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CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We are back on the record. Our next case is Case No. 18-CC00053, Abigail Room, License No. 107468.

Would the parties, please, approach and identify themselves for the record, please?

MR. SOUSA: Christopher Sousa for the District of Columbia.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, Mr. Sousa.

MR. SUNG: Good morning. Kijun Sung for Abigail Room.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning,
Mr. Sung.
MR. SUNG: And my client is David
Chung.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning,
Mr. Chung.
MR. CHUNG: Hello.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Are there any preliminary matters in this case?

MR. SOUSA: No.
MR. SUNG: I do have one -- there is potential video tape evidence that we might want to submit and it may be better, more efficient if we can test it out before we proceed or we can -if you want to do it later, that's fine.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't have a problem with that, but this hearing was scheduled for 11:00. It is 11:23 and I would have anticipated and expected that the Board was back there and if you had -- whatever equipment that you had to test out, you could do that. You had 25 -- I didn't realize I'm late, because we came out here and it's now 11:24.

So fine, how long is this going to take?

MR. SUNG: Just five minutes. I apologize.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead.
MR. SUNG: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We're off the record.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 11:21 a.m. and resumed at 11:23 a.m.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Does the Government wish to make an opening statement? MR. SOUSA: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead.
MR. SOUSA: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, the District of Columbia brings this action with two counts: Sale to a minor, which the District views as an egregious violation of the Sale to Minor statute, and the second count is failure to take reasonable steps necessary to ascertain the legal age of a patron.

The District views this as an open and shut case. You will hear from Investigator Kevin Puente with the ABRA who will talk to you about how he, other members of the ABRA Team, and officers at the Metropolitan Police Department were -- they visited on April 29, 2018 the licensed establishment in this matter, Abigail Room, also known as Shredder, LLC.

You will hear from Investigator Puente that they observed patrons being waved into the 21 and over event within the licensed establishment without any checking of identification. You will hear from Investigator Puente that when a manager noticed that ABRA Investigators and MPD officers were on the scene and observing the lack of checking of identification, that they then started to check identification.

ABRA Investigators and MPD officers then entered the establishment and you will hear from Investigator Puente how they located, in their short time at the establishment, at least five minors who had purchased alcoholic beverages at the bar there.

The District again sees this as an egregious violation of the Sale to Minor statute, as egregious is defined in the ABRA Regulations in three different ways. One of them is intentionally selling an alcohol beverage to a minor, which the District believes this is such
an instance, or that the establishment had a prior -- had a pattern of prior alcoholic beverage sales or services to minors.

And the District believes that with the complaints about sales to minors at this establishment and we have at least five minors that were sold alcohol on this date, April 29, 2018, that there is a pattern of violations of the statute, which would amount to an egregious violation.

So at the end of today's hearing, we will ask the Board to find Abigail Room liable for those two counts under the ABRA Laws. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you wish to make an opening statement, sir?

MR. SUNG: No, sir. We will just -CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You will defer? MR. SUNG: -- reserve our comments for closing.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
MR. SUNG: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Does the Government have a witness?

MR. SOUSA: Yes, Investigator Puente.
Mr. Puente?
Whereupon,
INVESTIGATOR KEVIN PUENTE
was called as a witness by Counsel for the Complainant, and having been first duly sworn, assumed the witness stand and was examined and testified as follows:

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.
Your witness, sir.
MR. SOUSA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SOUSA:
Q Thank you, Mr. Puente. Could you, just for the record, please, state your name and spell it for the record?

A Kevin Puente, K-E-V-I-N P-U-E-N-T-E.
Q Thank you. And where do you work?
A Alcoholic Beverage Regulation

Administration.
Q And what do you do?
A I'm an Investigator.
Q Okay. And what are the responsibilities of an Investigator at ABRA?

A We conduct inspections and investigations of licensed ABC establishments in the District of Columbia.

Q Okay. How long have you been with ABRA?

A About three and a half years.
Q Um-hum. And have you been an inspector the entire time?

A Yes.
Q All right. And do you have any prior law enforcement experience?

A I worked in corrections and I worked in surveillance at a casino.

Q Okay. And how long total would you -how many years have you worked in law enforcement total?

A About three years.

Q Okay. Okay.
MR. SOUSA: I am going to introduce Exhibit 1 for identification purposes. I'll hand a copy to opposing counsel. May I approach the witness?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure. What's Exhibit 1?

MR. SOUSA: Exhibit 1 is the ABRA case report and I have copies for the Board Members. May I approach the Board?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure. No. We have it. I just wanted to make sure that we know what document you are --

MR. SOUSA: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You can just pass them. You can just give her one copy.

MR. SOUSA: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And for the record.
(Whereupon, the abovereferred to document was marked as Joint Exhibit No.

BY MR. SOUSA:

Q Mr. Puente, do you -- are you familiar with this document, what is marked as Exhibit 1?

A Yes.
Q Can you identify the document?
A It's my investigative case report.
Q Okay. And is this the type of
document that you prepare in the ordinary scope of your business at ABRA?

A Yes.
Q And is it a regular part of your business to keep and maintain these records of this type?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And is this a true and accurate copy of what you prepared after visiting Abigail Room on April 29, 2018?

A Yes.

Q Okay.
MR. SOUSA: I'll just ask that the exhibit be entered into evidence. I'll move the

Board for that.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What did you
say, sir?
MR. SOUSA: I'll just -- I'll move the Board that the exhibit be entered into evidence. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Chung? Mr. Sung?

MR. SUNG: Yes, at this time, we can't consent because there are a bunch of exhibits here that need to be --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So what --

MR. SUNG: -- authenticated. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So why don't you -- why don't we -- why don't you utilize the document to ask the witnesses -- to ask the witness. Once you have introduced all of the documents, then you can move again.

MR. SOUSA: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. BY MR. SOUSA:

Q Were you on duty the night of April 28, 2018 and the early morning of April 29, 2018?

A Yes.
Q What were -- who were you working with on that date?

A I was working with Investigator Jason Peru as well as MPD detectives.

Q Okay. Do you remember the names of the MPD detectives?

A Yes, David Carter, Scott Emmons and Sergeant Terry Thorne.

Q Okay. What was your task that day?
A We were conducting ID checks at various establishments throughout the District.

Q And was one of the establishments Abigail Room?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Why was Abigail Room one of the establishments that MPD/ABRA was visiting?

A In the weeks prior, ABRA received a letter from George Washington University about underage drinking with one of their sororities
and an event they held there and they asked us to look into it.

Q Um-hum, okay. And what did you and the rest of the team do to prepare for this visit to Abigail Room?

A We met up with Detective Carter and I mentioned Sergeant Terry Thorne at another establishment previously, we conducted ID checks, so we kind of rode together the rest of the night.

Q Okay. And what time did you arrive at Abigail Room?

A Approximately around 1:00 a.m.
Q 1:00 a.m. Okay. And then what happened when you arrived at Abigail Room on that evening?

A Oh, we arrived and we observed a line out front. We observed a security guard at the door. We walked up. We saw people just coming in, not checking IDs. Then I saw the ABC Manager, Alexander Sibikovic, standing there as well. Us, myself and Investigator Peru, and
detectives were communicating, talking to each other and we saw that the IDs weren't being checked, so I took my cell phone out and started recording a video of it. Approximately maybe less than a minute later, Mr. Sibikovic, I believe, recognized us and the next thing I know, IDs were being checked at the door.

Q Okay. And did you take any sort of contemporaneous record of this, of these instances?

A Yes, I recorded a video on my cell phone.

Q And was the video that you recorded on the cell phone appended -- a copy of the video that you recorded on the cell phone appended to the ABRA report that you submitted on this incident?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Was it Exhibit 2 to the ABRA report?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
(Whereupon, the abovereferred to document was marked as Complainant Exhibit No. 2 for identification.)

MR. SOUSA: Now, may I ask the Board's indulgence to show Exhibit 2 to the Board? CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure. MR. SUNG: Yes, we have no objection. We just have not seen it yet, this video that he speaks of.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Oh, it's -- so the exhibit is the video?

MR. SOUSA: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. SOUSA: All right. You can go
ahead and play it.
(Video Played)
(Lots of People Talking Loud)
(Video Stopped)
MR. SOUSA: Thank you.
BY MR. SOUSA:

Q Mr. Puente, is that a true and accurate copy of the video that you took on April 29, 2018?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Could you, please, just describe for the Board what you see in that video?

A We observed the first two or three females, they were standing there talking to the security guard and then they were let in. Then you see a security guard talk to a few more of the patrons in line and the next two females were about to walk in and you kind of see the ABC Manager in the background say something to the security guard, then he starts checking IDs.

Q Okay. What happened after you filmed this video?

A I believe, from what $I$ recall, the ABC Manager came over and talked with us. We advised him we were going to do ID checks and we went inside.

Q Okay. What happened next?

A Myself, Investigator Peru along with Sergeant Thorne, we entered the establishment. We kind of walked to the back of the establishment near the restroom area where we observed a female and male patron consuming what looked to be alcoholic beverages and they appeared very young.

So we approached them. We identified ourselves and we asked how old they were.

Q Um-hum. And what did -- how did they respond?

A The female said she was 26 years-old and handed me her Illinois driver's license. I looked at it. I asked her if she had a student identification on her. She handed me a George Washington University Student Identification Card.

Q Um-hum.
A I asked -- I advised her that I would contact the university to verify that she was 26. She then admitted that she was 18 years-old.

Q Okay.

A The male patron, $I$ asked him for his ID.

Q Before we talk about the male patron, I apologize for interjecting, can you turn to what is labeled as Exhibit 4 within Plaintiff's Exhibit 1? The fourth attachment to the ABRA report that you provided?

A Yes.
MR. SUNG: I need to interject here. There is a potential witness sitting in the rear and I would like the Rule on Witnesses. I see Investigator Peru.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who is that? I don't know who the witness is.

MR. SUNG: Investigator Peru. If you don't plan to call him, then we don't need to --

MR. SOUSA: Investigator Puente will be the only witness that the Government plans on calling.

MR. SUNG: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you. All right.

Q Have you turned to that page?
A Yes.
Q Okay. What do you recognize this document to be?

A That was the ID that was given to me by the female patron.
(Whereupon, the abovereferred to document was marked as Complainant Exhibit No. 4 for identification.)

BY MR. SOUSA:
Q Is that a true and accurate copy of what the female patron showed you on that date?

A Yes.
Q And how old -- Well, let's do it this way. What is the birth date on this particular ID?

A On this ID it is 10/16. Yeah, either $10 / 18$ or $10 / 16 / 92$.

Q Okay. And then what did the female
patron tell you after handing you the Illinois ID?

A That she was 26 years-old.
Q And then you asked her about her GW Student Card?

A Yes, if she had a student ID card from one of the universities.

Q And then what did she admit to you?
A She handed me her GW ID card.
Q Um-hum.
A I advised her that I will contact the university and then she admitted that she was 18 years-old.

Q Okay. All right. Thank you. And then what did the -- what did you say to the male patron?

A I asked him for his ID as well. He handed me a Colombia identification card and said he was 22 years-old.

Q Yeah, and may I ask you to turn to the next page of the -- what is marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1? And do you recognize the picture that
has been taken of this?
A Yes.
Q Does the picture -- is the picture of the license or the ID, excuse me, that was shown to you?

A Yes.
Q By the male patron?
A Yes.
Q Which ID did he initially show you?
A He showed me the Republica de Colombia Identification Card.

Q Okay. Um-hum. And if you turn to the next page, $I$ understand that is to be the back of that license, of that identification card. Is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And what is the birth date listed there?

A July 26, 1996.
Q All right. And what was the next thing you said to the male patron?

A I asked him if he had another -- if he
had a student ID card, he said he did not. He admitted that he was 18 years-old as well.

Q Okay. Then is that when the patron showed you the Oregon license that is depicted in Exhibit 5?

A Yes.
Q Yes.
(Whereupon, the abovereferred to document was marked as Complainant Exhibit No. 5 for identification.)

BY MR. SOUSA:
Q All right. And what is the birth date there?

A That is 7/26/1999.
Q Thank you. What happened next?
A They were escorted out, but prior to questioning them, I asked them what they were consuming. They advised me they were consuming Tequila Sunrises, alcoholic beverages. And they both put the drinks on the ground, so we -- they
could get their IDs out for me.
Q Yeah, and if you turn forward in the document where it is labeled Exhibit 3 --

A Um-hum.
Q -- is that a true and -- this is a picture, a photograph. Is this a true and accurate depiction of the circumstances of the glasses being on the floor?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
(Whereupon, the abovereferred to document was marked as Complainant Exhibit No. 3 for identification.)

BY MR. SOUSA:
Q And the patrons, both male and female, identified this as Tequila Sunrises?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Thank you. What happened next?
A The two individuals were escorted out of the establishment.

Q Okay. By whom?
A I can't recall.
Q Was it an MPD-affiliated individual, ABRA or someone that was affiliated with the licensed establishment?

A I can't recall.
Q Fair enough, fair enough. Then what happened?

A I continued to do a walk-through of the establishment. I went towards the VIP area of the establishment. It's like kind of roped off and a little elevated like seating area. I observed a young female consuming what appeared to be alcohol, so I approached her.

Q What happened next.
A I identified myself as an ABRA Investigator to her. I asked what she was consuming. She stated champagne. I asked to see her identification. She said something to me, but I couldn't hear her, so I asked her to come outside with me, so we could talk.

