have that. He could have decided to pay what was disputed, but he did not. And he had the credit later, but he did not do that. He could have decided not to open because he knew that the Board had conditioned his license that required him to have RDO, knowing that he didn't have an RDO, but he chose to ignore that.

So in each instance, essentially, Mr.
Woldemariam wants things to go the -- based upon
some subjective interpretation that only Mr. Woldemariam is aware of and as a result, completely disregard the will of the Board, the policies of MPD, the policies of OCFO regarding payment.

So essentially, these four cases are completely 100 percent self-inflicted. Regarding the whole situation with 5453, again, if you don't -- if you remember, Board, just so the Board should take notice that we have dealt with this back in December on the two cases last -- in December for 18-CMP-00050 and 18-CMP-00049. They made the very same complaint regarding the very same invoice.

And if you could look at Exhibit 102, Exhibit 102 states that at some point they were put in conditional good standing prior to the subsequent suspension.

Anything regarding 5453 has no bearing whatsoever in terms of your analysis here, because it has already been covered and if anything, it shows that Ms. Smith has gone above
and beyond to make sure that this establishment: No. 1, didn't pay something that was invalid. She said that she told him not to pay and he paid it anyway.

No. 2, that essentially, you know, it winds up being a situation where, again, the establishment is just to keep track of its own records.

And really, No. 3, that he hasn't had any unfair charges that have been made.

The responsibility also -- there has been also complaints about police officers. Again, the theme that the District would really have here is that there is responsibility that must be taken by the establishment. You are responsible for keeping track of records for your officers.

You are responsible for making sure that the hours are properly submitted.

You are responsible for asking for credits. And in a situation with this establishment where it has a mandate, if you
don't do that, if you don't pay your invoices correctly, you are responsible. It's strict liability and, unfortunately, and until you accord your behavior correctly, you suffer the consequences.

There is four cases here. We deemed this -- I believe this is the second primary tier offense, based upon the calculations from before, the District -- the range is $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 4,000$. We recommend \$4,000 fines for each case, which would be a total of $\$ 16,000$.

## CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You said \$4,000

per case?
MR. ADAMS: Per case, total \$16,000. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Farmer?

MR. FARMER: Mr. Chairman, it was my hope the consideration that in consolidating these cases into one hearing, that they would be treated as one. I think we have shown, anyway I know we have previously discussed, I believe communication between Mr. Woldemariam and the police department and the administrators of the

RDO system are at best unclear and clearly noncomprehensive.

I think he has been left with a system that leaves him with no real true vehicle for complaint in terms of the rendering of the program, etcetera.

In previous orders, the Board has indicated that their only consideration was comply with the RDO. I think there is a little more to it than that. I don't think that even with Mr. Woldemariam's testimony, that it could be indicated that he had no intention of complying with the RDO nor should it be regarded that it affected his thumbing his nose at the Board or the system itself.

I think he has been presented with a system that can only be described as being very difficult to navigate if you will, in terms of compliance. It was simply a matter of writing a check, that check being rendered timely. That in itself would depend on an accurate rendering of services as described in the initial agreement,
that didn't occur.
And again, the accounting is very confusing. I don't think there is anything beyond that that really can be said.

In terms of closing, I'm going to turn it over per instruction of the client, Mr. Woldemariam.

MR. WOLDEMARIAM: Okay. Thank you for giving me this opportunity to be here, because I am a person in the business in Adams Morgan for 42 years in the business. There was all different type of business.

I have been in Heaven \& Hell for 39 years. I'm still the person to be established as a business start from busboy, dishwasher, waiter, bartender, manager, establishment. I have been well experienced to this point. Chef, as a cook as all that, but we will just say for, you know, public service.

And part of myself what I do, I wish everyone was run like me, to do like I do now. And I'm selling the business. I own my business.

I own the building and my wife will operate it next to me always.

This is not a playground. This is not about politics. I'm not a politician. I don't want to be in politics. I'm not a lawyer. I don't want to be a lawyer. And the issue is here, I've been dealing with this Board for the last 40 years. I have never expressed such a thing the city made where there is all the wrong. With all this stated, with all the D.C.

Government, I will not deny it, but the Board Order is a Board Order -- I have to follow it and I did.

Here is what has happened. There is
a - if any MPD cannot communicate with me what they do, which is wrong. We teaching our people wrong. We teaching our people different scenario. I am not going to buy that. And I'm not going to lose my life with this. I'm going to fight to the end of it.

But MPD have to be honest to work with us. We have to be honest to our people. We are
not playing at the playground. We are here with the public service, which is almost personal for them. The people they provide them for me. And I drew about 60, inside of my establishment, I was at my establishment.

And we open our eyes upon what we do in this kind of business and every establishment. I am not just by myself. I employ 50 employees. Those employees have families, raise kids, I'm proud of what $I$ do and $I$ am not going to give up, but $I$ know the way to fight, I'm a business man and I'm working for my life.

My life is not responsible -- no life is not protected. I'm nothing. I am nothing, just touch the D.C. Government. I'm a very clean hard man. My taxes paid. My employees is paid. Myself is paid. My place is clean representing better place than anyone in Washington, D.C. I have a cook, provide the food. I have a jazz club, like the music. I have the comedy, provide the public. Every one of them, even some of them who have been working here, come to the place,
nothing else to enjoy.
What else do we need here? What are we complaining about? Crime? What are we complaining about? Racial issues? What are we complaining about? Color issue? What is it here? The Board have to concern better than this. And I want you to know you've done a good job. You have seem do good job. Look at it, open your eyes. Where this case come from?

You have a person inside of you, right in this office. Open up. What is going on here? Investigator, good for you come to the party, before you come to the meeting.

I understand 100 percent. The Board is pro-business. Yes, you do. You do care about business. You do care about young generation come out to the business, maybe learn from me. I am the teacher of every young dealership and I'm responsible for it, because they can do it.

Can we encourage them or discourage them? Can we help them, desert them? Do we need something good here to rate by working hard?

That's the education. Oh, they want to receive a grant, make a claim, put them in your club.

My question is we are making mistake, we are pushing in the wrong direction for our people maybe because our MPD, our MPD we need the present Investigators. I can guarantee I can 100 percent every day I play the tape. I can show you here what they do, how they are, what they look for. It's a crime.

They separate the businesses. They separate the cars. Who is Officer Augustine? What does he have to do with us? Better do his job. They breaking the doors on all our stuff. Get them. We older men don't want them. We don't get the service.

Your Honor, people getting crime in Adams Morgan. We know. We are the business people who can help you weed it out. What do we need in our area in Adams Morgan? Safety and awareness. One of them is me.

Not, oh, I'm invested in people, they are going to Adams Morgan, buy me a beer. You
won't buy me, I'm going to give you crime. I'm going to have some after a bit. This is what happened in my only case.

Maybe even there, I want you to know 40 years ago I didn't have this case. Two years ago I have this case that people come to invest in Adams Morgan, one of them is a hotel, came up to me, you want to sell the business? I can't. And one building is asking \$2.1. He offered me $\$ 1.7$ and he buy my lawyer. My lawyer came with Eddie saying he is saying it's supposed to be and I said no, I will not sign any deed. No, sir, I will not sell.

Okay. I sell my building to Jerry Green, one of them, the other one 1 use in the business. Now, he is working with me -- against me and every meeting I was in, I said you can ask them, I have proof. And every ANC in Adams Morgan he has asked them, I am approved. I pay. This is where the person is coming. I'm sure the Board is not aware, but I will let you to be aware this is the case of just why I am right now
here.
And I am not going to buy. I am going to -- I don't care how much. They are not going to give it to me. I'm going to sit quiet against this right to prove and every business person in Washington, D.C., plus every citizen to be protected, that's what I'm standing for. I have kids. I have grown kids. I need safety as well as anybody else.

Thank you, Your Honor, for you giving me the opportunity. I appreciate it.

MR. FARMER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. ADAMS: Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes?
MR. ADAMS: Just obviously I represent the District of Columbia. For the record, any references outside of the case, particularly personal references to people in the office or people or employees and the police department or to Captain Augustine, I would like that to be stricken from the record.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I-MR. FARMER: Just since it was raised by -- communications with Mr. Augustine was raised by Ms. Smith, and so I believe by Mr. Silverstein, it is already in the record. I don't see any reason to have this stricken at this juncture.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And there were -- I know that Board Member Silverstein had read into the record certain things that I would have objected to, but there was no objection, so therefore, they were allowed in the record. So, so be it.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. The record is now closed.

Do the parties wish to file proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law or waive their right to do so?

MR. ADAMS: The District waives its right.

MR. FARMER: The client does.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. All right. The Board will issue a decision within 90 days.

As Chairperson of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board for the District of Columbia and in accordance with DC Official Code Section 2-574(b) of the Open Meetings Act, I move that the ABC Board hold a closed meeting for the purpose of seeking legal advice from our counsel on Case No. 18-CMP-00051, 18-251-00095, 18-25100084 and 18-251-00122, Heaven \& Hell, of the Open Meetings Act and deliberating upon Case No. 18-CMP-00051, 18-251-00095, 18-251-00084 and 18-251-00122, Heaven \& Hell, for the reasons cited in DC Official Code Section 2-574(b)(13) of the Open Meetings Act.

Is there a second?
MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Second.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Silverstein has seconded the motion.

I will now take a roll call vote on the motion before us now that it has been
seconded.
Mr. Silverstein?
MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Alberti?
MEMBER ALBERTI: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Cato?
MEMBER CATO: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Anderson?
I agree.
As it appears that the motion has passed, $I$ hereby give notice that the ABC Board will recess these proceedings to hold a closed meeting in the ABC Board conference room pursuant to Section 2-574(b) of the Open Meetings Act.

All right. This matter is now taken under advisement. Thank you very much for your presentation today.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. All right. Thank you.

MR. FARMER: Thank you.

MR. WOLDEMARIAM: Thank you. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We are off the record.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter was concluded at 12:33 p.m.)
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