DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

+ + + + + MEETING

IN THE MATTER OF:

:

Betty's Gojo Restaurant : and Lounge, LLC, : t/a Betty's Gojo :

7616 Georgia Ave NW : Summary Suspension

Retailer CR - ANC 4A : Hearing

License No. 102500 : Case #19-251-00077 :

:

(Chief of Police Closure: May 13, 2019) :

Wednesday
May 22, 2019

The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board met in the Alcoholic Beverage Control Hearing Room, Reeves Building, 2000 14th Street, N.W., Suite 400S, Washington, D.C. 20009, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson, presiding.

PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson JAMES SHORT, JR., Member REMA WAHABZADAH, Member

ALSO PRESENT:

KIBREB EMBOYE, Licensee

JESSICA KRUPKE, OAG

D'MOZ LUMUKANDA, Licensee's Counsel

TAVRIL PROUT, ABRA

ABEBO WELDEGABRIEL, Licensee

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	2:51 p.m.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. We
4	are back on the record. This is a summary
5	suspension hearing, Case number 19-251-00077,
6	Betty's Gojo, license number 102500. Will the
7	parties please approach and identify themselves
8	for the record, please.
9	MS. KRUPKE: Jessica Krupke on behalf
10	of the District of Columbia.
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon,
12	Ms. Krupke.
13	MR. PROUT: Investigator Tavril Prout
14	on behalf of ABRA.
15	MEMBER SHORT: You've got to speak up
16	you've got to speak up a little louder.
17	MR. PROUT: Investigator Tavril Prout,
18	on behalf of ABRA.
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr.
20	Prout.
21	MR. LUKUKANDA: D'Moz Lukukanda on
22	behalf of the Licensee.

1	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Lukakanda.
2	MR. EMBOYE: Good afternoon, my name
3	is Kibreb Emboye, from the
4	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Emboye,
5	good afternoon.
6	MS. WELDEGABRIEL: Good afternoon.
7	I'm Abebo Weldegabriel
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I heard nothing
9	you said, ma'am. What is your last name, please?
LO	MS. WELDEGABRIEL: Weldegabriel.
L1	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Weldegabriel.
L2	Good afternoon. There is a sign in sheet. Make
L3	sure that everyone has a everyone has signed
L 4	in. Good afternoon. Today we have just a
L5	summary suspension hearing that we have today.
L6	And, I this is a matter where the chief of
L 7	police had closed the establishment for 96 hours.
L8	And, based on the nature of the violation
L9	based on the nature the board thought that the
20	danger existed and so the board voted to continue
21	the closure of the establishment. The
22	establishment did continues to close remains

closed, and that is one of the reasons why we have this hearing today. And, is the Government ready to move forward?

MS. KRUPKE: Yes, we are.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Are there any preliminary matters in this case?

MS. KRUPKE: There are. The parties have reached an Offer in Compromise for your consideration in this matter and I believe that you all are, have been, or will be shortly provided copies of the Offer in Compromise.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you tell us

-- just, could the Government provide the board,

just with a brief synopsis of the incident? And

then you can let us know what is the Offer in

Compromise that has been reached.

MS. KRUPKE: Sure. The incident happened on May 13th, 2019 at 12:23 a.m., or thereabouts. And the incident was a violent altercation that took place in the basement of the establishment, Betty's Gojo. And the altercation, itself, that took place was between

a number of individuals totaling approximately 15 people, although from the security footage it is hard to be sure exactly how many.

The altercation involved punching, kicking, at one point pepper spray was deployed, and as a result, one male was stabbed. During the altercation, which lasted at least a minute in time, there was seemingly no attempt by the establishment to end the altercation, even though at one point it did subside and then reignite.

After the altercation everyone from the basement fled. The lights were turned on. No medical aid was offered or rendered it seems, to any person. There was no notification provided to 911 or the Metropolitan Police Department and almost immediately after all of the patrons who had been in the basement of Betty's Gojo had left.

The establishment's owner, ABC manager and it looks like, a waitress, began to clean up the crime scene. So there is that failure to preserve the crime scene.

There, at the time of the incident, there were allegedly two security officers on hand. But MPD and ABRA were only able to speak with one security officer, and that security officer was provided by a third party promoter. And there was no security officer provided by Betty's Gojo directly. They alleged that they had engaged with a third party, um, to provide security, Prestige Security Agency. However, Prestige Security Agency denied any association with Betty's Gojo and did not cooperate with the ABRA investigation.

Afterward there were also two staff members of Betty's Gojo who were no longer available to speak with the investigators.

Betty's Gojo does have security cameras, so there was a significant amount of security footage available for the investigation. But the persons whom the ABRA investigators spoke with were not fully knowledgeable on the operation of the security cameras.

In addition, there was, as I

mentioned, a third party promoter. And that third party promoter was charging a cover charge of ten dollars for the event, even though a cover charge is not permitted at Betty's Gojo.

And I believe that is a brief summary, if you have any additional questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now, so what is the Offer in Compromise, then?

MS. KRUPKE: So the Offer in Compromise is extensive and I would ask that the OIC be made part of the record. And so I will try to hit on the highlights and explain why those are appropriate.

So the first term is that the management control and ownership of the establishment will remain with the establishment. And so the provisions under Paragraph 1 are designed to prohibit the Respondent from hosting events without maintaining the ownership and control of the event. And so that assuages the concerns of OAG and ABRA, that this particular promoter, it seems, had rented out the basement and really

was, it seems, somewhat in charge of what was happening at that event, and had been in charge of security at that event, and entrance to the event. And so this provision is to designed to assuage that concern.

And that the, you know, Betty's Gojo will not allow the promoter to check the identification, and all the other provisions of this Paragraph 1 are just designed to limit what the establishment will allow a third party promoter to do at the event.

Paragraph 2 outlines part of the security plan, although there are additional provisions within most of the following paragraphs that contain additional requirements of the security plan. As I mentioned, Betty's Gojo did not have a security plan at the time and so the OIC provides that one will be submitted to ABRA and OAG no later than five o'clock p.m. on May 31st. And then it will be required to be reviewed and accepted by you, the board, prior to the establishment having their license

reinstated.

The requirements of Title 25 will be in place for the security plan, but as I mentioned, there are significant additional requirements that are put forth in the OIC. One of the requirements is for -- under Paragraph number 3 -- security personnel, and this requires, at a minimum, two security persons who are going to be on duty between 10:00 p.m. and 3:00 a.m. on Friday and Saturday nights and then any night the Respondent has entertainment. And that is defined as a disc jockey, live music or any other form of live performance.

And, you know, that provides that extra security measures will be taken for any sort of larger events that were taking place such as this one.

The Paragraph Number 4 is the weapons abatement. It is our understanding that there was some attempt at weapons abatement made by the third party promoter at the time of the incident, but this outlines the requirement that they do

actually provide that -- that the establishment provide -- the pat-downs to prevent weapons, such as the knife that took place here, from entering the establishment.

Paragraph 5 requires that should any weapons be found that they be confiscated and turned over to MPD.

Paragraph 6 outlines how the violent altercations should be handled. And so this provision incorporates a lot of what needs to be outlined in the security plan and so the security plan must contain procedures on how the security personnel will respond to violent incidents, and including things such as separating the aggressors, as is a main concern of what happened here, that some action be taken by the establishment to intervene and protect those persons that are their patrons or, you know, folks who are there.

And the security plan will also contain detailed procedures on how to cooperate with ABRA and MPD when an incident occurs so that

we don't have instances where the establishment has folks who have already left by the time MPD arrives, just a few minutes later or something like that.

Number 7 is the preservation of a crime scene requirement, of course, this is always a requirement, but it's in here with the understanding that it's the allegation of the OAG that that did, in fact, happen here, that the crime scene was not preserved. And so we wanted to make sure that that was in here so that everyone is on even further notice that that is a requirement.

The lights and music requirement here is just a standard provision that we like to have when incidents like this happen to make sure that the security of the persons involved are being protected and also so that any cameras that are in the vicinity will be able to better capture what is happening in the establishment at the time of the incident.

Paragraph 9 is the requirement that

the Respondent would report violent incidents to 911. And that the security plan will set forth how an incident log should be kept and maintained, so that there can be information on who was there in terms of witnesses and security officers and the like. And that that would be made available to MPD and ABRA.

Number 10 is a requirement that the establishment demonstrate that it is able to retrieve footage and provide in writing the names of those persons who are able to manage the camera system, so that there is no confusion there, as to who is able to understand how that system works.

A security camera system here,
provision, is primarily put in here to eliminate
any blind spots that are currently existing in
the camera system that they have. It is my
understanding they have approximately 17 cameras
and so there is a wide variety. But the nature
of the establishment involves some strange
corners and so we want to make sure that there

are no blind spots in that, in that coverage.

Number 12, the maintenance of the security camera video footage, is just primarily so that should any incidents like this in the future arise that MPD and ABRA will have ample time to collect that security footage and go back for additional angles or additional time if that is necessary for up to 30 days.

And that Number 13 is that assessment of the camera -- the security camera system that I mentioned. Prior to the lifting of the suspension of the establishment's license, that an ABRA investigator will walk through and examine the camera system, make sure that there are no blind spots and evaluate, you know, the camera locations, and the system overall.

Paragraph 14 requires that the establishment render aid when available, and again, that they will call an ambulance, if necessary.

Number 15 provides that the security plan will outline what disciplinary actions will

apply to any security personnel who do not comply with the security plan.

Paragraph 16 outlines the training requirements for personnel who are employed by the establishment and it outlines two separate categories in employees: non-security personnel and security personnel. And so, for non-security personnel, they need to be trained within 14 days of hire and receive a refresher of the security plan every year. And for security personnel, those folks need to be trained before they begin working at the establishment and need to be retrained on a semi-annual basis.

Paragraph 17 outlines the contracted security personnel issues. And so this is meant to solve the problem that they had here, which was an establishment that said that they had contracted for security and a company that said that they did not, and that we were not able to verify what was correct because there was no written contract and there were no requirements that we could find related to -- you know -- what

the security personnel were supposed to provide.

And so this requires that the security personnel who are contracted be familiar with the security plan and that the contract requires that the entity or the person that is contracted with individually, that they cooperate with MPD and ABRA investigations.

Paragraph 18 outlines the cooperation that is required with MPD and ABRA investigations, of course, as you know, cooperation is always expected and required, but in this instance full cooperation was not provided and so this outlines the additional measures that we feel are necessary to have the establishment, you know, fully cooperate in the future.

And in particular, in this instance, as I mentioned, one of the security personnel did leave before MPD and ABRA investigators arrived and so this outlines that for security personnel, in particular, that it is especially important that those folks remain on the scene and in

extraordinary circumstances, if they are not available to remain on the scene, that they be made available within 24 hours for MPD and ABRA to interview them -- after a violent incident.

Paragraph 19 outlines what is expected for private events. And that includes that written contracts, that identify those persons who are renting the establishment be provided.

But more importantly, that Paragraph (b) outlines that the security plan must provide that for events that are hosted by an outside promoter or advertised by an outside promoter, that are scheduled to last after 1:00 a.m. or involve entertainment, and entertainment has already been defined in Paragraph 3, that the Reimbursable Security Detail Subsidy Program provided by MPD and outlined in 23-DCMR-718, should be used when available.

And that provides that additional security measure for these types of events -- that bring in unexpected amounts of individuals - and just provides that extra layer of

protection to the public for these types of events.

Paragraph 20 outlines that a cover charge is not allowed without board approval. Of course that is always the case, but here we wanted to make sure that that was specifically covered as part of the OIC, because it is our allegation that a cover charge was being charged when it should not have been done.

And Paragraph 21 outlines that this case will be referred to the Office of the Attorney General for a show cause review.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And you think that if this Offer in Compromise, if this was in place, this could have possibly prevented the matter from occurring?

MS. KRUPKE: I think, in particular, the security plan and the training on the security plan -- what happened here with the contracting of an outside agency, possibly, and in particular, with a promoter being allowed to bring in their own security personnel who are not

familiar with the establishment or not familiar with how to handle things, and are not -- to have no allegiance to the establishment, really, who have allegiance to the promoter who brought them in, resulted in what happened here.

That when the altercation took place, from the video footage, it looks like no one really knew what to do, and so this Offer in Compromise provides that everyone who works in the establishment and everyone who comes in to be contracted as a security personnel will know exactly what to do and how to protect patrons.

Of course, we recognize that you can't always prevent these events from happening, but things like pat-downs at the door, and making sure that everyone who works in the establishment knows exactly how to react, so that there isn't that moment of; what do I do, that that will protect the public.

In addition, the Office of the Attorney
General does recognize that the establishment has
agreed to reimbursable security detail for these

types of particular events. Even though they are a smaller establishment and so we do feel that that does show a good faith effort of trying to make sure that they are keeping the public safe and, you know, letting the public know in a very visual way that they are providing additional security.

So, in total, yes, I do feel that had these sorts of procedures been in place, I can't say of course that it wouldn't have started, but certainly, the length at which the altercation took place, the dying down and restarting, all of those things could have been prevented with proper procedures in place and that is exactly what this is designed to correct.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hm. Well, Mr.

Lukukanda, it is my understanding that there is an

Offer in Compromise, have you reviewed this Offer

in Compromise with your client?

MR. LUKUKANDA: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And are you in agreement with this Offer in Compromise?

MR. LUKUKANDA: Yes sir.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And why is it that you believe that the board should accept this Offer in Compromise, sir?

MR. LUKUKANDA: I think that the board should accept the Offer in Compromise because the Licensee understands, number one, that having this license is a privilege and this is a pivotal moment in his business where his business could simply go away, because there are certain things that he is not knowledgeable of -- knowledgeable about -- regarding holding this particular license.

So, I've conveyed the importance of understanding each one of the laws that has been breached and understanding the importance of the security plan and how it protects his safety, how it protects his staff, and how it also gives him the ability to have his actual business. Because the things, the mistakes that he made, that caused this to occur, are things that make one question his ability to run this establishment.

so, putting the security plan in place and this particular Offer in Compromise and also having the MPD detail up front, when he has a promoted event similar to the one where the incident has caused, this sets off a chain reaction of -- the next time something like this, if an incident occurs on camera -- you will see the lights come on, you will see the music go off. Or a person may not -- or the incident wouldn't even occur in the first place because someone would decide -- this isn't -- the MPD is here -- this is highly inappropriate and I'm going to behave myself tonight.

So, the Licensee understands the importance. He understand that his license is at risk. He understands all of the fine steps that need to be taken within the security detail, that need to be made there at the establishment. He has accepted that there is going to be a training that is going to occur where everyone is going to be brought to speed on the security plan. He understands that he is skating on thin ice. And

that this is an opportunity for him to get things together or get out of this business.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I know that this is not related. This is a restaurant. This establishment has a restaurant license. And the incident just -- I question -- is this a restaurant? Because, if one is running a restaurant -- promoters? But anyway, I digress. Any questions by any board members? Any other comments that you want to make before the board decides what the next step is?

MR. LUKUKANDA: I'd like to make one comment. I also suggested to the Licensee that, um, maybe possibly applying for a transfer of the license class would be appropriate in this -- in the way that this situation looks. He explained to me that they have food. I've never been to this establishment.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm not questioning whether or not they are -- I'm not questioning -- I'm not saying they should change their license class, I'm just saying that -- I'm

looking at the incident and the incident that occurred and the security plan that we're having that talks about a promoter and that talks about security, and that talks about training -- that doesn't say to me that this is a restaurant.

That's all I'm saying.

So, I'm not here saying they need to change their license class or anything such as that. All I'm saying is that, in reviewing the incident, and also reviewing this Offer in Compromise, and the steps were put in place, it leaves a question in my mind if whether or not this is a restaurant because these are not things that one would typically see in a -- in what reasonable minds would consider a restaurant.

We wouldn't think about a cover charge, bringing a promoter, charging a cover charge, that their incident -- that a promoter comes in and that there is security and then folks leave and say that we are not responsible. Or, if one has a restaurant, then how is it that you don't know what is going on? That is all I'm saying. It

leaves me to wonder what type of establishment that is. But I -- but it wasn't from the perspective that they should consider doing -- changing a class.

Unfortunately, I'm familiar with this

Licensee for other matters. But that's not

relevant to why we are here today. All right, but

anyway, anything else, bias aside?

MS. KRUPKE: I don't believe so. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: As Chairperson for the Alcohol and Beverage Control Board for the District of Columbia, in accordance with D.C.

Official Code Section 2574 of the Open Meetings
Act, I move that ABC Board hold a closed meeting for the purpose of seeking legal advice from our counsel on Case number 19-251-00077, Betty's Gojo, pursuant to D.C. official Code Section 2574(b) of the Open Meetings Act and deliberate upon Case number 19-251-00077, Betty's Gojo, for the reason cited in D.C. Official Code Section 2574(b)13 of the Open Meetings Act. Is there a second?

1	MEMBER SHORT: Second.
2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short has
3	second the motion. I will now take a roll call
4	vote on the motion before us now that has been
5	second. Ms. Wahabzadah?
6	MEMBER WAHABZADAH: I agree.
7	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short?
8	MEMBER SHORT: I agree.
9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Anderson?
10	I agree. As it appears in the motion has passed.
11	I hereby give notice that the ABC Board will
12	recess these proceedings to hold a closed meeting
13	in the ABC Board Conference Room, pursuant to
14	Section 2574(b) of the Open Meetings Act. We will
15	be back.
16	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
17	went off the record at 3:16 p.m. and resumed at
18	3:22 p.m.)
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We're back on the
20	record. Anyway, I make a motion that the board
21	accept the Offer in Compromise. Is there a second?
22	MEMBER WAHABZADAH: Second.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Wahabzadah has seconded the motion. All those in favor say aye.

MEMBER SHORT: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Those opposed?

And it passed three to zero. So the establishment will stay closed until the provisions in the Offer in Compromise has been complied with. I think the specifically the security agreement -- I think the training and what was the third?

MS. KRUPKE: The walk through of the -CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The walk through.

And so I guess it's -- so yeah - so, I guess it,

yeah -- so, whenever the board -- well, whenever

that information is done and it is transmitted to

the board, the board will then vote to reopen the

-- once the agency has informed the board that the

terms of the OIC has been complied with and the

board will vote to open the -- reopen the

restaurant. Good luck. Have a good day. Thank you.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter

went off the record at 3:24 p.m.)

Α a.m 4:18 9:10 16:13 **abatement** 9:19,20 **ABC** 5:19 24:15 25:11 25:13 **Abebo** 1:22 3:7 ability 20:19,22 able 6:3 11:19 12:9,11 12:13 14:19 above-entitled 25:16 26:21 **ABRA** 1:21 2:14,18 6:3 6:12,19 7:21 8:19 10:22 12:7 13:5,13 15:7,9,19 16:3 accept 20:3,6 25:21 accepted 8:21 21:19 Act 24:15,19,22 25:14 action 10:16 **actions** 13:22 actual 20:19 addition 6:22 18:20 additional 7:6 8:13,15 9:4 13:7,7 15:13 16:19 19:6 advertised 16:12 advice 24:16 afternoon 2:11 3:2,5,6 3:12,14 Afterward 6:13 agency 6:9,10 17:20 26:17 aggressors 10:15 agree 25:6,8,10 agreed 18:22 agreement 19:22 26:9 aid 5:13 13:18 Alcohol 24:12 **Alcoholic** 1:2.13.13 allegation 11:8 17:8 alleged 6:7 allegedly 6:2 allegiance 18:3,4 allow 8:7,10 allowed 17:4,21 altercation 4:20,22 5:4 5:7,9,11 18:6 19:11 altercations 10:9 ambulance 13:19 amount 6:17 **amounts** 16:21 **ample** 13:5 **ANC** 1:7 **Anderson** 1:15,17 2:3 2:11,19 3:1,4,8,11 4:5 4:12 7:7 17:13 19:16 19:21 20:2 22:3,19 24:11 25:2,7,9,9,19

26:1.5.12 angles 13:7 anyway 22:8 24:8 25:20 **appears** 25:10 apply 14:1 applying 22:14 approach 2:7 appropriate 7:13 22:15 approval 17:4 approximately 5:1 12:19 arrived 15:19 arrives 11:3 aside 24:8 assessment 13:9 association 6:10 assuage 8:5 assuages 7:20 attempt 5:8 9:20 **Attorney** 17:12 18:20 available 6:15,18 12:7 13:18 16:2,3,18 Ave 1:7 aye 26:3,4

В

b 16:9 back 2:4 13:6 25:15,19 **based** 3:18.19 basement 4:20 5:12.17 7:22 **basis** 14:13 **began** 5:20 behalf 2:9,14,18,22 **behave** 21:13 **believe** 4:9 7:5 20:3 24:9 **better** 11:19 Betty's 1:5,6 2:6 4:21 5:18 6:7,11,14,16 7:4 8:6,16 24:17,20 **Beverage** 1:2,13,13 24:12 bias 24:8 **blind** 12:17 13:1,15 **board** 1:2,13 3:19,20 4:13 8:21 17:4 20:3,5 22:9,10 24:12,15 25:11,13,20 26:14,16 26:16,17,19 breached 20:16 brief 4:14 7:5 bring 16:21 17:22 bringing 23:17 brought 18:4 21:21 Building 1:14 **business** 20:9,9,19 22:2

C
call 13:19 25:3
camera 12:12,15,18
13:3,10,10,14,16 21:7
cameras 6:16,21 11:18
12:19
capture 11:19
case 1:8 2:5 4:6 17:5,11
24:17,19
categories 14:6

cause 17:12 caused 20:20 21:5 certain 20:10

certainly 19:11 chain 21:5

Chairperson 1:14,17 2:3,11,19 3:1,4,8,11 4:5,12 7:7 17:13 19:16,21 20:2 22:3,19 24:11,11 25:2,7,9,19 26:1,5,12

change 22:21 23:8 changing 24:4 charge 7:2,4 8:1,2 17:4 17:8 23:16,17 charged 17:8

charging 7:2 23:17 check 8:7 chief 1:9 3:16 circumstances 16:1 cited 24:21

class 22:15,22 23:8 24:4

clean 5:20 client 19:19 close 3:22

closed 3:17 4:1 24:15 25:12 26:7

Code 24:14,18,21 **collect** 13:6

Columbia 1:1 2:10 24:13

come 21:8 comes 18:10 23:18 comment 22:13

comments 22:10 company 14:18

complied 26:8,18 comply 14:1

7:8,9 17:14 18:9 19:18,19,22 20:4,6 21:2 23:11 25:21 26:8

Compromise 4:8,11,16

concern 8:5 10:15 concerns 7:20 Conference 25:13 confiscated 10:6

confusion 12:12 consider 23:15 24:3 consideration 4:9 contain 8:15 10:12,21 continue 3:20 continues 3:22 contract 14:21 15:4 contracted 14:14,18 15:3,5 18:11 contracting 17:20 contracts 16:7 control 1:2,13,13 7:15 7:19 24:12 conveyed 20:14 cooperate 6:11 10:21 15:6,15 **cooperation** 15:8,11,12 copies 4:11 **corners** 12:22 correct 14:20 19:15 counsel 1:21 24:17

course 11:6 15:10 17:5 18:13 19:10 cover 7:2,3 17:3,8 23:16,17

coverage 13:1 covered 17:7 CR 1:7

crime 5:21,22 11:5,10 currently 12:17

ח

D'Moz 1:21 2:21 **D.C** 1:14 24:13,18,21 danger 3:20 day 26:20 days 13:8 14:8 decide 21:11 decides 22:11 defined 9:12 16:15 deliberate 24:19 demonstrate 12:9 denied 6:10 Department 5:16 deployed 5:5 designed 7:17 8:4,9 19:15 detail 16:16 18:22 21:3 21:17 detailed 10:21 digress 22:8 directly 6:7 disc 9:12 disciplinary 13:22 **District** 1:1 2:10 24:13 **doing** 24:3 dollars 7:3 **Donovan** 1:15,17

folks 10:19 11:2 14:11 door 18:15 21:15 leaves 23:12 24:1 **duty** 9:9 15:22 23:19 important 15:21 left 5:18 11:2 dying 19:12 following 8:14 importantly 16:9 legal 24:16 length 19:11 food 22:17 inappropriate 21:12 Е footage 5:2 6:17 12:10 incident 4:14,17,19 6:1 letting 19:5 effort 19:3 13:3,6 18:7 9:21 10:22 11:21 12:3 license 1:8 2:6 8:22 eliminate 12:16 form 9:13 16:4 21:5,7,9 22:6 13:12 20:8,13 21:15 forth 9:5 12:2 23:1,1,10,18 **Emboye** 1:20 3:2,3,4 22:5,15,22 23:8 forward 4:3 incidents 10:13 11:16 Licensee 1:20,22 2:22 employed 14:4 employees 14:6 found 10:6 12:1 13:4 20:7 21:14 22:13 24:6 engaged 6:8 **Friday** 9:10 includes 16:6 Licensee's 1:21 **lifting** 13:11 entering 10:3 front 21:3 including 10:14 entertainment 9:11 full 15:12 incorporates 10:10 lights 5:12 11:14 21:8 16:14,14 fully 6:20 15:15 individually 15:6 limit 8:9 **individuals** 5:1 16:21 little 2:16 entity 15:5 further 11:12 future 13:5 15:16 **information** 12:4 26:15 live 9:12,13 entrance 8:3 **LLC** 1:6 especially 15:21 informed 26:17 G locations 13:16 establishment 3:17,21 **instance** 15:12.17 General 17:12 18:21 instances 11:1 3:22 4:21 5:9 7:15,16 log 12:3 Georgia 1:7 intervene 10:17 8:10,22 10:1,4,17 longer 6:14 11:1,20 12:9,21 13:18 give 25:11 interview 16:4 looking 23:1 investigation 6:12,18 looks 5:20 18:7 22:16 14:5.12.17 15:15 16:8 aives 20:18 18:1,3,10,16,21 19:2 **Gojo** 1:5,6 2:6 4:21 5:18 investigations 15:7,10 lot 10:10 20:22 21:18 22:5,18 6:7,11,14,16 7:4 8:6 investigator 2:13,17 louder 2:16 24:1 26:6 8:17 24:17,20 13:13 Lounge 1:6 establishment's 5:19 Government 4:2.13 investigators 6:15.19 luck 26:20 13:12 guess 26:13,13 15:19 Lukakanda 3:1 **Lukukanda** 2:21,21 evaluate 13:15 **involve** 16:13 Н event 7:3,20 8:2,3,4,11 **involved** 5:4 11:17 19:17,20 20:1,5 22:12 **hand** 6:3 involves 12:21 **LUMUKANDA** 1:21 events 7:18 9:16 16:6 handle 18:2 **issues** 14:15 М 16:11,20 17:2 18:14 handled 10:9 J 19:1 **happen** 11:9,16 ma'am 3:9 **exactly** 5:3 18:12,17 happened 4:18 10:15 **JAMES** 1:17 main 10:15 19:14 17:19 18:5 **Jessica** 1:20 2:9 maintained 12:4 examine 13:14 happening 8:2 11:20 **jockey** 9:12 maintaining 7:19 existed 3:20 18:14 **JR** 1:17 maintenance 13:2 existing 12:17 **hard** 5:3 **making** 18:15 Κ **expected** 15:11 16:5 male 5:6 heard 3:8 explain 7:12 hearing 1:7,13 2:5 3:15 keeping 19:4 manage 12:11 explained 22:16 kept 12:3 management 7:14 4:2 extensive 7:10 highlights 7:12 Kibreb 1:20 3:3 manager 5:19 extra 9:15 16:22 highly 21:12 kicking 5:5 matter 1:4 3:16 4:9 knew 18:8 17:16 25:16 26:21 extraordinary 16:1 hire 14:9 hit 7:11 matters 4:6 24:6 **knife** 10:3 **Hm** 19:16 knowledgeable 6:20 meant 14:15 fact 11:9 hold 24:15 25:12 20:11,11 measure 16:20 measures 9:15 15:14 failure 5:21 holding 20:12 **knows** 18:16 faith 19:3 hosted 16:11 Krupke 1:20 2:9,9,12 medical 5:13 familiar 15:3 18:1,1 4:4,7,17 7:9 17:17 meeting 1:3 24:15 hosting 7:18 hours 3:17 16:3 24:5 24:9 26:11 25:12 **favor** 26:2 **Meetings** 24:14,19,22 feel 15:14 19:2,8 25:14 find 14:22 ice 21:22 Member 1:17,18 2:15 larger 9:16 fine 21:16 identification 8:8 25:1,6,8,22 26:4 lasted 5:7 first 7:14 21:10 **identify** 2:7 16:7 laws 20:15 members 6:14 22:9 mentioned 7:1 8:16 9:4 five 8:19 immediately 5:16 laver 16:22 **fled** 5:12 13:11 15:18 **importance** 20:14,16 **leave** 15:19 23:19

met 1:13 Metropolitan 5:15 mind 23:12 minds 23:15 minimum 9:8 minute 5:7 **minutes** 11:3 mistakes 20:20 moment 18:18 20:9 motion 25:3,4,10,20 26:2 move 4:3 24:15 MPD 6:3 10:7,22 11:2 12:7 13:5 15:6,9,19 16:3,16 21:3,11 music 9:12 11:14 21:8

Ν

N.W 1:14 **name** 3:2,9 names 12:10 **nature** 3:18.19 12:20 **necessary** 13:8,20 15:14 need 14:8,11,12 21:17 21:18 23:7 needs 10:10 never 22:17 **night** 9:11 niahts 9:10 non-security 14:6,7 notice 11:12 25:11 notification 5:14 **number** 2:5,6 5:1 9:7,18 11:5 12:8 13:2,9,21 20:7 24:17,20 **NW** 1:7

0

o'clock 8:19 OAG 1:20 7:21 8:19 11:8 occur 20:21 21:10,20 occurred 23:2 occurring 17:16 occurs 10:22 21:7 **Offer** 4:8,11,15 7:8,9 17:14 18:8 19:18,18 19:22 20:4,6 21:2 23:10 25:21 26:7 offered 5:13 **Office** 17:11 18:20 officer 6:4,5,6 officers 6:2 12:6 official 24:14,18,21 **OIC** 7:10 8:18 9:5 17:7 26:18 once 26:17

open 24:14,19,22 25:14 26:19 operation 6:20 opportunity 22:1 opposed 26:5 outline 13:22 outlined 10:11 16:17 outlines 8:12 9:22 10:8 14:3,5,14 15:8,13,20 16:5,9 17:3,10 outside 16:11,12 17:20 overall 13:16 owner 5:19 ownership 7:15,19

Р P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2:1 **p.m** 2:2 8:19 9:9 25:17 25:18 26:22 Paragraph 7:17 8:9,12 9:6,18 10:5,8 11:22 13:17 14:3,14 15:8 16:5,9,15 17:3,10 paragraphs 8:15 part 7:11 8:12 17:7 particular 7:21 15:17 15:21 17:17,21 19:1 20:12 21:2 parties 2:7 4:7 party 6:5,8 7:1,2 8:10 9:21 passed 25:10 26:6 pat-downs 10:2 18:15 patrons 5:17 10:18 18:12 people 5:2 pepper 5:5 performance 9:13 permitted 7:4 person 5:14 15:5 21:9 **personnel** 9:7 10:13 14:1,4,6,7,8,10,15 15:1,3,18,20 17:22 18:11

persons 6:18 9:8 10:18

11:17 12:11 16:7

place 4:20,22 9:3,16

19:12,14 21:1,10

plan 8:13,16,17 9:3

21:1,21 23:2

10:11,12,20 12:2

13:22 14:2,10 15:4

16:10 17:18,19 20:17

10:3 17:15 18:6 19:9

perspective 24:3

pivotal 20:8

23:11

point 5:5,10 **police** 1:9 3:17 5:15 **possibly** 17:15,20 22:14 preliminary 4:6 **PRESENT** 1:16,19 preservation 11:5 preserve 5:22 preserved 11:10 presiding 1:15 **Prestige** 6:9,10 prevent 10:2 18:14 prevented 17:15 19:13 primarily 12:16 13:3 prior 8:21 13:11 private 16:6 privilege 20:8 problem 14:16 **procedures** 10:12,21 19:9,14 proceedings 25:12 **Program** 16:16 prohibit 7:18 promoted 21:4 promoter 6:5 7:1.2.21 8:7.11 9:21 16:11.12 17:21 18:4 23:3,17,18 promoters 22:8 **proper** 19:13 protect 10:17 18:12,18 protected 11:18 protection 17:1 protects 20:17,18 **Prout** 1:21 2:13,13,17 2:17,20 **provide** 4:13 6:8 10:1,2 12:10 15:1 16:10 provided 4:11 5:15 6:5 6:6 15:13 16:8.16 provides 8:18 9:14 13:21 16:19,22 18:9 providing 19:6 **provision** 8:4 10:10 11:15 12:16 provisions 7:17 8:8,14 26:7 public 17:1 18:19 19:4 19:5 punching 5:4 purpose 24:16 pursuant 24:18 25:13 put 9:5 12:16 23:11 putting 21:1

please 2:7.8 3:9

Q

question 20:21 22:6 23:12

questioning 22:20,21 questions 7:6 22:9

R

re- 14:12 reached 4:8,16 react 18:17 reaction 21:6 ready 4:3 reason 24:20 reasonable 23:15 reasons 4:1 receive 14:9 recess 25:12 recognize 18:13,21 record 2:4,8 7:11 25:17 25:20 26:22 Reeves 1:14 referred 17:11 refresher 14:9 regarding 20:12 reignite 5:10 reimbursable 16:15 18:22 reinstated 9:1 related 14:22 22:4 relevant 24:7 **REMA** 1:18 remain 7:16 15:22 16:2 remains 3:22 render 13:18 rendered 5:13 rented 7:22 renting 16:8 reopen 26:16,19 report 12:1 required 8:20 15:9,11 requirement 9:22 11:6 11:7,13,14,22 12:8 requirements 8:15 9:2 9:5,6 14:4,21 requires 9:8 10:5 13:17 15:2,4 **respond** 10:13 **Respondent** 7:18 9:11 12:1 responsible 23:20 restarting 19:12 restaurant 1:5 22:4,5,7 22:8 23:5,13,15,21 26:20 result 5:6 resulted 18:5 resumed 25:17 Retailer 1:7 retrieve 12:10 review 17:12 reviewed 8:21 19:18

reviewing 23:9,10 **trying** 19:3 specifically 17:6 26:9 Υ turned 5:12 10:7 risk 21:16 speed 21:21 **year** 14:10 roll 25:3 **spoke** 6:19 **two** 6:2,13 9:8 14:5 Room 1:14 25:13 **spots** 12:17 13:1,15 type 24:1 Ζ run 20:22 spray 5:5 types 16:20 17:1 19:1 **zero** 26:6 running 22:7 stabbed 5:6 typically 23:14 staff 6:13 20:18 0 S U standard 11:15 started 19:10 **safe** 19:4 understand 12:13 1 stay 26:7 **safety** 20:17 21:15 **1:00** 16:13 Saturday 9:10 step 22:11 understanding 9:19 **10** 12:8 saying 22:21,22 23:6,7 steps 21:16 23:11 11:8 12:19 19:17 **10:00** 9:9 strange 12:21 23:9.22 20:15.16 **102500** 1:8 2:6 scene 5:21,22 11:6,10 Street 1:14 understands 20:7 **12** 13:2 submitted 8:18 21:14,16,22 15:22 16:2 **12:23** 4:18 scheduled 16:13 subside 5:10 unexpected 16:21 **13** 1:10 13:9 second 24:22 25:1,3,5 **Subsidy** 16:16 **Unfortunately 24:5** 13th 4:18 25:21,22 suggested 22:13 **14** 13:17 14:8 seconded 26:2 **Suite** 1:14 14th 1:14 **summary** 1:7 2:4 3:15 **Section** 24:14,18,21 variety 12:20 **15** 5:1 13:21 25:14 7:5 **verify** 14:20 **16** 14:3 supposed 15:1 vicinity 11:19 **security** 5:2 6:2,4,4,6,9 **17** 12:19 14:14 6:9,10,16,17,21 8:3 suspension 1:7 2:5 video 13:3 18:7 **18** 15:8 3:15 13:12 8:13,16,17 9:3,7,8,15 violation 3:18 **19** 16:5 10:11,11,12,20 11:17 synopsis 4:14 violent 4:19 10:8,13 **19-251-00077** 1:8 2:5 system 12:12,14,15,18 12:1 16:4 12:2,5,15 13:3,6,10 24:17,20 13:21 14:1,2,7,9,10 13:10.14.16 **visual** 19:6 14:15,18 15:1,2,4,18 vote 25:4 26:16,19 2 15:20 16:10,16,20 **voted** 3:20 **2** 8:12 17:18.19.22 18:11.22 **t/a** 1:6 2:51 2:2 W 19:7 20:17 21:1,17,21 taken 9:15 10:16 21:17 **20** 17:3 23:2,4,19 26:9 talks 23:3,3,4 **W** 1:15,17 **2000** 1:14 **Tavril** 1:21 2:13,17 seeking 24:16 **Wahabzadah** 1:18 25:5 **20009** 1:14 seemingly 5:8 tell 4:12 25:6.22 26:1 **2019** 1:10,12 4:18 semi-annual 14:13 ten 7:3 waitress 5:20 **21** 17:10 separate 14:5 term 7:14 walk 13:13 26:11,12 **22** 1:12 separating 10:14 terms 12:5 26:18 wanted 11:10 17:6 23-DCMR-718 16:17 Thank 2:19 24:9 26:20 set 12:2 Washington 1:14 **24** 16:3 sets 21:5 thereabouts 4:19 wasn't 24:2 **25** 9:2 **sheet** 3:12 thin 21:22 way 19:6 22:16 **2574** 24:14 **Short** 1:17 2:15 25:1,2 things 10:14 18:2,15 weapons 9:18,20 10:2 **2574(b)** 24:18 25:14 19:13 20:10,20,21 25:7,8 26:4 10:6 **2574(b)13** 24:21 shortly 4:10 22:1 23:13 Wednesday 1:11 show 17:12 19:3 third 6:5,8 7:1,2 8:10 Weldegabriel 1:22 3:6 3 sign 3:12 9:21 26:10 3:7,10,10,11 **3** 9:7 16:15 signed 3:13 thought 3:19 went 25:17 26:22 **3:00** 9:10 significant 6:17 9:4 three 26:6 wide 12:20 3:16 25:17 similar 21:4 **Title** 9:2 witnesses 12:5 **3:22** 25:18 **simply** 20:10 today 3:14,15 4:2 24:7 wonder 24:1 3:24 26:22 sir 19:20 20:1,4 tonight 21:13 working 14:12 **30** 13:8 total 19:8 situation 22:16 works 12:14 18:9,16 31st 8:20 skating 21:22 totaling 5:1 wouldn't 19:10 21:9 trained 14:8,11,13 smaller 19:2 23:16 **solve** 14:16 training 14:3 17:18 writing 12:10 49:18 somewhat 8:1 21:19 23:4 26:10 written 14:21 16:7 **400S** 1:14 **sort** 9:16 transfer 22:14 **4A** 1:7 X transmitted 26:15 **sorts** 19:9 **speak** 2:15,16 6:3,15 try 7:11

			31
5			
5 10:5			
6			
6 10:8			
7			
7 11:5 7616 1:7			
7616 1:7			
8			
9			
9 11:22 911 5:15 12:2			
911 5:15 12:2 96 3:17			
	I	l	I

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Betty's Gojo

Before: DCABRA

Date: 05-22-19

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &