#### DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

# ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

+ + + + + MEETING

IN THE MATTER OF:

:

Brooklyn on U, LLC,

t/a Brooklyn :

1212 U Street NW : Fact Finding

Retailer CR - ANC 1B : Hearing

License No. 111411 : Case #19-251-00071 :

:

(Unlawful Entry, Simple : Assault, Destruction of : Property and an Assault : on a Police Officer) :

Wednesday
June, 26, 2018

The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board met in the Alcoholic Beverage Control Hearing Room, Reeves Building, 2000 14th Street, N.W., Suite 400S, Washington, D.C. 20009, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson, presiding.

#### PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson BOBBY CATO, JR., Member MIKE SILVERSTEIN, Member

JAMES SHORT, Member

REMA WAHABZADAH, Member

ALSO PRESENT:

STEPHEN O'BRIEN, COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT
MITCH MATHIS, APPLICANT
INVESTIGATOR MIKEA NELSON, ABRA
INVESTIGATOR MARK BRASHEARS, ABRA

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S			
2	2:40 p.m.			
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon,			
4	everyone. We're back on the record.			
5	Our next case, a fact finding hearing,			
6	Case Number 19-251-00071, Brooklyn, License			
7	Number 111411.			
8	Would the parties please approach and			
9	identify themselves for the record, please.			
10	MR. O'BRIEN: Stephen O'Brien for the			
11	licensee.			
12	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on one			
13	minute, Mr. O'Brien. Hold on.			
14	(Pause.)			
15	MS. NELSON: Good afternoon.			
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead.			
17	MR. O'BRIEN: Stephen O'Brien for the			
18	licensee.			
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon,			
20	Mr. O'Brien.			
21	MR. O'BRIEN: Good afternoon, Mr.			
22	Chair. I'm accompanied by Mitchell Mathis, M A-			

1	T-H-I-S. He's the managing member of the
2	licensee limited liability company.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon,
4	Mr. Mathis.
5	MR. O'BRIEN: Hello.
6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Investigator?
7	MS. NELSON: Good afternoon. I'm
8	Investigator Mikea Nelson.
9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon,
10	Investigator Nelson.
11	MR. BRASHEARS: Supervisory
12	Investigator Brashears with ABRA.
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon,
14	Mr. Brashears, Supervisory Investigator
15	Brashears.
16	All right. This is a fact finding
17	hearing. And I think this is a hearing I
18	don't recall if the chief, did the Chief of
19	Police request this fact finding hearing or did
20	ABRA by itself request this hearing? I don't
21	remember.
22	MR. O'BRIEN: ABRA requested it as a

proceeding at 251.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. And the facts, unlawful entry, simple assault, destruction of property, and an assault on a police officer.

And based on the nature of the complaint, the Board thought that we would bring the licensee in to have an explanation from their process.

And we have not, we have not made any decisions, so we have not referred it to show cause or anything. So, based on what, so based on what we're here today is then the Board will make a determination whether or not we will NFA it or take further action.

So, I just wanted you to know that.

I always try to be fair. So, I will let you know if the Board has made a decision or what. So, the Board has not made any decision about what, if any, action will be taken. So, that decision will be made as a result of this hearing. Okay.

All right. So, we'll start with

1	Investigator, Chief Investigator, Supervisory
2	Investigator Brashears or Ms. Nelson. Who is
3	taking the lead?
4	MR. BRASHEARS: Ms. Nelson.
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, Ms.
6	Nelson. I know this is the first time you're
7	testifying before the Board. So, can you pull
8	the microphone a little bit closer to you and so
9	we can hear it.
10	So, can you let us know what is it
11	that Are you familiar with this case anyway?
12	MS. NELSON: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And how are you
14	familiar with this case?
15	MS. NELSON: I was the investigator
16	assigned to this case.
17	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So,
18	can you tell us what is it that, what is this
19	case about and what conclusions, if any, did you
20	formulate?
21	MS. NELSON: This case is initiated by
22	a 251 that was faxed to ABRA on Thursday, April

the 4th. The 251 included multiple offenses.

It included unlawful entry, simple assault, destruction of property less than \$1,000, and an assault on the police officers -- on a police officer.

According to the MPD-251, the suspect entered Brooklyn from another location. He was already intoxicated. And when entering he touched two female patrons and was asked to -- once he was asked, he was asked to leave he became irate. The owner actually asked him to leave. And when leaving, he turned around and assaulted two bystanders that were walking from the 900 block of U Street towards the 1100 block of U Street.

By the assault he pushed victim one and he punched victim two in the face. And he proceeded to run towards the 1100 block of U Street where he assaulted a police officer during his arrest.

During my investigation I spoke with one of the victims. I contacted another victim

but was unable to reach them, unfortunately.

I spoke with ABC manager Katima

Riabaskul, -- excuse me if I'm saying the last

name wrong -- Mitchell Mathis, responding officer

Rider, as well as -- I apologize, that is all of

the people that I spoke with.

When speaking with the victim he explained that he was leaving El Rey with one of his friends and just walking up the street. He did not have any affiliation with Brooklyn. And it was a random attack.

He spoke with EMS but did not seek any hospitalization or any type of medical attention further than that.

When speaking with Ms. Riabaskul who was present, so she was the manager present at the time of the incident, she stated that she was on the second floor of Brooklyn. They were hosting a viewing party for a celebrity chef Tobias, and they had approximately 10 to 15 patrons at the establishment at the time. The event ended at 12:00 o'clock.

The assault happened at approximately 12:30 a.m.

The suspect was not served any alcohol at the event because the establishment had already made last call. He entered already highly intoxicated. The owner Mr. Mathis noticed that he was intoxicated at the bar. And after he physically touched two of the patrons, that's when he was the one who actually asked him to leave.

When speaking with responding officer Rider, he explained that there was allegedly glass broken inside of the establishment that the establishment had cleaned, as well as the door was broken. I was unable to substantiate these allegations because there was no security footage available.

I found that Brooklyn was in violation of their settlement agreement which states on page 7, section 5, "The Applicant shall ensure that the cameras utilized by the establishment are operational, any footage of a crime of

violence or a crime involving a violent crime is 1 2 maintained for a minimum of 60 days, the security footage is made available within 48 hours upon 3 4 request of MPD, ABRA, or the ANC 1B." 5 I found that Brooklyn did not have 6 footage for longer than 7 days of this incident. However, upon returning to Brooklyn on June 25th, 7 8 at approximately 2:30, Brooklyn had 16 cameras 9 that maintained footage for 60 days that they store on two hard drives. And I substantiated 10 11 that on my visit yesterday, June 25th at 2:30. 12 I was able to see security footage 13 from May 13th. That is the earliest footage that 14 I was able to see. 15 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Have you -- Go 16 ahead. I'm sorry. 17 MS. NELSON: So, from speaking with 18 the owner and advising them of their violation, 19 they have become compliant. 20 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And did they 21 ever, do you know whether or not they have a 22 security plan?

1	MS. NELSON: They do not.
2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry. I'm
3	looking at the wrong, the wrong case. I
4	apologize.
5	Anything else you want to add?
6	MS. NELSON: No, sir.
7	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So,
8	in your, in your interaction with the
9	establishment did they cooperate with you? How
10	did they how was their approach to you when
11	you, in your interaction with the establishment?
12	MS. NELSON: Everyone was very
13	cooperative as far as giving information and
14	communicating with me on things that they need,
15	whether it had been more time to become compliant
16	or not.
17	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you have
18	anything else prior to asking Mr. O'Brien, any
19	question? Go ahead, Mr. Short.
20	MEMBER SHORT: Good afternoon. Thank
21	you for an excellent report and presentation.
22	Were any emergency services called by

1 the establishment once this gentleman was put 2 out, the assailant was put out of the establishment? 3 MS. NELSON: I believe that the 4 5 officers were the ones to have called EMS. They 6 were stationed on U Street, so they actually 7 witnessed the commotion and --8 MEMBER SHORT: So just all the 9 fighting took place outside of the club. guess what I'm asking is, it sounds like there 10 was some violence inside if there was some 11 12 windows broken or whatever else. Is that 13 correct? 14 MS. NELSON: Yes. 15 MEMBER SHORT: All right. But was MPD 16 notified about the fighting inside the club that 17 you know of? 18 MS. NELSON: From the report, MPD 19 stated that the witness, a witness actually told 20 them that there was glass broken inside. 21 However, when they responded to the scene they 22 did not see any glass.

1	MEMBER SHORT: Okay.			
2	MS. NELSON: When speaking with Mr.			
3	Mathis, he said that there was no glass inside of			
4	the establishment as well.			
5	MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Thank you very			
6	much.			
7	That's all I have, Mr. Chair.			
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr.			
9	Short.			
10	Any other questions of any other board			
11	members? Yes, Mr. Silverstein.			
12	MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Thank you,			
13	Investigator Nelson.			
14	In your opinion where, if anywhere,			
15	did the licensee fall short in their response			
16	here? Were there any problems?			
17	MS. NELSON: I believe that the			
18	licensee failed to follow their settlement			
19	agreement. Other than that, I can't substantiate			
20	that the licensee fell short any other way.			
21	MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Other than that			
22	the response was satisfactory?			

1 MS. NELSON: Yes, sir. 2 MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Thank you. No further questions. 3 4 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, you're 5 saying settlement agreement as far as the 6 security cameras that that's the portion you're 7 talking about? 8 MS. NELSON: Yes, sir. 9 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. O'Brien. 10 MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, sir. 11 As the investigator has explained, 12 this is not a case really involving any personnel or customers of Brooklyn. The individual -- and 13 14 Mr. Mathis, as the investigator said, was 15 physically present. And it was Mr. Mathis who, 16 who ushered the bad actor out. 17 But this is 12:00 o'clock on a 18 Wednesday night. Somebody walks in the door, appears to be intoxicated. Mr. Mathis' 19 20 subjective impression is there may have been more than alcohol intoxication from the look in the 21

person's eyes.

At first Mr. Mathis thought he was with a group of people in there. But then when a customer complained, he asked the person to leave.

He ushered the person out and got him out the front door. Nothing happened, no violence whatsoever inside. And out the front door the person tried to get back in. And Mr. Mathis kept on getting in his way.

He thought that the person had finally given up and was departing the area. Started to go back in, Mr. Mathis started to go back in.

Heard a commotion, and became aware that two totally innocent people having nothing to do with Brooklyn, who were walking down the street, had encountered this bad actor who punched one of them.

There was no call for emergency service because police on the block became aware of the commotion and chased the individual down a couple blocks, where we are told he assaulted the police officer trying to make an arrest.

So, it really doesn't have anything to do with the operation of Brooklyn except the issue of cameras. The security plan requires 60 days of footage. When the cameras were installed Mr. Mathis understood that they were recording for 60 days but never had occasion to try them out. The establishment's only been open since February. Never had occasion to actually confirm that.

They became aware that now they were

-- I'll let Mr. Mathis tell you. There was
enough memory but not enough something else
technically, and that's why it didn't keep for 60
days. But that has been rectified.

But Mr. Mathis can answer any questions the Board has.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I mean, I guess, I mean you have an unruly person comes in.

I mean, is it a culprit -- I'm asking -- How do you, what's their corporate mechanism to follow to take care of that matter? Because if you put the person out and then you have these other

things that occurred, so should they have called the police or is it appropriate just to put the person outside I mean?

MR. O'BRIEN: Well, if one's been in this business for any period of time, one can spot trouble when it walks in the door. And so special attention is paid to a person like that right off the bat.

But it is not -- when you tell somebody that you're not going to serve them alcohol and they have to leave, that alone should not engender a call to the police.

The person grudgingly left but he didn't start acting up physically until he was already on the sidewalk. So, this is something quite different than when you have two people inside a place fighting and you push both of them out on the sidewalk so they can continue their fight out on the sidewalk. That's not the animal we're discussing today.

I think if every time an establishment asks a customer to leave, they have to call the

police. I don't think there's much else to do.

I think the establishment acted very responsibly once they realized that this guy was trouble to get him out. If he'd started swinging at people inside, I would agree that would be something different. But that's not what happened as to our understanding as the witness from ABRA just told me.

Did that respond to your question?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure. That's fine.

I was just asking a question. I'm not saying that they did or didn't do anything wrong. Unfortunately, you put someone out and you have all these other things occur, and should you have recognized that there was something that I should have done. Just put the person out and say it's no longer my problem and then all of these things occurred after the fact is just the query I was having. I wasn't making any assumptions that the establishment acted appropriate or inappropriate. That was just a question I was asking.

MR. O'BRIEN: The only circumstance -we're getting off target here about what happened
-- but the only circumstance that I would say
even though there was no violence, even though
they left, is if somebody was so, so intoxicated
or impaired as to raise a legitimate question
where they could take care of themselves. Then I
would say maybe this is a gray responsibility in
a circumstance like that to call for some kind of
help.

Again, that's not the case here. This person was walking and talking. They didn't like his looks, they didn't like the way he acted, but I don't think it rose anywhere near a level that police intercession would have been appropriate.

Of course, hindsight's always 20/20.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's always correct, yes.

Just another question, and I don't know -- I know that Ms. Nelson stated that the cameras now operate for 60 days. But I don't know what happened before, but what mechanisms do

1	we have in place to ensure that the cameras would				
2	always be working and recording for 60 days?				
3	MR. O'BRIEN: Going forward?				
4	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. Moving				
5	forward, yes.				
6	MR. O'BRIEN: I would think the				
7	admonition from the Board which I should say is				
8	something.				
9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No.				
10	MR. O'BRIEN: That, fine, there was a				
11	missed				
12	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, I'm just				
13	MR. O'BRIEN: The problem has been				
14	corrected.				
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What, what has				
16	happened before. Yeah.				
17	MR. O'BRIEN: And we hope not to see				
18	you again and hear that the cameras didn't work.				
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, but what				
20	had happened before, how is it that they were not				
21	aware of that?				
22	MR. O'BRIEN: I have to let Mr. Mathis				
20	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, but what had happened before, how is it that they were not				

answer that.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.

MR. O'BRIEN: Because I think there was a technical explanation which I simply don't have the ability to digest.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

MR. MATHIS: I mean, we had all of the cameras, about 16 cameras, and the memory was filled. You know, so we thought that the cameras could go 60 days, but the memory filled up.

So, when this incident happened we notice, oh my, the memory filled up with the cameras, so we actually had to go out buy -- we just did a whole new revamping of the cameras. We bought 16 new cameras. We have memory, everything, just so that we know that, you know, we're totally good. And that's what she investigated yesterday.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But I guess what I'm trying to say that, and I'm not a technical person, I'm just asking questions. I'm not a technical person.

Now, how is it that does it record 1 2 over itself after 60 days, or how is it that we're -- how is it that we now know that the 3 memory is not going to be full? Okay, it's 4 5 working now, but, okay, 3, 4 months down the -we now recog -- I'm just trying to figure what, 6 7 how do we know that it's going to work? 8 MR. MATHIS: Well, one other thing is 9 is that I think Ms. Nelson, when she came by yesterday, explained that if a incident does 10 11 happen that we can actually take, like, a memory 12 card and record the incident, keep it on file for 13 at least 60 days, and then if there's no 14 investigation either continue to keep it or we can let it, you know, throw it away or whatever. 15 16 You know, so we know that as well, you know, on 17 top of what we did to ensure that we at least are 18 recording 60 days with our memory backing just to 19 have a second game plan, you know. 20 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

22 Ye

That's fine.

Yes?

1	MR. BRASHEARS: And just to clarify,			
2	sir, the settlement agreement didn't specifically			
3	state 60 days. It stated that the establishment			
4	would maintain footage of acts of violence for 60			
5	days.			
6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.			
7	MR. BRASHEARS: Just wanted to clarify			
8	that, sir.			
9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right,			
10	that's fine.			
11	Any final comments you want to make,			
12	Ms. Nelson?			
13	MS. NELSON: No, sir.			
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other final			
15	questions by any board members?			
16	(No response.)			
17	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. O'Brien,			
18	any final comments you want to make?			
19	MR. O'BRIEN: No, sir.			
20	MEMBER SHORT: One question.			
21	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr. Short.			
22	MEMBER SHORT: This is a restaurant;			

1	correct?				
2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes.				
3	MEMBER SHORT: So they are not				
4	required to have a security plan I would imagine?				
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That is				
6	correct.				
7	MEMBER SHORT: But I guess I need to				
8	ask a question, do you think a security plan				
9	would help this operation any? Because were you				
10	serving food the night of this incident?				
11	MR. MATHIS: Yes. It was a, it was				
12	actually a chef's viewing, viewing event.				
13	MR. O'BRIEN: The chef was in a				
14	competition on television.				
15	MR. MATHIS: Exactly.				
16	MEMBER SHORT: Oh boy. Okay, well I				
17	was just asking do you think a security plan				
18	might help this operation?				
19	MR. O'BRIEN: Are you addressing the				
20	question to me?				
21	MEMBER SHORT: Yes. Both of you.				
22	MR. O'BRIEN: I would say based on				

what I've heard today, no. This is a legitimate restaurant and I don't see how a security plan would have in any way stopped this from happening out on the street.

MEMBER SHORT: Right.

MR. O'BRIEN: The answer to the question, in this case, no, I don't, I don't see that.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay, thank you.

That's all I have, Mr. Chair. Thank
you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, one of the things that, and I'll say that the licensee appears to recognize that there was a problem.

And we have a fact finding hearing. And the problem was taken care of in the sense that you have updated the camera system prior to the hearing. And so, therefore, there is not much I can say because, whatever the problem is, it was recognized and it was taken care of.

And so I want to commend you for doing that.

1	And with that, I don't really have any
2	other comment. And so if there is no further, I
3	will recommend that the Board take no further
4	action on this matter. Is there a second?
5	MEMBER CATO: Second.
6	MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Second.
7	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Both Mr. Cato
8	and Mr. Silverstein have seconded the motion.
9	All those in favor, say aye.
10	(Chorus of ayes.)
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Those opposed?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The matter
14	passes 5-0-0.
15	Thank you for being here. Have a good
16	day.
17	(Whereupon, at 3:02 p.m., the above-
18	entitled matter was concluded.)
19	
20	
21	
22	

## Α A-3:22 a.m 9:2 **ABC** 8:2 ability 21:5 **able** 10:12,14 **above-** 26:17 **ABRA** 2:13.14 4:12.20 4:22 6:22 10:4 18:8 accompanied 3:22 acted 18:2,21 19:13 acting 17:14 action 5:15,20 26:4 actor 14:16 15:16 acts 23:4 add 11:5 addressing 24:19 admonition 20:7 advising 10:18 affiliation 8:10 afternoon 3:3,15,19,21 4:3,7,9,13 11:20 **agree** 18:5 agreement 9:19 13:19 14:5 23:2 ahead 3:16 10:16 11:19 alcohol 9:3 14:21 17:11 **Alcoholic** 1:2,14,15 allegations 9:16 allegedly 9:12 **ANC** 1:7 10:4 **Anderson** 1:16,19 3:3 3:12,16,19 4:3,6,9,13 5:2 6:5,13,17 10:15 10:20 11:2,7,17 13:8 14:4,9 16:17 18:10 19:17 20:4,9,12,15,19 21:2,6,19 22:20 23:6 23:9,14,17,21 24:2,5 25:12 26:7,11,13 **animal** 17:19 answer 16:15 21:1 25:6 **anyway** 6:11 **apologize** 8:5 11:4 appears 14:19 25:14 **Applicant** 2:11,12 9:20 **approach** 3:8 11:10 appropriate 17:2 18:21 19:15 approximately 8:20 9:1 10:8 **April** 6:22 area 15:11 arrest 7:20 15:22 asked 7:9,10,10,11 9:9 15:3 asking 11:18 12:10 16:19 18:12,22 21:21

24:17 asks 17:22 assailant 12:2 assault 1:10,10 5:3,4 7:3,4,16 9:1 assaulted 7:13,19 15:21 assigned 6:16 assumptions 18:20 attack 8:11 attention 8:13 17:7 **available** 9:17 10:3 aware 15:13,19 16:10 20:21 aye 26:9 ayes 26:10 В back 3:4 15:8,12,12 backing 22:18

**bad** 14:16 15:16 **bar** 9:7 based 5:6,12,12 24:22 **bat** 17:8 **believe** 12:4 13:17 **Beverage** 1:2,14,15 bit 6:8 **block** 7:14,14,18 15:19 **blocks** 15:21 board 1:2.14 5:7.13.18 5:19 6:7 13:10 16:16 20:7 23:15 26:3 **BOBBY** 1:19 **bought** 21:15 **boy** 24:16 **Brashears** 2:14 4:11,12 4:14,15 6:2,4 23:1,7 bring 5:7 **broken** 9:13,15 12:12 12:20 **Brooklyn** 1:6,6 3:6 7:7 8:10,18 9:18 10:5,7,8

## call 9:5 15:18 17:12,22 19:9 called 11:22 12:5 17:1 camera 25:17 cameras 9:21 10:8 14:6 16:3,4 19:21 20:1,18 21:8,8,9,13,14,15 card 22:12 care 16:21 19:7 25:16

C

14:13 15:15 16:2

Building 1:15

business 17:5

bystanders 7:13

**buy** 21:13

case 1:8 3:5,6 6:11,14 6:16,19,21 11:3 14:12 19:11 25:7 Cato 1:19 26:5,7 cause 5:12 celebrity 8:19 Chair 3:22 13:7 25:10 Chairperson 1:16,19 3:3,12,16,19 4:3,6,9 4:13 5:2 6:5,13,17 10:15,20 11:2,7,17 13:8 14:4,9 16:17 18:10 19:17 20:4,9,12 20:15,19 21:2,6,19 22:20 23:6,9,14,17,21 24:2,5 25:12 26:7,11 26:13 **chased** 15:20 **chef** 8:19 24:13 **chef's** 24:12 chief 4:18,18 6:1 **Chorus** 26:10 circumstance 19:1,3,9 **clarify** 23:1,7 cleaned 9:14 closer 6:8 **club** 12:9,16 COLUMBIA 1:1 **comes** 16:18 **commend** 25:21 comment 26:2 comments 23:11,18 **commotion** 12:7 15:13 15:20 communicating 11:14 company 4:2 competition 24:14 complained 15:3 complaint 5:7 compliant 10:19 11:15 concluded 26:18 conclusions 6:19 confirm 16:8 contacted 7:22 continue 17:18 22:14 **Control** 1:2,14,15 cooperate 11:9 cooperative 11:13 corporate 16:20 **correct** 12:13 19:18

25:20

culprit 16:19 customer 15:3 17:22 customers 14:13

D **D.C** 1:16 day 26:16 days 10:2,6,9 16:4,6,14 19:21 20:2 21:10 22:2 22:13,18 23:3,5 decision 5:18,19,20 decisions 5:11 departing 15:11 destruction 1:10 5:4 determination 5:14 different 17:16 18:6 digest 21:5 discussing 17:20 DISTRICT 1:1 doing 25:21 **Donovan** 1:16.19 door 9:14 14:18 15:6,8 17:6 drives 10:10

earliest 10:13 either 22:14 EI 8:8 **emergency** 11:22 15:18 EMS 8:12 12:5 encountered 15:16 **ended** 8:22 engender 17:12 ensure 9:20 20:1 22:17 **entered** 7:7 9:5 entering 7:8 entitled 26:18 entry 1:9 5:3 7:2 establishment 8:21 9:4 9:13,14,21 11:9,11 12:1,3 13:4 17:21 18:2,21 23:3 establishment's 16:7 event 8:22 9:4 24:12 **Exactly** 24:15 excellent 11:21 excuse 8:3 **explained** 8:8 9:12 14:11 22:10 explanation 5:8 21:4 eves 14:22

F face 7:17 fact 1:7 3:5 4:16,19 18:19 25:15

24:1.6

corrected 20:14

COUNSEL 2:11

**crime** 9:22 10:1,1

**couple** 15:21

**course** 19:16

**CR** 1:7

facts 5:3 hear 6:9 20:18 leave 7:10.12 9:10 15:4 months 22:5 failed 13:18 17:11,22 heard 15:13 25:1 motion 26:8 leaving 7:12 8:8 fair 5:17 hearing 1:7,15 3:5 4:17 Moving 20:4 fall 13:15 4:17,19,20 5:21 25:15 **left** 17:13 19:5 **MPD** 10:4 12:15,18 familiar 6:11,14 25:18 **legitimate** 19:6 25:1 MPD-251 7:6 far 11:13 14:5 **Hello** 4:5 level 19:14 multiple 7:1 help 19:10 24:9,18 favor 26:9 liability 4:2 faxed 6:22 highly 9:6 **License** 1:8 3:6 February 16:8 hindsight's 19:16 licensee 3:11,18 4:2 5:8 N.W 1:15 fell 13:20 **Hold** 3:12,13 13:15,18,20 25:13 name 8:4 female 7:9 limited 4:2 hope 20:17 nature 5:6 **little** 6:8 fight 17:19 hospitalization 8:13 near 19:14 fighting 12:9,16 17:17 hosting 8:19 **LLC** 1:6 need 11:14 24:7 **hours** 10:3 figure 22:6 location 7:7 Nelson 2:13 3:15 4:7,8 file 22:12 longer 10:6 18:18 4:10 6:2,4,6,12,15,21 filled 21:9,10,12 look 14:21 10:17 11:1,6,12 12:4 final 23:11,14,18 identify 3:9 looking 11:3 12:14,18 13:2,13,17 imagine 24:4 looks 19:13 finally 15:10 14:1,8 19:20 22:9 finding 1:7 3:5 4:16,19 impaired 19:6 23:12,13 M 25:15 impression 14:20 never 16:6,8 fine 18:11 20:10 22:21 inappropriate 18:21 M 3:22 new 21:14,15 23:10 maintain 23:4 incident 8:17 10:6 **NFA** 5:14 first 6:6 15:1 21:11 22:10,12 24:10 maintained 10:2,9 night 14:18 24:10 floor 8:18 included 7:1,2 **notice** 21:12 **making** 18:20 follow 13:18 16:20 individual 14:13 15:20 **manager** 8:2,16 noticed 9:6 food 24:10 information 11:13 managing 4:1 notified 12:16 footage 9:16,22 10:3,6 initiated 6:21 **MARK** 2:14 **Number** 3:6.7 10:9,12,13 16:4 23:4 innocent 15:14 Mathis 2:12 3:22 4:4 **NW** 1:7 formulate 6:20 **inside** 9:13 12:11,16,20 8:4 9:6 13:3 14:14.15 0 forward 20:3.5 13:3 15:7 17:17 18:5 15:1.9.12 16:5.11.15 found 9:18 10:5 installed 16:4 20:22 21:7 22:8 24:11 **O'Brien** 2:11 3:10,10,13 interaction 11:8,11 friends 8:9 24:15 3:17,17,20,21 4:5,22 front 15:6,7 intercession 19:15 Mathis' 14:19 11:18 14:9,10 17:4 full 22:4 intoxicated 7:8 9:6,7 matter 1:5 16:21 26:4 19:1 20:3,6,10,13,17 further 5:15 8:14 14:3 14:19 19:5 26:13.18 20:22 21:3 23:17,19 26:2,3 intoxication 14:21 mean 16:17,18,19 17:3 24:13,19,22 25:6 investigated 21:18 21:7 o'clock 8:22 14:17 G mechanism 16:20 investigation 7:21 occasion 16:6,8 game 22:19 mechanisms 19:22 occur 18:15 gentleman 12:1 investigator 2:13,14 medical 8:13 occurred 17:1 18:19 getting 15:9 19:2 4:6,8,10,12,14 6:1,1,2 **MEETING** 1:3 offenses 7:1 given 15:11 6:15 13:13 14:11,14 member 1:19,20,21,22 officer 1:11 5:5 7:5,19 giving 11:13 involving 10:1 14:12 4:1 11:20 12:8,15 8:4 9:11 15:22 glass 9:13 12:20,22 irate 7:11 13:1,5,12,21 14:2 officers 7:4 12:5 **issue** 16:3 23:20,22 24:3,7,16,21 13:3 once 7:10 12:1 18:3 gray 19:8 25:5,9 26:5,6 one's 17:4 **group** 15:2 members 13:11 23:15 ones 12:5 grudgingly 17:13 **JAMES** 1:21 memory 16:12 21:8,10 open 16:7 operate 19:21 quess 12:10 16:18 21:12,15 22:4,11,18 **JR** 1:19 21:19 24:7 operation 16:2 24:9,18 June 1:13 10:7,11 met 1:15 microphone 6:8 operational 9:22 Н Κ **MIKE** 1:20 opinion 13:14 Mikea 2:13 4:8 Katima 8:2 **opposed** 26:11 **happen** 22:11 minimum 10:2 happened 9:1 15:6 18:7 keep 16:13 22:12,14 outside 12:9 17:3 19:2,22 20:16,20 **minute** 3:13 owner 7:11 9:6 10:18 **kept** 15:9 21:11 missed 20:11 L **MITCH** 2:12 happening 25:3 Mitchell 3:22 8:4 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S **hard** 10:10 **lead** 6:3

3:1 reach 8:1 14:5 23:2 things 11:14 17:1 18:15 realized 18:3 **p.m** 3:2 26:17 **short** 1:21 11:19,20 18:18 25:13 page 9:20 **recall** 4:18 12:8,15 13:1,5,9,15 thought 5:7 15:1,10 13:20 23:20,21,22 paid 17:7 recog 22:6 21:9 parties 3:8 recognize 25:14 24:3,7,16,21 25:5,9 throw 22:15 party 8:19 recognized 18:16 25:20 **show** 5:11 Thursday 6:22 **passes** 26:14 recommend 26:3 sidewalk 17:15,18,19 **Tobias** 8:20 patrons 7:9 8:21 9:8 record 3:4,9 22:1,12 **Silverstein** 1:20 13:11 today 5:13 17:20 25:1 13:12,21 14:2 26:6,8 **Pause** 3:14 recording 16:5 20:2 told 12:19 15:21 18:8 people 8:6 15:2,14 22:18 **simple** 1:9 5:3 7:2 top 22:17 rectified 16:14 totally 15:14 21:17 17:16 18:5 simply 21:4 period 17:5 **Reeves** 1:15 **sir** 11:6 14:1,8,10 23:2 touched 7:9 9:8 person 15:3,5,8,10 referred 5:11 23:8,13,19 tried 15:8 16:18,22 17:3,7,13 **REMA** 1:22 somebody 14:18 17:10 trouble 17:6 18:4 try 5:17 16:6 18:17 19:12 21:21,22 remember 4:21 19:5 person's 14:22 report 11:21 12:18 **sorry** 10:16 11:2 trying 15:22 21:20 22:6 personnel 14:12 request 4:19,20 10:4 **sounds** 12:10 turned 7:12 **speaking** 8:7,15 9:11 **two** 7:9,13,17 9:8 10:10 **physically** 9:8 14:15 requested 4:22 10:17 13:2 17:14 required 24:4 15:13 17:16 place 12:9 17:17 20:1 **type** 8:13 requires 16:3 special 17:7 **plan** 10:22 16:3 22:19 respond 18:9 specifically 23:2 U 24:4,8,17 25:2 responded 12:21 **spoke** 7:21 8:2,6,12 **please** 3:8,9 responding 8:4 9:11 **U** 1:6,7 7:14,15,18 12:6 **spot** 17:6 police 1:11 4:19 5:5 7:4 response 13:15,22 **start** 5:22 17:14 unable 8:1 9:15 7:5,19 15:19,22 17:2 23:16 26:12 started 15:11.12 18:4 understanding 18:7 17:12 18:1 19:15 responsibility 19:8 **state** 23:3 understood 16:5 portion 14:6 responsibly 18:3 stated 8:17 12:19 19:20 unfortunately 8:1 18:14 present 1:18 2:9 8:16 restaurant 23:22 25:2 23:3 unlawful 1:9 5:3 7:2 8:16 14:15 result 5:21 **states** 9:19 **unruly** 16:18 presentation 11:21 Retailer 1:7 stationed 12:6 updated 25:17 presiding 1:16 returning 10:7 **Stephen** 2:11 3:10,17 ushered 14:16 15:5 prior 11:18 25:17 revamping 21:14 stopped 25:3 utilized 9:21 **problem** 18:18 20:13 **Rey** 8:8 store 10:10 25:14,16,19 Riabaskul 8:3,15 street 1:7,15 7:14,15,19 problems 13:16 Rider 8:5 9:12 8:9 12:6 15:15 25:4 victim 7:16,17,22 8:7 proceeded 7:18 Room 1:15 subjective 14:20 victims 7:22 substantiate 9:15 13:19 proceeding 5:1 rose 19:14 viewing 8:19 24:12,12 run 7:18 substantiated 10:10 process 5:9 violation 9:18 10:18 property 1:10 5:4 7:3 **Suite 1:16** violence 10:1 12:11 S **pull** 6:7 Supervisory 4:11,14 15:7 19:4 23:4 **punched** 7:17 15:16 satisfactory 13:22 violent 10:1 6:1 **push** 17:17 saying 8:3 14:5 18:13 **suspect** 7:6 9:3 visit 10:11 pushed 7:16 scene 12:21 swinging 18:4 W put 12:1,2 16:21 17:2 second 8:18 22:19 26:4 **system** 25:17 18:14,17 26:5.6 **W** 1:16,19 Т seconded 26:8 WAHABZADAH 1:22 Q T-H-I-S 4:1 section 9:20 walking 7:13 8:9 15:15 query 18:19 security 9:16 10:2,12 t/a 1:6 19:12 walks 14:18 17:6 question 11:19 18:9,12 10:22 14:6 16:3 24:4 taken 5:20 25:16,20 24:8,17 25:2 18:22 19:6,19 23:20 talking 14:7 19:12 wanted 5:16 23:7 24:8,20 25:7 seek 8:12 target 19:2 Washington 1:16 questions 13:10 14:3 sense 25:16 technical 21:4,21,22 wasn't 18:20 16:16 21:21 23:15 serve 17:10 technically 16:13 way 13:20 15:9 19:13 quite 17:16 served 9:3 television 24:14 25:3 **service** 15:19 tell 6:18 16:11 17:9 Wednesday 1:12 14:18 R services 11:22 testifying 6:7 whatsoever 15:7 thank 11:20 13:5,8,12 **raise** 19:6 serving 24:10 windows 12:12 **settlement** 9:19 13:18 14:2 25:9,10 26:15 random 8:11 witness 12:19,19 18:7

_			50
	I	1	
witnessed 12:7	22:13,18 23:3,4		
work 20:18 22:7			
working 20:2 22:5	7		
wrong 8:4 11:3,3 18:13	<b>7</b> 9:20 10:6		
X	8		
Υ	9		
yesterday 10:11 21:18	900 7:14		
22:10	300 7.14		
22.10			
Z			
0			
1			
<b>1,000</b> 7:4			
<b>10</b> 8:20			
<b>1100</b> 7:14,18			
<b>111411</b> 1:8 3:7			
<b>12:00</b> 8:22 14:17			
<b>12:30</b> 9:2			
<b>1212</b> 1:7			
<b>13th</b> 10:13			
<b>14th</b> 1:15			
<b>15</b> 8:20			
<b>16</b> 10:8 21:8,15			
<b>19-251-00071</b> 1:8 3:6			
<b>1B</b> 1:7 10:4			
2			
<b>2:30</b> 10:8,11			
<b>2:40</b> 3:2			
<b>20/20</b> 19:16			
<b>2000</b> 1:15			
<b>20009</b> 1:16			
<b>2018</b> 1:13			
<b>251</b> 5:1 6:22 7:1			
25th 10:7,11			
<b>26</b> 1:13			
3			
<b>3</b> 22:5			
<b>3:02</b> 26:17			
4			
<b>4</b> 22:5			
<b>400S</b> 1:16			
<b>48</b> 10:3			
<b>4th</b> 7:1			
7.1			
5			
<b>5</b> 9:20			
<b>5-0-0</b> 26:14			
<b>3-0-0</b> 20.14			
6			
<b>60</b> 10:2,9 16:3,6,13			
19:21 20:2 21:10 22:2			
	1	1	

## ${\color{red} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{R} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{F} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{A} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E}}$

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Brooklyn

Before: DCABRA

Date: 06-26-19

Place: Washington, DC

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &