

**THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS BOARD**

In the Matter of:)	
)	
Burnt to Perfection, LLC)	
t/a Bub and Pop's)	
)	
Applicant for a)	
Stipulated License)	License No.: ABRA-132169
Retailer's Class CR License)	Order No.: 2025-827
)	
at premises)	
100 Florida Avenue, NE)	
Washington, D.C. 20002)	
)	

Burnt to Perfection, LLC, t/a Bub and Pop's, Applicant

Joe Bishop-Henchman, Commissioner, Advisory Neighborhood Commission (ANC) 5F

BEFORE: Donovan Anderson, Chairperson
Silas Grant, Jr., Member
Teri Janine Quinn, Member
Ryan Jones, Member
David Meadows, Member

ORDER APPROVING REQUEST FOR A STIPULATED LICENSE

Burnt to Perfection, LLC, t/a Bub and Pop's (Applicant), Applicant for a new Retailer's Class CR License, comes before the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board (Board) for a Stipulated License in accordance with 23 D.C. Municipal Regulations (DCMR) § 200.

Pursuant to 23 DCMR § 200, an applicant for a Manufacturer's license, Wholesaler's license or Retailer's license may request a stipulated license if the request is accompanied by written correspondence from an ANC Officer where the establishment is located, stating that the ANC has voted with a quorum present to either support or not to object to the issuance of a stipulated license pending completion of the 45-day protest period.

On June 15, 2025, ANC 5F voted at a properly noticed meeting to support the Applicant's request for a Stipulated License.

Thus, the Board, in its discretion, finds that the Applicant has met the requirements and does approve the request for a Stipulated License under the following conditions:

- (1) The Applicant must stop serving or selling alcoholic beverages under the stipulated license if a valid protest is filed against the applicant during the 45-day protest period.
- (2) The Applicant must pay the fee for a stipulated license in the amount of \$100.

ORDER

The Board does hereby this 23rd day of July 2025, **APPROVES** the Request for a Stipulated License.

Copies of this Order shall be sent to the Parties.

District of Columbia
Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board

eSigned via SeamlessDocs.com
Donovan Anderson
Key: ac43cb06c09d5f094b730003d1dccc8

Donovan Anderson, Chairperson



Silas Grant, Jr., Member

Teri Janine Quinn

Teri Janine Quinn, Member



Ryan Jones, Member



David Meadows, Member

Pursuant to D.C. Official Code § 25-433(d)(1) (applicable to alcohol matters) or 22-C DCMR § 9720 (applicable to medical cannabis matters), any party adversely affected may file a Motion for Reconsideration of this decision within ten (10) days of service of this Order with the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Administration, 899 North Capitol Street NE, Suite 4200 B (Alcohol Division), Suite 4200 A (Medical Cannabis Division), Washington, DC 20002.

Any party adversely affected may file a Motion for Reconsideration of this decision within ten days of service of this Order with the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Administration, 899 North Capitol Street, N.E., Suite 4200-A, Washington, D.C. 20002. Also, pursuant to § 11 of the *District of Columbia Administrative Procedure Act*, Pub. L. 90-614, 82 Stat. 1209, D.C. Code § 2-510 (2001), and Rule 15 of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, a party that is adversely affected may have the right to appeal this Order by filing a petition for review, within 30 days of the date of service of this Order, with the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, located at 430 E Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. Parties are advised that the timely filing of a Motion

for Reconsideration stays the time for filing a petition for review in the District of Columbia Court of Appeals until the Board rules on the motion. *See* D.C. App. Rule 15(b) (2004). Parties are further advised that the failure to present all matters of record that have allegedly been erroneously decided in a motion for reconsideration may result in the waiver of those matters being considered by the Board. The Board also reserves the right to summarily deny or not consider multiple and repetitive motions.

Parties are also advised that the Superior Court of the District of Columbia may have jurisdiction to hear appeals in non-contested cases or in matters where that court is specifically provided jurisdiction by law. Finally, advisory neighborhood commissions (ANCs) are advised that their right to appeal or challenge a decision of the Board may be limited by the laws governing ANCs. *See e.g.*, D.C. Code § 1-309.10(g).