DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

+ + + + + MEETING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Don Juan Restaurant, Inc.: t/a Don Juan Restaurant &: Carryout

1660 Lamont Street NW : Fact Finding Retailer CR - ANC 1D : Hearing

License No. 15934 Case #19-251-00035

(Simple Assault)

Wednesday, May 1, 2019

The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board met in the Alcoholic Beverage Control Hearing Room, Reeves Building, 2000 14th Street, N.W., Suite 400S, Washington, D.C. 20009, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson, presiding.

PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson NICK ALBERTI, Member MIKE SILVERSTEIN, Member JAMES SHORT, Member REMA WAHABZADAH, Member

ALSO PRESENT:

VANESSA PLEITEZ, ABRA Investigator

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2 1:44 p.m. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. 3 We are back on the record. The next case is a Fact-4 5 Finding Hearing, Case No. 19-251-00035, Don Juan Restaurant and Carryout, License No. 15934. 6 7 Would all parties for this case, 8 please, appear and state your name for the 9 record? 10 INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: Investigator 11 Pleitez with ABRA. 12 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon, Ms. Pleitez. 13 I see that the licensee is not here 14 15 for the Fact-Finding Hearing. The licensee was 16 informed and they are not here, so we are still going to do this hearing and make a determination 17 18 what it is that the Board will do. 19 So, Ms. Pleitez, can you bring/ 20 provide us some background information from the 21 Agency's perspective why we have this Fact-

Finding Hearing today?

INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: All right. I was assigned to investigate an incident that occurred at Don Juan Restaurant on January 11, 2019. This investigation determination was based on an MPD-251, CCN No. 19006181, interviews with the owner of the establishment and the reporting officer.

The MPD-251 stated the following: On the listed date and time and location, S1 approached V1 and V2 and assaulted them. S2 attempted to break up the fight. After the fight was broken up, S1 unzipped his jacket and pulled back his jacket intentionally exposing a BB gun.

S1 was placed under arrest for simple assault and threats to do bodily harm and S2 was arrested for possession of a BB gun and threats in a menacing manner. S1 and S2 were transported to 4D for processing.

On January 25th, I spoke to the officer, Mrs. -- Officer Michelle Brown. She stated that she was on duty on the night of the incident and was approached by the victim. The

victim stated that -- I'm sorry, the officer stated that everything on her report was accurate and she added that the establishment's cameras were not working at the time. However, the victims reported that the incident occurred inside the bathroom, which doesn't have cameras anyway.

On February 1, 2019, I visited the establishment and I spoke to the owner, Luis Ferrufino. Mr. Ferrufino stated that he was at the establishment on the night of the incident. He stated that S1, who was the owner of another ABRA establishment, entered the establishment with security personnel, which was the person --- the security had the BB gun.

He stated that the victim advised him that he approached the S1 to say hello inside the bathroom and that's when the assault occurred.

During the regulatory inspection, I did speak with Mr. Ferrufino about his cameras and asked him if he had any footage for that night. He stated that his cameras were not working on that

night and that he didn't have any cameras inside 1 2 of the bathroom. However, the establishment only holds footage for seven days when they are 3 4 working. He did provide me with the incident 5 log book that he had. I took a picture of that. 6 7 But furthermore, after ABRA records, 8 after an ABRA records check, it was determined 9 that Don Juan was wrong. It does have a security 10 plan. However, the voluntary agreement was terminated on October 5, 2011, which was Board 11 12 Order 2011-387. As such, the licensed 13 establishment is no longer required to have a 14 security plan. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 15 So you didn't 16 see any ABRA violations during your inspection? 17 INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: No, because

they are not, technically, required to have a security plan.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Any questions by any Board Members? Go ahead, Mr. Short.

18

19

20

21

1	MEMBER SHORT: During your
2	investigation, were the occupants of the
3	establishment cooperative or did you run into any
4	road blocks during your investigation with the
5	licensee?
6	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: They were
7	cooperative. Just that, on that night, the
8	cameras were not working.
9	MEMBER SHORT: Was that a problem or
10	did you
11	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: I'm sorry?
12	MEMBER SHORT: Did you have any reason
13	to think that that was that they ever worked?
14	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: I'm not sure.
15	I couldn't I didn't look at the cameras,
16	because the screen was completely off.
17	MEMBER SHORT: Okay.
18	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: So I'm not
19	sure.
20	MEMBER SHORT: So there wasn't a lot
21	you could do? There was not a lot that you could
22	do

1	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: Yeah.
2	MEMBER SHORT: at all. Okay.
3	Thank you. That's all I have, Mr. Chair.
4	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr.
6	Alberti.
7	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: Yes.
8	MEMBER ALBERTI: Ms. Pleitez, thank
9	you for your report. As always, it's quite
10	really well-written. And but I mean and
11	because this is a good job, there is something
12	that got made me curious in here.
	You said that Mr. Ferrufino said that
13	Tou bulk clieb lit. I cliuling bulk clieb
13 14	the S1, who was the owner of a neighboring
14	the S1, who was the owner of a neighboring
14 15 16	the S1, who was the owner of a neighboring establishment, came in with the security
14 15	the S1, who was the owner of a neighboring establishment, came in with the security personnel and that Mr. Ferrufino's security staff
14 15 16 17	the S1, who was the owner of a neighboring establishment, came in with the security personnel and that Mr. Ferrufino's security staff was standing over them the whole time.
14 15 16 17	the S1, who was the owner of a neighboring establishment, came in with the security personnel and that Mr. Ferrufino's security staff was standing over them the whole time. Anything you can add? I mean, you
14 15 16 17 18	the S1, who was the owner of a neighboring establishment, came in with the security personnel and that Mr. Ferrufino's security staff was standing over them the whole time. Anything you can add? I mean, you talked to Mr. Ferrufino, anything you can add to

1	MEMBER ALBERTI: Yeah.
2	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: The security
3	guard was over another owner.
4	MEMBER ALBERTI: Yeah, so why would
5	so just because another owner comes in, why would
6	his security staff be do you have any idea why
7	his security staff would be standing over the
8	other
9	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: So that was
10	MEMBER ALBERTI: essentially a
11	patron?
12	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: No, no. The
13	MEMBER ALBERTI: Well, I mean, the
14	owner the S1 was in a sense was a patron.
15	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: Yes. No, the
16	security the owner of the other establishment
17	brought his security from his establishment
18	MEMBER ALBERTI: Oh, it was
19	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: into
20	MEMBER ALBERTI: S1's security who
21	was standing over him the whole time?
22	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: Yes. So S1 was

1	the owner of Juanitas.
2	MEMBER ALBERTI: Oh, I get it. So the
3	so
4	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: He brought his
5	security from Juanitas.
6	MEMBER ALBERTI: Who was sort of
7	guarding him?
8	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: Yes.
9	MEMBER ALBERTI: Oh, that's what
10	oh, now I understand.
11	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: Yeah.
12	MEMBER ALBERTI: Oh, yeah, that's
13	spooky, yeah.
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Pleitez,
15	and I'm trying to get some clarification here.
16	This establishment has been before us before.
17	They were here as late as the end of last year.
18	And last year they were charged with failure to
19	file a security plan.
20	And from what I'm seeing here, the
21	Board there was an OIC for \$1,500. So I'm not
22	sure if I agree that at least from looking at

the investigative history, if they are no longer required to have a security plan.

INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: There was -give me one second. So what caught my attention
and why I referred my questions to legal which in
turn told me that the security plan was no longer
valid because of the cancellation of the
settlement agreement.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right.

INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: Was No. 19 for a security plan. Per the security plan packet it says "This security plan is a statement of policy which the licensee will undertake to implement in good faith. As such, it is inspirational and does not create a standard of conduct subject to enforcement actions nor does it change the standard of care, otherwise, applicable under the general law of negligence of care, different from the standard of care under current law for action based on toward negligence, vicarious liability or respondeat superior.

1	So my question to legal was was that
2	statement it's basically saying that anything
3	that is in the security plan can't be used.
4	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, but the
5	the reason why I'm asking is because I'm must
6	looking at the investigative history.
7	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: Yes.
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And we charged
9	them in November. And they accepted an OIC for
10	violation of a security plan in 2018.
11	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: So does that
12	mean that they are required to now file a
13	security plan?
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's what I
15	am trying to get some clarification from legal.
16	MEMBER ALBERTI: Yeah, I think legal
17	and OAG.
18	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right. Because
19	and so all right.
20	MEMBER ALBERTI: Can I ask one other?
21	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: If that be the
22	case, I can charge one more thing.

1	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
2	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: But I would
3	want to put it on
4	MEMBER ALBERTI: I'm just wondering,
5	was anyone arrested?
6	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: Yes.
7	MEMBER ALBERTI: And charged?
8	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: Yes. Well, I
9	don't know if they were charged. I did send an
10	email to the officer, but when I spoke, she
11	called me and I think the questions came out.
12	But I can
13	MEMBER ALBERTI: So
14	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: follow back
15	with that. I know that they were both arrested
16	that night.
17	MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. So Chairman
18	Anderson, the reason I asked is that maybe we
19	should follow with this investigation in finding
20	out what charges, if any, were brought against
21	the other licensee.
22	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: Other, yes.

1 MEMBER ALBERTI: Because I mean the 2 way I read this, he comes in. I guess it was a male, right? 3 4 INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: Um-hum. 5 MEMBER ALBERTI: Correct. He comes in 6 with his security person who is standing over 7 him, it's kind of like he is like inviting or 8 expecting trouble. And if he was arrested, if it 9 was a felony assault, again if he is convicted, his license is in danger. So I'm not sure if 10 11 maybe we should follow-up with information on the 12 other licensee, whoever that was. 13 I am just bothered by the fact that 14 they came into this establishment apparently ready for trouble. I mean, they could have a 15 16 drink in their own place. I am concerned 17 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 18 that this -- that we have this Fact-Finding 19 Hearing. It is based on information that I have 20 that proper notice was provided to the licensee. 21 That's not him, right?

MEMBER ALBERTI:

22

No, that's not Mr.

Ferrufino.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Proper notice was provided to the licensee and the licensee is not here today to answer most of the questions. So what I'm going to -- all right. So any other comments, concerns by anyone else?

I need more information from the Agency whether or not there is a statement, whether the statement that they do not have a security plan is correct, based on the fact that I -- going through the investigative history, I saw that we charged them and there was an Offer in Compromise in December of 2018 for a violation of a security agreement.

so what I will do is that the Board will -- I'll recommend to the Board that we take this matter under advisement until we have been provided more information regarding the security -- if there is a security plan and if there is a security plan, then I will recommend to the Board that we refer this matter over to the Attorney General for violation of their security plan.

1	All right. Yes, Mr. Short?
2	MEMBER SHORT: Also I can concur with
3	Board Member Alberti that we should find out if
4	anybody was arrested also. Especially if the
5	owner was arrested.
6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You mean the
7	other of the other establishment. So I will also
8	have the Agency investigate to see what charges,
9	if any, were filed against, whether or not the
10	owner of the other establishment was prosecuted
11	and whether or not that owner was prosecuted,
12	whether or not we need to whether or not it's
13	a felony or a misdemeanor, that would require a
14	Board action, based on a conviction.
15	Okay. All right. Well, thank you,
16	Ms. Pleitez
17	INVESTIGATOR PLEITEZ: Thank you.
18	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: for your
19	presentation today.
20	We are off the record.
21	(Whereupon, the Fact-Finding Hearing
22	was concluded at 1:58 p.m.)

give 10:4 curious 7:12 **BB** 3:13.16 4:15 **Beverage** 1:2,14,14 current 10:20 guard 8:3 ABRA 1:22 2:11 4:13 blocks 6:4 guarding 9:7 5:7,8,16 D **Board** 1:2,14 2:18 5:11 **quess** 13:2 accepted 11:9 5:21 9:21 14:15,16,20 **D.C** 1:15 gun 3:13,16 4:15 accurate 4:2 15:3,14 **danger** 13:10 action 10:20 15:14 Н **bodily** 3:15 **date** 3:9 actions 10:16 **book** 5:6 days 5:3 harm 3:15 add 7:18.19 bothered 13:13 December 14:13 hearing 1:8,14 2:5,15 added 4:3 **break** 3:11 determination 2:17 3:4 2:17,22 13:19 15:21 advised 4:16 bring/ 2:19 determined 5:8 hello 4:17 advisement 14:17 broken 3:12 different 10:19 history 10:1 11:6 14:11 afternoon 2:12 brought 8:17 9:4 12:20 **DISTRICT** 1:1 holds 5:3 **Agency** 14:8 15:8 **Brown** 3:20 **Don** 1:6,6 2:5 3:3 5:9 Agency's 2:21 Building 1:15 7:22 agree 9:22 idea 8:6 **Donovan** 1:16,18 agreement 5:10 10:8 C drink 13:16 implement 10:14 14:14 called 12:11 duty 3:21 incident 3:2,22 4:5,11 ahead 5:21 7:5 cameras 4:3,6,20,22 5:5 **Alberti** 1:19 7:6,8 8:1,4 Ε 5:1 6:8,15 information 2:20 13:11 8:10,13,18,20 9:2,6,9 cancellation 10:7 email 12:10 13:19 14:7,18 9:12 11:16,20 12:4,7 enforcement 10:16 care 10:17.19.19 informed 2:16 12:13,17 13:1,5,22 **Carryout** 1:7 2:6 entered 4:13 inside 4:6,17 5:1 15:3 case 1:9 2:4,5,7 11:22 Especially 15:4 **inspection** 4:19 5:16 **Alcoholic** 1:2,14,14 caught 10:4 essentially 8:10 inspirational 10:15 **ANC** 1:8 **CCN** 3:5 intentionally 3:13 establishment 3:6 4:9 **Anderson** 1:16,18 2:3 Chair 7:3 4:11,13,13 5:2,13 6:3 interviews 3:5 2:12 5:15,20 7:5 9:14 Chairman 12:17 investigate 3:2 15:8 7:15 8:16,17 9:16 10:9 11:4,8,14,18 Chairperson 1:15,18 investigation 3:4 6:2,4 13:14 15:7,10 12:1,18 13:17 14:2 2:3.12 5:15.20 7:5 establishment's 4:3 15:6,18 9:14 10:9 11:4,8,14 expecting 13:8 investigative 10:1 11:6 answer 14:4 11:18 12:1 13:17 14:2 14:11 exposing 3:13 anybody 15:4 15:6,18 Investigator 1:22 2:10 anyway 4:7 F **change** 10:17 2:10 3:1 5:17 6:6,11 apparently 13:14 charge 11:22 fact 1:7 13:13 14:10 6:14,18 7:1,4,7,21 8:2 appear 2:8 charged 9:18 11:8 12:7 Fact- 2:4,21 8:9,12,15,19,22 9:4,8 applicable 10:18 12:9 14:12 Fact-Finding 2:15 9:11 10:3,10 11:7,11 approached 3:10,22 **charges** 12:20 15:8 13:18 15:21 11:21 12:2,6,8,14,22 4:17 check 5:8 failure 9:18 13:4 15:17 arrest 3:14 clarification 9:15 11:15 faith 10:14 inviting 13:7 arrested 3:16 12:5,15 **COLUMBIA** 1:1 February 4:8 13:8 15:4.5 comes 8:5 13:2,5 **felony** 13:9 15:13 asked 4:20 12:18 comments 14:6 **Ferrufino** 4:10,10,20 jacket 3:12,13 **asking** 11:5 **JAMES** 1:20 completely 6:16 7:13,19,22 14:1 assault 1:10 3:15 4:18 Compromise 14:13 Ferrufino's 7:16 **January** 3:3,19 13:9 concerned 13:17 fight 3:11,11 **job** 7:11 assaulted 3:10 concerns 14:6 file 9:19 11:12 **Juan** 1:6,6 2:5 3:3 5:9 assigned 3:2 concluded 15:22 filed 15:9 7:22 attempted 3:11 concur 15:2 find 15:3 **Juanitas** 9:1,5 attention 10:4 conduct 10:16 finding 1:7 2:5,22 12:19 Attorney 14:21 Κ **Control** 1:2,14,14 **follow** 12:14,19 convicted 13:9 **follow-up** 13:11 В conviction 15:14 following 3:8 back 2:4 3:13 12:14 cooperative 6:3,7 footage 4:21 5:3 Lamont 1:7 background 2:20 **correct** 13:5 14:10 **furthermore** 5:7 late 9:17 based 3:4 10:20 13:19 **CR** 1:8 law 10:18.20 14:10 15:14 G **create** 10:15 legal 10:5 11:1,15,16 basically 11:2 curiosity 7:20 general 10:18 14:22 liability 10:21 **bathroom** 4:6,18 5:2

license 1:8 2:6 13:10 licensed 5:12 licensee 2:14,15 6:5 10:13 12:21 13:12,20 14:3.4 listed 3:9 location 3:9 log 5:6 longer 5:13 10:1,6 look 6:15 looking 9:22 11:6 lot 6:20,21 **Luis** 4:9 М

male 13:3 manner 3:17 matter 1:5 14:17,21 mean 7:10,18,20 8:13 11:12 13:1,15 15:6 MEETING 1:3 **Member** 1:19.19.20.20 6:1,9,12,17,20 7:2,8 8:1,4,10,13,18,20 9:2 9:6,9,12 11:16,20 12:4,7,13,17 13:1,5 13:22 15:2.3 Members 5:21 menacing 3:17 met 1:14

MIKE 1:19 misdemeanor 15:13 **MPD-251** 3:5,8

Michelle 3:20

Ν

N.W 1:15 **name** 2:8 need 14:7 15:12 negligence 10:18,21 neighboring 7:14 **NICK** 1:19 night 3:21 4:11,21 5:1 6:7 12:16 **notice** 13:20 14:3 November 11:9 **NW** 1:7

0

OAG 11:17 occupants 6:2 occurred 3:3 4:5,18 October 5:11 **Offer** 14:12 officer 3:7,20,20 4:1 12:10 **OIC** 9:21 11:9 **Order** 5:12

owner 3:6 4:9.12 7:14 7:22 8:3,5,14,16 9:1 15:5,10,11

Ρ

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2:1 **p.m** 2:2 15:22 packet 10:11 parties 2:7 patron 8:11,14 person 4:14 13:6 personnel 4:14 7:16 perspective 2:21 picture 5:6 piqued 7:20 place 13:16 placed 3:14 plan 5:10,14,19 9:19 10:2,6,11,11,12 11:3 11:10,13 14:10,19,20

please 2:8 Pleitez 1:22 2:10,11,13 2:19 3:1 5:17 6:6,11 6:14,18 7:1,4,7,8,21 8:2,9,12,15,19,22 9:4 9:8,11,14 10:3,10 11:7,11,21 12:2,6,8 12:14.22 13:4 15:16 15:17

14:22

policy 10:13 possession 3:16 **PRESENT** 1:17,21 presentation 15:19 presiding 1:16 problem 6:9 processing 3:18 **proper** 13:20 14:2 **prosecuted** 15:10,11 provide 2:20 5:5 provided 13:20 14:3,18 pulled 3:12 put 12:3

Q question 11:1 questions 5:21 10:5 12:11 14:5 quite 7:9

read 13:2 ready 13:15 reason 6:12 11:5 12:18 recommend 14:16.20 record 2:4.9 15:20 records 5:7,8

R

Reeves 1:15 refer 14:21 referred 10:5 regarding 14:18 regulatory 4:19 **REMA** 1:20 report 4:2 7:9 reported 4:5 reporting 3:6 require 15:13 required 5:13,18 10:2 11:12 respondeat 10:21 **Restaurant** 1:6,6 2:6 3:3 7:22 Retailer 1:8 **road** 6:4 **Room** 1:15 run 6:3

S **S1** 3:9.12.14.17 4:12.17 7:14 8:14,22 **S1's** 8:20 **S2** 3:10,15,17 saw 14:12 saying 11:2 **says** 10:12 screen 6:16 second 10:4 **security** 4:14,15 5:9,14 5:19 7:15,16 8:2,6,7 8:16,17,20 9:5,19 10:2,6,11,11,12 11:3 11:10,13 13:6 14:10 14:14,18,19,20,22 seeing 9:20 **send** 12:9 **sense** 8:14 settlement 10:8 **seven** 5:3 **Short** 1:20 5:22 6:1,9 6:12,17,20 7:2 15:1,2 SILVERSTEIN 1:19 **simple** 1:10 3:14 **sorry** 4:1 6:11 **sort** 9:6 **speak** 4:19 spoke 3:19 4:9 12:10 **spooky** 9:13 staff 7:16 8:6,7 standard 10:15,17,19 **standing** 7:17 8:7,21 13:6 **state** 2:8 **stated** 3:8,21 4:1,2,10

14:8.9 **Street** 1:7,15 **subject** 10:16 **Suite** 1:15 superior 10:22

Т t/a 1:6 talked 7:19 technically 5:18 terminated 5:11 thank 7:3,4,8 15:15,17 threats 3:15.16 today 2:22 14:4 15:19 told 10:6 transported 3:17 trouble 13:8,15 trying 9:15 11:15

U **Um-hum** 13:4 understand 9:10 undertake 10:13 unzipped 3:12

turn 10:6

V1 3:10 **V2** 3:10 valid 10:7 VANESSA 1:22 vicarious 10:21 victim 3:22 4:1,16 victims 4:5 violation 11:10 14:13 14:22 violations 5:16 visited 4:8 voluntary 5:10

W

W 1:16,18 WAHABZADAH 1:20 Washington 1:15 wasn't 6:20 **way** 13:2 Wednesday 1:12 well-written 7:10 wondering 12:4 worked 6:13 working 4:4,22 5:4 6:8 wrong 5:9

Χ year 9:17,18

4:12,16,22

statement 10:12 11:2

		1
Z		
0		
1 500 0 04		
1,500 9:21 1:44 2:2		
1:58 15:22		
11 3:3		
14th 1:15		
15934 1:8 2:6 1660 1:7		
19 10:10		
19-251-00035 1:9 2:5		
19006181 3:5		
1D 1:8		
2		
2000 1:15		
20009 1:15		
2011 5:11		
2011-387 5:12 2018 11:10 14:13		
2019 1:12 3:4 4:8		
25th 3:19		
3		
4		
400S 1:15		
4D 3:18		
5		
5 5:11		

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Don Juan Restaurant

Before: DC ABRA

Date: 05-01-19

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &