THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

In the Matter of:				
GothWine Limited Liability Company)	Case No.:	
t/a Apero DC)	License No.: Order No.:	
Applicant for a New)	31401 1 (0),	2020 705
Retailer's Class CR I	License)		
)		
at premises)		
2622 P Street, N.W.)		
Washington, D.C. 20007				
BEFORE:	Donovan Anderson, Chair	person		
	James Short, Member	•		
	Bobby Cato, Member			
	Rema Wahabzadah, Member			
	Rafi Aliya Crockett, Member			
	Jeni Hansen, Member			
	Edward S. Grandis, Memb	er		
ALSO PRESENT:	GothWine Limited Liability Company, t/a Apero DC, Applicant			
	Gwendolyn Lohse, Commissioner, Advisory Neighborhood			

Commission (ANC) 2E, Protestant

Karen Cruse, on behalf of the Citizens Association of Georgetown (CAG), Protestant

Siavash Koomaraie, Abutting Property Owner, Protestant

Robert W. Stout, Abutting Property Owner, Protestant

Rovert M. Yahn and Linda C. Yahn, Abutting Property Owners, Protestant

Lacey Huber, Abutting Property Owner, Protestant

Andrew Johnson, Abutting Property Owner, Protestant

Atish R. Ghosh and Danielle Dukowicz, on behalf of a Group of Three Residents or Property Owners, Protestant

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR REINSTATEMENT OF PROTEST

The Application filed by GothWine Limited Liability Company, t/a Apero DC (Applicant), for a new Retailer's Class CR License, having been protested, came before the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board (Board) for a Roll Call Hearing on November 2, 2020, in accordance with D.C. Official Code § 25-601 (2001).

On November 2, 2020, the Board dismissed a Group of Three Residents and Property Owners (Group) because they did not have the requisite five members as required by § 25-601. The Board notes that a group of three is only permitted in moratorium zones under the statute.

Subsequently, the Group filed a motion for reinstatement based on the following reasons: (1) the Group was not aware of the requirement for five persons; (2) their property is very close to the proposed location; and (3) the existence of the establishment will have negative consequences for one of the residents living in their property. *Motion for Reinstatement*, at 1.

The Board denies the request because having the requisite number of persons is a threshold requirement that cannot be waived or excused based on ignorance of the law. Furthermore, the Group does not qualify for consideration as abutting property owners where no property lines touch. Finally, the standing issues cannot be waived based on the potential need or harm to one of the parties. As such, the Board cannot grant reinstatement.

The Board notes that nothing in this Order prevents the Group from sharing their evidence and testimony with the other parties, or from providing testimony if called as a witness.

ORDER

Therefore, the Board, on this 2nd day of December 2020, hereby **DENIES** the motion for reinstatement filed by the Group. Copies of this Order shall be sent to the Parties.

District of Columbia				
Alcoholic Beverage Control Board				
SECTION NO PRINCIPLE OF				
Donavan CAndorson				
Donovan Anderson, Chairperson				
San Salvastini Santian Dona Com				
James Short, Member				
Rep 13641/cacibal 4617/1075007617/1030				
Bobby Cato, Member				
esigned via Soomboodoor. Rima Wahabzadah, Member Soyi bizca 8055926740729519635673811811821				
Rema Wahabzadah, Member				
eSigned via Seamlers Doce com				
Rafi Aliya Crockett, Member				
Rafi Crockett, Member				
Jeni Hansen, Member Seni 177911800041011000001411175				
Jeni Hansen, Member				
eSigned via SeamkeszDocs.cóm Edward Grandis, Member Koy: 5027042/1000040eci esdes52541ce5				
Edward S Grandis Member				

Pursuant to D.C. Official Code§ 25-433(d)(l), any party adversely affected may file a Motion for Reconsideration of this decision within ten (10) days of service of this Order with the Alcoholic Beverage Regulation Administration, 2000 14th Street, N.W., Suite 400S, Washington, DC 20009.

Also, pursuant to section 11 of the District of Columbia Administrative Procedure Act, Pub. L. 90-614, 82 Stat. 1209, D.C. Official Code §2-510 (2001), and Rule 15 of the District of Columbia Court of Appeals, any party adversely affected has the right to appeal this Order by filing a petition for review, within thilty (30) days of the date of service of this Order, with the District of Columbia Coult of Appeals, 430 E StTeet, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001; (202/879-1010). However, the timely filing of a Motion for Reconsideration pursuant to 23 DCMR §1719.1 (2008) stays the time for filing a petition for review in the District of Columbia Court of Appeals until the Board rules on the motion. See D.C. App. Rule 15(b) (2004).