DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

+ + + + + MEETING

IN THE MATTER OF: :
Café Dupont, LLC, :

t/a Café Citron :

1343 Connecticut Ave, NW,: Fact Finding

Retailer CR - ANC 2B : Hearing

License No. 60138 :
Case #18-251-00090 :
(Aggault Ingide of the

(Assault Inside of the : Establishment) :

Wednesday
July 18, 2018

The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board met in the Alcoholic Beverage Control Hearing Room, Reeves Building, 2000 14th Street, N.W., Suite 400S, Washington, D.C. 20009, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson, presiding.

PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson NICK ALBERTI, Member BOBBY CATO, JR., Member MIKE SILVERSTEIN, Member JAMES SHORT, Member REMA WAHABZADAH, Member

ALSO PRESENT:

CLELIA VERINDOAGUE, Licensee

RICHARD BIANCO, Licensee

MARLHON LUCERO, Licensee

DARREN HASKIS, DC MPD

NEHAUJA MICOSEVIC, Licensee

CAMERON ROYSTER, ABRA Investigator

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(10:13 a.m.)
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, the
4	next case on our calendar is Case Number 18-251-
5	00090, Café Citron, License Number 60138. Will
6	the parties please approach and identify
7	themselves for the record please? Everyone who
8	is here for Café Citron, they can come forward
9	please. So we can start with the licensee.
10	(Off the record comments)
11	MR. BIANCO: Good morning, Mr.
12	Chairman and members of the board. Richard
13	Bianco on behalf of Café Citron.
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning,
15	Mr. Bianco.
16	MS. VERINDOAGUE: Good morning, Clelia
17	Verindoague.
18	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I didn't get
19	your last name, ma'am.
20	MS. VERINDOAGUE: Verindoague.
21	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Verindoague.
22	MS. BERINDOAGUE: Yes.

1	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning.
2	How are you?
3	MS. VERINDOAGUE: Good morning.
4	MR. LUCERO: Good morning, Marlhon
5	Lucero.
6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I didn't get
7	your last name, sir.
8	MR. LUCERO: Marlhon Lucero.
9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Luc
10	MR. LUCERO: Lucero.
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Lucero. Good
12	morning.
13	MR. LUCERO: Good morning.
14	MR. MICOSEVIC: Good morning, Nehauja
15	Micosevic. Are you getting good at those?
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: It's that I
17	can't hear. I'm bad with the pronunciation, but
18	I'm not hearing to even try.
19	MR. MICOSEVIC: Micosevic.
20	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning,
21	sir. There's a sign-in sheet, so please make
22	sure everyone signs in on the sign-in sheet.

1	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Good morning,
2	Cameron Royster.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning,
4	Mr. Royster.
5	LIEUTENANT HASKIS: Good morning,
6	Lieutenant Darren Haskis, MPD.
7	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning,
8	Lieutenant Haskis.
9	This is a fact finding hearing because
10	of an incident that occurred at the
11	establishment. And the board was concerned. I
12	think that if I recall correctly that the cameras
13	did not work. The licensee did not report this
14	to MPD. And so this is one of the reasons why we
15	brought the licensee in this morning to explain
16	to us why that they acted the way they did. I
17	know that the licensee has a security plan. And
18	whether or not the licensee complied with its
19	security plan.
20	So I'll start with Mr. Royster. Maybe
21	you can tell us about this incident and your
22	investigation, sir.

INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Yes. So I was assigned to investigate a simple assault incident that occurred the morning of Sunday, March 4th.

It was there at the establishment of Café Citron.

The incident report was from MPD officer, Yohan Higgins. He advised that some patrons were inside of Café Citron and an altercation ensued that a male patron had struck a female patron in the face.

I first visited the establishment on March 14th on Wednesday at 12:00 a.m. and I spoke with the ABC manager on duty that night who was Clelia Verindoague. She advised that she was not on duty the night of the incident and it was ABC manager, Marlhon Lucero that night who was working.

So I proceeded to ask her the security procedures the establishment ensues. And they say that the night of the incident, there were seven security personnel working. And also there was 16 cameras placed around the establishment, but they were not working the night of the

incident.

When I asked her why they were not recording the night of the incident, Ms.

Verindoague advised that the establishment was in the process of repositioning and upgrading their camera system. And the company had forgot to turn the camera system back on when they got the work done. So there was a period that they were not recording. And it was a period of about ten days, they stated. And the established procedure is to alert MPD also as soon as possible. And to separate both parties, as well as referencing their security plan as Ms. Verindoague advised me.

So after visiting the establishment -Well I first requested documentation that their
camera system was being worked on, which she
stated she would get to me the next day -- Yes,
that she would get to me the next day.

And so after visiting the establishment, I contacted by email Officer Yohan Higgins to gain more clarification of the

incident. And he advised that when he first got on scene -- or when he first entered the scene, he observed both parties outside of the establishment arguing which later on I observed through his body-worn camera footage to be accurate and true, that both parties were outside of the establishment arguing about what had occurred and so on.

And so that next day, March 14th that night at 8:30 p.m., I visited the establishment again and met with Marlhon Lucero to gain more clarification about the incident. And Mr. Lucero had advised me that he did not know the incident had occurred until MPD had approached him saying that -- requesting video footage of the incident. And he advised me that he requested MPD to come back another time because the establishment was close to closing and was busy at the moment. So he --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry.

What happened? Repeat what you just said.

INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: He asked MPD to

come back another time because the establishment was close to closing, so it was busy at that moment. So he wanted to give them video footage another time. And so I further asked him about the incident and if they notified MPD. And Mr. Lucero stated that the security had immediately kicked out the male patron out of the establishment. And that the reporting person, which was the victim, Ms. Rovero, she had alerted MPD instead of the establishment.

And later on that same night, he provided me the incident log and that it confirmed what his account to me was that the victim had called MPD. And that he was approached by MPD, which was how he first learned of the incident.

And so a week later on March 21st, I interviewed Ms. Rovero, the reporting person.

And she advised that she was assaulted by a male patron inside of the establishment and security had witnessed the incident. And she further advised that after security witnessed the

incident, they kicked the male patron out immediately. And that the security told her to call MPD.

And then she also further sent me -She sent me pictures later on that night of her
injuries that confirmed the injuries that I
witnessed on the body-worn camera footage of that
she was holding her face. And her face was
swelling on, I believe it was her left side. The
left side of her face was swelling, that she had
been struck.

So through my observations of the body-worn camera footage and the interviews, I concluded that the simple assault did occur inside of the establishment based on her injuries and the body-worn camera footage. And so then while going over the investigative report for this hearing, it was further determined with my supervisor that the establishment had also violated their security plan as well, specifically on Page 13 and 14 within the conflict resolutions and aggressive customers.

And do you want me to read?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You can tell us what -- You can just summarize it please.

INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Well basically on Page 13, there's a part that states if a altercation occurs between two parties, they aren't to be escorted to the same area, to address their concerns. And through my observations on the body-worn camera footage, they were both outside of the establishment arguing. So it was concluded that they were led to the same area and so it's a violation of that portion of the security plan.

And then also on Page 14, it states if any employee of Café Citron observes any act of violence or other emergencies, they shall contact radio -- They shall contact and radio for assistance immediately from another employee's security or attempt to assist or call MPD or EMS immediately. And since the reporting person, Ms. Rovero stated that she had to call MPD and that security had told her to call MPD, clearly they

did not call MPD so that's a violation as well.

And so I concluded that those are two additional violations regarding their security plan.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.

Lieutenant, what can you offer to what occurred here, if anything?

investigator gave a good rundown. Indeed we did learn of the incident via a 911 call from the victim. It happened at approximately 2:00 a.m. Apparently herself and the patron who assaulted her bumped into each other. It was very crowded in the bar area. And he turned around and punched her in the face, hitting her in the eye.

And we did find them when we arrived on scene at the location and both were able to give us their account. He had claimed that he had elbowed her and not punched her. The case when I looked it up this morning, apparently he has pled guilty. It's set up for deferred sentencing. That's about all I have.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So from MPD's

perspective, what if anything, did the establishment do right or wrong, at least from your perspective?

perspective, we would have -- the body camera footage for us is very -- I'm sorry. The camera footage in the establishment is critical. We would have liked that. And also we would have liked to have heard from the establishment, rather than from the victim 911.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: There was an allegation -- There was an allegation that I think an MPD officer went and the establishment had said well, you need to come back another time because we're busy. I don't know if that's -- Do you know if that occurred and did that have an impact on your investigation?

LIEUTENANT HASKIS: I'm not sure if that occurred. When I spoke to Officer Higgins two days ago, he had not brought that up to me. Since a warrant was done here, I don't think that it had a significant impact.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. 2 INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: May I say --CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 3 Yes, Mr. 4 Royster? 5 INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Officer Yohan 6 Higgins first contacted ABRA via email to the 7 ABRA hotline. And stated that -- at the bottom 8 of his email -- I don't have it in front of me --9 that the staff had refused to give him video. So that's how that came about -- at the bottom of 10 11 that email, how he first contacted us. 12 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay, thank 13 Mr. Bianco, how do you -- How does your client wish to address? 14 15 Well if I may, I'd MR. BIANCO: Sure. 16 like to go through each of the allegations set 17 And then if my client needs to fill in forth. 18 additional details, she can do that. We also 19 have Mr. Lucero who was the manager that evening. And Mr. Micosevic who's the head of security for 20 21 the establishment and was also on site that

evening. And I think it will do well to shed

some light on this particular incident.

First, with regard to the security cameras, my client was in the process on March the 4th of upgrading their security cameras. And I know you guys have a lot of cases but the board may recall that we were here actually on March the 7th and provided some description of that upgrade process. And the reason why the cameras were being upgraded was because of an incident that occurred back in November of '17. And that incident specifically dealt with an incident that occurred that was outside of the view of the cameras.

'17, my client, Ms. Verindoague decided to upgrade the camera system and have the cameras repositioned. And this was done in two phases.

In late '17, early '18, the cameras themselves were upgraded. So the cameras they had were sort of the old style, long bank cameras that were aimed throughout the establishment. They were not doing a great job of coverage or providing

visibility in the low light of a lounge.

So they upgraded the actual physical cameras to digital that would be better seen in low light. Once the cameras were upgraded and repositioned, the system itself then in February and March of 2018 was upgrade to accommodate additional memory. So the system was upgraded to what is now a 9.3 terabyte memory system, which is relevant because it can record up to six weeks of operation as opposed to the 30 days that they previously had.

On March 4th when this incident took place, the camera system upgrade was finished.

It was finished on March the 1st. The system was not recording unbeknownst to my client. If you were to look at it, it appeared to be functioning. And when they discovered that the system was not recording by virtue of this incident, they had their contractor who did the upgrade provide a letter of explanation, which was provided to the investigator. But for some reason, and I learned this morning, the letter

1	was not included in the report.
2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So do you have
3	a copy of this letter?
4	MR. BIANCO: Since I just learned of
5	this issue this morning, I don't have a physical
6	copy of it with me. My client's daughter has
7	attempted to email me a copy, but I would be
8	happy to supplement the record with the letter
9	that was provided to the investigator, what we
LO	were doing.
L1	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: To what
L2	investigator?
L3	MR. BIANCO: I'm sorry, the
L 4	investigator in this matter seated directly to my
L5	right.
L6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Royster?
L7	And I apologize to cut you
L8	MR. BIANCO: Sure.
L9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: but since
20	I'm being told that a letter was provided to him
21	and it's not in his report. So Mr. Royster, can
22	you Because it appears this is a very

important point for the licensee. That's one of 1 2 the reasons I'm asking you. Can you address that issue while it's still fresh in everyone's mind? 3 4 INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: I was told this 5 morning apparently I received a letter but I 6 didn't. The only thing I received, I provided 7 this morning. The second time I went, Mr. Lucero 8 provided me a copy of the invoice that something 9 was being paid, which was provided to him That's right there. But it didn't show 10 earlier. 11 anything specifically what had occurred. There's 12 not any -- It didn't show what was being worked 13 on or anything. It just showed something was 14 paid for. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. 15 16 me ask you a question, how was this information 17 provided to the investigator? 18 MR. BIANCO: I'm not sure quite 19 honestly because again, this is new information 20 to me as of 9:40 this morning. I do not have --21 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well I'm just 22 saying since your client is saying that they

provided something, then whatever you're going to provide to supplement the record, I need you to tell us how it was provided. If it was provided by email --

MR. BIANCO: Of course.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- then we should have the original. You should forward us a copy of the original email that was sent by email. So if it was hand-delivered, well we can't do that. But if it was sent by email -- if the allegation is going to be that it was sent by email, the only way I will -- the only way this board -- and I'm speaking for the board, the only way the board is going to accept it to say that it's factual is if you provide us the original email that was sent. If you don't have that information or if you're not going to say that it was provided, hand-delivered, don't provide it. Because I'm not going to -- I don't think -- it could be like okay, this was made up after the fact.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I just want to 1 2 make sure that you know at least where I stand. MR. BIANCO: Right and from an 3 evidentiary standpoint, it would be my 4 5 understanding and intention to present the board either the email chain showing delivery or some 6 7 type of declaration from the person who hand-8 delivered it saying I hand-delivered this to this 9 person on date. And that's what I intend to --CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well I'm 10 11 letting you know what else is required based on 12 what's been said. Based on the representation 13 that's made by the investigator this morning. 14 MR. BIANCO: Correct and --15 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I apologize, go 16 ahead. And as of -- Again, as of 17 MR. BIANCO: 18 the information that was provided to me this morning, the letter was from Julio Gaminow who is 19 20 employed by -- I'm sorry, owns INIS DMV that does video and sound and other audio visual issues for 21 22 industry establishments as his business.

indicates that the system was installed and it was properly working by the end of February.

But as a result of the external hard drives not being plugged in appropriately to the close circuit TV systems, it was not recording for the period of seven days from March the 1st through March the 7th. And it's my understanding that, that is the letter that we are going to be able to produce to the board.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I try not to interrupt attorneys when they are speaking but if it was properly installed and working, did somebody go and unplug it? I'm just trying to find out what happened. If the installer says that maybe I didn't check it to make sure that it was working and it was my fault. But I mean unless you're telling me there was electric shortage or something, I'm suspicious that if it was working and you're going to say that it was not -- That explanation, I'm just saying to me at first blush, I'm not buying it but go ahead.

MR. BIANCO: We'll provide what the

1 technician provided us.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, but as I said Mr. Bianco, if you say to me that it was working, something happened. There was an act of God, things can happen. There was a power failure. We thought that it kicked in and it But that -- I'm sitting here and didn't kick in. we're all reasonable person and that doesn't sound reasonable to me. I'm just saying to you. I mean I try to be open minded and I can be open minded. But I'm just saying to you that, that just leaves me wanting to scratch my head because I'm not sure if I want to believe that. ahead.

MR. BIANCO: Sure. Well I'm not a technician and again this is --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

MR. BIANCO: -- new information to me.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.

MR. BIANCO: I will provide -- I'm providing it to you as I get it.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Go

ahead.

MR. BIANCO: And I will provide whatever was --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

MR. BIANCO: -- provided to the investigator in this case. The board can read it and if there's need for additional fact finding, we're happy to produce whatever documentation or witnesses that the board would like to hear from on that particular issue.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.

MR. BIANCO: Moving on to what I've spotted as Issue Number 2 in the investigator remarks, which is that the patron called the police and was told to call the police. We have Mr. Micosevic here to address that particular issue. There was -- the security personnel at this particular establishment are trained to call the police. And they're trained to do so once the scene is made safe for the patrons, as well as the individuals that were involved and whatever issue took place.

We can say definitely that no member of his security team told the victim to call the police. But we don't deny that the victim actually called the police. It happens. It happened in this case. The victim called the police at a time before the security personnel had secured the scene and called the police themselves. Once they were informed that the police were called, they don't make a duplicate call. The police were on the way.

And we don't qual with the fact that the victim's the one that called the police.

What we take issue with is the victim's statement now I supposed thirdhand that someone from the security team told her to call the police. It's not their procedure. It's not their practice.

It's not what they do. It's not what happened here.

What I have spotted as the third issue in the investigator's remarks is the issue with the police officer being told to come back on another day. And Mr. Lucero is here to address

that particular issue. He has known this particular officer for some period of time. He was at the tail end of a very long shift. He did not tell the officer I'm too busy, come back at another time. He asked if it would be okay if the officer came back at another time to view the footage and the officer agreed.

So it's not a situation where we're saying something like go away, we're too busy to deal with you. It's a situation where we're asking permission. Would this be okay? And to that end, it's actually in compliance with the law. We're required to provide video footage within 48 hours. My client asked and the officer agreed to come back the following Monday to view the video footage.

Many times in the past, this

particular officer has asked Mr. Lucero to look

at video footage. There's never been a delay.

There's never been a problem. It wasn't a

problem here. He asked the officer nicely if he

could come back. The officer agreed based on

their preexisting relationship. The officer came back and it was when the officer came back, they discovered this problem with the recording.

As to what I've been advised this morning at 9:40 with the filing of the supplemental report, so we'll call this new Issue Number 4. And that is the new allegation that the two patrons were outside arguing. And I've not been provided the body-worn camera footage. I haven't seen it. I don't know what it shows. I'm relying on what the investigator is telling us and what the officer's lieutenant is telling us based apparently on their viewing of the footage.

But in the last 20 or 30 minutes speaking with my clients trying to get them to recall what happened, you know four or so months ago, as best as we can tell there's no question the patrons were separated when the incident initially occurred. The gentleman who was the perpetrator in this case and it sounds like he's pleading his criminal case out was removed from

the establishment, taken out front. The victim in this case was kept inside of the establishment. And then decided that she no longer wanted to be inside of the establishment and wanted to go outside.

So just as the security plan requires the parties to be separated as they were in this case, the security plan also requires that they not detain anybody against her will. So explaining to someone that it's in their best interest to remain inside the establishment. And that they should not go outside the establishment where the perpetrator is located is what happened. Her declining that advice and going outside anyway is not something that my client could have physically blocked without also violating security agreement, as well as the law.

So if somebody's told to stay inside because it's in their best interest and decides that they want to be outside, there's not a heck of a lot that my client can do to physically stop them from doing that. So when the officer came

up, if he saw the two inside and the body-worn camera footage shows that, it doesn't speak necessarily to whether or not the parties were appropriately separated at the outset of the incident. They in fact were.

who are here can provide whatever additional details they remember right now. But we haven't had the opportunity to look into this more fully or to even see the body-worn camera footage as this was just raised to us in a supplemental report a few minutes before the case was called. So we're doing our best to deal with that particular issue. And if the board wants additional documentation on that or additional testimony on that, we will do our best to go back and find that once we've had actual opportunity to look into it.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Any
questions by any board members? Yes, Mr. Short?
MR. SHORT: Good morning, Mr. Bianco.

MR. BIANCO: Good morning, Mr. Short.

MR. SHORT: Okay, just as the chairman is concerned about the functioning of the cameras at this particular time and in light that we just as you reminded us that you were here in late '17 -- 2017, were the same issues going on at that time with the cameras?

MR. BIANCO: No. Actually we were here on March 7th of 2018 related to an incident that occurred in November of '17. And the issue that occurred in November of '17 was a result of not the recording of the cameras. The cameras were appropriately recording. It involved the coverage area of the cameras. So in that case, two cameras were placed next to each other. One facing the front of the establishment. facing the back of the establishment. And the incident occurred essentially underneath where the two cameras were placed, so there was not appropriate coverage.

MS. VERINDOAGUE: It was a blind spot.

MR. BIANCO: It was a blind spot,

ight. So what that incident demonstrated to my

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

client is the need to reposition and upgrade the entire system, which is what they undertook.

MEMBER SHORT: Not to cut you off but now to take care of that problem in this particular instance, nothings working. So blind spot though, the whole issue is now the club is not covered at all camera wise at this particular -- for this particular incident.

MR. BIANCO: For this particular incident, the cameras themselves were operating. So if you were sitting in the office looking at the monitor where the footage is displayed, they would appear to be working because you could see all parts of the club. What they were not doing is recording. And that was unbeknownst to my client until this incident occurred and they went back and looked at the footage. And then discovered the technical issue that resulted in -

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Currently today, right now, are the cameras functioning now correctly?

1	MR. BIANCO: Yes, sir. They are. And
2	what I can tell factually to the board is as part
3	of my investigation, I went out to this
4	establishment during its operating hours without
5	the management necessarily expecting me. Walked
6	in, had them take me to the office and said now
7	show me footage from a date in the past. And
8	they were able to operate that system and show me
9	footage.
10	MEMBER SHORT: So that's
11	(Simultaneous speaking)
12	MR. BIANCO: So it's working and it's
13	recording, absolutely. And I verified that
14	myself by going there recently.
15	MEMBER SHORT: All right now, this
16	question I'm going to ask of the investigator,
17	and thank you for the excellent report. The
18	security plan, is it compliant with ABRA's new
19	regulations on security plans?
20	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: To ABRA's new
21	regulations, you said?
22	MEMBER SHORT: Yes, is it compliant

1	with what we now subscribe to persons submitting
2	security plans in the District of Columbia that
3	ABRA takes and puts in the files?
4	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: So are they
5	compliant with the full security plan?
6	MR. SHORT: Yes.
7	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: I would have to
8	review fully. I cannot give a definite answer at
9	this moment.
10	MEMBER ALBERTI: Excuse me. All
11	right, just for clarification. Are you asking is
12	the security plan compliant or are they compliant
13	with the security plan?
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: He's asking if
15	the security
16	MEMBER SHORT: plan is compliant
17	with what we with what our office requires.
18	MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay.
19	MEMBER SHORT: That's the question.
20	MEMBER ALBERTI: I just want to make
21	sure the investigator understands that.
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay, all

1 right.

INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: I believe it should be updated, but overall --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can we see if that's done as soon as practical?

MR. SHORT: Excuse me?

MR. BIANCO: Well Mr. Short, if I might on this --

MR. SHORT: Yes.

MR. BIANCO: -- particular point when we were here in March, that issue was raised by Mr. Alberti I believe. And I was informed, which was news to me that the agency will conduct a review. We submitted it for review. It was reviewed by Mr. Berman. I reviewed it myself in terms of compliance. I think Mr. Berman and I both agree that the plan is in fact in compliance but in a conversation I had with Ms. Jenkins yesterday, she reminded me that even plans that are in compliance should be updated and reviewed periodically.

MR. SHORT: Okay, great. Which leads

1 me to this because when I don't see camera 2 coverage in this plan, it kind of makes me think we need to update it. 3 4 MR. BIANCO: I think that's a good 5 point, yes. MR. SHORT: And I think that 6 7 Investigator --8 INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: Royster. 9 -- Royster -- Thank you, MR. SHORT: 10 Mr. Royster, that you would help us with that and 11 see that, that gets taken place. Now the next 12 question I have is that someone is injured in your club. And no one from the club calls MPD or 13 14 EMS. Is that true? MR. BIANCO: That's correct. 15 16 victim called before security called. 17 MR. SHORT: Is that acceptable to the 18 club? 19 MR. BIANCO: Well in the plan and as 20 contemplated in their normal procedure, they are 21 to call once they've secured the scene. But if a victim or somebody else, a witness, calls prior 22

1	to the club security personnel calling, it's
2	certainly not ideal. It's not what we want, but
3	we also don't want to create an administrative
4	burden by making multiple calls to MPD when
5	they've already been alerted.
6	MR. SHORT: Administrative burden?
7	MR. BIANCO: Correct.
8	MR. SHORT: Someone's injured in the
9	club and it's a burden for someone to call 911?
10	MR. BIANCO: No, sir. We don't want
11	to create an administrative burden on the police
12	by making multiple calls when we've been made
13	aware
14	MR. SHORT: I don't think I don't
15	think
16	MR. BIANCO: the call has already
17	been made.
18	MR. SHORT: Lieutenant, would that be
19	a problem if you got multiple calls about the
20	same Does that happen on a regular basis
21	anyway?
22	LIEUTENANT HASKIS: It happens very

1 it's a very common occurrence. 2 MR. SHORT: But it's not a burden on Knowing public safety the way I do, I can 3 MPD. 4 tell you most incidents most incidents get 5 multiple calls. And I would think it would be 6 very responsible for your club, someone calls 7 911. Just don't say because someone told me they 8 were injured but they already called, so we're 9 not going to call. That's not what this plan says the way I'm reading it. So how much 10 11 training does the security get? Can I ask 12 security that? How much training and who trains 13 you? 14 MR. MICOSEVIC: I've been trained by 15 -- Actually I've been trained here in ABRA. 16 also --17 MR. SHORT: How's that working for 18 you? 19 MR. MICOSEVIC: Good so far. 20 MR. SHORT: So no one called 911 --21 MR. MICOSEVIC: Yes, but if I may --22 MR. SHORT: Go ahead, please.

1	MR. MICOSEVIC: we did try to
2	separate the parties both parties at the time
3	
4	MR. SHORT: Well that's well and good.
5	But again, we wouldn't be sitting here if
6	something didn't go wrong with that. So how many
7	other people have been trained on your security -
8	- How many security do you have in the club?
9	MR. MICOSEVIC: That night it was
10	seven security.
11	MR. SHORT: How many of them have been
12	trained?
13	MR. MICOSEVIC: All of them.
14	MR. SHORT: By whom?
15	MR. MICOSEVIC: Well our in-house
16	security have been trained by ABRA. But we also
17	have contractors a security company
18	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Just identify
19	yourself for the record, sir. Identify yourself
20	for the record.
21	MR. MICOSEVIC: Nehauja Micosevic.
22	I'm the head of security.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. 2 ahead, Mr. Short. If I could suggest, and 3 MR. SHORT: 4 this is the owner? 5 MR. BIANCO: Ms. Verindoague, yes. MR. SHORT: We've had instances where 6 we've had training done or people have -- We can 7 8 make suggestions but it's your club. You do it 9 the way you want to. But when you have everybody at the club who deals with security trained the 10 11 same way and they get someone to come in from the 12 outside who may not see things the same way 13 somebody working there would see it and go over 14 the plan with you. I think that, that sometimes 15 helps out a lot. And if have a regular beat 16 officer, MPD, the PSA officer, he should be aware 17 of it also. 18 But I would think that this is the 19 second time within this year that you've been 20 before us with the same kinds of situations, so 21 something isn't -- something isn't clicking. 22 Yes, let me -- We do MS. VERINDOAGUE:

1	have our in-house security but a large also
2	like 40 percent sometimes, depending on the time
3	sometimes my in-house cannot come, we have a
4	security company. Let me see what is the name.
5	It's
6	MR. MICOSEVIC: Internal Protection
7	Services.
8	MS. VERINDOAGUE: What?
9	MR. MICOSEVIC: Internal Protection
10	Services.
11	MR. BIANCO: Internal
12	MS. VERINDOAGUE: Internal Protection
13	Services. And they are a company that have very
14	well trained obviously security people.
15	MEMBER SHORT: How often do you use
16	them?
17	MS. VERINDOAGUE: They are I'm
18	sorry. They are like ex-police, people who have
19	been police before worked for the police
20	before. So we are very confident that they are
21	very, very good trained very well trained.
22	MR. SHORT: Okay. Are they familiar

1	with your security plans?
2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Of course.
3	They are, of course.
4	MR. SHORT: Were they working the
5	night of this incident?
6	MS. VERINDOAGUE: No, not that I
7	MR. MICOSEVIC: No.
8	MR. SHORT: How often do they work?
9	MS. VERINDOAGUE: They were hired
10	actually March Let me see. I have the date
11	here. I'm sorry. I didn't remember
12	MR. MICOSEVIC: March 28th.
13	MS. VERINDOAGUE: March 28th, they
14	were hired. We had another company before and we
15	realized that perhaps they were not so well
16	trained. And we decided to change to this new
17	company that is we are very comfortable and is
18	doing a very good job.
19	MEMBER SHORT: Okay well, I'm just
20	concerned that this is the second time we've seen
21	you
22	MS. VERINDOAGUE: I understand.

MEMBER SHORT: -- this year. And the cameras not functioning or doing what they're supposed to be doing.

MS. VERINDOAGUE: Yes, but we -
MEMBER SHORT: We've got to do better.

Do you agree we've got to do better?

MS. VERINDOAGUE: You know because of these things also, we've been fixing every time, everything that we have seen that didn't work well. Like the first time it was the issue the camera having this blind spot. We proceeded to repair that problem. Then we had the security situation. We proceed to hire a new security company that is better trained. So because of these issues, we are trying to better ourselves as well.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Well I would suggest that -- and if Investigator Royster is going to be working with you on a security plan and diagrams and some of the other things I've seen and some of the other plans. And even you might want to put in here, if you do have trained

persons coming in, giving you -- just suggestion 1 2 wise that they have associated some kind of a way that they've been through this plan with you 3 4 also. 5 MS. VERINDOAGUE: Right. No, they are -- every time that if somebody new would come 6 7 from the company, they are supposed to read 8 through the security plan. 9 MEMBER SHORT: Supposed to. 10 MS. VERINDOAGUE: Yes. Well they are 11 -- you know, they come, they do. 12 MR. SHORT: Do you report it? 13 MS. VERINDOAGUE: Not this procedure. 14 MEMBER SHORT: Do you have a journal 15 that you keep for emergencies? 16 MS. VERINDOAGUE: Yes. MEMBER SHORT: So could you put that 17 18 in recording when the training takes place or the 19 persons review it? So if you come to a hearing 20 like this again, you can see well yes, those 21 persons were working for us that night -- the

outside persons. But yes, when they checked in

1	or logged in, here's what they they were	
2	working with us and the plan is working.	
3	MS. VERINDOAGUE: We have a	
4	MR. BIANCO: We can put that on the	
5	incident log, right?	
6	MS. VERINDOAGUE: Yes. We have an	
7	incident log.	
8	MEMBER SHORT: All right well Thank you,	
9	Mr. Chair. That's all I have for right now.	
10	Thank you.	
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr.	
12	Alberti?	
13	MEMBER ALBERTI: I want to go back to	
14	the security	
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Excuse me.	
16	When he asked a question, I would like the person	
17	just to identify yourself for the record. Okay?	
18	Go ahead, Mr. Alberti.	
19	MEMBER ALBERTI: So I want to go back	
20	to the security plan, Mr. Bianco.	
21	MR. BIANCO: Sure.	
22	MEMBER ALBERTI: The security plan we	

1	have you for is dated November 5th, 2013.
2	MR. BIANCO: That's correct.
3	MEMBER ALBERTI: So that's the most
4	current one?
5	MR. BIANCO: That's the most current
6	one we have. Correct.
7	MEMBER ALBERTI: And that's the one
8	that Mr
9	MR. BIANCO: Mr. Berman.
LO	MEMBER ALBERTI: Mr. Berman
L1	reviewed and says is compliant?
L2	MR. BIANCO: That's correct.
L3	MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. All right. I
L 4	will talk to Mr. Berman.
L5	MR. BIANCO: Of course and if there's
L6	any concerns that the board has with the plan
L7	that we have, we're happy to make
L8	MEMBER ALBERTI: It's sort of
L9	surprising because the board's I believe the
20	regulations and security plans were updated after
21	this plan after November 13th after
22	November 2013. So it's surprising that this

would be compliant. But I will speak to Mr. 1 2 Berman and I'm sure he'll get back to you with whatever needs to be done. 3 4 MR. BIANCO: Happy to make 5 adjustments. Thank you, Mr. Alberti. All right, thank you. 6 MEMBER ALBERTI: 7 So Mr. Bianco, when did the licensee consult with 8 your client? When did the licensee discover that 9 the video was not recording? It was when the MPD 10 MR. BIANCO: 11 officer returned on the Wednesday following the 12 March 4th incident. So that would be March the 13 7th. They discovered it. Reported it to their 14 vendor. And the vendor came out and fixed it. And as of March the 8th, it was working again. 15 16 MEMBER ALBERTI: But it was March 7th 17 that they told the officer to come back? 18 MR. BIANCO: No, it was March 4th. 19 They asked him to come back. They said officer, 20 would you mind coming back Monday? Officer says 21 But the officer didn't actually come back

until Wednesday the 7th.

1	MEMBER ALBERTI: So it was the day of
2	the incident that they asked the officer to come
3	back?
4	MR. BIANCO: Correct. Correct.
5	MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. And then they
6	came back
7	MR. BIANCO: On the 7th.
8	MEMBER ALBERTI: on the 7th? Three
9	days later?
10	MR. BIANCO: Wednesday, correct.
11	Right. So the incident was on a Friday night
12	into Saturday morning.
13	MEMBER ALBERTI: And that's when they
14	discovered it.
15	MR. MICOSEVIC: No, Saturday to
16	Sunday.
17	MR. BIANCO: Oh, I'm sorry. Saturday
18	to Sunday, correct. And then on the 7th is when
19	they discovered it, correct.
20	MEMBER ALBERTI: So this happened on
21	a Sunday. Okay. Did this happen on a Sunday?
22	MR. BIANCO: Yes, it was a Saturday

night into Sunday. I think it was about 2:00 a.m. on Sunday.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. And so it was when they tried to retrieve it on Wednesday for the officer.

MR. BIANCO: For the officer, right.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Thank you. I have no further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other questions from any of the board members? I guess what I'm looking for is how often do you check to see whether or not the system is working? I mean what's your process to make sure that the system is doing what it's supposed to do? Because we can have a system there and we think it's recording. So what policies or process do you have in place to make sure that the system is working?

MR. LUCERO: Marlhon Lucero, I'm one of the monitors at the establishment. So I have a screen of the entrance that shows the cameras - the 16 cameras that we have. We have a monitor

as well in the office. When I come into the restaurant, this always shows it's on. And you can see the small square on the left with the motion that it's working. I don't know. That's the way I saw it. Or that's the way I see it every day when I walk into work. And as long as that was working in the past, on the office as well, it's always has been recording to my knowledge.

So the day that the officer came that they did their incident, which was around 2:30 at night, I believe. When he asked me to provide for the footage, I told him look, it's been a long trip. I've been here since noon. Please, can we meet on Monday. I will give it to you.

No problem. He's like yes, fine. I understand. He's basically, when it's time to go outside or whatnot. I said I will meet you on Monday. He didn't show up until Wednesday. When I sit down with him, I didn't have any footage to show him. There was nothing on the video. That's when I realized that the system wasn't working.

1	MR. BIANCO: I think Mr. Lucero might
2	be answering a different question
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I know.
4	MR. BIANCO: than was asked.
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Maybe he's
6	getting to answer the question.
7	MR. BIANCO: Sure, sure.
8	MALE PARTICIPANT: Audio 4, I'm sorry.
9	MR. BIANCO: Well I like the
10	background, but I think
11	(Simultaneous speaking)
12	MR. BIANCO: No, no, it did not,
13	because this is the question I'm asking.
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right
15	Let me just clarify my comment. He did answer
16	it. From his answer, I get that he doesn't check
17	to see that it's recording.
18	MR. BIANCO: No.
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: He only knows
20	that what he sees on the screen is that you're
21	getting visual, but Mr. Lucero, do you actually
22	go back and review the previous day's video ever

1	to see that it's recording?
2	MR. LUCERO: Well if there wasn't any
3	incident or anything, why would I
4	MEMBER ALBERTI: So you never know
5	Today you don't know you don't know today if
6	it recorded last night's video, do you?
7	MR. LUCERO: Well in the past when
8	there has been an incident and I ask for the
9	video
10	MEMBER ALBERTI: You answered my
11	question. Thank you.
12	(Simultaneous speaking)
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, hold
14	on. This is the question I'm asking and no one
15	has answered my question. The question I'm
16	asking, we have now recognized the fact that this
17	can happen. What policies or procedures have you
18	put in place to ensure that you are protected?
19	That's all I'm asking.
20	So what are you going to do moving
21	forward because since we now know we know that
22	this is going to this can happen. And it is

for your protection. It's not for us -- It's not for us to beat up on you. It's for you to say something happened in my establishment and we have the camera to say that we followed the proper procedure. So what is it -- since you now know that it can happen, what are you guys going to do about it?

MR. BIANCO: Well I can you what the manager -- many of the managers have been doing recently in my meeting with Mr. Gaminow who was the contractor that installed the system and Ms. Mesquite who was one of the managers that was actually on-duty during the investigation. What they told me is that there's a link light on the actual system that requires you to walk into the office and look at it. And if it's blinking blue, then that means it's not recording. And if it's blinking red, like with many recording systems, then that means that it is recording.

So as part of her procedure at least, as with many of the managers there, checking the system to make sure the appropriate link light is

blinking, showing that the cameras are recording is part of their opening process.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Again, this is for your -- this is for your own protection in a sense that because I believe that if the cameras were working, you had turned the cameras over to MPD, you probably wouldn't have been here today. Because this is a preventive measure that we know that for whatever reason the camera was not working. And especially if it's a new system, that you have to have some established procedure to check to make sure that you are protected. And that's why I asked that question.

And Mr. Bianco -- and I'll ask Mr.

Royster to provide -- you said that the comment

by MPD is that --regarding when the camera -
when they can review it, that they had no noted

in that email to us. Can you provide that to Mr.

Bianco? Because I believe Mr. Bianco if that

email is correct that came from the officer -
and you said this is an officer that the

establishment has worked with. That it appears

that they have a good working relationship. It
makes me wonder why then -- if that is correct,
since I have not seen the document if that
allegation is correct, that the officer did not
believe that you acted reasonable by saying come
back another period of time.

I'm just saying because if you've had a relationship with them and if they're reporting to us and if they put in their email that you told them to come back, if that's correct and if that officer wrote that in that email to us, then that says to me that they did not believe that your response to them, irrespective of your relationship, was appropriate at that time.

That's all I'm saying.

I'm not making -- I have not seen the email so I've asked the agency to provide you the email if it's correct. It's just to let you know that if that -- if we receive such an email, then that's saying that the officer had a problem with it. That's all I'm saying. I'm not -- I just want to point that out.

MR. BIANCO: Understood. No, I understand that.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Any last questions from any of the board members?

Yes, Mr. Silverstein?

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Yes, I'm -- too many times when we hear cases like this; the dog ate the homework. And I would suggest here for your own protection that you do the following test. That with your system on, someone go in front of the camera and hold up a sign saying test with the date and the time. And then you turn the system off. You review it and make sure you got it. Turn it back on. Do it a second time to make sure that you turned the system on correctly. Do it again and then go.

That way you are sure you have covered yourself. And you have satisfied us that A, the system is working. That it's not just a light is assuring you that it's working, but that you can see that it is working. So that way if an event happens, you are covered. That is vital to all

1	of us here. Nothing further.
2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr.
3	Silverstein. Any other questions by any of the
4	board members? Mr. Royster, any final comments
5	you want to make?
6	INVESTIGATOR ROYSTER: No. I've been
7	made aware that Member Alberti had requested the
8	same at the March 7th hearing. That they review
9	the camera footage or they review the security
10	plan with the attorneys at ABRA. So I'll be sure
11	just to make sure to work with them on that on
12	a security plan.
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right,
14	thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Lieutenant?
16	LIEUTENANT HASKIS: I have none at
17	this time, chairman.
18	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco, any
19	last words for you?
20	MR. BIANCO: No, I'm good. Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco, I
22	expected you to do

1	MR. BIANCO: I know. You would think
2	
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: this
4	elaborate wrap up hype. I didn't see
5	MR. BIANCO: I'll supplement the
6	record as we discussed and that will be it.
7	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
8	Well thank you for being here today. And the
9	board will take this matter under advisement.
10	MR. BIANCO: Thank you very much, Mr.
11	Chairman
12	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right,
13	thank you.
14	MR. BIANCO: members of the board.
15	Have a nice day.
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You too. Thank
17	you.
18	MS. VERINDOAGUE: Thank you.
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We are off the
20	record.
21	(Whereupon, the meeting in the above-
22	entitled matter was concluded at 11:04 a.m.)

Α
a.m 3:2 6:11 12:10 47:2
56:22
ABC 6:12,14
able 12:16 21:9 31:8 above- 56:21
ABRA 2:16 14:6,7 32:3 36:15 37:16 55:10
ABRA's 31:18,20
absolutely 31:13
accept 19:14
acceptable 34:17
accommodate 16:6
account 9:13 12:17
accurate 8:6
act 11:15 22:4
acted 5:16 53:5
actual 16:2 28:17 51:15
additional 12:2 14:18
16:7 23:7 28:7,15,15
address 11:8 14:14
18:2 23:16 24:22
adjustments 45:5
administrative 35:3,6
35:11
advice 27:14
advised 6:6,13 7:4,13
8:1,13,16 9:19,22 26:4
advisement 56:9
agency 33:13 53:17
aggressive 10:22
ago 13:20 26:18
agree 33:17 41:6
agreed 25:7,15,22
agreement 27:17
ahead 20:16 21:21
22:14 23:1 36:22 38:2
43:18
aimed 15:21
Alberti 1:18 32:10,18
32:20 33:12 43:12,13
43:18,19,22 44:3,7,10 44:13,18 45:5,6,16
44:13,18 45:5,6,16
46:1,5,8,13,20 47:3,7
50:4,10 55:7
Alcoholic 1:2,13,14
alert 7:11
alerted 9:9 35:5
allegation 13:12,12
19:11 26:7 53:4 allegations 14:16
altercation 6:7 11:6
ANC 1:7
Anderson 1:15,18 3:3
3:14,18,21 4:1,6,9,11
4:16,20 5:3,7 8:20
11:2 12:4,22 13:11
,

14:1,3,12 17:2,11,16 17:19 18:15,21 19:6 20:1,10,15 21:10 22:2 22:17,19,22 23:4,11 28:19 30:20 32:14,22 33:4 37:18 38:1 40:2 43:11,15 47:9 49:3,5 49:14,19 50:13 52:3 54:3 55:2,13,15,18,21 56:3,7,12,16,19 answer 32:8 49:6,15,16 answered 50:10,15 answering 49:2 anybody 27:9 anyway 27:15 35:21 apologize 17:17 20:15 apparently 12:11,19 18:5 26:13 **appear** 30:13 appeared 16:16 appears 17:22 52:22 approach 3:6 approached 8:14 9:15 appropriate 29:19 51:22 53:14 appropriately 21:4 28:4 29:12 approximately 12:10 area 11:7,12 12:13 29:13 arguing 8:4,7 11:11 26:8 arrived 12:15 asked 7:2 8:22 9:4 25:5 25:14,18,21 43:16 45:19 46:2 48:12 49:4 52:13 53:17 asking 18:2 25:11 32:11,14 49:13 50:14 50:16,19 assault 1:9 6:2 10:14 assaulted 9:19 12:11 assigned 6:2 assist 11:19 assistance 11:18 associated 42:2 assuring 54:20 ate 54:8 **attempt** 11:19 attempted 17:7 attorneys 21:11 55:10 audio 20:21 49:8 Ave 1:7 aware 35:13 38:16 55:7

В

back 7:7 8:17 9:1 13:14 15:10 24:21 25:4,6,15

29:16 30:17 43:13,19 45:2,17,19,20,21 46:3 46:6 49:22 53:6,10 54:14 background 49:10 bad 4:17 bank 15:20 **bar** 12:13 based 10:15 20:11,12 25:22 26:13 **basically** 11:4 48:17 basis 35:20 beat 38:15 51:2 behalf 3:13 believe 10:9 22:13 33:2 33:12 44:19 48:12 52:5,19 53:5,12 **BERINDOAGUE** 3:22 **Berman** 33:15,16 44:9 44:10,14 45:2 best 26:18 27:10,19 28:13,16 **better** 16:3 41:5,6,14,15 **Beverage** 1:2,13,14 **Bianco** 2:12 3:11,13,15 14:13,15 17:4,13,18 18:18 19:5,22 20:3,14 20:17 21:22 22:3,15 22:18,20 23:2,5,12 28:21,22 29:7,21 30:9 31:1,12 33:7,10 34:4 34:15,19 35:7,10,16 38:5 39:11 43:4,20,21 44:2,5,9,12,15 45:4,7 45:10,18 46:4,7,10,17 46:22 47:6 49:1,4,7,9 49:12,18 51:8 52:14 52:19,19 54:1 55:18 55:20,21 56:1,5,10,14 **blind** 29:20,21 30:5 41:11 blinking 51:16,18 52:1 **blocked** 27:16 blue 51:17 blush 21:21 board 1:2,13 3:12 5:11 15:5 19:13,13,14 20:5 21:9 23:6,9 28:14,20 31:2 44:16 47:10 54:4 55:4 56:9,14 board's 44:19 **BOBBY** 1:19 **body** 13:5 **body-worn** 8:5 10:7,13 10:16 11:9 26:9 28:1 28:10

25:22 26:2,2 28:16

brought 5:15 13:20 Building 1:14 bumped 12:12 burden 35:4,6,9,11 36:2 business 20:22 busy 8:18 9:2 13:15 25:4,9 buying 21:21

C Café 1:6,6 3:5,8,13 6:4 6:7 11:15 calendar 3:4 call 10:3 11:19,21,22 12:1,9 23:15,18 24:2 24:10,15 26:6 34:21 35:9,16 36:9 called 9:14 23:14 24:4,5

called 9:14 23:14 24:4,5 24:7,9,12 28:12 34:16 34:16 36:8,20 calling 35:1

calls 34:13,22 35:4,12 35:19 36:5,6

camera 7:6,7,17 8:5 10:7,13,16 11:9 13:5 13:6 15:16 16:13 26:9 28:2,10 30:7 34:1 41:11 51:4 52:9,16 54:11 55:9

cameras 5:12 6:21 15:3 15:4,8,13,16,18,19,20 16:3,4 29:2,6,11,11 29:13,14,18 30:10,21 41:2 47:21,22 52:1,5 52:6

Cameron 2:16 5:2 care 30:4 case 1:8 3:4,4 12:18 23:6 24:5 26:21,22 27:2,8 28:12 29:13 cases 15:5 54:7

cato 1:19 certainly 35:2 chain 20:6 Chair 43:9

chairman 3:12 29:1 55:17 56:11

Chairperson 1:15,18

3:3,14,18,21 4:1,6,9 4:11,16,20 5:3,7 8:20 11:2 12:4,22 13:11 14:1,3,12 17:2,11,16 17:19 18:15,21 19:6 20:1,10,15 21:10 22:2 22:17,19,22 23:4,11 28:19 30:20 32:14,22

33:4 37:18 38:1 40:2

43:11,15 47:9 49:3,5

bottom 14:7,10

49:14.19 50:13 52:3 54:3 55:2,13,15,18,21 56:3,7,12,16,19 **change** 40:16 check 21:15 47:11 49:16 52:12 **checked** 42:22 checking 51:21 circuit 21:5 Citron 1:6 3:5,8,13 6:4 6:7 11:15 claimed 12:17 clarification 7:22 8:12 32:11 clarify 49:15 clearly 11:22 Clelia 2:11 3:16 6:13 clicking 38:21 **client** 14:14,17 15:3,15 16:15 18:22 25:14 27:15,21 30:1,16 45:8 **client's** 17:6 **clients** 26:16 **close** 8:18 9:2 21:5 closing 8:18 9:2 **club** 30:6.14 34:13.13 34:18 35:1.9 36:6 37:8 38:8.10 **Columbia** 1:1 32:2 come 3:8 8:16 9:1 13:14 24:21 25:4.15 25:22 38:11 39:3 42:6 42:11,19 45:17,19,21 46:2 48:1 53:5,10 comfortable 40:17 coming 42:1 45:20 **comment** 49:15 52:15 **comments** 3:10 55:4 common 36:1 company 7:6 37:17 39:4,13 40:14,17 41:14 42:7 compliance 25:12 33:16,17,20 **compliant** 31:18,22 32:5,12,12,16 44:11 complied 5:18 **concerned** 5:11 29:2 40:20 concerns 11:8 44:16 concluded 10:14 11:11 12:2 56:22 **conduct** 33:13 confident 39:20 **confirmed** 9:13 10:6 conflict 10:22 Connecticut 1:7

consult 45:7 contact 11:16,17 contacted 7:21 14:6,11 contemplated 34:20 contractor 16:19 51:11 contractors 37:17 **Control** 1:2,13,14 conversation 33:18 **copy** 17:3,6,7 18:8 19:8 correct 20:14 34:15 35:7 44:2,6,12 46:4,4 46:10,18,19 52:20 53:2,4,10,18 correctly 5:12 30:22 54:16 **course** 19:5,22 40:2,3 44:15 coverage 15:22 29:13 29:19 34:2 **covered** 30:7 54:17,22 **CR** 1:7 create 35:3,11 criminal 26:22 critical 13:7 crowded 12:12 **current** 44:4.5 Currently 30:20 customers 10:22 cut 17:17 30:3

D.C 1:15 **Darren** 2:14 5:6 date 20:9 31:7 40:10 54:12 dated 44:1 daughter 17:6 day 7:18,19 8:9 24:22 46:1 48:6,10 56:15 day's 49:22 days 7:10 13:20 16:10 21:6 46:9 **DC** 2:14 deal 25:10 28:13 deals 38:10 dealt 15:11 **decided** 15:15 27:3 40:16 decides 27:19 declaration 20:7 declining 27:14 deferred 12:20 definite 32:8 definitely 24:1 delay 25:19 delivered 20:8 delivery 20:6

demonstrated 29:22

D

deny 24:3 depending 39:2 description 15:7 details 14:18 28:8 detain 27:9 determined 10:18 diagrams 41:20 different 49:2 digital 16:3 directly 17:14 discover 45:8 discovered 16:17 26:3 30:18 45:13 46:14,19 discussed 56:6 displayed 30:12 **District** 1:1 32:2 **DMV** 20:20 document 53:3 documentation 7:16 23:8 28:15 dog 54:7 doing 15:22 17:10 27:22 28:13 30:14 40:18 41:2,3 47:14 51:9 **Donovan** 1:15.18 drives 21:4 duplicate 24:9 Dupont 1:6 **duty** 6:12,14

earlier 18:10 early 15:18 either 20:6

Ε

either 20:6 elaborate 56:4 elbowed 12:18 electric 21:17 email 7:21 14:6,8,11 17:7 19:4,8,9,10,12 19:16 20:6 52:18,20 53:9,11,17,18,19 emergencies 11:16 42:15 employed 20:20 employee 11:15 employee's 11:18

employee's 11:18 EMS 11:19 34:14 ensued 6:7 ensues 6:18 ensure 50:18 entered 8:2 entire 30:2 entitled 56:22 entrance 47:21 escorted 11:7 especially 52:10 essentially 29:17

established 7:10 52:11 establishment 1:10 5:11 6:4,10,18,21 7:4 7:15,21 8:4,7,10,17 9:1,8,10,20 10:15,19 11:10 13:2,7,9,13 14:21 15:21 23:18 27:1,3,4,11,12 29:15 29:16 31:4 47:20 51:3 52:22 establishments 20:22 evening 14:19,22 event 54:21 everybody 38:9 everyone's 18:3 evidentiary 20:4 ex-police 39:18 excellent 31:17 **Excuse** 32:10 33:6 43:15 expected 55:22 expecting 31:5 explain 5:15 explaining 27:10 explanation 16:20 21:20 external 21:3 eye 12:14

F

face 6:9 10:8,8,10 12:14 facing 29:15,16 fact 1:7 5:9 19:21 23:7 24:11 28:5 33:17 50:16 **factual** 19:15 factually 31:2 failure 22:6 familiar 39:22 far 36:19 fault 21:16 **February** 16:5 21:2 **female** 6:8 files 32:3 filing 26:5 fill 14:17 final 55:4 find 12:15 21:14 28:17 finding 1:7 5:9 23:7 fine 48:16 **finished** 16:13,14 **first** 6:10 7:16 8:1,2 9:15 14:6.11 15:2 21:21 41:10 fixed 45:14 **fixing** 41:8 followed 51:4 following 25:15 45:11

information 18:16,19 54:9 kinds 38:20 21:14 22:4 24:5 17 footage 8:5,15 9:3 10:7 26:17 27:14 46:20 19:17 20:18 22:18 know 5:17 8:13 13:15 10:13,16 11:9 13:6,7 51:3 **informed** 24:8 33:12 13:16 15:5 20:2,11 **INIS** 20:20 25:7,13,16,19 26:9,14 happens 24:4 35:22 26:10,17 41:7 42:11 28:2,10 30:12,17 31:7 54:22 initially 26:20 48:4 49:3 50:4,5,5,21 31:9 48:13,20 55:9 happy 17:8 23:8 44:17 injured 34:12 35:8 36:8 50:21 51:6 52:8 53:18 forgot 7:6 **injuries** 10:6,6,15 56:1 45:4 forth 14:17 hard 21:3 **inside** 1:9 6:7 9:20 Knowing 36:3 forward 3:8 19:7 50:21 10:15 27:2,4,11,18 **Haskis** 2:14 5:5,6,8 knowledge 48:9 four 26:17 12:7 13:4,18 35:22 28:1 known 25:1 installed 21:1,12 51:11 knows 49:19 fresh 18:3 55:16 installer 21:14 **Friday** 46:11 he'll 45:2 front 14:8 27:1 29:15 head 14:20 22:12 28:6 instance 30:5 54:11 37:22 instances 38:6 large 39:1 full 32:5 hear 4:17 23:9 54:7 **intend** 20:9 late 15:18 29:4 fully 28:9 32:8 **heard** 13:9 intention 20:5 law 25:13 27:17 functioning 16:17 29:2 hearing 1:7,14 4:18 5:9 interest 27:11,19 leads 33:22 30:21 41:2 10:18 42:19 55:8 **Internal** 39:6,9,11,12 learn 12:9 further 9:4,21 10:4,18 heck 27:20 learned 9:15 16:22 17:4 interrupt 21:11 47:8 55:1 **help** 34:10 interviewed 9:18 **leaves** 22:12 **helps** 38:15 interviews 10:13 led 11:11 G Higgins 6:6 7:22 13:19 investigate 6:2 left 10:9.10 48:3 gain 7:22 8:11 14:6 investigation 5:22 letter 16:20,22 17:3,8 **Gaminow** 20:19 51:10 hire 41:13 13:17 31:3 51:13 17:20 18:5 20:19 21:8 hired 40:9.14 gentleman 26:20 investigative 10:17 letting 20:11 getting 4:15 49:6,21 hitting 12:14 investigator 2:16 5:1 License 1:8 3:5 give 9:3 12:17 14:9 32:8 **hold** 50:13 54:11 6:1 8:22 11:4 12:8 licensee 2:11,12,13,15 holding 10:8 14:2,5 16:21 17:9,12 48:15 3:9 5:13,15,17,18 17:14 18:4,17 20:13 giving 42:1 homework 54:8 18:1 45:7.8 **go** 14:16 20:15 21:13 honestly 18:19 23:6,13 26:11 31:16 lieutenant 5:5.6.8 12:5 21:21 22:13,22 25:9 hotline 14:7 31:20 32:4,7,21 33:2 12:7 13:4,18 26:12 27:5,12 28:16 36:22 hours 25:14 31:4 34:7,8 41:18 55:6 35:18,22 55:15,16 37:6 38:1,13 43:13,18 How's 36:17 investigator's 24:20 **light** 15:1 16:1,4 29:3 43:19 48:17 49:22 **hype** 56:4 invoice 18:8 51:14,22 54:19 54:10.16 involved 23:21 29:12 liked 13:8.9 God 22:5 irrespective 53:13 link 51:14,22 going 10:17 19:1,11,14 **ideal** 35:2 **issue** 17:5 18:3 23:10 **LLC** 1:6 19:17,19 21:8,19 identify 3:6 37:18,19 23:13,17,22 24:13,19 located 27:13 27:14 29:5 31:14,16 24:20 25:1 26:6 28:14 location 12:16 36:9 41:19 50:20,22 immediately 9:6 10:2 29:9 30:6,18 33:11 log 9:12 43:5,7 51:6 41:10 11:18,20 logged 43:1 issues 20:21 29:5 41:15 impact 13:17,22 good 3:11,14,16 4:1,3,4 long 15:20 25:3 48:6,14 4:11,13,14,15,20 5:1 important 18:1 longer 27:4 in-house 37:15 39:1,3 look 16:16 25:18 28:9 5:3,5,7 12:8 28:21,22 34:4 36:19 37:4 39:21 incident 5:10,21 6:2,5 **JAMES** 1:20 28:18 48:13 51:16 6:14,19 7:1,3 8:1,12 40:18 53:1 55:20 **Jenkins** 33:18 looked 12:19 30:17 great 15:22 33:22 8:13,15 9:5,12,16,21 **job** 15:22 40:18 looking 30:11 47:11 10:1 12:9 15:1,9,11 **lot** 15:5 27:21 38:15 guess 47:10 **journal** 42:14 **guilty** 12:20 15:11 16:12,19 26:19 **JR** 1:19 lounge 16:1 low 16:1,4 28:5 29:8,17,22 30:8 Julio 20:19 guys 15:5 51:6 **Luc** 4:9 30:10,16 40:5 43:5,7 **July** 1:12 Н 45:12 46:2,11 48:11 **Lucero** 2:13 4:4,5,8,8 Κ hand- 20:7 50:3,8 4:10,10,11,13 6:15 incidents 36:4,4 hand-delivered 19:9,18 **keep** 42:15 8:11,12 9:6 14:19 included 17:1 kept 27:2 20:8 18:7 24:22 25:18 happen 22:5 35:20 indicates 21:1 kick 22:7 47:19,19 49:1,21 50:2 kicked 9:7 10:1 22:6 46:21 50:17.22 51:6 individuals 23:21 50:7 happened 8:21 12:10 industry 20:22 kind 34:2 42:2

parts 30:14 16:22 17:5 18:5.7.20 26:20 29:9,10,17 M 20:13,19 26:5 28:21 30:16 patron 6:8,8 9:7,20 10:1 ma'am 3:19 28:22 46:12 occurrence 36:1 12:11 23:14 making 35:4,12 53:16 motion 48:4 **occurs** 11:6 patrons 6:6 23:20 26:8 male 6:8 9:7,19 10:1 moving 23:12 50:20 offer 12:5 26:19 49:8 **MPD** 2:14 5:6,14 6:5 office 30:11 31:6 32:17 people 37:7 38:7 39:14 management 31:5 48:1,7 51:16 7:11 8:14,16,22 9:5 39:18 manager 6:12,15 14:19 9:10,14,15 10:3 11:19 officer 6:5 7:21 13:13 percent 39:2 28:6 51:9 13:19 14:5 24:21 25:2 period 7:8,9 21:6 25:2 11:21,22 12:1 13:13 managers 51:9,12,21 34:13 35:4 36:3 38:16 53:6 25:4,6,7,14,18,21,22 March 6:3,11 8:9 9:17 45:10 52:7,16 26:1,2 27:22 38:16,16 periodically 33:21 15:3,6 16:6,12,14 **MPD's** 12:22 45:11,17,19,20,21 permission 25:11 21:6,7 29:8 33:11 46:2 47:5,6 48:10 perpetrator 26:21 27:13 multiple 35:4,12,19 40:10,12,13 45:12,12 36:5 52:20,21 53:4,11,20 person 9:8,18 11:20 45:15,16,18 55:8 officer's 26:12 20:7,9 22:8 43:16 **Marlhon** 2:13 4:4,8 6:15 Ν **Oh** 46:17 personnel 6:20 23:17 8:11 47:19 **N.W** 1:14 okay 12:4 14:12 19:20 24:6 35:1 matter 1:5 17:14 56:9 name 3:19 4:7 39:4 22:19 23:11 25:5,11 persons 32:1 42:1,19 56:22 necessarily 28:3 31:5 28:19 29:1 32:18,22 42:21,22 **MDP's** 13:4 need 13:14 19:2 23:7 33:22 38:1 39:22 perspective 13:1,3,5 mean 21:16 22:10 30:1 34:3 40:19 41:17 43:17 **phases** 15:17 47:12 44:13 46:5,21 47:3 **needs** 14:17 45:3 physical 16:2 17:5 means 51:17,19 Nehauja 2:15 4:14 old 15:20 physically 27:16,21 measure 52:8 on-duty 51:13 pictures 10:5 37:21 meet 48:15,18 once 16:4 23:19 24:8 place 16:13 23:22 34:11 never 25:19,20 50:4 meeting 1:3 51:10 28:17 34:21 42:18 47:17 50:18 **new** 18:19 22:18 26:6,7 56:21 31:18,20 40:16 41:13 open 22:10,10 placed 6:21 29:14,18 member 1:18,19,19,20 42:6 52:10 opening 52:2 **plan** 5:17,19 7:13 10:20 1:20 24:1 30:3 31:10 11:13 12:3 27:6,8 **news** 33:13 operate 31:8 31:15,22 32:10,16,18 nice 56:15 operating 30:10 31:4 31:18 32:5,12,13,16 32:19,20 39:15 40:19 nicely 25:21 operation 16:10 33:17 34:2,19 36:9 41:1,5,17 42:9,14,17 **NICK** 1:18 opportunity 28:9,17 38:14 41:19 42:3,8 43:8,13,19,22 44:3,7 **night** 6:12,14,15,19,22 **opposed** 16:10 43:2,20,22 44:16,21 44:10,13,18 45:6,16 7:3 8:10 9:11 10:5 original 19:7,8,15 55:10.12 46:1,5,8,13,20 47:3,7 plans 31:19 32:2 33:19 37:9 40:5 42:21 46:11 outset 28:4 50:4,10 54:6 55:7 47:1 48:12 **outside** 8:3,6 11:10 40:1 41:21 44:20 members 3:12 28:20 **night's** 50:6 15:12 26:8 27:5,12,15 pleading 26:22 47:10 54:4 55:4 56:14 noon 48:14 27:20 38:12 42:22 please 3:6,7,9 4:21 11:3 memory 16:7,8 **normal** 34:20 48:17 36:22 48:14 Mesquite 51:12 noted 52:17 overall 33:3 **pled** 12:20 met 1:14 8:11 nothings 30:5 **owner** 38:4 plugged 21:4 Micosevic 2:15 4:14.15 owns 20:20 **point** 18:1 33:10 34:5 notified 9:5 4:19,19 14:20 23:16 **November** 15:10,14 53:22 36:14,19,21 37:1,9,13 Ρ police 23:15,15,19 24:3 29:9,10 44:1,21,22 37:15,21,21 39:6,9 Number 3:4,5 23:13 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 24:4,6,7,9,10,12,15 40:7,12 46:15 24:21 35:11 39:19,19 26:7 3:1 **MIKE** 1:19 **NW** 1:7 p.m 8:10 policies 47:16 50:17 mind 18:3 45:20 Page 10:21 11:5,14 **portion** 11:13 minded 22:10.11 0 paid 18:9,14 possible 7:11 minutes 26:15 28:12 observations 10:12 part 11:5 31:2 51:20 **power** 22:5 moment 8:18 9:3 32:9 practical 33:5 11:9 52:2 Monday 25:15 45:20 **PARTICIPANT** 49:8 observed 8:3,4 practice 24:16 48:15,18 preexisting 26:1 observes 11:15 particular 15:1 23:10 monitor 30:12 47:22 obviously 39:14 23:16,18 25:1,2,18 present 1:17 2:9 20:5 monitors 47:20 presiding 1:15 occur 10:14 28:14 29:3 30:5,7,8,9 months 26:17 occurred 5:10 6:3 8:8 33:10 preventive 52:8 morning 3:11,14,16 4:1 parties 3:6 7:12 8:3,6 previous 49:22 8:14 12:5 13:16.19 4:3,4,12,13,14,20 5:1 11:6 27:7 28:3 37:2,2 previously 16:11 15:10,12,14 18:11 5:3,5,7,15 6:3 12:19

prior 34:22 probably 52:7 **problem** 25:20,21 26:3 30:4 35:19 41:12 48:16 53:20 procedure 7:10 24:16 34:20 42:13 51:5,20 52:11 procedures 6:18 50:17 **proceed** 41:13 proceeded 6:17 41:11 process 7:5 15:3,8 47:13,16 52:2 produce 21:9 23:8 pronunciation 4:17 proper 51:5 properly 21:2,12 **protected** 50:18 52:12 **protection** 39:6,9,12 51:1 52:4 54:9 **provide** 16:20 19:2,15 19:18 21:22 22:20 23:2 25:13 28:7 48:12 52:15,18 53:17 provided 9:12 15:7 16:21 17:9,20 18:6,8 18:9,17 19:1,3,3,18 20:18 22:1 23:5 26:9 providing 15:22 22:21 **PSA** 38:16 **public** 36:3 punched 12:14,18 put 41:22 42:17 43:4 50:18 53:9 **puts** 32:3

Q

qual 24:11 question 18:16 26:18 31:16 32:19 34:12 43:16 49:2,6,13 50:11 50:14,15,15 52:13 questions 28:20 47:8 47:10 54:4 55:3 quite 18:18

R

radio 11:17,17 raised 28:11 33:11 read 11:1 23:6 42:7 reading 36:10 realized 40:15 48:22 reason 15:8 16:22 52:9 reasonable 22:8,9 53:5 reasons 5:14 18:2 recall 5:12 15:6 26:17 receive 53:19 received 18:5,6

recognized 50:16 record 3:7,10 16:9 17:8 19:2 37:19,20 43:17 56:6,20 recorded 50:6 recording 7:3,9 16:15 16:18 21:5 26:3 29:11 29:12 30:15 31:13 42:18 45:9 47:16 48:8 49:17 50:1 51:17,18 51:19 52:1 red 51:18 Reeves 1:14 referencing 7:12 refused 14:9 regard 15:2 regarding 12:3 52:16 regular 35:20 38:15 regulations 31:19,21 44:20 related 29:8 relationship 26:1 53:1 53:8,14 relevant 16:9 relying 26:11 **REMA** 1:20 remain 27:11 remarks 23:14 24:20 remember 28:8 40:11

reminded 29:4 33:19 removed 26:22 repair 41:12 Repeat 8:21 report 5:13 6:5 10:17 17:1,21 26:6 28:12 31:17 42:12 Reported 45:13 reporting 9:8,18 11:20 53:8 reposition 30:1

repositioned 15:17 16:5 repositioning 7:5

representation 20:12 requested 7:16 8:16 55:7

requesting 8:15 required 20:11 25:13 requires 27:6,8 32:17 51:15 resolutions 10:22 response 53:13 responsible 36:6 restaurant 48:2 result 21:3 29:10

resulted 30:18 Retailer 1:7 retrieve 47:4 56:12 Room 1:14 Rovero 9:9,18 11:21 Royster 2:16 5:1,2,4,20 6:1 8:22 11:4 14:2,4,5 17:16,21 18:4 31:20 32:4,7 33:2 34:8,8,9 34:10 41:18 52:15 55:4,6

50:13 54:3 55:13 56:7

rundown 12:8

S

safe 23:20 **safety** 36:3 satisfied 54:18 **Saturday** 46:12,15,17 46:22 **saw** 28:1 48:5 saying 8:14 18:22,22 20:8 21:20 22:9,11 25:9 53:5,7,15,20,21 54:11 says 21:14 36:10 44:11 45:20 53:12 scene 8:2,2 12:16 23:20 24:7 34:21 scratch 22:12 screen 47:21 49:20 **seated** 17:14 second 18:7 38:19 40:20 54:14 **secured** 24:7 34:21 security 5:17,19 6:17 6:20 7:13 9:6,20,22 10:2,20 11:13,19,22 12:3 14:20 15:2,4 23:17 24:2,6,15 27:6 27:8,17 28:6 31:18,19 32:2,5,12,13,15 34:16 35:1 36:11,12 37:7,8 37:10,16,17,22 38:10 39:1,4,14 40:1 41:12 41:13,19 42:8 43:14 43:20,22 44:20 55:9

55:12 see 28:10 30:13 33:4 34:1,11 38:12,13 39:4 40:10 42:20 47:12 48:3,5 49:17 50:1 54:21 56:4 seen 16:3 26:10 40:20 41:9,21 53:3,16 sees 49:20 sense 52:5 sent 10:4,5 19:8,10,11 19:16 sentencing 12:21 separate 7:12 37:2 separated 26:19 27:7 28:4 **Services** 39:7,10,13 set 12:20 14:16 seven 6:20 21:6 37:10 **shed** 14:22 sheet 4:21,22 **shift** 25:3 **Short** 1:20 28:20,21,22 29:1 30:3 31:10,15,22 32:6,16,19 33:6,7,9 33:22 34:6.9.17 35:6 35:8,14,18 36:2,17,20 36:22 37:4,11,14 38:2 38:3,6 39:15,22 40:4 40:8,19 41:1,5,17 42:9,12,14,17 43:8 shortage 21:18 **show** 18:10,12 31:7,8 48:19.20 **showed** 18:13 **showing** 20:6 52:1 **shows** 26:10 28:2 47:21 48:2 side 10:9,10 sign 54:11 sign-in 4:21,22 significant 13:22 signs 4:22 Silverstein 1:19 54:5.6 55:3 simple 6:2 10:14 Simultaneous 31:11 49:11 50:12 sir 4:7.21 5:22 31:1 35:10 37:19 sit 48:19 site 14:21 sitting 22:7 30:11 37:5 situation 25:8,10 41:13 situations 38:20 **six** 16:9 **small** 48:3 somebody 21:13 34:22

27:18 36:7 45:17 38:13 42:6 **surprising** 44:19,22 victim's 24:12.13 somebody's 27:18 suspicious 21:18 48:13 51:14 53:10 video 8:15 9:3 14:9 **Someone's** 35:8 **swelling** 10:9,10 trained 23:18,19 36:14 20:21 25:13,16,19 soon 7:11 33:5 **system** 7:6,7,17 15:16 36:15 37:7,12,16 45:9 48:21 49:22 50:6 **sorry** 8:20 13:6 17:13 16:5,7,8,13,14,18 38:10 39:14,21,21 50:9 20:20 39:18 40:11 21:1 30:2 31:8 47:12 40:16 41:14,22 view 15:12 25:6,15 46:17 49:8 47:13,15,17 48:22 viewing 26:13 training 36:11,12 38:7 sort 15:19 44:18 51:11,15,22 52:10 42:18 violated 10:20 trains 36:12 violating 27:17 sound 20:21 22:9 54:10,13,15,19 systems 21:5 51:19 tried 47:4 violation 11:12 12:1 sounds 26:21 violations 12:3 speak 28:2 45:1 trip 48:14 Т speaking 19:13 21:11 true 8:6 34:14 violence 11:16 26:16 31:11 49:11 try 4:18 21:10 22:10 virtue 16:18 t/a 1:6 50:12 tail 25:3 37:1 visibility 16:1 take 24:13 30:4 31:6 specifically 10:21 visited 6:10 8:10 trying 21:13 26:16 15:11 18:11 41:15 **visiting** 7:15,20 56:9 **spoke** 6:11 13:19 taken 27:1 34:11 turn 7:7 54:13,14 visual 20:21 49:21 vital 54:22 **spot** 29:20,21 30:6 takes 32:3 42:18 turned 12:13 52:6 54:15 talk 44:14 41:11 **TV** 21:5 W team 24:2,15 two 11:6 12:2 13:20 **spotted** 23:13 24:19 square 48:3 technical 30:18 15:17 26:8 28:1 29:14 **W** 1:15,18 **staff** 14:9 29:18 technician 22:1.16 WAHABZADAH 1:20 **stand** 20:2 tell 5:21 11:2 19:3 25:4 walk 48:6 51:15 type 20:7 standpoint 20:4 26:18 31:2 36:4 **Walked** 31:5 U start 3:9 5:20 telling 21:17 26:11,12 want 11:1 20:1 22:13 stated 7:10.18 9:6 unbeknownst 16:15 27:20 32:20 35:2,3,10 ten 7:9 11:21 14:7 terabyte 16:8 30:15 38:9 41:22 43:13,19 statement 24:13 underneath 29:17 53:22 55:5 **terms** 33:16 **states** 11:5,14 understand 40:22 test 54:10.12 wanted 9:3 27:4,5 **stay** 27:18 testimonv 28:16 48:16 54:2 wanting 22:12 stop 27:21 thank 14:1,12 22:22 understanding 20:5 wants 28:14 Street 1:14 31:17 34:9 43:8,10 21.7 warrant 13:21 struck 6:8 10:11 45:5,6 47:7 50:11 understands 32:21 Washington 1:15 **style** 15:20 55:2,14,20 56:8,10,13 Understood 54:1 wasn't 25:20 48:22 50:2 submitted 33:14 56:16.18 undertook 30:2 way 5:16 19:12,12,14 submitting 32:1 thing 18:6 **unplug** 21:13 24:10 36:3,10 38:9,11 subscribe 32:1 things 22:5 38:12 41:8 update 34:3 38:12 42:2 48:5,5 suggest 38:3 41:18 41:20 updated 33:3,20 44:20 54:17,21 think 5:12 12:7 13:13 **upgrade** 15:8,16 16:6 we'll 21:22 26:6 54.8 suggestion 42:1 13:21 14:22 19:19 16:13,20 30:1 we're 13:15 22:8 23:8 suggestions 38:8 33:16 34:2,4,6 35:14 upgraded 15:9,19 16:2 25:8,9,10,13 28:13 **Suite** 1:15 35:15 36:5 38:14,18 16:4,7 36:8 44:17 we've 28:17 35:12 38:6 summarize 11:3 47:1,15 49:1,10 56:1 **upgrading** 7:5 15:4 **Sunday** 6:3 46:16,18,21 third 24:19 use 39:15 38:7 40:20 41:5,6,8 46:21 47:1,2 thirdhand 24:14 Wednesday 1:11 6:11 supervisor 10:19 thought 22:6 45:11,22 46:10 47:4 **supplement** 17:8 19:2 **Three** 46:8 vendor 45:14,14 48:19 time 8:17 9:1,4 13:14 week 9:17 verified 31:13 supplemental 26:6 18:7 24:6 25:2,5,6 Verindoague 2:11 3:16 weeks 16:9 28:11 29:3,6 37:2 38:19 went 13:13 18:7 30:16 3:17,20,20,21 4:3 supposed 24:14 41:3 39:2 40:20 41:8,10 6:13 7:4,13 15:15 31:3 42:7,9 47:14 42:6 48:17 53:6,14 29:20 38:5,22 39:8,12 **whatnot** 48:18 sure 4:22 13:18 14:15 54:12,15 55:17 39:17 40:6,9,13,22 wise 30:7 42:2 17:18 18:18 20:2 times 25:17 54:7 41:4,7 42:5,10,13,16 wish 14:14 21:15 22:13,15 32:21 today 30:21 50:5,5 52:7 witness 34:22 43:3,6 56:18 43:21 45:2 47:13,17 56:8 victim 9:9.14 12:10 witnessed 9:21,22 10:7 49:7,7 51:22 52:12 told 10:2 11:22 17:20 witnesses 23:9 13:10 24:2,3,5 27:1 54:13,15,17 55:10,11 18:4 23:15 24:2,15,21 wonder 53:2 34:16,22

words 55:19	i
work 5:13 7:8 40:8 41:9 48:6 55:11 worked 7:17 18:12 39:19 52:22 working 6:16,20,22 21:2,12,16,19 22:4 30:5,13 31:12 36:17 38:13 40:4 41:19 42:21 43:2,2 45:15 47:12,18 48:4,7,22 52:6,10 53:1 54:19,20 54:21 wouldn't 37:5 52:7 wrap 56:4 wrong 13:2 37:6 wrote 53:11 X Y year 38:19 41:1 yesterday 33:19 Yohan 6:5 7:21 14:5 Z 0 00090 3:5 1 10:13 3:2 11:04 56:22 12:00 6:11 13 10:21 11:5 1343 1:7 13th 44:21 14 10:21 11:14 14th 1:14 6:11 8:9 16 6:21 47:22 17 15:10,15,18 29:4,9 29:10 18 1:12 15:18 18-251-3:4 18-251-3:4 18-251-00090 1:8 1st 16:14 21:6 2 2 23:13 2:00 12:10 47:1 2:30 48:11 20 26:15 2000 1:14 20009 1:15 2013 44:1,22	28th 40:12,13 2B 1:7 3 30 16:10 26:15 4 4 26:7 49:8 40 39:2 400\$ 1:15 48 25:14 4th 6:3 15:4 16:12 45:12,18 5 5th 44:1 6 60138 1:8 3:5 7 7th 15:7 21:7 29:8 45:13,16,22 46:7,8,18 55:8 8 8:30 8:10 8th 45:15 9 9.3 16:8 9:40 18:20 26:5 911 12:9 13:10 35:9 36:7,20
2017 29:5	
2018 1:12 16:6 29:8	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Café Dupont, LLC

Before: Alcoholic Beverage Control Board

Date: 07-18-18

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac Nous &