DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

+ + + + + MEETING

IN THE MATTER OF: :

:

Top Shelf Group, LLC, : t/a Gryphon DC (The) :

1337 Connecticut Ave. NW : Fact Finding

Retailer CT : Hearing

License No. 90830 : Case #18-251-00141 :

:

(Interfered with an :Investigation) :

Wednesday, October 24, 2018

The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board met in the Alcoholic Beverage Control Hearing Room, Reeves Building, 2000 14th Street, N.W., Suite 400S, Washington, D.C. 20009, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson, presiding.

PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson NICK ALBERTI, Member BOBBY CATO, Member DONALD ISAAC, Member MIKE SILVERSTEIN, Member JAMES SHORT, Member REMA WAHABZADAH, Member ALSO PRESENT:

DAVID KARIM, APPLICANT

MAKAN SHIRAFKAN, APPLICANT'S ATTORNEY

CAMERON ROYSTER, ABRA INVESTIGATOR

SHAWN TOWNSAND, ABRA INVESTIGATOR

NATHAN CLARKE, DC MPD

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

STATEMENTS:

Cameron Royster, Investigator.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.6/	49
Nathan Clarke, MPD Officer	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		16
Makan Shirafkan, Attorney	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		28
Shawn Townsand, Investigator .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		48
David Karim, Applicant	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		.59
VIDEO:										
Video 1	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		.18

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	9:58 a.m.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The next case
4	on our calendar is Case No. 18-251-00141, Gryphon
5	DC, License No. 90830.
6	Would the parties, please, approach
7	and identify themselves for the record, please?
8	MR. SHIRAFKAN: Good morning, Mr.
9	Chair and Members of the Board. My name is Makan
10	Shirafkan. That's S-H-I-R-A-F, as Frank, K-A-N,
11	as Nancy. And I'm the counsel for licensee along
12	with one of the officers, Mr. David Karim, K-A-R-
13	I-M, representing the licensee.
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning,
15	Mr. Shirafkan.
16	MR. SHIRAFKAN: Good morning.
17	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning,
18	Mr. Karim.
19	MR. KARIM: Good morning.
20	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Good morning,
21	Cameron Royster, ABRA.
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning,

Mr. Royster.

OFFICER CLARKE: Good morning, Officer
Nathan Clarke, Metropolitan Police Department, 2nd
District.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning,
Officer Clarke.

OFFICER CLARKE: Good morning.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We have a FactFinding Hearing based on an incident that
occurred on, I think, about July 29th and so we -it was on our investigative report and the Board
thought that it was something that we think at
least on first blush appeared egregious, so
that's one of the reasons why we are having this
Fact-Finding Hearing today to have both the
Investigator, the officer and the licensee
provide us information, because when you have a
report, you can't ask questions to the report,
because you don't know.

So this is an opportunity to get some information. And again, this is a Fact-Finding Hearing. We can't order -- I'll just say it's a

Fact-Finding Hearing, so we are just trying to gather some information to figure out if there is anything else that the Board needs to do in this matter.

So I'll start with Investigator
Royster. Can you, please, tell us from your
perspective why we are here today?

INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Yeah. Well, first, I'm a little under the weather if I stop and cough a little bit, but so myself along with officers of MPD were assigned Sunday Day Party Enforcement on July 29th. After -- the specific list of locations were for the Dupont Circle area and one of the listed locations was Gryphon.

next to Gryphon, myself along with MPD Officer

Clarke next to me and Officer Sharma who

initiated the 251, the PD-251, approached Gryphon

and besides the line of patrons, there was two

employees of the establishment outside of the

establishment. One in a white collared shirt and

another in a black polo. A black polo with

nothing on it.

We approached ourselves, I identified myself as an ABRA Investigator along with MPD informing themselves that they were MPD officers while wearing full uniform. And we advised that we were conducting compliance checks along with the Sunday Day Party Enforcement.

I asked to speak to an ABC Manager or owner. So when we did that, the security officer stated that he would radio for the ABC Manager inside and he did that along with the other in the white shirt, he stated that he was the event promoter and he just stood next to him at that time.

So after waiting a little bit under 10 minutes or so, we approached the security officer again and informed him that since the ABC Manager still has not come outside of the establishment yet, in a couple of minutes we would be entering the establishment to make sure that everything is under compliance.

To which the security officer said he

understood and he radioed for an ABC Manager again. And at that time, I observed the person in the white shirt, the event promoter enter the establishment and go -- head towards the back of the establishment.

So after wait a couple more minutes or so, we approached the security officer again and informed him that we would be entering the establishment to make sure it's up to compliance.

And he said -- he stated he understood.

So after entering the establishment,
I observed the event promoter in the white shirt
in the back of the establishment speaking to
another security officer in the same type of
black polo. So I observed him. We made direct
eye contact, so I started heading towards him and
I see him actually point at me speaking to the
security officer at that time, too.

So I approached him along with MPD directly right behind me, Officer Clarke was right behind me.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Approach who?

	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Approached the
2	event I'm sorry, approached the event
3	promoter, who was in the back of the
4	establishment. And I'm with MPD also right
5	behind both MPD officers directly behind me. And
6	he first told me that the ABC Manager is in the
7	bathroom and that we could wait in this area that
8	is directly like directly behind where the
9	register is. And he said it's an open area as
10	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What I need you
11	to do is when you say he, you need to
12	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: I'm sorry about
13	that.
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: be specific
15	who, because there are two individuals involved,
16	so when we need to say who, be specific, who is
17	it here, because I don't know who you are talking
18	about.
19	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: I understand.
20	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
21	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: So the event
22	promoter, he stated that we could, myself along

with the MPD officer, wait in that certain area of the establishment. So the event promoter pointed to that area, so that's when I started leading the way to walk to that area and the security officer, he was standing directly to the event promoter's left and, at that time, he was just -- he is staring directly at myself along with officers. I believe he was listening to our conversation, so I attempt to walk right past him and that's when he stopped me and placed his hand directly on my chest and says --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: He who?

INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Yes, sorry.

The security officer places his hand on my chest and he was like no, no, you are not going over there. And at that time, that's when I take out my identification and my ABRA Badge and I advise him that I'm an ABRA Investigator along with MPD officers behind me as well conducting compliance checks.

And that security officer his first words were "I don't give a fuck about no badge.

That shit don't mean shit to me. I don't care who you with." And that's when MPD Officer Clarke actually told the security officer well, that we are just conducting compliance checks. And it's just a more open area and so that's where I proceeded.

I attempted to still walk by him and he is still kind of -- the security officer is still trying to get in our path, direction to that are, but eventually he -- eventually the security officer lets up and lets us go back to that area.

So after that incident occurred, the event promoter walked up to me again and stated that the ABC Manager was in the bathroom and would be out in a little bit, would be out shortly.

And so I requested from the event promoter his identification to which he stated he did not have any. And so I also asked the security officer as well for his identification and he stated, his first words were -- the

security officer's first words were "Nah, you're not getting shit from me."

And so --

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Would you repeat that?

INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: He said "Nah, you're not getting shit from me."

And so MPD Officer Clarke and MPD Officer Sharma as well, they requested from the security officer his identification and name as well and he refused to give his name and identification to MPD as well.

And so after that initial encounter occurred, after a couple minutes or so, one of the bartenders when we first initially walked in, I observed him serving people, he, the bartender, walked up to us and stated that he had his ABC Manager's License, but he was just a bartender and was not actually like the on-duty manager. So he provided me his ABRA, ABC Manager's License. And so there was still at least an ABC Manager there.

And so after that occurred, the actual manager, Christian Diep, approached myself and MPD officers and stated he was the manager on duty that night, the ABC Manager on duty that day. And so I requested from him his ABC Manager's License as well and he, Mr. Diep, stated that he didn't have his ABC Manager's License on him. He said his wallet had gotten stolen, so I requested from Mr. Diep if he had his regular identification?

And that's when he stated that he -- CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: He? Mr. --

I'm sorry.

Yeah, that's when Mr. Diep stated -- or that's when Mr. Diep took out -- I observed him take out something that looked like a wallet and gave me his actual D.C. driver's license.

INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER:

And so after stating -- after Mr. Diep stated he only had his driver's license, I advised him and Mr. Mehler, the other bartender with his ABC Manager's License, that since he had his ABC Manager's License on him, I would have to

complete the inspection with him to which they both agreed and complied.

So after that occurred, we completed the inspection and after we completed the inspection and MPD Officer Sharma, he informed me that he would be initiating a 251 because of the security officer placing his hands on me. So that's when I proceeded to start asking Mr. Diep more questions about the establishment and about their security.

And he informed me that they hire their security directly. They don't use any third-party agency. So I asked him about specific training that they do. He said there is only online training that they go through. And when I asked him what type of online -- when I asked Mr. Diep what type of online training they do, he couldn't provide any details about where, like what site, what they used at all.

And at that point, that's when I exited the establishment and upon returning to ABRA and the office, the first thing I did was

look up Mr. Diep's license and I observed that it was actually expired on January 14th, I believe, of 2018.

And so at that point, I debriefed my supervisor, Supervisor Townsand, and he informed me that we should contact Mr. Diep and inform him that his license was expired. And after debriefing my supervisor, I also looked more in the ABRA records and in the initial application to Gryphon, they stated in their application that they would have a detailed security plan along with a professionally trained security team. And through ABRA records, I also confirmed with the Legal Division as well, there is no security plan submitted since the establishment has been open.

So I believe on -- after the incident occurred, I believe a week later, I guess, on August 7th, myself along with Supervisor Shawn Townsand, we contacted Mr. Diep to inform him about his license and he informed us that he had realized by that time already that his license was expired and he had obtained a new license at

that time already. 1 2 And so by that point, we requested video surveillance footage of the incident, 3 4 which, you know, further confirms and we received 5 that, the footage, on August 12th and that further confirms and shows the incident occurring. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Just a quick 8 question. So does Mr. Diep have a current ABC 9 Manager's License? Do you know whether or not he has a current license? 10 11 INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Yes. Yes, he 12 has a current license. Now, when I checked -- I 13 don't have the numbers in front of me, but --14 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But he does have a current license? 15 16 INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Yes. 17 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. That's 18 it? 19 INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Yes. 20 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. 21 Officer Clarke, what can you add to this, please? 22 OFFICER CLARKE: Good morning, again,

Chairman. My encounter was with the security personnel working at Gryphon that Agent Royster encountered. I advised him that we were here to conduct a compliance check. I did need his name at least. He didn't have to completely provide me his ID, but I needed his name for the report.

He refused and I told him that we -I'm encouraging this cooperation. This is going
to be recorded as an ABRA incident that he is not
complying. He still refused. I did inform Mr.
Diep that, please, know that the security
personnel was not complying. I asked Mr. Diep to
provide his name, that was not provided to us.

I did hear the profanity directed at Agent Royster when he identified himself to the security officer as an Agent of ABRA. I was directly behind Mr. Royster at the time. And the conduct of the security officer was definitely aggressive and was uncalled for.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Did -what, if anything, did the police do regarding -was any type of report, formal report filed?

1	OFFICER CLARKE: Yes, there was.
2	There was an incident report, an ABRA incident
3	report. There was no complaint at the time for a
4	criminal investigation.
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Are
6	there videos there that you want to share with
7	us? I'm
8	OFFICER CLARKE: Yes, sir.
9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: asking.
10	OFFICER CLARKE: I can show you the
11	video now if you would like.
12	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
13	(Video 1 Played)
14	OFFICER CLARKE: So that's a security
15	officer there.
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What is he
17	wearing?
18	OFFICER CLARKE: Black. He had a
19	black shirt, no security or anything on it.
20	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
21	OFFICER CLARKE: Soon you will see the
22	event promoter walking up to the security officer

1	telling him about well, you can see him
2	pointing towards the front of the establishment.
3	MEMBER ALBERTI: Is that the event
4	promoter?
5	OFFICER CLARKE: No, that's not him.
6	MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay.
7	OFFICER CLARKE: That individual right
8	there is the event promoter in the white button
9	up shirt and the hat.
10	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
11	MEMBER SHORT: With the black hat?
12	OFFICER CLARKE: Yes. I believe he is
13	looking for the manager.
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So where are
15	you know?
16	OFFICER CLARKE: At that point, we are
17	still outside waiting still.
18	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
19	OFFICER CLARKE: We had been waiting.
20	That's right after I informed them we would be
21	going in in a couple of minutes.
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.

1	OFFICER CLARKE: That's when he
2	points. And that's me coming up right there with
3	the officer behind. And that's basically that
4	part. And then throughout the time he is just
5	acting aggressive towards us later on. This is
6	where I request for identification from both of
7	them. And that is Sean Mehler, the bartender.
8	And that's Christian Diep right there.
9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Which what
10	is he
11	OFFICER CLARKE: The one in the orange
12	and the hat.
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
14	OFFICER CLARKE: That's the manager on
15	duty.
16	MEMBER SHORT: And did he he had a
17	valid
18	OFFICER CLARKE: He had a valid
19	identification, yes.
20	MEMBER ALBERTI: But not a manager's
21	license?
22	OFFICER CLARKE: No, not on him.

1	MEMBER ALBERTI: But the bartender had
2	a current manager's license, but Mr. Diep didn't?
3	OFFICER CLARKE: Yes. At some point
4	later on, then Officer Sharma had an encounter
5	with the security officer as well.
6	MEMBER SHORT: The same security
7	person that put his hands on you?
8	OFFICER CLARKE: Yes.
9	MEMBER ALBERTI: May I ask a quick
10	question while we are watching?
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr.
12	Alberti.
13	MEMBER ALBERTI: Investigator Royster,
14	this seems like a really calm night.
15	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Yes.
16	MEMBER ALBERTI: And so
17	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Afternoon.
18	MEMBER ALBERTI: when I read this
19	report, I'm thinking what is the motive for the
20	security guard to even confront you and try to
21	get in your way. And I'm not seeing any. And
22	I'm just putting that out there. Can you was

1	there anything that you could have seen as
2	motivation?
3	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: I never have
4	seen him before or anything. I am not sure why.
5	MEMBER ALBERTI: And it wasn't because
6	of the events of the night or the way it was
7	operating?
8	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: No, it was
9	around approximately 3:15 p.m. midday.
10	MEMBER ALBERTI: Oh, yeah, it looks
11	like a pretty normal
12	OFFICER CLARKE: Sunday afternoon.
13	MEMBER ALBERTI: crowd, yeah.
14	MR. SHIRAFKAN: I can address that if
15	you want.
16	MEMBER ALBERTI: Pardon?
17	MR. SHIRAFKAN: I can address that if
18	you want.
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, you will
20	get a chance.
21	MEMBER ALBERTI: You will get a
22	chance.

1	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr.
2	Royster.
3	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Oh, I was going
4	to say this is I'm not sure if they were
5	standing at the counter where I was.
6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What else is
7	there in the video?
8	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: I don't believe
9	there is anything else that really is
10	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Huh?
11	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: I don't
12	believe there is anything else we really need to
13	see.
- 4	
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. All
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. All right. Thank you.
15	right. Thank you.
15 16	right. Thank you. INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Exit out?
15 16 17	right. Thank you. INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Exit out? CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Huh?
15 16 17 18	right. Thank you. INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Exit out? CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Huh? INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Exit out?
15 16 17 18 19	right. Thank you. INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Exit out? CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Huh? INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Exit out? CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes.

1	frame is fine.
2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Okay.
3	MR. SHIRAFKAN: I just want to refer
4	to it.
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
6	(Video 1 Stopped)
7	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, Mr.
8	Royster or Mr Officer Clarke, do you have
9	anything else you want to add before I turn to
10	Mr. Shirafkan?
11	OFFICER CLARKE: No.
12	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: No, Board
13	Members.
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
15	Thank you. All right. Mr. Shirafkan, I'm going
16	to start with the easy questions, a softball, he
17	always throws a softball.
18	Has the establishment provided ABRA
19	with its security plan?
20	MR. SHIRAFKAN: I am not I wasn't
21	aware of the need for a security plan.
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I thought

1	oh, I'm sorry, maybe I misunderstood. Mr.
2	Royster, you had said, what was it about a
3	security plan?
4	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Their initial
5	application, they stated they would have a
6	detailed security plan with a professional
7	trained security team.
8	MR. SHIRAFKAN: I'll talk to them. I
9	don't know that, because I just heard that there
10	was an issue with the security plan. So it's
11	not
12	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Didn't you read
13	the report, Mr. Shirafkan?
14	MR. SHIRAFKAN: Mr. Chair, there was
15	nothing in I didn't see anything.
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: It's not in the
17	report?
18	MR. SHIRAFKAN: I didn't see anything
19	in the report regarding that
20	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Is it in the
21	
<u> </u>	report, Mr. Royster?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Where is it in
the report?
MR. SHIRAFKAN: Oh, in the report.
INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: I was going to
say it's Exhibit No. 4.
MR. SHIRAFKAN: That's an exhibit, but
in the report that I read as far as what they
have done
INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: It's
MR. SHIRAFKAN: there was nothing
in the report that says they were supposed to
provide a security plan and they didn't.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Oh, so that's
not is that
MR. SHIRAFKAN: It's not in the
report.
INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: That's on the
last page it states after further review of ABRA
records, that there was not a security plan.
Page 4.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So you're

1 Mr. Royster? 2 INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: At the top of page 4, "The review of ABRA records revealed that 3 4 they did not have a security plan." CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And then you 5 said however, the licensee's ABC application 6 7 states that. 8 MR. SHIRAFKAN: Now, I don't know your 9 -- Mr. Chair, I don't know the answer whether they have it. I don't believe they have. 10 All right. 11 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 12 MR. SHIRAFKAN: But I can look into 13 providing that. 14 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, Mr. Shirafkan, I thought I was giving you a softball. 15 16 MR. SHIRAFKAN: I thought so, too. 17 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I mean you 18 know, you start soft and then you go to the more 19 difficult. And I -- but I failed, so therefore 20 if my softball question is the -- was difficult, 21 then I'm not sure where we go from here.

anyway, I'll just leave it at that.

So well let me ask -- well, what do 1 2 you have to say on behalf of your client, sir? Well, the question 3 MR. SHIRAFKAN: 4 just so everyone knows, what we are looking at in 5 that picture, where is and why would the security even prevent anyone from going there? And 6 7 earlier in the video you saw even a customer, the 8 lady with the striped dress was trying to come 9 back to this area and the security kind of put his hands up like where are you going? 10 11 There was a food line that was for 12 buffet. 13 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Shirafkan, 14 if this case goes to Show Cause, I don't know if -- what the Board is going to do, then, at that 15 16 point -- so I think where we are right now, maybe 17 the -- both the police officer and our 18 Investigator stated that the Investigator -- we 19 went to the establishment, tried to make contact 20 and they were prevented.

this proceeding, to tell us, I mean, is that

So I think it is better, at least for

21

true? Why did that occur? I mean, the promoter, the security, I mean, is this person still working for the establishment? And does this represent this establishment?

MR. SHIRAFKAN: One of the things that I'll say in this -- my understanding is that this case has already been sent to OAG, so I am maybe a little bit limited as far as what we can argue, because at least the report that I got says this has already gone to OAG. I can't understand why we are having a Fact-Finding if this has already gone to OAG?

Nevertheless, I was just trying to address as far as what everything was, why would even there be a security there? No, this doesn't represent the establishment. What you see is not someone actively having a motive, trying to prevent anyone from seeing anything.

The security staff all have been retrained again, gone over and have gotten certificates to understand that we understand to check IDs. We understand you check badges. We

understand we tell you to protect whatever area
we tell you to do. But you need to be more
vigilant of who is presenting what, especially if
they are not in uniform.

We understand we may see the uniform, but then not see uniform, but when they pull a badge, identification, whatnot, you need to be more vigilant to what is that person. So they had that talk, they had that training since this incident. And all security has gone through another training to understand that even stepping in someone's way or whatnot, it's -- it doesn't-- the standard is not if you just put your hands and say that's it, nobody can go. So they have had that training.

With regards to why this happened, from what we have gathered is a miscommunication of the promoter pointing out to MPD. Security thinking MPD is coming, fine, but then who is this person coming in plain uniform and within a few seconds, I think, the Investigator and the security kind of set off in the wrong tone to

each other and there it goes. And from there on, 1 2 it just becomes where we are. Providing identification, I don't 3 4 believe anyone was asked, anyone else. I mean, 5 the officer stated that he had asked him for identification. But no one else was asked, the 6 7 managers. I mean, anyone -- the establishment. 8 Now, we are not talking about just the security. 9 You asked me how did the establishment operate. 10 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, the security -- well, I would assume security is not 11 12 somebody who just came off the street and just 13 showed up at the establishment today to say I'm 14 security. You know what I mean? MR. SHIRAFKAN: I understand. 15 16 understand. 17 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Meaning that 18 security is the establishment. So I don't want 19 you -- there should be no separation. 20 Well, here is the --MR. SHIRAFKAN: 21 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Security is the 22 establishment. So what does security, if someone

comes, if an ABRA Investigator shows up, why is it that a security -- what is it that the security is told if an ABRA Investigator shows up, provides proper identification, what does that mean?

MR. SHIRAFKAN: That's the key. I

haven't seen the Investigator's Badge. And I don't know what it looks like.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Shirafkan?
MR. SHIRAFKAN: Yes.

what his badge looks like. The question I'm saying to you, sir, as far as the security is concerned, if an ABRA Investigator, if someone shows up, shows a badge and say that I'm an ABRA Investigator, what does that mean to the security? What does that mean to the ABC Manager? What does that mean to the establishment? What does that mean?

If someone shows up to say -- who clearly identifies, shows a badge, I don't know what the badge looks like, it could be halloween,

I don't know.

MR. SHIRAFKAN: Okay. Well --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But what is it that -- what's the appropriate response when that occurs?

MR. SHIRAFKAN: Mr. Chairman, in practicality of the nightlife, there are people with all sorts of badges, right? And there are people who abuse badges and there are people that show up with badges. We cannot tell our -- I know you asked me what the standard is? Sure, we have lightened even the standard of even if a halloween badge comes, well, you need to do something. I guess you have to pull back.

But the staff should be trained so that they can verify who the person is. As soon as they verify this person is who he claims to be or the person in uniform says this person is good and step out of the way, they have got to step out of the way.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I think, Mr. Shirafkan, in my position and I think this is

even more, and I'm going to say it's more,
egregious, maybe if Mr. Royster showed up by
himself and showed a badge and then one could say
well, you know, maybe it's phony.

But he showed up and there were clearly two MPD officers who showed up with him. And so I'm just concerned that if an ABRA Investigator shows up with two MPD officers, who are clearly marked, clearly in uniform, and if they get -- if he receives that response, what would have happened if he showed up by himself and there were no MPD officers there? That's the part of it that I need to get clarification from.

MR. SHIRAFKAN: This is what I want you guys to look, the Board to look at. We see the video. We all know who the Investigator is. So we see an Investigator coming with two MPD officers.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We are not talking about the video. The video doesn't exist. The video doesn't exist. Forget about the video, because most of the times, we don't

have video and we do have video.

What I'm hearing is that here is an ABRA Investigator showed up and on top of this, the ABRA Investigator showed up with two clearly marked and identified MPD officers. The Investigator, and I don't think there is any dispute, says this is why I'm here today. I was watching the video and I was about to question.

I was going to ask you how large is this establishment? Because I'm waiting for this ABC Manager to show up. I mean, like how large is the establishment? What -- I could understand if the security guard says okay, all right, we will get the ABC Manager.

But what is taking so long for this person to show up? I mean, how large is this establishment?

MR. SHIRAFKAN: Well, let me address
the issue of we keep saying when the ABC
Investigator shows up. When an Investigator
shows up and he is identified as an Investigator,
absolutely everyone must comply with whatever the

investigator says.

But we have to also understand

Investigators show up in plain uniform. The

fact pattern the Investigator gave initially

there is no one told him this is an Investigator.

His own report says that first security steps in

front of him and then he says I'm an ABRA

Investigator. Here is my badge. And at that

point, the demeanor is changed, at that point.

And that's where I want to draw the line. A person that shows up, I just want to be clear, look, yes, we have told everyone, everyone understands that ABRA Investigators have the ultimate power to come and do whatever is necessary that they need to do. There is no dispute on that.

But what we have to address is the fact that for our staff, they have to have some sort of way and they have to be judged for their action after the Investigator has been identified as an Investigator.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well --

1 MEMBER ALBERTI: Can I ask Mr. Royster 2 a question? Just on --CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Alberti? 3 4 MEMBER ALBERTI: -- one point. 5 Mr. Royster, I'm looking at your report. Investigator Royster, I'm looking at your report 6 7 and it says you approached the main entrance of 8 the Gryphon and were met by one male security 9 officer and the male employee who stated that he 10 was a promoter. Is that correct? 11 INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Yes. 12 MEMBER ALBERTI: And it says here that 13 you told that security officer that you were an 14 ABRA Investigator? INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: 15 16 MEMBER ALBERTI: So Mr. Shirafkan, 17 look, I'm not buying this. I'm not buying this 18 waving of the hands that he didn't know who he 19 If the security officer at the front was. 20 doesn't relay that message to the security 21 officer at the back, you've got a problem. 22 have a real problem and it affects how our

Investigators do their job.

So I'm looking at this thing in totality and it's not giving me a whole lot of confidence. And it's not making me buy your view of what happened that evening.

about to say, Mr. Shirafkan, I mean, I know you are an attorney. You are arguing the point for your client. But I think, as I said before, that's an argument for -- and I don't recall if we -- if it was sent on to Show Cause, so I'm not going to say. I don't recall, so I can't -- you said that you were told. Off the top of my head, I don't remember.

But I'm just saying we are just gathering facts. And I think the bottom line then, as you all know, ABRA Investigators they do not wear a uniform. MPD does. So anyone can show up. They have a badge and I -- they have a badge.

And if they show a badge and I think the concern that I'm having is like you asked if

you are asking the security guards for ID, and the language about I don't need to, I don't understand that, because this -- if this is the first contact that the public has with your establishment, that's not setting a good example, because most people when they enter an establishment, the first person that they get in contact with is your security staff.

MR. SHIRAFKAN: I agree --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And that person represents the establishment. And that is going to set the tone whether or not the person is going to have a good or a bad time once they -- once or if they are allowed to enter the establishment.

MR. SHIRAFKAN: Okay. 100 percent I agree the tone, the demeanor, those I absolutely agree. And I am not here to say well, even if this wasn't an Investigator and it was a customer who just showed a badge and wants to get in the line or get by where our office and money and everything else is, still, it would have to,

because that's where the office is, be well, hold on. Let me get a manager. I 100 percent agree that their reaction should not have been the way it is.

And that has completely been stressed to everyone to understand that even if this wasn't an Investigator, that was uncalled for.

That didn't need to happen. That part of it is 100 percent there.

The only issue that I just want the
Board to understand is that this issue of
blocking the way, we know this is an
Investigator. If I saw an Investigator and I
don't know him and he told me he here is my
badge, I would ask for identification. MPD is
behind him. I don't know if he is a customer and
MPD is walking behind him or not.

I'm just telling you what I would do.

I would ask for his identification to verify that
is what it is. I'm just telling you, you know,
what I would do.

Now, with our staff, I'm asking the

Board what does the Board want me to do? Does the Board want me to say anybody who shows a badge, just a badge without identification then let him through or does the badge have something with a picture ID and that's why I said I wanted to see it, because I don't know what it looks like. I wasn't trying to make mockery of it. I was trying to see if it, in fact, has a picture or something next to it that says look, this is my badge and here is who I am. That's what I was trying to understand.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't know,
Mr. Shirafkan. I'm not seeing it -- from my
understanding, it's a badge. There is no
picture. And whether or not there is -- and
that's insignificant whether or not there is a
picture on it or not, because you know what we
are dealing with. And you are very familiar with
fake ID.

And so therefore, if someone comes in with an identification and there is a picture there and there are issues there, so that's not--

1	that's insignificant. Mr. Short has
2	MR. SHIRAFKAN: I apologize.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: a question.
4	MR. SHIRAFKAN: I think if the
5	security and that's why I go back to demeanor.
6	I think if security had had I been that
7	security, if the security had said man, I'm
8	sorry, people show me all sorts of stuff and two
9	seconds of different demeanor, I think if he had
10	used different language and different demeanor of
11	look I have never seen you. I don't know you.
12	And within two seconds, MPD would have told him
13	well, no, he is, in fact, who he is, things would
14	have not gotten here.
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And before
16	I'm going to have Mr. Short and you can't use
17	that argument, because the ABRA Investigator
18	doesn't typically show up with a police officer.
19	So
20	MR. SHIRAFKAN: I understand that.
21	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: the ABRA
22	Investigator usually shows up either one or two

	bodies other than police there, so we can't use					
2	an analogy. It has to be that the security staff					
3	has to be trained, that if the ABRA Investigator					
4	comes in, the ABRA Investigator clearly					
5	identifies him or herself, what is it what's					
6	their response? What is there reaction?					
7	And it is then there is a question and					
8	then you are asking for ID and it's like I don't					
9	need to give you any ID and the demeanor. That's					
10	not but go ahead, Mr. Short.					
11	MEMBER SHORT: Well, this question is					
12	to the police officer and Investigator. And					
13	thank you both.					
14	Officer, did you hear the language					
15	that was described said to our Investigator?					
16	OFFICER CLARKE: Yes, sir.					
17	MEMBER SHORT: By the employee?					
	OFFICER CLARKE: Yes, sir.					
18						
18 19	MEMBER SHORT: You heard that foul					
	MEMBER SHORT: You heard that foul language?					
19						
19						

1	hand on and touch him?			
2	OFFICER CLARKE: I did not see that			
3	portion. I saw on the video, but I didn't see it			
4	live when I was there.			
5	MEMBER SHORT: But you were close			
6	enough to hear the coarse language to a DC			
7	Government official?			
8	OFFICER CLARKE: Yes, I was, sir.			
9	MEMBER SHORT: In the performance of			
10	his duty?			
11	OFFICER CLARKE: Yes, I was, sir.			
12	MEMBER SHORT: Investigator Royster,			
13	isn't there something in the code that says			
14	Investigators or ABRA Investigators are not to be			
15	impeded. So you waited at the front door for how			
16	long?			
17	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Approximately			
18	10 minutes or so.			
19	MEMBER SHORT: So before you even came			
20	in the front door, you told someone with the			
21	police officers and the police officer will bear			
22	witness to that.			

1 So you were at the front door. 2 haven't even got into the establishment, you say I'm ABRA Investigator. 3 4 INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Yes. MEMBER SHORT: And I'm here for a 5 regulatory inspection. And you are told wait 6 here until I let you in? 7 8 INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Yes, sir. 9 MEMBER SHORT: Is that normal? 10 INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Not usually. I mean, but with our jobs, we are allowed to 11 12 technically go in just at that moment, but out of 13 courtesy, sometimes I let them wait, just because it's their establishment. I wait for them 14 usually. But after a certain period of time, 15 16 that's when you don't know what is going on in 17 there, so you have to -- so you have to tell, 18 that's why I informed him again that we would be 19 going in in a few minutes. 20 MEMBER SHORT: I think you and your 21 supervisors need to work around that, because if

the code says you are not to be impeded --

INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Yes.

MEMBER SHORT: -- and even if you are giving the courtesy, then sometimes you will open yourself up to be treated like just John Doe off the street, rather than an official of the District of Columbia Government.

INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Yes, sir.

MEMBER SHORT: And I don't take kindly to anyone, businesses or people that have licenses to sell alcohol in the city to put their hands on an Investigator, in the first place, but the foul language is inexcusable. That is not anything anybody can explain to me in any kind of manner. That's uncalled for and it's disrespectful of not only the badge that you have and the -- that you have as an official, but to the whole District Government that someone would talk like that to an official.

Because that means they think they are above the law and we have enough of that going on in this country as it is. We don't need that in all of our establishments.

MR. SHIRAFKAN: Yes, sir, I agree.

MEMBER SHORT: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

That's all I have.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Any

you want to make, sir?

other questions by any other Board Members?

All right. I'm going to wrap this up.

Officer Clarke, do you have any final comments

OFFICER CLARKE: I just want to say quickly that I did identify myself to the security officer and told him Investigator Royster was an ABRA Investigator and that we needed his name and he refused to comply.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, Officer
Clarke, I believe that if you have to identify
yourself as an officer, based on the way you were
addressing the video, then I think we do have a
problem, but I'm just saying that not -- I see
the way you are dressed today, but I did see the
video and I thought there was another officer
with you. And if you had to identify yourself as
an officer in an establishment, then I think

that, based on the totality of, we have a significant issue.

I mean, I see Mr. Townsand is here.

Do you want to make a statement, sir?

INVESTIGATOR TOWNSAND: Yes, good morning. Shawn Townsand, Supervisory

Investigator with ABRA. I just wanted to add that I did respond to the establishment shortly after Investigator Royster notified me of what had occurred. I spoke to the ABC Manager, Mr.

Diep, also the promoter and told them that it was unacceptable of the establishment to treat the Investigator that way. They agreed.

about his interaction with Investigator Royster. He stated that his -- he has experienced or made contact with patrons or other members -- other patrons, visitors of the establishment who use badges to get by -- you know, to gain entry, who are not actually working or not Government officials in general.

So his response indicated to me that

he -- regardless of the badge, he wasn't sure if Investigator Royster was actually an ABRA Investigator.

However, I did inform him that the
Investigator was with MPD and he displayed his
badge upon entering the establishment and, at
that point, if he was unsure whether or not
Investigator Royster was on official Government
business, he should have contacted his manager as
soon as possible and allowed his manager to
intervene and confirm whether or not Investigator
Royster was on official business.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.

INVESTIGATOR TOWNSAND: No problem.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Investigator

Royster, any final comments you want to make?

INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Yes. The one thing I can add my badge, there is a picture of

me next to my badge and I showed both to him. I

showed the picture ID as well, so just to add

21 that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr.

Shirafkan, he just made your life more difficult.

So but as an attorney, you have to advocate on

behalf of your client, so any final comments,

sir?

MR. SHIRAFKAN: Mr. Short, you said authority of a badge and respecting the badge.

And I am not -- attorney or not, I am not the one who is going to sit here and say that we should not respect the badge or we should not respect the authority of any badge or authority.

And I also want to let you know that there are those who abuse that authority and who abuse that badge and they use the badge. And when individuals are faced with a quick show of badges, and I'm not saying this officer -- I mean, given the picture, I agree with him.

I just want you to also understand that we cannot tell our staff that anyone who shows a badge one second, let them do whatever, because that exposes the business and everything that we have got to hands of those who abuse the badge.

In this scenario, a few seconds went wrong and it wasn't dealt with properly. And so by no means am I here to say that we are not to respect the ABRA Investigators who come to or have them go through 10 questions before they can do anything. That's not.

all I ask is there be a mutual understanding that you are a good officer, but there are those out there who are not and just keep that in mind that -- give them a few seconds to understand that you are the legitimate person, that's all.

And with regards to the comment with the MPD hearing this foul language, I would hope and I don't know the truth, but I would hope that that was prior to identification of I am telling him that he is actually ABRA, which either way the foul language is wrong even if it was a customer. I'm not condoning it.

I just want to put it into perspective of when the language was used for me to understand, because the security says that's not

what I said. When I didn't know who he was and he said I just want to get through, well, MPD I say who are you? I don't know who you are. And I hope that that was prior.

MEMBER SHORT: I can respect your point of view and leading up to that, because we do know there are people that do try to abuse or misrepresent themselves as Government officials. But when you have two Metropolitan Police Officers with that individual, that's a pretty good indication that this is not a fraud.

And when you tell the police officers along with the ABRA person wait here for 10 minutes, you can't come in my establishment, then there is a problem. There is a miscommunication of some sort. And most businesses that ABRA isn't associated with in this town, nightlife or otherwise, when an official of the District Government shows his badge with his ID and picture and he has officers with him, they can't impede him coming into that establishment.

Our Code Book reads to that, the

Metropolitan Police Code reads to that, so again if the training is taking place and I think just somebody said professional training is taking place, then they will -- that training will definitely tell them never put their hands on an official of the District Government and never to -- if you have two police officers in uniform, there is no excuse.

MEMBER ALBERTI: May I make a quick point?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr. Alberti.

MEMBER SHORT: Thank you.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Mr. Shirafkan, I think we are trying to -- I'm sorry, but you are really distracting from the main point here.

So our Investigators go in and they always -- they ask for a manager, because one of the expectations they have is that they are going to be escorted in and if there isn't a manager available and another employee says I will escort you in, they will accept that. All right?

That's their training.

So to tell me well, somebody shows up with a badge and we don't know if it's them and we shouldn't let them in, if the person with the badge doesn't acquiesce to being escorted by an employee, well, then you know you have got a problem.

But you have to understand and you better give this message to everybody that you deal with in the industry, our Investigators have the expectation that someone will escort them.

And that's your -- that's how you can rely on whether or not you can trust their badge.

is no excuse for saying I can't trust that badge, because the Investigators themselves will come to you with the demeanor of okay, I'm here, the employee is going to escort me in, you know, that's it.

I don't want to be left on my own. I expect to be babysat by an employee the entire time I am here.

1 MR. SHIRAFKAN: And I agree with you. 2 And I am not trying to go under. You're right. That part, dropping the ball, that somebody 3 should have -- if the manager is in the bathroom, 4 5 somebody else should have walked him, the promoter should have been clear. If he is the 6 7 one that walked in, then take the responsibility and I say that because I want you to know that is 8 9 what is being now told to the staff. 10 MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Okay. 11 This ain't a MR. SHIRAFKAN: 12 children's game. When they come to the door, you 13 -- the front person obviously verified who it is, 14 so when you walk him in, you are responsible now to make sure they know what is going on. 15 16 And I won't make an excuse or try to 17 argue that at the door somebody dropped the ball 18 to try to make sure this message is clear. I 19 just wanted to make sure we are not messing up 20 the two mistakes or whatnot. 21 MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay.

MR. SHIRAFKAN: One is I know you are

an ABRA Investigator. I say I don't care who you 1 2 are and I'm going to put my hands on your chest. That's not -- that's a different wrong than 3 4 somebody miscommunicating hey, the guy behind me 5 I look at him and then get off the I just want to make sure I'm clear 6 wrong path. 7 on that. 8 MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. We understand. 9 MR. SHIRAFKAN: Sure. 10 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr. 11 Shirafkan, I'm reading the report and this is 12 what the report says. After the -- Mr. Royster 13 investigate -- identified himself, the security 14 guard said I don't give a "blank" about no badge. 15 I don't care who you are. That badge doesn't 16 mean "blank" to me." 17 And later on even the promoter was 18 asked for ID and the promoter said "You ain't 19 getting "s" from me." 20 INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: No, the 21 security officer said that.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON:

22

The security

officer. So it -- so there are -- I'm just saying in the report, these are what your promoter or what your security is telling us is that I'm not even going to give you. It doesn't mean nothing. So it's not a matter of that someone shows up and you are unsure what it means.

It's that you are just saying I don't care who you are. I don't -- don't show me any badge. I have no respect for the authority. I don't have any respect for you or for the respect of your office, because I have this job that I'm going to do and I don't care. And I think that is the issue that the Board -- and I think that's one of the reasons why the Board is very concerned that not necessarily the language, but the demeanor to both our ABRA employee and the police officer.

And I'm saying it is more troubling because there are police officers with the employee. I mean, a lot of times we don't have that. It's just the employee. You have two

Investigators show up and then it's -- but 1 2 Officer Clarke doesn't work for ABRA, so therefore he can give an independent version, so 3 this is not two employees covering for 4 5 themselves, for each other. I'm not saying you are saying that. 6 7 MR. SHIRAFKAN: I never said that. 8 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But I'm just --9 I'm not saying you are saying it, but I'm just saying normally we have just two ABRA employees 10 11 and one could easily say well, you know, they are covering for each other, because they work for 12 13 the same Agency. 14 Here it is a different Agent of the 15 Government was there to experience all of that, 16 so --17 MR. SHIRAFKAN: That's why we are 18 trying to learn here. I mean, I'm trying to make 19 sure we are learning on what to exactly say at 20 the front door, what mistakes was done. 21 The demeanor is what caused everything 22 else here as well. You're right, I don't

challenge it. If you have to question it, it's guilty. And I understand the Board's concern being we have ABRA Officers out there for going, investigating and they want to be babysat as soon as they get to the front, in a good term, but we are not trying to have anybody put their hands on them, assault him or try to give him a hard time.

I think the licensee wanted to say something as well.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir.

MR. KARIM: I just wanted first to apologize for this incident that happened and the way one of my employees talked to him. After listening to the -- to him and the officer, that employee will not be working with me.

I have been in this business nightlife for 28 years and I think Mr. Silverstein and Mr. Alberti have seen me before, but this has never happened with any of my employees before. I'm sorry that it happened.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Well, thank you for the representation you made,

sir. 1 2 MEMBER ALBERTI: I will admit that you have been here before. I was a little surprised 3 4 to --5 MR. KARIM: Yes. MEMBER ALBERTI: -- see this report. 6 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. 7 8 All right. MEMBER ALBERTI: 9 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. 10 MEMBER ALBERTI: Because your 11 reputation does precede you. 12 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And one other, 13 I'm going to start the way I ended, Mr. 14 Shirafkan. Your application -- the applicant's application to the Agency in 2015, basically, 15 16 said that we have a detailed security plan with a 17 professional and trained security team. 18 When is it that -- that summary is in 19 the application, page 4 of the application. And so when is it that your establishment will 20 21 provide an approved security plan to this Agency?

Can that be provided within the next 30 days?

1	MR. SHIRAFKAN: Yes.						
2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So						
3	that's the only thing I'm going to that is the						
4	only thing that is on the record that you have						
5	agreed to provide this Agency with an updated and						
6	detailed security plan to the Agency.						
7	MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Chair?						
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr. Short?						
9	MEMBER SHORT: May I finish what I was						
10	saying?						
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes.						
12	MEMBER SHORT: Who did the training?						
13	And can you provide a training certificate from						
14	the company doing training?						
15	MR. SHIRAFKAN: Well, I believe it's						
16	the host training, Robert Smith. It is a						
17	training and yes, you						
18	MEMBER SHORT: Okay.						
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: If you can						
20	provide that to us?						
21	MEMBER SHORT: And if you have that,						
22	yes, provide that the certificate.						

1	MR. SHIRAFKAN: All right.			
2	MEMBER SHORT: Thank you.			
3	MR. SHIRAFKAN: And what we will do			
4	further is because I want to make sure that the			
5	security plan specifically highlights and ensures			
6	that this, among other things, would never happen			
7	again. We will make sure we will retrain the			
8	staff with this security plan as well, so that			
9	they are aware of the promises that we made to			
10	the Board and they are to comply by it.			
11	MEMBER SHORT: All right.			
12	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.			
13	Thank you. I would like to thank Officer Clarke,			
14	Mr. Royster, Mr. Shirafkan and I'm sorry, your			
15	name again, sir?			
16	MR. KARIM: Karim.			
17	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Karim?			
18	MR. KARIM: Yes.			
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you for			
20	being here. And also, I appreciate the fact that			
21	you didn't have to speak. You can let your			
22	attorney speak for you. But I do take that it's			

1 important to you, for you to say something and 2 not necessarily your attorney speaking for you. 3 So I do appreciate that. With that, the Board will take this 4 5 matter under advisement. Thank you for being 6 here today. All right. 7 INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Thank you. 8 MR. SHIRAFKAN: Thank you. 9 INVESTIGATOR TOWNSAND: Thank you. 10 INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Appreciate it. 11 OFFICER CLARKE: Thank you. 12 (Whereupon, the Fact-Finding Hearing 13 was concluded at 10:54 a.m.) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

a.m 4:2 63:13 **ABC** 7:8,10,17 8:1 9:6 11:15 12:17,20,21 13:4,5,7,21,22 16:8 27:6 32:17 35:11,14 35:19 48:10 **ABRA** 5:13.14 4:21 7:3 10:17,18 12:20 14:22 15:9,13 17:9,16 18:2 24:18 26:18 27:3 32:1 32:3,14,15 34:7 35:3 35:4 36:7,13 37:14 38:17 42:17,21 43:3,4 44:14 45:3 47:12 48:7 49:2 51:4,17 52:13,16 56:1,5 57:17 58:2,10 59:3 absolutely 35:22 39:17 abuse 33:9 50:12,13,21 52:7 accept 53:22 acquiesce 54:5 acting 20:5 action 36:20 actively 29:17 actual 13:1,17 add 16:21 24:9 48:7 49:18,20 address 22:14,17 29:14 35:18 36:17 addressing 23:21 47:17 admit 60:2 advise 10:17 advised 7:5 13:20 17:3 advisement 63:5 advocate 50:2 afternoon 21:17 22:12 agency 14:13 58:13 60:15,21 61:5,6 Agent 17:2,15,16 58:14 aggressive 17:19 20:5 agree 39:9,17,18 40:2 47:1 50:16 55:1 agreed 14:2 48:13 61:5 ahead 23:1 43:10 ain't 55:11 56:18 **Alberti** 4:19 19:3,6 20:20 21:1,9,12,13,16 21:18 22:5,10,13,16 22:21 37:1,3,4,12,16 53:9,12,14 55:10,21 56:8 59:18 60:2,6,8 60:10 alcohol 46:10 **Alcoholic** 4:3.14.15

analogy 43:2 **Anderson** 4:16,19,3,14 4:17,22 5:5,8 8:22 9:10,14,20 10:12 13:12 16:7,14,17,20 17:20 18:5,9,12,16,20 19:10,14,18,22 20:9 20:13 21:11 22:19 23:1,6,10,14,17,19 24:2,5,7,14,22 25:12 25:16,20 26:1,13,21 27:5,11,14,17 28:13 31:10,17,21 32:9,11 33:3,21 34:19 36:22 37:3 38:6 39:10 41:12 42:3,15,21 47:4,14 49:13,15,22 53:11 56:10,22 58:8 59:10 59:21 60:7,9,12 61:2 61:8,11,19 62:12,17 62:19 **answer** 27:9 anybody 41:2 46:13 59:6 anyway 27:22 **apologize** 42:2 59:12 appeared 5:13 **Applicant** 5:11 6:6 applicant's 5:12 60:14 application 15:9,10 25:5 27:6 60:14,15,19 60.19appreciate 62:20 63:3 63:10 approach 4:6 8:22 approached 6:18 7:2 7:16 8:7,19 9:1,2 13:2 37:7 appropriate 33:4 approved 60:21 approximately 22:9 44:17 area 6:13 9:7,9 10:1,3,4 11:5,12 28:9 30:1 argue 29:8 55:17 arguing 38:8 argument 38:10 42:17 asked 7:8 11:20 14:13 14:16,17 17:12 31:4,5 31:6,9 33:11 38:22 56:18 asking 14:8 18:9 39:1 40:22 43:8 assault 59:7 assigned 6:11 associated 52:17

attempted 11:7 attorney 5:12 6:5 38:8 50:2,7 62:22 63:2 August 15:18 16:5 authority 50:6,10,10,12 57:10 available 53:21 Ave 4:8 aware 24:21 62:9

babysat 54:21 59:4 back 8:4,13 9:3 11:11 28:9 33:14 37:21 42:5 **bad** 39:13 badge 10:17,22 30:7 32:7,12,15,21,22 33:13 34:3 36:8 38:19 38:20,21 39:20 40:15 41:3,3,4,10,14 46:15 49:1,6,18,19 50:6,6,9 50:10,13,13,19,22 52:19 54:3,5,13,15 56:14,15 57:10 badges 29:22 33:8,9,10 48:19 50:15 **ball** 55:3.17 **bartender** 12:16,18 13:20 20:7 21:1 bartenders 12:15 based 5:9 47:16 48:1 **basically** 20:3 60:15 **bathroom** 9:7 11:15 55:4 bear 44:21 behalf 28:2 50:3 believe 10:8 15:2,16,17 19:12 23:8,12 27:10 31:4 47:15 61:15 better 28:21 54:9 **Beverage** 4:3,14,15 bit 6:10 7:15 11:16 29:8 black 6:22,22 8:15 18:18,19 19:11 **blank** 56:14,16 blocking 40:12 **blush** 5:13 Board 4:3,14,9 5:11 6:3 24:12 28:15 34:15 40:11 41:1,1,2 47:5 57:14,15 62:10 63:4 **Board's** 59:2 **BOBBY** 4:20 **bodies** 43:1 **Book** 52:22 **bottom** 38:16

business 49:9,12 50:20 59:16 businesses 46:9 52:16 button 19:8 buy 38:4 buying 37:17,17

С

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S 6:2 calendar 4:4 calm 21:14 Cameron 5:13 6:4 4:21 care 11:1 32:11 56:1,15 57:9,13 case 4:9,3,4 28:14 29:7 **CATO** 4:20 Cause 28:14 38:11 caused 58:21 certain 10:1 45:15 certificate 61:13,22 certificates 29:21 Chair 4:9 25:14 27:9 47:2 61:7 Chairman 17:1 33:6 **Chairperson** 4:16,19,3 4:14,17,22 5:5,8 8:22 9:10,14,20 10:12 13:12 16:7,14,17,20 17:20 18:5,9,12,16,20 19:10.14.18.22 20:9 20:13 21:11 22:19 23:1,6,10,14,17,19 24:2,5,7,14,22 25:12 25:16,20 26:1,13,21 27:5,11,14,17 28:13 31:10,17,21 32:9,11 33:3,21 34:19 36:22 37:3 38:6 39:10 41:12 42:3,15,21 47:4,14 49:13,15,22 53:11 56:10,22 58:8 59:10 59:21 60:7,9,12 61:2 61:8,11,19 62:12,17 62:19 challenge 59:1

chance 22:20,22 changed 36:9 check 17:4 29:22,22 checked 16:12 checking 6:15 checks 7:6 10:20 11:4 chest 10:11,14 56:2 children's 55:12 Christian 13:2 20:8 Circle 6:13 city 46:10 claims 33:17 clarification 34:13

buffet 28:12

Building 4:15

assume 31:11

attempt 10:9

49:10

allowed 39:14 45:11

Clarke 5:15 6:5 5:2.3.6 5:7 6:17 8:20 11:3 12:8 16:21,22 18:1,8 18:10,14,18,21 19:5,7 19:12,16,19 20:1,11 20:14,18,22 21:3,8 22:12 24:8,11 43:16 43:18,21 44:2,8,11 47:7,9,15 58:2 62:13 63:11 clear 36:12 55:6,18 56:6 clearly 32:21 34:6,9,9 35:4 43:4 client 28:2 38:9 50:3 **close** 44:5 coarse 44:6 code 44:13 45:22 52:22 53:1 collared 6:21 **Columbia** 4:2 46:6 come 7:18 28:8 36:14 51:4 52:14 54:16 55:12 comes 32:1 33:13 41:20 43:4 coming 20:2 30:19,20 34:17 52:21 comment 51:13 comments 47:7 49:16 50:3 company 61:14 complaint 18:3 complete 14:1 completed 14:3.4 completely 17:5 40:5 **compliance** 7:6,21 8:9 10:19 11:4 17:4 complied 14:2 comply 35:22 47:13 62:10 **complying** 17:10,12 concern 38:22 59:2 concerned 32:14 34:7 57:16 concluded 63:13 condoning 51:19 conduct 17:4,18 **conducting** 7:6 10:19 11:4 confidence 38:4 **confirm** 49:11 confirmed 15:13 **confirms** 16:4,6 confront 21:20 Connecticut 4:8 contact 8:16 15:6 28:19 39:4,8 48:17

contacted 15:19 49:9 **Control** 4:3,14,15 conversation 10:9 cooperation 17:8 **correct** 37:10 **cough** 6:10 counsel 4:11 counter 23:5 **country** 46:21 couple 7:19 8:6 12:14 19:21 courtesy 45:13 46:3 covering 58:4,12 criminal 18:4 crowd 22:13 **CT** 4:8 **current** 16:8,10,12,15 21:2 customer 28:7 39:19 40:16 51:19

D **D.C** 4:16 13:17 **David** 5:11 6:6 4:12 day 6:11 7:7 13:5 davs 60:22 **DC** 4:7 5:15 4:5 44:6 deal 54:10 dealing 41:18 dealt 51:2 debriefed 15:4 debriefing 15:8 **definitely** 17:18 53:5 demeanor 36:9 39:17 42:5.9.10 43:9 54:17 57:17 58:21 Department 5:3 described 43:15 detailed 15:11 25:6 60:16 61:6 **details** 14:18 **Diep** 13:2,6,9,14,15,18 14:8,17 15:6,19 16:8 17:11,12 20:8 21:2 48:11 **Diep's** 15:1 different 42:9,10,10 56:3 58:14 difficult 27:19,20 50:1 direct 8:15 directed 17:14 direction 11:9 directly 8:20 9:5,8,8 10:5,7,11 14:12 17:17 displayed 49:5 **dispute** 35:7 36:16 disrespectful 46:15 distracting 53:16

District 4:2 5:4 46:6,17 52:18 53:6 **Division** 15:14 **Doe** 46:4 doesn't-- 30:12 doing 61:14 **DONALD** 4:20 **Donovan** 4:16,19 door 44:15,20 45:1 55:12,17 58:20 draw 36:10 dress 28:8 **dressed** 47:19 driver's 13:17,19 dropped 55:17 dropping 55:3 **Dupont** 6:13 duty 13:4,4 20:15 44:10

Ε

earlier 28:7 easily 58:11 easy 24:16 egregious 5:13 34:2 either 42:22 51:17 **employee** 37:9 43:17 53:21 54:6,18,21 57:17,21,22 59:15 **employees** 6:20 58:4 58:10 59:13.19 encounter 12:13 17:1 21.4 encountered 17:3 encouraging 17:8 ended 60:13 Enforcement 6:12 7:7 ensures 62:5 enter 8:3 39:6,14 entering 7:19 8:8,11 49:6 entire 54:21 entrance 37:7 entry 48:19 escort 53:21 54:11,18 **escorted** 53:20 54:5 especially 30:3 establishment 6:20,21 7:18,20 8:4,5,9,11,13 9:4 10:2 14:9,21 15:15 19:2 24:18 28:19 29:3,4,16 31:7 31:9,13,18,22 32:19 35:10,12,17 39:5,7,11 39:15 45:2,14 47:22 48:8,12,18 49:6 52:14 52:21 60:20 establishments 46:22 evening 38:5

event 7:12 8:3.12 9:2.2 9:21 10:2,6 11:14,18 18:22 19:3,8 events 22:6 **eventually** 11:10,10 everybody 54:9 exactly 58:19 example 39:5 **excuse** 53:8 54:14,15 55:16 exhibit 26:5,6 exist 34:21,21 Exit 23:16,18 **exited** 14:21 **expect** 54:21 expectation 54:11 expectations 53:19 experience 58:15 experienced 48:16 **expired** 15:2,7,22 explain 46:13 **exposes** 50:20 eve 8:16

F faced 50:14 fact 4:8 36:4,18 41:8 42:13 62:20 **Fact-** 5:8 Fact-Finding 5:15,21 6:1 29:11 63:12 facts 38:16 **failed** 27:19 fake 41:19 familiar 41:18 far 26:7 29:8,14 32:13 figure 6:2 filed 17:22 final 47:7 49:16 50:3 Finding 4:8 5:9 fine 24:1 30:19 **finish** 61:9 first 5:13 6:9 9:6 10:21 11:22 12:1,15 14:22 36:6 39:4,7 46:11 59:11 food 28:11 **footage** 16:3,5 Forget 34:21 formal 17:22 foul 43:19 46:12 51:14 51:18 frame 24:1 Frank 4:10 fraud 52:11 front 16:13 19:2 36:7 37:19 44:15,20 45:1 55:13 58:20 59:5

fuck 10:22 I-M 4:13 look 15:1 27:12 34:15 J full 7:5 **ID** 17:6 39:1 41:5,19 34:15 36:12 37:17 **JAMES** 4:21 43:8,9 49:20 52:19 further 16:4,5 26:18 41:9 42:11 56:5 January 15:2 62:4 looked 13:16 15:8 **job** 38:1 57:12 identification 10:17 looking 19:13 28:4 37:5 jobs 45:11 G 11:19,21 12:10,12 37:6 38:2 **John** 46:4 13:10 20:6,19 30:7 looks 22:10 32:8,12,22 gain 48:19 iudaed 36:19 game 55:12 31:3,6 32:4 40:15,19 41:6 **July** 5:10 6:12 gather 6:2 41:3.21 51:16 lot 38:3 57:21 **identified** 7:2 17:15 gathered 30:17 Κ М gathering 38:16 35:5,21 36:20 56:13 **K-A-N** 4:10 general 48:21 **identifies** 32:21 43:5 main 37:7 53:16 **K-A-R-** 4:12 identify 4:7 47:10,15,21 getting 12:2,7 56:19 **Makan** 5:12 6:5 4:9 Karim 5:11 6:6 4:12,18 give 10:22 12:11 43:9 **IDs** 29:22 making 38:4 4:19 59:11 60:5 62:16 51:10 54:9 56:14 57:4 **impede** 52:21 male 37:8,9 62:16,17,18 impeded 44:15 45:22 58:3 59:7 man 42:7 **keep** 35:19 51:10 given 50:16 important 63:1 manager 7:8,10,17 8:1 key 32:6 giving 27:15 38:3 46:3 incident 5:9 11:13 9:6 11:15 12:19,22 **kindly** 46:8 15:16 16:3,6 17:9 gotten 13:8 29:20 42:14 13:2,3,4 19:13 20:14 knows 28:4 **Government** 44:7 46:6 18:2,2 30:10 59:12 32:18 35:11,14 40:2 46:17 48:20 49:8 52:8 independent 58:3 48:10 49:9,10 53:18 indicated 48:22 52:19 53:6 58:15 53:20 55:4 lady 28:8 indication 52:11 Group 4:7 manager's 12:18,20 language 39:2 42:10 individual 19:7 52:10 13:6,7,21,22 16:9 **Gryphon** 4:7,4 6:14,16 43:14,20 44:6 46:12 individuals 9:15 50:14 6:18 15:10 17:2 37:8 20:20 21:2 51:14,18,21 57:16 quard 21:20 35:13 industry 54:10 managers 31:7 large 35:9,11,16 48:14 56:14 inexcusable 46:12 manner 46:14 law 46:20 **guards** 39:1 inform 15:6,19 17:10 marked 34:9 35:5 leading 10:4 52:6 49:4 guess 15:17 33:14 matter 4:6 6:4 57:5 63:5 learn 58:18 **information** 5:17,21 6:2 mean 11:1 27:17 28:22 guilty 59:2 learning 58:19 informed 7:17 8:8 14:5 29:1,2 31:4,7,14 32:5 leave 27:22 Н 14:11 15:5,20 19:20 32:16,17,18,19 35:11 **left** 10:6 54:20 halloween 32:22 33:13 45:18 35:16 38:7 45:11 48:3 **Legal** 15:14 hand 10:10,14 44:1 informing 7:4 50:16 56:16 57:5,21 legitimate 51:11 **hands** 14:7 21:7 28:10 initial 12:13 15:9 25:4 58:18 license 4:9,5 12:18,21 30:13 37:18 46:11 initially 12:15 36:4 Meaning 31:17 13:6,8,17,19,21,22 50:21 53:5 56:2 59:6 initiated 6:18 means 46:19 51:3 57:7 15:1,7,20,21,22 16:9 happen 40:8 62:6 initiating 14:6 **MEETING** 4:4 16:10,12,15 20:21 inside 7:11 Mehler 13:20 20:7 happened 30:16 34:11 21:2 38:5 59:12,19,20 insignificant 41:16 42:1 Member 4:19,20,20,21 licensee 4:11,13 5:16 **inspection** 14:1,4,5 4:21,22 12:4 19:3,6 hard 59:7 59:8 **hat** 19:9,11 20:12 45:6 19:11 20:16,20 21:1,6 licensee's 27:6 interaction 48:15 head 8:4 38:13 21:9,13,16,18 22:5,10 licenses 46:10 Interfered 4:10 heading 8:16 22:13,16,21 37:1,4,12 life 50:1 intervene 49:11 37:16 43:11,17,19,22 hear 17:14 43:14 44:6 lightened 33:12 investigate 56:13 heard 25:9 43:19 44:5,9,12,19 45:5,9 limited 29:8 hearing 4:8,15 5:9,15 investigating 59:4 45:20 46:2,8 47:2 line 6:19 28:11 36:11 5:22 6:1 35:2 51:14 investigation 4:11 18:4 52:5 53:9,13,14 55:10 38:16 39:21 investigative 5:11 55:21 56:8 60:2,6,8 63:12 list 6:13 Investigator's 32:7 60:10 61:7,9,12,18,21 hey 56:4 **listed** 6:14 highlights 62:5 Investigators 36:3,13 62:2,11 **listening** 10:8 59:14 hire 14:11 38:1,17 44:14,14 51:4 members 4:9 24:13 little 6:9,10 7:15 11:16 53:17 54:10,16 58:1 hold 40:1 47:5 48:17 29:8 60:3 involved 9:15 hope 51:14,15 52:4 message 37:20 54:9 live 44:4 **ISAAC** 4:20 **host** 61:16 55:18 **LLC** 4:7 issue 25:10 35:19 40:10 Huh 23:10.17 **messing** 55:19 location 6:15 40:11 48:2 57:14 met 4:15 37:8 **locations** 6:13,14 **issues** 41:22 Metropolitan 5:3 52:9 long 35:15 44:16

53:1 midday 22:9 **MIKE** 4:21 mind 51:10 minutes 7:16,19 8:6 12:14 19:21 44:18 45:19 52:14 miscommunicating 56:4 miscommunication 30:17 52:15 misrepresent 52:8 mistakes 55:20 58:20 misunderstood 25:1 mockery 41:7 **moment** 45:12 money 39:21 morning 4:8,14,16,17 4:19,20,22 5:2,5,7 16:22 48:6 motivation 22:2 motive 21:19 29:17 **MPD** 5:15 6:5,11,16 7:3 7:4 8:19 9:4,5 10:1,18 11:2 12:8,8,12 13:3 14:5 30:18,19 34:6,8 34:12,17 35:5 38:18 40:15,17 42:12 49:5 51:14 52:2 **mutual** 51:7

N

N.W 4:15 **Nah** 12:1,6 name 4:9 12:10,11 17:4 17:6,13 47:13 62:15 **Nancy** 4:11 Nathan 5:15 6:5 5:3 necessarily 57:16 63:2 necessary 36:15 need 9:10,11,16 17:4 23:12 24:21 30:2,7 33:13 34:13 36:15 39:2 40:8 43:9 45:21 46.21 needed 17:6 47:13 needs 6:3 never 22:3 42:11 53:5,6 58:7 59:18 62:6 Nevertheless 29:13 new 15:22 **NICK** 4:19 night 13:4 21:14 22:6 **nightlife** 33:7 52:17 59:16 **normal** 22:11 45:9 normally 58:10 not-- 41:22

notified 48:9 numbers 16:13 NW 4:8

OAG 29:7,10,12

obtained 15:22

observed 8:2,12,15

12:16 13:15 15:1

0

obviously 55:13 occur 29:1 occurred 5:10 11:13 12:14 13:1 14:3 15:17 48:10 occurring 16:6 occurs 33:5 October 4:13 office 14:22 39:21 40:1 57:12 officer 6:5 5:2,2,6,7,16 6:16,17 7:9,16,22 8:7 8:14,18,20 10:1,5,14 10:21 11:2,3,8,11,21 12:8,9,10 14:5,7 16:21,22 17:16,18 18:1,8,10,14,15,18,21 18:22 19:5,7,12,16,19 20:1,3,11,14,18,22 21:3,4,5,8 22:12 24:8 24:11 28:17 31:5 37:9 37:13,19,21 42:18 43:12,14,16,18,21 44:2,8,11,21 47:7,9 47:11,14,16,20,22 50:15 51:8 56:21 57:1 57:18 58:2 59:14 62:13 63:11 officer's 12:1 officers 4:12 6:11 7:4 9:5 10:8,19 13:3 34:6 34:8,12,18 35:5 44:21 52:10,12,20 53:7 57:20 59:3 official 44:7 46:5,16,18 49:8,12 52:18 53:6 officials 48:21 52:8 on-duty 12:19 once 39:13,14 online 14:15,16,17 open 9:9 11:5 15:15 46:3 operate 31:9 operating 22:7 opportunity 5:20 orange 20:11 **order** 5:22 outside 6:20 7:18 19:17 **owner** 7:9

Ρ P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S **p.m** 22:9 page 26:18,20 27:3 60:19 **Pardon** 22:16 part 20:4 34:13 40:8 55:3 parties 4:6 Party 6:11 7:7 path 11:9 56:6 patrons 6:19 48:17,18 pattern 36:4 **pause** 23:20 **PD-251** 6:18 people 12:16 33:7,9,9 39:6 42:8 46:9 52:7 percent 39:16 40:2,9 performance 44:9 **period** 45:15 person 8:2 21:7 29:2 30:8,20 33:16,17,18 33:18 35:16 36:11 39:7,10,12 51:11 52:13 54:4 55:13 **personnel** 17:2,12 perspective 6:7 51:20 **phony** 34:4 picture 23:22 28:5 41:5 41:8,15,17,21 49:18 49:20 50:16 52:20 place 46:11 53:2,4 **placed** 10:10 **places** 10:14 placing 14:7 plain 30:20 36:3 **plan** 15:11,14 24:19,21 25:3,6,10 26:12,19 27:4 60:16,21 61:6 62:5.8 **Played** 18:13 please 4:6,7 6:6 16:21 17:11 point 8:17 14:20 15:4 16:2 19:16 21:3 28:16 36:9.9 37:4 38:8 49:7 52:6 53:10,16 pointed 10:3 pointing 19:2 30:18 **points** 20:2 police 5:3 17:21 28:17 42:18 43:1,12 44:21 44:21 52:9,12 53:1,7 57:18,20 polo 6:22,22 8:15 portion 44:3 position 33:22

possible 49:10 power 36:14 practicality 33:7 precede 60:11 **PRESENT** 4:18 5:9 presenting 30:3 presiding 4:16 pretty 22:11 52:10 prevent 28:6 29:18 prevented 28:20 previous 6:15 **prior** 51:16 52:4 problem 37:21,22 47:18 49:14 52:15 54:7 proceeded 11:6 14:8 proceeding 28:22 profanity 17:14 professional 25:6 53:3 60:17 professionally 15:12 promises 62:9 promoter 7:13 8:3.12 9:3,22 10:2 11:14,19 18:22 19:4,8 29:1 30:18 37:10 48:11 55:6 56:17.18 57:3 promoter's 10:6 proper 32:4 properly 51:2 protect 30:1 provide 5:17 14:18 17:5 17:13 26:12 60:21 61:5,13,20,22 **provided** 12:20 17:13 24:18 60:22 provides 32:4 providing 27:13 31:3 public 39:4 pull 30:6 33:14 put 21:7 28:9 30:13 43:22 46:10 51:20 53:5 56:2 59:6 putting 21:22

Q

question 16:8 21:10 27:20 28:3 32:12 35:8 37:2 42:3 43:7,11 59:1 questions 5:18 14:9 24:16 47:5 51:5 quick 16:7 21:9 50:14 53:9 quickly 47:10

R

radio 7:10 radioed 8:1 reaction 40:3 43:6 Room 4:15 separation 31:19 softball 24:16,17 27:15 read 21:18 25:12 26:7 **Royster** 5:13 6:4 4:20 serving 12:16 27:20 reading 56:11 4:21 5:1 6:6,8 9:1,12 **set** 30:22 39:12 somebody 31:12 53:3 reads 52:22 53:1 9:19,21 10:13 12:6 setting 39:5 54:2 55:3,5,17 56:4 real 37:22 13:13 16:11,16,19 **share** 18:6 someone's 30:12 realized 15:21 17:2,15,17 21:13,15 Sharma 6:17 12:9 14:5 soon 18:21 33:16 49:10 reasons 5:14 57:15 21:17 22:3,8 23:2,3,8 59:4 21:4 sorry 9:2,12 10:13 recall 38:10,12 23:11,16,18 24:8,12 **Shawn** 5:14 6:6 15:18 received 16:4 25:2,4,21,22 26:4,9 48:6 13:13 25:1 27:14 42:8 receives 34:10 26:17 27:1,2 34:2 Shelf 4:7 53:15 59:20 62:14 record 4:7 61:4 37:1,5,6,11,15 44:12 **Shirafkan** 5:12 6:5 4:8 sort 36:19 52:16 recorded 17:9 44:17 45:4,8,10 46:1 4:10,15,16 22:14,17 sorts 33:8 42:8 records 15:9,13 26:19 speak 7:8 62:21,22 46:7 47:12 48:9,15 23:20 24:3,10,15,20 27:3 49:2,8,12,16,17 56:12 25:8,13,14,18 26:3,6 speaking 8:13,17 63:2 **Reeves** 4:15 56:20 62:14 63:7,10 26:10,15 27:8,12,15 **specific** 6:12 9:14,16 refer 24:3 27:16 28:3,13 29:5 14:14 S refused 12:11 17:7,10 31:15,20 32:6,9,10 specifically 62:5 47:13 **s** 56:19 33:2,6,22 34:14 35:18 **spoke** 48:10,14 **S-H-I-R-A-F** 4:10 staff 29:19 33:15 36:18 regarding 17:21 25:19 37:16 38:7 39:9,16 regardless 49:1 39:8 40:22 43:2 50:18 **saw** 28:7 40:13 43:22 41:13 42:2,4,20 47:1 regards 30:16 51:13 44:3 50:1,5 53:14 55:1,11 55:9 62:8 standard 30:13 33:11 register 9:9 saying 26:22 32:13 55:22 56:9,11 58:7,17 35:19 38:15 47:18 60:14 61:1,15 62:1,3 regular 13:10 33:12 50:15 54:15 57:2,8,19 62:14 63:8 standing 10:5 23:5 regulatory 45:6 **shirt** 6:21 7:12 8:3.12 relay 37:20 58:6,6,9,9,10 61:10 staring 10:7 rely 54:12 18:19 19:9 start 6:5 14:8 24:16 says 10:11 26:11 29:9 **REMA** 4:22 33:18 35:7,13 36:1,6 shit 11:1,1 12:2,7 27:18 60:13 remember 38:14 **Short** 4:21 19:11 20:16 started 8:16 10:3 36:7 37:7,12 41:9 stated 7:10,12 8:10 repeat 12:4 44:13 45:22 51:22 21:6 42:1,16 43:10,11 report 5:11,18,18 17:6 53:21 56:12 43:17,19,22 44:5,9,12 9:22 11:14,19,22 17:22,22 18:2,3 21:19 scenario 51:1 44:19 45:5,9,20 46:2 12:17 13:3,7,11,14,19 25:13,17,19,21 26:2,3 Sean 20:7 46:8 47:2 50:5 52:5 15:10 25:5 28:18 31:5 53:13 61:7,8,9,12,18 26:7,11,16 29:9 36:6 second 50:19 37:9 48:16 37:5,6 56:11,12 57:2 seconds 30:21 42:9,12 61:21 62:2,11 statement 48:4 60:6 51:1.10 **shortly** 11:17 48:8 STATEMENTS 6:3 represent 29:4,16 security 7:9,16,22 8:7 **show** 18:10 28:14 33:10 states 26:18 27:7 35:11,16 36:3 38:11 representation 59:22 8:14,18 10:5,14,21 stating 13:18 representing 4:13 11:3,8,11,21 12:1,10 38:19,21 42:8,18 step 33:19,19 represents 39:11 50:14 57:9 58:1 stepping 30:11 14:7,10,12 15:11,12 reputation 60:11 15:14 17:1,11,16,18 showed 31:13 34:2,3,5 **steps** 36:6 18:14,19,22 21:5,6,20 34:6,11 35:3,4 39:20 **stolen** 13:9 request 20:6 requested 11:18 12:9 **stood** 7:13 24:19,21 25:3,6,7,10 49:19,20 13:5,9 16:2 26:12,19 27:4 28:5,9 **shows** 16:6 32:1,3,15 **stop** 6:9 stopped 10:10 24:6 respect 50:9,9 51:4 29:2,15,19 30:10,18 32:15,20,21 34:8 52:5 57:10,11,11 35:20,21 36:11 41:2 street 4:15 31:12 46:5 30:22 31:8,11,11,14 42:22 50:19 52:19 respecting 50:6 31:18,21,22 32:2,3,13 stressed 40:5 respond 48:8 32:17 35:13 36:6 37:8 54:2 57:6 striped 28:8 response 33:4 34:10 significant 48:2 stuff 42:8 37:13,19,20 39:1,8 Silverstein 4:21 12:4 43:6 48:22 42:5,6,7,7 43:2 47:11 submitted 15:15 59:17 **Suite** 4:16 responsibility 55:7 48:14 51:22 56:13,21 responsible 55:14 sir 18:8 28:2 32:13 **summary** 60:18 56:22 57:3 60:16,17 Retailer 4:8 60:21 61:6 62:5,8 43:16,18,21 44:8,11 **Sunday** 6:11 7:7 22:12 retrain 62:7 45:8 46:7 47:1,8 48:4 seeing 21:21 29:18 supervisor 15:5,5,8,18 retrained 29:20 supervisors 45:21 50:4 59:10 60:1 62:15 41:13 returning 14:21 seen 22:1,4 32:7 42:11 **sit** 50:8 Supervisory 48:6 revealed 27:3 59:18 **site** 14:19 supposed 26:11 review 26:18 27:3 sell 46:10 **Smith** 61:16 surprised 60:3 **Robert** 61:16 sent 29:7 38:11 soft 27:18 surveillance 16:3

	ı	ı	1
Т Т	two 6:19 9:15 34:6,8,17	walking 18:22 40:17	2015 60:15
	35:4 42:8,12,22 52:9	wallet 13:8,16	2018 4:13 15:3
t/a 4:7	53:7 55:20 57:22 58:4	wanted 41:5 48:7 55:19	24 4:13
talk 25:8 30:9 46:18	58:10	59:8,11	251 6:18 14:6
talked 59:13	type 8:14 14:16,17	wants 39:20	28 6:5 59:17
talking 9:17 31:8 34:20	17:22	Washington 4:16	29th 5:10 6:12
team 15:12 25:7 60:17	typically 42:18	wasn't 22:5 24:20 39:19	2nd 5:3
technically 45:12	typically 42.16	40:7 41:7 49:1 51:2	211 u 5.5
tell 6:6 26:22 28:22 30:1	U		3
30:2 33:10 45:17		watching 21:10 35:8	
50:18 52:12 53:5 54:2	ultimate 36:14	waving 37:18	3 6:1
telling 19:1 40:18,20	unacceptable 48:12	way 10:4 21:21 22:6	3:15 22:9
51:16 57:3	uncalled 17:19 40:7	30:12 33:19,20 36:19	30 60:22
term 59:5	46:14	40:3,12 47:16,19	
thank 23:15 24:15	understand 9:19 29:10	48:13 51:17 59:13	4
43:13 47:2 49:13,22	29:21,21,22 30:1,5,11	60:13	4 26:5,20 27:3 60:19
53:13 59:22 62:2,13	31:15,16 35:12 36:2	wear 38:18	400S 4:16
62:13,19 63:5,7,8,9	39:3 40:6,11 41:11	wearing 7:5 18:17	48 6:6
63:11	42:20 50:17 51:11,22	weather 6:9	
things 29:5 42:13 62:6	54:8 56:8 59:2	Wednesday 4:12	5
third-party 14:13	understanding 29:6	week 15:17	59 6:6
thought 5:12 24:22	41:14 51:8	went 28:19 51:1	
27:15,16 47:20	understands 36:13	whatnot 30:7,12 55:20	6
throws 24:17	understood 8:1,10	white 6:21 7:12 8:3,12	6/49 6:4
times 34:22 57:21	uniform 7:5 30:4,5,6,20	19:8	
	33:18 34:9 36:3 38:18	witness 44:22	7
today 5:15 6:7 31:13	53:7	words 10:22 11:22 12:1	7th 15:18
35:7 47:19 63:6	unsure 49:7 57:6	work 45:21 58:2,12	141 15.16
told 9:6 11:3 17:7 32:3	updated 61:5	working 17:2 29:3	8
36:5,12 37:13 38:13	use 14:12 42:16 43:1	48:20 59:15	
40:14 42:12 44:20			9
45:6 47:11 48:11 55:9	48:18 50:13	wrap 47:6	·
tone 30:22 39:12,17	usually 42:22 45:10,15	wrong 30:22 51:2,18	9:58 4:2
top 4:7 27:2 35:3 38:13	V	56:3,6	90830 4:9,5
totality 38:3 48:1		X	
touch 44:1	valid 20:17,18		
town 52:17	verified 55:13		
Townsand 5:14 6:6	verify 33:16,17 40:19	<u> </u>	
15:5,19 48:3,5,6	version 58:3	years 59:17	
49:14 63:9	video 6:8,9 16:3 18:11	<u> </u>	
trained 15:12 25:7	18:13 23:7 24:6 28:7	Z	
33:15 43:3 60:17	34:16,20,20,21,22		
training 14:14,15,17	35:1,1,8 44:3 47:17	0	
30:9,11,15 53:2,3,4	47:20		
54:1 61:12,13,14,16	videos 18:6	1	
61:17	view 38:4 52:6	10 7:15 44:18 51:5	
treat 48:12	vigilant 30:3,8	52:13	
treated 46:4	visitors 48:18	10:54 63:13	
tried 28:19		100 39:16 40:2,9	
	W	12th 16:5	
troubling 57:19	W 4:16,19	1337 4:8	
true 29:1	WAHABZADAH 4:22	14th 4:15 15:2	
trust 54:13,15	wait 8:6 9:7 10:1 45:6	16 6:5	
truth 51:15	45:13,14 52:13	18 6:9	
try 21:20 52:7 55:16,18	waited 44:15		
59:7		18-251-00141 4:9,4	
trying 6:1 11:9 28:8	waiting 7:15 19:17,19	2	
29:13,17 41:7,8,11	35:10		
53:15 55:2 58:18,18	walk 10:4,9 11:7 55:14	2 5:7	
59:6	walked 11:14 12:15,17	2000 4:15	
turn 24:9	55:5,7	20009 4:16	
II	I	I	I

${\color{red} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{R} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{F} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{A} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E}}$

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: The Gryphon

Before: DC ABRA

Date: 10-24-18

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &