

**THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA  
ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS BOARD**

<b>In the Matter of:</b>	)	
	)	
CIVIC, LLC	)	
t/a CIVIC on Eighth	)	
	)	Case No.: 26-PRO-00019
Application for New	)	License No.: ABRA-134549
Retailer’s Class CT License	)	Order No.: 2026-525
	)	
at premises	)	
501 8th Street, SE	)	
Washington, DC 20003	)	
	)	

CIVIC, LLC, t/a CIVIC on Eighth, Applicant

Dan Koffman, Counsel, on behalf of the Applicant

Karen Hughes, Samuel Pastore, and David Sobelsohn, Commissioners,  
Advisory Neighborhood Commission (ANC) 6B, Protestant

**BEFORE:** Donovan Anderson, Chairperson  
Silas Grant, Jr., Member  
Teri Janine Quinn, Member  
Ryan Jones, Member  
David Meadows, Member

---

**ORDER ON DISMISSAL OF ANC 6B’S PROTEST**

---

The Application filed by CIVIC, LLC, t/a CIVIC on Eighth (Applicant), for a New Retailer’s CT License, having been protested, came before the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board (Board) for a Roll Call Hearing on June 1, 2026.

On June 1, 2026, the Board dismissed the Protest of ANC 6B because ANC 6B’s Protest claim falls outside the possible grounds outlined in Title 25 of the District of Columbia Official Code and Title 23 of the District of Columbia Municipal Regulations (DCMR).

23 DCMR § 1602.2 requires the Protestant to state “why the matter being objected to is inappropriate under one (1) or more of the appropriateness standards set out in DC Official Code §§ 25-313 and 25-314 and § 400 of this title.” As determined by statute, the

appropriate grounds to file a protest are: (1) effect on peace, order, and quiet; (2) effect on real property values; (3) effect on residential parking needs and vehicular and pedestrian safety; (4) proximity and effect on schools, recreation centers, day care centers, and public libraries; (5) ability to attract school-age children before, during, and after school; or (6) creation of an overconcentration of licensed establishments.

ANC 6B may file a Request for Reinstatement with the Board within ten (10) days from the date of this Order.

### **ORDER**

The Board does hereby, this 3rd day of June 2026, **DISMISS** the Protest of ANC 6B. Copies of this Order shall be sent to the Parties.

District of Columbia  
Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board

eSigned via SeamlessDocs.com  
*Donovan Anderson*  
Key: ac43cb96c9d5f0e4b730093d1dccc8

---

Donovan Anderson, Chairperson

---

Silas Grant, Jr., Member

---

Teri Janine Quinn, Member



---

Ryan Jones, Member



---

David Meadows, Member

Any party adversely affected may file a Motion for Reconsideration of this decision or other motion permitted by law within ten days of service of this Order. If a motion is filed, the opposing party may file a response within seven days. If a response is filed, the movant may file a reply within three days. All filings should be served on all parties to the matter and delivered to the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Administration, 899 North Capitol Street, N.E., Suite 4200-B, Washington, D.C. 20002, or sent by email to [abca.legal@dc.gov](mailto:abca.legal@dc.gov). Parties are further advised that the failure to properly serve the other parties or to present all matters of record that have allegedly been erroneously decided in a motion for reconsideration may result in the waiver of those matters being considered by the Board. The Board also reserves the right to summarily deny or not consider multiple and repetitive motions.

In addition to filing a Motion for Reconsideration, pursuant to § 11 of the District of Columbia Administrative Procedure Act, Pub. L. 90-614, 82 Stat. 1209, D.C. Official Code § 2-510 (2001), and Rule 15 of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, a party that is adversely affected may have the right to appeal this Order by filing a petition for review, within 30 days of the date of service of this Order, with the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, located at 430 E Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. Parties are advised that the timely filing of a Motion for Reconsideration stays the time for filing a petition for review in the District of Columbia Court of Appeals until the Board rules on the motion. *See* D.C. App. Rule 15(b) (2004).

Parties are also advised that the Superior Court of the District of Columbia may have jurisdiction to hear appeals in non-contested cases or in matters where that court is specifically provided jurisdiction by law. Finally, advisory neighborhood commissions (ANCs) are advised that their right to appeal or challenge a decision of the Board may be limited by the laws governing ANCs. *See e.g.*, D.C. Code § 1-309.10(g).