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CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Our next case is Case No. 19-PRO-00153, Club Cinema, License No. 60040.

Will the parties please approach and identify themselves for the record please.

MR. O'BRIEN: Stephen O'Brien for the licensee. I'm accompanied by Dominick Zarrillo, Z-A-R-I-L-L-O, who is a representative of AMC Theaters.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon.
MR. BENDER: All right. Jonathan Bender for the ANC. I'm the chair of the ANC and the SMD Commissioner for the area that encompasses AMC Mazza.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. This is a protest hearing under petition to amend or terminate the settlement agreement.

And I assume that the parties have is there anything, just as an FYI, are we able to memorialize any agreement, we can write a Board order, or the parties are like no, we have spoken and we need to go to a protest hearing?

MR. O'BRIEN: Commissioner Bender and

I have had no problem communicating on a very professional, civil level.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. O'BRIEN: Unfortunately, we just can't reach agreement.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I got to ask.

All right. The way they have you Commissioner Bender, are you familiar with the protest hearing, sir?

MR. BENDER: I have never been to one.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You have never been to a protest hearing. Okay. Fine.

All right. Each side has 90 minutes to present their case. Your 90 minutes starts once you present your case.

So the way the process starts is that I will do an opening remark, I'll ask if there are any preliminary motions, then the licensee will give an opening statement. You can also give an opening statement, then the Board will call its witness, who is an investigator.

MR. BENDER: Uh-huh.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The investigator will go through his report. The

Board will ask questions of the investigator based off of - based on what's in his report.

Once the Board asks questions of the investigator, then the licensee will have an opportunity to ask questions of the investigator, of his report, and then you'll also have that opportunity.

MR. BENDER: Uh-huh.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Once that's done, the Board will ask more questions if it so desires.

Depending what's going on, I might give both sides an opportunity to ask more questions, but that's my prerogative because it's our witness.

MR. BENDER: Uh-huh.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Once the Board presents its case, then the licensee will present its case-in-chief. Once they present its case, you'll have an opportunity to cross examine whatever witness they bring.

Your time starts once the licensee presents its case. So the time that you utilize to ask cross examination of witnesses, that's going to take away from your time.

So $I$ would save that and be careful in that aspect and not spend a whole lot of time in cross examination. I don't know how many witnesses you have, but $I$ would say use more of your time in direct, rather than cross, examination based on that, but each side has 90 minutes.

I try to be this is the only hearing we have for the rest of -the afternoon. That doesn't mean we're going to stay here until midnight, but I'm somewhat flexible in the sense of time.

But at the same time, depending how many witnesses you have, each side has - we're not going to have redundant or repetitive testimony. I don't need ten people telling me the same thing.

MR. BENDER: Uh-huh.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So one person, their experience, and let's move on.

MR. BENDER: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So are there any preliminary motions from either side?
witnesses please?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: How many
witnesses do you have, sir?
MR. BENDER: I have -
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, I was asking Mr. -- oh, Mr. Bender, what Mr. O'Brien asked is the rule on witnesses.

So what he's asking is that if depending whoever the witnesses are, that they stay out of the room while another person is testifying.

I'm sorry, who's with you, Mr.
O'Brien?
MR. O'BRIEN: Mr Zarrillo.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Is he your only witness?

MR. O'BRIEN: Correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So because Mr. Zarrillo, because he is the licensee, although he's going to testify -- he's allowed to stay in the room although he's going to testify.

How many witnesses do you have?
MR. BENDER: Four, including me.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So the three witnesses, who are your three
witnesses?
MR. BENDER: My witnesses are -
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Are they in the room?

MR. BENDER: Yes. Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who are they?
MR. BENDER: Dr. Kimberly Wilson, who is the principal of Wilson High School; we have Mr. Jeffrey Howser, who is the No. 2 person at Georgetown Day School; and Anoushka Chander, who is a student at Georgetown Day School.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So all three folks have to leave the room. And so therefore, when it's time for them to testify, they can come in and testify.

So they have to leave the room at this moment, and the Agency will find a room for them to sit and stay. Once they have testified, then they can come back in the room. Okay.
(Pause.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. O'BRIEN: Just to make it clear, Mr. Chairman, no one who remains in the room will be permitted to testify.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: He said he had
four witnesses
MR. BENDER: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- including himself. Three people left. And so he's the fourth person.

MR. O'BRIEN: The only reason I brought that up was the protest information form, I think, had at least one more.

MR. BENDER: No, there were actually two more in there. They're not here.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I asked and he said he had four witnesses, including himself, and three people left the room. So that's four. So if any

MR. O'BRIEN: All right.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other preliminary matter?

MR. $0^{\prime}$ BRIEN: No, sir.
MR. BENDER: No.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. All
right. And does the applicant wish to make an opening statement?

MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, sir.
The Board's longstanding and consistent position favors settlement of cases by
settlement agreement. That's why the statute requires that we participate in mediation, and in fact most cases do so by settlement agreement.

In this case, the settlement agreement, then called "voluntary agreement," is 21 years old. And we believe the evidence will show that it is outdated and entirely unnecessary to protect the protest against the protest issues of peace, order and quiet and residential parking needs.

The evidence will show that the settlement agreement has been an anchor around the neck of AMC Theaters and has stopped or thwarted much needed renovation of the theaters. These theaters, the testimony will show, are dated, outdated, tired and not a very attractive place to go.

When I use the words "dated" and "outdated" and "tired," I guess I'm also encompassing the settlement agreement itself. But this case -- as we know, a settlement agreement can only be changed in one of two ways; by the agreement of all of the parties to it or by order of the Board.

And this case, and this 21-year-old
history, will show why there's a good argument to be made that no party should ever enter into a settlement agreement - excuse me, enter into a settlement agreement. That's what the evidence will show.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you wish to make an opening statement, sir?

MR. BENDER: I do. As I mentioned, I'm Jonathan Bender, chair of ANC 3E.

AMC says in its protest information form, its statement to its investigator to your investigator, that it can't, quote/unquote, compete in today's market because it has been, quote, thwarted by the existence of the incident voluntary agreement. Mr. O'Brien just called it an anchor around their neck.

AMC goes on to claim that it has labored under this subject agreement for 21 years. And if the Board doesn't terminate this agreement unilaterally, future applicants won't want to enter settlement agreements to even say if -- if not now, when their BIF will terminate. We submit that this is a gross distortion of reality.

The petitioner first contacted the ANC
six years ago without involving outside counsel. The ANC said it would support an application from the petitioner for alcohol service at all seven screens if petitioner would agree to limit patrons to one drink per trip to the bar, and all patrons who were of age and wanted to drink were issued

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold your voice up, sir. I can't hear you.

MR. BENDER: Oh, I'm sorry.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's better.
MR. BENDER: Do you want me to go back or

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You can just move forward, but just hold your -

MR. BENDER: I'm sorry. I apologize.
MEMBER SHORT: The microphone in front of you, we can leave that on.

MR. BENDER: Is this better?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. Thank you.

MR. BENDER: As I was saying, the petitioner first contacted the ANC six years ago, in 2014, without involving outside counsel.

The ANC said it would support an
application from the petitioner for alcohol service at all seven screens if petitioner would agree to limit patrons to one drink per trip to the bar, and if all patrons who were of age and wanted a drink were issued more distinctive wristbands to make it easy for servers to tell if drinks were being shared with underage patrons. The petitioner agreed to this, but ultimately never followed up.

Several years later, via. outside counsel, Mr. O'Brien, AMC again reached out to me, as the SMD Commissioner, about negotiating a resolution. I was perplexed by this because petitioner had already agreed to the two minor conditions the ANC requested at a public meeting. And that's reflected in our minutes.

And the ANC had already agreed, in principle, to support the application on that basis.

Mr. O'Brien told me there were three private parties to the original agreement. Now, because I - we had previously agreed with Mr. O'Brien's client to do a superseding agreement, I hadn't looked carefully enough at the original agreement to notice there were two non-ANC
signatories to it. And while those signatories could have complicated reaching a new agreement, they didn't participate in this hearing, and so that's no longer an issue.

It took a long time to get a clear answer from O'Brien that, as to the ANC, his client had decided against honoring the two minor conditions it had agreed to at an open ANC meeting.

So far from being quote/unquote under the thumb of a restricting agreement and needing this Board to save it, petitioner knew five years ago - really, six years ago that ANC 3 E would support all the relief it sought, the ability to serve alcohol at all seven screening rooms with admission to all ages.

The ANC sought only two minor conditions, which petitioner agreed to and then years later changed its mind about. Subsequently, the ANC even agreed to drop the request for wristbands in order to facilitate a negotiated resolution, but that wasn't enough for petitioner.

And so that's why we're here today primarily, because petitioner refuses to limit
patrons to one drink per person per trip to the bar, as at least three other theaters in D.C. do, and as some of petitioner's other theaters do. Why does the ANC care about this condition? Because we have a tremendous number of underage students who live here and/or go to school here every day.

That includes thousands of college kids, but it also includes several thousand students at the two high schools and soon two middle schools within easy walking distance of the theater at issue, AMC Mazza. This creates an unusually high risk of underage drinking at an all-age theater with alcohol service.

The leaders of our local schools care about this condition, too. They care enough to be here today instead of at the two large schools they manage. Moreover, a student from one of the schools has put her studies on hold to testify today.

## After I testify about the ANC's

 attempt to resolve this matter and what $I$ learned about the conditions imposed by other theaters, you'll first hear from Jeffrey Howser, who is the No. 2 official at Georgetown Day School. GDS hasa high school in our neighborhood and will soon also have a middle school.

Next, you'll hear from Anoushka Chander, a junior at GDS. And finally, you'll hear from Dr. Kimberly Wilson, principal at Woodrow Wilson High school, a school with nearly 2,000 students.

All three of these witnesses will testify, based on their unique perspectives, to the danger posed to the community by permitting drinking at an all-age theater in the community without reasonable restrictions. At the close of the evidence, $I$ expect to ask you to deny the petition in its entirety as it applies to the ANC.

In the alternative, I will ask you to heed the call from the ANC, educators and student you hear from today, that some restrictions some restrictions must be imposed on an all-ages theater in this neighborhood in which alcohol can be consumed to reduce the risk of underage drinking. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. The Board will call its first witness, Mr. Kevin Puente.

WHEREUPON,

## KEVIN PUENTE

was called as a witness by Counsel for the and, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Have a seat please. Mr. Puente, can you state your name for the record please, and where are you currently employed?

MR. PUENTE: Kevin Puente, the Alcoholic Beverage Regulation Administration. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And what is your role at ABRA, sir? MR. PUENTE: Investigator.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And what are some of your duties and responsibilities?

MR. PUENTE: I conduct inspections and investigations of licensed AMC establishments in the District of Columbia.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And are you familiar with this establishment today, Club Cinema?

MR. PUENTE: Yes, I am.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And how are you familiar with this establishment?

MR. PUENTE: I conducted a protest investigation.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And did there come a time that you wrote a report?

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you please let us - based on your written report, can you give us some information about what is it -- your findings about the nature of this protest today.

MR. PUENTE: Yes, sir. I conducted a protest investigation of Club Cinema of Mazza, Inc. trading as Club Cinema, which is located at 5300 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W.

The petition to amend or terminate the SA was submitted by Vice President Kevin Connor. The application was being protested by ANC 3E, which is being represented by Jonathan Bender.

The protest issues for the protestants involved are the following: That the establishment will have an adverse impact on peace, order and quiet, adverse impact of the establishment on real property values, and the effect of the establishment on residential parking needs and vehicle and pedestrian safety.

On Monday, March 2nd, I telephonically
interviewed Jonathan Bender of ANC 3E. Mr. Bender stated that the current SA states that only two out of the seven theaters require patrons to be 21 years old or more to enter and have alcohol.

Mr. Bender advised me that Club Cinema wants to have all seven theaters to have alcohol inside them. Mr. Bender stated that the ANC has two issues, the first being that they would like to see Club Cinema have a policy in place that the patrons only get one drink per trip.

Mr. Bender stated that the ANC is concerned that there could be underage consumption of alcohol if all the theaters allow alcohol consumption. They say that the area, the 1500 block of Wisconsin, is very close to American University as well as two other major schools.

Mr. Bender said that ANC 3E is concerned that the kids that attend these schools that are not 21 will consume alcohol.

Mr. Bender stated that someone that is 21 years old or more could possibly buy multiple drinks, then pass the drinks off to underage kids inside the theaters. Mr. Bender stated that ANC

3E believes that it could be bad.
Mr. Bender advised me that the second issue that ANC $3 E$ would like to see is more matinee movies that are $P$ and $P G$ rated. Mr. Bender stated that parents would like to take their kids to these movies, and they don't want to deal with adults inside of them consuming alcohol and possibly getting intoxicated.

Mr. Bender stated that the parents are going to allow their kids ranging from 10 to 14 years old to attend these movies, and they don't want to worry about people who could possibly be consuming alcohol.

Mr. Bender stated that he checked the other local movie theaters in the area, Landmark's E Street Cinema and AMC Georgetown, and they both have a one-drink policy per trip to the counter.

Mr. Bender stated that the ANC 3E would like to see the movie theater continue to operate until midnight seven days a week. And then he lastly added that they're mainly concerned about the high density of students in the area from local schools and American University, and that could possibly pose a risk
to underage consumption at the theater. On Tuesday, March 3rd, 2020, I received a statement from Club Cinema's lawyer, Mr. O'Brien, that stated the following: The existing voluntary agreement dates back to 1999 when the application was first made for this license in order for the movie theater in the District to serve alcohol. Thus, the parties were sailing in unchartered waters.

Restrictions such as serves alcohol at only two of the licensee's seven screens, and patrons only - patrons only 21 or over in those two screens, were negotiated in order to address the fear of consequences of introducing alcohol to the movie theaters.

What has changed in that intervening 20 years is that the service of alcohol in movie theaters has become more common in the District without - has become common in the District without any evidence that the fears of the 1999 SA have materialized.

The licensee's theaters have operated for the entire time without any ABC violations or citizen complaints. Moreover, none of the other movie theaters licensed in the District,
including the commonly owned AMC Georgetown theater, are subject to some restrictions such as the one found in the 1999 agreement at issue here.

Meanwhile, during the intervening 21 years, the state-of-the-art movie-going experience has changed. The public now expects amenities such as plush, reclining seats, wider aisles and food and beverages served at the seats.

The licensee wants to modernize these theaters so that to be able to compete in today's market, but has been thwarted by the existence of the incident voluntary agreement limiting age of patrons at two screens, and restricting the consumption of alcohol to only those two screens.

The grounds for the protest of the requested termination of the 1999 agreement are peace, order and quiet, residential parking needs and vehicle/pedestrian safety, but the protest that ANC cannot cite any such problem generated by these movie theaters in the past 21 years of operation.

And the licensee presently offers free parking for all the patrons in the same building.

Patrons do not have to go outdoors in order to get from the garage to the theater. And the planned remodeling actually will reduce the present total theater seating approximately by 200 patrons.

According to the District of Columbia Geographic Information System, there are no schools, recreation centers and public safety or public libraries within 400 feet of the establishment. The Chevy Chase Plaza Children's Day Care Center is approximately 113 feet away at 5310 43rd Street, N.W.

According to the GIS System, as of February 27th, 2020, there are seven active ABClicensed establishments operating within 1,200 feet of Club Cinema. The seven licensed ABC establishments in the area consist of one Class A retail-licensed establishment, two Class CR restaurant-licensed establishments, one Class DT tavern-licensed establishment, one Class Hotellicensed establishment and two Class B grocerylicensed establishments. Two licensed establishments have settlement agreements.

Club Cinema is located at 5300 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W., between Jennifer Street,
N.W. to the south, Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. to the east, Western Avenue, N.W. to the north, and 44th Street, N.W. to the west.

Club Cinema is located primarily in a mixed-used district with other business directly beside the establishment. Club Cinema is located inside a multi-use building. The establishment's movie theater is located on the fourth floor. As soon as you enter Club Cinema on the fourth floor off the escalators or elevator, there's a ticket counter and concession stand.

After you pass the ticket stand, there are seven theaters down the hallway. At the end of the hallway is a bar area that is commonly known as Club Cinema. In order to enter the bar area, you have to be 21 years old. There's approximately seating for 15 people. All the alcohol is locked and secured behind the bar.

I was advised that the bar is only open on Friday and Saturdays from 6:00 p.m. to 12:00 a.m. There is one Metro stop in the city at Club Cinema. The Friendship Heights Metro station is one block away. There are four Metro bus stops in the vicinity of Club Cinema. The bus stop services Wisconsin Avenue, N.W., Western

Avenue, N.W., Jennifer Street, N.W.
Club Cinema is located at 5300 Wisconsin Avenue, N.W., and the building has an underground parking garage for vehicles. Across the street is Chevy Chase Pavilion. They also have underground parking. And all across Wisconsin Avenue, N.W., and Jennifer Street, N.W., there is various two-hour parking.

ABRA investigator monitored Club Cinema on two occasions from February 27th to March 3rd, 2020. ABRA investigator did not hear any excessive noise. On both occasions, Club Cinema was closed.

On Thursday, February 27th, 2020, I contacted the Office of Unified Communications regarding a call for service at 300 block N.W. At the time of the writing of this report, $I$ have not received them. And also the establishment has no ABRA violations found in their investigative history.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Are there exhibits attached to your

MR. PUENTE: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What are they? Can you go through the exhibits please?

MR. PUENTE: Exhibit 1 is a copy of the petition to amend or terminate the settlement agreement. Exhibit 2 is the copy of ANC 3E protest letter. Exhibit 3 is a copy of the D.C. zoning map. Exhibit 4 is a copy of the -

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go a little bit slower please.

MR. PUENTE: Exhibit 4 is a copy of the 1,200-feet GIS map. Exhibit 5 is a copy of the 400-feet GIS map. Exhibit 6 is a photograph of Club Cinema's front entrance. Exhibit 7 is a photograph of the cinema lobby. Exhibit 8 is another photograph of the Club Cinema lobby. Exhibit 9 is a photograph of Club Cinema entrance.

Exhibit No. 10 is a photograph of the seating area inside the bar. Exhibit 11 is a photograph of the alcohol behind the bar. Exhibit 12 is a copy of the settlement agreement. Exhibit 13 is a photograph of the Friendship Heights Metro station. Exhibit 14 is a copy of a nearby Metro bus map of the area bus stop. Exhibit 15 is the Club Cinema parking garage.

Exhibit 16 is the photograph of the Chevy Chase Pavilion parking garage. Exhibit 17
is a photograph of Wisconsin Avenue, N.W., parking. Exhibit 18 is also another photograph of Wisconsin Avenue, N.W. parking. Exhibit 19 is a photograph of Jennifer Street, N.W. parking. And Exhibit 20 is a photograph of Jennifer Street, N.W. parking.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I'm sorry, what are 19 and 20 again?

MR. PUENTE: Those are photographs of parking along Jennifer Street, N.W.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Oh, there is street parking along

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I thought in your report you said that the cinema provided parking in the building.

MR. PUENTE: Yes. There is an underground parking garage.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Any questions by the Board members? Go ahead, Mr. Short.

MEMBER SHORT: Good afternoon, Investigator.

MR. PUENTE: Good afternoon.
MEMBER SHORT: The cause of this
investigation that you're doing, was peace, order and quiet a part of that?

MR. PUENTE: Yes. In the letter I actually received from the ANC 3E, peace, order and quiet was listed as one of the protest reasons.

MEMBER SHORT: Did you find any violations caused by this licensee to peace, order or quiet?

MR. PUENTE: No, sir.
MEMBER SHORT: Did you go over the any settlement agreements or any voluntary agreements, or any agreements between the community and the licensee?

MR. PUENTE: Yes, sir.
MEMBER SHORT: Did you find any violations in that agreement that have occurred or are occurring with this licensee?

MR. PUENTE: No.
MEMBER SHORT: So your investigation, according to your testimony and the questions I've asked you, you didn't find any reason that this licensee should - did do what he's requesting to do - or what is he requesting to do $I$ guess is the question $I$ need to ask.

MR. PUENTE: From our understanding, they would like to expand their alcohol service to all seven screens inside the movie theater.

MEMBER SHORT: And your investigation of the theater at all seven of these locations, would they cause a problem to the community? MR. PUENTE: No, not that $I$ found. MEMBER SHORT: Thank you very much. Thank you for your investigation - your investigation and your report. That's all I have, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Crockett. MEMBER CROCKETT: Thank you, Investigator Puente. I do have a question. Given that the crux of this issue appears to be young people coming to this movie theater and possibly being able to consume alcohol, is there any reason why none of the visits were made during opening hours, during hours that the theater would be open and you could actually observe, you know, are there adults here, are there mainly teenagers here or, you know, who is - who's there?

MR. PUENTE: Yes. So I got assigned this protest investigation on Thursday, February

27th. I worked night shift on the Friday the 28th. My dates are wrong. On one of those dates, we received MPD closure. So I was assigned that closure. So I couldn't get to the establishment by midnight to monitor that.

Then when I came back on Monday the following day shift, $I$ was on day shift the rest of the week. And on my two visits, the movie theater was closed.

MEMBER CROCKETT: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other
questions by any of the Board members?
(Pause.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Your witness, Mr. O'Brien.

MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you, sir. Investigator Puente, just so the record is clear, I think everyone understands the MPD closure that you were assigned had nothing to do with this licensee, right?

MR. PUENTE: Nothing to do with this licensee, no.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. Thank you. Can I invite your attention to your Exhibit No. 13, the photograph?

MR. PUENTE: Yes, sir.
MR. O'BRIEN: If I understood your testimony correctly, No. 13 depicts an entrance to the Friendship Heights Metro station?

MR. PUENTE: Yes, sir.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. Now the photograph depicts the east side of Wisconsin. Am I correct?

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: All right. And the subject premises is on the west side?

MR. PUENTE: Yes. Uh-huh.
MR. O'BRIEN: So in other words, if one looks to the right of this photograph -

MR. PUENTE: Uh-huh.
MR. O'BRIEN: -- one would see the building

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: -- that we're talking about. To your knowledge, is there not a direct entrance to the Metro station from the building across the street, in other words, under Wisconsin Avenue?

MR. PUENTE: Yes, $I$ believe there is. It's -- I didn't go down there.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. But you're aware

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: -- that that exists?
MR. PUENTE: Uh-huh.
MR. O'BRIEN: That one can go from Metro underneath the street and into the Mazza Gallerie building without going outdoors?

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you. You stated that there was no history of ABRA violations on this license?

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: And that's going back 21 years; am I correct?

MR. PUENTE: Yes. So I reviewed the history for the last five years, and the last five years I - there's nothing.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. If I were to tell you that there's no history over 21 years

MR. BENDER: I object.
MR. O'BRIEN: - would you have reason to -

MR. BENDER: I object.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on. Hold
on. What's the nature of your objection, sir?
MR. BENDER: That he is essentially testifying to the witness.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, he's asking him a question and whether or not - Mr. O'Brien is an astute lawyer, and $I$ know that you're not - are you a lawyer, sir?

MR. BENDER: I'm a lawyer, but I don't practice in this area.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No. No. The reason - no, the - I asked that for a reason. Because when I know folks are lawyers, I have different expectations.

So I did not know that you are a lawyer. So you have now raised the bar, although you don't practice here. So I'm going to overrule the objection. You can answer the question. Go ahead.

MR. O'BRIEN: Let me state it again. The records that you were able to access go back five years?

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MR. $0^{\prime}$ BRIEN: To your knowledge, is there any history of infractions before then dating back 21 years?

MR. PUENTE: Not to my -
MR. O'BRIEN: Have you seen anything to suggest a problem in that 21-year period?

MR. PUENTE: Not to my knowledge, no.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. To your knowledge, have there been any complaints received at ABRA regarding operations by this licensee in 21 years?

MR. PUENTE: Not to my knowledge.
MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you.
MEMBER SHORT: As soon as the chair comes back -- he stepped away for a minute.
(Pause.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry. I apologize. I had to do something. So what did I miss? You're done asking questions?

MR. O'BRIEN: I am done.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, sir, you can ask questions.

MR. BENDER: Good afternoon.
MR. PUENTE: Good afternoon.
MR. BENDER: So the theaters as they currently stand, and have stood for 21 years, are such that only two theaters serve alcohol. Is that correct?

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MR. BENDER: And in those two theaters, you have to be over 21 to enter?

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MR. BENDER: So there would be no possibility of a person who was of age passing drinks to a minor in those theaters. Is that correct?

MR. PUENTE: There shouldn't be, no.
MR. BENDER: I mean unless they were admitting underage people.

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MR. BENDER: So this applicant wants now to have seven theaters where anybody can drink. Is there any evidence, in your estimation

- and let me rephrase that.

Isn't it true that a person who is over 21 who has a drink, in such a situation, could pass a drink to somebody who is less than 21?

MR. O'BRIEN: Objection on two grounds. No. 1, calls for speculation by the witness. And No. 2, it was not the subject of the testimony of the witness. So it's a scope objection.

MR. BENDER: So -- and if I may reply, first of all, this is an expert witness and this question is certainly within his expertise. It's also common sense.

And it is within the scope of certainly after the questioning, which is that the - what I'm trying to establish is that the theater's experience with lack of infractions has little to no bearing on what they want to do now because the concern is not - that we, the ANC, has raised is not that they won't adequately card people they give alcohol to. It's that they'll be able to pass alcohol to minors.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'll allow this question, Mr. O'Brien. The only reason I'm going to allow it, it's based on the nature of what the crux of this case is about. So I'll allow the question.

MR. BENDER: Thank you, sir. I'm trying to remember the question. Forgive me. But certainly in a situation where all ages are admitted to the theater, couldn't a 21-year-old person - or 21-or-over-year-old person pass a drink to a minor?

MR. PUENTE: Yes. There's always that
possibility.
MR. BENDER: And that would not be the situation under the current regime, correct?

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MR. BENDER: So do you think that so the current - well I'll leave it at that.

Do you recall when we spoke, I asked if you could check to confirm that the two theaters I had mentioned have wristband -- excuse me have one-drink-per-trip requirements?

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MR. BENDER: Did you have an opportunity to check them?

MR. PUENTE: Yes. I checked all six theaters in the District -

MR. BENDER: Uh-huh.
MR. PUENTE: -- and the two that you mentioned, AMC Georgetown and I believe - excuse my recollection.

MR. BENDER: It was the Landmark E Street

MR. PUENTE: Uh-huh.
MR. BENDER: -- and the Regal at Gallery Place.

MR. PUENTE: Yes. They have
wristbands.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, I didn't hear that answer.

MR. PUENTE: They had wristbands when I called and asked on the phone and spoke with CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: They had wristbands?

MR. PUENTE: Yeah. That's what they told me.

MR. BENDER: And they also have a one-drink-per-person limit?

MR. PUENTE: They didn't tell me the one drink per person. They just talked about the wristbands and checking IDs.

MR. BENDER: Okay. And the other theaters, what did you find?

MR. PUENTE: Well I found out that there's - in D.C., we have six licensed ABC theaters that have alcohol.

MR. BENDER: Uh-huh.
MR. PUENTE: I didn't look too much more into it after that.

MR. BENDER: Okay. So you didn't actually call the other

MR. PUENTE: Yeah, I didn't call the
other four, no.
MR. BENDER: All right. Thanks. And then just one other brief line of questioning.

You visited AMC Mazza?
MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MR. BENDER: And you've visited other theaters in the past?

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MR. BENDER: Okay. Did AMC Mazza appear to be derelict or shabby in any way?

MR. PUENTE: What do you mean by that?
MR. BENDER: Were there things in
disrepair - obvious things in disrepair?
MR. PUENTE: No. When I went last week on the March 1st and 3rd visit -- the March 3rd visit when it was closed, $I$ went inside.

There was a manager there. I mean everything was tidy and cleaned away. Everything was locked and secured. So I can't really speak if it was the words that you're using.

MR. BENDER: Uh-huh. Okay. But what you saw didn't look radically unlike other theaters you've been to?

MR. PUENTE: Oh yeah. It looked clean and neat and $I$ had no issues with it.

MR. BENDER: Okay. I don't have any further questions. Thank you.

MR. PUENTE: Uh-huh.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions by any other Board members?

MEMBER SHORT: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr. Short.

MEMBER SHORT: Investigator Puente, you mentioned wristbands at other theaters in the District of Columbia that serve alcohol.

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MEMBER SHORT: Does that serve as a deterrent, or does it serve its purpose as far as people handing off drinks to other people in theaters?

MR. PUENTE: It could serve as a purpose. It can allow staff who are walking through the theaters to see if someone is consuming alcohol that doesn't have a wristband. They can possibly have them step out of the theater and question them and check their ID.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. And the other
theaters that you inquired or did an investigation of, what is their history as far as
alcohol and young people and passing off drinks? MR. PUENTE: I conducted a records check. We have no sale-to-minor violations at any of the theaters regarding alcohol service.

MEMBER SHORT: So the wristbands seem to be working pretty well?

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Thank you.
That's all I have, Mr. Chair.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Now
is it that all the other theaters
MR. PUENTE: I only called two
theaters. I didn't call all six.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. So as far as your - do you know whether all the other theaters that have -- that serve alcohol in D.C., if they utilize wristbands?

MR. PUENTE: I do not know.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other questions by any other Board members?
(Pause.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, Mr. O'Brien, I need to go to Mr. Bender. Do you have any questions based on the questions that were asked by the Board or are in a different area?

MR. O'BRIEN: I have a question based on a question asked by Mr. Bender.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Go ahead. I'll give him a chance also. Go ahead.

MR. O'BRIEN: All right. I understood Mr. Bender's question of you to be whether allowing one patron to purchase two alcoholic beverages would give rise to the potential for one of those beverages to be passed on to a minor. I think that's a fair characterization of the question, and I understood your answer to be yes.

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: Would it not also be equally possible for a person of age to go to the bar, purchase a drink, bring it back into the theater, give it to the minor and then go back to the bar and purchase a second one?

MR. PUENTE: Yes, that's possible.
MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you.
MR. BENDER: May I just follow up?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead.
MR. BENDER: And would that not be extra effort for somebody to buy one drink, go back, get in line and go back with a second
drink?
MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MR. BENDER: And so that would make it at least somewhat more difficult to buy drinks for underage people, would it not?

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MR. BENDER: And to the extent that the underage person might share the drink, would that not mean that they would drink less consume less alcohol than if they had their own drink?

MR. PUENTE: Yes.
MR. BENDER: Thank you.
MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chair, I ask that that whole - I objected on the grounds that it was calling for speculation in the first place, but this is all idle speculation. No facts, just speculation. I ask that the whole series of questions be stricken.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I think the Board - I think based on Mr. Puente's testimony earlier, $I$ mean, he's not - at least he's not aware of any sale-to-minor violations by any other theaters, at least the ones that he has investigated.

So I mean the Board will take that -will take the testimony for what it's worth based on the questions that were asked by counsel.

MR. O'BRIEN: Very well.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. No more questions by other Board members? Mr. Puente, thank you very much for your testimony. You can step down. Thank you.

I'm sorry, Mr. O'Brien, how many witnesses did you say you had? Just the one? Do you wish to call your first witness?

MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. O'BRIEN: Dominick Zarrillo.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Zarrillo.
All right. Can you ask him to, once he gets up there, to spell his

MR. O'BRIEN: To spell his name?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes please.
MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, sir. I will do that.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right.
MR. O'BRIEN: The reporter also has his business card. WHEREUPON,

DOMINICK ZARRILLO
was called as a witness by Counsel for the and, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Your witness, sir.
MR. O'BRIEN: State your first name and spell your last name please.

MR. ZARRILLO: Dominick Zarrillo, Z-A-R-R-I-L-L-O.

MR. O'BRIEN: And, Mr. Zarrillo, are you employed, and if so, by whom?

MR. ZARRILLO: Yes, AMC Theatres.
MR. O'BRIEN: And what is your position at AMC Theatres?

MR. ZARRILLO: Director of Operations for the dine-in theatres in the Northeast area.

MR. O'BRIEN: How long have you been with AMC Theatres?

MR. ZARRILLO: This November will mark 34 years, both with AMC and Loews Cineplex Entertainment.

MR. O'BRIEN: What's the relationship between those two?

MR. ZARRILLO: AMC bought Loews back in

2006, so combined years in the industry, 34 years.

MR. O'BRIEN: All right. How did you get started in the industry?

MR. ZARRILLO: It was a high school job, back in 1986 in high school. Went through college, worked my way up. 1991, graduated from college and was going to find a real job. And 34 years later, I'm still with the company. So it actually turned out to be just a part-time job that turned into a career.

MR. O'BRIEN: How many movie theatre facilities does AMC operate in the United States?

MR. ZARRILLO: Approximately 640.
MR. O'BRIEN: And within those 640 facilities, how many screens, actual individual theatres, might there be --

MR. ZARRILLO: Any --
MR. O'BRIEN: -- in each one?
MR. ZARRILLO: Anywhere from two to 30, is our largest one. So two screens up to 30 screens, throughout the country.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. Out of the 640 AMC Theatre facilities nationwide, how many are licensed to serve alcoholic beverages?

MR. ZARRILLO: Three hundred and forty.
MR. O'BRIEN: How many individuals does AMC Theatres employ in the United States?

MR. ZARRILLO: Just under 25,000.
MR. O'BRIEN: I invite your attention now to the facility at issue today, the Club Cinema at Mazza. Are you personally familiar with that theatre?

MR. ZARRILLO: I am.
MR. O'BRIEN: And how is it that you're familiar with it?

MR. ZARRILLO: It's one of the 15 locations that I currently supervise as Director of Operations.

MR. O'BRIEN: All right. How would you characterize the physical condition of this theatre?

MR. ZARRILLO: It's in desperate need of repair and upgrades to be able to compete in this industry today. It's -- the seats are aging, the carpet's aging, food and beverage offerings are not what they need to be. So it really needs to have a facelift in order to stay relevant in today's theatre industry environment.

MR. O'BRIEN: When did AMC first
consider updating, renovating this particular theatre, to your knowledge?

MR. ZARRILLO: Yeah, it was prior to my supervision of the last couple months, but about five years ago, I believe.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. Upon renovation, what changes would be made in the theatre?

MR. ZARRILLO: So we would add the plush recliner seats that we've been putting in most all of our remodeled theatres.

We would enhance the food and beverage operation, which would include not only traditional concession items, such as the popcorn and soda, candy, nachos, but it would also entail a more robust food and beverage menu, with hot foods that are prepared. We would have a bar that would serve alcohol to our guests.

And most importantly, or one of the most competitive things, would be a deliver-toseat concept, where guests can order traditional items at the concession stand, like I said, popcorn, soda, candy, and take that with them directly into the auditorium.

With the deliver-to-seat concept and the dine-in experience, they would also be able
to order a variety of menu items at the concession stand, keeping in mind that each seat is reserved, so each guest that would go there would have an assigned seat.

So being able to deliver food and beverage offerings at a higher scale, like I said, the hot foods, they would place that order at the stand, and then an associate would bring that food directly into the theatre, seat-side service to the guest, based on their seat assignment in the auditorium.

MR. O'BRIEN: How much has AMC budgeted in order to renovate this theatre complex in order to become a dine-in theatre?

MR. ZARRILLO: These renovations typically range in the neighborhood of $\$ 5$ million to complete.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. Why haven't you done it yet?

MR. ZARRILLO: We need to be able to offer the entire concept to our guests, from the food and beverage offerings to the alcohol beverages that we offer to our guests in the auditoriums.

And until we can do that, we need to
be able to have that entire experience offered to each one of our guests. And the alcohol piece is something that's very important for that concept.

MR. O'BRIEN: This theatre opened 21 years ago, I think that's established. Was it state of the art, based on what you've seen now, based on your 34 years experience, was this theatre state of the art back in 1999?

MR. ZARRILLO: Back in 1999, if it was a fairly new building, it probably was state of the art, at that point. Like, it was relevant at that point, but no longer.

MR. O'BRIEN: What's changed?
MR. ZARRILLO: The guests are expecting to walk into our theatres -- and I should also add that our competitors have followed suit. We are the innovator of this industry, and longstanding for that as well.

The seats that the guests expect when they walk in is not what it is in Mazza today. The reserved seats are expected by the guests, where they can just walk in at show time and have a guaranteed seat and be able to have their food delivered to them, even while the movie's playing.

The enhanced food and beverage concept, whether it be the bars or the hot foods. The guest is expecting an elevated experience, in order to get them out of their home environment to get into the theatres.

MR. O'BRIEN: What is the present seating capacity, total, for this facility?

MR. ZARRILLO: It's just under 1,500, I believe. Yes.

MR. O'BRIEN: And I'm going to invite your attention to our Exhibit Number A2, which is in Tab 2 of the binders in front of the Board Members. Mr. Bender has a copy. And there should be a binder in front of you, Mr. Zarrillo.

MR. ZARRILLO: Yes, it just opened up here, hold on a second. I'm sorry, A2?
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. O'BRIEN: Exhibit 2.
MR. ZARRILLO: Exhibit 2, okay. Yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: Can you tell the Board what Exhibit 2 depicts?

MR. ZARRILLO: Exhibit 2 exhibits or it depicts the current layout of the theatre at Mazza.

MR. O'BRIEN: The current layout?

MR. ZARRILLO: The expected layout, I'm sorry, of what the renovation would look like.

MR. O'BRIEN: All right. And can you tell from that exhibit what the seating capacity will be after the renovation?

MR. ZARRILLO: Approximately 860 seats.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. Now I understood you to say a minute ago you're presently at 1,500. You're going to spend $\$ 5$ million to go down to 860, is that correct?

MR. ZARRILLO: Yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: Explain that, please.
MR. ZARRILLO: Well, the seat that we put in is a plush recliner seat. They're bigger seats. Again, what the guests are expecting. The aisles are bigger. So with a bigger aisle, a bigger seat, you're going to lose capacity.

And since we've been doing these remodels, back several years, the capacity in these auditoriums, we fill these theatres quite regularly, especially under high volume.

Guests, again, are looking for -they're sourcing out the recliner seats, those theatres that have them, and we're filling up those auditoriums quite regularly, you know, with
these remodeled theatres with those seats.
MR. O'BRIEN: All right. I invite your attention to Tab Number 3, which is in the binder. Can you tell the Board what Tab Number 3 depicts?

MR. ZARRILLO: Yeah. This is a current seat that we're putting in our remodeled theatres. It's a prototype seat.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. Now you mentioned the, $I$ think you call it direct-to-seat --

MR. ZARRILLO: Deliver-to-seat, yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: -- deliver-to-seat model.
Can you take a look please at Exhibit Number 4, which is two pages, and tell the Board what that depicts?

MR. ZARRILLO: That's a current copy, a sample copy, of what our hot foods menu would look like at the concession stand in these dinein, deliver-to-seat theatres.

MR. O'BRIEN: These items, am I correct though, these items would be delivered to the seat?

MR. ZARRILLO: Correct.
MR. O'BRIEN: You have heard already today that the principal, that's my
characterization, principal interest, principal issue in this case appears to be concern over underage consumption of alcohol. Is this a subject with which you are familiar?

MR. ZARRILLO: Yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: How so? Let me do this instead this way. I realize that's a difficult question. Would you turn to Exhibit Number 5, please?

MR. ZARRILLO: Okay.
MR. O'BRIEN: Tell the Board what Exhibit -- now, Exhibit 5 appears to have a total of six pages, but would you tell the Board first what Exhibit 5 is, generically?

MR. ZARRILLO: Yeah, these are what we call standard operating procedures for all our theatres that serve alcohol we need to follow. These are published documents that are available on our -- each theatre has copies of these on the company portal, and they're expected to read and understand these policies in its entirety.

MR. O'BRIEN: All right. I'd invite your attention to the age verification section on Page 1 of Exhibit 5. Could you explain to the Board what that provides?

MR. ZARRILLO: Yes. Every guest that purchases an alcoholic beverage at our theatre is required to present, obviously, an identification.

And that's every guest, whether it's somebody that's 21 or, as I like to say, my grandmother, 80-90 years old, every guest is asked for ID for every transaction, regardless.

We have a lot of procedures and follow-up mechanisms in place. There's zero tolerance for the associates.

We have mystery shopper programs, once a month, every location in our company that has a bar is shopped once a month by outside mystery shops. And one of the main questions on there is were you asked for identification?

And with the zero tolerance policy, if it's found that that we do not -- or that associate did not, there's a strict investigation that takes place, internally, that may result in disciplinary action, up to and including termination, for those offenses.

But again, the training that we have in place, zero tolerance, no exceptions, every guest, every time, has to be asked for an ID.

MR. O'BRIEN: Would you flip to Page 2 of Exhibit 5 please? And I invite your attention two-thirds of the way down the page, to quantity of alcoholic beverages purchased. Tell me when you're there, please.

MR. ZARRILLO: Yes, I'm here.
MR. O'BRIEN: All right. It seems to have two bullets underneath it, full-service bar and counter service. When renovated, which category will this theatre fall under?

MR. ZARRILLO: This would be counter service, post-renovation.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. And what is AMC's rule regarding quantity of alcoholic beverages purchased at counter service?

MR. ZARRILLO: AMC limits alcohol sales to two drinks per person with ID within a single transaction.

MR. O'BRIEN: And that's chain-wide?
MR. ZARRILLO: Yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: Let us continue on to Page 4. Middle of the page, this is a section called alcohol compliance monitoring. Would you discuss with the Board what that provides, please?

MR. ZARRILLO: Yeah. Alcohol compliance monitoring is basically, or it is, each one of our associates are required to practice responsible service of alcohol, from the GM all the way down to the crew member, if that's an usher.

We need to constantly be aware of possible underage drinking, passing of the alcohol, responsible drinking, possible guests that are intoxicated. We have training procedures in place for that at every level of the theatre.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. And in particular, concern was raised about passing alcohol from one person to another. What steps are taken in AMC theatres to preclude that?

MR. ZARRILLO: So I would say, initially, it definitely starts with the bartender. The bartender, for sure, is the first point of contact in most cases.

They need to be very well aware of their surroundings, the guest behaviors. Is it one guest approaching the bar? Is it a guest approaching the bar and the bartender sees maybe another guest kind of waiting off to the side?

The bartender needs to be aware of what kind of drinks the guest is purchasing. Is the guest purchasing for the two drinks the same drink, two draft beers, or are they purchasing a draft beer and a glass of wine?

So the bartender needs to be very aware of the surroundings and that engagement right there with that guest. And if he or she suspects anything that might be out of the ordinary or not in compliance, he or she would definitely alert management, supervisors, other crew members that are patrolling those auditoriums, to kind of keep an extra close eye on that type of situation, or in that scenario.

MR. O'BRIEN: Once -- let us say, hypothetically, that someone escapes scrutiny at the bartender and manager level and makes it into one of the theatres, one of the screens, and hands, hypothetically hands a drink to someone who shouldn't have it. At that point, what measures would be undertaken?

MR. ZARRILLO: Yes. So, again, once inside the auditorium, not only are we monitoring theatres for responsible service of alcohol, but we're also monitoring guest behaviors, cell
phones, temperature, rowdy crowds, so guests can enjoy their movie.

All associates are expected to check the auditorium. Specifically, we would have ushers go in there, do the theatre checks, and look for responsible drinking.

And one thing that we can keep an eye on, or that helps us with that, is the vessels that we serve our alcohol in, and in Mazza's case, it would a plastic, clear plastic cup, is different that what we serve at our concession stands. So, in the concession stand, they would get an ICEE cup, it says ICEE on it, or it would be an AMC branded soda cup.

With the alcohol piece, as we're doing theatre checks, and we would walk down to the front, turn around, and walk back, so the light on the screen would project back into the audience, give us a little bit of extra light level in certain portions of the movie. And not only do we monitor the other guest behaviors, but looking for signs of possible intoxication or passing of the drinks.

And in those cases, if we do suspect that and we do see somebody with an alcoholic
beverage that appears to be underage or not at the age of 21, that associate, if it's not a manager conducting that, would immediately call for a manager.

Manager would go in the auditorium, and, yes, during the movie, this would happen, in a very discreet way, as to not disturb other members in the audience, and request ID. If the ID is presented, it needs to be presented, and it's okay, then enjoy the rest of your movie.

If that guest does not have an ID or it's determined that he or she is underage, the drink is immediately removed from their possession, they're asked to step out into the hallway, at which time law enforcement is called. If that guest is determined to be under the age of 18, their parent or guardian will also be called at that time.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. We know that food will be delivered to the seats. Will alcoholic beverages be delivered to the seats?

MR. ZARRILLO: No, it will not. Alcohol will only be able to be purchased by the guest at the bar, before entering.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. How much -- what's
the range of prices for alcohol at the bar?
MR. ZARRILLO: Well, in keeping with our concession prices, if you go to the movies, you know it's not cheap at the concession stand. Basic draft beer is just under \$8. A glass, an eight-ounce glass of wine is just over $\$ 18.50$ for a glass of wine. So anywhere from \$8 to \$18.50.

MR. O'BRIEN: And I'm sure it varies from location to location and show to show, but how much does a ticket to the movies cost?

MR. ZARRILLO: At our value ticket pricing, during the morning, could be as low as \$6. Depending on the type of movie, 3D, IMAX, whatever showing you're going to, could be up to, in some cases, $\$ 18$ to $\$ 21$.

At Mazza specifically, I'm not sure of what the top price would be, but they do not have any of those premium formats, so it would likely be in the neighborhood of $\$ 12$ to $\$ 13$, at the top ticket price.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. Overall, across the country, does AMC find that minors go to their theatres to attempt to get alcohol?

MR. ZARRILLO: No.
MR. BENDER: Go ahead.

MR. O'BRIEN: Why do you think that is, that minors don't go to get alcohol?

MR. ZARRILLO: Well, it's a short movie typically, it's two hours. The minors are looking to go and have fun. And to be honest, the price of the drinks, I don't think minors are going to pay that money for the drink on top of the ticket price.

MR. O'BRIEN: All right. You've
described, you've walked us through Exhibit Number 5, which is your policies. By yours, of course I mean AMC's policies. You would agree, would you not, though, that policies are only as good as the individuals implementing the policies?

MR. ZARRILLO: Yes, I would.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. I'm going to
invite your attention -- well, before 1 do this, what training do your employees undergo in order to work in theatres serving alcoholic beverages? What specific training?

MR. ZARRILLO: Yeah, we have specific AMC training. Learning studio modules, or segments, that are about two hours long, that are built by AMC, that all associates have to go
through within 14 days of their employment.
It's an online training, again, that takes about two hours. Really talks about the responsible service of alcohol, age verification, behaviors to look at from the guest standpoint, and tactics to do in case you see or suspect any type of underage drinking.

MR. O'BRIEN: All right. I'm going to invite your attention now to Exhibit 6. Can you tell -- which is a very thick document. Can you tell the Board what Exhibit 6 is?

MR. ZARRILLO: Exhibit 6 is the second level of the training, which is geared towards the managers. Every manager would need to take this level 201 training. Again, about a two-hour course.

That goes into a little bit more detail at the management level on what actions need to be taken, you know, regard to law enforcement, responsible carding steps, if it's underage as well. So it's a little bit more indepth that we would ask the leadership, the management team to do, as opposed to the staff.

MR. O'BRIEN: All right. Again, I don't want to go through this page-by-page, but
to your knowledge, does this program, Exhibit 6, address prevention of underage drinking?

MR. ZARRILLO: It absolutely does.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. I'm going to invite your attention to the second page of that exhibit. Unfortunately, Mr. Chair, the pages in this document are not numbered. But I invite your attention to the second page and ask if you see there any emphasis on prevention of sale to minors?

MR. ZARRILLO: Again, this one is really talking about the age verification, the ID, the zero tolerance, and steps that need to be taken if we suspect that underage.

MR. O'BRIEN: All right. I'd invite your attention three or four, five pages in, to Section 2.3, entitled near the bottom of the page, Knowing Your Responsibilities.

MR. ZARRILLO: Okay.
MR. O'BRIEN: And if you would flip to the next page, starting at the top, could you describe for the Board what is provided there?

MR. ZARRILLO: Just give me a second to take a look at this real quick.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay.

MR. ZARRILLO: Again, it's talking about some interactive exercises that the managers can point and click on the screen that directs them to procedures and policies that we have and steps that they need to take regarding the alcohol compliance, the ID verification checks, and things to look for if they're underage.

MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you, Mr. Zarrillo. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Your witness, Mr. Bender.

MR. BENDER: Thank you. So if I may turn your attention back to, $I$ believe it's Petitioner's 5, Alcohol Policies Operational is the title?

MR. ZARRILLO: Okay.
MR. BENDER: Okay. This basically -or is it fair to say that this governs your policies towards alcohol enforcement compliance within AMC Theatres?

MR. ZARRILLO: Yes.
MR. BENDER: Okay. Pardon me one moment, it's just it's a long document. So I was pleased to see that you have 100 percent ID
check.
MR. O'BRIEN: Objection, that's not a question.

MR. BENDER: I'm getting to my question, Counselor. Why is that? Why do you have 100 percent ID check?

MR. ZARRILLO: Well, I think, absolutely, it goes back to the training that we have for our associates and the zero tolerance policy that we have in place.

Our associates know what's expected for the training, they know the consequences that would be there if they don't do what's set forth in the training documents, in the training procedures.

MR. BENDER: That's not -- I don't believe that's responsive. What I asked is what is the reason for 100 percent ID check? Why do you have that policy? Not --

MR. ZARRILLO: Oh, I'm sorry. Well, I mean, I think if you go to any bars, you're always being asked for ID.

In our case, AMC, since we started this, took the stance that in order to protect the integrity of our liquor license, as well, and
to ensure the safety of our guests and responsible service of alcohol, that we're just going to card everybody, no questions asked. That's just what we have, it's a longstanding policy, every guest.

MR. BENDER: Well, I mean, is it fair to say that you can't tell by looking at someone whether or not they're over or under 21?

MR. ZARRILLO: I would say, in some cases, I guess that's a fair statement.

MR. BENDER: Right. Because there will occasionally be cases where someone looks 25 and it turns out that they're 20?

MR. ZARRILLO: Correct, yes.
MR. BENDER: And even older than that, right? I mean, isn't that why you have 100 percent ID check?

MR. ZARRILLO: We're asking every guest, regardless of what they look like as far as age, we're not -- we can't have our associates try to guess what their age is, so we're asking everybody, all of our associates to card everybody, to take that guessing game out.

MR. BENDER: Because you can't tell by looking at somebody for sure how old they are?

MR. ZARRILLO: Correct.
MR. BENDER: Okay. And there are absolutely no exceptions to this policy?

MR. ZARRILLO: If a guest does not have an ID and they request to speak to a manager, only the highest ranking manager in the building can make an exception to the policy, under a few conditions. Like I said, first, the associate can't initiate that, it has -- that request has to be initiated by a guest.

Now, again, I'll use the example of my grandmother. She's obvious -- 80 years old, rest in peace, she's obviously over 21, but doesn't have an ID. So, in that scenario, the highest ranking manager would be summoned to that situation, whether at the bar, in the lobby, or in an auditorium.

And our policy states that, again, only the manager can make an exception, so long as that guest visibly looks at least at the age of 40, in the manager's opinion. And if that is the case, then the manager would be able to make that exception. And in that scenario as well, would be limited to only one drink.

MR. BENDER: And why would they be
limited to only one drink per person per trip?
MR. ZARRILLO: Because they did not provide an ID, and we made an exception to the rule, with the age of 40 , looking at least age 40.

MR. BENDER: Okay.
MR. ZARRILLO: So we would put that restriction on that guest for just the one, because they don't have an ID.

MR. BENDER: To minimize the chances for abuse?

MR. ZARRILLO: Again, just without the ID, we're making that exception, and part of that exception would be to limit the consumption of alcohol to just the one drink.

MR. BENDER: To limit consumption, to prevent abuse?

MR. ZARRILLO: I didn't say abuse, I said limit the consumption of alcohol to --

MR. BENDER: Well, and I'm asking you why, why do you want to limit the consumption of alcohol to somebody who you've decided is of age?

MR. ZARRILLO: Again, they did not have the ID, so it's AMC's standard and practice to limit it to just the one.

MR. BENDER: So you can't tell me the reason for that, beside that it's standard and practice?

MR. ZARRILLO: That's what it is, yes.
MR. BENDER: That's as much as you know?

MR. ZARRILLO: That's what I can tell you, yes.

MR. BENDER: Okay. And 40, the reason you do 40 is because you need a significant margin of safety to make that determination?

MR. ZARRILLO: I didn't make the age limit of 40 . I can't speak for certain why it was put to 40 . That's just something that's in our training material, and the number of 40, that's what was used as a guideline for our associates to follow. Why 40 was chosen and not 35, I can't answer that.

MR. BENDER: But it has to be a margin of safety, didn't you just say that, well, it's your grandmother and she's 80 years old, you shouldn't need to -- everybody should know that she is of age?

MR. ZARRILLO: I guess it would be fair to say that age 40 is a margin of safety, then,
yes.
MR. BENDER: Thanks. You're familiar with all the AMC Theatres' alcohol policy?

MR. ZARRILLO: Can you be more specific what the question is?

MR. BENDER: Well, let me ask you a specific question. You do have theatres that have a one-drink limit, don't you? AMC does?

MR. ZARRILLO: Not that I'm aware of in my territory.

MR. BENDER: And how big is your territory?

MR. ZARRILLO: Fifteen locations.
MR. BENDER: Okay. And they have 340 locations that serve alcohol, right?

MR. ZARRILLO: Correct.
MR. BENDER: So you're not able to testify really at all about the policies of the other 325?

MR. ZARRILLO: What I would say is it's AMC's policy and standard to have a two-drink limit, unless specifically noted in the specific jurisdiction or area of the country, but I can't speak to all --

MR. BENDER: Right.

MR. ZARRILLO: -- of those specifically.

MR. BENDER: So you certainly can't say that there aren't other theatres, AMC theatres, that have one-drink limits? Aside from the 15 in your district?

MR. ZARRILLO: I can't say for certain, no.

MR. BENDER: Okay. And AMC has theatres where the total number of drinks that can be sold per person per day is two, isn't that true?

MR. ZARRILLO: Two drinks per ID, per guest, is that what you're asking?

MR. BENDER: No, just total, two.
MR. ZARRILLO: That I'm not aware of.
MR. BENDER: Okay. But again, you can only speak to 15 of 340 theatres?

MR. ZARRILLO: I'm speaking on behalf of the entire company, but I'm not aware of any specific locations that have a two-drink limit per visit.

MR. BENDER: But your knowledge actually of this is limited to the 15 in your district, right?

MR. O'BRIEN: Objection, this is getting argumentative.

MR. BENDER: Well, $I$ just, $I$ mean, $I$ feel like the witness is being evasive.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I mean, he said he doesn't, I mean, he said he doesn't know the answer, so I'm going to sustain the objection.

MR. BENDER: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Let's move on.
MR. BENDER: Thank you. Do all AMC -well, we know all AMC theatres don't serve alcohol, right? About roughly half of them serve alcohol?

MR. ZARRILLO: Correct.
MR. BENDER: Do all AMC theatres in D.C. serve alcohol?

MR. ZARRILLO: No, they do not, $I$ don't believe.

MR. BENDER: Okay. And which ones don't serve alcohol in D.C.?

MR. ZARRILLO: I can't be specific on the other ones. I'm not familiar with all of the ones that have alcohol or not.

MR. BENDER: Okay.

MR. ZARRILLO: I know Georgetown, which is close to ours, does have alcohol.

MR. BENDER: But you're not sure about AMC Uptown, whether they have alcohol?

MR. ZARRILLO: I'm not, no.
MR. BENDER: Okay. Do you know whether AMC Uptown is able to -- has been renovated?

MR. ZARRILLO: I can't speak to Uptown. I'm not too familiar with that location.

MR. BENDER: Okay. So you're not able to tell us, really, about -- strike that. So you don't know if it's been renovated, and you don't know whether they plan to renovate it?

MR. ZARRILLO: I don't have any knowledge of any plans for Uptown. I don't believe they've been renovated, no. It's a single-screen theatre, but $I$ don't believe there was a renovation there.

MR. BENDER: Okay. And the two drink per person per trip thing, that's a corporate policy, that's what you always seek?

MR. ZARRILLO: Correct.
MR. BENDER: Okay. All alcohol purchases are at a counter outside the theatres?

MR. ZARRILLO: Correct.

MR. BENDER: And the person checking ID at the counter isn't assigned to check that legal patrons don't pass drinks to underage patrons do they? Are they?

MR. ZARRILLO: Are you asking if the bartender --

MR. BENDER: Well, the bar, the people, those people don't go back into the theatre to check if someone they haven't served is drinking, correct?

MR. ZARRILLO: The bartender will not leave the bar station, but they will absolutely communicate any suspicious activity or thought of a possible underage drinking or passing, they will alert another member of the theatre team.

MR. BENDER: And is a person who buys two drinks, are they allowed -- is a husband, for instance, allowed to buy two drinks, one for his wife and one for himself? His wife's sitting back at the --

MR. ZARRILLO: Yes, it's a two-drink --
MR. BENDER: Right.
MR. ZARRILLO: -- limit. But then again, that's why we have the monitoring in the auditoriums, to make sure if that did occur, that
we're taking proactive measures to limit the underage drinking.

MR. BENDER: Right. But so, for instance, you mentioned that it would be suspicious if somebody, a bartender might find it suspicious if somebody bought a beer and a mixed drink. But that wouldn't be suspicious, would it? I mean, because --

MR. O'BRIEN: Objection, $I$ don't recall that testimony.

MR. BENDER: Didn't you testify to that? I'm sorry. Didn't you testify that one of the things that a bartender would look at is whether someone is buying two different kinds of drinks?

MR. ZARRILLO: I did mention that, but that could also be cause for pause and to at least alert our associates. That doesn't mean it's going to happen, but it's something that we want to keep an eye on.

MR. BENDER: So it could happen, but AMC is perfectly happy for people who are of age to buy drinks for other people at their seats who are of age, right?

MR. ZARRILLO: Yes.

MR. BENDER: And people -- and two people don't always drink the same thing.

MR. ZARRILLO: Correct.
MR. BENDER: Do all associates who have a responsibility to check for compliance have to be over 21 themselves?

MR. ZARRILLO: No, but they have to be able, if they're going to approach a guest that is underage, after they talk to the guest and they're underage, they have to call a manager. They --

MR. BENDER: Okay.
MR. ZARRILLO: -- can't interject or deal with that scenario.

MR. BENDER: But the staff who are checking this stuff could be underage?

MR. ZARRILLO: Yes.
MR. BENDER: And they could be students themselves?

MR. ZARRILLO: Yes.
MR. BENDER: Okay. Did you do any financial modeling of the cost to the theatre of implementing a wristband policy?

MR. ZARRILLO: I did not, no.
MR. BENDER: Are you --

MR. ZARRILLO: I don't --
MR. BENDER: -- aware of any?
MR. ZARRILLO: I'm not aware of that being conducted.

MR. BENDER: Did you do or are you aware of any financial modeling about the effect of the cost of a one-drink per trip, per person per trip limit on the company's earnings?

MR. ZARRILLO: I did not do any modeling, but $I$ can't say that that hasn't been done, I just --

MR. BENDER: Okay.
MR. ZARRILLO: -- don't have any knowledge of it.

MR. BENDER: But you're the only witness here to testify. And so as far as you know, it hasn't?

MR. ZARRILLO: As far as I know, it hasn't.

MR. BENDER: So you have no way of knowing whether implementation of a wristband policy or implementation of a one-drink per person per trip policy would affect the theatre's ability to renovate, correct?

MR. ZARRILLO: It would absolutely
affect the theatre's ability to renovate because we will not move forward without the two-drink minimum.

MR. BENDER: But you have -- that's your corporate policy?

MR. ZARRILLO: Right.
MR. BENDER: Okay. But you have no idea or you're not -- you have no basis to say what the financial effect would be?

MR. ZARRILLO: I did not personally do that modeling, but I can't say for certain that that modeling has not been done.

MR. BENDER: I understand that. And has modeling been done, financial modeling been done about the cost of perhaps starting alcohol service after matinees, for $P$ and PG and PG-13 movies?

MR. ZARRILLO: I'm not sure if that's been discussed, but our guests, when they come in in the morning, they're expecting to have the entire experience from open to close. So we're not looking to limit --

MR. BENDER: Right.
MR. ZARRILLO: -- that.
MR. BENDER: And you've taken survey,
you have survey data for that?
MR. ZARRILLO: I don't know if we have survey data, I --

MR. BENDER: That's your opinion?
MR. O'BRIEN: Excuse me, please.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's the --
MR. O'BRIEN: He's interrupting the witness, before the witness --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bender --
MR. O'BRIEN: -- can answer.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- can you allow him to finish --

MR. BENDER: Yes, please.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- his question
first?
MR. BENDER: I apologize.
MR. ZARRILLO: Can you repeat your question?

MR. BENDER: That's your opinion?
MR. O'BRIEN: Objection, it's not clear to me now what --

MR. BENDER: It's your opinion about what guests want, if you don't have survey evidence.

MR. ZARRILLO: No, I don't have survey
evidence. But as a Director, and AMC, if we're offering amenities in our buildings, we want to make them offered to our guests at any time.

MR. BENDER: I understand that --
MR. ZARRILLO: Yes.
MR. BENDER: -- that's what AMC wants, right?

MR. ZARRILLO: Right.
MR. BENDER: Okay. Are you familiar with the theatres that AMC competes with in D.C.?

MR. ZARRILLO: I am not. I don't have any knowledge of them.

MR. BENDER: Okay. Well, so you're not able to testify as to what AMC's competitors do, then, correct?

MR. ZARRILLO: I don't have any knowledge or opinions about any of the competitors in the theatre area right here in D.C. that I supervise.

MR. BENDER: Okay.
MR. ZARRILLO: I can't say for certain that other theatres in the country don't have alcohol from our competitors.

MR. BENDER: Well, I'm just asking about in the D.C., or the D.C. market. You're
not familiar with those theatres?
MR. ZARRILLO: I'm not familiar with current standards, practices, training, procedures, or anything with the alcohol that is served or not served in those competitor theatres.

MR. BENDER: Well, or what they offer? What kind of amenities they offer?

MR. ZARRILLO: I do not.
MR. BENDER: Okay. Whether they offer amenities like yours, like you want to offer, you're not familiar?

MR. ZARRILLO: I don't --
MR. O'BRIEN: Objection, he said he didn't know.

MR. BENDER: I asked -- all right, that's fine, I'll move on.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. I just want to remind you also, Mr. Bender, that you're on a time limit. Remember, I told you you have 90 minutes, and your 90 minutes, the cross examination of witnesses you do, that's a part of your 90 minute presentation. I just wanted to remind you.

MR. BENDER: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. MR. BENDER: I'm almost done. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm not trying to end your -- I just want to remind you. All right.

MR. BENDER: I understand. Would you expect the price for tickets at this theatre to go up after they renovate and serve alcohol? MR. ZARRILLO: I can't say for certain. We evaluate pricing at both box office and concession twice a year.

MR. BENDER: And you said that, in your opinion, you don't think minors will want to pay to get into this movies? Is that what you said? MR. ZARRILLO: To get into the movies? They'll pay to get into the movie. But -MR. BENDER: But you're saying -- so they will pay, I think you said the tickets can be as much as $\$ 21$. Is that correct, 18 to $21 ?$ MR. ZARRILLO: Depending on the theatre. Mazza will not have a ticket price quite that high because they don't have an IMAX, but they'll be in the $\$ 13$ to $\$ 14$ top ticket price range.

MR. BENDER: So your testimony is that
a minor who wants to see a movie and to have a to be able to drink too, will pay $\$ 14$ for a ticket, but won't pay $\$ 8$ for a beer?

MR. ZARRILLO: I'm saying -- what I said was, $I$ think what the question was, my opinion is a guest, a minor going to the theatre, is paying a pretty pricy amount for the ticket, and then you want to add the pricy cost of our alcohol, I think that's a lot for the minors to fork over.

MR. BENDER: Doesn't that depend on the neighborhood and how much money the kids have?

MR. ZARRILLO: It could.
MR. BENDER: Okay. I have nothing further. Thank you, sir.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions by any Board Members? Yes, Mr. Grandis?

MEMBER GRANDIS: Excuse me. If I understand right, you currently have seven theatres and two of them allow alcohol? Is that how it works currently?

MR. ZARRILLO: Yes, out of the seven, only two are permitted to serve alcohol at this time.

MEMBER GRANDIS: So is anyone under 21
allowed to go into one of those two theatres during a movie?

MR. ZARRILLO: Not at this time, you have to be 21 to go into those two movies, as well as purchase the alcohol as well in those two theatres.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I just want to ask this because $I$ was somewhat confused. So are you saying that each person is able to buy two drinks? Is that the limit?

MR. ZARRILLO: So, yes, a guest with a valid ID, we're looking to have a limit of two drinks per ID per transaction.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now because I've seen there's a provision where it talks about if the person doesn't have an ID, that $I$ guess they can get one. But $I$ guess that's for someone who looks like they're above 40?

MR. ZARRILLO: Yes, that was the exception that $I$ was talking about, that only a manager can make, if they look at least 40 years old.

## CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other

 questions by any other Board Members? Anyquestions, Mr. O'Brien, based on the questions that was asked by the Board?

MR. O'BRIEN: No, but based on a question by Mr. --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. O'BRIEN: -- Bender.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, go ahead.

MR. O'BRIEN: There was mention of wristbands. Does the Georgetown AMC Theatre utilize wristbands?

MR. ZARRILLO: No, they do not.
MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you. That's it, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any final questions, sir?

MR. BENDER: No.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr. Zarrillo --

MR. ZARRILLO: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- thank you very much for your testimony, you can step down.

MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chair, I'd like to move our Exhibits 2 through 6, each of which has been identified by the witness.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bender, any objection?

MR. BENDER: Without objection. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So moved, thank you.
(Whereupon, the above-referred to documents were received into evidence as Exhibit Nos. 2 through 6.)

MR. O'BRIEN: Just for clarification, Exhibit 1 is just a copy of the settlement agreement, which is already in the Board's records.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Do you rest?

MR. O'BRIEN: We rest.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Let's take a ten-minute break. And then we can start your case.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 3:09 p.m. and resumed at 3:22 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who is your first witness, sir?

MR. BENDER: My first witness is going to be me. I just wanted to mention that one of
our witnesses, Dr. Martin Wilson had to go back and talk to the teachers about COVID. So there are only three witnesses, and we're also going to do those a little bit out of order. So I'm going to testify, and then Anoushka Chander, and then Jeffrey Houser.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr.
0'Brien?
MR. O'BRIEN: Yes?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you have any concerns?

MR. O'BRIEN: No, I'm fine.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Oh, no, you stand up --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. BENDER: Oh, and finally, if I could just ask, I'm not sure how -

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, Mr. Bender?

MR. BENDER: Yes, pardon me, I have a couple of exhibits to show. They're on the computer, so I take it I'm probably going to have to get up from the witness stand and come over here. Is that okay?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You can do it
from there. You can testify from there.
MR. BENDER: Okay, thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So can you raise your right hand please, sir?

MR. BENDER: Yes.
WHEREUPON,
JONATHAN BENDER
was called as a witness by Counsel for the and, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

MR. BENDER: I do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. And you know that Mr. O'Brien will be cross examining you?

MR. BENDER: I do.
MR. O'BRIEN: Will the witness take the stand for cross examination, Mr. Chair?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right, okay, that's fine.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay, very well.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So for direct, because he said he had some exhibits -

MR. O'BRIEN: I understand, that's fine.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, go
ahead, Mr. Bender.
MR. BENDER: Okay, thanks. As I mentioned, I'm Jonathan Bender, Chair of ANC 3E and SMD Commissioner for the area, including the theater at issue here.

By resolution passed at its November 12th, 2019, meeting, the ANC lodged a protest in this matter and authorized me to represent it in protest proceedings. By subsequent resolution, the ANC delegated settlement authority for the matter to me.

I've served on this ANC since 2009, and in those 11 years ANC $3 E$ has never needed to attend a protest hearing on the merits until now. Instead, we've worked with every applicant who has come before us to reach a negotiated settlement to prevent the need to protest an application. Some applications have been harder than others, and we've filed protests before, but all were resolved before this point.

Our ANC is committed to building a vibrant, safe, and livable business district. To that end, we helped establish Tenleytown Main Street, and I served on Main Street's board for a time and well recall a report by an independent
consultant that we commissioned on the strengths and weaknesses of the business district.

One of the strengths he cited in the report, from the perspective of attracting businesses that served liquor, was that our ANC does not require or routinely require onerous settlement agreements as some other ANCs do. Our goal is always to strike a balance between protecting against underage drinking and disturbance on the one hand and providing options for responsible adults to consume alcohol in our neighborhood.

We took that approach in 2014 and 2015 when Petitioner first approached us about the possibility of serving alcohol in all seven screening rooms without admission age restrictions.

An ANC representative first reached out to us about the matter in May 2014 with a very general concept of what they wanted to do. They then asked to schedule a meeting with me in November of 2014. I met with one Tabitha Hilibun, then Manager for Alcohol for AMC, and explained then, so 2014, that in principle I and, I believe, my colleagues would support their
request for alcohol in all seven theaters with two minor conditions.

First that they provide wrist bands to all carded individuals so it would be clear to theater personnel who was entitled to drink alcohol and, second, that they limit sales to one drink per person, per transaction.

Some more time elapsed without hearing from AMC, and then in March of 2015, Ms. Hilibun wrote back to me thanking me for meeting with her in November and stating that AMC would consider what the ANC had requested, stating that, quote, unquote, "We feel that in addition to our standard operating procedures these enhanced tactics will further ensure that we are not overserving guests or serving minors."

In May of 2015, AMC couldn't make our April meeting. We heard from Frank Lewis, then AMC's Director of Alcohol, at our regularly scheduled meeting. Our minutes from that meeting reflect that Mr. Lewis stated that AMC agreed to wrist bands and a one drink per transaction limit.

After that, I corresponded with another AMC representative about amending the
existing settlement agreement. And at some point, AMC just stopped following up.

The next time $I$ recall hearing from them was when Mr. O'Brien contacted me in summer of 2018. I told Mr. O'Brien, and my recollection was that AMC agreed to provide wrist bands and one drink per transaction limit. And we were prepared to support the application on that basis.

Some weeks later, it became clear that AMC was no longer to honor its promise from 2015. Eventually, after I called a couple of DC theaters that serve alcohol, and have a one drink per transaction requirement, the ANC offered to support the license application with only the one drink per transaction limit.

The Petitioner's refusal to agree to that one condition, not our ANC's refusal to support alcohol in all seven screening rooms as has been suggested, at least implied, is primarily why we're here.

The worry which you'll hear more about from the other witnesses from the schools is that we have many, many kids in our neighborhood. Theaters are dark. The person doing the carding
at the theater is not the same person who is supposed to ensure that drinks aren't being passed to underage patrons. Those people have no knowledge, presumably, of whether a patron has been carded.

So the staff is charged with policing underage drinking, assuming they're in the theaters. They won't know who drinks were sold to, and they would be naturally reluctant to cause a disturbance in the theater to check the IDs of every person drinking who looks younger than, say, 30 or 40 . This is in contrast to the counter staff who have to check IDs for every patron no matter how old they look.

Note that the ANC understands, as no doubt do the other theaters in town with the one drink limit, that a one drink limit is hardly a perfect way to prevent legal patrons from passing drinks to underage patrons.

We were looking for an approach that's not burdensome to implement, that is commonly done, and would clearly deter at least some underage drinking. And if there is underage drinking, there would presumably be less alcohol consumed on average with the one drink limit.

At this point, I've learned of three theaters in DC with a one drink per transaction limitation. First, several months ago I contacted both Landmark E Street, and the Regal Gallery Place, and four DX theaters in DC by phone. Managers there confirmed that both theaters have a one drink per visit limit.

I called back this week to confirm those policies were still in place. I spoke to a manager at Landmark E Street who confirmed their one drink per trip policy continues to exist. If two patrons show up with IDs, they will serve them a bottle of wine, presumably two glasses.

I spoke to a manager at the Regal Gallery Place who identified herself, well, who only identified herself by her first name and last initial, who confirmed that Regal also continues their policy. She did say that they recently instituted a program where a patron who brings two IDs may purchase two drinks however.

Just yesterday, I discovered a third theater with this policy on ABRA's site. It can be hard to find things on ABRA's site, because it doesn't appear that the contents as opposed to the titles of documents can be searched. And
theaters in town often seem to have registered with ABRA under names very different from their trade names.

Moreover, I should say I heard Mr. O'Brien say on more than one occasion that, and I think he said it today, that no other theater's alcohol sales are subject to a settlement agreement.

Unless I missed something, that's apparently not correct. ABRA Order 2016-038 on License Number 100805, Cinema Beverages Holding Company, doing business as West End Cinema Beverage Service, approves and incorporates a settlement agreement that, among other things, states at Paragraph 5 that alcohol purchase is limited to one serving per person at a time.

All three of these theaters appear to have been renovated. Now, I couldn't visit each theater and take pictures, but information is on the web. I'm going to try to put Protestant's Exhibit Number 5 on the screen, if you'll please bear with me for a moment.

We had identified this as Protestant's Exhibit Number 5. This is an information page from the Landmark E Street Cinema. And we can
see in the text of the page the theater describes itself as an "eight-screen luxury movie theater," quote, unquote, and also, quote, unquote, "aesthetically unique," and goes on to talk about its stadium seating, state of the art film presentation, espresso bar, et cetera. So it would certainly appear that this is not a shabby theater, and it has modern amenities.

The webpage for the Regal, unfortunately, didn't describe the specific amenities at the theater. I have a webpage in the exhibit list, but it has very little about it. The best source $I$ found on the web describing the amenities was Yelp, of all things. And so I didn't put the Yelp website on my exhibit list, but $I$ will testify that $I$ read several reviews on that site praising the lavish reclining seats, and some with pictures of lavish looking seats.

Again, I only discovered the West End Cinema Settlement Agreement yesterday. So I didn't have time to put anything on the eye witness, excuse me, on my exhibit list.

But $I$ did review the page for the West End Cinema which states a pertinent part. "In

March of 2016, the theater was extensively renovated. Each of the three auditoriums was remodeled and outfitted with oversized, plush, leather seating, and riser platforms designed to provide maximum comfort.
"Additional enhancements include larger screens, new aisle lighting, and wall-towall carpeting on auditorium floors. The West Side Cinema also offers rotating wine and beer selections which patrons are welcome to bring into any auditorium."

So we know that, contrary to at least Petitioner's suggestion, a one drink per transaction requirement has not prevented Petitioner's DC competitors from remodeling their theaters and installing enhancements like, to quote from the last theater $I$ addressed, "oversized, plush, leather seating."

I do want to address a couple of other conditions which the ANC would like to see that is, or one condition, excuse me, that's not in the original agreement and one I think both parties would tend to see deleted. The ANC's concern that younger teens and tweens are impressionable. And we believe there ought to be
opportunities for this demographic to engage in entertainment opportunities that don't involve alcohol at all.

First, these younger adolescents and pre-adolescents, many of whose parents allow them to visit the movies alone, often as one of their first independent activities, may be more likely to start underage drinking if, among other things, excuse me, if exposed to drinking in this setting away from their parents.

Second, there's always the possibility of over-consumption. But only in a theater setting as opposed to a restaurant setting which would be, I think, the only other setting in which a minor would be exposed to alcohol, would these young people potentially be sitting directly adjacent to an over-consumer. Accordingly, we'd like to see some matinees, at least for G, PG, and PG 13 movies, be alcohol free.

The other condition I want to reference is one in the original settlement agreement that requires Petitioner to provide free parking to patrons in the theater where drinking is allowed.

Although the ANC is concerned about burdens to parking in the neighborhood, we're more concerned about the dangers to pedestrians and other vehicles from a requirement that incentivizes patrons who drink to come in their cars instead of walking, riding a bike, or taking pubic transit. So we would be happy to strike that provision. And I don't believe the Applicant has said that they would object to that.

To sum up, the ANC has been willing for six years to support Petitioner's desire to serve alcohol in all seven of its screening rooms without age restrictions. We only want Petitioner to agree to a few minor conditions to protect youth. Chief among them, one, to which numerous theaters in DC are subject to, these theaters all appear to have been renovated. And we're aware of nothing to suggest that they aren't successful.

Petitioner does not need and has never needed the Board to rescue it from the original settlement agreement as it contends. The ANC has always been willing to provide them what they're asking. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. O'Brien?
MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Bender, I know you said you said you, oh, Commissioner Bender, excuse me, I know you said you have been on the ANC since 2009. How long have you lived in the neighborhood?

MR. BENDER: I've lived in the neighborhood since 1995.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. So you've been there as long as Club Cinema at Mazza has been there, correct?

MR. BENDER: I don't recall when Club Cinema Mazza began.

MR. O'BRIEN: I'll represent to you it was 1999.

MR. BENDER: So yes, I have.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. It's fair to say, is it not, that to the best of your knowledge, including your knowledge derived from your service as an ANC Commissioner for the last 11 years, that this theater has never caused a lick of trouble in this neighborhood.

MR. BENDER: Well, I mean, a lick of, no. What I would say is that I haven't heard complaints about noise, and I haven't heard any
complaints about underage alcohol consumption. But, of course, as I have said, that the current theaters only permit drinking by those who are, or only permit admission by those who are 21 and over.

MR. O'BRIEN: So is the answer that I'm correct, you've never had a lick of trouble out of these theaters?

MR. BENDER: I don't recall any complaints.

MR. O'BRIEN: So when the ANC protests the termination of this agreement on the grounds that peace, order, and quiet, there is no foundation, peace, order, and quiet for this protest?

MR. BENDER: Oh no, sir. That's not true. We can tell, I mean, just through common sense and our experience as Commissioners, that there is a risk of underage drinking.

MR. O'BRIEN: But it hasn't materialized.

MR. BENDER: It hasn't materialized because what you want to do has not been your practice since 1999. The theater has absolutely no experience serving alcohol in a situation
where minors can be admitted.
MR. O'BRIEN: Your protest filing said that there would be an adverse impact on real property values from the termination of the present settlement agreement. You have no foundation for making that assertion whatsoever, do you?

MR. BENDER: Well, I need to correct that. We did not say that. I know that the investigator said that. But no, I do not recall us citing real property values.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay, so we're in agreement that real property values is not an issue in this case.

MR. BENDER: Correct.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. Vehicular and pedestrian safety, $I$ believe, you did mention.

MR. BENDER: I did. And as you and I have discussed fairly extensively, our concerns have been that we're not particularly interested in maintaining the provision that requires parking.

Actually, I should take a step back and say that when I filed this or when we filed this, there was still the possibility that the
other parties to the agreement would also join the protest.

They were concerned that there would be -- or one of the things they wanted was that the Petitioner agree to require parking in all seven theaters. As you know, because you were at one of our ANC meetings where this came --

MR. O'BRIEN: With respect, you and I may have had conversations that the Board wasn't privy to.

MR. BENDER: No. I'm saying that at a public meeting

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay.
MR. BENDER: -- at a public meeting we heard from a variety of perspectives. And there were some guests, excuse me, some constituents who wanted to have a parking requirement for all seven theaters. There were others who were absolutely against it.

And so, yes, our concern at that time was that, by requiring parking for all seven theaters where alcohol was going to be served at all seven, we are at least setting a bad precedent. Because we don't want to require a liquor license holder to have to have parking for
every patron who's going to be drinking there. Because that increases the danger to pedestrians and other vehicular traffic of drunk driving accidents.

MR. O'BRIEN: But you've seen no such consequences emanating from the operation of this theater in 21 years, have you?

MR. BENDER: Well, not that I'm aware of, no. Again, it's only been two of the seven theaters that have this requirement currently.

MR. O'BRIEN: Would you agree then that vehicular and pedestrian safety are not really issues in this case?

MR. BENDER: Well, to the extent that you wish to keep that, or that you wish to extend that requirement, they would be. To the extent that you don't, no.

MR. O'BRIEN: Well, Commissioner Bender, the proceeding that we're engaged in here is for termination of the settlement agreement. There would be nothing to keep under those circumstances.

MR. BENDER: Well, my understanding is that you had originally styled this as a petition to terminate or amend.

MR. O'BRIEN: I suggest to you that's not correct.

MR. BENDER: All right. That's what we had understood and, in fact, $I$ think that's how the, I believe that's how the investigator characterized it. I believe that's how you characterized it in an email to me. I don't have the email.

MR. O'BRIEN: All right. Would you agree that residential parking needs is really not an issue in this case?

MEMBER SHORT: Can I make an interjection in this?

MR. O'BRIEN: Absolutely, Mr. Short.
MEMBER SHORT: Parking comes under the Zoning Commission which would take it away from our authority, which we look at that. But if there's parking in the garage in designated parking, I understand there's two designated parking areas for the theater, is that correct?

MR. BENDER: My understanding is that they provide validation, well, they're required to provide validation for free parking for every patron of the theaters that currently serve alcohol.

MEMBER SHORT: But then that comes down to the point that everybody coming there drives a car. Do neighbors walk there, do people catch the subway there, like a person like myself coming from the side of town $I$ come from. So we wouldn't need parking for every patron in there. And I've never been to a theater, especially the matinees or whatever, when the whole theater was packed. That's years ago. But I would simply say this. I understand the points that you're trying to make, and you're trying to make your case. I understand that. But has there been a parking issue before in that neighborhood about the theater specifically? Because I know you have Cheesecake Factory, and you have so many businesses.

I mean, your neighborhood is to die for when it comes to restaurants and businesses. So you're kind of lucky with that. But I've never been up there and couldn't find a parking space. And I've never, when I take the train up there $I$ never have a problem getting around and doing everything I wanted to do. So is there any other issue you have besides parking that you are
discussing right now?
MR. BENDER: Well, I'm simply discussing that, $I$ mean, $I$ would say that if you simply want to terminate the agreement to try to simplify things, Mr. Board Members, if you're saying you just want to terminate the agreement, that wasn't what I had understood. I'm not sure that that was clear, no. There is no issue, because there won't be a requirement.

To the extent that the Board retains the ability to modify the agreement, and that's what I thought this was also part, there could be.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay, Commissioner Bender, the present agreement, the agreement that's the subject of today's proceeding, restricts alcohol service to two of seven theaters.

MR. BENDER: Correct.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. Now you did a survey, telephone and internet survey of other movie theaters in DC with alcohol licenses. Did you find any of the theaters that restricted alcohol service, any licensed theaters that restricted alcohol service to just some screens?

MR. BENDER: I didn't ask that question. But you've represented that there aren't any. And I will accept that.

MR. O'BRIEN: Well, okay. Do you know of any other screens in any of the theaters in this city where the screens are, certain screens within the theater are limited to 21 and over?

MR. BENDER: I don't know of any, no. I haven't been to every theater in town.

MR. O'BRIEN: You detailed proceedings or negotiations, I'll call them negotiations, in 2014 and 2015 in which you stated, and I wrote the word down, that representatives of AMC promised wrist bands and one drink per patron. What do you mean by promised? Are you saying a deal was made?

MR. BENDER: Well, I'm saying that they represented to the community at a public ANC meeting that that's what they would seek.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. But that never materialized, did it?

MR. BENDER: No, it didn't.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. And then in 2018, AMC came back and Frank Lewis came back again. And it was clear at that point, I'm sorry, I'm
having a problem with the dates.
MR. BENDER: Well, first of all, yes, I think it might have been 2019 when we had you back. I can't remember. But also was it Frank? I don't recall Frank Lewis.

MR. O'BRIEN: I'll represent to you that it was December 13th, 2018, before the ANC. And it was clear at that point that AMC was not going to agree to the limitations or the restrictions you were demanding.

MR. BENDER: That's correct. In 2015 they said they would, and they came back after outside counsel was involved and said they would not.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. You listened to the testimony of AMC's representative regarding their awareness of the perils of service to underage people, and the measures, and the training they undertake to preclude that. You heard that testimony, did you not?

MR. BENDER: I heard their testimony.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. And you heard that AMC operates 340, I think, licensed, meaning alcohol licensed theaters around the country, okay. You heard that, did you not?

MR. BENDER: Yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. What is your experience with respect to alcohol in movie theaters?

MR. BENDER: I don't have personal experience. I've not purchased alcohol in movie theaters before. I've been to a lot of movies. I've seen how dark it is. I have, well, you asked about movie theaters.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. And I'm going to say the next question with a bit of a smile on my face, because $I$ don't want it to come across as overly aggressive. But what makes you the expert on how to handle, and how to run a movie theater, and how to control underage drinking?

MR. BENDER: Well, first of all, well, let me go back. I'm an elected ANC Commissioner, and I've served in that position for 11 years. By statute, I'm asked my opinion on this matter. And by statute the ANC is a necessary party to every alcohol proceeding.

I've heard a lot from a lot of people.
And, you know, $I$ don't think one needs to have run a movie theater to know or to form a reasonable belief as to whether there will be
underage drinking. I mean, the Charter of the District of Columbia expects me, as well as all my colleagues, to render an opinion on those issues. And that opinion is legally entitled to great weight.

MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you, Commissioner.
MR. BENDER: You're welcome.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other questions.

MR. O'BRIEN: Oh, I'm sorry.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bender, the question I need to ask you. I mean, I read the current settlement agreement. And I see that alcohol is limited to two theaters. But I don't see anything in the settlement agreement regarding the service. So how many drinks can be served, at least in your view, from the current settlement agreement per customer?

MR. BENDER: Well, there is no limit. Again though that's because, well, excuse me, because you have to be 21 to enter those theaters. There doesn't seem to be any reason to have a concern about adults passing drinks to minors.

Now again, $I$ wasn't on the ANC in
1999. I didn't negotiate this agreement. And I can't speak for specifically what the concerns were at that point. But that's why we have a concern.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So your position is that because, if you're going to allow them to serve alcohol in all seven theaters, then because of the possibilities of service to minors you want it limited to one per person and then have an ID, I'm sorry, then have a wrist band.

MR. BENDER: Yes. And the thing about wrist bands is that would remove any question about who is able to drink. You either have the wrist band or you don't. It wouldn't have to, an associate wouldn't have to make an age determination based on how someone looks.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now, are you aware that the Agency can police that, via we don't serve to minors drinks and also that an establishment can lose its license if they're caught selling minors over a period of time. So the Agency can police that itself. And the establishment, that's their peril if they do that and we catch them.

MR. BENDER: How --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead.
MR. BENDER: Yes, sir. So I am aware that the Agency polices underage drinking. Do you know how frequently the Agency does stings where a person who's of age buys a drink and then passes it in a theater to a minor?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But I guess what I'm saying, whether or not it's, I'm asking from your view whether or not it's one drink. I mean, $I$ can buy a drink and give it to a minor, go back and buy another drink.

MR. BENDER: Absolutely. Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, I mean, because are you saying that it's one drink for the entire experience?

MR. BENDER: No.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So how then, I'm asking, how's preventing them from selling two drinks, how is that going to -

MR. BENDER: It simply makes it more difficult. As I think I mentioned earlier, we're aware that it's not perfect. And our ANC is trying to balance the needs for a vibrant business community and the ability for them to do
what they want with mitigation of underage drinking.

The one drink per person per trip, requirement is one that is used widely in DC by at least three different theaters that serve alcohol.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I think, unfortunately, there is a provision in the law that says no backup drinks. So I think we just repeal that so

MR. BENDER: But this is, $I$ mean, $I$ contacted those theaters yesterday.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
MR. BENDER: And so they still have it. Also, $I$ don't know that the backup drink provision applies in this situation or only at bars. I thought it might only apply to bars, but I'm not into - -

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, any other questions by Board members? Yes, Ms. Crockett?

MEMBER CROCKETT: Mr. Bender, I think Mr. O'Brien was kind of getting at this with his question, and I'm curious. Do you frequent movie theaters in this city such as Landmark E Street,
such as the West End, such as the Gallery Place Cinemas where alcohol, you stated you've not bought alcohol, but have you frequented theaters where alcohol was served?

MR. BENDER: Yes, I've been to theaters where alcohol is served. Yes.

MEMBER CROCKETT: Have you observed the passing of alcohol to minors at these movie theaters?

MR. BENDER: Madam Board Member, I have not. But I haven't been going to theaters to look, to turn around and look and see if that's going on. I've been going to watch the movies and so, as I assume most patrons are, so I have not gone on an attempt to inspect theaters for that behavior.

MEMBER CROCKETT: Do you believe this to be a widespread problem?

MR. BENDER: I mean, $I$ believe it to be a problem. And I would presume that it's going on at theaters across the city. But maybe the danger in our neighborhood is enhanced by the number of schools, large schools, that are nearby. We have a lot of students, more, I think, a denser concentration than in most other
areas of the city.
And we have $A U$, that's about the same size as Georgetown University. I know they've got that Georgetown University in the vicinity of their AMC Georgetown theater. But we also have Wilson High School, that's almost 2,000 students, Deal Middle School, which is also getting close to 2,000 now. Those schools are growing, and GDS which is bringing their middle school. I presume they'll testify about how many students they have.

And there are also statistics that show that we have a much higher number of under20 students here in our neighborhood than, say, in Georgetown.

MEMBER CROCKETT: Thank you. That's all, Mr. Bender.

MR. BENDER: You're welcome.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other questions by any other Board members? All right. Mr. O'Brien, any follow-up questions based on the

MR. O'BRIEN: Just one. How far is Wilson High School from this theater?

MR. BENDER: A few blocks. I don't
have the precise --
MR. O'BRIEN: Well, if I were to tell you the address of Wilson High School is the 3900 Block of Chesapeake Street NW, would that seem correct?

MR. BENDER: It would.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. Now, to get to this theater, one would have to walk across Chesapeake to Wisconsin, right?

MR. BENDER: Yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: And then go up to Davenport, correct?

MR. BENDER: Yes, Davenport --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. BENDER: Those are short blocks.
MR. O'BRIEN: Harrison --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. O'BRIEN: -- then up to --
MR. BENDER: Yes. And then you're there. It's --

MR. O'BRIEN: If I were to tell you that mapped on MapQuest, taking any number of different routes, it's a mile away.

MR. BENDER: Well, it's possible, but it is the only theater in the vicinity that I'm
aware of. It's the theater that the kids from these schools go to.

MR. O'BRIEN: That was my question, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, thank you. All right, Mr. Bender, you can step down.

MR. BENDER: Thank you. Our next witness is going to be Anoushka Chander.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Chander?
MR. BENDER: I have to go get her out of -

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: She'll
MR. BENDER: Oh, okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: When you call her, have her spell her name for the record, will you?

MR. BENDER: All right. And then she's written out her testimony. But I'll ask her to

PARTICIPANT: How much time is left, Judge?
not, I'm not addressing you, sir. Ha, ha, ha. All right.
(Pause.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Miss, can you stand up, please?

MS. CHANDER: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you raise your right hand?

WHEREUPON,
ANOUSHKA CHANDER
was called as a witness and, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

MS. CHANDER: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. You don't have any documents with you, is that correct?

MS. CHANDER: I have my testimony with me.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, you can't, you can give him your testimony, and he can ask you questions for it. But you can't read your, you can't have any documents with you to read your testimony from.

MR. BENDER: Mr. Chair, I actually
contacted, I've never done a hearing here before. I contacted the General Counsel and asked if you followed the same practice that the Zoning Commission does where

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I don't know, but you can't have somebody testify from prepared documents. I think that Mr. O'Brien doesn't know what's there. And I'm surprised that he hasn't said something to me already. So she has her testimony. I mean, you can take it from her.

MR. BENDER: All right.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You can ask her questions about her testimony. She can testify. But use her testimony to ask her. She knows what's in it.

MR. BENDER: No, that's fine.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. BENDER: I just think Ms.
Jenkinson told me that you followed this -
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. Well, I don't know what, but I think that Ms. Jenkinson would have stated to you that a person cannot go and testify off a written, it's just not a written document. Because this is - and I don't
know what happens at the Board of Zoning, but this is an administrative proceeding that you cross examine persons under oath. Questions are being asked, and as you see, then Mr. O'Brien will have an opportunity to cross examine her testimony. And the Board's going to do that. So you can take her testimony

MR. BENDER: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- from her and then formulate the questions you want to ask her from the testimony, since she knows what's on the document.

All right, so can you, as one of the first questions, just ask her to state and spell her name for the record, please.

MR. BENDER: Would you state your name, please, for the record?

MS. CHANDER: My name is Anoushka Chander. And it is spelled A-N-O-U-S-H-K-A C-H-A-N-D-E-R.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So Anoushka Chander, that's your last name, or is it your first and the last name?

MS. CHANDER: My first name is
Anoushka, and my last name is Chander.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Because you said space, that's why. Ha, ha. All right, go ahead. Your witness, sir.

MR. BENDER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Anoushka, what do you do during the day?

MS. CHANDER: During the day, I usually, on Mondays through Fridays, go to school, on the weekends do homework or do other extra curricular activities.

MR. BENDER: And where do you go to school?

MS. CHANDER: I go to school at Georgetown Day School. I am a junior.

MR. BENDER: Okay, thanks. And how far a walk is GDS from the AMC Mazza Theater in Friendship Heights?

MS. CHANDER: It's less than 15 minutes.

MR. BENDER: Okay, and you've taken that walk?

MS. CHANDER: I have taken that walk, yes.
R. BENDER: Do you have any concern about alcohol being served in movie theaters?

MS. CHANDER: I do have a concern
about alcohol being served in movie theaters. I think that it poses a public health risk to underage students like me. There are two high schools, soon to be another middle school at GDS, and Deal Middle School, within a three-mile radius from the AMC Mazza Theater. And many students go to that theater.

If there was to be a policy that would say that each person who would like to buy a drink at the theater is carded when they buy the drink but can only buy one drink per person, per trip, I think that would mitigate any underage drinking that could occur in a theater. It's hard to regulate who is drinking what in a dark movie theater.

MR. BENDER: Is there a difference between a theater and a bar in that regard?

MS. CHANDER: Yes. So in a restaurant, you know, if someone wants to buy a drink, a young man comes up to the bartender, they can card him. And he'll buy two drinks. And if he goes back and takes it to a friend who clearly looks underage, you can take steps to stop that from happening.

Whereas in a movie theater, if a young
man who is of age comes and buys two drinks from the concession stand you do not know who then that drink is being served to in the dark theater. You cannot be sure.

MR. BENDER: And, I mean, in a restaurant, what's your understanding of a server's responsibility when it comes to alcohol?

MS. CHANDER: So I think in a restaurant, either way, they would have to card the individual who is attempting to purchase alcohol. But again, there is less regulation than there would be, there is less regulation in a movie theater than there would be at a restaurant.

MR. BENDER: So everybody who drinks in a restaurant would need to be carded?

MR. O'BRIEN: This $^{\prime}$ is obviously a leading question.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bender, you're an attorney, so please avoid asking leading questions. So rephrase the question, please.

MR. BENDER: What, if anything, would a server need to do if two people at a restaurant wanted to, say, share a bottle of wine?

MS. CHANDER: I think that if those people are very obviously of age and can legally consume alcohol, then they should be allowed. They're legally allowed to consume alcohol.

MR. BENDER: Okay. Do you think alcohol should be banned from movie theaters?

MS. CHANDER: I do not think alcohol should be banned from movie theaters. It's actually been a growing trend in the recent years that more movie theaters have decided to start serving alcohol as a way to increase their revenue.

But I do think that in this specific case, and in most cases, movies are a type of recreation for underage people, for underage youth. And there should be steps taken that would mitigate any potential for underage drinking.

MR. BENDER: Are you aware of any efforts to take such steps in other jurisdictions?

MS. CHANDER: Yes. So right now, or in January of 2020, Governor Andrew Cuomo of New York submitted a proposal that would allow adults who are seeing PG-13 rated movies or higher to
consume alcohol. But that is on a one drink per legal person, per trip basis. So that policy is a proposal right now --

MR. BENDER: Yes.
MS. CHANDER: -- from the Governor.
MR. BENDER: And did you do, are you aware of how many drinks the average customer buys per visit to a movie theater?

MS. CHANDER: According to the AMC it's about one drink per customer. So implementing the policy that says one drink per lawful person, per trip would not significantly cut revenue in any way.

MR. BENDER: Are you aware of other theaters in DC that have a requirement similar to Governor Cuomo's proposal?

MS. CHANDER: Yes. So it is my understanding that the Landmark Theater E Street and the Regal Theater on 7th Street, both voluntarily abide by a one drink per legal person, per trip policy, yes.

MR. BENDER: Did you call AMC Georgetown?

MS. CHANDER: So I did call the AMC Loews Theater at Georgetown. And the employee
there said that they also voluntarily abide by a one drink per lawful person, per trip policy. I know the AMC has said that it is two drinks per person. So there might have been a miscommunication.

MR. BENDER: Well, you know that through me.

MS. CHANDER: I know that through you, yes, Mr. Bender.

MR. BENDER: But you were told -
MS. CHANDER: I was told by an employee when I called that there was one drink.

MR. BENDER: What kind of risks are associated with underage alcohol use?

MR. O'BRIEN: Objection, calling for opinion testimony with no foundation.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sustained.
MR. BENDER: Did you review any research on the effects of alcohol on underage youth?

MS. CHANDER: So on in some research from the Centers for Disease Control it is my understanding that alcohol use is, or alcohol is the most used and abused drug by teenagers, by underage people. About 4,300 underage youth will
die from alcohol use related to drunk driving or suicide.

And one of the issues I am very concerned of, from personal experience, is teenagers driving to a movie theater, and going to see a movie with their friends in the afternoon, drinking there, and then driving home under the influence. I think that poses a very significant risk to their safety.

MR. BENDER: In your research, did you find any other effects of alcohol use by teens?

MS. CHANDER: So there are all the, you know, well cited effects of brain damage and of the troubles in school, troubles with the law, and many more.

MR. BENDER: Are you aware of a method of preventing underage drinking that's been proven to work?

MS. CHANDER: So one of the most proven methods -

MR. O'BRIEN: Objection.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sustained.
MR. BENDER: What's the nature of the objection?

MR. O'BRIEN: No foundation for expert
testimony.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And she hasn't been declared an expert of that if he says -you're asking her for expert testimony. And although we're not as formal here, but she's not an expert. And she hasn't been presented as an expert.

MR. BENDER: May I, Judge, I'd like to try it this way. You're a teenager.

MS. CHANDER: Uh-huh.
MR. BENDER: And you have friends who presumably drink unlawfully, or at least acquaintances?

MS. CHANDER: Uh-huh.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You have to say yes or no. You can't say uh-huh.

MS. CHANDER: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. O'BRIEN: It's also a leading question, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. BENDER: It's foundation. So that's what you

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. But because it's been, this is being transcribed, he
can't pick up uh-huh. So that's why you have to say yes or no, okay?

MS. CHANDER: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, go ahead.

MR. BENDER: Based on your experience as a teenager who has acquaintances who drink unlawfully, what methods, if any, would tend to deter that drinking?

MS. CHANDER: I think that if you were to limit access to alcohol in these movie theaters, and make it unavailable for people my age, people who are underage, to obtain alcohol, that would be the most effective way of keeping teenagers safe.

MR. BENDER: Do you know how many students are within three miles of the theater?

MS. CHANDER: There are approximately 4,300 students from Wilson High School, Georgetown Day School, and Deal Middle School.

MR. BENDER: And in your experience, is the AMC theater a popular place for these students to go for recreation?

MS. CHANDER: Yes, it is very popular. I have been to movies there.

MR. BENDER: As a teenager who has experience with other teenagers who drink, has some expertise in that regard --

MR. O'BRIEN: Objection to the characterization.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sustained.
Rephrase the question and ask, you can ask the question, but you can take out that caveat.

MR. BENDER: Okay. Based on your experience, are there any specific recommendations you would make that you believe, based on your experience, would reduce the chances of underage drinking in an all-ages theater.

MS. CHANDER: So the specific policy that I would recommend and support is this idea of a one drink per lawful person, per trip to the bar at the movie theater policy.

MR. BENDER: And why is that?
MS. CHANDER: I think that, A, it would allow for legal adults to have their drink with their movie and enjoy that. And it would make it much easier to understand who is actually going to have those drinks at a given time.

MR. BENDER: Okay, thank you for your
testimony.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Your witness, Mr. O'Brien.

MR. O'BRIEN: Ms. Chander, you've forcefully stated more than once that you believe that there should be a limit of one drink per individual purchase at the AMC theaters.

MS. CHANDER: And per trip to the bar.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. How did you come to formulate that opinion?

MS. CHANDER: So when I did my research and learned about Governor Cuomo's proposal, that seemed like a very reasonable solution. Governor Cuomo's proposal also says that the only people who are allowed to consume alcohol are people who are watching a movie that is rated PG-13 or above. And $I$ do not think that that was as reasonable.

MR. O'BRIEN: I'm sorry, what caused you to research Governor Cuomo of New York?

MS. CHANDER: I researched on Google movie theater drinking laws.

MR. O'BRIEN: What caused you to undertake a research project on underage drinking?

MS. CHANDER: So I am the leader of my school's Student Action Committee. And so we have recently been looking into the vaping crisis and talking a lot about public health. And so in that same vein, this is another public health issue that impacts teens. And so I was very interested to be a part of this case.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. How did you become a part of this case?

MS. CHANDER: I was invited by the Chief Financial Officer, Jeffrey Houser, of my school.

MR. O'BRIEN: And what did he invite you to do?

MS. CHANDER: To submit testimony.
MR. O'BRIEN: Did he ask you what your testimony would be in advance? Or did he tell you here's what we need you to say?

MS. CHANDER: He provided the details of what the case was, and allowed me to do my own research.

MR. O'BRIEN: And then you discussed this with Mr. Bender, did you not?

MS. CHANDER: We have discussed it --
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. And you know that

Mr. Bender wants you to come in here say one drink per person, correct?

MS. CHANDER: This was a policy that was discussed between me, and Jeffrey, and Mr. Bender.

MR. O'BRIEN: And Mr. Bender told you he needed you to come in here and say to the Board one drink per person, correct?

MS. CHANDER: He never told me that I needed to say anything.

MR. O'BRIEN: So is it just coincidence that Mr . Bender has been in here saying one drink per person, and then you come in and just coincidentally say one drink per person, just coincidence?

MS. CHANDER: I don't think it's coincidence. I think that our opinions have been the same on this issue.

MR. O'BRIEN: As you've discussed with Mr. Bender?

MS. CHANDER: As we have discussed previously and come to our similar conclusion, yes.

MR. O'BRIEN: All right, thank you very much.

MR. BENDER: May I --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions by any Board members?

MEMBER HANSEN: I do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Hansen, go ahead.

MEMBER HANSEN: So just to go along with that question, were you asked to be here today to testify, or did you volunteer?

MS. CHANDER: I was asked to be here.
MEMBER HANSEN: You were asked to be here, okay. And do you consider underage drinking to be an issue at your school?

MS. CHANDER: I do. I do consider it to be an issue.

MEMBER HANSEN: And do you find that to be the fault of anyone in particular?

MS. CHANDER: I don't think it's the, you can lay blame in this issue. I think that access to alcohol is a problem notwithstanding. But I don't think I can point fingers at any specific organization or person.

MEMBER HANSEN: So it could be the fault of the student or where they're getting, however they're obtaining?

MS. CHANDER: I think that it is a student's choice. But there has been a concerted effort to target young students through vape products, through alcohol, for the last, well, multiple years.

MEMBER HANSEN: By whom?
MS. CHANDER: By either of those industries.

MEMBER HANSEN: But not specifically by the movie theater?

MS. CHANDER: I would not say that the movie theater has advertised to young consumers that they want them to buy alcohol. But the fact that the movie theater is in a location that is frequented, has high traffic in young, underage consumers would suggest that they're okay with this potential ramification of the alcohol, of a free alcohol policy.

MEMBER HANSEN: And do you think that the students, it's also their responsibility to, as underage people, not to consume alcohol?

MS. CHANDER: I do think that is the responsibility of people who are underage to not consume alcohol.

MEMBER HANSEN: And why did you say
that there is less regulation at a movie theater than there would be at a restaurant?

MS. CHANDER: Because in a restaurant, if a person was to go and order a drink, and that person was of legal age, and they could take it back to a partner, a friend with them at a restaurant or bar, the person who was running the bar, the bartender, would be able to see who was consuming those drinks.

In a movie theater, if you buy two drinks at a concession stand, one of those drinks could be going to an underage person. And it's hard to tell.

MEMBER HANSEN: Okay. No further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Have you been to any movie theaters that sell alcohol?

MS. CHANDER: Yes, I have.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And what is it like? Have you seen teens, underage folks drinking alcohol that was purchased legally?

MS. CHANDER: I have not noticed teens drinking alcohol illegally at a movie theater.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions by, yes, Mr. Grandis?

MEMBER GRANDIS: Good afternoon. You understand that the purpose of the hearing day is about a settlement agreement, whether it should be terminated, or kept, or amended?

MS. CHANDER: Yes.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Okay. And my question then goes, you've been to movies at this theater.

MS. CHANDER: Yes.
MEMBER GRANDIS: And have you observed alcohol sales at this theater?

MS. CHANDER: No, I do not recall observing alcohol sales at this theater. I remember I bought Dibs ice cream.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Yes, okay. So you have not observed underage people drinking alcohol purchased at that theater, have you?

MS. CHANDER: Not at the AMC Mazza, no.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Any other questions by any of the Board members? Mr. o'Brien?

MR. O'BRIEN: No, sir.
MR. BENDER: Yes, sir, just a couple.

To your knowledge, do you have to be 21 and over to get into the movie theaters in Mazza that serve alcohol?

MS. CHANDER: Not to my knowledge, no, but I might be mistaken.

MR. BENDER: Mr. O'Brien asked you about how many conversations you've had with me. Have we actually spoken in person or on the telephone before today?

MS. CHANDER: No, we have not. All communication has either been through email or through Jeffrey.

MR. BENDER: And there's actually only been one email from me, is that correct?

MS. CHANDER: Yes.
MR. BENDER: Okay. And were you required by GDS to come here?

MS. CHANDER: No. I was offered this opportunity, and I was grateful to take it.

MR. BENDER: And you did your own research, independent research, to arrive at the opinions you arrived at?

MS. CHANDER: Yes.
MR. BENDER: Okay. I don't have anything further.

MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chair, I'd like to see that email.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry?
MR. O'BRIEN: I'd like to see that email.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What email?
MR. O'BRIEN: The email between the witness and Counsel to see the extent to which the Witness' testimony has been induced.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I'm not going, all right, I think that's a minor portion of this case. So from the Board's perspective, I don't think so. I'm not going to allow that.

So, Ms. Chander, thank you for your testimony. You can step down, please.

MS. CHANDER: Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Do you have another witness, sir?

MR. BENDER: I do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: This is your last witness?

MR. BENDER: It is my last witness.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who is your last witness?

MR. BENDER: My witness is Mr. Jeffrey

Houser.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you raise your right hand, sir? WHEREUPON,

## JEFFREY HOUSER

was called as a witness and, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I see you have a binder in front of you. Can I please ask you not to open that binder, please, sir?

MR. HOUSER: $I$ have my notes in there.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I know. You cannot refer to your notes. So if you have notes, you can give it to Mr. Bender. He can use your notes to ask you questions. But you can't read from prepared notes, sir.

MR. BENDER: May I just explain to the witness that $I$ have called the General Counsel, and apparently I misunderstood. I thought that the Board here followed the same

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But it's, I believe you are the, where are you employed, sir?

MR. HOUSER: I'm the CFO at Georgetown

Day School.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And we just had a young student, a junior, from Georgetown Day School. And she did perfectly well without her notes, sir. And I would hope, as an adult, sir, that you would put her to shame.

MR. HOUSER: That just increases the pressure, Mr. Chairman.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Aren't our policies and rules all published on our website?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, we're during our practice here formally, and he said he did ask the Agency. But you can give Mr. Bender your notes. He can review that, and you know what your notes are. But he can utilize that to ask you questions. And you can give the same information.

MR. HOUSER: Sure.
MR. BENDER: Anyway, my point was only that I apologize, I really misinformed --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But as I said before, sir, the previous witness is a teenager. She

MR. HOUSER: I get the pressure I'm under.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So she did, in the sense that she, under the same, without any notes, she held up well, sir. You should be proud of the product that the school is producing. So go ahead, Mr. Bender.

MR. BENDER: Thank you. Would you please identify yourself?

MR. HOUSER: Right. My name is Jeffrey Houser. I am the CFO at Georgetown Day School.

MR. BENDER: Okay. How large a school is Georgetown Day School?

MR. HOUSER: Georgetown Day School is 1,075 students.

MR. BENDER: Okay. And they're not all currently in the Tenleytown campus, correct?

MR. HOUSER: That's correct.
Georgetown Day School is consolidating, we like to say unifying its campus. Currently we have 500 high school students in Tenleytown. And starting September of 2020, that's this year, that will be 1,075 students.

MR. BENDER: Do you attract, where do you attract students from?

MR. HOUSER: Yes, that's a great
question. So, Georgetown Day School is a school that attracts students from all over the DMV. At the same time we consider ourselves a neighborhood school.

So, when we scatter plot map the students that attend Georgetown Day School, many of them live within, like the highest concentration of the students that attend school live within about three miles of the current Tenleytown address.

MR. BENDER: Okay. As a school administrator, what's your most important priority?

MR. HOUSER: Well, hands down school leadership, at Georgetown Day School, and I would suggest as schools generally, is for the well being of the students.

MR. BENDER: Does the school become involved in public policy issues affecting, that may affect students?

MR. HOUSER: I think it's fair to say, or important to say, as a 501(c)(3) the school is not permitted to take a partisan position.

MR. BENDER: Right.
MR. HOUSER: We're prohibited from
that by statute. At the same time the school promotes thought among its leadership and its students, to think critically and actively about issues that affect our world, both locally, regionally, nationally, and globally.

MR. BENDER: Do you have, does the school oppose legal drinking?

MR. HOUSER: No. The school has no problem with of-age or legal drinking, no.

MR. BENDER: Does the school have any problem with movie theaters in principle serving alcohol?

MR. HOUSER: No. I mean, the idea of a movie theater serving alcohol, I think what we understand is it may be a possible, logical move for theaters who are looking to attract additional patrons.

You know, everybody recognizes that there's a lot of competition in that particular industry. You know, we know that, you know, as many attendees as might have been in years past is shrinking.

And so, if alcohol is a way to attract more individuals to the theater, $I$ think that that's a logical move for those individual who
are of age. Sure.
MR. BENDER: And does GDS, excuse me, does GDS have any concerns about underage drinking in theaters?

MR. HOUSER: I think it's important to say that Georgetown Day School has a concern about underage drinking generally, or substance abuse.

Our reason for that is as we look into the individual groups that track the statistics on underage drinking, we recognize that it is growing in problem.

We also recognize that we believe, from what we've understood as educators, that students of youth in particular that have access to alcohol at an early age have a disproportionately higher possibility of becoming substance addicted, whether it's alcohol or other things. But in this case alcohol is the item in question.

We believe that we as adults, particularly leaders in education, have a responsibility to set in motion environments, and policy, and law that adheres, if you will, to, or supports the understanding that we have about the
effects, the negative effects of substance on youth.

We recognize that there are national, and in some cases, you know, more local laws that, but in the case of alcohol restrict drinking to individuals 21 and above. We also know that not everyone younger to 21 adheres to that.

And so, we believe as educators it's incumbent upon us to provide, again, responsible environments that students are not, youth is not inadvertently motivated to take action that would be harmful to themselves.

MR. BENDER: Are you aware of any organizations that have raised concerns about drinking in move theaters?

MR. HOUSER: Well, I am. I'm not an expert on those particular groups. I mean, there's the national, I think, I have to be careful here that I say it, you know, as it should be. But there's a National Education, or the National --

MR. BENDER: Okay. Could I just ask, is it the Community Anti-Drug Coalition of America?

MR. HOUSER: Well, that's the group that we've read about. Again, as the CFO, our teachers and our principles read about these things a whole lot more than I do. But yes, that is a group that has been in conversation at Georgetown Day School.

MR. BENDER: Is it your understanding

MR. O'BRIEN: I'm going to object because that has to be a leading question. Is it your understanding that. There's no way that question cannot turn out to be a leading question.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, but I, so I didn't hear --

MR. O'BRIEN Is it your understanding that the sky is blue? Yes.

MR. BENDER: I don't remember my question now. I do think probably I would ask that you let me finish a question --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Oh, I --
MR. BENDER: -- before --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- that a leading question is that, I mean, the direct presupposes the answer, sir. His objection is
that if you, so just don't, just ask it a different way.

MR. BENDER: No. I understand, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes.
MR. BENDER: I just meant, I think he should probably wait until I finish my question before objecting.

MR. O'BRIEN: Well, the problem then is that the cat's out of the bag, Mr. Chair. You can't unring the bell once the answer is suggested to the witness.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You know what you should have said to me, Mr. O'Brien, you should have said to me earlier that you were not an attorney.

And so then I'll say to Mr. O'Brien now, give some leeway. Because he doesn't, he has not done this before, what is actually before, what folks have told. There are now three attorneys up here.

So, but now, one tells me that they're an attorney. Although you might not practice, but I know you have the legal training. I'm going to say, you know better than that. So --

MR. BENDER: All right. All I was asking is that he wait for the question --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. HOUSER: -- just in the --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I think Mr.
O'Brien is, he's looking at the time. And it's like, okay, let me get to the end of it. I know where he's going. So, let me stop him before he gets there.

MR. BENDER: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But all right.
MR. BENDER: I understand.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. BENDER: I understand. What theater, if any, are most popular with GDS students?

MR. HOUSER: So, I just want to be clear that we haven't like surveyed our students to take an exact statistical count of how many students attend the Mazza AMC.

But I do want to make clear that the, again, back to the comment that was made earlier about scatter plot maps. When we look at where students live, we know that a disproportionately high number of the GDS students live within three
miles of Friendship Heights.
And so, you know, there was a student before you here a couple of minutes ago. But just to speak to the students that $I$ interact with at school, $I$ recognize that they go to movies. They still go to movies, which I think is a good thing. They're not all addicted to Netflix.

But they also, because of the fact that they live where they do, which is close to Tenleytown, it's close to Friendship Heights. Many of them go to the Mazza AMC.

And they go there in the evenings when they're not studying. And they go there on Saturdays for matinees. Again, we don't have an exact number.

But what $I$ would also say is that when you combine the schools together in the area, it's fair to say that there are in excess of 4,000 students in the area.

If you were to include the undergraduates at American University, you know, there's another 2,000 or so, $I$ believe. There's many more when you include the individuals that attend American University that are also
underage.
We know that within three miles of the Friendship Heights downtown, if you wanted to call it that, there's roughly 500 Georgetown Day School students that live there. And we understand that those students, and it could be all, or it could be some of them, watch movies.

MR. BENDER: Has GDS given thought to -- what if anything would GDS like to see this movie theater do to reduce the possibility of underage drinking?

MR. HOUSER: Well, first of all, I think that, before $I$ answer that question, I'd like to just mention that $I$ think that we are always as educators looking for support from the community, from the business people of the community to help us educate the next generation, right.

So, it's important for, I think it's important to mention that we are looking for things that we as a community do together that make it easy for us educators to support the laws that exist currently.

And to encourage our students, our youth, and the youth of the schools around us
that we interact with to do the right thing. But particularly by restricting the, you know, restricting the barriers to doing the right thing, and putting in place structures that encourage youth to do the right thing.

I think that's incumbent upon us as adults. I guarantee you that we as educators, we work with great students, but sometimes troubled students, right.

We work with families who discover that their children are doing things they wish they wouldn't. And they recognize that the effect of decisions that are made by students when young have the potential of setting a life's course.

One of the things that we recognize is when students drink, or drink a lot, they don't perform as well at school as if they didn't. And the same thing is true for other types of substance abuse.

We would like to see that, in our community, that access to things that are not technically allowable for individuals underage are difficult to get their hands on.

And we're looking for things that are
in our stores, in our gas stations, and in the places of entertainment that by virtue of their structure provide a barrier or a difficulty for those underaged to get access to.

And our concern about, what we understand about the proposal is that, given the very nature of the environment, the theater, the possibility exists that youth will exploit.

We happen to know children very well. Well meaning, but also experimental in many ways. Would like to, we know they would take advantage of exploiting the uniqueness of a dark room and an unsupervised space.

MR. BENDER: So, is there anything GDS would recommend to mitigate that risk?

MR. HOUSER: Yes. Again, from the understanding that we have about what's done in theaters. We understand first of all that alcohol is becoming a common thing.

There's kind of a national move towards including alcohol at theaters. And as was mentioned before, there's no, there's nothing wrong with that, like from our perspective.

But what we think would be important is there would be some way to identify an
individual who is of age, so that when they're purchasing alcohol we know that they are 21 or older.

The idea about some demarcation on that person. You know how when many times you go to a museum you get a wristband. It makes sense that people that are, you know, allowed to get alcohol wold be somehow marked, so that anybody observing them would know they're of age.

And reciprocally that those that are standing there with alcohol or sitting with alcohol that don't have a wristband on, we know that they're not of age.

I think the second thing is just to simply limit the number of drinks sold at any one time. So, the point of sale. We've discussed this actually in the school recently.

That if we know that we can set up a process whereby, you know, an individual that can legally drink has access to one drink, the chances of them sharing that drink with others, except if they were to, you know, share the cup between them, would be minimal.

So, I mean, one drink minimum and or, and/or a wristband I think seems to make some
kind of sense to us.
MR. BENDER: Okay. And that's GDS's position?

MR. HOUSER: That's our position. I'm not speaking for any other schools.

MR. BENDER: Right. And, sorry I have to ask this. But I didn't coerce you in any way to give this testimony today?

MR. HOUSER: You invited us to come and speak.

MR. BENDER: Right. And I mentioned that the ANC had considered these as options. But you considered them independently?

MR. HOUSER: We support, I said earlier, you know, restrictions in the places of entertainment, particularly in place where products are for sale that make it difficult for youth to do what they're not supposed to do.

MR. BENDER: So, this is consistent with other positions that GDS has taken?

MR. HOUSER: It is. And, you know, I don't know if it helps to mention, but, you know, not, of course we're building this beautiful new building. We're unifying the campus. We, many of us live in the area.

Recently there was a store that popped up on Wisconsin. You know, it's a vape shop. And I just want to let everybody know that, you know, whether or not that's legal, or whether or not we can imagine that everybody that's working at that shop is controlling that those items are sold only to individuals with whatever is determined is the proper age of majority.

We also recognize that that extends to our students a temptation that then we have to deal with. And we also know, because students talk, that sometimes young high school students looking for a job, or working in some of these locations like that vape shop.

I'm not saying that our students work there. But they know that occasionally students that are of age to work and work in those shops are not necessarily as prudent about living into the federal or local laws that would restrict sale to minors.

And it doesn't make our lives as educators easier. Let's just put it that way. And so, you know, we're not here to talk about the vape shop. But if that was to disappear, that would be positive.

And if it was possible for us to have the assurance that, when we encourage students to go out and have entertainment, enjoy themselves, that we don't have to believe that we're going to inherit the possibility of problems that occur at theaters, or as a result of them attending theaters.

I'm not an expert on the stats on this. But, I mean, you know, there have been underage students that have been found drinking in theaters, in some of the reading we've been doing. And we just don't want that to be our kids, or any kids.

MR. BENDER: Thank you for your testimony. That would be the Board and Mr. O'Brien are able to question you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. O'Brien, thank you.

MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Houser, you said repeatedly, we believe as educators, we would like to see, we think it important. Who is we?

MR. HOUSER: When I say we I'm referring to specifically those of us at Georgetown Day School who are in the education profession. We play different roles.

But we are, you know, we are as a local school, predominantly a local school concerned about the things I've mentioned. And so, when you ask the question we, as you're asking me to define we, it's us in that institution who happen to be considered educators.

MR. O'BRIEN: And your position at Georgetown Day School is Chief Financial Officer? MR. HOUSER: That's correct.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. What's the governing body of Georgetown Day School?

MR. HOUSER: We have a Board of Trustees.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. Has the Board of Trustees met and adopted the position you've espoused today, that there should be only one drink per transaction at the AMC theaters?

MR. HOUSER: No, we have not, sir.
MR. O'BRIEN: Well then, how is it
that you say that it is Georgetown Day's position that there should be one drink?

MR. HOUSER: Well, it's a fair
question. I'm not suggesting that the institution is stating that it should be one
drink.
But if asked as a representative of Georgetown Day School in a leadership position, I feel comfortable saying that the leadership of the school, those people who are the educative leaders, would love to make sure that there is a restriction on something of this nature.

MR. O'BRIEN: What you've expressed is your personal opinion, correct?

MR. BENDER: Objection. That's badgering.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Overruled.
MR. O'BRIEN: You've expressed your personal opinion, correct?

MR. HOUSER: I mean, I've discussed this topic with other leaders at the school.

MR. O'BRIEN: My question was not whether others might or might not share your opinion.

MR. HOUSER: Okay. I've shared the opinion that $I$ believe reflects the institution. But yes, I'm sharing it from me.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. So, you're not here telling the Board what Georgetown Day School wants, are you?

MR. HOUSER: No. Nor was, I mean, that's a procedural move on our side. Like, if that, in other words, would it be possible to come and represent that the Board had made that determination? Possibly. But I'm not --

MR. O'BRIEN: Did that happen?
MR. HOUSER: Well, no. It didn't happen. Nor am I hear to represent that the Board made that determination, no.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. How did you happen to know about this proceeding today?

MR. HOUSER: We have with our local
ANC $3 E$, we have and have had an ongoing dialogue about things in the community. I must say that a lot of that interaction has ramped up recently because we are unifying the campus.

And of course the ANC is involved a lot in those types of projects. But we have through the years interacted with the ANC on things, or issues, or items that have to do with the local community.

And it's in that interaction that we learned about this proceeding, and particularly about what's being proposed at the AMC. And we took interest in that.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. Are, you need a zoning variance, don't you, to complete the expansion of your campus?

MR. HOUSER: I'm not sure how that's relevant.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Answer the question, sir, if you can. There's no objection. It's up to Mr. Bender to object. There's no objection. You need to answer the question.

MR. HOUSER: Well --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: To the best of your knowledge.

MR. HOUSER: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: If you don't have an answer, you don't have an answer.

MR. HOUSER: No, no, no. That's fair. I mean, when you say we need or do need, we needed.

MR. O'BRIEN: You needed. And you had to get the ANC's acquiescence in that, correct?

MR. HOUSER: We certainly put before the ANC what we were planning to do.

MR. O'BRIEN: And the ANC voted to support you, correct?

MR. HOUSER: The ANC worked for a long
while to get us to understand things that the ANC thought were important to the community. And we as an institution realize, of course, that we can make application to the Board of Zoning Adjustments with or without the ANC approval.

It's not, as I understand it, and please put me on the record for saying I'm not an expert in this particular piece of law. But I don't believe it was required by Georgetown Day School to receive the approval of the local ANC.

However, I also believe that most projects are looking for partnership approval, if you will, because of the community nature of development in communities.

MR. O'BRIEN: The concise answer to my question is that Georgetown Day School went to the ANC, and asked --

MR. BENDER: Is there a question there?

MR. O'BRIEN: -- them to support --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I think he's referring to the --

MR. BENDER: I object. It sounds like testimony.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I think
he's asking a question. So, I'm going to overrule your objection. Because $I$, he's asking a question in order to do -- but go ahead, Mr. O'Brien.

MR. O'BRIEN: The concise answer to the question that $I$ asked would be, would it not, that Georgetown Day went to the ANC, asked for assistance with a zoning variance. And the ANC voted to support the zoning variance. Is that not correct?

MR. HOUSER: With all due respect, I'd like to just nuance that a little bit.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. HOUSER: I mean, may I?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Answer the question, sir. Mr. Bender can, if you need to nuance, Mr. Bender can ask you when he has an opportunity to ask you on the direct. So --

MR. HOUSER: Well, I, again, I really
love the truth of things. So $I$, we understand that as a process of applying for a Board of, well, a Zoning adjustment, that we're obligated to go to the ANC. So, we did that.

MR. O'BRIEN: And the ANC voted to support your request for a zoning variance,
correct?
MR. HOUSER: Ultimately they did.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. And Mr. Bender subsequently came to you and said, I need your help on something, didn't he?

MR. HOUSER: It wasn't quite like that either. He invited us to be a participant in this if we felt it was of interest to Georgetown Day School.

And based upon what $I$ understand is in question, it immediately looked like it was something that would be something we would like to speak about.

MR. O'BRIEN: And Mr. Bender told you what he really needed you to say was, one drink per person, correct?

MR. HOUSER: I'm going to be candid and say, we did discuss what was at play here. Like, what some theaters do, and what is being asked, as $I$ understand it, from, if $I$ get it correctly, from the theater to the Board.

And when we heard, and yes, in conversation with Mr. Bender, when we heard what was being proposed it was pretty easy to say, well, it would be better if there was some degree
of restriction.
MR. O'BRIEN: No further questions, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions by the Board Members? Yes, Mr. Grandis.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Hi. Good afternoon.
MR. HOUSER: Hi.
MEMBER GRANDIS: As you probably are aware, the purpose of today's hearing is a petition to amend or terminate the settlement agreement.

And one of the things that we explore is the compliance by the licensee to settlement agreements and other violations, in the I guess 11 years that this has been a settlement agreement. From your, I think I heard you say you've gone to movies at this theater?

MR. HOUSER: Correct.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Yes. Have you ever purchased alcohol beverage?

MR. HOUSER: No.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Have you ever observed anyone passing an alcoholic beverage to someone you thought may be underaged?

MR. HOUSER: I've not noticed that.

I will say that some students have mentioned that they --

MEMBER GRANDIS: I'm asking you.
MR. HOUSER: No, no. I have not. I have not.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Okay. And are you aware of any violations that this particular licensee has had over the years?

MR. HOUSER: No.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Any other questions by any other Board Members? Mr. --

MR. O'BRIEN: No, sir.
MR. BENDER: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead.
MR. BENDER: You were saying some students have -- are you aware of -- from -- have you heard of any students who have engaged in underage drinking in theaters?

MR. HOWSER: So, we hear students talk all the time, you know. And we have students that need discipline, right. So, yes. But we haven't, you know, we haven't had a situation where we've heard a student very specifically say
that's what they did at that theater.
MR. BENDER: At this theater?
MR. HOWSER: Correct.
MR. BENDER: But you're aware that students, your students sometimes --

MR. HOWSER: Well, they joke about all kinds of things. So, I mean, it's known to us in a very general sense that students will attempt to try to push their limits. And, you know, so we know that they have attempted things that we believe they shouldn't have done.

MR. BENDER: Okay.
MR. HOWSER: But again --
MR. BENDER: Mr. O'Brien asked you about zoning. How long did it take us to get an agreement where we would support, we being the ANC, would support GDS --

MEMBER SHORT: Can you please up and talk into the mic.

MR. BENDER: Oh, I apologize, sir. How long in your recollection did it take the ANC to come around to supporting your application?

MR. HOWSER: We needed to have approval before financing. And we started the application process in 2017. It took about 18 to

20 months, according to my recollection.
MR. BENDER: And would you characterize that as easy?

MR. HOWSER: I wouldn't suggest it was easy, no.

MR. BENDER: Did the ANC ask you for -- to agree to anything before they would support your application?

MR. HOWSER: The ANC didn't require us to agree to anything. But there was dialogue about things that the ANC believed would be helpful to the neighborhood within the construction of the project, particularly traffic-related items.

MR. BENDER: And are there some extensive requirements regarding traffic? And if you're not aware, because $I$-- you can say that.

MR. HOWSER: Well, I mean, we have as a result of our commitment to the neighborhood, and in cooperation with the ANC, defined what traffic control needs to be on campus. And that has included both, you know, traffic, transportation demand management, goals, as well as some infrastructure.

MR. BENDER: Have you -- who have you
spoken to at GDS about these issues, about the issues that we're discussing here today?

MR. HOWSER: Well, I mean, I spoke about this with our head of school, Russell Shaw. And I've spoken with our, some individuals in our Human Resource Department about this, as it relates to their take on it for the adult community, and for the student community.

MR. BENDER: And is Russell Shaw the leader of Georgetown Day School?

MR. HOWSER: He's the head of school.
MR. BENDER: He's the head of school
for the whole? And did Russell express an opinion as to what you were going to testify about?

MR. HOWSER: I'm just going to say that he endorsed the idea that we would attend and support this initiative.

MR. BENDER: Okay. Are -- does GDS consider itself one of ANC $3 E$ 's constituents?

MR. HOWSER: Yes.
MR. BENDER: So, do you believe it's important for us to have a sense of what you care about as an educational institution?

MR. HOWSER: I certainly hope so.

MR. BENDER: Okay. I have nothing further.

MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
MR. O'BRIEN: Are you familiar with the Avalon Theater on Connecticut Avenue?

MR. HOWSER: Have I been? Was that the question?

MR. O'BRIEN: Are you familiar with the Avalon Theater?

MR. HOWSER: I'm not very familiar with it, no.

MR. O'BRIEN: All right. Do you know where it is though?

MR. HOWSER: Yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. And is that not also within that, $I$ forget the word --

MR. HOWSER: The radius?
MR. O'BRIEN: The radius of where most of your students come from?

MR. BENDER: May I just -- I just want to offer an objection. My understanding is that recross should only be about questions that were asked on redirect.

MR. O'BRIEN: I'll make a proffer.

I'll make a proffer.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We're at the end. And one of the reasons why, because even my Board Members were saying that the questions to the witness went way, way beyond.

And one of the reasons I've allowed it, he's the last witness. We have not much more going on. So, I know that both parties want to complete the record. So, that's why I'm allowing this to occur. But this is it.

MR. BENDER: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We have no more witnesses, nothing else to say outside of closing. So, I'll allow you, both parties, to get out what, the last things that you want to get out.

MR. BENDER: Thank you.
MR. O'BRIEN: The Avalon Theater on I think the 5400 block of Connecticut.

MR. HOWSER: Yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: Is that not within the radius from which you draw most of your students? MR. HOWSER: So, is it okay if I say I don't know what --

MR. O'BRIEN: It is. If you don't
know.
MR. HOWSER: I don't know. But we have a pretty --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: If you don't know, sir, say you don't know and end right there.

MR. HOWSER: I don't know.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. No further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you want to ask one final question, if you need to?

MR. BENDER: I don't. I just want to thank the witness --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure.
MR. BENDER: -- and then thank the Board for their --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right. All right. Mr. Howser, thank you very much for your testimony. You can step down. And I'll say that -- well, I won't say what I wanted to say.

MR. HOWSER: Now I'm really curious.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I know you were concerned that you didn't have your notes, sir. That's all I was saying. All right. Okay. All right. Mr. Howser, do you rest? I'm sorry, not

Mr. Howser, Mr. Bender.
MR. BENDER: Yes, we rest.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you rest?
MR. BENDER: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Before you
rest, and I'm not sure if -- are there any documents or witnesses you wish to --

MR. BENDER: I would just like to admit the one document that $I$ showed, which I believe was Plaintiff's 5.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't have a copy of your documents in front of me. So, what document is that? Was that --

MR. BENDER: It's the document about showing the Landmark Theater.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Landmark? And what exhibit number was that? Because I don't have it in front of me, because $I$ don't have a-I don't have your whole --

MR. O'BRIEN: Protestant's number 5.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So, any objection, Mr. $\mathbf{O}^{\prime}$ Brien?

MR. O'BRIEN: No objection.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, Protestant Document number 5 will be admitted to the, into
evidence without objection. Do you rest, sir?
(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as Protestant's Exhibit No. 5.)

MR. BENDER: I do rest, yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. All right. Are the parties ready for a closing, or do you want a break?

MR. O'BRIEN: I'm ready.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Mr. 0'Brien.

MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you. I want to first clear up what maybe appears to have been a misunderstanding here. The form for filing a petition is titled Petition to Unilaterally Amend or Terminate a Settlement Agreement.

If one looks at the form filed in this case, in particular Question number 12 --

MR. BENDER: Do you have another copy of that, Counsel?

MR. O'BRIEN: Sure. Right here. I'm sorry, Question number 10, there's two boxes to check. What action would you like the ABC Board to take regarding your settlement agreement, amend or terminate?

The terminate box is checked. This is not a proceeding to amend the settlement agreement. The request here is to terminate the agreement.

The Chair alluded to the repeal of the prohibition against backup drinks effective February 21st of this year. At the same time the Council, obviously on the advice of the Board, also amended the definition of legitimate theater, which previously excluded motion picture theaters.

But now the definition of legitimate theater encompasses explicitly motion picture theaters. So, what this licensee, and I think most of them have been licensed as taverns previously, but -- because for lack of a better license category to shoehorn it into.

But now the Council has said, yes, movie theaters are legitimate theaters for purposes of ABC licensing. Now, the Council knows how to make special provisions for the protection of minors when it thinks it's important in a particular context.

I invite the attention of the Board to D.C. Code 25-782, which restricts entry of minors
into Class A liquor stores before -- while school is in session. Okay.

So, where the Council determines that, hey, we've got to make up a special rule here, it knows how to do it. The Council has said, movie theaters may be licensed, said it explicitly. But doesn't say, except only put one drink in. They could have done that. They didn't.

This agreement, and you're probably tired of hearing me say that, has been in existence for 21 years. Not a whiff of a violation, no problems from this theater.

And you've heard that AMC theaters are vigilant and diligent with respect to prevention of underage drinking. They recognize the peril and they've got procedures in place, and they know what they're doing.

They operate 340 licensed theater complexes around the country. They're the experts on how to prevent underage drinking in their theaters, not the ANC.

With no history of violations whatsoever, and I thank Commissioner Bender for his candid acknowledgment that this place just doesn't cause anybody any trouble.

With no history of violations, and the anchor around its neck that precludes it from modernizing these theaters, precludes it from spending the money to modernize these theaters.

And given the 21 years, if this settlement agreement is not to be terminated, no settlement agreement ever is going to be terminated.

And that takes me full circle to how I started this, this afternoon. If these settlement agreements cannot be abrogated under the right circumstances, with the right showing, then no licensee should ever, ever sign one, because it is a yoke around your neck forever, in perpetuity.

And that's why $I$ suggest the appropriate disposition of this case is outright termination of the settlement agreement. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bender.
MR. BENDER: I believe that this Board has the power, nonetheless, to amend this agreement. Certainly it wasn't our understanding that this was, and I would have to go back and listen to our, the tapes of our audio.

But I believe it's been, $I$ believe he referred to a motion to terminate or amend. And I would encourage the Board to consider doing that. It's simply, they made a strategic choice.

But it is not true that the two choices are that they have complete freedom to do what they want, or that they're under the yoke of an oppressive settlement agreement.

The Board can certainly add a couple of minor conditions if it believes that it should, if that will be in the interest of justice.

The petitioner says that -- so, they say they need you to rescue them from an oppressive settlement agreement. That's really wrong in the facts and the law. And the facts, as we said, we've offered since 2014 to work with them to have the alcohol at all seven theaters.

It's not that this is all or nothing. And in fact, in Milaft, that's Court of Appeals, it talked about the importance of trying to find a workable amendment before actually terminating an agreement.

Also in Milaft the Court of Appeals said, construing the statute that petitioner
brings this case under, quote unquote, voluntary agreements were intended to be permanent, rather than easy to amend or terminate.

Here, as in Milaft, the petitioner as a sophisticated commercial actor bargained for significant benefits. As the petitioner stated, the bargain they struck allowed it to become the first theater in D.C. to serve alcohol. That was no doubt of great benefit to them.

Per the Court of Appeals, the Board should start from the presumption that the subject agreement was intended to be permanent, and that petitioner bears a heavy burden to terminate or amend it.

It's hard to see how wanting to keep up with the Joneses commercially constitutes circumstances beyond the petitioner's control, but justifies the Board to amend, much less terminate the current agreement.

Circumstances beyond a petitioner's control are things like changes in zoning or liquor law that make complying with an agreement impossible.

It's hard to understand why the legislature, which intended to make settlement
agreements hard to amend or terminate, as the court tells us, would view the desire to implement a new strategy to make more money, because competitors are doing something similar, as circumstances beyond a petitioner's control sufficient to abrogate a settlement agreement.

Even assuming arguendo that petitioner has met this threshold for some change in the agreement, petitioner has unquestionably not shown that circumstances beyond its control require the Board to permit it to offer alcohol at all seven theaters without a one drink per transaction limit.

That's not circumstances beyond their control. Multiple other theaters in D.C. do have this limitation. They have it as of yesterday, including one that is subject to a, currently subject to a requirement in a settlement agreement.

All of them appear to have done what petitioner says they need this relief to do, which is renovate their theaters, and to offer fancy amenity.

If petitioner can't keep up with its competitors, that's on petitioner. Failure to be
able to compete on an even playing field, which they have, shows the failure of a competitor.

Likewise, the petitioner hasn't shown that starting alcohol service for G and PG movies after matinees would cause it any harm so significant as to justify this Board in requiring that an amended agreement not include such a term.

You heard petitioner's witness basically say they haven't done any studies to show what financial effect, or he's not aware of any. And so, it is uncontested that, or that petitioner has not put in any evidence to show that these requirements would hurt it significantly commercially, would prevent it from renovating their theater.

They haven't shown in any way that these are circumstances beyond their control that justify either terminating or amending the agreement.

> As witnesses including me have demonstrated, the actual material hardship of allowing petitioner to escape these modest requirements is likely to be an increase in underage drinking.

Unfortunately Dr. Martin had to leave. But you did hear from one educator who told you about the position of the leadership at their school. And you heard from a student.

You heard each of these witnesses express concern about allowing the petitioner to serve alcohol in all seven theaters without age restrictions. And you heard deep concern about allowing them to do so without modest conditions, such as limiting sales to one drink per person.

So, I ask on behalf of the ANC and the community that you heed this testimony. I ask likewise that you use your own common sense to see that it's intrinsically difficult for companies to police drink sharing in theaters. It's dark in the theater. And theaters and theater goers place a premium on quiet in the theater, and the absence of disturbances. How often are theater personnel really going to create a disturbance by checking IDs during a movie? We certainly heard no specific testimony on how often that occurs.

But I finally ask you to look at the petitioner's own statements and policies, to come to the conclusion that underage drinking will be
a problem.
On Page 1 of petitioner's alcohol policies the petitioner requires, quote, positive valid proof from all guests purchasing alcohol, regardless of age. And they have regardless of age underlined.

You heard their witness testify that's because you really can't tell for sure that somebody is of age by looking at them. I think he said, you know, somebody could easily be 20, look 25 and be under 21.

Or they could be older. And in fact, their policy is they will occasionally make exceptions, though they're not allowed to admit to it that they do it. They will occasionally make exceptions for someone who looks 40 or over.

But then they require them to have only one drink per trip, exactly what we're asking for. That's what this theater believes is appropriate when you can't tell for sure, when you haven't carded someone to tell if they're of age.

Now, something else I want to point out is, on Page 4 of their document it states that in compliance monitoring, quote, associates
are required to check ID for any guests consuming alcohol who appear to be under the legal age of 21 in areas outside and inside the auditorium, close quote.

That's the only thing it says about compliance. That is irreconcilable with the policy embodied in petitioner's requirement that at point of sale everybody has to be carded, no matter their apparent age.

At first petitioner admits, and he admitted today, it's impossible in the light to say for sure if anybody is really over 21. So, how can one tell in the dark that everybody drinking is over 21?

The very subjectivity that the 100 percent point of sale check is intended to combat now comes back with even more force in compliance monitoring.

And even if we could all agree on who appears to be under the legal age of 21 , which is the only standard that they instruct their folks for checking IDs of -- inside the theater, what about the many underage patrons who look like they're older than 21?

Petitioner's policies for compliance
checking create no requirement to check IDs in the theater of patrons who can pass as 21 and over.

Such underage patrons will thus have carte blanche to drink what their legal friends or dates pass them.

Again, they, when they have any uncertainty, almost any uncertainty at all, they allow someone to drink who looks 40 or over. They require them to only be able to purchase one drink.

I would submit that given that they admit that people over -- people who look 25 , people who look older than 25 can in fact be under 21. And that their own policy says you only have to check if you're less than 21.

They're setting themselves up for failure. They will have no way of knowing how many people they've missed. And they've made a corporate decision that where there is some uncertainty, when there's less than 100 percent positive ID check, and they allow somebody to drink, they have the one drink limit.

I respectfully ask you to dismiss the instant petition. If you do so the ANC will
likely ask petitioner to agree to the modest but vital conditions we've discussed here, and then support a voluntary modification of the agreement.

But if you decide nonetheless to modify the agreement itself, and you should absolutely consider that, so, especially in light of the fact that we're instructed by the Court that agreements are meant to be permanent, and hard to abrogate, and that we can supply everything they want with a couple of minor restrictions.

We ask that in light of the evidence you've heard today, and the inferences you draw from them, that you require at a minimum that petitioner serve only one drink per transaction.

That they have wristbands for carded individuals, which will make it easy for compliance personnel to tell if an underage patron is drinking.

And really, the wristbands are the only way that somebody who appears, somebody who can pass for 21 would be busted, as it were. Because their policy is, as written, is you only look for people who are, who appear to be less
than 21.
And that they serve only one drink -excuse me. And that they begin alcohol service at, at least G, and PG, and PG-13 movies after matinees.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sorry, that what?

MR. BENDER: That they begin alcohol service at -- for the G, and PG, and PG-13 movies after matinees, so that there's --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: For G?
MR. BENDER: G.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And PG?
MR. BENDER: PG. And PG-13, which are all the designations in which a person under 18 without an adult could be admitted to the theater.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: After the matinee?

MR. BENDER: Yes. That matinees, at least some matinees be alcohol free. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you very much. I want to thank both parties for their presentation. Do the parties wish to file proposed findings of fact and conclusion of law,
or waive their right to do so?
MR. O'BRIEN: Waive.
MR. BENDER: We'll waive.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. We will issue a decision in 90 days. That's my understanding. All right.

As Chairperson of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board for the District of Columbia, in accordance with DC Official Code, Section 2-574(b) of the Open Meetings Act, I move that the ABC Board wholly close the meeting for the purpose of seeking legal advice from our Counsel, on Case number 19-PRO-00153, Club Cinema.

Pursuant to DC Official Code Section 2-574(b)(4) of the Open Meetings Act and, excuse me, deliberate upon Case number 19-PRO-00153, Club Cinema for the reasons cited in DC Official Code Section 2-574(b)(13) of the Open Meetings Act. Is there a second?

MEMBER SHORT: Second.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short has seconded the motion. I will now take a roll vote of the motion before us now that has been seconded. Ms. Hanson.

MEMBER HANSEN: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Crockett. MEMBER CROCKETT: I agree. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Cato. MEMBER CATO: I agree.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short.
MEMBER SHORT: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Wahabzadah. MEMBER WAHABZADAH: I agree.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grandis. MEMBER GRANDIS: I agree.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Donovan -- Mr. Anderson, $I$ agree. As it appears that the motion has passed $I$ hereby give notice that ABC Board will recess this proceeding to hold a closed meeting in the ABC Board conference room, pursuant to Section 2-574(b) of the Open Meetings Act. Thank you very much.

MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you.
MR. BENDER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 5:19 p.m.)
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