DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

+ + + + + MEETING

IN THE MATTER OF:

M&A Hospitality, LLC, : t/a Cork Wine Bar & Market

1805 14th Street NW : Protest
Retailer CR - ANC 1B : Hearing (Status)

License No. 107308 Case #18-PRO-00006

(Substantial Change -Request to add a Summer : Garden with 35 Seats)

Wednesday April 11, 2018

The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board met in the Alcoholic Beverage Control Hearing Room, Reeves Building, 2000 14th Street, N.W., Suite 400S, Washington, D.C. 20009, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson, presiding.

PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson NICK ALBERTI, Member BOBBY CATO, JR., Member DONALD ISAAC, SR., Member MIKE SILVERSTEIN, Member JAMES SHORT, Member

ALSO PRESENT:

KATIE CHAVERRI, Protestant ELIZABETH D'ANGIO, Protestant

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

9:40 a.m.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, everyone. I would like to welcome you to the regularly scheduled meeting of the District of Columbia Alcoholic Beverage Control Board.

Please know that today's hearings will be conducted in accordance with D.C. Official Code Section 2-574 of the Open Meetings Act.

Today is April 11, 2018. I would first like to introduce Members of the Board with us today. To my far right is Mr. Donald Isaac, Sr. and to his left is Mr. Bobby Cato. To my immediate right is Mr. Nick Alberti. To my far left is Mr. Mike Silverstein and to my immediate left is Mr. James Short. My name is Donovan Anderson. I'm Chairman of the Board. We have six Members in attendance today for the conduct of business.

I would like to mention two things before we get started. First of all, if you have any electronic devices, pagers, cell phones or

such, please, make certain that they are turned off to avoid any interruption of the proceedings.

Secondly, there is a piece of paper on each table. When you come forward as your case is called, please, take a seat at the table and, please, sign-in. This is to ensure the correct spelling of your name for the record.

Additionally, when you introduce yourself for the record, please, spell your name aloud for the court reporter.

I will note that the approximate time is 9:42 a.m. I apologize that we didn't start here promptly at 9:30 this morning, but we were trying to do some business back there and I know that the calendar out here is light for the day.

The first case on our calendar is Case No. 18-PRO-00006, Cork Wine Bar & Market, License No. 107308.

And I have a statement to make, but I guess if there are folks here from that case, you can come up and identify yourself for the record, please.

1	Ms. CHAVERRI: Good morning.			
2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning.			
3	MS. CHAVERRI: My name is Katie			
4	Chaverri, K-A-T-I-E C-H-A-V-E-R-R-I, and I			
5	represent the Group of Five or More protestants			
6	today in this matter. And this is Liz D'Angio,			
7	she is the representative of that group.			
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning.			
9	MS. D'ANGIO: Morning.			
10	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You can sign in			
11	your name, please.			
12	MS. D'ANGIO: Sure.			
13	MS. CHAVERRI: Sure.			
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: A settlement			
15	agreement was provided to the Board yesterday by			
16	the ANC. And because a settlement agreement was			
17	provided to the Board for the Board's approval			
18	and acceptance by operation of law, pursuant to			
19	25-609(b), that if there is a protest and the ANC			
20	signed a settlement agreement, then a Group of			
21	Five or More falls.			
22	The only people who would still			

remain, even if there is a settlement agreement, would be a civic association, I think. Yes, a civic association, an abutting property -- yeah, it would be the ANC, a citizens association or an abutting property owner. These are the folks who would still remain in the protest if the ANC signs a settlement agreement.

I know that the Group of Five or More was concerned that the ANC was dismissed at the Roll Call Hearing because they did not show up and so, therefore, you guys moved on. But unfortunately, the way the statute states it says that if there is a protest and if -- it doesn't say who the parties are. It just specifically states that if there is a protest and if the ANC signs a settlement agreement, then a group no longer has standing to move forward in a Protest Hearing.

MS. CHAVERRI: Well, we would submit in this case where the ANC was dismissed from the proceeding on February 5, 2018 and failed to file a Motion for Reinstatement within 10 days as

provided by the order of the Board, that the ANC no longer has standing in order to execute or enter into any settlement agreement with the applicant.

permitted to move forward as they hope to with the settlement agreement that was signed yesterday, then it would disenfranchise the protesters who still had standing to make a protest and plan to move forward on the 24th or 25th at the actual hearing and their issues would effectively be unaddressed.

And that is exactly what is happening here, because what happened in this instance is the Group of Five or More has at least three specific issues that we believe are reasonable requests that were being worked into a settlement agreement that we were discussing at the mediation that occurred before the Board's Mediator a couple of weeks ago.

All of those issues have remained unaddressed entirely and are not found in any way

within the settlement agreement. We believe the settlement agreement as proposed merely states that the establishment, Cork, will operate within the bounds of the DCRA Rules.

Although, we do believe that some of the proposals in there, including housing the garbage and public space, would violate other code provisions, DC Code and Municipal Code provisions.

Section 25-609 provides two scenarios where the Board should consider ANC comments, if the ANC were duly before the Board.

And the first one is if the ANC were to submit recommendations and the second one is if the ANC were to protest a license.

So here, we invoke the second situation where there was a license that was protested, but the ANC failed to show up, failed to support and to advance the cause of the community and now we are in a position where even though Section (b) does state that the Group of Five or More would be dismissed here, all of our

issues weren't addressed.

In fact, we, the Group of Five, have expended resources to remain involved in this to participate meaningfully and to try and get these issues addressed. And they are effectively disenfranchised by the action of the ANC to circumvent, the ANC sort of I would say in concert with the applicant, the requirements that are set forth in the code. To me, it seems somewhat unconstitutional.

Furthermore, there are requirements within the regulations that the applicant communicate with protesters. And in this case, that has never happened. The applicant has communicated through the ANC representative by providing diagrams after the protesters had asked for them, but has never made direct communication with the protesters, the Group of Five, and that is required by Section 25-445(c) that says that "If the applicant fails to make a good faith effort to contact protestants timely, the Board shall deny the license application."

And again, that also happened in this case. So our position is that the application should be denied. We believe it should be denied and that they should be required to refile if they wish to do this and to do so properly and properly notice the parties that require notice, including a citizens association here that did not receive direct notice of the proceeding until way after the fact.

Alternatively, we would request that the Protest Hearing that is presently scheduled go forward. I believe it is on the 25th at 1:30.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, the unfortunate thing for you, the first issue regarding the settlement agreement and whether or not we are talking about standing or not, the statute is clear that if there is a Group of Five or More and there is an ANC, if the ANC signs -- say for example the ANC was -- had standing and they were here today and they signed a settlement agreement --

MS. CHAVERRI: We are under a

1	different situation.		
2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. But		
3	I'm just		
4	MS. CHAVERRI: Yeah, we understand		
5	that.		
6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yeah, but I'm		
7	just what I'm saying to you though, even if		
8	none of your issues are		
9	MS. CHAVERRI: We understand.		
10	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: addressed		
11	MS. D'ANGIO: Right.		
12	MS. CHAVERRI: We understand.		
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: in the		
14	settlement agreement, it doesn't really matter		
15	because the statute states that the ANC's		
16	settlement agreement, once they sign it, whether		
17	or not you are a part of it or not, then you		
18	fall.		
19	MS. CHAVERRI: Um-hum.		
20	MS. D'ANGIO: But it's not		
21	MS. CHAVERRI: It's not this case.		
22	MS. D'ANGIO: this case.		

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But I'm just addressing that one first.

MS. CHAVERRI: Um-hum.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But the information that is given to me by our General Counsel in the interpretation of the statute it doesn't specifically -- the statute does not state whether or not they have standing or not, whether or not they are here.

The interpretation of the statute has always been if there is a settlement -- if there is a protest and if there is a settlement agreement that was signed by the ANC, the group, a Group of Five or More would fall. The only groups that would still remain irrespective of the settlement agreement, the only groups who would still remain would be an abutting property owner, another ANC or a citizens association. And that's how -- the interpretation of the statute.

So what I'm informed by legal is that it doesn't matter whether or not they were a

protestant. It doesn't matter whether or not the ANC had protested the hearing, as long as there is -- the license, as long as there is a protest, if the ANC signs a settlement agreement, then a group, the group would fall.

And so that's the decision of the Board. Of course, you have the -- you can appeal our decision and the Board will -- legal will make a decision. We will reconsider it. But at the moment, the position of the Board is that based on 25-609(b), that there is a settlement agreement that was submitted to the Board for consideration and the Group of Five, your protest, falls.

MS. CHAVERRI: Well, if -- this
effectively equates to the rights of the people
who actually have a direct interest in then
property. So all of the neighbors, group of 12
individuals' rights being circumvented and
completely disregarded by the process.

And to me, it seems unconstitutional and I believe that -- well, we will discuss with

the clients whether they want to appeal, but I believe that it would be overturned, respectfully to your counsel, at a different level, because it absolutely divests these property owners of their rights to protest.

They don't even have the option to come to a hearing and have their day in court by virtue of what counsel is saying, is telling you. Because essentially, there is no voice. You could theoretically have a rogue ANC member who is in cahoots with an applicant who agrees to whatever it is that the applicant wants in exchange for we don't know and everybody else's rights are just completely disregarded in the process.

a settlement agreement that we will oppose, it's not coming from an ANC member. It's coming from the entire ANC. And so the entire ANC that -- who represents the area, they -- whatever settlement agreement that comes to us, it will tell us that, you know, they had a public

1	meeting. They had a vote. This is the vote.			
2	And that the ANC endorses the settlement			
3	agreement.			
4	So we are not it's not just okay,			
5	just from a Single Member District.			
6	MS. D'ANGIO: None of our concerns			
7	were addressed.			
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But			
9	MS. D'ANGIO: Just frankly.			
10	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I know, but			
11	the unfortunate thing, that's what I'm saying, is			
12	and that's why I broke it up into, so if the			
13	ANC had standing, if the ANC was a part of this			
14	hearing			
15	MS. CHAVERRI: We understand.			
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: it doesn't			
17	really matter			
18	MS. CHAVERRI: It was discussed.			
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: you would			
20	still have the same argument to say none of our			
21	issues were concerned, because if we couldn't			
22	tell the ANC that they would have to make that			

you would have to -- that you could be -- that you would have to be a party to the settlement agreement.

MS. CHAVERRI: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We couldn't tell them.

MS. CHAVERRI: We understand.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We can't tell folks who can be parties to agreements. But the interpretations though of the statute it's basically that if there is a settlement -- if there is a protest, if there is a settlement agreement by the ANC, then the group falls. doesn't matter whether or not the ANC was a party to the protest. If they came in at the last minute and they meet with the applicant and a settlement agreement is reached, then you no longer have a voice, because the statute basically states that the voice that you have, the ANC is expressing your voice, because they are representing the entire community.

Okay? So you have the right to -- we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	will you can appeal that, but that's the
2	position. And I thought it was important to
3	provide, since you are here today, to you the
4	explanation and have you express what you are
5	because you were here today. I didn't want to
6	just say it's dismissed and give you an
7	opportunity to listen to you and listen to your
8	concerns.
9	And I do hear your concerns, but
LO	that's just what the statute states and that's
L1	the position of the Board.
L2	MS. CHAVERRI: We appreciate your
L3	time. Thank you.
L4	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.
L5	(Whereupon, the Protest (Status)
L6	Hearing was concluded at 9:54 a.m.)
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	

a.m 2:2 3:12 16:16 absolutely 13:4 abutting 5:3,5 11:17 acceptance 4:18 **Act** 2:9 action 8:6 actual 6:11 add 1:10 Additionally 3:8 addressed 8:1,5 14:7 addressed-- 10:10 addressing 11:2 advance 7:19 **ago** 6:20 agreement 4:15,16 4:20 5:1,7,16 6:3 6:7,18 7:1,2 9:15 9:21 10:14,16 11:13,16 12:4,12 13:17,21 14:3 15:3,13,17 agreements 15:9 agrees 13:11 **Alberti** 1:18 2:14 **Alcoholic** 1:2.13.14 2:6 **aloud** 3:10 Alternatively 9:10 **ANC** 1:7 4:16,19 5:4,6,9,15,20 6:1 6:5 7:11,12,13,15 7:18 8:6,7,15 9:18 9:18,19 11:13,18 12:2,4 13:10,18 13:19,19 14:2,13 14:13,22 15:13,14 15:20 **ANC's** 10:15 **Anderson** 1:15,17 2:3,17 4:2,8,10,14 9:13 10:2,6,10,13 11:1,4 13:16 14:8 14:10,16,19 15:5 15:8 16:14 apologize 3:12

appeal 12:7 13:1 16:1 applicant 6:4,5 8:8 8:12,14,20 13:11 13:12 15:16 application 8:22 9.2 appreciate 16:12 approval 4:17 approximate 3:11 April 1:12 2:10 area 13:20 argument 14:20 **asked** 8:16 association 5:2,3,4 9:7 11:18 attendance 2:18 avoid 3:2

b 7:21 **back** 3:14 **Bar** 1:6 3:17 **based** 12:11 **basically** 15:11,19 **believe** 6:16 7:1.5 9:3,12 12:22 13:2 **Beverage** 1:2,13,14 2:6 **Board** 1:2,13 2:6,11 2:17 4:15,17 6:1 7:11,12 8:21 12:7 12:8,10,12 16:11 **Board's** 4:17 6:19 **Bobby** 1:18 2:13 bounds 7:4 **broke** 14:12 Building 1:14

В

C-H-A-V-E-R-R-I 4:4 cahoots 13:11 calendar 3:15,16 Call 5:10 called 3:5 case 1:8 3:4,16,16

business 2:19 3:14

C

3:20 5:20 8:13 9:2 10:21.22 Cato 1:18 2:13 **cause** 7:19 cell 2:22 certain 3:1 Chairman 2:17 Chairperson 1:15 1:17 2:3 4:2,8,10 4:14 9:13 10:2,6 10:10.13 11:1.4 13:16 14:8,10,16 14:19 15:5,8 16:14 Change 1:9 **Chaverri** 1:21 4:1.3 4:4,13 5:19 9:22 10:4,9,12,19,21 11:3 12:15 14:15 14:18 15:4,7 16:12 circumvent 8:7 circumvented 12:19 **citizens** 5:4 9:7 11:18 **civic** 5:2,3 clear 9:17 clients 13:1 code 2:8 7:8.8.8 8:9 **Columbia** 1:1 2:6 come 3:4.21 13:7 **comes** 13:21 coming 13:18,18 comments 7:11 communicate 8:13 communicated 8:15 communication 8:17 community 7:20 15:21 completely 12:20 13:14 concerned 5:9 14:21 **concerns** 14:6 16:8

concert 8:8 concluded 16:16 conduct 2:18 conducted 2:8 consider 7:11 consideration 12.13 contact 8:21 **Control** 1:2,13,14 2:6 **Cork** 1:6 3:17 7:3 correct 3:6 counsel 11:6 13:3,8 couple 6:20 course 12:7 court 3:10 13:7 **CR** 1:7

D **D'Angio** 1:22 4:6,9 4:12 10:11.20.22 14:6,9 **D.C** 1:15 2:8 day 3:15 13:7 days 5:22 **DC** 7:8 **DCRA** 7:4 **decision** 12:6,8,9 **denied** 9:3,3 deny 8:22 devices 2:22 diagrams 8:16 **different** 10:1 13:3 direct 8:17 9:8 12:17 discuss 12:22 discussed 14:18 discussing 6:18 disenfranchise 6:8 disenfranchised 8:6 dismissed 5:9,20 7:22 16:6 disregarded 12:20 13:14 **District** 1:1 2:5 14:5 divests 13:4 **Donald** 1:19 2:12

16:9

Donovan 1:15,17 forth 8:9 interpretation 11:6 meeting 1:3 2:5 forward 3:4 5:17 2:16 11:10.19 14:1 **duly** 7:12 6:6.10 9:12 interpretations Meetings 2:9 **found** 6:22 15:10 member 1:18,18,19 Ε frankly 14:9 interruption 3:2 1:19,20 13:10,18 effectively 6:12 8:5 Furthermore 8:11 **introduce** 2:11 3:8 14:5 12:16 invoke 7·16 **Members** 2:11,18 G effort 8:21 involved 8:3 mention 2:20 electronic 2:22 garbage 7:7 irrespective 11:15 merely 7:2 **ELIZABETH** 1:22 Garden 1:10 **Isaac** 1:19 2:12 met 1:14 **else's** 13:13 General 11:5 **issue** 9:14 Mike 1:19 2:15 endorses 14:2 **give** 16:6 issues 6:11,16,21 **minute** 15:16 ensure 3:6 **given** 11:5 8:1,5 10:8 14:21 **moment** 12:10 enter 6:3 **go** 9:12 **morning** 2:3 3:13 J **entire** 13:19,19 good 2:3 4:1,2,8 4:1,2,8,9 15:21 James 1:20 2:16 8:20 Motion 5:22 entirely 6:22 **group** 4:5,7,20 5:8 **JR** 1:18 **move** 5:17 6:6.10 equates 12:16 5:16 6:15 7:21 8:2 **moved** 5:11 K essentially 13:9 8:18 9:17 11:13 Municipal 7:8 **K-A-T-I-E** 4:4 establishment 7:3 11:14 12:5,5,13 **Katie** 1:21 4:3 everybody 13:13 Ν 12:18 15:13 know 2:7 3:14 5:8 groups 11:15,16 **N.W** 1:14 exactly 6:13 13:13,22 14:10 example 9:19 guess 3:20 **name** 2:16 3:7,9 4:3 exchange 13:13 **guys** 5:11 4:11 L execute 6:2 neighbors 12:18 н **law** 4:18 expended 8:3 never 8:14.17 **left** 2:13,15,16 happened 6:14 explanation 16:4 Nick 1:18 2:14 legal 11:21 12:8 8:14 9:1 express 16:4 **note** 3:11 **level** 13:3 happening 6:13 expressing 15:20 **notice** 9:6,6,8 license 1:8 3:17 **hear** 16:9 **NW** 1:7 7:15,17 8:22 12:3 F **hearing** 1:7,14 5:10 5:18 6:11 9:11 **liaht** 3:15 0 fact 8:2 9:9 **listen** 16:7.7 failed 5:21 7:18,18 occurred 6:19 12:2 13:7 14:14 **Liz** 4:6 **fails** 8:20 16:16 Official 2:8 **LLC** 1:5 faith 8:20 hearings 2:7 okay 14:4 15:22 long 12:2,3 fall 10:18 11:14 **hope** 6:6 once 10:16 longer 5:17 6:2 12:5 Hospitality 1:5 **Open** 2:9 15:18 falls 4:21 12:14 operate 7:3 housing 7:6 15:13 operation 4:18 M far 2:12,14 opportunity 16:7 **M&A** 1:5 identify 3:21 **oppose** 13:17 February 5:21 Market 1:6 3:17 **immediate** 2:14,15 file 5:21 option 13:6 **matter** 1:4 4:6 important 16:2 first 2:11,21 3:16 **order** 6:1.2 10:14 11:22 12:1 including 7:6 9:7 7:13 9:14 11:2 overturned 13:2 14:17 15:14 individuals' 12:19 **Five** 4:5,21 5:8 6:15 owner 5:5 11:18 meaningfully 8:4 information 11:5 7:22 8:2,18 9:17 **owners** 13:4 mediation 6:19 informed 11:21 11:14 12:13 Mediator 6:20 instance 6:14 **folks** 3:20 5:5 15:9 **meet** 15:16 interest 12:17 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-...

	I	I	1
2:1	provisions 7:8,9	s	state 7:21 11:8
pagers 2:22	public 7:7 13:22	saying 10:7 13:8	statement 3:19
paper 3:3	pursuant 4:18	14:11	states 5:12,15 7:2
part 10:17 14:13		1	10:15 15:19 16:10
participate 8:4	Q	says 5:12 8:19	Status 1:7 16:15
parties 5:14 9:6		scenarios 7:10	statute 5:12 9:17
II -	R	scheduled 2:5 9:11	
15:9	reached 15:17	seat 3:5	10:15 11:6,7,10
party 15:2,14	really 10:14 14:17	Seats 1:10	11:20 15:10,18
people 4:22 12:16	reasonable 6:16	second 7:14,16	16:10
permitted 6:6	receive 9:8	Secondly 3:3	Street 1:7,14
phones 2:22		Section 2:9 7:10,21	submit 5:19 7:14
piece 3:3	recommendations	8:19	submitted 12:12
plan 6:10	7:14	set 8:9	Substantial 1:9
please 2:7 3:1,5,6,9	reconsider 12:9	settlement 4:14,16	Suite 1:15
3:22 4:11	record 3:7,9,21	4:20 5:1,7,16 6:3	Summer 1:10
position 7:20 9:2	Reeves 1:14	6:7,17 7:1,2 9:15	support 7:19
12:10 16:2,11	refile 9:4	9:20 10:14,16	Sure 4:12,13
PRESENT 1:16,21	regarding 9:15	*	
presently 9:11	regularly 2:5	11:11,12,16 12:4	T
presiding 1:15	regulations 8:12	12:11 13:17,21	t/a 1:6
proceeding 5:21	Reinstatement	14:2 15:2,11,12	table 3:4,5
9:8	5:22	15:17	take 3:5
proceedings 3:2	remain 5:1,6 8:3	Short 1:20 2:16	talking 9:16
	11:15,17	show 5:10 7:18	tell 13:22 14:22
process 12:20	remained 6:21	sign 4:10 10:16	15:6,8
13:15	reporter 3:10	sign-in 3:6	•
promptly 3:13	represent 4:5	signed 4:20 6:7	telling 13:8
properly 9:5,6	representative 4:7	9:20 11:13	Thank 16:13,14
property 5:3,5	8:15	signs 5:7,16 9:18	theoretically 13:10
11:17 12:18 13:4		12:4	thing 9:14 14:11
proposals 7:6	representing 15:21	Silverstein 1:19	things 2:20
proposed 7:2	represents 13:20	2:15	think 5:2
protest 1:7 4:19 5:6	request 1:10 9:10	Single 14:5	thought 16:2
5:13,15,17 6:10	requests 6:17	situation 7:17 10:1	three 6:15
7:15 9:11 11:12	require 9:6	six 2:18	time 3:11 16:13
12:3,14 13:5	required 8:19 9:4	somewhat 8:10	timely 8:21
15:12,15 16:15	requirements 8:8	sort 8:7	today 2:10,12,18
protestant 1:21,22	8:11	space 7:7	4:6 9:20 16:3,5
12:1	resources 8:3	specific 6:16	today's 2:7
protestants 4:5	respectfully 13:2	•	try 8:4
8:21	Retailer 1:7	specifically 5:14	trying 3:14
protested 7:18 12:2	right 2:12,14 10:2		turned 3:1
protesters 6:9 8:13	10:11 15:22	spell 3:9	two 2:20 7:10
8:16,18	rights 12:16,19	spelling 3:7	
provide 16:3	13:5,14	Sr 1:19 2:13	U
provided 4:15,17	rogue 13:10	standing 5:17 6:2,9	Um-hum 10:19 11:3
6:1	Roll 5:10	9:16,19 11:8	unaddressed 6:12
1	Room 1:14	14:13	6:22
provides 7:10	Rules 7:4	start 3:12	unconstitutional
providing 8:16		started 2:21	
		l	

I	
8:10 12:21 understand 10:4,9 10:12 14:15 15:7 unfortunate 9:14 14:11 unfortunately 5:12 V violate 7:7 virtue 13:8 voice 13:9 15:18,19 15:20 vote 14:1,1 W W 1:15,17 want 13:1 16:5 wants 13:12 Washington 1:15 way 5:12 6:22 9:9 Wednesday 1:12 weeks 6:20 welcome 2:4 weren't 8:1 Wine 1:6 3:17 wish 9:5 worked 6:17 X Y yeah 5:3 10:4,6 yesterday 4:15 6:8	2 2-574 2:9 2000 1:14 20009 1:15 2018 1:12 2:10 5:21 24th 6:10 25-445(c) 8:19 25-609 7:10 25-609(b) 4:19 12:11 25th 6:11 9:12 3 35 1:10 4 400\$ 1:15 5 5 5:21 6 7 8 9 9:30 3:13 9:40 2:2 9:42 3:12 9:54 16:16
yesterday 4:15 6:8	
Z	
0	
1	
1:30 9:12 10 5:22 107308 1:8 3:18 11 1:12 2:10 12 12:18 14th 1:7,14 18-PRO-00006 1:8 3:17 1805 1:7	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: M&A Hospitality, LLC

Before: DC ABRA

Date: 04-11-18

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &