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CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. We're back on the record. Our next case is Case No. 17-CC-00020, Chinese Disco, License No. 78058.

Would the parties, please, approach and identify themselves for the record, please?

MR. ADAMS: Good morning or good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board. I'm Walter Adams. I represent the District of Columbia.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon, Mr. Adams.

MR. SUNG: Good afternoon. Kijun Sung for licensee, Chinese Disco. This is Hunter Campbell for Chinese Disco. He is one of the owners.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon, Mr. Kijun Sung, and good afternoon, Mr. Campbell.

MR. SUNG: Campbell, yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Did
everyone sign-in their names on the sign-in sheet?

MR. SUNG: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
This is a Show Cause Hearing. Are there any preliminary matters in this case?

MR. ADAMS: There are no preliminary matters that the District is aware of.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. Sung, I'm sorry, what's your last name again, sir?

MR. SUNG: Sung, S-U-N-G.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sung. Oh, Sung, okay. Sung. Are there any preliminary matters from your perspective in this case?

MR. SUNG: No, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Is the Government ready to move forward?

MR. ADAMS: Yes, the Government is ready to move forward. The Government will have two witnesses in its case in chief and will -would like to reserve the right to call rebuttal
witnesses.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And just as a preliminary matter, Mr. Sung, how many witnesses do you plan to call?

MR. SUNG: At most one. We may not call him at all.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So you might not have any witnesses?

MR. SUNG: That's correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But maybe one.
Okay. All right. Mr. Adams, do you wish to make an opening statement?

MR. ADAMS: Yes, I would like to make a brief opening statement, Mr. Chairman.

We are here regarding events that happened on -- at Chinese Disco, the establishment known as Chinese Disco on February 4, 2017, almost a year ago. Specifically, what this case is really about is the establishment not managing or not being responsible for handling of those who are patrons who are not of the legal drinking age.

And the evidence that you will hear will show that he circumstances were severe in nature in which the establishment had violated the District's rules.

There are four charges in front of the Board. One is that the establishment allowed the sale and delivery of alcoholic beverages to two underage patrons inside the establishment.

The evidence will show that on
February 4th that an Investigator for the Alcoholic Beverage Regulation Administration was able to identify two patrons inside the establishment who were 19 years-old, who had been drinking or were in the process of drinking alcohol within the establishment.

Furthermore, the District will be able to establish in a satisfactory manner that the establishment did not take the reasonably necessary steps to ascertain the ages of those within the establishment.

Although the establishment did check IDs at the door and the evidence showed that they
may even have a mechanical device or a scanner, that they had already been -- the establishment had already been noticed that their verification techniques were not reasonable, were not working and would allow underage patrons to get into the establishment.

The last two charges are, part and parcel, the same thing. This goes to the occupancy of the establishment.

On February 4th of last year, the evidence will also show that the establishment exceeded its occupancy. They had over 200 patrons within the establishment when the license, the application that it filed with the Board and everything else states that they are limited to 99 patrons to be --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: How many?
MR. ADAMS: 99 patrons to be within the establishment at any given time. And the evidence will show that that -- that they doubled that, their occupancy.

Therefore, that it would justify a
conclusion that it was a substantial change in the operation of the establishment.

And lastly, the fourth charge is that they violated the settlement agreement. The settlement agreement is very clear on its face that there can only be 99 patrons, which is in keeping with the application and their license. That in terms of the establishment violating their occupancy issues, that they also violate the terms of their settlement agreement.

So the District is more willing and is ready to provide the evidence to support its charges.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Sung, do you wish to make an opening statement?

MR. SUNG: Yes, briefly, but could I ask for a Rule on Witnesses?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What -- who are the witnesses that you have, Mr. Adams?

MR. ADAMS: The only witnesses we will -- I only have one witness in the room and I guess an inquiry would be whether or not he can
be in here for merely the opening statement.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well --
MR. ADAMS: We only have one witness here, so none of my other witnesses aren't here.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who are the witnesses that you are calling?

MR. ADAMS: Investigator Kevin Puente.
Actually, I take it back. He -- Kevin Puente would have to leave. Detective David Carter and Investigator Kevin Puente, I actually will call Detective David Carter first. So I can ask -CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Is he here? MR. ADAMS: He -- I believe he is in the hallway.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. ADAMS: So I'll just ask
Investigator Puente to leave.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. And so, Mr. Sung, if you call a witness, who is it that you plan to call?

MR. SUNG: Mr. Campbell.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So Mr. Campbell
needs to step back. He needs to step out of the room. If you plan to call him, he needs to step out of the room.

So, Mr. Moosally, could you find -- or Mr. Townsend will find a location for Mr.

Campbell. You can follow that gentleman, sir.
All right. So you plan to make an opening?

MR. SUNG: Yes, sir. Just briefly, there are four charges. The first two relate to allowing minors to consume alcohol. I would ask the Board to just be mindful that it's not a strict liability statute. There is language and supporting law that imposes a standard on the licensee.

So you can't just look to see whether the individual who was allegedly caught with an alcoholic beverage, that fact alone does not impose liability on the licensee. We need to look at the totality of the circumstances. Were there reasonable steps taken to prevent the underage consumption of alcohol?

So I would ask the Board to hear the testimony and make that determination.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. SUNG: The third and fourth charge relate to capacity and the settlement agreement and whether that constitutes a substantial change. And again for that, $I$ will address that in my closing, because it goes to some legal issues and interpretation of the statute.

And again, I would just simply ask the Board to read the relevant statutes and apply the laws to the facts of this case. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I guess so I'll know what to look for and are you contesting the facts that there was a -- that there were individual(s) under the age of 21 who was drinking in your establishment?

MR. SUNG: I am not contesting the fact that there were individuals who were underage within the establishment. I do not know whether they were consuming alcoholic beverages or not. We just have to see what the witnesses
have to say.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I'm trying to streamline it, so it's -- so what is it -- I'm saying, what is it that -- could I have -Mr. Adams, could you provide us a proffer of the evidence that you would provide to support the underage drinking charge?

MR. ADAMS: Essentially, $I$ can proffer that we will provide evidence that there was -that Investigator Puente was able to identify a 19 year-old female patron within the establishment who was drinking an alcoholic beverage within the establishment that she had ordered within the establishment; that she did have a fake identification; that there was another male patron who was also 19 years-old, a Georgetown University student, who was inebriated and who was being escorted out of the establishment, who also claimed to have consumed alcohol within the establishment.

That is a proffer that $I$ can make in terms of the proof on Charge 1 and that goes to

Charge 2 as well. And I will say both of them had fraudulent identification cards on them.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, Mr. Sung, are you contesting that issue?

MR. SUNG: Well, there is several factual allegations. Which one are you --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, I'm just -MR. SUNG: -- pointing to?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- I guess the large charge, I think what is was the Government is stating is that there was two underage individuals in your establishment who -- one was caught with an alcoholic drink and the other one was inebriated and being escorted out.

So are you contesting that these two individuals, who were underage, had consumed alcohol in your establishment?

MR. SUNG: I don't know. I --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. SUNG: -- in a brief answer, yes, I am.
right. All right.
MR. ADAMS: $I$ just have one question for the Board. Since the establishment has -respondent has stated that they believe the case law states that there is not a strict liability, I would just ask if the respondent could identify what case citations that he is mentioning for the purposes of --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, maybe he will do that in closing, $I$ assume.

MR. ADAMS: Okay. Very well.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So you don't have to do that before. I mean, at least for me, there -- it's two different issues. I mean, the issue in the sense that, okay, there is underage drinking and the other one it's whether or not you have taken reasonable steps to ensure that you have the legal -- the proper age.

And I mean that one, it's -- that's open to argument, but it's the fact that the person is -- I'm not sure how one can say the person is not 21 if the person is not 21 . So
that's why I'm asking.
I can understand if there is agreement to say yes, there was, but we -- this is what we did and -- but call your first witness.

MR. ADAMS: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Adams.
MR. ADAMS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I will
call Detective David Carter to the stand.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you raise your right hand, please, sir? Whereupon,

## DETECTIVE DAVID CARTER

was called as a witness by Counsel for the Government, and having been first duly sworn, assumed the witness stand and was examined and testified as follows:

DETECTIVE CARTER: I do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Your witness, sir. Have a seat, please.

DETECTIVE CARTER: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And when you
speak, speak closer to the microphone, please.

## Okay?

DETECTIVE CARTER: Gotcha.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. ADAMS:
Q All right. Sir, could you, please, state your name for the record?

A David Carter.
Q Could you, please, spell it as well?
A Sure. David, D-A-V-I-D, Carter, C-A-R-T-E-R.

Q All right. And, Mr. Carter, you are employed by the District of Columbia Government?

A Yes, I am.
Q And you are also -- are you also employed by the Metropolitan Police Department?

A Yes, I am.
Q And could you tell the Board your position and title?

A Okay. I'm a Detective with the Metropolitan Police Department.

Q And first of all, how long have you worked with the police department?

A This will be going on my 23rd year.
Q And how long have you been a detective for at the police department?

A I have been a detective about 12 years.

Q All right. And as a detective, can you describe, I guess and briefly, the responsibilities of your position as a detective?

A I have had various duties, just investigative duties, investigating cases in the District of Columbia.

Q In terms of investigating cases within the District of Columbia, what, if any, do your duties involve investigating underage drinking within the District of Columbia?

A Yes. I work in overtime detail to investigate underage drinking in the District of Columbia.

Q And what is the responsibilities of that detail?

A To identify underage drinkers, to also assist with ABRA with establishments and
identifying issues surrounding underage drinking inside of ABC establishments.

Q And when you say ABRA, is that the Alcoholic Beverage Regulation Administration?

A Yes.
Q ABC is Alcoholic Beverage Control Board?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. All right. So now how do you do that? How specifically do you work with ABRA to investigate underage drinking for establishments?

A We have had several different operations over the years. One operation is known as sale to minor. Another operation that we do is Cops in Shops. Another operation where we just go inside and check identifications of persons that appear to be under the age of 21 that are either in possession or consuming alcoholic beverages.

Q And in terms of the last option you stated, in terms of the identification of those
who are under -- who seem to be under 21 --
A Yes.
Q -- how do you identify those persons?
A We go up to them. We talk with them. Identify myself and ask them for identification.

Q All right. And once they provide identification, what do you do?

A All right. At that point, you know, we will determine whether they are 21 or under. And at that point, if they are under 21, we normally take them out of the establishment, take down their information and prepare a report.

Q And how long have you worked on -worked with the efforts for underage enforcement or the overtime detail for underage persons?

A Approximately, 17, 18 years.
Q And in the 17 years that you have done this, have you executed an arrest against patrons?

A Yes, $I$ have.
Q All right. And approximately how many? Is it over 100 or less?

A A lot more than 100, yes.
Q More than 500?
A Yes, I would say so.
Q Okay. Now, in terms of underage drinking, are there particular areas in the District that are known to have problems with underage drinking?

A Yes.
Q And which areas would you -- come to mind?

A I mean, it's throughout the city. I can't say, you know, specifically which areas, but there are a lot of areas that we get a lot of complaints and places we visit frequently.

Q All right. And how about Georgetown? Have you received complaints regarding Georgetown?

A Yes.
Q All right. And are there certain establishments within Georgetown that receive more complaints than others?

A Yes.

Q All right. Are you aware of an establishment named Chinese Disco?

A Yes.
Q And how are you aware of Chinese Disco?

A We have been there quite a few times. At least within the last probably two to three years.

Q All right. And you said -- when you say you have been there a few times in the last two years, how many times have you been to Chinese Disco or approximately how many times have you been there in the last two years?

A I would say more than 20.
Q More than 20?
A Yes.
Q And why do you tend to be at Chinese Disco? What brings you there?

A Well, it's a place known to us from the students at Georgetown as a place that they frequent.

Q Okay. And how do you know that's a
place that they frequent?
A Well, when we have made arrests there, we also interview people we arrest and they are constantly telling us that this is where we go, as far as people who are under the age of 21 . It's known to their friends and also their student body.

Q All right. And did they ever tell you -- from your experience, was any explanation given why it is the place to go for Georgetown students?

MR. SUNG: I'm going to object on the basis of hearsay.

MR. ADAMS: He can discuss what is the presence of impression.

MR. SUNG: It's not a presence of impression. It of the truth of the matter asserted.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to-MR. ADAMS: And hearsay is allowed to offer --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry?

MR. ADAMS: And hearsay is allowed for hearings before the ABC Board.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to overrule the objection. Answer the question, sir.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question?

BY MR. ADAMS:
Q So the question is based upon your conversations with the students, how do they know that Chinese Disco is a place for them to go?

A Well, several of the students that we have come in contact with say they have been there numerous times. And their friends have also been there numerous times.

Q Now, you said there that you have been there about 20 times in the last -- over 20 times in the last two years and that you have executed arrests there.

In general, what occurs when you are called to go to Chinese Disco?

A Okay. All right. The two separate
operations that we normally have done within the past two years. One is Cops in Shops and that is where we work with the establishment, especially the establishment that states that they have a lot of issues with people using fake IDs to enter.

And we will stand there with the door person who is checking IDs. And soon as a person comes in and it's verified that they have displayed a fraudulent identification, that person is arrested and it's verified that they are under the age of 21.

Q And what's the other program?
A The other program is where we do ID checks, where we actually just go inside and we check IDs of people who are inside who appear to be under the age of 21.

Q And so has the establishment, have they been participating in the Cops in Shops program?

A Yes.
Q All right. And when you do Cops in

Shops, where are you in proximity to the staff when you check the IDs?

A We are standing right next to them.
Q All right. And so when you make a verification that an identification card is fraudulent, where are they? Where is the staff?

A Right there standing right next to me.
Q All right. In the 20 or so times that you have been to the establishment, how frequent is it that you have made arrests at Chinese Disco?

A I would say just about every time we are there.

Q And after you make an arrest, what do you do?

A We have to take them up to the station and they have to be processed.

Q So once you make the arrest, is it fair to say that you leave the grounds of the establishment?

A That's correct.
Q Now, you stated that you have spoken
with patrons who said that they have entered the establishment in the past, correct?

A Yes.
Q From those conversations, is it fair to say those patrons that you have arrested, they also had a fraudulent ID on them?

A That's correct.
Q So those patrons who you have arrested, did they ever explain how they entered the establishment?

A Yes. Some say that they ask for IDs. Some say that they don't ask for IDs at the door.

Q And when you say they, who are you referring to?

A I'm talking about the people that were placed under arrest.

Q Okay. All right. So when -- but who? When you say they ask for IDs, who is the they?

A The establishment.
Q The establishment. Okay.
A Yes.
Q All right. And when you have been at
the establishment, have you had any occasion to actually observe the security staff as they check identification for people going to the establishment?

A Yes.
Q All right. And how would you assess or how do they do that?

A They normally look at the ID. Sometimes they will use a blacklight, sometimes not. And they visually look at it, they look at the patron, they make the determination.

Q All right. And how -- can you explain to the Board when you look at identification, how -- what is the standard practice for looking at identification and verifying a person's age?

A Well, when you look at the identification for -- to see if it's authentic, see if it's an actual valid identification.

Q And how do you do that?
A Just by looking at it and looking at the various security features, various states use -- the students at Georgetown come from
everywhere, so they have IDs from various states. So you just have to know -- and if you don't know, there is an ID Checking Guide that you can look at.

Also, patrons have used
identifications of other people, so you also make sure that the identification that you have in front of you is indeed the person who is standing there.

Q All right. And from the -- from your experience from watching the checking identifications at Chinese Disco, has it always occurred? Has the standard practice or the best practices occurred for reviewing identification cards?

A Sometimes, yes.
Q Sometimes?
A Yes.
Q And when you mean sometimes, is it -do you mean more than half of the time or all the times?

A I would say most of the time we're
there. I mean, there are times when they will display an identification and they look at it and we can clearly see, you know, their identifying factors right away that you notice that it's not, you know, an authentic identification and then they allow them to go in and then we stop them at that point. We relook at the identification, verify that it is not an authentic identification. So that does happen from time to time or it has happened from time to time.

Q All right. And prior to February 4, 2017, what discussions, if any, have you had with the staff or the security staff with -- for Chinese Disco regarding the admission of underage patrons?

A We have just told them that, you know, we are constantly getting information from the students at Georgetown that this is the place to come. And as soon as we come -- we're normally there, I would say no more than 10 maybe 15 minutes before we get an arrest. And that's just about every time we are there.

Q And what discussions, if any, have you had regarding their procedures for checking IDs?

A Well, that's one of the things I said, you know, you have to be vigilant checking identifications. And you know, in my experience doing this, if an establishment is very good at checking identifications, most people will not come there if they are checking them and they are turning people away.

And the information that we are getting from the students is that, you know, of course when we are not there, they are being allowed in. And every time we come there, you know, there is normally a line and it is very frequent that we get arrests there at the door.

Q And who have you spoken with from the establishment regarding their procedures for --

A I normally ask for the manager and I will normally explain to the manager, you know, what we observe, what happens. Also, if we were to go inside and, you know, observe someone inside who is under the age of 21 , we also ask
for the manager. And I explain. And there have been various managers at that establishment over the past couple years.

Q All right. In terms of the managers, what has their response been to your instructions?

A They have been very receptive and they say okay, yeah, we're going to -- we know. We are going to try to tighten things up.

Q All right. And now are you familiar-in your response -- in your responsibilities for looking at underage or looking for -- sorry, for reviewing the identification patrons, have you ever become familiar with ID Card Scanners?

A Yes.
Q And how do establishments use those scanners?

A There is a few around that use them.
Q And from your observations, is Chinese Disco one of those establishments that use the scanner?

A I think I remember there being an ID
scanner there a couple of times we have been there, a few times.

Q And have you ever seen that being used there?

A Yes.
Q And what does -- what do those ID scanners do to your knowledge?

A Most of them just check the barcode on the identification or the magnetic strip that is on the back of the identification and it reads that information and then that's normally displayed on the screen.

So my experience it's very easy to make fraudulent identifications to where the -it could trick the scanners into believing that it is an authentic identification.

Q And how so? How would it trick the identification -- the scanners?

A Just by putting the information either in the barcode or in the magnetic strip.

Q And so in terms of establishments, in your experience, should establishments depend
upon a scanner to verify the identify of the persons who are trying to come inside the establishments?

A I don't think they should totally rely on that. I think they should use it as a tool.

Q Okay.
A And use other factors to determine whether the identification is authentic.

Q And what other factors should they be using?

A I think they should use an ID Checking Guide. I think they should also have people who are trained, because the problem with the university and being at a university is they are very resourceful and they will go on the Internet and buy these fraudulent identifications. And you are going to have a lot of them, so I think that there should be a number of tools that they should use to validate the identification.

Q And what communications have you had with this establishment regarding using those tools?

A Just what I have explained here. I have explained it several times, you know, to the management.

Q And what communications have you had regarding the use of the ID Guides? Is that what it --

A The ID Checking Guide?
Q ID Checking Guide.
A Yes. And I have told them that they should get them. There have been several times, more than not, that they don't have them at the door.

Q Now, when you --
A Especially when checking the identifications.

Q I apologize.
A That's okay.
Q All right. So now turning to February 4, 2017.

A Yes.
Q Do you remember being on duty on
February 4, 2017?

A Yes, yes.
Q And what do you recall occurring?
A We were there. We were conducting Cops in Shops. And I want to say it was within 15 to 20 minutes, we were able to make three arrests at the door for people using fraudulent identifications. And there was a long line that night, $I$ remember at the door, and of course as soon as we identify ourself as police and we start placing people under arrest, the line diminished quite a bit of people when they started seeing, you know, us putting handcuffs on people and taking them away.

Q And when you made the arrests on February 4, 2017 for patrons using fraudulent identifications, where was staff?

A They were at the front right where we were, where the identifications were being checked.

MR. ADAMS: I have no further questions for Detective Carter.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Sung?

MR. SUNG: Yes, thank you.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. SUNG:
Q Good afternoon, Detective Carter.
A Good afternoon.

Q Do you recall when the first time you made an arrest at Chinese Disco for the use of a fraudulent identification?

A No, I do not.
Q Okay. Could it have been on February 4, 2017?

A That would not have been the first time.

Q No? Okay. And how do you know that?
A Because I have been doing the enforcement at that location for quite a while.

Q Okay. Do you have a record of your visits to Chinese Disco?

A I do not. No, I do not.
Q So there is no way to verify when your first visit and subsequent arrest at Chinese Disco was for fraudulent ID?

A I can probably query our arrest system to try -- to find that.

Q Do you know when you first notified management at Chinese Disco of the use of a fraudulent ID?

A No, I do not.
Q Okay. Could it have been February 7, 2017?

A I believe it was before then.
Q Okay. And what makes you say that?
A Because I have been there doing the enforcement there for quite a while.

Q Sure. Now, on that evening you testified that you had -- you made three arrests for use of fraudulent IDs.

A Yes.
Q Do you know what the disposition of those cases were? Did those individuals ultimately get convicted?

MR. ADAMS: Objection. Irrelevant.
MR. SUNG: Is it relevant.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Why?

MR. SUNG: It goes to the issue of whether a fraudulent ID was actually used.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'll give him some leeway. So I'll allow it. I'll overrule the objection. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: No, I do not.
BY MR. SUNG:
Q You made the arrest, correct, sir?
A Yes.
Q So if there was a trial in the case, you would have gone and testified, correct?

A That is correct.
Q Okay. Do you recall whether you went and testified in a trial of any of these individuals?

A I did not.
Q Okay. Do you know if there was a plea agreement in any of these cases?

A I do not.
MR. ADAMS: I object. I think it's asked and answered --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sustained.

MR. ADAMS: -- at this point.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I said I would give you some leeway and not -- I wasn't opening up the floodgates.

MR. SUNG: I think it's very relevant to the issue of whether -- the ultimate issue in this case for one of the charges is whether a fraudulent identification was used to gain access into the establishment. I don't see why it wouldn't be relevant.

MR. ADAMS: It was asked and answered though, so I object to it.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I have already sustained the objection, so move on, sir.

MR. SUNG: I will move on. Thank you.
BY MR. SUNG:
Q Now, you stated that in the approximately 20 times that you have visited Chinese Disco in the past, every single time there has been an arrest.

A Just about every time and it has been more than 20.

Q Okay. More than 20?
A More than 20 and just about every time I have been there, yes.

Q Now, do you recall on this particular evening, February 4th, did the individuals use a doctored ID? That is to say you mentioned something about ordering a false ID online or did they use somebody else's valid ID? Do you recall?

A No, I do not.
Q Isn't it true that most cases of underage patrons gaining access to a licensed establishment are using somebody else's ID versus an ID that has been doctored or purchased online that is fake from the beginning?

MR. ADAMS: Objection. Calls for speculation.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. --
MR. SUNG: I don't see how that's speculative. Detective Carter has been introduced as someone with a wealth of experience in checking IDs and looking for fraudulent IDs at
licensed establishments.
MR. ADAMS: Mr. -- Detective Carter can speak to his experience, but to be able to determine how District-wide IDs have been used is not proper.

## CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'll sustain

 the objection. Move on, sir.BY MR. SUNG:
Q Just in your experience, Detective Carter, when you have seen, you have personally seen underage customers gain access by the use of a false ID, isn't it true that it's mostly they are using somebody else's valid ID versus using a doctored ID?

A No, that's not true.
Q That's not true?
A No.
Q Okay. But on this particular evening, you don't know, you don't recall whether they used a doctored ID versus somebody else's ID, correct?

A I can't say for certain, no.

Q Did you go inside this evening on February 4, 2017 to the establishment?

A No, I did not.
Q So you were not able to determine if there was any underage consumption of alcohol that evening?

A I did not go inside.
Q Now, you said using a scanner was a tool. Is it good practice to use the scanner in conjunction with actually physically examining the ID as well as looking at the customer as well?

A Yes.
Q Have you ever been inside Chinese Disco to observe if there are underage customers consuming alcohol?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Prior to February 4, 2017?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And did you observe underage drinking at Chinese Disco prior to February 4, 2017?

A Yes.
Q And what did you do about it?
A We prepared a PD -- well, it used to be called a PD-251. We prepare a police report, document all the facts surrounding.

Q Okay. How many times did that occur prior to February 4, 2017 ?

A I don't know.
Q But you are sure that there was at least one?

A Yes.
Q So that would be something we could easily procure from the police department?

A Yes.
Q Have you ever observed staff of
Chinese Disco not checking IDs of its customers?
A No, I have not.
Q On February 4, 2017, the security staff at the entryway were checking IDs, correct?

A Yes.
Q They were also using a scanner, correct?

A I can't say for certain that they were, but $I$ can't say that they weren't.

Q Have you ever been there when they weren't using a scanner?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And how do you know that? How do you remember that detail?

A I just remember being there and there not being a scanner there.

Q Do you know if that is prior to February 4, 2017?

A Yes, it probably would be prior to that.

Q How about after February 4, 2017? In the last year, have you been there when they are not using a scanner?

A I can't say for certain.
Q And on February 4, 2017, were the security robotically just scanning the ID and not looking at the ID and the customers or were they also examining the ID and looking at the customers?

A I can't say for certain on that date if they were.

MR. SUNG: One moment, please. That's all the questions I have. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions by any Board Members? Mr. Alberti?

MEMBER ALBERTI: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Lieutenant Carter, you said you made several arrests on the night of February 4 for fake IDs?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Do you remember how you were able to tell that they were fake IDs, in general?

THE WITNESS: That night the, I believe it was, establishment that determined while we were standing there. They handed us the identifications and then we would pull the person over to verify that it is fraudulent.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Do you
remember how they were able to -- could you tell
how they were able to tell that it was a fake ID? THE WITNESS: No. I don't remember that.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Thank you. I have no further questions.

THE WITNESS: No problem.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other questions by any of the Board Members? A quick question. Tell me a little bit about this, the Cops in Shops program. Now, how is it -- how does the establishment know that you are there? Tell me how that works.

THE WITNESS: Well, we come in and present ourself to the establishment, identify yourselves and let them know of our intentions and it's up to the establishment if they wish to allow us to do that.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So in this particular case, did they allow you in on this February, the date of this infraction, did they allow you to do this?

THE WITNESS: Yes, they did.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. All right. All right. Mr. Sung, do you have any questions of the witness based on the questions that were asked by the Board?

MR. SUNG: No, sir. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Adams, any questions based on those questions?

MR. ADAMS: I apologize, Mr. Chairman. I have no further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr. Carter, thank you for your testimony. You can step down.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Does the Government have another witness?

MR. ADAMS: Yes, I do. The next witness is Kevin Puente.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.

MR. ADAMS: And I will -- oh, I guess Investigator Townsend has gone to get him.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: To get him, right.

MR. ADAMS: I'm sorry.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I assume that's where --

MR. ADAMS: -- the Board's indulgence. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I assume that's where he went.

MR. ADAMS: Okay.
Whereupon,

## INVESTIGATOR KEVIN PUENTE

was called as a witness by Counsel for the Government, and having been first duly sworn, assumed the witness stand and was examined and testified as follows:

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Have a
seat. Your witness.
MR. ADAMS: All right. Thank you very
much, Mr. Chairman.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ADAMS:
Q How are you doing, sir? Could you, please, state your name and spell it for the record?

A Kevin Puente. K-E-V-I-N P-U-E-N-T-E.
Q And, Mr. Puente, could you -- you are employed by the District of Columbia Government. Is that correct?

A Yes.
Q And you work for the, specifically you work for the, District of Columbia's Alcoholic Beverage Regulation Administration?

A Yes.
Q And what's your position?
A Investigator.
Q And how long have you been an Investigator for ABRA?

A About two years.
Q And Alcoholic Beverage Regulation Administration is also known as ABRA. Is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So two years? Is that -- you have been working here for two years?

A Yes.
Q All right. In the course of you working for ABRA in the two years, can you give a brief description of your job and your responsibilities?

A Yes. Investigators conduct inspections and investigations at licensed ABC establishments in the District of Columbia.

Q Okay. And as part of your job, is it part of your job to ensure that establishments are in compliance with the rules and regulations set down by the District as applies to alcoholic beverages and their distribution?

A Yes.

Q All right. And to what extent, if any, are the rules regarding, to your knowledge, the consumption and sales to those under 21 years of age?

A There are several regulations.

Mainly, 25-783 and 25-784.
Q All right. And how does -- and does ABRA do anything to monitor ABC establishments for compliance with underage drinking?

A Yes, we conduct sale to minor operations where we will send minors into establishments that are underage that are contracted with the Agency to see if they can obtain alcohol from the establishment. And we can -- ABRA Investigators conduct ID checks as well.

Q And when you say ID checks, what do you mean by that?

A So we identify patrons inside the establishment and ask to see their identification to determine if they are 21 by their ID.

Q Okay. And how do you determine to -or how do you identify patrons to approach to ask about their identification?

A If I suspect someone that appears to be young in age, I'll ask the ABC Manager or someone from the establishment to go up to that
person and identify, to get their identification. And I'll look at the ID. ABRA Investigators have an ID Checker that has a magnifying glass on it as well as a blue light and a flashlight on it. I'll look at that and then I'll ask the patron questions regarding the ID.

Q Okay. And now can you describe why, in general, what's the purpose of ABRA going through efforts to enforce rules regarding underage drinking?

A To make sure that minor's are inside consuming alcohol, so it's a safety issue. We don't want minors being inside establishment getting intoxicated.

Q Are you familiar with a program called the Double Check Program 101?

A Yes. DC Double Check.
Q DC Double Check. What is DC Double Check?

A So it's a program that ABRA has with all the colleges in the District. I believe six colleges. We go to those colleges. We conduct
seminars with the students on campus. We bring stuff with us. We have the intoxication clock where we walk you through a night of drinking. We have goggles that demonstrate different varies of intoxication from 0.8 to a higher number.

We give out pamphlets. So we go over the laws with the students and let them know what the consequences are if they drink too much or if they are caught using a fake ID inside of an establishment.

Q In terms of the Double Check program, do you have -- can you describe what extent the relationship with university administrations and security staff is?

A Yes, so our coordinator, he conducts-he reaches out to the administrators at the colleges, either someone from the Campus Police Department or someone in the, $I$ believe, housing department, there is various departments, and they will ask us to come out there, ask us to do these events.

They also advise us to let -- notify
us, have us notify them if we catch any minors inside of an establishment, so they can be -face disciplinary action from the school.

Q Now, are you aware of a relationship regarding Double Check program or any other type of enforcement program for underage drinking for -- with Georgetown University?

A Oh, yes. Georgetown participates in the Double Check program.

Q In terms of the Double Check program, to what -- can you describe to what extent are there communications regarding establishments that -- where students tend to go to?

A Yes. Usually we will get notified by the colleges or by MPD if they get complaints regarding kids being in alcohol -- mainly, a lot of schools, the RAs, Residential Advisors, that live on the campus dorms will reach out and advise us that students are coming back from an establishment intoxicated. We then will followup and conduct an investigation.

Q And can you describe whether you have
had any, I guess, identification from staff or security persons at Georgetown University regarding --

A Yes. There are several establishments that Georgetown University has reached out to us about. Chinese Disco is one of them as well as another establishment in the area.

Q And how do you know that Chinese Disco is one of the establishments?

A Because the calls let us know that kids have it. When I started about two years ago is when I first went there because of that.

Q And so you went there two years ago you said because of that, can you describe what are circumstances for you going to Georgetown or -- sorry, describe the circumstances of that communication.

A I don't see the communication. So either they will come from the supervisor or the Double Check coordinator.

Q And so what happened two years ago?
A So I believe when I first started, we
had a complaint for Chinese Disco. Students were coming back, so that's why we went out there.

Q And what happened once you went out there?

A I believe we identified a minor or two. I can't recall the specifics of that though.

Q And where were they? Inside or outside?

A I believe MPD we did with the Underage Task Force, Detective Dave Carter, we went inside and identified a patron.

Q Okay. And you are stating two years ago you identified someone who was inside the establishment?

A Yes.
Q All right. And what year was that? Like 2016?

A 2015 .
Q 2015. Now you state that you have -that you are aware of Chinese Disco. Now, in terms of Georgetown or any other place, does ABRA
receive complaints regarding underage drinking for different establishments?

A Yes.
Q All right. And in Georgetown, there is -- we have -- there has been complaints regarding Chinese Disco?

A Yes.
Q And in terms of these complaints, how many complaints are you aware of there being against Chinese Disco?

A I don't know offhand. That doesn't come to me firsthand, so $I$ don't have an exact number.

Q All right. Do you know how many times you have responded to complaints for Chinese Disco?

A Quite a bit. Usually for noise complaints. I think we just had a recent one for underage complaint about a month ago I went out there.

Q Okay. And prior to 2017, were you aware of any complaints for underage drinking at

Chinese Disco?
A Yes.
Q All right. And approximately how many?

A I don't have -- I can't recall the exact number.

Q Is it more than five or --
A I would say about five, because usually MPD will notify us, too, that they arrested kids at the door for presenting a fake ID.

Q All right. All right. And were you on duty on February 4, 2017 ?

A Yes.
Q And once you came on duty, what can you -- once you were on duty, what communications, if any, did you have regarding assignments?

A So I reached out to Detective David Carter to see if he was working that night with MPD and he advised me he was. He was doing the Cops in Shops program where they go to the
establishments and look for kids trying to present fake IDs at the door.

Q And when, approximately, did you have that conversation?

A I started my shift about 7:30 p.m., so probably some time right after that I called, made the phone call.

Q Okay. And so this conversation you had with David Carter regarding the Cops in Shops, what was said during this conversation?

A He asked if we were working, if we had any complaints we received recent and if so, where, so we could visit. Then he -- we advised each other that we will visit Chinese Disco, because they have been getting arrests there prior, weeks prior at the door.

Q And you said we, was it just yourself or was anyone with you?

A Myself. I was teamed up with Investigator Mark Brashears and Nicole Langway that night from ABRA.

Q And after you had this conversation --

MR. ADAMS: I apologize.
BY MR. ADAMS:
Q Actually, before I go there, now you stated that you had to -- that you were asked to go to Chinese Disco on that night, correct or Detective Carter stated that he would be at Chinese Disco?

A Yes.
Q Is there any reason that, in particular, why he would have identified Chinese Disco?

A Because the weeks prior he -- his teammates had an arrest at the door with fraudulent IDs.

Q Does Chinese Disco, to your knowledge, have a reputation in terms of underage drinking?

A Yes.
Q And what's that reputation?
A That a lot of the kids in Georgetown, because the establishment is within blocks from Georgetown University, so it's one of the establishments that the kids can go to right
away. And usually from my experience being there a lot of times, they are just taking IDs, checking it and giving it back to the patrons in line and letting people in.

Q Okay. And in terms of monitoring, can you describe how often Chinese Disco is monitored for underage drinking?

A Quite a bit.
Q And what do you mean by quite a bit?
A Probably -- I'm there probably every other week when I'm working. I have to go there and monitor it for underage drinking.

Q So February 4, 2017, did you ever arrive at Chinese Disco?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And when did you -approximately, when did you arrive?

A About 11:30 p.m.
Q And once you arrived, what did you observe?

A I arrived at the same time Detective Carter arrived. We observed a large wave of kids
walking down Prospect Street and a lot of them going right to Chinese Disco and get in line.

Q Okay. And once you observed them getting in line, what occurred, at that point?

A Myself and Detective Carter went to the front of the line and observed the patrons give their IDs to the security guard at the door.

Q All right. And when they gave the identification to the security guards at the door, what did you observe, at that point?

A They usually give the -- to the security at the door. He runs it through a scanner they had and gave it back to them. Detective Carter identified three females he had believed to be underage and possessing a fake ID and asked them to step aside.

Q And where were you when he made that determination?

A Right next to him.
Q And so what happened when Detective Carter made the three --

A So he asked the three females to come,
walk with him towards his vehicle on Prospect Street and he advised them who he was and asked them if they had any real ID and they handed it over.

Q And but in terms of when they were at the door, how did he identify them as having fake identification?

A He just expected them to be young in age and then he saw the IDs when they gave it to the security guard and he asked to see them.

Q Okay. And what did he do to review those?

A He looked at them, glanced at them, then he took his MPD badge out and advised who he was and told the girls to follow him up front.

Q And did you happen to observe these identification cards as well?

A Yes.
Q And what did you observe in terms of--
A I observed, I believe, one of them was a Connecticut or Pennsylvania ID, so I -- you could tell right away it didn't look right at
all.
Q And how so?
A Because the Connecticut is more of a common fraudulent ID that is used by a lot of underage kids. And you can just tell by the coloring is off in the photos, it's either the lamination is not right, it's coming off, so that's how you can tell.

Q All right. All right. So after Detective Carter -- you said there were a bunch of people in line. I mean, approximately, how many people were in line at the establishment?

A I would say probably about 25 to 50 people.

Q All right. And so once he had made the arrest, what happened?

A So we were near the -- standing at Prospect Street and we observed a second wave of people walking down Prospect Street towards Chinese Disco. And at that time, myself, Detective Carter, his partner and the ABRA Investigators all had our badges out and a lot of
the kids saw us and made a statement that they were going somewhere else, to another neighboring establishment, but some continued to walk into Chinese Disco as well.

Q So for at least some of the patrons, they seen that once your badge is out that they changed their behavior?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And where were you in relation to the establishment when the arrest occurred?

A We were in front of the establishment right on Prospect Street. So right directly in front of the establishment.

Q All right. And how did you know that the persons changed their --

A I heard some of the kids make statements that oh, there is the police. There is the police and they walked away.

Q Okay. Now, after you saw the second wave of patrons, $I$ guess some of them go up to other establishments, some of the kids go to Chinese Disco, what happened at that point?

A Detective Carter detained and interviewed the three females who all advised they were 19 years-old and attended Georgetown University. Then $I$ made the determination to go inside and conduct ID checks.

Q Okay. And in terms of you going inside, is that you along with Investigator Brashears and Langway?

A Yes.

Q All right. So once you made the determination to go inside, what did you do?

A Oh, we went inside. I identified myself to a security guard and asked to speak to the $A B C$ Manager on duty.

Q All right. Very well. And once you got to the door, what did you ask for?

A For the ABC Manager. The ABC Manager was a female bartender that night. I believe her name was Devin. Then a general manager, Greg Bartholomew came out as well and I advised him that ID checks will be conducted.

Q All right. And before you spoke with
the ABC Manager, did you have any communications with the security staff regarding any other details?

A Yes. At the door, I asked the security guard to see the clickers of patrons that were inside.

Q All right. And did you -- when did you -- what did they do in reaction to your request?

A The security guard at the door showed me his clicker and it came back he had a number of 205 on it.

Q All right. So the number said 205?
A Yes.
Q And what -- did you say anything in response to that number, 205?

A No. I asked Investigator Langway to take a photograph of it and then we went inside to speak to the ABC Manager.

Q All right. And once you went inside, what did you observe in terms of, I guess, the crowd within the establishment?

A I observed a large crowd by the bar area. It was hard to walk through that crowd, so I had to walk on -- to both ends of the bar to walk around them.

Q All right. Is that before you were able to meet with the ABC Manager?

A I can't recall if I -- we met with him first or if I just walked around for a second. I can't recall.

Q Now, based upon once you were able to -- and you said they were located in the bar area. Is that correct?

A Yes.
Q And -- all right. So in terms of describing the age of the cliental, can you describe the age of the cliental?

A Some of the patrons appeared to be young in age to me, so that's why --

Q What do you mean by young in age?
A They just looked young. A lot of people had like baby faces. And some of the people how they were dressed, I could just tell
they weren't the type that went out.
Q When you say they are young ages, that they seemed like they are under a certain age?

A Under 21.
Q Under 21. Okay. All right. So once you were able to observe the crowd, based on your experience, approximately how many people were -did you feel -- see inside the establishment?

A I believe the number that was on the clicker reflected the number inside the establishment.

Q So that was consistent?
A Yes.
Q All right. So you stated that at some point you did speak with the $A B$-- you were met by the female ABC Manager. Do you happen to remember who that was?

A Devin was her first name.
Q And before we go too far, do you happen to know how many patrons were allowed to be within the establishment?

A I knew from previous experience, that
the establishment's occupancy was 99 based on the ABC License as well as their settlement agreement.

Q And what do you mean by previous occasions?

A I visited the establishment previously in the past and several weeks prior. I received a violation for being -- violating the settlement agreement.

Q All right. So once you spoke with the general manager, Mr. Bartholomew and Ms. Devin, what did you do?

A I advised them ID checks will be conducted and asked them to assist.

Q And what happened then?
A I believe Greg Bartholomew assisted along with security and Devin went back to the bartender role behind the bar. We observed, myself, Investigator Brashears and Langway observed, two females at the corner.

Investigator -- we had our badges out and we saw them looking at us very nervously, so I asked Mr.

Bartholomew to ask them for their IDs.
Q All right. And so once you asked them or once you told Mr. Bartholomew to ask them for their IDs, what happened?

A He got the IDs from them. I looked at them. I asked the one female how old she was. I can't remember what she said. Then I asked her again if she had another ID on her, specifically her Georgetown Student ID.

Q And what did she say?
A She did and she handed me her Georgetown Student ID.

Q What did you observe about the ID?
$A \quad$ That the name matched the name on the ID. Then $I$ observed the date on the bottom of the Georgetown ID.

Q And what is significant about that date?

A We were told by Georgetown University officials that the date on the bottom of the Georgetown ID reflects the graduation year. So her year, I believe, was 2021 or 2022 or
something like that in that range. So I knew that made her a freshman or a sophomore.

Q And why would that be significant?
A Because usually most freshman or sophomores are 18 or 17 years-old.

Q All right. And once you made the observation regarding her identification card, what did you do from that point?

A I advised her that I'll be taking a photograph of it and sending it to Georgetown University. So I asked her to be honest and up front right now if she was underage and she said she was underage.

Q And what was her age?
A $\quad 19$ years-old, $I$ believe.
Q Now, talking -- now, in terms of the identification card that she provided, what kind of identification card did she provide?

A It was a Rhode Island ID, driver license.

Q Is there anything significant about Rhode Island IDs?

A I know it was a darker color on the front of the ID. Then when I looked on the back of the ID on the barcode area, $I$ could tell it was fake right then.

Q And why were you able to make that determination?

A From my training. We have been told that the back of the IDs by the barcode are is usually a 90 degree angles at all times and that the barcode area was all over the place, squiggly lines when I looked through in the magnifying glass.

Q All right. And were there any other portions of the ID that were significant, in your review?

A Just it was a darker color, much darker color around the photo area as well.

Q Is it typical for an ID like this to have holograms?

A Yes.
Q And how about this, the ID that you looked at?

A I believe it did. However, it wasn't matching from what I knew.

Q Okay. And so what did this patron, what did this female patron tell you about this identification card?

A She told me that it was fake and, at that time, Greg Bartholomew escorted her out of the establishment.

Q All right. And before she left the establishment, can you describe whether there was any conversation about how this ID card was used on that night?

A There wasn't that I recall.
Q All right. Okay. Did you have -- was there any discussion about how she was able to get inside the establishment?

A Oh, yes, she advised me that that's the ID she used to gain entry.

Q And before she left, between her and her friend, what exactly were they doing?

A They were standing in the corner kind of away from the crowd. And I believe they had
cups in their hand, clear plastic cups in their hand and just consuming the beverage from that.

Q All right. Did you have -- and was there any conversation regarding the cups that they had in their hand?

A Oh, yes, I asked her what she was consuming out of that cup and she advised me it was a vodka sprite, I believe.

Q All right. All right. And so after-and was there any other conversation with this female patron?

A No.
Q All right. So after this patron was escorted out of the establishment, what else occurred while you were within the establishment?

A At that same time, a security guard advised me that he got a complaint of an intoxicated male who was touching females inappropriately and he went to go look for him. I followed him and we came across the individual.

Q All right. And so once you ran into this second person, what did you observe?

A We went outside by the front door and we started talking. I asked if he had any ID on him and he took his wallet out and when he opened his wallet, I observed two IDs right away, and he gave me his New Jersey driver's license, which reflected he was 19 years-old. And I asked him at the time how did he get in and he told me with his real ID. I advised him I don't believe that. I believe he had another ID on him. Then he, after going back and forth for a few minutes, finally advised me that he had a fake ID on him.

Q Now, a couple of things. Now, first of all, let's establish you used the pronoun he, so I'm assuming this is a male?

A Oh, yes, male.
Q All right. And so when you stated that he was inebriated, can you -- how do you know he was inebriated?

A He wasn't standing. He kept leaning, kept trying to -- he was about to fall over at times.

Q All right. And in terms of -- and how
would you describe him? From looking, how would you describe your impression of his age?

A He just looked young in age. He looked young in age to me.

Q All right. And so now you had this conversation about him using his real ID. What conversation happened after that?

A I advised him again, I advised him who I was, who I worked for and I advised him that MPD officers were right around the corner, because I knew Dave was still nearby. And Dave actually -- Detective Carter actually walked over to us and Detective Carter advised him that he could be arrested.

Q All right. So Dave who is actually Detective Carter?

A Um-hum.
Q He said he could be arrested. So what happened after Detective Carter --

A He finally produced his fake ID, which was a New Hampshire driver license.

Q And what was -- what did this New

Hampshire driver license indicate?
A It was fraudulent. The color was off and then when I looked on the back of it, the same thing, the barcode area was not right as well.

Q In terms of the -- what was on the card, did it reflect the same person who presented you the ID card?

A Yes, the same photograph of him that was him in person.

Q All right. And in terms of whether it is easy or difficult to identify this as a fraudulent ID card, how would you conclude?

A It was fairly easy.
Q All right. And what conversations did you have about -- with this patron about his activities within the establishment?

A I asked if he was consuming alcohol inside. He advised me he was. He had a mixed drink, I believe.

Q All right. And what happened from that standpoint?

A I advised him that he would need to go home. Detective Carter advised him that possession of a fake ID in the District is an arrestable offense.

Q And what else did you do at the establishment on that day?

A Investigator Langway conducted a Sale to Minor Notification form where she advised the establishment of the two minors that were caught inside.

Q And what discussion, if any, did you have with the establishment's staff regarding --

A Well, a security guard came up to me and asked me how I knew those were fake and what -- how can he tell if they are fake? I advised him of some of the stuff that $I$ use to look to determine these are fake. And I advised him that they ought to just take their time and look at the ID and look at the individual in line and not just rush trying to get the crowd inside.

Q And what's your observations regarding the establishment's review of identification
cards on that day?
A What we saw, they were just scanning IDs and giving it back to the people in line and not taking the time to look at the person who is on the ID as well as looking -- taking the time to look at the ID actually as well.

Q Was there any particular reason why they may have been rushing or anything of that sort?

A From talking with the security guards, they believe the ID scanner can catch fake IDs. But from my understanding, the ID scanner gives a false positive most of the time. It just reads the information that is on the ID, the name and the date of birth. And that will tell you if it's that person.

Q Was there any other reasons why they may have been rushing that -- on that night?

A Just to get the crowd inside most likely.

Q And is that the extent of your investigation on that evening?

A Yes. I advised Mr. Bartholomew of the two minors inside as well as them violating their settlement agreement for the occupancy.

Q All right. Now, after you concluded your investigation, what did you do?

A Continued my shift and eventually went back to the ABRA Offices to write a case report.

Q Okay. And did you -- was there a case report for this case?

A Yes.
MR. ADAMS: I would like to provide to -- well, I'm not sure if you already have it, approach the witness with what is identified -I'll go ahead and identify it as the District's Exhibit No. 1, which is a case report. And I will -- if I can, I would like to approach the witness? And this is evidence.
(Whereupon, the abovereferred to document was marked as Government Exhibit No. 1 for identification.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you have a
copy, Mr. Sung? MR. SUNG: Yes, sir. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. All right.

> BY MR. ADAMS:

Q Also, Mr. Puente, $I$ have given you a copy of a document. Do you recognize that document?

A Yes.
Q And what is that document?
A My case report.
Q And how do you recognize it to be your case report?

A It has my name on it and I recognize that I typed it up.

Q All right. And I screwed up. Sorry. In going through the case report -- actually, by the way on page 3, I'm going to come over toward you. On page 3, I believe above the paragraph that starts "February 6, 2017," did you write that paragraph?

A Yes.

Q I notice that on there it states Georgetown Piano Bar.

A Yes.
Q Is -- was that intentional or --
A That was a mistake by me that I didn't catch.

Q So is it fair to say that was a typographical error?

A Yes.
Q All right. And after the -- is there a signature on the -- on Exhibit 1 or on your case report?

A Yes, page 5.
Q And whose signature is it?
A Mine.
Q Okay. And did you also have exhibits attached?

A Yes.
Q All right. And very briefly, and I apologize I'm coming over towards you. What's Exhibit 1?

A It's the MPD 251 report generated by

Detective Carter.
Q And how is that significant to this case?

A It's the three individuals that he arrested for possess -- misrepresenting their age with a fake ID.

Q And turning to -- I'm sorry, Exhibit 2?

A That's a photograph of the clicker.
Q And the photograph of the clicker, what do you mean by that?

A That's the clicker that they had at the front door keeping track of the amount of patrons coming inside.

Q Who took that photograph?
A Investigator Langway.
Q Now, turning to Exhibit 3 of this exhibit, what is this?

A A photograph of the crowd inside the establishment.

Q And what's the significance of that?
A Just trying to show the amount of
people inside.
Q Then the next page is Exhibit 4 and 5. What are those?

A Those are the two fake IDs that I confiscated from the two minors inside the establishment.

Q And then Exhibit 4 is that for the female patron?

A Yes.
Q And Exhibit 5, who is that for?
A The male patron.
Q All right. And Exhibit No. 6, what's that?

A That's the Sale to Minor Notification form.

Q I notice there is a couple other photographs of the inside of the establishment. Why don't we skip to Exhibit 11, what is that?

A This is a regulatory inspection created by Investigator Langway.

Q And was that done that night?
A No.

Q Okay. And lastly or not lastly, Exhibit, is that, 12?

A Yes.
Q And what is that?
A That is the security plan.
Q And Exhibit 13, what is that?
A That's the settlement agreement.
Q And turn to the settlement agreement.
How is the settlement agreement relevant to this case?

A Because the establishment has a settlement agreement with the community or ANC that states that their occupancy can't be no more than 99.

Q And is that within that document?
A Yes.
Q Now, with the exception of the typographical error, which Georgetown Piano Bar is named on the third page, is this a true and accurate representation of the case report as you completed it?

A Yes.

Q All right.
MR. ADAMS: Mr. Chairman, I guess those have to be admitted into the record, but I would like pursuant to the Rules of ABRA, I would like this admitted as the record for, I guess, the ABRA Record and it's also the Board's record, which is the case report in this case.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Sung?
MR. SUNG: No objection.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The only concern that $I$ do have $7,8,9,10$ were not -you skipped over them, so --

MR. ADAMS: Sure. Okay. So I can go over that.

BY MR. ADAMS:
Q Those Exhibits 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10, what are those?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, it's 7 -yeah, 7. You talked about 6, but you skipped over 7, 8, 9 and 10. So should $\mathrm{I}-$ if he doesn't have an objection, they will be there, but since they were never identified for the
record.
BY MR. ADAMS:
Q Can you identify those exhibits?
A Oh, these are photographs of the kitchen area of the establishment.

Q All right. And are they a true and accurate representations of the photographs of the kitchen area?

A Yes.
Q Okay. All right.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So
moved.
(Whereupon, the abovereferred to document was received into evidence as Government Exhibit No. 1.)

MR. ADAMS: All right.
BY MR. ADAMS:
Q And, Mr. Puente, before I left you off the stand, all right, how were you trained to identify -- oh, I apologize. Well, two things.

How were you trained to identify, to
look at identifications and validate them?
A I was trained by my supervisor two years ago when I first started as well as another Investigator.

Q And can you describe what are the obligations of any given establishment in terms of checking identification?

A They have to take reasonable steps to ascertain the age of the individual, so they have to check IDs.

Q Now, in terms of reasonable steps, what do you mean by -- what would be reasonable steps?

A Asking a minor questions about the ID. Asking him -- taking the time to look at the IDs to see or determine if it's fraudulent, not just scan the ID and let them in.

Q And when you say look at them, how specifically would you look?

A I would look at them to see -- make sure the picture matches the person in front of you. Make sure the date of birth is supposed to
be the right year, ask them questions about the state they gave them about the ID where they are from, the street address, the number.

Q Now, when you were looking at this ID, I think you said you used a light or something of that nature?

A ABRA Investigators have an ID Checker, we call it.

Q Okay. And what kind of -- what's an ID Checker?

A It's a small device that has a magnifying glass in it as well as a blue light, so we can check the holograms as well as a flashlight, so we can check the ID as well, see it.

Q And to what extent is it expected that establishments would use an ID Checker?

A They are expected to take reasonable steps, so that means getting a blue flashlight, buying some kind of device to help ascertain if it's a fraudulent ID or not.

Q Are you familiar with an ID Checking

Guide?
A Yes.

Q And what is that?
A It's an ID Checking Guide that we get every year, the $A B C$ gets every year that we take out to establishments. It has tips about the IDs that are issued, driver licenses, passports, foreign IDs, what kind of holograms are supposed to be on the back of the ID when you look at it with a blue light.

Q All right. And how are establishments expected to use those?

A Just take time to know the information from that book, so they can look at an ID. If they suspect an ID is fake, they can look in that book to see if the hologram matches it or if the other security features on the ID match up with what is in the book.

Q Now, what -- prior to February 4, 2017, what conversations or any communications are you aware of with this -- what, if any, communication are you aware of with this
establishment regarding the checking of IDs?
A I believe I told Mr. Bartholomew several times about getting a blue light and not just using that scanner and relying on that scanner.

Q All right. And do you happen to remember how frequently or when those conversations occurred?

A I believe back in 2015 when we first got one of the underage minors and I believe several times prior as well -- after that.

Q All right. Now, I'm looking at your report. I apologize before I move on, now, you stated that there are certain techniques that you expect establishments -- reasonable techniques that you expect establishments to take. Would you consider it to be exceptional what you do in terms of how you review the IDs and how you were able to identify that the pictures are darker and things of that sort? Was there some type of exceptional skill that you used in order to make this determination?

A No.
Q All right. All right. Within your report, you -- one of your recommendations is that this establishment violated code -- D.C. Official Code § 25-783?

A Yes.
Q All right. What is that? What is 25783?

A Projection about identification document required penalty.

Q All right. What does that state regarding -- and I apologize. Does that go to reasonable steps?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So what exactly in your -- to your knowledge, what does that thing state regarding -- does that provision state regarding reasonable steps?

MR. SUNG: Objection. The witness is a fact witness. He is not here to interpret the law.

MR. ADAMS: In this case, it applies.

He made a charge on $25-783$ pertaining to why that was done. And so he did -- he does have -- has factual expertise in this case.

MR. SUNG: He can testify to the facts. I don't think he is competent to testify about the statute.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, the -MR. ADAMS: He can testify regarding his impression of the statute.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So I'm going to overrule the objection. I mean, the witness is charged with giving establishments a violation of the section, so $I$ would assume he knows what is it that he is looking for prior to giving the establishment an infraction, so I'll overrule the objection. Go ahead, answer the question, sir.

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?

BY MR. ADAMS:
Q What -- in terms of 25-783, what's your impression of how that provision relates to reasonable steps necessary?

A
So taking reasonable steps to identify that the ID is fraudulent or not, I believe the staff didn't take reasonable steps that night from what I observed in line. They were just taking the ID from the patron, scanning it, giving it back to them, scanning it, giving it back to them.

Like I stated before, the scanner gives a false positive. From what $I$ have been told, it just reads the information off the ID. And if the establishment takes the reasonable steps to stop and look at the ID, they can see if the picture matches the patron. They can see if the coloring is off, if the lamination is off, just talking to the person for a couple seconds to see if they are telling the truth about the information on the ID instead of just scanning it and giving it back to them right away.

Q All right.
MR. ADAMS: I have no fur ther questions for Investigator Puente.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Your witness.

BY MR. SUNG:
Q Good afternoon, Investigator Puente.
A Good afternoon.
Q When did you start working at ABRA?
A July 2015.
Q Okay. So you said that on February 4, 2017 you had been to Chinese Disco many times previously, correct?

A Yes, several times before.
Q Okay. And you stated that there had been issues with underage customers inside Chinese Disco prior to February 4, 2017, correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Have there been any violations, allegations of violations issued against Chinese Disco prior to February 4, 2017 ?

A Yes, because I wrote several case reports, I believe.

Q I'm not aware of any. Do you know which they are?

A Yes. If you look in the Investigative

Report in the case history on page 4 --
Q Yes.
A September 20, 2015, sale to minor, Board-approved manager required. 11/18/15 the Board requested a sale to minor warning letter be sent and referred --

Q Okay. You weren't working on --
A I started at ABRA July 2015.
Q July 2015?
A Yeah, that was my case I wrote in September 2015.

Q I see it. Okay. So all I see is September 20, 2015, correct?

A Yes.
Q Is there one before or after that?
A Not before.
Q Okay. So just one, right?
A Yes.
Q September 20, 2015 to February 4, 2017, that's a year and a half.

A Um-hum.
Q Right. So during that time, during
the year and a half that you visited, there were no other underage drinking issues at Chinese Disco, correct?

A Yes.
Q To your knowledge?
A To my knowledge, yes.
Q Okay. Now, you had been to Chinese Disco previously regarding capacity issues, correct?

A Yes, I believe several weeks prior.
Q I believe that was January 2017, correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And at that time, you determined that the establishment was over its capacity, correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And at that time, you encountered two sets of clickers, correct?

A Yes.
Q One for -- one to count the people coming inside and one to count the people going
outside, correct?
A Yes.
Q Yet on February 4, 2017, you did not take note of the clicker for people going outside, correct?

A Yes, because there was only one clicker at the door and that was the one with the 205 number.

Q Okay. You don't mention that in your report, did you?

A No, I did not.
Q Okay. You don't mention in your report that you asked to see an exit clicker?

A I can't recall if $I$ asked or not.
Q Okay. So you don't know sitting here today whether you asked for an exit clicker, correct?

A I can't recall.
Q Okay. You didn't actually count the number of people inside, correct?

A No.
Q Now, regarding the female Georgetown
student that you observed was underage, you didn't see her get served alcohol by the employees, correct?

A No.
Q And she did not tell you that she was served by an employee of Chinese Disco, correct?

A No.
Q So you don't know how she got a drink in her hand, correct?

A I do not know.
Q Now, I direct your attention to Exhibit 4. Is that the fake ID that the female student presented to you?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And are you able to describe from this photo how the ID is fake?

A I have a black and white photo, so I recall that the color was off around the Rhode Island area right there where it says driver license.

Q Okay.
A That was a dark blue. Then I believe
in the color around her facial area was a little off as well. Then when I turned the ID around, I looked through the magnifying glass, the barcode area was off as well.

Q Okay. Did you ever see her real Rhode Island ID?

A No.
Q How would the Board know that this is obviously a fake ID from looking at this photo?

A How the Board would know?
Q Yeah.
A I can't answer that.
Q Okay. Wouldn't it be instructive to compare this to a real Rhode Island ID?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Similarly, I direct your attention to Exhibit 5, which is the ID that the underage male presented to you.

A Yes.
Q Did you see his real ID?
A Yes, he had a New Jersey driver license.

Q But you didn't take a photograph of that?

A I did and I sent it to Georgetown University.

Q Okay. But you don't have --
A I don't include that in this report.
Q -- that? And how are you able to tell, based on this photo, that it was an obviously fake ID?

A It was a very dark blue color and as well as when he opened up his ID, I saw the two IDs right away when he opened up his wallet.

Q Oh, you saw two IDs?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Now, similarly, for this male customer, you didn't ask him how he got the alcohol that night, correct?

A No.
Q So you don't know if the employees served him that night, correct?

A No.
Q Now, when you came to Chinese Disco,
you identified yourself to security as being an ABRA Investigator, correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Before you did any observing, you identified yourself as such, correct?

A I believe not, because the security guard actually knew us from previous experiences.

Q So the security guard knew that you were there?

A At the front door, yes.
Q And Detective Carter was also there?
A Yes.
Q Did you have a badge around your neck?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Isn't it true that security was checking IDs and when they saw ones that were suspicious, they handed them to Detective Carter that evening?

A I can't recall to be honest.
Q Do you recall how many security were working outside that evening?

A It was one or two, I believe.

Q During the course of the night, aside from checking the clicker that you took a photograph of, did you ask any of the employees how many people were inside at that time?

A I believe I did not.
Q Okay.
MR. SUNG: That's all the questions $I$ have. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions by any Board Members?

MEMBER ALBERTI: Yes, I do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You do? Go ahead, Mr. Alberti.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Investigator Puente, thank you for your report.

How would you describe the size of the crowd in terms of numbers?

THE WITNESS: I believe when we walked in there was a large crowd by the bar area. So we couldn't really walk through it, so $I$ had to walk around to the one end side, then walk down another hallway area to the back side of the bar.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Do you have any impression how large the crowd was?

THE WITNESS: I believe it reflected the 205 number on the clicker.

MEMBER ALBERTI: How confident are you?

THE WITNESS: Very confident.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Thank you. That's a big difference from 99 to 205.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. So you -- is that partly why you are confident?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. You said that they violated the law requiring the kitchen to be open until two hours -- sorry, strike that from the record. Okay. That makes my job easier.

Have you ever done ID checks at this establishment before --

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: -- February 4th?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. Have you ever suspected that there were fake IDs during those checks?

THE WITNESS: I didn't come across one. Just that one previous time back in 2015. MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Do you know back in 2015 if you discussed the matter with the management?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Do you remember who you were talk -- spoken to?

THE WITNESS: I believe it was Greg Bartholomew back then, but I'm not -- can't be for certain.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. You spoke about the barcode. You kept saying it was off. Can you elaborate on what you mean by that?

THE WITNESS: Yes. So ABRA conducts ID training. We invite -- the Agency invites a professional down and gives the training to all the establishments that come to and sign up for it. I wasn't there for that training, because I
was out of town that -- during that week, but Investigator Brashears advised me during the training that he learned from the professional that if you look at the barcode on the back of a fake ID, when you look at it with a magnifying glass, the lines are all squiggly. They are not 90 degree angles.

If you look at that barcode on a real
ID, it's all 90 degree angles on the barcode. MEMBER ALBERTI: Do you have any knowledge about whether that would make it readable or unreadable through a scanner?

THE WITNESS: No. So I have been told in the past by Detective Carter and other professionals that those scanners give out the false positive, because they just read the information off that ID that they present. MEMBER ALBERTI: What do you mean they read the information? They read the written information?

THE WITNESS: Yes, so it's scanning the information on the ID that is presented into
the machine.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. So again, I mean, the barcode is information, so $I$ want to be very clear here.

THE WITNESS: Um-hum.
MEMBER ALBERTI: When you say they are reading the numbers and letters?

THE WITNESS: No, just reading the information. So the date of birth and the name off it.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. The numbers and letters?

THE WITNESS: Um-hum.
MEMBER ALBERTI: And that's where they are coming up with the information. Okay.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. So it's their
impression that they are not reading the barcode?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. Do you know -- have you ever been to the training that we do for licensees?

THE WITNESS: I have not.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Thank you. I have no further questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other questions by any other Board Members? All right. Hearing none, Mr. Sung, any questions of the witness based on the questions that were asked by the Board?

MR. SUNG: No, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Adams?
MR. ADAMS: I have a couple questions.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. ADAMS:
Q During cross-examination you were asked about the male patron. And do you remember speaking with -- on cross-examination regarding the second patron, the male patron?

A Yes.
Q All right. Within the questions he asked you about, $I$ guess, conversations about what was consumed.

A Yes.

Q Now, can you again tell the Board, from the conversation, what did this patron tell you in terms of what he consumed that night?

A He advised me he did some vodka.
Q All right.
A Some vodka beverages.
Q And was there discussion about where he actually consumed those beverages?

A Inside.
Q He stated it was actually inside the establishment?

A Um-hum.
Q But I guess the question that was asked whether or not did he actually state that he actually obtained it from the bar establishment staff, is that what that question was?

A No, he never told me who he obtained it from.

Q Okay. So you don't know who he got it from?

A Yes.

Q But you know it was inside?
A Yes.

Q All right.
MR. ADAMS: No further questions.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr.
Puente, you can step down.
MR. ADAMS: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Does the

Government have another witness?

MR. ADAMS: The Government does not have another witness for its case in chief. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Does not have another witness in its case in chief, so it rests its chief case and it does reserve the right to-its right to be able to call witnesses on rebuttal.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr. Sung, do you wish to call a witness?

MR. SUNG: No. We do not have any witnesses.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: How does the --
how do you wish to proceed?
MR. SUNG: How do I proceed?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yeah, are you--
MR. SUNG: I'm going to make a
closing.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You are going to do closing?

MR. SUNG: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Fine. So all right. Does the Government wish to make a closing statement?

MR. ADAMS: Absolutely, Mr. Chairman. Thank you very much, Members of the Board, for hearing this case, the evidence in this case. I know that we went a wee long on this, but however, this is an important issue in terms of the underage drinking or patrons who are under 21 who are drinking within our establishments. This is one of the more serious violations that is constantly policed.

What we have seen here is that we have four charges and the District has proved each of
the charges. We proved that -- we provided the evidence that each of the charges occurred and the violations have occurred at the establishment.

In terms of the first charge, the first charge is, essentially, did the licensee, did Chinese Disco allow the consumption of -- the sale, delivery or consumption of alcoholic beverages by persons under the age of 21 at the establishment? And the answer is resoundingly yes.

Well, obviously, it's yes, because there is no defense here. The facts are very clear. Investigator Puente just got off the stand and stated that he went in the establishment. After he saw that there were three people arrested on that occasion for having -- trying to use fraudulent IDs to get within the establishment, he -- in discussions that were had regarding three 19 year-old female patrons trying -- from Georgetown University, an educational institution that was a few blocks away from

Chinese Disco, that they were trying to get in.
So he said well, that gives me reason to go in and do compliance checks. So he goes in with the assistance of the staff that was there, he identifies some person who was there.

Now, before we even go -- get to that point, we also note the fact that he is -- in addition to the people he is finding at the door, there is other patrons who are starting to come to the establishment. As soon as they see police or ABRA there, they are high-tailing, they are moving in different directions, because of the presence of law enforcement.

The Board should ask themselves well, why is that? Why are people changing their behaviors if law enforcement is there? If you are legit, you don't -- and you are doing everything that's legal, there is no problems. So we go into the compliance check. We see that we have a 19 year-old patron who has a drink in her hand, who claims it's vodka and sprite, that she has -- she is 19 years-old, that
she is 19 years-old. That's it. As soon as that occurred, the Board -- the District has proven Charge 1.

But then furthermore, we have a male patron, same deal. He is inebriated. He bluntly is assaulting patrons within the establishment. And because of that, he is being removed for molesting and harassing people within the establishment. What was his -- how did he look? He looked young. He looked under 21. He was inebriated. He could barely stand up from the testimony from Investigator Puente.

You get the information from him. He is 19 years-old. Again, another Georgetown student. I don't know why 19 year-olds are -- we have got five 19 year-olds, maybe it's just a thing, maybe it was 19 year-old night. Whatever. I'm not going to go there.

But you have -- in both cases, they have fake identification. In both cases, Investigator Puente notices that he was able to readily identify markers within the
identifications that would indicate that they were fraudulent, that they were not state-issued IDs and he did this fairly easily.

So we have already proven Charge 1. You have a primary tier offense on a very serious violation.

Charge 2 is 783. That is did the licensee take reasonable -- the steps reasonably necessary to ascertain whether the persons to him were sold, served, deliver alcoholic beverage were of legal drinking age.

The District again proves that by several circumstances. This is where you have to look at the totality of circumstances, Board. You have an establishment -- and frankly, this is the reason David Carter was here.

The reason that -- you look at
everything. You look at the fact that you have an establishment that is near a university. You look at an establishment that has had a history of being involved in an ID Checking program or the Cops in Shops program.

20 occasions and almost every occasion you had an arrest. So at that point, the establishment is on notice that patrons that come there are using fake/fraudulent identification. All right? So the record has already established that they are having people who are doing this.

That in 2015, the establishment was caught. They had the same problem of someone being caught inside. They were given a written warning by the ABC Board. That's an indication. You have at least 20 other occasions where they were given warnings.

They know that people are coming with or at least trying to get in the establishment. You are having a situation where people are being -- the arrests are happening right there with the establishment's presence.

At that point -- and frankly, you also have the situation on the night in question where patrons are within the view of everyone, are changing their behaviors based upon the presence of law enforcement. So what does that tell you?

That tells you that the -- this is a situation where it's a restaurant that on one occasion had a problem.

You are seeing consistent conduct, consistent conduct, consistent desire within persons. You are seeing consistent descriptions in terms of the type of patrons that are there. You are seeing consistent descriptions on the ages of cliental that are there. You are seeing a higher level, what should be a higher level of scrutiny.

So when we look at reasonable, the term reasonable really goes to the idea -- we can look at it in a way subjectively, but they definitely were on notice that you have to do something more to look at it.

So you saw it, you heard the Investigator's testimony that he was able to identify problems in the identification of five people, including those that were with -- that were identified at the front door. That nevertheless patrons were still able to get in.

That from Puente's testimony that there were -- they seem to be relying on an ID scanner that, you know, provides positive indications. But really, they didn't check anything. That essentially, for an establishment that essentially under the radar that knows that it has patrons that are coming there who are younger, that they are just not doing the scrutiny that is necessary to make sure that patrons who are under 21 are not there. So they lose on Charge 2.

Charge 3. And we have gone over this recently with this Board, but again looking at the facts, we could talk about whether or not there is two clickers or not. It doesn't really matter.

You have one indication, the establishment, according to their license, can only have a capacity of 99 persons. It's also in their application as well. That there is a clicker that says 205. That once the Investigator goes inside he sees -- whether it is

205 or 150 or 185, he sees more than 99 persons. And frankly, what he sees is a crowd that is consistent, more consistent with 205 than not 205.

Again that -- and now that -- number one, the Board can look at the law and state that by exceeding your occupancy, that indeed it's a substantial change in the operation or the format of the establishment. So therefore, a substantial change is sustained.

Now, in taking into fact that the -as Investigator Puente testified, this also happened on January 22, 2017. Now, we have a pattern, then there is no doubt whether or not there is substantial change.

And the settlement agreement goes without saying, you made -- the establishment made a commitment to the community to keep their occupancy -- to have less than 99 patrons period within the establishment. I don't have to go into any crazy interpretations or definition of what occupancy is. No more than 99 patrons.

There were more than 99 patrons. So the establishment failed. They lose on all four charges.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So what is it that you are asking us to do?

MR. ADAMS: What I'm asking you, the Board, to do is to do this: That this is a serious violation. For Charge 1, the establishment -- we recommend a \$3,000 fine and that their license should be suspended for five days, all days served.

For Charge 2, we recommend a $\$ 2,000$ fine, that there should be a suspension of five days. The Board can make a determination of whether or not it is served or not served. And that all servers and the security staff should complete an alcohol training program.

Charge 3, a \$2,000 fine. The license shall be suspended for three days.

And Charge 4, a \$1,000 fine.
So in total, $I$ can't count very well.
I'm not a comparing major or a math major, but
that would be a $\$ 8,000$ fine with at least a 12day suspension.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: How do you get -- you said the first charge is five days. You want us to decide the second one.

On Charge 1 you said a five day suspension. Charge 2 you said that a five day suspension all served. Charge 2 you said five day suspension, but you didn't state whether or not they should be served or not.

MR. ADAMS: I give it -- I leave it to the discretion of the Board to determine that.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And for Charge 3 you said a three day suspension.

The reason I'm asking is because you said a 12-day suspension and that doesn't add up to --

MR. ADAMS: Oh. 12-day suspension period, but whether or not it's served, at least five days should --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, that's 13 days, Mr. Adams.

MR. ADAMS: I can't count, Mr.
Chairman.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So that's why I'm saying your numbers are not consistent. So you are saying that's 13 days suspension and at least eight of the days should be served?

MR. ADAMS: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So --
MR. ADAMS: Or actually, I'll say at least five should be served, yes.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- oh, okay. So for the capacity you said three days suspension.

MR. ADAMS: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. So at least five should be served.

MR. ADAMS: At least five days should be served.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
MEMBER ISAAC: Were there any days recommended for the fourth charge?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: \$1,000.

MEMBER ALBERTI: But no days.
MEMBER ISAAC: No days.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yeah. But I'll -- hold on, hold on. All right. Mr. Sung, how do you -- what's your closing?

MR. SUNG: Thank you. I think it is very important to note that the Government has the burden of proof. We cannot proceed with innuendos and very loose inferences.

When you say oh, there were people walking away from the police, we go down a very dangerous path. That should not be the basis of a finding of a violation here. We have to look at the statute which gives us instruction on whether there is a violation.

The first charge, 25-781, two subsections. The first subsection "You are guilty of this violation if you sold," and we have no testimony today the licensee or its employees sold an alcoholic beverage to anyone underage.

Subsection (b) "permitted the consumption," that's a little bit subjective.

Was there testimony that these underage customers had alcohol? Yes. Is there testimony that the establishment knowingly permitted the consumption of this alcohol? No, none whatsoever. The Government has failed to prove its case for Charge 1.

Charge 2. Did the licensee fail to take reasonable steps to ascertain the age of someone to whom alcohol was served? The assumption on this charge is that someone underage was served alcohol. Again, we don't have the facts to show that the licensee or its employees served these customers drinks.

Well, how did they get drinks in their hand you may ask? There is a lot of ways. I'm sure the Board has heard of many instances of people sharing drinks, of people sneaking in alcohol. We don't know, but we cannot speculate. The Government has the job of connecting the dots for the Board. We cannot just magically conclude that there was a violation that occurred.

Moreover, reasonable steps. Detective

Carter helps us in this regard. He testified that whenever the security guards saw a suspicious ID, they handed it to him and then he determined whether he would go any further. That tells us that the security guards on the evening in question did not just robotically scan the IDs. They checked the IDs. If they looked bad, they gave it to Detective Carter.

What reasonable steps did the guards not take? I don't know. Now, there is much talk about a history of underage drinking at this establishment. I submit to you that the history is actually very good.

Investigator Puente stated that he had been to this venue many, many times since he started working in the middle of 2015. And in that time, this is the first violation to come before this Board. Yes, there was an allegation of a violation in September of 2015, but that was a warning letter. There was no adjudication at that time.

For an establishment that is apparently overrun with underage kids trying to clamor inside, that's a pretty good record, I submit to you.

We have had this issue before the Board previously about whether the violation of a settlement agreement rises to a substantial change. The statute is very clear in order to violate that particular statute about taking a -making a substantial change, there has to be three things.
(1) A change to the interior, the physical interior;
(2) A change to the physical exterior; or,
(3) A change in the format that is different from the way that the business was at the time that the business applied for the liquor license.

> Again, Investigator Puente, the witnesses did not talk about what changes occurred from the time the application was made
to this date, February 4, 2017. There has been no physical change to the establishment that rises to the level of a substantial change. There hasn't been a change in format. No changes in the exterior. So again, that violation falls.

In fact, $I$ would submit to you that trying to overload this case with this violation is an over-reach from the prosecution and if the Board finds this violation, it's really in this violation to the intent of the statute. The statute was made to try to make sure that licensees don't misrepresent what the nature of their operations is and/or changes the nature of their operation substantially after getting their liquor license. That is not the case here.

At most, the case here is the establishment exceeded its legal capacity.

Finally, the violation of the settlement agreement. Investigator Puente has admitted that the procedure of the establishment is to have to clickers: One to count the people coming in, one to count the people going out. In
order to determine how many people are inside, you have to subtract the latter number --

MR. ADAMS: For the record, I'm going to object --

MR. SUNG: -- from the first number.
MR. ADAMS: -- to that.
MR. SUNG: This is closing.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on.
MR. ADAMS: Again, I --
MR. SUNG: You cannot object during closing.

MR. ADAMS: Mr. Sung, I can object during closing. It would be nice if you knew the Rules of Evidence, so that you can state whether or not you know that there is -- you have --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. SUNG: I have got to object to this objection.

MR. ADAMS: The rules of closing, Mr. Sung, but it is indeed allowed. And since you have and did misrepresent the testimony by Mr. Puente, it is appropriate. It is misrepresented
regarding -- by stating that there is a policy by the establishment by having two clickers. That's my objection and we are stating it as a misrepresentation, Mr. Chairman, and I would ask you for you to rule.

So but for Mr. Sung's representation, yes, trial attorneys can object during closing arguments when the other side lies.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr.
Sung. You are presenting, but I do caution you to go by the facts that was testified to. I know that there were -- there was no testimony specifically saying that -- about the two clickers. I know there was some, but so just be careful in the sense of the conclusion.

MR. SUNG: It's up to the Board to determine whose interpretation of the facts is accurate. We have the transcript. I'll leave it up to the Board.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Go ahead, sir.

MR. SUNG: My specific recollection of

Mr. Puente's testimony is that he had been to Chinese Disco previously where he observed the operation of two clickers to determine the capacity. In this instance, he did not ask to see the exit clicker. He doesn't remember.

I submit to the Board that the loose estimate of observing a crowd and concluding that there were 200 people inside an establishment does not rise to the level of the burden of proof required in this case.

There was no attempt made to count. It's not that hard to count. It really isn't. Once you go over 99, you are there, but no such counting took place. I therefore submit that the burden of proof has not been made on any of these counts and $I$ ask the Board to dismiss all the charges. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you. The -- before $I$ formally close the record, $I$ know there was no specific testimony, but are there any documents that you wish to include in the record?

MR. SUNG: No, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No. All right.
Do the parties wish to -- the record is now closed. Do the parties wish to file proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law or waive their right to do so?

MR. SUNG: I would like to file conclusions -- findings of fact and conclusions of law.

MR. ADAMS: The District is fine with that.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So the findings of facts and conclusions of law, they are due to the Board 30 days after receipt of the transcript. And the transcript should probably -- should -- will be emailed to the parties within the next three weeks.

If you do change your mind about making these findings, you are welcome to so advise the Board.

As Chairperson of the Alcoholic
Beverage Control Board for the District of

Columbia and in accordance with Section 405 of the Open Meetings Amendment Act of 2010, I move that the ABC Board hold a closed meeting for the purpose of seeking legal advice from our counsel on Case No. 17-CC-00020, Chinese Disco, per Section 405(b)(4) of the Open Meetings Amendment Act of 2010, and deliberating upon Case No. 17-CC-00020, Chinese Disco, for the reasons cited in Section $405(b)(13)$ of the Open Meetings Amendment Act of 2010. Is there a second?

MEMBER SHORT: Second.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I will now take
a roll call vote on the motion before us now that it has been seconded.

Mr. Cato?
MEMBER CATO: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Isaac?
MEMBER ISAAC: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Alberti?
MEMBER ALBERTI: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short?
MEMBER SHORT: I agree.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Wahabzadah? MEMBER WAHABZADAH: I agree. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Mr. Anderson? I agree.

As it appears that the motion has passed, $I$ hereby give notice that the ABC Board will hold a closed meeting in the ABC Board conference room pursuant to the Open Meetings Amendment Act of 2010 and issue an order once within 90 days after we have received the conclusions of law and findings of fact.

MR. ADAMS: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I want to thank both parties for their presentation today. And the Board will rule accordingly. Thank you very much.

MR. ADAMS: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

MR. SUNG: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
(Whereupon, the Show Cause Hearing was concluded at 5:46 p.m.)
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