

**THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS BOARD**

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| In the Matter of: |) | |
| |) | |
| Mangia & Bevi, LTD |) | Case No.: 25-CMP-00135 |
| t/a Giovanni's Trattu |) | License No.: ABCA-126137 |
| |) | Order No.: 2026-256 |
| Holder of a |) | |
| Retailer's Class CR License |) | |
| |) | |
| at premises |) | |
| 1823 Jefferson Place, NW |) | |
| Washington, D.C. 20036 |) | |

BEFORE: Donovan Anderson, Chairperson
Silas Grant, Jr., Member
Teri Janine Quinn, Member
Ryan Jones, Member
David Meadows, Member

PARTIES: Mangia & Bevi, LTD, t/a Giovanni's Trattu, Respondent

Sophia Mietus, Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General for the District of Columbia

ORDER GRANTING DEFAULT JUDGEMENT

The Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis (Board) enters a default judgement against Mangia & Bevi, LTD, t/a Giovanni's Trattu, (hereinafter "Respondent" or "Giovanni's Trattu"). This case arises from the Notice of Status Hearing and Show Cause Hearing (Notice), which the Board executed on December 9, 2025. *ABCA Show Cause File No. 25-CMP-00135*, Notice of Status Hearing and Show Cause Hearing, 2. The Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Administration (ABCA) served the Notice on the Respondent, located at premises 1823 Jefferson Place, NW, Washington, D.C., on February 19, 2026. *Id.*, Service Form. The Notice charges the Respondent with one violation, which if proven true, would justify the imposition of a fine, as well as the suspension or revocation of the Respondent's license. Specifically, the Notice charges the Respondent with the following violation:

Charge I: [On February 10, 2025,] [y]ou failed to file a quarterly statement . . . for . . . October 1, 2024-December 31, 2024 . . . in violation of DC. Code § 25-113(b)(2)(A)

Notice of Status Hearing and Show Cause Hearing, at 2.

The Show Cause Status Hearing occurred on February 11, 2026. The parties proceeded to a Show Cause Hearing on March 4, 2026; however, the Respondent failed to appear. The Government is permitted to prosecute the matter ex parte pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 25-447(e). Additionally, the Board is authorized to resolve a contested case by default judgement in accordance with D.C. Official Code § 25-509(a) when the Respondent fails to defend the action. *Digital Broad. Corp. v. Rosenman & Colin, LLP*, 847 A.2d 384, 389 (D.C. 2004). In light of the Respondent's failure to appear at the show cause hearing and defend itself, the Board enters a default judgement against the Respondent in this matter.

ORDER

Therefore, the Board, on this 11th day of March 2026, enters a **DEFAULT JUDGEMENT** against Mangia & Bevi, LTD, t/a Giovanni's Trattu and finds the Respondent in violation of § 25-113(b)(2)(A). The Board imposes the following penalty on Giovanni's Trattu:

(1) For the violation described in Charge I, Giovanni's Trattu shall pay a \$500 fine.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Respondent must pay all fines imposed by the Board within thirty (30) days from the date of this Order, or its license shall be immediately suspended until all amounts owed are paid.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, in accordance with 23 DCMR § 800 (West Supp. 2026) the violations found by the Board in this Order shall be deemed a secondary tier violation.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Board's findings of fact and conclusions of law contained in this Order shall be deemed severable. If any part of this determination is deemed invalid, the Board intends that its ruling remain in effect so long as sufficient facts and authority support the decision. The omission of any testimony or evidence in the Board's Order indicates that such testimony or evidence was contravened by the evidence or testimony credited by the Board, had no or minimal weight on the Board's findings and conclusions, was irrelevant, was not credible, was not truthful, was repetitious, was too speculative, or was otherwise inappropriate for consideration.

The ABCA shall deliver copies of this Order to the Government and the Respondent.

District of Columbia
Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board

eSigned via SeamlessDocs.com
Donovan Anderson
Key: ac43cb96c8d5f0e4b730093d1dccc8

Donovan Anderson, Chairperson



Silas Grant, Jr., Member

Teri Janine Quinn, Member



Ryan Jones, Member

David Meadows

David Meadows, Member

Any party adversely affected may file a Motion for Reconsideration of this decision within ten days of service of this Order with the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Administration, 899 North Capitol Street, N.E., Suite 4200-A, Washington, D.C. 20002. Also, pursuant to § 11 of the *District of Columbia Administrative Procedure Act*, Pub. L. 90-614, 82 Stat. 1209, D.C. Code § 2-510 (2001), and Rule 15 of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, a party that is adversely affected may have the right to appeal this Order by filing a petition for review, within 30 days of the date of service of this Order, with the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, located at 430 E Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. Parties are advised that the timely filing of a Motion for Reconsideration stays the time for filing a petition for review in the District of Columbia Court of Appeals until the Board rules on the motion. *See* D.C. App. Rule 15(b) (2004). Parties are further advised that the failure to present all matters of record that have allegedly been erroneously decided in a motion for reconsideration may result in the waiver of those matters being considered by the Board. The Board also reserves the right to summarily deny or not consider multiple and repetitive motions.

Parties are also advised that the Superior Court of the District of Columbia may have jurisdiction to hear appeals in non-contested cases or in matters where that court is specifically provided jurisdiction by law. Finally, advisory neighborhood commissions (ANCs) are advised that their right to appeal or challenge a decision of the Board may be limited by the laws governing ANCs. *See e.g.*, D.C. Code § 1-309.10(g).