Q Why were you unable to hear the
individual?
A The music was playing and we were right near the DJ booth, so it was kind of loud.

Q Okay. What happened next?
A We -- she walked in front of me as we were walking outside. I see her go into her purse and get something out. Then I see her put something inside of her skirt.

Q Um-hum. What did that suggest to you?
A From my experience, that's usually an individual trying to hide their ID.

Q Okay. And then what happened?
A We went outside. I took her to Sergeant Thorne and Detective Emmons. They began to question her. She admitted that she was 18 years-old, but couldn't produce an ID for us.

Q Okay. And was she speaking to you or was she speaking to the detectives from MPD?

A She was speaking to the detectives. We were all right next to each other.

Q You were all next to each other. So what else did you overhear in that conversation?

A As they were starting to question her more, the establishment's owner, Mr. David Chung, came outside. They talked and the girl walked away. Then Sergeant Thorne and Mr. Chung had a conversation.

Q Okay. And then what did you do next?
A Detective Carter pulled me aside and advised me that he observed two more individuals inside the establishment consuming alcohol that were underage.

Q Okay. And do you -- if I could ask you to turn to what is labeled as Exhibit 7 to the ABRA report?

A Yes.
Q Do you recognize this as the
alternative identifications for one of those individuals?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
(Whereupon, the abovereferred to document was marked as Complainant

Q And one is a Maine identification, the other one is a New Jersey identification, correct?

A Yes.
Q And the New Jersey identification has the individual being born in the year 1999?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And if I ask you to turn the page, these pictures of photograph representation of the other -- of the two identifications provided for the other individual.

A Yes.
Q And one is Texas, one is Florida.
A Yes.
Q And the Texas identification indicates that the individual was born in 1999, correct?

A Yes.
Q What happened next?
A Detective Carter advised me that him
and Detective Emmons were at the bar. They observed the two individuals place the order. The bartender served them and the individuals take the drink and consume it, that's when he identified himself as an MPD officer and found out they were underage and they admitted that they were 18.

Q Okay. To your understanding, did the server at the bar check the identification?

A I don't believe they did.
Q Okay. Did you observe any servers within Abigail Room on that night checking identification?

A I did not.
Q What happened next?
$A \quad$ We left the area. We left the area.
Q In total, how long were you at Abigail Room that on that evening, early morning?

A Probably about 30, 45 minutes.
Q And in those 30 to 45 minutes, you found at least five minors. You found five minors who were being served alcohol?

A Yes.
Q Yes. Thank you for your time, Mr. Puente.

## CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Sung?

MR. SUNG: Thank you.
CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. SUNG:

Q Good morning, Mr. Puente.
A Good morning.
Q You stated that you stood outside the establishment before you started filming. How long were you standing outside the establishment?

A Maybe a few minutes.
Q A few minutes?
A Yes.
Q And we did see the footage. Did you have any other footage from that evening?

A No.
Q Okay. So approximately 20 to 30 seconds that we saw, that's the only footage that you recorded?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Prior to you filming that footage, isn't it true that the security was, in fact, checking IDs of the patrons as they were entering?

A I can't recall. I remember when we walked up, the first thing we said was we weren't seeing IDs checked and Sergeant Thorne kind of walked more closer to the side and that's when I took the phone out to see.

Q Okay. So you are not sure in the minutes that you were --

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Please, speak up a little louder.

MR. SUNG: I apologize.
BY MR. SUNG:
Q So you are not sure in the minutes that you were standing outside before you started filming whether or not Abigail was checking IDs?

A No, sir.
Q Okay. Now, in the footage that is shown, we start with the employee standing there and some customers waiting outside. We don't
know from that footage whether, in fact, some of the people that are standing by the employee already had their IDs checked, correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, what was the answer?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes?
THE WITNESS: We don't know.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Okay.
BY MR. SUNG:
Q So you mentioned that you received a complaint from GW University. Do you have a copy of that complaint?

A I do not.
Q Okay. What were the - what did the complaint say specifically?

A That a sorority had an event there several weeks prior, one of the owners was inside giving alcoholic beverages to underage patrons.

Q Have there been any other complaints regarding Abigail and underage drinking?

A Since then or prior to that?
Q Prior to then.
A No.
Q Okay. Where were you before coming to Abigail that evening?

A We were at a liquor store.
Q Okay. Do you ever perform ID checks without MPD?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And under what circumstances do you do that?

A Usually I do it about every shift I work.

Q Understood. Just to be clear on your procedures, under what circumstances do you ask for IDs to customers?

A When we suspect underage consumption.
Q Okay. What happens if a customer does not want to provide ID?

A We will ask the establishment
ownership or ABC Manager to try to get the ID to ascertain it. If not, then they need escorted out and we will try to get the ID. Most times, I will call MPD to assist then.

Q So you don't have the power to take a customer out of a venue?

A No. I would ask that the staff escort them out.

Q Okay. Are you -- have you been provided training on how to check for IDs?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Who provided that training to you?

A One of the senior Investigators as well as a person that the Agency hires for the licensees that we bring down.

Q Are you familiar with the I.D.
Checking Guide?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Did you perform a comparison of the IDs that were -- that are a part of your report with the I.D. Checking Guide?

A I don't believe I did.
Q Now, this report -- in general, is it fair to say that the report -- it's your aim in this report to provide all important facts of your investigation?

A Yes.
Q I would like to direct your attention to the Illinois ID.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can -- what exhibit is that? MR. SUNG: It is Exhibit 4 to the police report.

## CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

 BY MR. SUNG:Q Now, you say you spoke to this individual who is shown on this ID?

A Yes.
Q And you don't know how she entered the venue, correct?

A No.
Q So you don't know whether she showed this ID in order to gain entry into the
establishment?
A I do not know.
Q Okay. And the -- and your testimony is that the only reason you know this ID to be fraudulent is because she told you that it was fraudulent?

A Yes.
Q So you haven't actually performed a comparison analysis between this ID that she provided and the ID guide?

A I don't think I did, no.
MR. SUNG: Chairman Anderson, I would like the Board to take judicial notice of the 2018 I.D. Guide. I believe it is a document that the Board is familiar with.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm not familiar with it, so I mean, I don't have a copy of it, so it's -- I don't have a copy of it. It's not in front of me, so I'm not going to say I'm familiar with any document that is not in front of me.

So and I'll say it's like someone
saying that $I$ need to take judicial notice of all the records in ABRA's file and I have always stated that $I$ don't know what records are in ABRA's file, so if you want it to be a part of the record, if you want to introduce the document, show it to Mr. Sousa.

As part of your presentation if you want to introduce that, $I$ don't have a problem with that.

MR. SUNG: Do you need to see this?
MR. SOUSA: Is there a particular page that you were going to ask the Board to look at?

MR. SUNG: The Post-Its.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Can I just add for clarity --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, hold on, hold on a minute, Mr. Alberti. Do you have a question, Mr. Alberti?

MEMBER ALBERTI: I just want to note for the record that I understand you are asking the Board to take judicial notice of that, but that is not produced by ABRA.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on a minute, Mr. Alberti.

MEMBER ALBERTI: So it would not be part of our records and I just want that to be clear on the record.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Alberti, thank you. I already pointed out that I don't -I'm not going to take judicial notice, because it's not part of our record. That's one of the reasons why I asked him that if he wants to introduce it as a part of his case --

MEMBER ALBERTI: Um-hum.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- he can
produce it. But I'm not taking judicial notice, because I don't have a copy of it. And once it is officially introduced in the record, then it's a part of the record. But currently, it is not a part of the record.

So I think partly are you trying to introduce this document as an exhibit as part of your case in chief?

MR. SUNG: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Sousa, do you have any --

MR. SOUSA: I have no objections to the introduction of this as an exhibit. I just worry logistically that you all don't have copies and maybe those copies should be made.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So if it's a part of the exhibit, $I$ need to be given a copy of it, so therefore $I$ can put it with our file to say this is the official. So if you want to introduce it, then you need to give it to me. At some point before the case is over, you need to give that to me, so $I$ can make it a part of our records.

I mean, you can hold on to it, but make sure that prior to the closing of the case, if it's not introduced, it's not part of the record.

MR. SUNG: Yes, sir. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. SUNG: I appreciate that.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure.

BY MR. SUNG:
Q Mr. Puente, you are familiar with the ID guide as you have testified, correct?

A Yes.
Q And to your understanding, this is sort of the gold standard in terms of comparing IDs to make sure that they are valid?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And to your understanding, ABRA shares or distributes or makes available this ID guide to all ABC licensees?

A Yes.
Q I am going to hand you the guide.
MR. SUNG: May I approach the witness?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you show it to Mr. Sousa what you are -- can you, please, show it to him what you are going to show the witness?

MR. SOUSA: I have seen it.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't know what you are showing him, so just show him. I'm saying show him what it is you are going to show
the witness, so he will see it before you show the witness. That's all I'm asking.

MR. SUNG: All right. I apologize.
I thought he had seen it.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. SUNG: I showed him via --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Oh, then my apologies then, sir.

MR. SUNG: All right.
BY MR. SUNG:
Q Mr. Puente, do you see the page that has been marked for Illinois IDs?

A Yes.
Q And can you compare it to the -- to Exhibit 4 to your report?

A Yes.
Q Do you see that there are two photos of the individual on your Exhibit 4? Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And do you see on the ID Guide for Illinois that there is -- there are also two
photos of the individual?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Do you also see that the digits of the license or the characters, $I$ believe it is 13 characters, on the stamps on the ID guide.

A Yes.
Q And on your Exhibit 4, there is also the same number of characters for the license number?

A Yes.
Q You also see the red and blue colors, the primary colors on the sample ID, correct?

A Yes.
Q And similar for Exhibit 4 to your report, this fraudulent ID, also has the same color scheme?

A Yes.
Q And there appear to be wavy lines in the ID, which are quite unique. Do you see the wavy lines in the background of the ID? Do you see that on both the sample ID as well as the fraudulent ID?

A Yes.
MR. SOUSA: I would just object to the characterization of unique, but he can answer.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. SUNG:
Q Do you also see the lines for date of birth, expiration date, issue date and address on the sample ID as well as the fraudulent ID?

A Yes.
Q And they are very similar in format, correct?

A Yes.
Q In fact, this fraudulent ID pretty much matches up with the sample ID shown in the ID guide, correct?

A Yes.
Q You have had a lot of experience detecting fraudulent IDs, Mr. Puente, yes?

A Yes.
Q So in your experience, is this the fraudulent ID that is shown on your report,

Exhibit 4? It's a pretty good fraudulent ID, is that not true?

MR. SOUSA: Objection to the characterization of that it's pretty good.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to sustain. Well, I'm going to sustain the objection, because I guess the bottom line is I don't think we have -- I don't think that Mr. Puente has been -- is an expert in identification of IDs, so maybe you can ask him his opinion, but I'm not going to -- he can't -- so I'll sustain the objection. If you want to rephrase the question, you can ask him.

MR. SUNG: Thank you.
BY MR. SUNG:
Q Mr. Puente, you have testified that you have taken training on detecting IDs. Let me ask you some more questions. How -- in your approximation, how many IDs -- how many fraudulent IDs have you detected over the course of your career at ABRA?

A Oh, I can't give a specific number,
but over 100 for sure.
Q Okay. And would you consider yourself to have knowledge significantly above the layperson in detecting fraudulent IDs?

A Yeah, I've been through the training that we give.

Q And do you have an opinion as to the, I guess I'm struggling for the word here, workmanship of this fraudulent ID that you have attached to the report?

A It has the same characteristics what's in the page.

Q Do you see anything that stands out on the fraudulent ID that would tell you upon examination that it is a fraudulent ID?

A No.
Q I think that's sufficient. Thank you.
Turning your attention to the next page, which is Exhibit 5 to your report, the gentleman who you said gave you a Colombia ID, just one question on that.

Did you ask this gentleman whether he
had shown either of these IDs to gain entry into Abigail?

A I can't recall.
Q Okay. In your report you don't state whether you asked him that, correct?

A Yes.
Q So you don't know whether, in fact, he showed either of these IDs to gain entry into the venue, correct?

A No, I don't know.
Q Turning your attention to Exhibit 7 of your report, which is the -- there are two IDs there, a Maine ID and a New Jersey ID.

A Yes.
Q It's the Maine ID that you have identified as fraudulent, correct?

A Yes, that is what I was told by Detective Carter.

Q Okay. And you haven't performed a comparison of this fraudulent ID with the I.D. Book Guide, correct?

A No, Detective Carter took those guide

IDs with him.
Q Okay. Who took these photos?
A I did.
Q You did?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Did you speak to this gentleman that is shown on this ID?

A No. He already -- Detective Carter already released him by the time $I$ could speak to him.

Q So how did you take the IDs?
A Detective Carter had them still. Oh, no, $I$ did not speak to him. Detective Carter just advised me and $I$ turned around because Sergeant Thorne got done with his conversation with Mr. Chung, so he was filling him in about that. And Detective Carter advised me what -about those individuals.

Q I'm just trying to understand, how did you take these IDs if you didn't speak to this gentleman?

A Because they were still standing
there, but I didn't question them.
Q Understood, understood. Did you, at the time that you took these photos, look at the photos of the gentleman that is shown on these photos compared to the gentleman who was actually standing there, that was being questioned?

A I can't recall.
Q So you didn't ask this gentleman how he gained entry into the venue, correct?

A I did not, no.
Q Okay. Do you know if Detective Carter did?

A I do not know.
Q Similarly for the next exhibit, Exhibit 8 to your report is a Texas ID and a Florida ID, correct?

A Yes.
(Whereupon, the abovereferred to document was marked as Complainant

Exhibit No. 8 for
identification.)

BY MR. SUNG:
Q Okay. And it's the Florida ID that is -- that you are saying is fraudulent, correct?

A Yes.
Q And did you speak to this woman that is shown on this ID?

A I did not, no.
Q Okay. But did you take this photograph?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So again, because you didn't speak to this woman, you did not ask her how she gained entry into the venue, correct?

A No.
Q And similarly, you don't know if Detective Carter asked her how she got into the venue, correct?

A I do not know, no.
Q Could you turn to the Florida ID section on that identification guide?

A Um-hum.
Q Could you take a moment to compare
this fraudulent Florida ID that is shown in Exhibit 8 to your report and the sample ID that is shown for Florida in that guide?

And ultimately, my question to you is do you see anything on this fraudulent ID that would lead you to conclude that it's a fraudulent ID, based on your comparison with the sample in the ID guide?

A No.
Q And similarly for the Maine ID shown in Exhibit 7 to your report, can you turn to the Maine ID in the ID guide and perform a similar comparison?

And ultimately again, my question to you is do you see anything in the fraudulent ID, any marks or features of the fraudulent ID that is different from the sample ID shown in the ID guide?

A No.
Q In terms of the woman that you approached in what you called the VIP section, when you first saw her, she already had a drink
in her hand, correct?
A Yes.
Q And did you ever find out her name?
A No.
Q Did you ever see an ID from her?
A No.
Q Now, you stated in your report that, and I'm paraphrasing here, but I don't want to do that, so I want to be specific as to what you said about her.

You said that you advised her of what you had observed and advised her that she needed to cooperate. What does that mean? What did you mean by that, she needed to cooperate? In what manner?

A I advised her -- when we walked to the front door, I saw her slip something out of her purse into her skirt. I stopped her. I asked her what she put in there. She wouldn't say anything. I advised her to cooperate. Once we got outside, I took her right to the MPD detectives.

Q And later on you say that -- I apologize. Not something you said, you were saying something that -- I have a question. You stated that Sergeant Thorne was there as well as Detectives Carter and Emmons?

A Emmons, yes.
Q Emmons. Who is the higher ranking officer of those three?

A Sergeant Thorne.
Q Sergeant Thorne.
MR. SOUSA: I'm just going to object to the relevancy of this question.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You're objecting?

MR. SOUSA: I'm just going to object to the relevance of that question.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: They already answered the question, so --

MR. SOUSA: Yeah.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- you have to object before the answer. Okay. Go ahead.

BY MR. SUNG:

Q Now, I would like to ask you some questions about the two individuals who Detective Carter spoke to who are shown on Exhibit 7 and 8 to your report.

You are basically -- since you didn't have any contact with them, you did not see them actually order these drinks, correct?

A I did not, no.
Q Okay. And you are relying on him telling you what -- you are relying on what he told you to generate your report, correct?

A Yes.
Q You are not aware -- are you aware whether he actually observed this or if he is relying on one of the other officers, whether it is Sergeant Thorne or Detective Emmons, who allegedly observed these drinks being served?

A All he told me is that they observed the drinks being served. So I don't know who -what he meant by that, who -- I'm assuming it was him that saw it.

Q Did he specifically say that it was
him who observed the drinks being served?
A I think he said they saw them. They, plural, so I don't -- I'm assuming that was him and Detective Emmons.

Q Okay. It couldn't have been Sergeant Thorne?

A Sergeant Thorne was with me and Investigator Peru with the first two individuals that we got.

Q Do you know how it was determined that the drinks being served were alcoholic in nature?

A He asked them from what he told me.
Q He asked who?
A The individuals.
Q Do you know if anyone spoke to the bartender who allegedly served these drinks?

A I do not know.
Q Okay. Can you describe the physical appearance of Detective Carter?

MR. SOUSA: Objection to the relevance.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Why is it
relevant, sir?
MR. SUNG: We are going to be calling a witness, the bartender in question who had the interaction with MPD that evening and he is going to describe someone else besides Detective Carter.

MEMBER ALBERTI: I thought we called the Rule on Witnesses.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't -- I mean, are you questioning whether or not Detective Carter was -- is an officer? I mean, I guess $I$ don't understand why that is relevant.

MR. SUNG: So I will proffer the testimony of the -- of our witness who is going to say that he had an interaction with an MPT -MPD officer who does not fit the physical characteristics of Detective Carter, which calls into question the allegations made in this report.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I --
MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Would you say that again? I didn't hear what you said. This
is important.
MR. SUNG: Yes, thank you. I am going to proffer into evidence later when 1 call my next witness that the MPD officer who the bartender in question had communications with who was accused of serving these drinks is not, in fact, Detective Carter. And that he does not know the name of the officer, but he can provide a physical description and it is different from Detective Carter.

We will show evidence that it was, in fact, Sergeant Thorne, not Detective Carter who made these allegations.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I don't think it is relevant, so I'm not going to allow that question. Let me ask a question since Mr. Alberti reminded me.

Do you have witnesses here who will be testifying as a part of your case in chief?

MR. SUNG: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And so were you
-- I know you had raised earlier that if the

Government had witnesses, you wanted them to be excluded. So what's the Government's position?

MR. SOUSA: We had previously discussed that and I had -- I decided to have no objection to the presence of the witnesses in the room. So that's not an issue.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Okay. That's fine. Go ahead. Go ahead, Mr. Sung.

MR. SUNG: Thank you. I want to hand the Board copies of the relevant case exhibit 2018 I.D. Guide that we discussed, which are the sample IDs for Illinois, Maine and Florida. Can I submit these to you?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You can. Maybe and I'm just asking a question, $I$ mean, the two charges in this case was sale to minor, egregious, and failed -- failure to take reasonable steps. I'm not sure why we are spending all this time talking about the ID whether or not it's fraudulent or not, because unless there is going to be testimony, unless you
are going to provide testimony in your case in chief to tell us how is it that your establishment tried to prove that an ID is not fake.

So I'm listening to all the testimony and I'm looking at the charges and I'm not quite sure why we are spending all this time on saying that an ID is a fake ID, because whether or not the ID is fake or not, the first charge is a sale to minor. So that's -- whether or not they showed you an ID that says that they are 100 years-old and if they are not, it doesn't really matter.

So and failed -- the second issue is
failure to take reasonable steps, meaning that unless you are going to have someone testify to say that -- I mean, we had a video that says that you weren't -- you -- I don't know if that's true or not, I'm just saying there was a video that was presented by the Government saying that IDs were not being checked.

So I'm not quite sure where we are
going with this line of questioning. MR. SOUSA: And may I interject? CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir. MR. SOUSA: It's -- the Government didn't object to the relevance of the questions about the ID, because it understood those questions to be seeking evidence regarding Charge 2, the failure to take reasonable steps.

MR. SUNG: Correct.
MR. SOUSA: A tenuous connection, but
I thought it was relevant.
But with regard -- the Government
agrees with how the Chairman articulated the Board's understanding of Charge 1, that there is a sale to a minor. If the sale existed, if the sale occurred, then liability lies with the licensed establishment.

So the Government did not understand those questions about the fraudulent identification similarities with the examples that are in the ID book to be relevant to Count 1 at all.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So but I mean if you to, but I'm just saying that I would hope that you spend more time with the charges. I see and again, I don't know the presentation. I don't know who the witnesses are you are calling to prove Charge 2. So if you are going to have witnesses who is going to testify about the steps that you have taken, then I mean, I think that would more -- that's better testimony, direct testimony rather than in cross-examination, because I'm not -- I don't have any testimony to counter what you are trying to put here.

But I'm just saying if you want to, at this juncture if that's what you want to do, you can go ahead and do that. But I don't see the connection currently.

MR. SUNG: Thank you. The second charge is a violation of § 25-783, which states that a licensee shall take reasonable steps necessary to ascertain whether any person to whom the licensee sells or serves an alcoholic beverage is of legal drinking age.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right.
MR. SUNG: So it goes to the issue the
-- the IDs go to the issue of whether it would have -- was reasonable to grant entry to these individuals who showed these IDs which are remarkably similar to the sample ID.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But I'm not sure if this is the appropriate witness for this, because at least what this witness testified to is that you were not checking IDs. That's the video that the witness testified to.

MR. SUNG: The burden of proof is on the Government --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. SUNG: -- to prove that these individuals who were inside the venue did not have their IDs checked. The video and the testimony that has been produced in this case so far shows that -- the video is at most 20 to 30 seconds. They are not the individuals that are shown in these IDs. And at most, according to Mr. Puente's testimony, he only observed the ID
checking process for a few minutes. So that's why it's relevant.

And I would move -- I would like to share these with the Board as well as counsel.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Counsel?
MR. SUNG: And introduce them into evidence.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any -- what's your --

MR. SOUSA: These are the pages I reviewed before?

MR. SUNG: Yes.
MR. SOUSA: Yes, then, yes, and there is no objection to it being entered into evidence.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Go ahead. So you are moving these documents into evidence?

MR. SUNG: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Remember, we have not -- this is -- so this would be Exhibit No. 2. We have not moved Exhibit No. 1, because

I don't have Exhibit No. 1. I just want to make sure that in order to move into evidence, you have to provide us with a copy of Exhibit No. 1. Okay. So Exhibit 2 is moved without objection. (Whereupon, the abovereferred to document was marked as Respondent Exhibit No. 1A, B and C for identification and was received into evidence.)

MR. SUNG: Chairman, I understand you ruled that my question about the physical description of Detective Carter -- you sustained the objection that $I$ can't ask that question?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right, yes.
MR. SUNG: And for the record, I'm going to object, take exception to that objection, because it's critical to our case to establish that it wasn't Detective Carter who observed these drinks allegedly being served. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I -there is an allegation. I mean, we have -- you
haven't provided any evidence and it's difficult for me to -- you are posing a question. I haven't had any contrary testimony from your side to say - for us to make a decision. So it's premature for me to make a ruling currently, because I don't -- I'm not sure what I'm comparing.

MR. SOUSA: And the Government would like to say that even if Mr. Sung's theory of their being a mistaken identity with the MPD officers, $I$ don't see any relevance or any direct line between that fact, if proven true by Mr. Sung, and whether it is more likely or not that Abigail Room sold alcohol to minors and didn't take reasonable steps to ascertain the legal drinking age.

I just -- even if true, $I$ just don't see the relevance to that line of questioning.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And that's one of the reasons why $I$ have sustained the objection.

MR. SOUSA: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And so I -- the record will reflect that you are not in agreement with -- you are objecting to my ruling. Okay. Let's move on, please.

MR. SUNG: Yes. So I was just trying to save time. I suppose what we can do is we can have Mr. Puente step off when he is done. I can call my witness. He can provide a physical description of the officer who alleged he observed him serving drinks and then called Mr. Puente. I just wanted to save the Board that time.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, that's not my call. That's between you and the Government. That's not -- I can't tell the Government to truncate its case to allow you to put a witness on. If both sides agree that this is the way they want to present the case, 1 am not going to disagree. But I'm in no position right now to tell the Government how is it that they should present their case, that they should allow you to call a witness out of order for
whatever fact if you have not discussed that with them and they are in agreement with it.

MR. SOUSA: I believe what Mr. Sung is suggesting is that during his case in chief, he will provide the testimony from, I guess, the bartender about the physical appearance of the MPD officer.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't think that's what Mr. Sung is saying. What Mr. Sung is saying is once Mr. Puente gets off the witness stand, he will bring his witness in the middle of your case.

MR. SOUSA: My case, oh.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: He will bring a witness to testify and then you will go back to your case. That's --

MR. SOUSA: Oh, I object to that. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- at least that's my understanding of what Mr. Sung is saying. All right.

MR. SUNG: Just to clarify, what I meant was that $I$ would present my witness during
my case, not during Mr. Sousa's case.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. As I said, $I$ don't think it is relevant. I have ruled on the objection. The relevancy for this witness. If you -- if during your case in chief, you can bring a witness who can testify, the Government will have the opportunity, if they so choose, to call a rebuttal witness and then we can have that discussion.

But at this juncture, my ruling stands. So let's move on.

MR. SUNG: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And let me ask just for clarification, what was the exhibit? I have been handed a whole lot of documents. So what was Exhibit 2? The licensee's Exhibit 2. What is the document? What documents did you just hand us?

MR. SUNG: Those are the pages from the 2018 I.D. Guide.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right.
MR. SUNG: Specific pages are for

Maine, Illinois and --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Florida?
MR. SUNG: Florida.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So those three documents are Exhibit 2. Okay. All right. Thank you.

Are you done with this witness or do you have more questions?

MR. SUNG: If I could ask the Board for one minute while I review my notes, I think I'm done, but I just want to make sure, please.

BY MR. SUNG:
Q Detective Puente, you testified that you sometimes ask the venue to approach.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You have to speak up, sir.

MR. SUNG: I apologize.
BY MR. SUNG:
Q You testified previously that sometimes you ask the venue to approach customers to ask for their ID, correct?

A Yes.

Q Andy why do you do that versus you asking the customers of the IDs?

A If customers are aggressive or belligerent and whatnot, then we ask that the staff try to get the IDs from them.

Q And to your understanding, is it a requirement of the establishment, the licensee to comply with your request?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
MR. SUNG: I have no further questions of Inspector Puente. However, I would like to reserve my right to call him in my case, in our case.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I'm not quite sure what the rules are whether or not you can reserve your right to call and this is one of the -- and I have always stated I need for folks to identify their documents and witnesses that are called on prior to.

So I'm sitting here and I have no idea what documents. I have no idea what witnesses
folks are going to call and I'm not sure in our rules and regulations if that is allowable, if you can -- I don't know, but we will -- well, I guess what I'm saying is that why can't you -- at least I have not been -- I have not stated that and the Government hasn't objected to the nature of your questions, so I'm not quite sure what other questions would you be -- would you want to ask the witness if you were to call him?

MR. SOUSA: It's the Government's position that it is probably going to renew its relevance objection when Mr. Sung calls Mr. Puente back up to the Bench, but just to facilitate the resolution of this hearing, I have no objection to Mr. Sung calling Mr. Puente later on during his case in chief.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
That's fine. Do we have any questions by any Board Members?

MR. SOUSA: May I redirect actually?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, it's we go.
MR. SOUSA: Then is there redirect,
because I haven't done that yet in this forum. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No. We go. MR. SOUSA: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Then Mr. Sung goes back and then you get the last call. Yes, Mr. Short?

MEMBER SHORT: Good afternoon, Mr. -Investigator Puente. There were five juveniles there the evening of this inspection --

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER SHORT: -- that were identified as underage and drinking alcohol?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER SHORT: When you first arrived your video shows several people going through the security that weren't checked, which I saw in the video. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes. MEMBER SHORT: Were any of those persons among those five who you identified as underage drinkers?

THE WITNESS: No.

MEMBER SHORT: So possibly there could have been more than just those five?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER SHORT: But there were five identified?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER SHORT: That's all I have. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other questions by any other Board Members? MEMBER ALBERTI: I do.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Alberti?
MEMBER ALBERTI: Investigator Puente, thank you for your report. At the risk of boring everybody, I'm going to go back to the IDs, because you did testify with respect to those.

Did you exonerate the -- you examined the IDs at the time?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I looked at them. MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Any thoughts about them, whether they were fake or real, that you can give us right now? If not, that's fine.

THE WITNESS: No.
MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. So you were asked questions about the similarities between what is in the ID guide and your exhibits.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: For example, Illinois, all right. And you testified for, I think for at least, three of them -- oh, no, you testified that it was, I think, Illinois, Oregon and Florida at least you testified that they were similar to what was in the ID guides, the picture in the ID guide was similar to your exhibit.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Your testimony about them being -- what is in the ID guide, what is shown in the ID guide being similar to your exhibit. Is that based on your recollection of having examined them or based on what you have in front of you as your exhibit?

THE WITNESS: Based on what is in front of me.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. So let's go to Illinois, please. Would you read under Illinois, would you read under validation, I'm going to send you the paragraph that says prior, because would you agree that this ID that is in your exhibit for Illinois is not the -- not what is described as the current version of their license, but the prior version of their license?
the witness: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. Great.
So would you read under prior what it says?
THE WITNESS: Are you talking about the photograph of the Illinois?

MEMBER ALBERTI: No. It says under paragraph -- under Illinois, under the paragraph that is labeled validation, do you see that paragraph?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Under -- towards the bottom there is a sub sort of -- a sub-phrase called prior:?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I see it.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Would you read what it says after that?

THE WITNESS: "Ghost image, hologram, color shift in ink, micro-printing, laser perforation and UV feature on front.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Can you determine from your exhibit whether there is micro-printing?

THE WITNESS: No.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Typically where is micro-printing? Is it on the front or the back, typically?

THE WITNESS: Usually it's on the front.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Hologram, can you tell whether we have a hologram here or not from your exhibit?

THE WITNESS: No.
MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. Thank you. Laser perforation, can you determine from your picture in your exhibit whether there is laser perforation?

THE WITNESS: No.
MEMBER ALBERTI: So would you agree that your assessment of these being similar was not completely -- not based on all of the features of the license?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: All right.
MR. SUNG: I'm going to object to that question. I believe it mischaracterizes his previous testimony.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, you object as soon as the question is asked before having an answer, because --

MR. SUNG: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- so all right.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead.
MEMBER ALBERTI: So your previous testimony is that what is in the ID guide is similar to your -- to what you -- is similar to the ID that was given to you, right?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: And that was based on what, your recollection of the license or what is in this picture here on your ID?

THE WITNESS: What is in the picture. MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. So there are some features in the picture that you can't validate, right?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: From the ID?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. So there are features that could be missing on this ID that should be there, as far as you can tell from this picture. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Thank you. So I don't want to belabor this too long, but let's go to Florida. I think your assessment of the similarity is that based on the picture that you have in front of you or based on your recollection?

THE WITNESS: The picture in front of me.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Would you read under validation, would you read what it says under validation for Florida?

THE WITNESS: Read the whole thing?
MEMBER ALBERTI: Yeah, please.
THE WITNESS: Current: Two ghost images on front one with transparent circle behind, one on back. UV state outline, circle behind ghost image. "FL" in various locations and // diagonally across the front. Optically variable FD and holder's birth year EGFDA3 above ghost image.

Prior: Ghost image, holographic "FL" and state seal. UV second ghost image and holder's last name.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Can you tell from your picture whether there is -- if there is a transparent circle on the back?

THE WITNESS: No.
MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. Can you
tell whether you have a holographic image?
THE WITNESS: Yes, you've got one --
MEMBER ALBERTI: Of Florida?
THE WITNESS: -- yes, there is one holographic image right there right above the female's --

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Great.
THE WITNESS: -- small picture.
MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. Can you tell whether there is a variable FD on the holder's birth date?

THE WITNESS: I cannot.
MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. Thank
you. So again, on here there are features in your picture that you can't determine whether or not they are consistent with the description in the ID guide. Is that correct?
the witness: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. Thank
you. All right. Oregon, would you read validation?

THE WITNESS: Rainbow printing, ghost
image Oregon, repeats // diagonally across top, varies in color as license is titled. It is visible under UV light. See page 73.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. So again, this
-- your assessment that this -- that the ID is similar to what is in the ID guide is that based on the -- what you -- based on the examination of your -- the photo in your exhibit or based on your recollection of what you saw?

THE WITNESS: From what is in front of me.

MEMBER ALBERTI: From what is in front of you. Okay. Would you agree that we cannot determine whether anything is visible under a UV light from that picture?

THE WITNESS: Yes. No, you cannot. MEMBER ALBERTI: You cannot. All right. Thank you. Thank you. All right. Thank you. You talked about a girl, a woman, young woman who you say appeared to be putting something in her skirt.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. I think this is in your report on page 3 of your report. All right. The first complete paragraph on that page towards the end of that talks about your encounter with her. So she did not -- she said she did not have an ID. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: She told who that she didn't have an ID?

THE WITNESS: She told myself and the detectives out front.

MEMBER ALBERTI: She told you?
the witness: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Did you or the detective ask her how she got in?

THE WITNESS: No, we did not.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Did you ask her whether she was checked at the door, her ID was checked at the door?

THE WITNESS: No.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Your report talks about -- and you mentioned in your
testimony that Mr. Chung appeared and pulled her aside. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. Did you overhear what he said to her?

THE WITNESS: Yes, we were all
standing right there.
MEMBER ALBERTI: You did overhear what he said to her?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: And what did he say to her? Can you paraphrase it? Can you remember?

THE WITNESS: That we didn't have the authority to detain her and she needed -- she can walk away and she walked away.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Thank you. Let me see, did you see any of the individuals whose IDs you presented us with, did you see any of them with beverages in hand? You personally?

THE WITNESS: The two that I got by the bathroom.

MEMBER ALBERTI: And those were which ones? Do you remember?

THE WITNESS: The Tequila Sunrises. They put them on the ground to get their IDs out of their pocket.

MEMBER ALBERTI: What state was that? I -- that could help me, I think. If you remember.

THE WITNESS: I have to go back and look at the exhibits. Oh, she had an Illinois and the male patron had a Colombia.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. District of Columbia or Colombia?

THE WITNESS: The country Colombia.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Country, okay. All right. And you saw them with beverages in their hands?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Did you ask the officers whether they saw whether those individuals were served?

THE WITNESS: The two that we --

MEMBER ALBERTI: The two that you saw. THE WITNESS: No, because they were with me.

MEMBER ALBERTI: They were with you. Okay.

THE WITNESS: Investigator Peru and Sergeant Thorne.

MEMBER ALBERTI: How do you know -one had a Tequila Sunrise. How do you know that?

THE WITNESS: That's what they told us they had.

MEMBER ALBERTI: So the individual told you that? Okay. And what about the other, the second individual?

THE WITNESS: He said the same thing. MEMBER ALBERTI: They both had Tequila Sunrises?
the witness: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: And they both told you that they had Tequila Sunrises. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. Thank you. I have no further questions. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other questions by any other Board Members? Mr. Sung, any specific questions to the witness based on the questions that were asked by the Board? MR. SUNG: Yes, thank you. RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SUNG:

Q That evening you or any of the other ABRA Inspectors or MPD officers, there were other individuals to whom you asked for IDs, correct, that are not identified in this report?

A Yes.
Q And they showed valid ID and showed that they were over 21, correct?

A Yes.
Q Based on the question from Board Member Alberti, he asked about these fraudulent IDs, not knowing today that they are fraudulent, would you fault an employee of a venue for accepting these fraudulent IDs as valid IDs?

MR. SOUSA: Objection to the relevance of that question.

MR. SUNG: It goes to the heart of the matter here.

MR. SOUSA: What relevance does whether he would personally fault someone --

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Please, speak up, Mr. Sousa.

MR. SOUSA: Apologies. What relevance would the fact that Investigator Puente would personally fault someone have to the determination of whether a sale to a minor happened, Count 1, or whether there were reasonable steps under the law taken to ascertain the legal drinking age of a patron, which is Count 2.

MR. SUNG: I would posit that there is no connection between Mr. Puente's opinion and those two issues that are at stake in this trial, this hearing.

MR. SOUSA: It is absolutely critical
to the issue of whether reasonable steps were
taken to ascertain the age of a customer. You ascertain the age of a customer by verifying their ID. There has been no testimony as to how these individuals got into the venue. So that's still an open question. So you are asking him whether or not he would -- her personally would fault the -- I'm going to sustain the objection.

Maybe you can rephrase the question, but I'm going to sustain the question based on the way it is phrased. I mean, I don't think that is up to the opinion of the witness. I mean, the law is the law what needs to be done. So I don't think it is relevant what this witness -- because I'm not sure that -- and I get what we are saying that I've not heard the witness testify that he saw these customers provide these specific IDs to enter the establishment.

I think the witness is more so stating that there is -- no ID check was done. So I'm not -- so whether or not IDs were actually checked, I mean, he is not -- what he testified to, if I recall correctly, is that IDs were not
being checked.
So I don't -- so again, I'm going to sustain the objection. If you want to rephrase it and ask it a different way, but the way it is framed out, I'll sustain it.

BY MR. SUNG:
Q Mr. Puente, when you generate these reports, you only generate them if you believe there is a violation, correct?

A Yes.
Q And the reason that you know that these fraudulent IDs were fraudulent is because they were admitted as being fraudulent by the individuals portrayed on these IDs, correct?

A Yes.
Q Would you have known that they were fraudulent had their been no admission of their fraudulence by these individuals?

A I would have to do further investigation.

Q What kind of investigation would you have to have done?

A I would have used my magnifying glass. I have a UV light. I would have looked at the back, looked at the pictures to see if the pictures were similar in color, if it was like -sometimes from experience the main picture is usually like it can be very light or dark and you can tell that that's kind of not what is done at the DMVs. So I would have done further investigation.

MR. SOUSA: I'm just going to -- I just want to interject and just kind of repeat the same objection that I made to the relevance of this line of questioning. There are two counts at issue at this hearing.

Whether alcohol was sold to minors and whether Abigail Room, at this particular night, took reasonable steps to ascertain the legal drinking age of its patrons.

The evidence that we offered on direct examination was that five individuals told MPD and ABRA officers that they were of -- they were minors and that they had alcohol on them.

Also the evidence that -- so that's for Count 1.

Also the evidence for Count 2 was that Mr. Puente and other individuals at MPD and ABRA observed there not being an ID check at the line outside the building and not being -- and not IDs being checked at the service of alcohol at the bar.

Those are the issues that are at stake in this litigation. Whether these specific fake IDs were asked or could be ascertained at the time of the -- on April 29, 2018 is not relevant to those two specific questions that the Board is being asked to answer in this litigation.

So I'm just going to repeat the same standing objection -- the same objection $I$ have made to the relevance of this line of questioning.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So you are making an objection to the line of questioning? MR. SOUSA: Yes, the subject matter of questioning that Mr. Sung has been pursuing.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And I am going to sustain it because I am -- based on my view at this juncture, I am -- that's where I am. So let's move on, please.

MR. SUNG: Yes. Please note my exception to that ruling.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr. Sung.
MR. SUNG: That's all the questions I have for now. Once again, I reserve the right to call Mr. Puente back to the stand during our case.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's fine. Your witness, sir.

MR. SOUSA: Yes. Just a few things to go over.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SOUSA:
Q You were standing out -- you testified that you were standing outside of Abigail Room on the night, the early morning of April 29, 2018, correct?

A Yes.

Q And you observed that the bouncer or whoever was manning the door or administering the line was not checking IDs when you arrived, correct?

A Yes.
Q And you took video of that, correct?
A Yes.
Q And you -- we saw that video, correct?
A Yes.
Q And that only changed when -- and it was your testimony that that only changed when someone at the licensed establishment saw that there were ABRA/MPD officers observing this, correct?

A Yes.
Q And you saw people enter into the 21 and over event whose IDs weren't checked, correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. The male patron that you stopped on the way to the bathroom, do you remember that testimony?

A Yes.
Q He told you he was carrying a Tequila Sunrise, right?

A Yes.
Q He told you he was under 21, right?
A Yes.
Q The female patron told you she was drinking a Tequila Sunrise?

A Yes.
Q She told you she was under 21, right?
A Yes.
MR. SUNG: Objection. She did not say that she was drinking a Tequila Sunrise. BY MR. SOUSA:

Q She told you that she had a Tequila Sunrise, correct?

A Yes.
Q And she told you she was under 21, correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. The individual that you saw coming -- walking out of the VIP room, do you
remember that testimony?
A Yes.
Q You asked her what she was drinking, correct?

A Yes.
Q And she said she was drinking champagne, correct?

A Yes.
Q Yes. And when you spoke to her outside, she told you that she was 18 , correct?

A Yes.
Q That means that she was under 21, right?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And then you were told by an MPD officer that they observed two other individuals who represented that they were minors drinking alcohol, correct?

A Yes.
Q And you were told by an MPD officer that those individuals were seen ordering that from the bar, correct?

A Yes.
Q And you were told --
MR. SUNG: Objection. Objection.
This entire line of questioning is leading. I've given Mr. Sousa a lot of leeway here because we just want to get to the truth of the matter, but now we are venturing into very critical disputed facts of the case. He cannot lead the witness. This is his witness. This is direct examination.

MR. SOUSA: I'll rephrase the question.

MR. SUNG: All right. Sure. BY MR. SOUSA:

Q What did the MPD officer tell you about the circumstances and facts about those two individuals ordering alcohol from the bar?

A That they have observed two individuals order alcoholic beverage, they paid for it, they consumed it, then they -- I went and IDed them and found out they were 18 years-old.

Q When you say they in that question, you are not referring to the bartender, you are
referring to the MPD officers, correct?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Do you have any reason to believe -- which MPD officer told you about those last two patrons?

A Detective David Carter.
Q Do you have any reason to believe he was lying?

A No.
Q Okay. Can -- because we have gone over this and I just want to -- I don't want to bore the Board, but -- nor do I want to belabor this, but can I ask you to turn to Exhibit No. 5? To the case report that you wrote. Do you see the two IDs that are depicted in the picture?

A Yes.
Q Now, let me back up a second. You said that in your three years at ABRA, you have seen and evaluated about 100 fake IDs?

A Yes.
Q That was your testimony, correct?
A Yes.

Q So you have lots of experience when it comes to determine whether an identification is fake?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Do these two individuals look alike?

A No.
Q Okay. Thank you. Can you turn to the next page? Actually two more pages. Do you see the Maine ID and the New Jersey ID?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Do the two individuals depicted look alike?

A Yes.
Q They do? In your opinion they do?
A Yes.
Q Does the New Jersey ID look like the individual you saw on that night, if you remember?

A From when I glanced at him, yes.
Q Okay. Thank you. Then if you can turn to the next page? Do the two individuals
depicted in the Texas ID and Florida ID look alike?

A Yes.
Q Okay. All right. And just as an administrative matter, I would like to move Exhibit 1 into evidence, both parties have used it, so I don't think there is going to be an objection and I don't want to put words in the mouth of my abutting counsel, but 1 move Exhibit 1 into evidence.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Sung, at this juncture, do you have any objection to the document, to the introduction of Exhibit 1 in the record?

MR. SUNG: Yes, I do. The -- what I have noticed is that the original shows much better resolution of these photos that are in this report than the copy itself. We received this report by email. When you view it on a computer, that particular report the images are much clearer.

So I would ask that the original be
submitted into evidence versus a copy, which does not do justice to the actual images in particular of these that are fraudulent.

MR. SOUSA: Mr. Chairman, that's not a proper response to entry of an exhibit, that it's not the best version available. The witness has testified that it is true and accurate to his understanding. It's a true and accurate copy of the document that he prepared as the case report.

Mr. Sung has not identified any sort of mistake or misrepresentation that is made in the copy that is being presented as Exhibit 1. I think there is sufficient foundation to provide Exhibit 1 into evidence.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to move the document -- I'm moving the documents in the record into evidence.
(Whereupon, the abovereferred to document was received into evidence as Joint Exhibit No. 1.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I mean as these
are ABRAs. I appreciate the fact it was moved into evidence, but this is the case report that was provided by the Agency. So I'm accepting it as Exhibit 1.

MR. SOUSA: Okay. And there is no more -- no more -- no further questions for Mr. Puente.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. SOUSA: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, sir, you can step down at this juncture.
(Whereupon, witness temporarily excused.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Does the Government have another witness?

MR. SOUSA: The Government rests its case.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Thank you. Mr. Sung, how many witnesses do you have?

MR. SUNG: Potentially up to three.
MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Can we break?

MR. SUNG: Including Mr. Puente, which will be very brief.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So you potentially have three witnesses. All right. With that said, I'm going to take a -- I'm going to restart this hearing at 1:15. Okay.

Okay. So we are in recess until 1:15.
MR. SOUSA: Thank you, Your Honor.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at $12: 53 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. and resumed at 1:17 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. We are back on the record. Mr. Sung, do you have a witness you wish to call?

MR. SUNG: Yes, sir. Christian Silva.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Christian
Silver?
MR. SUNG: Silva, S-I-L-V-A.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Silva.
MR. SUNG: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you raise
your right hand, sir?
Whereupon,

## CHRISTIAN SILVA

was called as a witness by Counsel for the Respondent, and having been first duly sworn, assumed the witness stand and was examined and testified as follows:

MR. SILVA: I do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.
When you sit, sir, please, it appears you have a soft voice, so, please, pull the microphone close to you. Okay?

MS. SILVA: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you. Your witness.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SUNG:
Q Good afternoon.
A Good afternoon.
Q What is your name for the record?
A Christian Silva.
Q Where do you work?

A I coach soccer in Fairfax County and I work at Abigail.

Q What is your position at Abigail?
A Bartender.
Q Can I ask you to speak up so everyone can hear you?

A Bartender.
Q Thank you. How long have you been working there as a bartender?

A About 8 to 9 months.
Q And were you working on the night of April 28, 2018 and the morning of April 29, 2018?

A Yes.
Q What time did the event start that evening?

A 11:00 p.m.
Q And when did it end?
A 3:00 a.m.
Q So that would be April 29th?
A Correct.
Q Do you -- when you are working as a bartender at Abigail, do you check IDs?

A No.
Q Why not?
A Because that's the security job out front.

Q Out front? You mean outside?
A Yes.
Q And are there ever any under 21 events at Abigail?

A Yes.
Q So on those nights, why wouldn't you be checking IDs?

A Because security checks IDs and people who are over 21 have a wristband and people who are under 21 do not have a wristband, in which we are only allowed to sell them canned Redbulls and Fiji waters or bottled waters.

Q Understood. And how do you know whether a particular night is an over 21 event versus an under 21 event?

A Before every shift we have a pre-shift meeting with the managers and they inform us.

Q And on this particular evening, was it
an over 21 night or a 21 and under -- I'm sorry, under 21 event?

A Over 21 event.
Q Now, directing your attention to that evening and that morning, did you have any interaction with a police officer that evening?

A Yes.
Q And do you know what, approximately, time it was?

A Around 1:00 a.m.
Q Okay. And did he approach you or did you approach him?

A He screamed at me and waved me down.
Q Okay.
MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Say again,
please. Say again, please.
THE WITNESS: Oh, he screamed at me and waved me down.

BY MR. SUNG:
Q What did he scream at you?
A He was just saying hey, you, hey, you and trying to get my attention.

Q Where were you at that time?
A At the bar.
Q Okay. You were behind the bar?
A Behind the bar, correct.
Q Did he identify himself?
A No.
Q Okay. What did he look like?
A He was a very large male, bald, white.
Q So when you say large, what do you mean? Tall or heavyset?

A They -- very heavyset.
Q Okay. How did you know that he was a police officer?

A He showed me his badge.
Q Can you tell me what he said or what you said after he yelled out hey, you?

A He asked me for my ID and he showed me his badge.

Q Okay. And what did you say in response?

A I asked him why.
Q What did he say?

A He said I'm not going to ask you again.

Q Okay. What happened next?
A I asked him why one more time. Then I went to go get my ID. When I came back, he had two drinks with him and he said that $I$ had served two under 21 year-olds liquor.

Q Okay. Was he holding these drinks?
A No, they were at the bar.
Q Okay. Did he identify these customers whom you had allegedly served these drinks to?

A No, he did not.
Q Okay. Did you see anyone else in the vicinity at that time, any other law enforcement or ABRA Investigators?

A No. The corner that he was at was empty.

Q Okay. Do you recall serving those drinks?

A No.
Q Why don't you know?
A Because it's --

MR. SOUSA: Objection. Mischaracterizes the testimony. He said he didn't -- strike that. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Answer?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes.
THE WITNESS: Because it's a very busy night and I serve a lot of drinks.

BY MR. SUNG:
Q Okay. Did he say any -- did this officer say anything else to you?

A He said that I should -- as a bartender, it's my responsibility to check IDs.

Q Were you able to determine that night what drink was in those cups?

A No.
Q Okay. So you didn't -- did you taste the drinks?

A No.
Q Okay. Did this police officer, did he taste the drinks?

A No.
Q Did you and the police officer have a
conversation about what was in the drinks?
A He asked me what the drinks were and I told him I don't know.

Q Now, aside from this particular exchange of communication with this officer, are you aware of any other communications with law enforcement that evening inside the venue?

A No.
Q Were there other bartenders working that night?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And are they -- where are they in relation to you?

A At the other side of the bar.
Q Okay. How big is the bar?
A Very large.
Q Very large?
A Or medium.
Q Okay. Well, how many other bartenders were there that evening?

A There is two other bartenders.
Q Okay. Are they within your line of
sight?
A Yes.
Q Had they had an exchange with law enforcement, would you have seen it?

A Yes.
Q Did you speak to the other bartenders that night?

A Yes.
Q Did you talk about any communications with other law enforcement besides this one that you were involved with?

A They did not have any other communication with other law enforcements.

Q Did they tell you this or are you just concluding that?

A They told me this.
Q And just to be clear, did you have any communication with ABRA that night, not MPD, but ABRA?

A No.
Q Okay. Thank you. That's all the questions I have. The Board and counsel may have
questions for you.
A All right.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. SOUSA:
Q Thank you for your time today.
A No problem.
Q I appreciate it. Mr. Silva, you said that it's not your policy to check IDs at the bar?

A Correct.
Q That's because the front bouncer or people administrating the line are supposed to check IDs?

A The security, yes.
Q That's because they are supposed to check IDs, correct?

A Yes.
Q And this was an over 21 event?
A Yes.
Q Yet you said you didn't remember serving the particular rum and cokes, because you serve a lot of drinks?

MR. SUNG: Objection.
the witness: Yes.
MR. SUNG: There -- he did not
identify any drinks as rum and cokes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sustained.
MR. SOUSA: Yeah, I'll rephrase it.
BY MR. SOUSA:
Q The two drinks that the MPD officer showed you, you said you didn't remember serving those drinks, correct?

A I said I don't know.
Q Yeah, and you testified today that it's because you served a lot of drinks?

A Correct.
Q Okay.
MR. SOUSA: Yeah, no further
questions.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You have no further questions?

MR. SOUSA: No further questions.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Just a quick question, Mr. Silva. Are you the
only bartender who works at Abigail?
THE WITNESS: No.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: How many bartenders, at least in this night in question, were working?

THE WITNESS: That particular night?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Two other bartenders.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Any questions by any Board Members? Yes, Mr.

## Alberti?

MEMBER ALBERTI: Thank you. Mr. Silva, do you remember what the drinks looked like that the police officer left on the bar in front of you?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Can you describe them?

THE WITNESS: One was red and the other one was black.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. What do you mean by red? Like cranberry juice, cherry soda,

I mean, red?
THE WITNESS: I wouldn't know that. It was just red.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Red. And the other one was black?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other
questions by any other Board Members?
MEMBER SHORT: I have one.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short?
MEMBER SHORT: The glasses that were set on the bar by the police officer, were they the glasses from your establishment?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MEMBER SHORT: And the officer said to you that he had taken them from some underage drinkers?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
MEMBER SHORT: And the glasses were
from your establishment?
THE WITNESS: Correct.

MEMBER SHORT: That's all I have, Mr. Chair. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Let me ask a question, Mr. Silva. Mr. Silva, as a bartender, and you stated you don't check IDs, is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But if you see someone who looks like they are not 21, do you -would you -- do you ask them for ID if they look to you like -- if someone comes up to you and you question whether or not they are 21, do you just still sell them liquor, drinks or do you question them to ask them if they are 21?

THE WITNESS: Well, if security lets them in, then I assume that they are over 21.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Even if they might appear to -- I'm asking, because you are a bartender.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So even if they -- the person might -- you are assuming that
everyone who comes in that -- if it's an over 21 event, you are assuming that if security let's them in, that they are 21 and over?

THE WITNESS: Correct. There are people who look older than 21 that aren't 21. There are people who look younger than 18 and are over 21. So if the security let's them in, then I assume that they are over 21.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And so you will serve?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Any
other questions? All right. No more questions by the Board? All right. Go ahead, Mr. Sousa.

MR. SOUSA: I have a couple questions. RECROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. SOUSA:
Q You testified that the drinks that are -- the non-alcoholic drinks that are provided at your bar are -- include Redbull and Fiji water. Do you remember that testimony?

A Correct.

Q Are there any other drinks, nonalcoholic drinks that your bar provides?

A Yes.
Q What other ones?
A All the drinks? Ah, coke, diet coke.
Q Just -- okay. So a variety of soft drinks?

A Yes.
Q Okay. All right.
MR. SOUSA: Yeah, no further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Sung?
MR. SUNG: Just one.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. SUNG:
Q Did you ever find out who the police officer was, his identity that you had the interaction with that evening?

A No.
Q Okay.
MR. SUNG: That's all.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Silva,
thank you very much for your testimony. You can step down.
(Whereupon, witness was excused.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you have another witness, sir?

MR. SUNG: Yes, sir, David Chung.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you raise
your right hand?
Whereupon,

## DAVID CHUNG

was called as a witness by Counsel for the Respondent, and having been first duly sworn, assumed the witness stand and was examined and testified as follows:

MR. CHUNG: I do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.
Your witness.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SUNG:
Q Please, state your name for the record, please.
A
David Chung,
C-H-U-N-G.

Q Can you speak up a little bit for the Board?

A David Chung, C-H-U-N-G.
Q What is your position at Abigail?
A I'm a member of the LLC.
Q Were you at the venue on the evening and morning of April 28th and 29th?

A I was.
Q Okay. Are you aware whether IDs were being checked that evening?

A Yes.
Q So were they being checked?
A Yes, IDs were checked.
Q How do you know that?
A Because the gentleman outside, his name is Koby, forgive my pronunciation, I believe it is Kobayashi. He has worked with us probably over five years.

Q Okay.
A And his job is to check IDs.
Q What kind of training has he received, if you know, regarding checking IDs?

A We have gone over the ABRA procedures just like Inspector Puente discussed. We have the blue light outside. We have the magnifying glass. And as you saw, we have the 2018 I.D. Guide, which is distributed. We picked it up right here at ABRA. So those are the requirements we have.

He checks the date. He looks at the license. It's pretty standard.

Q Okay. Is Koby, this employee who checked IDs, is he still employed at Abigail?

A He is not. He was part-timing at the Nigerian Embassy, father of three kids and I think he has moved on. I think the schedule is a little bit tough for him.

Q I'm going to show you a footage and you have seen this footage, but I first want to lay the foundation.

Did you review footage from the night in question?

A I did.
Q Okay. How did you obtain the footage?

A We have the security tech person. They download it. We just send them the request and they convert it over and then they send it to us.

Q Okay. Can - the footage, does it have a time and date stamp on it?

A Yes.

Q Okay. And is that accurate to your knowledge?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
MR. SUNG: So I would like to show a very short clip to the Board, a footage from Abigail that evening or that morning.
(Video 2 Played)
BY MR. SUNG:
Q can you identify what the date and the time is on that?

A It's the morning of the 29th of April, 1:02 a.m.

Q Okay. And can you point out for the Board where Koby is?

A Sure. Koby is at the front, there is a queue, checking IDs.

Q And do you notice three gentlemen to the left, on the left side of the screen?

A Yeah. So prior to me pointing out Koby, these gentlemen were not there and now they have appeared.

Q Do you recognize those individuals?
A Yeah, so the African American Underage Task Force, who I have known for years, is David Carter.

Q Okay. Go ahead.
A The bald gentleman is Investigator --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you hold
on? I have -- I don't have a video.
MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: Yes, we have lost it here.

MR. SUNG: You can pause it right there.

```
                                    (Vide 2 Paused)
```

THE WITNESS: If the Board would like, I'll point to the screen. Adult American --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I --
MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: So where on the screen are --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You are pointing, but $I$-- since you are not pointing at the screen I'm looking at, $I$ have no idea what you are pointing at.

THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.
MEMBER ALBERTI: So just to be helpful, can you describe which -- where on the screen you are seeing these?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on, hold on a minute, Mr. Alberti. You have the mouse, so, yes, use that, please.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
MEMBER ALBERTI: So is this the middle, the lower left hand corner, the upper right hand corner? Where on the screen?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Follow the -MEMBER ALBERTI: But for the record. I think it's -- for the record, it's best that we say where on the screen they are. Tell me.

THE WITNESS: The lower left corner. MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. The lower left corner. Okay. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Right where it says Cam 3.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. That's helpful.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: So the mouse is on Detective Carter. He is part of the Underage Task Force.

MEMBER ALBERTI: And he is how --
THE WITNESS: African American
Gentleman.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay.
THE WITNESS: All black.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Thank you. All
right.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm not sure if
you can tell that from the video. So I think you are just pointing out.

THE WITNESS: I've known him for years.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But I don't think that -- that is not in the video, so you are just pointing out --

THE WITNESS: No, he is in the video.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 150 pounds is not in the video, so all you are doing is pointing --

THE WITNESS: Okay. It's my firsthand knowledge.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's -- I'm-all we are asking you to do is point out who you are referring to in the video. Okay.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So I would ask moving forward if there is anyone you -- just use the cursor to point out who you are speaking of.

THE WITNESS: All right. This
handsome gentleman is Investigator Puente.

MEMBER SHORT: He can't hear you. Speak into the mike.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You have to also go in the mike, yes.

THE WITNESS: This handsome gentleman where the mouse is over is Investigator Puente with his hands in his pockets.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MEMBER SHORT: How much does he weigh?
THE WITNESS: Oh, he is probably about a good 182, 185.

MEMBER SHORT: All right. Go ahead.
(Video 2 Played)
THE WITNESS: Take on the weak. He has got hair and he is standing right there. And then I'm going to go ahead and move on to the gentleman standing right next to him. This is Investigator Peru, bald head, probably about 145, 150, 5'6" or 5'7". And they just appeared in the video.

## CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

(Video 2 Stopped)

THE WITNESS: And they are both with ABRA, Puente and Peru are with ABRA. Detective Carter is with MPD Underage Task Force.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. SUNG: Thank you. Can we replay that?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Sung, remember for the transcriber, you need to speak into the microphone, please.

MR. SUNG: Thank you, Chairman. I just wanted the video to be replayed, so that the Board can see what we are talking about.
(Video 2 Replayed)
BY MR. SUNG:
Q Mr. Chung, can you use the cursor to show where Koby is, your security?

A Okay. I'm going to pause it.
(Video 2 Paused)
THE WITNESS: And Koby is right here for the Board. The mouse right underneath the time stamp of 1:02 a.m. 24 seconds.

BY MR. SUNG:

Q Okay. Great. And --
A This is Thomas. This is the security at the exit to make sure no one comes in through the exit. Thomas, Thomas Davis.

Q Okay. Understood. I think we just saw someone actually come through the exit. Under what circumstances are people allowed through the exit?

A So if you have already gained entry into the venue and you have a stamp, which is a specified stamp for our venue, you would be allowed to come back in, because we have to accommodate smokers.

Q Understood. And the Board can see for themselves, but can you -- if we play that through, can you describe to the Board what, if anything, you can see Koby doing?
(Video 2 Played)
THE WITNESS: Well, I see him checking IDs. He is checking IDs. And I understand that the Investigators are saying well, wait a minute, why is he going so fast? My explanation of that
is I spoke with Koby that night and he said David, how am I -- let's say Board Member Alberti comes into ABRA every day. I don't think the security guard at the front is going to ask him for his ID every single day. I think that would almost borderline on harassment.

So you have to use your discretion and use your common sense. And that's what Koby is doing.
(Video 2 Stopped)
BY MR. SUNG:
Q So just to be clear, you did speak to Koby that evening after the visit by MPD and ABRA?

A I did. I did speak to him that evening.

Q And did you ask him whether he had checked IDs?

A Yes, I did. And he verified that he had checked everyone's IDs.

Q Was there anybody else checking IDs that evening?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Was it before or after Koby?
A Before and after Koby actually.

Q So --
A And let me rephrase, when I said Koby checked everyone's IDs, where he found it necessary to check IDs, again, if you see the same person over and over again and you ask me for my -- if I go to the bank and you ask me for my ID and I have known you for 10 years, I might take that personally. So we have to use common sense. We are in the customer service business.

Q Understood. And you saw -- what is the -- is there a set procedure on who checks IDs throughout the course of the night at the entrance?

A We have our security manual and we have our procedures on how to check IDs.

Q Right.
A But yeah, the manager appoints it and then they rotate and they do a handoff.

Q Understood. You saw the video clip
that Investigator Puente described. In his interpretation that he provided to the Board, did you agree with his interpretation?

A No. I think the video -- frankly, I wish it was much longer and it depicted a better characterization of what happened, guys, because that video is very short and it seems like it is up to something else, trying to paint the wrong picture.

You know, it would be like a Washington Post reporter coming to a Federal Courthouse and filming a Judge who closes his eyes to gather his thoughts for about 10 seconds and then putting on the front page "Judge Kavanagh falls asleep at DC Circuit Courthouse during trial."

I think it is -- I don't think it is the proper characterization of what went on that night.

```
    Q Inspector Puente testified earlier
about --
```

MR. SUNG: Strike that, strike that.

That's all the questions I have. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Your witness, sir.

MR. SOUSA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. SOUSA:
Q You testified this individual, Koby, was checking IDs at this time, 1:02 a.m., on April 29th, correct?

A Yes.
Q And you testified that there were other people checking IDs?

A Yes.
Q Were the other people checking IDs at the same time Koby was checking IDs?

A No, they were probably inside.
Q Okay. So when you testified other people were checking IDs, there is only one person at a time checking IDs at Abigail Room, at least on the night of April 28th into the morning of April 29th?

A No. I mean, that may be the case in
that split second where we have the video, but if there is a large queue, there could be help out there.

Q Okay.
A Yeah.
Q In your recollection, do you recall multiple individuals manning the line at the same time the night of April 28th and the early morning of April 29th?

A I didn't recall. I didn't review the entire night.

Q Okay. So you testified there were some instances where this Koby individual doesn't check IDs, correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
A Or he may not.
Q Um-hum.
MR. SOUSA: Yeah, that's all the
questions I have. Thanks.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions by any Board Members? Mr. Alberti?

MEMBER ALBERTI: So, Mr. Chung, just so I understand, so if the bartender -- I mean, I'm sorry. If Mr. Koby or whoever is in line administering to the ID checking, all right, if they recognize someone as a frequent customer, they may not check their ID. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: If they have checked -if he is sure that he has checked that person's IDs on multiple occasions prior to, yes.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. And if he is-hypothetically, how many times would a person have to visit to be a regular customer?

THE WITNESS: It depends on the person checking IDs. It depends on the person.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Is it two times?
Could you have seen them two times and oh, I remember you from the last two weeks?

THE WITNESS: Sure. Sure. It could be my mom and she has come twice, I know how old she is.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Well, I'm not asking about you.

THE WITNESS: Right.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Do you check IDs?
the witness: No, I don't, but I can't --

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. Okay. So-THE WITNESS: -- tell you what --

MEMBER ALBERTI: No, wait, wait, wait, wait.

THE WITNESS: -- Koby thinks.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Alberti, give him a chance to answer the question.

MEMBER ALBERTI: And that's fine. So I'm not asking about you. So let's keep it to Koby and your staff who is checking IDs, because I know you can't tell me what they think.

So, hypothetically, if someone comes in twice, three times and they make a mistake all three times, thereafter -- and the person really is under 21 and they made a mistake the first two or three times, they are going to -- thereafter they are going to let them in and the mistake is going to continue. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Correct. If they have a fantastic --

MEMBER ALBERTI: Correct.
THE WITNESS: -- ID that you can't decipher, that only an expert that has to call in the date of birth, the ID, the Social Security Number, to verify the ID, absolutely.

MEMBER ALBERTI: So --
THE WITNESS: It's common sense.
MEMBER ALBERTI: -- Chairman Anderson, would you direct the witness to answer my questions? I don't really need this extraneous information. I was just asking a simple question.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
THE WITNESS: Chairman Anderson, he seems to give a lot of leeway to Government witnesses.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on. the witness: But --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on, Mr. Chung, please. All right. Questions are being
asked and if we expect a concise answer, we have to ask a concise question.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And so we -- so this is our -- Mr. Alberti is going to ask a question. Mr. Chung, please, answer the question to the best of your ability as brief as possible, if that is something that you can do, sir, okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. So, Mr. Chung, who is responsible for training your staff, the ID checkers?

THE WITNESS: Manager, ownership.
MEMBER ALBERTI: And who is
responsible for making sure that that gets one, that training gets done?

THE WITNESS: Manager, ownership. MEMBER ALBERTI: So as an owner and licensee, you are not responsible at all?

THE WITNESS: Manager and ownership.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay. So you are.
As an owner you are responsible to make sure that
gets done?
THE WITNESS: I'm not solely responsible.

MEMBER ALBERTI: So who else is?
THE WITNESS: Ownership.
MEMBER ALBERTI: Ownership. Who is ownership?

THE WITNESS: Myself and the other members of the LLC and managers that work underneath us.

MEMBER ALBERTI: I ask that because you have had previous licenses. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I have been in this business for 19 years.

MEMBER ALBERTI: And your previous, most previous, I mean recently, you have had a license under the trade name Chinese Disco. Is that correct?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.
MEMBER ALBERTI: All right. And if I recollect, in the recent past $I$ notice there are two violations that you were found guilty of that
occurred in 2017, but --
MR. SUNG: Objection. I don't think-this is highly prejudicial. Any past allegations or past violations that is not relevant or probative to whether or not in this particular instance there has been a violation. It's highly improper.

MEMBER ALBERTI: May I respond to that, since the objection is on my question?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But --
MEMBER ALBERTI: May I?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: This is -- all right. This is about Abigail. And I think -and I'm going to agree with Mr. Sung.

MEMBER ALBERTI: So before you rule, may I just speak?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr. Alberti.

MEMBER ALBERTI: So this really is about egregious sale to minor, is it not? Am I correct?

THE WITNESS: Can we get a fair trial,

Mr. Alberti?
MEMBER ALBERTI: So --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on, Mr.
Alberti.
MEMBER ALBERTI: -- I believe this is on egregious --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on, Mr. Alberti. Mr. Chung, this is between Mr. Alberti and myself. You are an attorney. This does not concern you, sir, so once I make a ruling then you can decide whether or not you are going to respond. So it's not appropriate for you to respond --

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I apologize. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- okay. Thank you, sir. All right. Go ahead, Mr. Alberti. MEMBER ALBERTI: So I think one of the elements of egregious is sort of intentional lack of effort to make sure and ensure that no minors are being served. And so I think it is interesting and $I$ think it is relevant to show a pattern by this licensee of their ability to
manage a license without serving minors. And that's why I brought that up. That's the only reason because we are really dealing with an egregious sale to minor, not just, you know, you have been warned at this license and now this is the second time.

So I'm just -- I thought the pattern was -- would be relevant here. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: In this particular case, it's not relevant because we are talking about the Abigail. I mean, but I don't think it is relevant for us to talk about another establishment that is not -- although it might be the same ownership, but if we are talking about a pattern of behavior at the Abigail, then I think it would be relevant.

But since we are -- it's Chinese Disco, that is not a part of this hearing today, so that's one of the reasons why I believe that I am going to sustain the objection by counsel that it is not -- that having --

MEMBER ALBERTI: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- conversation about Chinese Disco is not relevant in this regarding the Abigail.

MEMBER ALBERTI: I have no further questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short? MEMBER SHORT: Good afternoon, Mr. Chung.

THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Chung, the video we saw earlier today when Investigator Puente was testifying, I clearly saw several people go past the person that you delegated to check IDs. Several persons went by that were not checked. Did you see the same video? I mean, you were sitting here.

THE WITNESS: Mr. Short, I saw the same video and I have -- I would have the same concerns as you, but I spoke with Koby and he had checked their IDs already.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. I understand that, but --

THE WITNESS: Prior to that video film. So when I talked about filming a Judge who closes his eyes to gather his thoughts, that's what I meant. You just pick the right moment, it's like a bad photo when you come out of --

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Thank you, sir.
THE WITNESS: -- the pool.
MEMBER SHORT: We don't have to drag through that, $I$ heard that the first time you said it.

THE WITNESS: Right.
MEMBER SHORT: But I still, as a Board Member, have to take that evidence as what I saw and it didn't appear that someone checked before that person or after that person. These people just walked into your establishment. And then later on that same evening five people, five teenagers under age were identified by MPD and this ABRA staff of being under age and they admitted that they were under age and were
drinking in your club.
MR. SUNG: Objection to the characterization not all -- there was no testimony as to all of these individuals drinking in the club.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Let me restate that. The testimony of Investigator Puente was that at least two to three other people that he checked in your establishment admitted to going to the university and being under age. Do you remember that?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I do.
MEMBER SHORT: So how -- explain that to me. How did that happen?

THE WITNESS: They probably showed -well, $I$ don't want to speculate, but to satisfy your question, Mr. Short, I know you are a former Fire Marshal guy. We had Mr. Thomas at the back for the exit. I know you know how important that is.

MEMBER SHORT: Right.
THE WITNESS: Double doors open in
case there is an emergency, people come out. Koby is at the front. There is a queue. We don't just blindly egregiously hey, it's a free-for-all, come on in, you know. What kind of person runs their business that way?

MEMBER SHORT: With all --
THE WITNESS: So --
MEMBER SHORT: -- fairness, Mr. Chung, that's -- you're getting beyond the question asked.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, I want to go back to what you think. What do I think happened? I think those guys used a great ID that who knows any one of us in this room could have figured out if it was real or not. And I -and, you know, from a personal standpoint, it sucks being here spending all this time preparing for this. It's these kids that should be penalized. We are doing our job. We are doing it the best we can.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. To bring my questioning to an end, but I'll just tell you, I
have been to Chicago International Airport on several occasions, in and out of the country or back and forth, and every time I go to the bar there, every time and I do it on most flights like myself, I am asked to show my ID. Every time. And I ask the bartender why do you do this? He said because I cherish my ABC License.

And I'll be darned if I'm going to let anybody sit here and have a drink and jeopardize my business and my license. Explain why you think differently than them.

THE WITNESS: Because they don't know who you are. If your brother did that, he would be crazy.

MEMBER SHORT: Excuse me?
THE WITNESS: If your brother asked you for your ID and he was the bartender, there would be something wrong with him and he already knew how old you were. It's a formality.

MEMBER SHORT: I wish you wouldn't do that. I have two brothers and they are both deceased.

THE WITNESS: It's not personal.
MEMBER SHORT: They are both deceased.
THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, if my mom-MEMBER SHORT: Don't use that example. THE WITNESS: -- if my mother did, if my mother asked me for my ID and she was a bartender, I would think there was something wrong with her if she already knows the year I was born.

MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Chung, you are not answering the questions. You are deflecting. You are --

THE WITNESS: I'm not deflecting. I don't know what a Chicago O'Hare Airport bartender -- I don't know why he is asking you for the ID every single time he sees you. MEMBER SHORT: Well, I'm asking -making this in the form of a question. If $I$ had a license like your here in Washington, D.C. serving a lot of underage people close to a university, I would check everyone's ID, because I would cherish my license.

Do you have a statement to that?
THE WITNESS: I agree with you, Mr. Short.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. That's all I have, Mr. Chair. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions by any other Board Members? Mr. Chung, you have this video, the video that you have covers the entire event of your establishment?

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure it does. The event was now eight months passed, who knows what is left. We -- I know counsel prior to this trial, the night of, wanted to prepare, took notes, contemporaneous notes and then got the clips he needed to get, based upon the reports and stuff. So $I$ have no idea at this point, Mr. Anderson.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Are the videos that were shown by the Government, had you seen that video before?

THE WITNESS: I had never seen that video. It would have been great to actually see
that video and discuss it with the Government prior to trial, maybe we wouldn't even have to be here today. Maybe they saw something else.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. All right. I don't have any other questions. Mr. Sousa, any questions?

MR. SOUSA: No questions.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You have the last go around. MR. SUNG: No further questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Chung, thank you very much for your testimony. You can step down.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Board Members.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you have any other witnesses, Mr. Chung?

MR. SUNG: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. -- I'm sorry, Mr. Sung. I apologize.

MR. SUNG: No worries.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: At least I said Mr. Chung. I apologize, sir. That's why I actually wrote your name down, so I apologize, Mr. Sung.

MR. SUNG: We would like to call Investigator Puente back to the stand, please.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Puente, you are still under oath, sir, okay? Go ahead, Mr. Sung .

MR. SUNG: Thank you. Whereupon,

## INVESTIGATOR KEVIN PUENTE

 was recalled as a witness by Counsel for the Respondent, and having been previously duly sworn, resumed the witness stand, was examined and testified as follows:
## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SUNG:
Q Investigator Puente, you heard Mr. Silva's testimony regarding his interaction with the police that evening, in particular his
physical description of the person that he encountered.

Based on that, do you know who he might be referring to?

A I came in right --
MR. SOUSA: I'm going to lodge the same objection as to the relevance of this line of testimony.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'll allow the question. And I'll give you some leeway. All right. So but I -- we don't want to be going -all right. Go ahead.

MR. SUNG: It won't be too long.
THE WITNESS: I can in like towards the end of his testimony, so I don't think I heard that part.

BY MR. SUNG:
Q Okay. Did you see the video clip that Mr. Chung -- that we showed through Mr. Chung?

A Yes, with the security guard.
Q And were his identifications correct of the three individuals that was shown at the
lower left hand screen of that video?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So one was Detective Carter, one was yourself and one was Investigator Peru?

A Yes.
Q And he was correct in identifying Detective Carter as an African American gentleman?

A Yes.
Q And I will proffer to you that Mr. Silva, when he testified, talked about his interaction with MPD that evening concerning drinks allegedly served by him to underage customers. He identified that person as a heavyset white gentleman who was bald.

Would you know who he is describing?
A It sounds like a detective I know.
Q Okay. And was that detective at Abigail that evening?

A Yes.
Q And who is that?
A Scott Emmons.

Q Scott Emmons?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Your report, which was entered into evidence, also contains an MPD Public Incident Report where three MPD officers are identified or listed: Detective Emmons, David Carter and Sergeant Terry Thorne.

A Yes.
Q Isn't it Sergeant Terry Thorne who is heavyset and bald?

A No, sir.
Q It's Detective Emmons who is heavyset and bald?

A Yes.
Q Understood. So in your report you state that David Carter had caught two patrons at the bar ordering alcoholic beverages, but in your testimony you said something about they versus he saying that it's possible that someone else, some other officer observed this.

So what is your thought now having been presented with this evidence? Who was it
that actually observed these drinks being served to underage customers?

A I wasn't there to see who observed it. I just know when I went outside, I was advised by Detective Carter that they found the two underage individuals at the bar and Detective Carter authored the report, so to me that's Detective Carter is the one that saw that and handled that.

Q Okay. So you don't personally know?
A No, I do not know.
Q And did you speak to any of the security that were checking IDs?

A No, I don't believe I did.
Q Why didn't you?
A I can't recall why.
Q Did you speak to any of the bartenders that allegedly served the customers that were underage?

A I did not.
Q Well, why didn't you?
A Because I -- the two that were caught at the end, because I didn't handle that, no.

MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: I'm sorry?
THE WITNESS: Because I didn't found those two, so I didn't handle that situation.

MR. SUNG: That's all the questions I have. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Sousa, your witness.

MR. SOUSA: No questions.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions by any Board Members? All right. Hearing none, Mr. Puente, thank you for your testimony. You can step down.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you rest, sir?

MR. SUNG: Yes, we do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And --
MR. SUNG: Oh, prior to doing that, I would like to introduce the video clip that we discussed that Mr. Chung discussed.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Are you giving us --

MR. SUNG: That would be our Exhibit 3.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- 3.
(Whereupon, the abovereferred to document was marked as Respondent Exhibit No. 3 for identification.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So how do -- do we have a thumb drive that you are giving us or how does that go?

MR. SUNG: We can upload it to the computer that is property of ABRA.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm not sure it operates that way. I'm not sure how it -- to move it into evidence as I'm --

MR. CHUNG: I can download it right now if you have a USB stick.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry?
MR. CHUNG: I can download it right now off ABRA's computer onto a USB stick if you have one, Chairman Anderson.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'll -- we will
figure out how to do that. I don't have one, but in order for us -- for it to be a part of it, it has to be downloaded physically. So, yes, Mr. Sousa?

MR. SOUSA: Just to note for the record that the Government has no objection to the substantive moving this into evidence.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right.
MR. SOUSA: Just figure out the logistics later.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right. Right. Okay. But I'm -- so, Mr. Chung, in order to move it into evidence, $I$ need something physical for you to give that to us.

Mr. Sung, I do not have Exhibit 1, so if you need Exhibit 1 to be a part of the record, you need to give us a copy of Exhibit 1.

MR. SUNG: We did give you three pages, Maine, Florida and Illinois.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So is that exhibit -- because I had identified them as Exhibit 2A, 2B and 2C.

MR. SUNG: Understood.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So do you want - -

MR. SUNG: So that means Exhibit 1 was the report by Investigator Puente.

MR. SOUSA: Yes, $I$ think we went 1 and then his -- the excerpts from the I.D. Book were 2 and then this is going to be Exhibit 3.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, we started off this by Mr. Sung providing the entire booklet and $I$ told him that $I$ don't have it, so therefore, $I$ couldn't move it into evidence, because he would have to give me the entire booklet. That's how we came around what Exhibit 1 was.

And then later on we were given excerpts of Maine, Illinois and Florida and that was -- and I identified them for the record as Exhibit 2, B and C. So are we not -- are you not moving the entire booklet into evidence? Are you just moving these three pages?

So what I'll do then, I will renumber
this, the pages from Maine, Illinois and Florida as Defendant's Exhibit 1, 1B and 1C. And the Government has -- so, Mr. Chung, are you also asking to rely on the case report or is it just the Government's document alone?

MR. SUNG: The case report?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. The Government's Exhibit 1, are you also relying on that also, too?

MR. SUNG: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So then that will be Joint Exhibit 1 by the Government and by the Defendant. Okay. And we will receive a thumb drive of the -- I'm sorry, Mr. Sousa, regarding the video that we had seen earlier, were you -- was it your intent to move that into evidence?

MR. SOUSA: It's part of the case file. It was Exhibit 2 to the case file. If you turn to page 3 of the -- I saw case file, case report. If you turn to page 3 of it, it's listed as Exhibit No. 2. And I just assumed that since
it was an ABRA document it was already in ABRA's -- the ABC Board's possession and control.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I guess --
well, the unfortunate thing is that the document that you handed to me as Exhibit 1, there is no Exhibit 2 in that document.

MR. SOUSA: Right.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right.
MR. SOUSA: It's Exhibit 2 that Mr.
Puente attached to case report is not -- the disk itself is not included.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, but how do we know and the reason I'm saying is because there is even no indication in Exhibit 1 that there is an Exhibit 2. So is there somewhere within the report itself that says that exhibit-what Exhibit 2 is?

MR. SOUSA: Yes, if you look at page 3, it lists the exhibits attached, and it says Exhibit No. 2, copy of video taken April 29, 2018.
right. Okay. So we will -- the record will reflect that the case report on page 3 lists what Exhibit 2 is of the Government exhibit. But it's not attached to the document that is going in the record. I just want to clarify the record to state that. Okay. Then so moved.
(Whereupon, the abovereferred to documents were received into evidence marked as Complainant Exhibit No. 2 through 8.) CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Does --

MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Chair, can I make an observation?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr. Short.
MEMBER SHORT: I would like to suggest strongly to this Board that we ask anyone coming before this Board that want to introduce videos or tapes, that they should provide their own thumbnails and provide that to this Board, because we normally get that from licensees. We
normally do that. So this is the very first time I have ever heard of a licensee asking us to provide them with part of their exhibit. MR. SUNG: Yes. All right.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I will talk to our General Counsel, because I am a practicing attorney. I do this every day when I'm not here. And in my practice, if I have a hearing, I have to disclose all documents and witnesses five days before the hearing. And I complain that I have-it's problematic for me that I'm having a Show Cause Hearing and I have no idea what documents or witnesses are coming in. I don't know until people call.

And so I have asked that we change the process and procedures here, because as an attorney, it's a more efficient process. I really don't understand Show Cause Hearings are more formalistic than Protest Hearings, but in Protest Hearings, you have to exchange your documents and witnesses seven days prior for a process that I believe is less formal than a Show

Cause Hearing.
And I have asked that the Agency change the process and it's my understanding it has been changed and I'm trying -- I'll find out when that is effective, because as an attorney, this does not work for me. But thank you for your comment, Mr. Short.

MEMBER SHORT: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But I have asked that that process be changed, because it doesn't make sense. All right.

Do the parties -- Mr. Sousa, do you wish to make a closing statement?

MR. SOUSA: Sure. A short statement.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes.

MR. SOUSA: The Board was asked to consider at this hearing two questions: Whether Abigail Room is liable under Count 1 for an egregious sale to minors and under Count 2 for failure to take reasonable steps to ascertain the drinking age of patrons.

As to Count 1, you heard testimony
from Mr. Puente, who is an Investigator at ABRA, who identified one male patron who said he was 18 years-old and was holding an alcoholic beverage, who you heard testimony from Mr. Puente that a female patron identified herself as 18 and was holding an alcoholic beverage.

Another female patron testified that she was a student at GW and was underage and that she was holding a glass of champagne. And that MPD informed Mr. Puente that two other individuals who were minors purchased alcoholic beverages from Abigail Room on the night of April 29th, the early morning of April 29, 2018.

Nothing that you heard from the other side contradicts that, those facts. And I would take the underage minors testimony or underage minors statements as credible, they are not here, but I would take them as credible because why would they identify themselves as minors to law enforcement officers and then be escorted out of the building?

With regards to Count No. 2, failure
to take reasonable steps to ascertain the legal drinking age of patrons, you saw a video of patrons walking into Abigail Room without having their identifications checked. You heard testimony from Mr. Puente, an Investigator, that he observed individuals walking in without having their identifications checked and you heard testimony from the owner of the establishment, Mr. Chung, saying that there are certain occasions that he understands that his employees do not check the identifications of patrons.

That buttressed by the fact that we now know that five minors at least purchased alcohol on that date and that the ABRA Investigators that were at Abigail Room on that date didn't see anyone checking IDs supports -shows that there is sufficient evidence that Abigail Room failed to take reasonable steps to ascertain the drinking age, the legal age of its patrons on that night, April 28th to April 29th.

This is a preponderance of the
evidence standard. The District has met its
burden as to both counts and asks for a $\$ 3,000$ fine for the first count and a \$1,000 fine for the second count. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
Mr. Sung?
MR. SUNG: Thank you. Chairman and Board Members, these allegations are very serious allegations, because the penalties are severe and the Board has the discretion to impose not only financial penalties, but also suspend the licensee and that is why as Mr. Sousa has indicated, the Government does have the burden of proof and it is a preponderance of the evidence. And as the Board probably well-knows, it is a substantial evidence standard.

Now, there are two statutes in play and it is already in the record. It is shown in the Notice of Status Hearing and Show Cause Hearing.

The first statute is DC Code 25781(a)(1) and that states "The sale or delivery of alcoholic beverages to persons under the age
of 21 is prohibited."
The report and the testimony of Investigator Puente identifies five individuals who allegedly were the subjects to whom alcohol was sold and served to.

And we should go through each one separately. The first one is -- are the two people who Inspector/Investigator Puente said were holding Tequila Sunrises in their hand. There is nothing in the evidence that shows/ demonstrates that the licensee sold or served these Tequila Sunrises to these individuals. None whatsoever.

You would need to make a leap, an evidentiary leap to conclude that they were served by one of the bartenders in the club. How can you do that? $I$ submit that you cannot. There is no evidence that one of the bartenders served the woman who presented an Illinois ID and the gentleman who presented the Colombia ID.

As precedent, this Board ruled in Case No. 17-CMP-00020 in the matter of Prospect

Dining, LLC t/a Chinese Disco a very similar set of facts. A customer who appeared under age was seen by Investigator Puente holding an alcoholic drink. He did not see her being served that drink. As a result, this Board ruled that the Government failed to establish a violation of the exact statute that we are discussing today, 25781(a)(1).

Again, the statute is very clear you have to prove sale of alcoholic beverage and that was -- that is missing today.

For the two individuals who allegedly were holding Tequila Sunrises, similarly, for the woman who was in the VIP area, she was allegedly holding a glass of champagne. Again, Mr. Puente did not testify as to how she obtained that drink nor is there anything in the report that shows how she was -- she got a hold of that drink.

Therefore, again, relying on the words of the statute itself, there is no evidence of the sale of alcoholic beverages to a minor.

As for the two individuals from -- the
two individuals who bore Maine and Florida IDs, the ones who Investigator Puente said that he did not personally observe, the evidence is very problematic. We do know, we do acknowledge that this Board does accept hearsay evidence. But there is a reason why hearsay is suspect and looked upon with skepticism.

And in this case it's not just hearsay, it's double hearsay, because as we have shown, the police officer who actually allegedly observed the sale of the drinks was not Detective Carter. It was actually Detective Emmons.

So you have Detective Emmons telling Detective Carter telling Investigator Puente that he observed these two individuals being served alcohol. We do not have an opportunity to crossexamine Detective Emmons. He didn't come here to testify today. And puzzlingly, Detective Carter wasn't here either, so we don't know.

But the best we can make out of it, based on the evidence that we heard today, is that it's double hearsay. Are you going to, as a

Board, penalize a venue and cost them a substantial portion of their revenues based on double hearsay?

The only evidence that you heard was the testimony of the bartender, who allegedly served these drinks. In the report they claim that it was two rum and cokes. You heard Mr. Silva say that one of the drinks was red and one of them was black. So there is an inconsistency there.

You also heard him say I don't recall whether he served those drinks. You also heard him say I didn't see these customers who were allegedly served these drinks. The only evidence that we have again is Investigator Puente testifying as to his understanding of what a detective saw heard through another detective. That is not the burden of proof that is required to find a violation against the licensee.

The second charge relates to statute DC Code 25-783(b). Again, we go to the statute "A licensee or his employee shall take steps
reasonably necessary to ascertain whether any person to whom the licensee sells, delivers or serves an alcoholic beverage is of legal drinking age.

So again, every element of this statute must be proved in order to find a violation. And we need to go through the five individuals again. And once again, as you will note, the statute requires the sale or service of alcohol to these individuals.

I have already discussed how the first three individuals, there is no evidence that was presented today showing that they were served or sold alcohol by the licensee. So obviously, this statute doesn't apply to those first three individuals.

As to the two remaining individuals, I'm going to refer to them as the rum and coke individuals, even though we -- just for ease of reference. Again, the evidence that they were served or sold alcohol is very tenuous and we submit that it is not sufficient.

Moreover, and this is why it is very important and it is critical to this Board's inquiry, what were the IDs that they presented or that they had in their possession that they shared with the Investigators? It is up to this Board and I'm sure it is perfectly capable of comparing the IDs of the Exhibit 7, marked as Exhibit 7, to what is actually Exhibit 1, the case report. The Maine ID and the Florida ID.

And we submit to you that these are amazingly precise IDs. The Government would like the Board to conclude that because they showed a 20 second, approximately 20 second, video clip of the processing of customers that based on that alone, we have -- they would like you to infer, take a giant step and infer that these two individuals did not have their IDs checked when they came in.

We have established, Investigator Puente established that the individuals shown in that 20 second video are not the individuals who were later allegedly served these drinks. So
with that, we can't find a violation of 25-783. They weren't the individuals whose IDs were not allegedly checked.

This is a I'll leave it in the Board's discretion to take the time to look at these IDs and compare it to the sample IDs that are in the I.D. guide. And I would also ask the Board to review the footage, the two video footages that are entered into evidence.

I submit that in Investigator Puente's video clip, there is indeed, $I$ believe, two females that you see that enter without their IDs being checked. However, the video doesn't show whether their IDs were checked prior to that filming taking place. The footage does show at least one individual whose ID was checked.

There is a male whose ID is checked by Koby. So the assertion made at the opening statement is false that people were processed without their IDs being checked. That is not universally the case.

The footage that Mr. Chung described,
the licensee's footage, I would ask the Board to also review that. You will see MPD and ABRA approach the venue. Before Koby, the employee, knows that they are there, he is checking IDs. You can see that in the video clip. So again, it is false that the venue was not checking IDs of the people that came into the club.

Why is there a line if we are not checking IDs? There is an exit rope. There is a clear queue. You can see they are being processed in an orderly manner. The video should speak for itself, but it should not speak for more than what they show.

Both video clips show IDs being checked. There is no video showing that these two individuals, Marvin Castillo, Kendall Wheeler, that their IDs were not checked. There is no evidence that their IDs were not checked. It is the burden of the Government to show that their IDs were not checked, so that is the inference to be drawn?

The inference to be drawn is that
their IDs were checked pursuant to the testimony of Mr. Chung. And the evidence shows that the IDs are spectacularly good. They are amazing fake IDs. The statute says reasonable inquiry into whether an ID is good. I believe we have established that the licensee was reasonable in accepting these IDs to what otherwise is unfair.

Accordingly, we would ask the Board to dismiss both charges and in the alternative, in the alternative, should the Board find otherwise, I would point out since Mr. Sousa talked about actual fines, this is a first offense.

In my years of being in front of ABRA, and my general knowledge of ABRA's decisions, it's unusual to fine or suspend a licensee on their first alleged violation for underage drinking. So at most, I would ask the Board to issue a warning letter. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Sung.

All right. The record is now closed. MR. SOUSA: Actually, do the rules
provide for a rebuttal? There were a lot of factual representations that need to be addressed.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, the rules-at least the rules that they gave me have not -it does not provide for rebuttal. And in the three years I have been here, I have not allowed that, so I'm not going to start the trend today. But I -- all right.

All right. But do the parties wish to file proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law or waive their right to do so?

MR. SOUSA: We will be filing such proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, yes.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Sung?
MR. SUNG: As will we.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Normally, I always hear -- I have never heard the Government volunteer to do that, but that is fine.

MR. SOUSA: Well, there were
misrepresentations made in the closing statement and I want to have an opportunity to address them.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. If the parties choose to file proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, then 90 days from when the Board receives the proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law due to the Board. So they are due to the Board 30 days after receipt of the transcript and the transcript will be emailed to the parties in approximately three weeks.

If you change your mind, you can also -- if both sides change their mind to do that, you can also let us know that you have changed your mind.

All right. As Chairperson of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board for the District of Columbia and in accordance with DC Official Code Section 2-574(b) of the Open Meetings Act, I move that the ABC Board hold a closed meeting for the purpose of seeking legal advice from our
counsel on Case No. 18-CC-00053, Abigail Room, pursuant to DC Official Code Section 2-574(b)(4) of the Open Meetings Act and deliberating upon Case No. 18-CC-00053, Abigail Room, for the reasons cited in DC Official Code Section 2574(b)(13) of the Open Meetings Act.

Is there a second?
MEMBER SHORT: Second.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short has seconded the motion. I will now take a roll call vote on the motion before us now that it has been seconded.

Ms. Wahabzadah?
MEMBER WAHABZADAH: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr.
Silverstein?
MEMBER SILVERSTEIN: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short?
MEMBER SHORT: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Alberti?
MEMBER ALBERTI: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Cato?

MEMBER CATO: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Anderson?
I agree.
As it appears that the motion has passed, $I$ hereby give notice that the ABC Board will recess these proceedings to hold a closed meeting in the $A B C$ Board conference room pursuant to Section 2-574(b) of the Open Meetings Act.

I want to thank both parties for their presentation today. It's always good when we have parties who are well-prepared to argue their respective cases and $I$ want to thank both sides for their presentation.

All right. Thank you very much.
MR. SUNG: Thank you for your time.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
(Whereupon, the Show Cause Hearing was concluded at 2:27 p.m.)
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