DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

+ + + + + MEETING

IN THE MATTER OF:

:

14th & V, Inc., t/a Busboys and Poets :

1390 V Street NW : Show Cause Retailer CR - ANC 1B : Hearing

License No. 71220 : Case #18-CC-00027 ::

:

(Sale to Minor Violation,: Failed to Take Steps : Necessary to Ascertain : Legal Drinking Age) :

:

Case #18-CC-00071
(Sale to Minor Violation):

Wednesday, October 31, 2018

The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board met in the Alcoholic Beverage Control Hearing Room, Reeves Building, 2000 14th Street, N.W., Suite 400S, Washington, D.C. 20009, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson, presiding.

PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson NICK ALBERTI, Member BOBBY CATO, JR., Member MIKE SILVERSTEIN, Member JAMES SHORT, Member DONALD ISAAC, SR., Member REMA WAHABZADAH, Member ALSO PRESENT:

AMY SCHMIDT, DC OAG

ANAS SHALLAL, Licensee

STEPHEN O'BRIEN, Licensee's Counsel

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

All right.

1:37 p.m.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON:

Good afternoon. We are back on the record. Our first case is Case No. 18-CC-00027, Busboys and Poets, License No. 71220. We also have a companion case, which is a separate case, but since it's the same parties, I'm also calling that case also. So it's Case No. 18-CC-00071, Busboys and Poets, License No. 71220.

So we are going to treat each case separately, but since it's the same parties, I'm calling them.

So for the first one, Case No. 18-CC-00 -- and I'm going to address them based on the way they are on our calendar.

MS. SCHMIDT: Oh, if we may, could we address them out of order, because we have an OIC for the second one and the first one we would like to argue, so we would like to take care of it first.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. So which

1	case? So you have an OIC for which case?
2	MS. SCHMIDT: 00 let me make sure
3	I've got the right one.
4	MR. O'BRIEN: The higher number.
5	MS. SCHMIDT: Not necessarily, that's
6	why I want to make sure.
7	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. So all
8	right.
9	MS. SCHMIDT: No, it's not the higher
10	number. It's 18-CC-00071.
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's the
12	higher number.
13	MS. SCHMIDT: I thought it was 127.
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That 721 is
15	MS. SCHMIDT: I thought it was
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: the second
17	one is higher than
18	MS. SCHMIDT: So it's 127. I'm sorry.
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 27.
20	MR. O'BRIEN: Do you want
21	introductions, Mr. Chair?
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yeah, I

1	yeah, we are going to yeah.
2	MR. O'BRIEN: Okay.
3	MEMBER ALBERTI: We're all improving
4	our math skills here.
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yeah, we are
6	going to do introductions, but I was just calling
7	the case.
8	MR. O'BRIEN: Okay.
9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So
10	let me call the first case then, Case No. 18-CC-
11	00071, Busboys and Poets, License No. 71220.
12	Will the parties, please, approach and
13	identify themselves for the record, please?
14	MS. SCHMIDT: Good afternoon. Amy
15	Schmidt, Assistant Attorney General on behalf of
16	the District of Columbia.
17	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon,
18	Ms. Schmidt.
19	MR. O'BRIEN: Stephen O'Brien for the
20	licensee and I'm accompanied by Andy Shallal, S-
21	H-A-L-L-A-L, who is the president of the
22	corporate licensee.

1	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon,
2	Mr. O'Brien. Good afternoon, Mr. Shallal.
3	MR. SHALLAL: Good afternoon.
4	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Are
5	there any preliminary matters in this case?
6	MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chair, as counsel
7	indicated, we think we are in agreement that it
8	would be much more expeditious if we were to take
9	the second case first.
10	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's the case
11	I called.
12	MR. O'BRIEN: Okay.
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's the
14	case
15	MS. SCHMIDT: I'm having math issues,
16	sorry.
17	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr.
18	O'Brien, I'm already confused. Okay. Don't
19	further confuse me.
20	MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. I'll cut it out.
21	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, I yeah,
22	no. Based on the representation that was made by

1	you, I did call the second case first, so that's
2	why, so we are the case that we are that is
3	being addressed now is 18-CC-00071.
4	MR. O'BRIEN: Are you sure?
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: As I said
6	before, Mr. O'Brien, I'm already confused, so,
7	please, do not further confuse me.
8	MR. O'BRIEN: Okay.
9	MS. SCHMIDT: All right. I apologize.
10	MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Mr. Chair?
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr.
12	Silverstein?
13	MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: The World Series
14	ended Friday. I think we are a little late to
15	play who is on first.
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
17	Thank you, Mr. Silverstein.
18	MR. O'BRIEN: I think it's wrong.
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Huh?
20	MS. SCHMIDT: I think it's wrong also.
21	At least I think it is
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

1	MS. SCHMIDT: I apologize for one
2	second. I just want to make sure because
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You guys
4	MS. SCHMIDT: it affects
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yeah, we will
6	stand in recess for a minute.
7	MS. SCHMIDT: Oh, no, no.
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Look at the
9	numbers and you tell me which case should I call.
10	Look at those numbers, please, and let me know.
11	MS. SCHMIDT: No, but it is a
12	confusing subject matter. Okay. 071, we are
13	correct.
14	MR. O'BRIEN: We are correct, it is
15	71.
16	MS. SCHMIDT: And something was sent
17	by ABRA that had the wrong number.
18	MR. O'BRIEN: 71 is the
19	MS. SCHMIDT: July 5th incident.
20	MR. O'BRIEN: July 5th incident.
21	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So
22	to clarify the record again, the case that is now

1	being addressed is 18-CC-00071, Busboys and
2	Poets.
3	In this particular case, are there any
4	preliminary matters in this case?
5	MS. SCHMIDT: Yes, there is. There is
6	an Offer in Compromise, which well, first of
7	all, as a preliminary matter, the Government has
8	dropped is dropping Charge 3.
9	MR. O'BRIEN: Charge?
LO	MS. SCHMIDT: 3, which is no ABC
L1	Manager. And that is independent of any OIC.
L2	It's just being dropped
L3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
L 4	MS. SCHMIDT: as because
L5	evidence was produced to show that that man
L6	that that charge was previously brought.
L7	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on. No,
L8	there is only one charge in this case.
L9	MR. O'BRIEN: Yeah, that's right.
20	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: There is only
21	one charge in this case on this. Maybe that is
22	in the other case, but in this particular case

1	certainly the information that I have in for
2	Case 71, there is at least unless maybe
3	MEMBER ALBERTI: We are going to get
4	some materials.
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
6	MR. O'BRIEN: All right. Here is
7	this may be part of the problem. If you look at
8	the notice we have. All right. Mr. Chair, if I
9	may just speak with counsel?
LO	MS. SCHMIDT: Yes, that's why I was
L1	getting confused, yeah.
L2	MR. O'BRIEN: The charging date, in
L3	71, is
L 4	MS. SCHMIDT: The one I sent over
L5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who signed
L6	this? I didn't sign this.
L7	MR. SHALLAL: It has the wrong date.
L8	MR. O'BRIEN: It has the wrong date.
L9	It says July 25, which is not correct. It should
20	be July 5.
21	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And I'll say
22	this, part of the confusion in this case. I see

the Notice of Status Hearing and Show Cause
Hearing, I didn't sign this. So somebody signed
my name and so, therefore, that's probably the
confusion. And if I sign stuff myself, I know
what I am signing.

I am looking at this document and I see that this is not my signature, so maybe -- and the notice which is -- it's not illegal. I'm just wanted to clarify that. But I'm just saying but the Notice of Status and Show Cause Hearing, there is only one charge on the charging document and it is -- which is a sale to minor.

So are we all on the same page what this case is about? Because there is no Charge 3 to be dropped.

MS. SCHMIDT: That's -- no, but see,
I think the mistake is actually in 00 -- I think
this should have been 0027, because 0027 occurred
on March 9th. If you look at the reports
themselves, 0027 occurred on March 9th and that
was the date of the offense.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right. This

one is March 9th. I don't know which --1 2 MS. SCHMIDT: Oh, that's 0071, but that should have been -- that's a mistake. 3 4 should have been 0027. 0027 only has one charge. 5 If you look at -- and there is two 00 and the 6 other -- there are two different ones. 7 And the other one is -- the date there 8 is July 5th, that's the one with the --9 MR. O'BRIEN: All right. Here is part of the problem and this is -- I rail in my office 10 11 about the use of templates. Both notices, both 12 the notice for 27 and the notice for 71 recite a 13 date of -- an incident date of July 25, which is 14 wrong for two reasons. 15 One is nothing happened on July 25. And number two, clearly, two things didn't happen 16 on July 25. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So where are we? What case? You said July 25 or July 5th? 19 Which date? 20 21 MS. SCHMIDT: I don't even see July 25th. 22

1	MR. O'BRIEN: The two
2	MEMBER ALBERTI: Well, they both list,
3	am I correct, Ms. Schmidt, two dates
4	consecutively, March 9th and then Tuesday, the
5	25th. And then
6	MR. O'BRIEN: Are you looking
7	MEMBER ALBERTI: the same
8	MR. O'BRIEN: No. Are you looking at
9	the Notice to Show Cause or at the incident
10	reports?
11	MEMBER ALBERTI: The Notice to Show
12	Cause.
13	MS. SCHMIDT: Show Cause, yes.
14	MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. I have got a
15	Notice to Show Cause in No. 71.
16	MEMBER ALBERTI: Yes.
17	MR. O'BRIEN: And recites one charge.
18	MEMBER ALBERTI: And it says, the
19	paragraph begins "On Friday, March 9, 2018,
20	Tuesday, July 25, 2017."
21	MR. O'BRIEN: Okay.
22	MEMBER ALBERTI: Am I correct?

1	MS. SCHMIDT: Yeah.
2	MR. O'BRIEN: Clearly, that is wrong.
3	MEMBER ALBERTI: Right. That's
4	clearly wrong. And on I just want to make
5	sure we are all on the same page. And on 0027,
6	we had the same statement in the paragraph for
7	Charge 1.
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can I all
9	right. Hold on.
10	MEMBER ALBERTI: Is that correct?
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on.
12	MR. O'BRIEN: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on one
14	minute.
15	MEMBER ALBERTI: Thank you.
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on one
17	minute, Mr. Alberti, because I'm running this
18	hearing and I don't have. So can I have the
19	other case?
20	MEMBER ALBERTI: You have the charging
21	document?

charging document for one case. 1 2 MS. SCHMIDT: I just --CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So where is the 3 4 charging document for the other case? All right. 5 MEMBER ALBERTI: December 26th. All right. 6 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 7 Hold on. All right. Which incident occurred 8 first? All right. So the case that -- the first 9 incident is Case No. 18-CC-00027, that's the first case. 10 11 MS. SCHMIDT: Yes. 12 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. 13 the first case, because I am looking at the 14 Notice of Show Cause and Status Hearing, I signed off on that document and that case has two 15 16 charges. And I signed off on that document on 17 July 27, 2018. That's when -- the other 18 document, which is Case No. 18-CC-00071, the 19 Notice of Status Hearing and Show Cause that 20 document has a date of September 6, 2018. 21 So therefore, the first case should be

the 18-CC-00027, because that was the first

notice that was issued. And the 71, that notice was issued two months later, so maybe you guys can let me know, because I'm looking at the documents which my signature is on -- is in July and the other document, there is a signature in September.

So 71 -- you got notice of 71 in September. You got notice of 27 in July. So does that make a difference?

MS. SCHMIDT: Okay.

I'm going to do. I'm going to take -- we are going to be in recess and you guys can look at both of the cases. And you guys can figure out which one is first, which one was first. But as I said, I'm looking at the charging document and at least looking at the signatures, based on the signatures when the documents were issued, the first case should have been 18-CC-00027, because that's -- that charging document was submitted -- was sent on July 27, 2018.

For Case No. 18-CC-00071, that

charging document was submitted on September 6,
2018. So you guys -- when you are ready, let us
know how you want to proceed and which case is
first and which case there is an OIC on. Okay?

MR. O'BRIEN: Very well.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

Thank you. So we are in recess.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 1:49 p.m. and resumed at 1:55 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. We are back on the record and I'm going to make a motion to this Board, because I'm looking at these documents and I mean, in my view, the way a matter is supposed to occur, how proceedings -- I don't know how the parties did not recognize the fact that the charging documents were incorrect.

I'm not looking at the case report and the case report is incorrect. So well, I don't know what is wrong, whether or not it's the case report, but the case report does not go -- it's not on the same plane with the charging

documents, because for Case No. 18-CC-00027, the charge -- it says that "On Friday, March 9, 2018, Tuesday, July 25, 2017, at approximately 7:10, the Investigator went to the establishment."

The case report for Case No. 18-CC-00027, the case report says that on Friday, March 9, 2018, that's when that case occurred.

Now, by -- on -- looking at Case No. 18-CC-00071, the charging document says "On Friday, March 9, 2018, and Tuesday, July 25, 2017, at 7:10."

The case report for 18-CC-00071 it -the factual allegation said that "On Tuesday,

July 5, 2018." So when was it? Did it happen in
2017? Did it happen in 2018?

And my position is that all of this should have been caught at a minimum at a Show Cause Hearing. I don't have a problem if someone had brought it to our attention that at the Show Cause Hearing that there was an error between the charging document and the case report, because it could be someone -- well, there are two things.

Both documents have two dates in it that are not correct. I don't know who wrote it, but clearly it was improperly written. It appears to be cut and paste and I can't preside over something that I don't believe that is appropriate in this matter.

So the recommendation I'm making to the Board is that we dismiss Case No. 18-CC-00071 and Case No. 18-CC-00027, because I think it is unfair to the licensee because of the discrepancies in the documents.

I believe that since the charging document stated that one of the allegations occurred on July 25, 2017 and the -- looking at the case report, the incident occurred July 5, 2018 and looking at Case 18-CC-0027, it says that the incident occurred on March 9, 2018.

I just think that that's the appropriate way and that's one of the reasons why I believe, as Chair of the Board, that I'm making this motion to the other Board Members that we should dismiss both cases.

1 Do we have any -- any Board Member 2 wants to speak on that before I ask for a second and a vote? 3 4 MEMBER ALBERTI: Well --5 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr. Alberti? 6 MEMBER ALBERTI: -- I will not support 7 8 the motion. I think that the OAG should be given 9 the opportunity to reissue the Notice to Show I am not comfortable with this mistake. 10 I don't think we should go forward with this 11 12 hearing, but I think if this is dismissed, it 13 should be dismissed without prejudice to the Government and the Government should be allowed 14 to reissue the Notice to Show Cause with the 15 16 correct information. 17 I'm disappointed that we are sitting 18 here, I'll just say I'm very disappointed, with 19 these kinds of mistakes. It's really not 20 acceptable. 21 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other comments by any other Board Members? 22 Is there

1	another Board
2	MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Could I?
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, go
4	ahead, Mr. Silverstein.
5	MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: I agree with the
6	Chair.
7	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
8	With that, is there a second to the motion?
9	MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Second.
10	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr
11	MS. SCHMIDT: If I may?
12	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Ms.
13	Schmidt?
14	MS. SCHMIDT: With or without
15	prejudice is the motion with or without
16	prejudice?
17	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We are at a
18	Show Cause Hearing. I mean, we and once this
19	case is over, I have something I need to say to
20	the licensee. We are at a Show Cause Hearing. I
21	mean, we have this we had on both cases,
22	both notices are wrong. Both notices are wrong,

because both notices have the same exact dates.

And one of the dates that is in -- the infraction allegedly occurred on July 25, 2018.

Both notices said that the infraction occurred on July 5, 2017. Both notices.

MS. SCHMIDT: I understand. However, there was no -- however, it is not as if the licensee did not have proper notice of this hearing. In other words, we are just -- the Government is requesting -- the Government understands dismissing, at this point.

However, without prejudice, because it's not as if the licensee was not aware of these incidents. It's not as if they were made out of whole cloth. The licensee was here -- came in today ready to accept OICs and make arguments based on the dates of the incidents, based on the reports.

And therefore, I think it would be -it's not -- case law usually shows that this is
not a fatal error. Dates, it's a typographical.
It's not a fatal error. It's not as if they are

being accused of doing something they haven't done. It's just a date.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But I think Ms.

Schmidt, for the Government, when did the infraction occur? Both notices says that the infraction occurred on -- in both cases --

MS. SCHMIDT: However --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- the notice stated that the infraction occurred on March 9, 2018 and on July 25, 2017. That's what both notices state. This is not -- if this was a case where it said that the infraction -- if one of the cases said that the infraction occurred on July 25th, wait a minute, wait a minute. It's even worse.

The case report said that the infraction occurred on July 5, 2018. The case -- the notice stated that the infraction occurred on July 25, 2017. Now, that is more than just -- that's just more than an error.

First and foremost, you have the wrong date. I would understand if it said July 5, 2018

-- 2017, because I could state that that was a typo error. But you have both the dates and the year wrong and on both notices. And I don't know if these are -- both you and counsel are both learned counsel who should have caught this error a long time ago and correct it.

But I'm sitting here today, we are asked to -- and I'll say this to you, we are sitting here today and there was an OIC and part of the -- one of the things that was provided to me, we can't figure out which case there is an OIC on.

We were told that we were going to dismiss a charge, Charge 3. Both cases, there is no Charge 3. So what is it that we are litigating today? I mean, remember, I decided that let's take a recess until you two can figure out which case there is an OIC. What are the facts and issues in the case with the OIC?

It's not here. And so if you can't tell me what I'm litigating, if you can't tell me what I'm supposed to -- what this Board is

supposed to go and make a decision on, I don't see -- so the motion is that it's just with prejudice. Because this is an error. I mean, this is even beyond cut and paste.

Okay. I said before there is a Notice of Show Cause issued, that was issued on, July 27, 2018 and there is another Notice of Show Cause issued on September 6, 2018. And these documents are carbon copied. And how could -- and there are some major errors in these documents and I don't understand where in October that no one caught any of these during the Status Hearing. And we are here at a Show Cause Hearing and no one.

And so that's -- the motion is with prejudice. And so --

MS. SCHMIDT: One last thing.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, ma'am.

MS. SCHMIDT: However, the purpose of a notice is to give notice of the dates. The -- at the date of -- the date of the offense, the licensee was given two -- was given citations

with the dates. He knew the offenses.

Also the report is part of the record.

The licensee was provided with the report. The report does have the dates. And I think -- and the Government contends yes, it did make some typographical errors with the dates and typed in the wrong dates.

However, everything else is true to what happened on those occasions.

what had happened, because, as I said to you, I called the case. We had confusion over even what case I'm calling. We had confusion about which case should go first. Okay? I took -- I said let's be in -- I'm going to recess this matter for you to decide, for the parties to tell me what case are they arguing. What case have they come to an agreement on.

And so -- and you are unable to do that. And looking at the documents, it's confusing. And I think it is a fatal error. I believe so in my view, so that's the motion I'm

making.

I think that in order for us to move forward, we need to have a clean record. And this is not something that I think can -- if it's without prejudice that we can come back, that the Government can come back and clean up, because this is not that the infraction occurred on July 5, 2018 and the person made, whoever typed it, an error.

There are two fatal errors in both documents. The dates and one of the documents -- both documents have incorrect dates and incorrect years, both documents. Both documents have incorrect dates and incorrect year, both documents.

MS. SCHMIDT: There are underlying facts.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON:

MS. SCHMIDT: There are underlying facts, so it's the underlying facts of I corrected both documents plus the reports are

I'm sorry?

part of the -- the reports and citation gave notice. And the purpose of the charging document is to give notice. And that was -- yes, there were typographical errors.

And all the Government was asking was just a chance to correct those, to come back and correct those and refile the notices to allow two sale to minors to go unpunished because of a typographical error, I think is a little extreme in this matter.

Yes, the Government will take note.

The Government will be more careful. However, to allow two violations, which would be a second --well, would be a second violation, so if this happened again, we -- there would not be the --there would not be enhanced penalties. There are other consequences that flow.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I am not convinced, so my motion stands. It has been seconded.

All those in favor say aye.

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Aye.

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

Washington DC

1	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Aye.
2	MEMBER WAHABZADAH: Aye.
3	MEMBER CATO: Aye.
4	MEMBER ISAAC: Aye.
5	MEMBER SHORT: Aye.
6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Those opposed?
7	MEMBER ALBERTI: Opposed.
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The matter
9	passes 6-1-0 with Mr. Alberti in opposition.
10	That's the end of that.
11	MEMBER ALBERTI: Are we going to write
12	an order on this?
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We can have the
14	Board write an order on that.
15	And, Mr. Shallal, you are the licensee
16	in this matter. And you based on the errors
17	that were made by the Government in doing this
18	document, I've dismissed these matters.
19	I am, however, not dismissing you from
20	sale to minor, sir. This is we sent someone
21	into your establishment who clearly is a minor,
22	who has the correct license, they look like a

minor, they look like they are not 21 and you, sir, are personally responsible because you are the owner that you allowed this to happen in your establishment.

I don't know what happens when we are not there. So you can send -- you -- and I'm going to say you, because as the owner you are personally responsible for everything that happens in your establishment, sir, but you have allowed your employees to sell alcohol to minors and that is something that I have a problem with, sir.

I do hope that I don't see you here again on this matter, sir. I have told everyone I'm not here supporting Costco, but if I go to Costco, I have to provide an ID to be served. I go to other establishments, and I'm putting on the record I'm 54 years-old and I have a grey beard, and I go to other establishments to buy a drink and nobody knows who I am, but they ask me for identification, sir.

So what I'm saying is that if this

1	happens again, sir, you are responsible and I do
2	hope that this does not happen again. Okay.
3	This matter is dismissed. Thank you.
4	MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you very much, Mr.
5	Chairman.
6	(Whereupon, the Show Cause Hearing was
7	concluded at 2:09 p.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

Α **ABC** 9:10 above-entitled 17:8 **ABRA** 8:17 accept 22:16 acceptable 20:20 accompanied 5:20 accused 23:1 address 3:15,18 addressed 7:3 9:1 afternoon 3:4 5:14,17 6:1,2,3 **Age** 1:10 ago 24:6 **agree** 21:5 **agreement** 6:7 26:18 ahead 21:4 **Alberti** 1:19 5:3 10:3 13:2,7,11,16,18,22 14:3,10,15,17,20 15:5 20:4,6,7 29:7,9,11 **alcohol** 30:10 **Alcoholic** 1:2,15,15 allegation 18:13 allegations 19:13 allegedly 22:3 allow 28:7,13 allowed 20:14 30:3,10 Amy 2:11 5:14 **ANAS** 2:12 **ANC** 1:7 **Anderson** 1:17,19 3:3 3:22 4:7,11,14,16,19 4:22 5:5,9,17 6:1,4,10 6:13,17,21 7:5,11,16 7:19,22 8:3,5,8,21 9:13,17,20 10:5,15,21 11:22 12:18 14:8,11 14:13,16,22 15:3,6,12 16:11 17:6,11 20:5,21 21:3,7,10,12,17 23:3 23:8 25:18 26:10 27:19 28:18 29:1,6,8 29:13 **Andy** 5:20 apologize 7:98:1 appears 19:4 approach 5:12 appropriate 19:6,19 approximately 18:3 **argue** 3:20 arguing 26:17 arguments 22:17 Ascertain 1:10 **asked** 24:8 asking 28:5

Attorney 5:15 aware 22:13 aye 28:21,22 29:1,2,3,4 29:5

В

back 3:4 17:12 27:6,7 28:6 based 3:15 6:22 16:17 22:17,18 29:16 **beard** 30:19 **begins** 13:19 behalf 5:15 believe 19:5,12,20 26:22 **Beverage** 1:2,15,15 beyond 25:4 **Board** 1:2,15 17:13 19:8,20,21 20:1,22 21:1 24:22 29:14 **BOBBY** 1:20 **brought** 9:16 18:19 Building 1:16 **Busboys** 1:6 3:5,10 5:11 9:1 buy 30:19

С

calendar 3:16 call 5:10 7:1 8:9 called 6:11 26:12 calling 3:8,13 5:6 26:13 carbon 25:9 care 3:20 careful 28:12 case 1:8,11 3:5,5,7,7,9 3:9,11,14 4:1,1 5:7,10 5:10 6:5,9,10,14 7:1,2 8:9,22 9:3,4,18,21,22 9:22 10:2,22 11:14 12:19 14:19 15:1,4,8 15:9,10,13,15,18,21 16:19,22 17:3,4,18,19 17:20,21 18:1,5,5,6,7 18:8,12,21 19:8,9,15 19:16 21:19 22:20 23:11,16,17 24:11,18 24:19 26:12,13,14,17 26:17 cases 16:14 19:22 21:21 23:6,13 24:14 **CATO** 1:20 29:3 caught 18:17 24:5 25:12

Cause 1:6 11:1,10 13:9

18:18,20 20:10,15

21:18,20 25:6,8,13

13:12.13.15 15:14.19

31:6 certainly 10:1 **Chair** 4:21 6:6 7:10 10:8 19:20 21:6 Chairman 31:5 Chairperson 1:16,19 3:3,22 4:7,11,14,16 4:19,22 5:5,9,17 6:1,4 6:10,13,17,21 7:5,11 7:16,19,22 8:3,5,8,21 9:13,17,20 10:5,15,21 11:22 12:18 14:8,11 14:13,16,22 15:3,6,12 16:11 17:6,11 20:5,21 21:3,7,10,12,17 23:3 23:8 25:18 26:10 27:19 28:18 29:1,6,8 29:13 chance 28:6 **charge** 9:8,9,16,18,21 11:11,14 12:4 13:17 14:7 18:2 24:14,14,15 **charges** 15:16 **charging** 10:12 11:11 14:20 15:1,4 16:16,20 17:1,17,22 18:9,21 19:12 28:2 citation 28:1 citations 25:22 **clarify** 8:22 11:9 clean 27:4.7 clearly 12:16 14:2,4 19:3 29:21 **cloth** 22:15 **Columbia** 1:1 5:16 come 26:18 27:6,7 28:6 comfortable 20:10 comments 20:22 companion 3:7 Compromise 9:6 concluded 31:7 confuse 6:19 7:7 **confused** 6:18 7:6 10:11 confusing 8:12 26:21 **confusion** 10:22 11:4 26:12,13 consecutively 13:4 consequences 28:17 contends 26:5 **Control** 1:2,15,15 convinced 28:19 copied 25:9 corporate 5:22 **correct** 8:13,14 10:19 13:3,22 14:10 19:2

corrected 27:22 Costco 30:15,16 counsel 2:13 6:6 10:9 24:4,5 CR 1:7 cut 6:20 19:4 25:4

D

D.C 1:16 date 10:12,17,18 11:21 12:7,13,13,20 15:20 23:2,22 25:21,21 dates 13:3 19:1 22:1,2 22:17,21 24:2 25:20 26:1,4,6,7 27:12,13 27:15 **DC** 2:11 December 15:5 **decide** 26:16 decided 24:16 decision 25:1 difference 16:9 different 12:6 disappointed 20:17,18 discrepancies 19:11 dismiss 19:8,22 24:14 dismissed 20:12.13 29:18 31:3 dismissing 22:11 29:19 **District** 1:1 5:16 document 11:6,11 14:21 15:1,4,15,16,18 15:20 16:5,16,20 17:1 18:9,21 19:13 28:2 29:18 **documents** 16:4,18 17:14,17 18:1 19:1,11 25:9,11 26:20 27:12 27:12,13,14,14,16,22 doing 23:1 29:17 **DONALD** 1:21 **Donovan** 1:17,19 drink 30:20

Ε

dropped 9:8,12 11:15

Drinking 1:10

dropping 9:8

employees 30:10 ended 7:14 enhanced 28:16 error 18:20 22:21,22 23:20 24:2,5 25:3 26:21 27:10 28:9 errors 25:10 26:6 27:11 28:4 29:16 establishment 18:4 29:21 30:4,9

20:16 24:6 28:6,7

29:22

Assistant 5:15

attention 18:19

establishments 30:17 30:19 evidence 9:15 **exact** 22:1 expeditious 6:8 extreme 28:9 fact 17:17 facts 24:19 27:18,21,21 **factual** 18:13 Failed 1:9 fatal 22:21,22 26:21 27:11 favor 28:21 figure 16:14 24:11,17 **first** 3:5,14,19,21 5:10 6:9 7:1,15 9:6 15:8,8 15:10,13,21,22 16:15 16:15,19 17:4 23:21 26:14 flow 28:17 foremost 23:21 forward 20:11 27:3 Friday 7:14 13:19 18:2 18:6.10 further 6:19 7:7 G General 5:15 getting 10:11 give 25:20 28:3

given 20:8 25:22,22 **Government** 9:7 20:14 20:14 22:10,10 23:4 26:5 27:7 28:5,11,12 29:17 grey 30:18

Н **H-A-L-L-A-L** 5:21 happen 12:16 18:14,15 30:3 31:2 happened 12:15 26:9 26:11 28:15 happens 30:5,9 31:1 hearing 1:7,15 11:1,2 11:10 14:18 15:14,19 18:18,20 20:12 21:18 21:20 22:9 25:13,13 31:6 higher 4:4,9,12,17 Hold 9:17 14:9,11,13,16 15:7 hope 30:13 31:2 Huh 7:19

ID 30:16 identification 30:21 identify 5:13 illegal 11:8 improperly 19:3 improving 5:3 incident 8:19,20 12:13 13:9 15:7,9 19:15,17 incidents 22:14,17 **incorrect** 17:17,19 27:13,13,15,15 independent 9:11 indicated 6:7 information 10:1 20:16 infraction 22:3,4 23:5,6 23:9,12,13,17,18 27:8 introductions 4:21 5:6 Investigator 18:4 **ISAAC** 1:21 29:4 issued 16:1,2,18 25:6,6 25:8 issues 6:15 24:19

JAMES 1:21 **JR** 1:20 **July** 8:19,20 10:19,20 12:8,13,15,17,19,19 12:21 13:20 15:17 16:4.8.21 18:3.10.14 19:14,15 22:3,5 23:10 23:14,17,19,22 25:6 27:8

Κ kinds 20:19 knew 26:1 knows 30:20

late 7:14

law 22:20 learned 24:5 **Legal** 1:10 let's 24:17 26:15 license 1:7 3:6,10 5:11 licensee 2:12 5:20,22 19:10 21:20 22:8,13 22:15 25:22 26:3 29:15 Licensee's 2:13 **list** 13:2 litigating 24:16,21 **little** 7:14 28:9 lona 24:6

look 8:8.10 10:7 11:19

12:5 16:13 29:22 30:1

looking 11:6 13:6,8 15:13 16:3,16,17 17:13,18 18:8 19:14 19:16 26:20

М ma'am 25:18 **major** 25:10 making 19:7,20 27:1,2 **man** 9:15 Manager 9:11 March 11:19,20 12:1 13:4,19 18:2,6,10 19:17 23:9 materials 10:4 math 5:4 6:15 matter 1:4 8:12 9:7 17:8 17:15 19:6 26:15 28:10 29:8,16 30:14 31:3 matters 6:5 9:4 29:18 mean 17:14 21:18.21 24:16 25:3 MEETING 1:3

Member 1:19,20,20,21 1:21,22 5:3 7:10,13 10:3 13:2,7,11,16,18 13:22 14:3,10,15,20 15:5 20:1,4,7 21:2,5,9 28:22 29:2.3.4.5.7.11 **Members** 19:21 20:22 met 1:15 **MIKE** 1:20 **minimum** 18:17 minor 1:9,12 11:12 29:20,21 30:1 minors 28:8 30:10 minute 8:6 14:14,17 23:14,14 mistake 11:17 12:3 20:10 mistakes 20:19

Ν **N.W** 1:16 **name** 11:3 necessarily 4:5 Necessary 1:10 need 21:19 27:4 **NICK** 1:19 note 28:11 **notice** 10:8 11:1,8,10 12:12,12 13:9,11,15

months 16:2

move 27:3

motion 17:13 19:21

26:22 27:2 28:19

20:8 21:8,15 25:2,15

15:14,19 16:1,1,7,8 20:9,15 22:8 23:8,18 25:5,7,20,20 28:2,3 notices 12:11 21:22,22 22:1,4,5 23:5,11 24:3 28:7 number 4:4,10,12 8:17 12:16 **numbers** 8:9,10 **NW** 1:6

0 **O'Brien** 2:13 4:4,20 5:2 5:8,19,19 6:2,6,12,18 6:20 7:4,6,8,18 8:14 8:18,20 9:9,19 10:6 10:12,18 12:9 13:1,6 13:8,14,17,21 14:2,12 17:5 31:4 OAG 2:11 20:8 occasions 26:9 occur 17:15 23:5 occurred 11:18,20 15:7 18:7 19:14,15,17 22:3 22:4 23:6,9,13,17,18 27:8 October 1:14 25:11 offense 11:21 25:21 offenses 26:1 **Offer** 9:6 office 12:10 **OIC** 3:18 4:1 9:11 17:4 24:9,12,18,19 **OICs** 22:16 once 21:18 ones 12:6

opportunity 20:9 **opposed** 29:6,7 opposition 29:9 order 3:18 27:3 29:12 29:14 owner 30:3,7

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 3:1 **p.m** 3:2 17:9,10 31:7 page 11:13 14:5 paragraph 13:19 14:6 part 10:7,22 12:9 24:9 26:2 28:1 particular 9:3,22 parties 3:8,12 5:12 17:16 26:16 **passes** 29:9 paste 19:4 25:4 penalties 28:16 person 27:9

personally 30:2,8 resumed 17:9 **sorry** 4:18 6:16 21:3 ٧ **plane** 17:22 Retailer 1:7 27:19 V 1:5,6 **play** 7:15 **Room** 1:16 **speak** 10:9 20:2 view 17:14 26:22 **please** 5:12,13 7:7 8:10 **running** 14:17 **SR** 1:21 violation 1:9.12 28:14 plus 27:22 stand 8:6 violations 28:13 S Poets 1:6 3:6,10 5:11 **stands** 28:19 vote 20:3 9:2 S-5:20 state 23:11 24:1 point 22:11 sale 1:9,12 11:12 28:8 stated 19:13 23:9,18 W position 18:16 29:20 statement 14:6 W 1:17.19 saying 11:9 30:22 prejudice 20:13 21:15 Status 11:1,10 15:14,19 WAHABZADAH 1:22 21:16 22:12 25:3,16 says 10:19 13:18 18:2,6 25:12 29:2 **Stephen** 2:13 5:19 27:6 18:9 19:16 23:5 wait 23:14,14 preliminary 6:5 9:4,7 **Schmidt** 2:11 3:17 4:2,5 **Steps** 1:9 **wanted** 11:9 **PRESENT** 1:18 2:9 4:9,13,15,18 5:14,15 **Street** 1:6,16 **wants** 20:2 stuff 11:4 preside 19:4 5:18 6:15 7:9,20 8:1,4 Washington 1:16 president 5:21 8:7,11,16,19 9:5,10 subject 8:12 way 3:16 17:14 19:19 submitted 16:20 17:1 presiding 1:17 9:14 10:10,14 11:16 Wednesday 1:13 previously 9:16 12:2,21 13:3,13 14:1 **Suite** 1:16 went 17:9 18:4 probably 11:3 15:2,11 16:10 21:11 support 20:7 words 22:9 **problem** 10:7 12:10 supporting 30:15 21:13,14 22:6 23:4,7 World 7:13 supposed 17:15 24:22 18:18 30:11 25:17,19 27:17,20 worse 23:15 proceed 17:3 second 3:19 4:16 6:9 25:1 write 29:11,14 proceedings 17:15 7:1 8:2 20:2 21:8,9 written 19:3 Т produced 9:15 28:13,14 wrong 7:18,20 8:17 proper 22:8 seconded 28:20 t/a 1:6 10:17,18 12:14 14:2,4 **provide** 30:16 sell 30:10 tell 8:9 24:21,21 26:16 17:20 21:22,22 23:21 provided 24:10 26:3 send 30:6 templates 12:11 24:3 26:7 purpose 25:19 28:2 sent 8:16 10:14 16:21 **Thank** 7:17 14:15 17:7 **wrote** 19:2 putting 30:17 29:20 31:3.4 separate 3:7 things 12:16 18:22 Χ Q separately 3:12 24:10 **September** 15:20 16:6 thought 4:13,15 R 16:8 17:1 25:8 today 22:16 24:7,9,16 year 24:3 27:15 rail 12:10 **Series** 7:13 told 24:13 30:14 **years** 27:14 ready 17:2 22:16 **served** 30:16 treat 3:11 vears-old 30:18 reasons 12:14 19:19 **Shallal** 2:12 5:20 6:2,3 true 26:8 recess 8:6 16:13 17:7 10:17 29:15 **Tuesday** 13:4,20 18:3 Ζ 24:17 26:15 **SHORT** 1:21 29:5 18:10,13 recite 12:12 **show** 1:6 9:15 11:1,10 **two** 12:5,6,14,16,16 0 **recites** 13:17 13:9,11,13,15 15:14 13:1,3 15:15 16:2 **00** 3:15 4:2 11:17 12:5 recognize 17:16 15:19 18:17,19 20:9 18:22 19:1 24:17 **00027** 18:6 recommendation 19:7 20:15 21:18,20 25:6,7 25:22 27:11 28:7,13 **00071** 5:11 record 3:4 5:13 8:22 25:13 31:6 typed 26:6 27:9 **0027** 11:18,18,20 12:4,4 shows 22:20 17:9,12 26:2 27:4 typo 24:2 14:5 sign 10:16 11:2,4 typographical 22:21 30:18 **0071** 12:2 Reeves 1:16 **signature** 11:7 16:4,5 26:6 28:4,9 **071** 8:12 refile 28:7 **signatures** 16:17,18 U signed 10:15 11:2 reissue 20:9.15 1 **REMA** 1:22 15:14,16 **unable** 26:19 1:37 3:2 remember 24:16 signing 11:5 underlying 27:17,20,21 1:49 17:9 **Silverstein** 1:20 7:10,12 report 17:18,19,21,21 understand 22:6 23:22 **1:55** 17:10 18:5,6,12,21 19:15 7:13,17 21:2,4,5,9 25:11 **127** 4:13,18 understands 22:11 23:16 26:2,3,4 28:22 **1390** 1:6 **unfair** 19:10 reports 11:19 13:10 sir 29:20 30:2,9,12,14 14th 1:5,16 30:21 31:1 22:18 27:22 28:1 unpunished 28:8 **18-CC-** 3:14 5:10 18:5 representation 6:22 **sitting** 20:17 24:7,9 use 12:11 **18-CC-00027** 1:8 3:5 skills 5:4 requesting 22:10 **usually** 22:20 15:9,22 16:19 18:1 somebody 11:2 responsible 30:2,8 31:1

_		
	ı	Ī
19:9		
18-CC-00071 1:11 3:9		
4:10 7:3 9:1 15:18		
16:22 18:9,12 19:8		
18-CC-0027 19:16		
1B 1:7		
2		
2:09 31:7		
2000 1:16		
20009 1:16		
2017 13:20 18:3,11,15		
19:14 22:5 23:10,19		
24:1		
2018 1:14 13:19 15:17		
15:20 16:21 17:2 18:2		
18:7,10,14,15 19:16		
19:17 22:3 23:10,17		
23:22 25:7,8 27:9		
21 30:1		
25 10:19 12:13,15,17,19		
13:20 18:3,10 19:14		
22:3 23:10,19		
25th 12:22 13:5 23:14		
26th 15:5		
27 4:19 12:12 15:12,17		
16:8,21 25:7		
3		
3 9:8,10 11:14 24:14,15		
31 1:14		
3.		
4		
400S 1:16		
7003 1.10		
5		
5 10:20 18:14 19:15		
22:5 23:17,22 27:9		
54 30:18		
5th 8:19,20 12:8,19		
51. 5. 15,25 12.5,15		
6		
6 15:20 17:1 25:8		
6-1-0 29:9		
7		
7:10 18:3,11		
71 8:15,18 10:2,13		
12:12 13:15 16:1,7,7		
71220 1:7 3:6,10 5:11		
721 4:14		
8		
9		
9 13:19 18:2,7,10 19:17		
23:9		
9th 11:19,20 12:1 13:4		
301 11.13,20 12.1 13.4		
	ı	1

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Busboys and Poets

Before: DCABRA

Date: 10-31-18

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &