DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

+ + + + + MEETING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Kat, LLC t/a Cloud Restaurant & :

Lounge Sports Bar : 1919 9th Street NW : Show Cause Retailer CT - ANC 1B : Hearing

License No. 93572 Case #22-251-00014

(Allowed Establishment : to be Used for Unlawful Purposes, : Increase in Occupancy Without Board Approval) :

> Wednesday November 9, 2022

The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board met via WebEx videoconference, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson presiding.

PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson BOBBY CATO, JR., Member RAFI ALIYA CROCKETT, Member EDWARD S. GRANDIS, Member JENI HANSEN, Member JAMES SHORT, JR., Member

ALSO PRESENT:

JOSE ORELLANA, DC ABRA Staff TESFIT KIFLU, Applicant RICHARD BIANCO, Applicant Counsel ANTHONY CELO, Assistant Attorney General

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(1:34 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon.

We're on the record. Good afternoon, everyone
and welcome to the afternoon session of the ABC

Board. My name is Donovan Anderson. I'm

Chairman of the Board. Joining me this afternoon
are three other board members; Mr. Bobby Cato who
should be joining us shortly, Ms. Rafi Crockett,
and Ms. Jeni Hansen. The Board has four members
in attendance and we have a quorum. Just as an

FYI for the public, three members of the Board
constitutes a quorum. So any time we have three
members on the board, we can meet and conduct
business.

Before we get underway with this afternoon's hearing calendar, I need to make a few instructions very clear so that the conduct of these hearings is understood by everyone. We have four cases scheduled for this afternoon.

Once a case is called, I will take a moment for our IT specialist to elevate the rights for each party to enable their camera and microphone. And then and only then will you have the ability to enable your equipment. If your case has not been

heard, you will remain mute and your camera will be disabled. At the conclusion of each case, the parties will have the option to leave. If a party chooses to stay, all cameras and microphones for the concluded case will be disabled. Should you have any questions or require technical assistance through the hearing, please submit that using the question and answer feature.

The first case on our afternoon calendar, it's a show-cause hearing. And it is Case No. 22-251-00014, Cloud Restaurant and Lounge Sports Bar, License No. 93572. Mr. Orellana, can you please elevate the rights of the parties in this case please?

MR. ORELLANA: Richard Bianco, your access has been elevated. Anthony Celo, your access has been elevated. That is all, Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon.

Let's start with the Government to introduce

himself for the record please.

MR. CELO: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair.

Assistant Attorney General, Anthony Celo, A-N-TH-O-N-Y C-E-L-O on behalf of the District today.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon,

Mr. Celo. Mr. Bianco, can you introduce yourself 1 2 for the record please? 3 MR. BIANCO: Yes, Mr. Chair. Good afternoon. Richard Bianco, R-I-C-H-A-R-D B-I-A-4 5 N-C-O on behalf of the Respondent in this case, 6 which is Cloud Lounge. Sitting next to me, I 7 have Tesfit Kiflu. He is the owner of the 8 Respondent establishment. And I can have him 9 spell his name. 10 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. Please have him 11 identify himself for the record and spell and 12 state his name please. 13 MR. KIFLU: Okay, Mr. Chair. My name 14 is Tesfit Kiflu, which is T-E-S-F-I-T and last 15 name is Kiflu, K-I-F-L-U. 16 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon, sir. All right, this matter -- Thank you. 17 matter is scheduled for a show-cause hearing. 18 19 Mr. Celo, are there any preliminary matters in 20 this case? 21 Yes, Mr. Chair. MR. CELO: It is my 22 understanding that the parties have agreed to a 23 significant stipulation in this case, 24 specifically we have a stipulation as to all the 25 facts contained within the investigative report.

And I would note just for the record that as a matter of law, the investigative report including all exhibits are incorporated into the record.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, that's fine. But at this juncture -- so if there are stipulations, then I would ask for someone to put on the record what the stipulations are. So if there's an agreement on the stipulations -- there is a stipulation on the facts. So the purpose of this hearing then would be -- what's the purpose of the hearing then?

MR. CELO: The questions that remain are purely legal questions. We do have a dispute as to how the number of violations should be counted, which we would have to argue. And then of course there is the question of the appropriate penalty for the violations which are stipulated to, which would be a Board determination.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Then I would ask that if there are stipulations, then I would for, I guess yourself to put the stipulations on the record so at the least the Board will know what it is that the parties have stipulated to.

And then the Government would present -- the

Government would present its arguments and then the Licensee would present its argument. And since the Government has the burden, I'll give the Government the opportunity to have the last word if they so choose.

MR. CELO: Yes, Mr. Chair. Just so I understand, because the stipulation is to the investigative report and the accuracy therein, do you want me to simply read the investigative report in its entirety?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So you're basically asking the Board to -- I guess what I'm trying to find out -- you're asking -- you're sending the Board back to -- I think -- I guess what I'm trying to find out is how do I create a record? So if I don't put -- and so you can help me. I need to make sure that I create a record. So I know that --

MR. CELO: Mr. Chair, if I may? My understanding of the proper procedure here is that because the investigative report is an exhibit in the record, the facts contained therein are incorporated onto the record.

They're not verbally incorporated, but they are evidence in the record that can be relied upon

and are in the public eye. I think that we could simply verbally assert that the only dispute is like I said, the legal dispute over which prior cases should or should not count as prior violations. But I am happy to read the facts that are stipulated to. It would just be reading the investigative report, which I'm capable of doing.

MR. BIANCO: Mr. Chair, let me make The report in this case is not this suggestion. as long as we would typically see. The actual narrative looks like it's maybe a page at most. So if it assists the Board, it might make sense to either read it in its entirety or to parse out the relevant facts here, which I think the only -- or most pertinent meaningful fact is essentially that there were 170 patrons in the establishment that has an occupancy certificate for 122. And we agree and stipulate that that is what happened. And there are some additional details in the report, but I think really the main thing for the Board's consideration is that And Mr. Celo can correct me if I'm wrong. fact.

MR. CELO: I do think that based on the charges, there are several other relevant

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

facts that would need to be included. At this point, I would just propose reading the report into the record to make sure there are no questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You're saying the report is a page? Let me find the report.

MR. CELO: The report is about a page and a half.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I just think that -- I know that the, when we write a decision, we're going to -- of course, we have to read the report to make the decision because if we're not -- And I'm aware that the case report is a part of the record by statute. But I'm just trying to - We have a court reporter, so I just want to have -- create some type of public record. And so what I'll ask -- let me find the report. Okay. I don't seem to have access to the case report right now. Oh, yes I do. Give me a --

When I'm reading the report, this report was taking me to -- what I'll ask, just summarize if you're able to summarize the report.

You don't necessarily have to read the whole report. Just summarize the report. The Board

will also take administrative notice of our own documents, and so in making a decision, the Board will -- I'll ensure that we all review the case report, so we can make an appropriate decision. So I'll just ask that you summarize the report and then we can go straight to the arguments that are being made.

MR. CELO: Yes, Mr. Chair. May it please the Court? In summary, this case involves an ABRA investigation by Mark Ruiz who determined that on Sunday, April 3rd, 2022, an assault occurred at Cloud Restaurant and Lounge Sports Bar in which one male patron assaulted another male patron within the establishment. found that the establishment was in violation of DC Code 25-762-V1 and 25-823-A2. Specifically that the establishment engaged in a method of operation conductive to and conducive of disorderly conduct and increased their occupancy without ABC Board approval. This determination was made following a review of the police report and surveillance video, which is also incorporated into the record.

Specifically, the establishment was approximately 40 percent over capacity with more

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

than 170 patrons in the establishment. The video shows that it was (audio interference). findings also include that numerous patrons were rolling marijuana cigarettes in full view of security personnel, but no effort was made to intervene or to stop this. As a result of the conditions that were in the establishment at the time, it enabled the fight to break out. And the fight included physical assaults and a bottle being thrown and smashing against the wall. establishment was then vacated. And when MPD did evacuate the establishment, 173 patrons were counted on video exiting the establishment. During the fight, it did expand to the point where multiple patrons were fighting one another. And I would note that security officers did properly notify MPD of the situation and MPD did arrive at the scene.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So as you said, the gist of this case is that the video showed that there were approximately -- there were 170 patrons in the establishment and it was 40 percent over capacity. So what is the approved capacity for this establishment?

MR. CELO: The maximum capacity was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

122 patrons and 173 were in attendance.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Go ahead, sir. You, you can present the format that you, you think it's appropriate for us to make a decision.

MR. CELO: I would suggest proceeding directly to argument. I don't believe either side intends to present evidence given the stipulation.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr. Bianco, is that correct?

MR. BIANCO: That's correct. Although I don't think Mr. Celo's initial remarks were limited to facts. There were certainly conclusions and argument in there. So we certainly stipulate to the facts in the report, but not legal conclusions such as we admit liability for Charge 1. That is a matter for the Board to determine. We do acknowledge that there were 170 -- roughly 170 patrons. We do acknowledge that there were 122 permitted on the Certificate of Occupancy. But the speculation, conjecture, argument, assumption are not facts that we're stipulating to. We'll stipulate the facts that are contained in the report. If it

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

aids the Board for me to put specifically what I believe those to be on the record -- and Mr. Celo can correct me if I'm wrong, that might be the best way forward.

MR. CELO: And if I may, I do apologize if I was inartful with any of my language. Just to be very clear, the statement I made as to legal conclusions was citing the determination made by the investigator. I was not suggesting that the establishment was agreeing with that determination, merely that the investigative report contained the determinations of the investigator. So if I was inartful in my language, I do apologize.

MR. BIANCO: And I agree that that was the determination of the investigator. That's what the investigator says. That's what the report says. We just don't agree that that is a fact.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, that's fine.

MR. BIANCO: So other than that, I think yes, proceeding with legal arguments on the open points. And as I discussed with Mr. Celo yesterday, because this is almost -- yeah, it's

completely a legal issue, I will be requesting proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law at the end of today's hearing.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's fine.

Go ahead, Mr. Celo.

MR. CELO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. May it please the Board? Ladies and gentlemen of the Board, today the facts are not in dispute as you have you just heard. You are being asked to make two determinations -- well, three determinations. I apologize. Number one, whether the facts meet the standards of the charges alleged. Number two, if that is the case, the number of violations that this establishment has had. Both of the charges as you will hear are primary tier violations. And number three, of course the extent of any penalty that would be deemed appropriate.

I just summarized the facts, but in brief, brief recap, the establishment did allow disorderly conduct to occur in two ways. Number one, by operating significantly over capacity -- 40 percent over capacity by having people jammed in shoulder to shoulder as is clearly visible through the video, which is part of the record

and I encourage you to watch should you have any questions or concerns about that. They dramatically increased the likelihood that a fight would occur. They operated in a way that was conducive to the disorderly conduct of the fight breaking out and the patrons being injured.

Number two, however, they operated in a way to allow disorderly conduct when as seen on the video, numerous patrons were rolling and smoking marijuana cigarettes in full view of security personnel and no one intervened to stop that situation. That in and of itself is a violation of regulation. And that is operating in a way that permits disorderly conduct.

Therefore I believe that the facts clearly demonstrate that both of the charges which were made in this case have been met. And in fact that Cloud Lounge is liable for both Charge 1 and Charge 2; they increased occupancy and they allowed the establishment to be used for disorderly purpose.

The second question before the Board and the second dispute, which I anticipate my respective colleague to raise is the question of which number violation is this incident? The

District contends that this is the third primary tier violation for each charge within the relevant time period, within three years. (Audio interference) issue are in the investigative history, but they are Case No. 20-CIT-00310 and 22-251-0007 -- maybe 00007. I do not believe that there is a question as to the first.

The second case, 31422 case involved a homicide that took place. The Board in that case requested a summary suspension. The summary suspension was issued. The matter was resolved when the Board approved an OIC in lieu of hearing. That OIC resolved not just the summary suspension, but the underlying -- the penalty for the underlying charges in that case. Specifically it required a revision to the security plan with extensive specifics required for what that revision would entail. It required ensuring the functionality of security cameras, which at the time were nonfunctional. instituted a fine of \$2,000 and required a compliance walk-through. The suspension was lifted upon successful completion of all of the OIC terms and Cloud Lounge again began operation.

I anticipate that the argument of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Cloud Lounge will be that this should not count as a violation because they never admitted liability and because no show cause hearing ever took place. These arguments are incorrect. First, while the District acknowledges that for civil purposes, Cloud Lounge did not admit liability in this case, there was a finding and a determination of liability. And by entering into the OIC, the Board found that they were liable for the charges. They did not admit it, but that still means it is counted because it was still a finding of liability before this Board.

Secondly, as to the question of -procedural question that no show cause ever took
place in this hearing, that is because the OIC
which resolved the summary suspension did more
than simply resolve the summary suspension. It
was a universal OIC, which resolved the
underlying charges. Therefore, not only was no
show cause hearing necessary, it would have been
inappropriate to hold the show cause hearing as
that would have brought double jeopardy concerns
because we would be bringing a show cause hearing
for a matter that was already resolved and
already negotiated and settled through an OIC.

In this case, we are dealing with the third violation because that summary suspension matter was resolved through an OIC, which accounted for the underlying charges and because there was a finding of liability for Cloud Lounge.

That brings us to the third and final consideration, which is the extent to which a The District does penalty is appropriate. believe that a fine is appropriate in this The facility was operating extensively matter. over capacity -- again, 40 percent over capacity. It was people packed in like sardines and the circumstances that led to the fight were inevitable. They were easily preventable. it very much Cloud Lounge's responsibility that that fight took place, that disorderly conduct occurred on their premises. Therefore, the District request finding of liability on both charges and the appropriate penalty for a third violation on both counts. And with that, I reserve time for rebuttal. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco.

MR. BIANCO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. On behalf of the Applicant, we are here today for a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

show cause hearing on two charges. Charge one, that an establishment was being operated for a disorderly purpose and charge two, that occupancy was increased without approval of the Board.

According to the charging document, the information -- the underlying information or facts that they claim support these charges are for -- are the same. For charge two, that there were approximately 170 -- they say 173 individuals in the establishment, which is only allowed to have 122 individuals. And we acknowledge that that is the case.

For the second charge, which is the disorderly purpose charge, it's based on the same facts. As to the argument about marijuana cigarettes being smoked in the establishment, that's not part of the case. It's not in the charging documents. It's not before the Board. Not to mention that there's no facts cited in the investigative report indicating that anything being smoked was in fact marijuana. There is some speculation as to that fact, but there certainly is no actual evidence. Were there people smoking on the video? There were. was that not charged? I don't know. I can only

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

speculate. And what I would speculate is that because this Board does not regulate smoking. So aside it from not being charged, I think there is a significant legal question as to whether the Board could even consider it if in fact it was charged.

The second thing I would like to discuss briefly is this disorderly purpose charge. And there's more to it than simply saying, well, they were over occupancy and therefore it was a disorderly purpose. There is more that the Government has to prove that they haven't and can't in this case. Specifically, using the words of the Director of the Agency, a Licensee is not responsible for a single incident of assault or violence where there is not a demonstrable connection between the incident and the establishment's operation. And that quote comes from a Court of Appeals case 1215 CT, LLC versus DC ABC Board 213A Third 605-DC-2019.

Here, what the video shows and the relevant video, which is attached to the investigative report as or identified by OAG as Exhibit 2E, which shows Camera 10 at 1:54 a.m. and 23 seconds. And as Mr. Celo encouraged, I

hope the Board will watch what occurs at that point and at that location, which is the victim walking unimpeded through a path towards the exit of the establishment. And from the left-hand side of the screen, the assailant runs up on him and attacks him from behind.

Now I'm not sure how the District argues that such an attack that the Board will see on the video, is in any way correlated to overcrowding. But it seems to me that both in the report and the District's argument, their assumption is that overcrowding equals fights. But they have to do more than just say that there were too many people in the establishment; therefore this fight occurred. They can't do that in this case based on the evidence that is on the record.

Shifting your attention now to the count of violations. I think Mr. Celo in broad strokes has the dispute right. What we're arguing over is whether the OIC resolving the summary suspension in Case No. 2022-CMP-0007 counts for today's purposes as a violation. If it does count, the violation in this case would be the third. And if it does not count, the

violation in today's case would be the second, which makes a significant difference in terms of the penalty.

We believe that the resolution of the summary suspension case was not a finding of violation by the Board and we think it's pretty clear. Here is what we say in support of our position. Number one, the OIC on its face says the Respondent does not admit liability. But perhaps more importantly, other than not admitting liability, we don't admit to any facts. There's nothing in the OIC acknowledging in any way, shape, or form any facts upon which a violation could even be found. All we acknowledge in the OIC is receipt of service of the summary suspension notice and that we were giving up the opportunity to cross examine witnesses and put on evidence with respect to the summary suspension.

Another important point is that in Case 0007, the establishment has not been charged with any violation of the alcohol laws. If we look at the regulations, they give us, I think, some insight into what needs to happen in order for a violation to take place. Specifically 23-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DCMR-808.3 states that the computation of prior adjudicated cases whose dates of adjudication fall within the applicable review period count. So what we're dealing with is a determination of a date of adjudication.

The very next section, point 4B says, the date of adjudication shall be the date that the Board issues a final written order finding liability. That didn't happen. There was no finding written order making a finding of liability. Moreover, it could not have happened because the issue of liability was not even before the Board. Again, looking to the regulations. 23-DCMR-611.1, the Board must serve the Licensee notice of the allegations against them 30 days prior to a hearing. It didn't It did not happen. No notice was given happen. and in the OIC, no notice was waived.

1611.4, the Board shall make its
findings of fact based upon the evidence that has
been presented to it. Here, no evidence was
presented. The Licensee admitted to no facts.
The Licensee admitted to no violations. And
there were no findings of facts as would have
been required by the Administrative Procedures

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Act to find that a violation took place. No charges have been levied in Case No. 0007. There was no show-cause notice. And what I think is significant about that and what I think the Boards should consider is the legal standard. It's different.

So the legal standard justifying a summary suspension deals with danger to the public regardless of whether it was a violation of the alcohol laws. Maybe conduct is a violation, maybe it isn't. It deals with dangerousness only and not liability. And whether or not to lift a summary suspension, all that needs to be shown is that the establishment can re-open safely. And as the Board is well aware, frequently to give the Board a level of comfort requires conditions. And those conditions aren't necessarily punitive conditions. Those conditions are supposed to be imposed so that the establishment in the Board's estimation can operate safely.

Now that is a very different standard from what needs to be shown for a violation under a show cause. Specifically in a show cause, the Government has to show by substantial evidence on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the record that an alcohol law has been violated. And as an aside, in that case, they can't, which is the reason why we would not agree to any liability. There is nothing that happened in that situation that could have conveyed liability. What happened was a tragedy. But it was not a tragedy in any way caused or that my client could have in any way prevented. In fact, on the evening in question, they were operating in a manner that was above and beyond --

(Simultaneous speaking.)

MR. CELO: Objection.

MR. BIANCO: -- called for in their agreement.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on, Mr. Celo. He's closing and so I know this is a little bit different. So he's closing, so I'm not going to -- I'm not going to allow any objections because it's closing. You'll get an opportunity, Mr. Celo to clarify the record if you -- if you so desire.

MR. BIANCO: And I think in briefing, it will be important to ask the Board to take judicial notice of the records in Case 0007. And the parties will have the opportunity to make

whatever argument they like about the facts of that case.

In closing briefly on the issue of penalty, it's our contention here that there is one violation. And we're not trying to hide from The establishment was over occupancy. We're not putting the Government to their proof on it and hoping for the best. It's a fact. you know, there's going to be some sanction based on that violation. And we ask number one, that it be the only violation. And number two, that the minimum penalty be imposed. And we think it's important for the Board to consider as set forth in the investigative report that once this attack -- once this attack occurred, the establishment's security took appropriate measures and handled the incident correctly, which minimized any harm. And as noted in the report, there were no injuries. And based on those facts, we ask that the Board impose the minimum penalty for one primary tier violation. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thanks. Mr. Celo.

MR. CELO: Thank you. May it please

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

the Board? I would like to start with the issue of the disorderly purpose. As you just heard, opposing counsel seeks to suggest that there is only one potential action that could be considered or construed as disorderly -- as a disorderly purpose before the Board. As you heard, he frames it entirely around the question of a single assault from one patron to the other. That is not the contention, nor interpretation of the Board. Beginning with the disorderly question of the marijuana cigarettes. Attorney Bianco argued that this never came up in the charging documents and therefore cannot be argued here and now. I respectfully disagree.

Reading from the show cause notice in the third paragraph of Charge 1, it does state specifically that from approximately 1:30 to 1:50 a.m., he, Investigator Ruiz, observed multiple patrons rolling what appears to be marijuana cigarettes and smoking them freely near security guards without intervention by staff or security. It's in the charging document. It is also in the show cause notice where he specifies that this can be seen on cameras 1, 7, and 13 at that 1:33 to 1:50 timeframe. So that issue is open. It is

live. It was charged. It is part of disorderly purpose. And the video clearly demonstrates that the individuals are smoking these marijuana cigarettes in full view of security without intervention.

Secondly, the fight is not simply a question of two individuals fighting each other. Again, I refer to the investigative report, which has been stipulated to. Specifically on Page 2 in the second bold section where it cites to the camera and to what we can see on video on cameras 10 and 13 between 1:50 and 2:08 a.m., a male patron physically assaults another male patron from behind as we've discussed. Security intervenes. However, security is unable to immediately remove the aggressor as he is hiding within the crowd. Because that establishment was so drastically overcrowded, this aggressor was able to hide for a significant period of time within the crowd, within the bodies. Could not be located. Could not be identified by security.

What happened at that point? Multiple patrons became unruly. A patron throws a bottle across the room, hitting a wall, just missing another patron. A fight commences involving

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

multiple individuals and that fight spills out. That is what happened because this establishment was overcrowded. It's not just one incident of one patron and another patron engaging in a fight. It is the aftermath.

Because this establishment was so overcrowded, they couldn't find the aggressor. He was able to just duck down and hide in the crowd. Because the establishment was so overcrowded, the fight spilled out. The people nearby who were pushed and shoved because they were crammed together so tightly, they started fighting. A bottle was thrown and nearly hit somebody in the head before it shattered against the wall. The overcrowding was a direct result - direct cause of the disorderly conduct that occurred in that establishment on that night. Therefore, that charge is absolutely appropriate.

Lastly, I want to get back to the question of the previous OIC and the disclaiming of liability. When we look at what the purpose is of disclaiming liability in these contexts, the purpose is generally speaking to avoid admitting liability for future civil litigation. Because as we know, an admission of liability in

this context would be admittable against the party in a civil lawsuit. It does not mean that no finding of fact occurred. It does not mean that no finding of liability occurred. It just means that they did not admit to liability.

I would draw the analogy to the criminal context to an Alford or no contest plea. In that situation, a person pleads no contest. They do not admit liability, but there is still a finding of a guilt by the Court and for sentencing calculations, it still counts as a guilty verdict. The same logic applies here. Just because they don't admit liability doesn't mean hey, you can't ever use this against me in the future. It is the -- the question that is relevant is what does the determining body -- in this case, the Board, determine occurred? And in this case, we have a determination of liability.

Now I want to get to the question of the show cause. Yes, we agree that no show cause was brought in that matter. The facts of that case were not put on at a show cause hearing. However, that does not excuse this case from being counted against the establishment. Let's consider for a second the logical extension of

the argument that Attorney Bianco made. Let's play it out.

If we have a show cause notice sent out and we reach an OIC, that can be used for purposes of counting past violations. However, if we have a situation in which there is a summary suspension and we resolve that summary suspension through an OIC, then while no show cause was brought, so we can't use that for counting previous violations. In general, summary suspensions occur for activity that is far more severe and immediate, far more dangerous to the District than standard show-cause violations. As a result, the precedent that Attorney Bianco is suggesting would yield to situations in which the most serious offenses could not be counted, but the least serious offenses could be counted.

Alternatively, I suppose the other solution would be that all summary suspensions would require show-cause hearings to be brought. But that creates perverse disincentives on the system. In this case that we're talking about, 007, we were able to resolve that matter through a universal OIC. Attorney Bianco indicated that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

it was merely terms to make sure that there was no danger, nothing punitive. But I would note that the third term of that OIC was a fine.

Specifically a \$2,000 fine for the violations of their security plan, which, again, Respondent shall pay a fine in the amount of \$2,000 for violations of their security plan. That right there is an ABRA violation. That is an alcohol violation. They were fined for it. They paid the fine. Now I agree, they did not admit liability, but they were found to have violated their security plan. They were fined for it and they paid that fine.

Under the suggestion of Attorney
Bianco, if this Board were to make that the
precedent for how we handle these type of
situations, it would create drastic, perverse
disincentives. Either the most severe violations
that lead to summary suspensions could not be
charged or we could not resolve them in due
course with a single settlement. We would be
forced to resolve them first on the suspension
front, then bring a show cause, then re-litigate
the exact same facts, and then resolve that
independently.

The interest of judicial economy clearly requires that we be able to resolve these matters as a universal settlement if appropriate, as it was in this instance. And the most severe violations cannot then hide behind a shield of no show cause was brought because we resolved it early. Therefore I believe that the facts, the law, and the past violations are clear. And we respectfully request an appropriate penalty for two first-degree violations for a third offense. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, sir.

All right. The record is now closed. And as you have stated, Mr. Bianco, you are going to file proposed findings of facts and conclusions of law.

MR. BIANCO: That's correct.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And the transcript will be available within three weeks. And the proposed findings of facts and conclusions of law are due to the Board 30 days after receipt of the transcript. And so the Board therefore will issue its decision within 90 days after we've received the proposed findings of facts and conclusion of law.

MR. CELO: Mr. Chair? 1 2 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir. 3 MR. CELO: District requests 4 permission to file simultaneous findings of facts 5 and conclusions of law. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's fine. 6 7 I'm sorry, Mr. Celo. It's anticipated. 8 anticipated that that would be done at the same 9 time. So I apologize, sir. All right. As Chairperson of the Alcoholic 10 11 Beverage Control Board for the District of 12 Columbia and in accordance with D.C. Official Code Section 2-575 of the Open Meetings Act, I 13 14 move that the ABC Board hold a closed meeting for 15 the purposes of seeking legal advice from our 16 counsel on Case No. 22-251-00014, Cloud 17 Restaurant and Lounge Sports Bar pursuant to DC 18 Official Code Section 2-575(b)(4)(A) of the Open 19 Meetings Act and deliberating upon Case No. 22-20 251-00014, Cloud Restaurant and Lounge Sports 21 For the reasons cited in D.C. Official Code 22 Section 2-575(b)(13) of the Open Meetings Act, is 23 there a second? 24 MEMBER CROCKETT: I will second. 25 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Crockett

and Ms. Hansen have seconded the motion. 1 We'll 2 now have a roll call vote. Mr. Cato. 3 MEMBER CATO: Bobby Cato, I agree. 4 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Crockett. 5 MEMBER CROCKETT: Rafi Crockett, I 6 agree. 7 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Hansen. 8 MEMBER HANSEN: Jeni Hansen, I agree. 9 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And Mr. 10 Anderson, I agree. The matter passes 4-0-0. Ιt 11 does appear that the motion has passed. 12 give notice that the ABC Board will recess this 13 proceeding to hold a closed meeting pursuant to 14 Section 2575 of the Open Meetings Act. So the 15 decision of the Board will await the proposed 16 findings of facts and conclusions of law prior to 17 issuing a final decision in this case. Thank you 18 very much. Have a great afternoon. 19 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 20 went off the record at 2:25 p.m.) 21 22 23 24 25

A-N-T- 3:23 **a.m** 19:24 26:18 27:12 **ABC** 2:5 9:20 19:20 33:14 34:12 ability 2:24 able 8:23 27:19 28:8 30:24 32:2 above-entitled 34:19 **ABRA** 1:23 9:10 31:8 absolutely 28:18 access 3:17,18 8:18 accounted 17:4 accuracy 6:8 acknowledge 11:19,21 18:12 21:15 acknowledges 16:5 acknowledging 21:12 **Act** 23:1 33:13,19,22 34:14 action 26:4 activity 30:11 actual 7:11 18:23 additional 7:20 adjudicated 22:2 adjudication 22:2,5,7 administrative 9:1 22:25 admission 28:25 admit 11:17 16:6,10 21:9,11 29:5,9,13 31:11 admittable 29:1 admitted 16:2 22:22,23 admitting 21:11 28:24 **advice** 33:15 aftermath 28:5 afternoon 2:3,4,5,7,20 3:10,19,22,25 4:4,16 34:18 afternoon's 2:17 **Agency** 19:14 **aggressor** 27:16,18 28:7 agree 7:19 12:15,18 24:3 29:20 31:10 34:3 34:6.8.10 agreed 4:22 agreeing 12:11 **agreement** 5:8 24:14 ahead 11:3 13:5 aids 12:1 alcohol 21:22 23:10 24:1 31:9 **Alcoholic** 1:2,15 33:10 Alford 29:7 **ALIYA** 1:20 allegations 22:15

alleged 13:12 allow 13:20 14:8 24:18 **allowed** 1:10 14:20 Alternatively 30:19 **amount** 31:6 analogy 29:6 **ANC** 1:8 **Anderson** 1:16,19 2:3,6 3:19,25 4:10,16 5:4 5:20 6:11 8:5,9 10:19 11:2,10 12:20 13:4 17:23 24:15 25:23 32:12,18 33:2,6,25 34:4,7,9,10 answer 3:8 **Anthony** 1:25 3:17,23 anticipate 14:23 15:25 anticipated 33:7,8 apologize 12:6,14 13:11 33:9 **Appeals** 19:19 appear 34:11 **appears** 26:19 applicable 22:3 Applicant 1:24.24 17:25 **applies** 29:12 appropriate 5:17 9:4 11:4 13:18 17:9,10,20 25:16 28:18 32:3.9 approval 1:12 9:20 18:4 approved 10:24 15:12 approximately 9:25 10:21 18:9 26:17 **April** 9:11 argue 5:15 argued 26:12,13 argues 20:8 arguing 20:21 argument 6:2 11:7,15 11:23 15:25 18:15 20:11 25:1 30:1 arguments 6:1 9:6 12:23 16:4 **arrive** 10:18 aside 19:3 24:2 asked 13:9 asking 6:12,13 assailant 20:5 assault 9:11 19:16 26:8 assaulted 9:13 assaults 10:9 27:13 assert 7:2 assistance 3:7 **Assistant** 1:25 3:23

assists 7:13

assumption 11:23

20:12 attached 19:22 attack 20:8 25:15,15 attacks 20:6 attendance 2:11 11:1 attention 20:18 Attorney 1:25 3:23 26:11 30:1,15,25 31:14 audio 10:2 15:3 available 32:19 avoid 28:23 await 34:15 aware 8:13 23:16

В **B-I-A-** 4:4 back 6:14 28:19 Bar 1:7 3:13 9:13 33:17 33:21 based 7:24 18:14 20:16 22:20 25:9.19 basically 6:12 **began** 15:24 Beginning 26:10 behalf 3:24 4:5 17:25 believe 11:7 12:2 14:15 15:6 17:10 21:4 32:7 **best** 12:4 25:8 Beverage 1:2,15 33:11 **beyond** 24:10 **Bianco** 1:24 3:16 4:1,3 4:4 7:9 11:11,12 12:15,22 17:23,24 24:13,22 26:11 30:1 30:15,25 31:15 32:14 32:17 **bit** 24:17 board 1:2,12,15 2:6,7,8 2:10,12,14 5:18,23 6:12,14 7:13 8:25 9:2 9:20 11:19 12:1 13:7 13:8 14:22 15:9,12 16:9,12 18:4,18 19:2 19:5,20 20:1,8 21:6 22:8,13,14,19 23:15 23:16 24:23 25:13,20 26:1,6,10 29:17 31:15 32:21,23 33:11,14 34:12,15 **Board's** 7:22 23:20 **Boards** 23:5 **Bobby** 1:19 2:8 34:3 **bodies** 27:20 **body** 29:16 **bold** 27:10 bottle 10:9 27:23 28:13

breaking 14:6 brief 13:20,20 briefing 24:22 briefly 19:8 25:3 bring 31:23 bringing 16:23 brings 17:7 broad 20:19 brought 16:22 29:21 30:9,21 32:6 burden 6:3 business 2:15

С C-E-L-O 3:24 calculations 29:11 calendar 2:17 3:11 call 34:2 called 2:21 24:13 camera 2:23 3:1 19:24 27:11 cameras 3:4 15:19 26:24 27:11 capable 7:7 capacity 9:25 10:23,24 10:25 13:22,23 17:12 17:12 case 1:9 2:21,25 3:2,5 3:10,12,15 4:5,20,23 7:10 8:13.19 9:3.9 10:20 13:13 14:17

17:1 18:12,17 19:13
19:19 20:16,22,24
21:1,5,21 23:2 24:2
24:24 25:2 29:17,18
29:22,23 30:23 33:16
33:19 34:17
cases 2:20 7:4 22:2
Cato 1:19 2:8 34:2,3,3
cause 1:7 16:3,14,20,21
16:23 18:1 23:24,24
26:15,23 28:16 29:20
29:20,22 30:3,9 31:23
32:6
caused 24:7

15:5,8,8,10,15 16:7

caused 24:7
Celo 1:25 3:17,22,23
4:1,19,21 5:12 6:6,19
7:23,24 8:7 9:8 10:25
11:6 12:2,5,24 13:5,6
19:25 20:19 24:12,16
24:20 25:24,25 33:1,3
33:7
Celo's 11:13

certainly 11:14,16 18:23 certificate 7:18 11:22 Chair 3:22 4:3,13,21 6:6

break 10:8

п	
	6:19 7:9 9:8 13:6
	17:24 33:1
	Chairman 2:7 3:18
	Chairperson 1:16,19
	2:3 3:19,25 4:10,16 5:4,20 6:11 8:5,9
	10:19 11:2,10 12:20
	13:4 17:23 24:15
	25:23 32:12,18 33:2,6
	33:10,25 34:4,7,9
	charge 11:18 14:19,19 15:2 18:1,3,8,13,14
	19:9 26:16 28:18
	charged 18:25 19:3,6
	21:21 27:1 31:20
	charges 7:25 13:12,15
	14:16 15:15 16:10,19 17:4,20 18:1,7 23:2
	charging 18:5,18 26:12
	26:22
	choose 6:5
	chooses 3:4
	cigarettes 10:4 14:10 18:16 26:11,20 27:4
	circumstances 17:14
	cited 18:19 33:21
	cites 27:10
	citing 12:8
	civil 16:6 28:24 29:2 claim 18:7
	clarify 24:20
	clear 2:18 12:7 21:7
	32:8
	clearly 13:24 14:16 27:2 32:1
	client 24:8
	closed 32:13 33:14
	34:13
	closing 24:16,17,19 25:3
	Cloud 1:6 3:12 4:6 9:12
	14:18 15:24 16:1,6
	17:5,16 33:16,20
	Code 9:16 33:13,18,21
	colleague 14:24 Columbia 1:1 33:12
	comes 19:19
	comfort 23:17
	commences 27:25
	completely 13:1
	completion 15:23 compliance 15:22
	computation 22:1
	concerns 14:2 16:22
	concluded 3:5
	conclusion 3:2 32:25 conclusions 11:15,17
	12:8 13:2 32:15,21
	· -, - ·
••	

00 5 04 40
33:5 34:16
conditions 10:7 23:17
23:18,19,19
conducive 9:18 14:5
conduct 2:14,18 9:19
13:21 14:5,8,14 17:17
23:10 28:16
conductive 9:18
conjecture 11:23
connection 19:17
consider 19:5 23:5
25:13 29:25
consideration 7:22
17:8
considered 26:5
constitutes 2:13
construed 26:5
contained 4:25 6:22
11:25 12:12
contends 15:1
contention 25:4 26:9
contest 29:7,8
context 29:1,7
contexts 28:22
Control 1:2,15 33:11
conveyed 24:5
correct 7:23 11:11,12
12:3 32:17
correctly 25:17
correctly 25:17 correlated 20:9
correlated 20:9
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11 course 5:16 8:11 13:16
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11 course 5:16 8:11 13:16 31:21
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11 course 5:16 8:11 13:16 31:21 court 8:15 9:9 19:19
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11 course 5:16 8:11 13:16 31:21 court 8:15 9:9 19:19 29:10
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11 course 5:16 8:11 13:16 31:21 court 8:15 9:9 19:19
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11 course 5:16 8:11 13:16 31:21 court 8:15 9:9 19:19 29:10
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11 course 5:16 8:11 13:16 31:21 court 8:15 9:9 19:19 29:10 crammed 28:12
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11 course 5:16 8:11 13:16 31:21 court 8:15 9:9 19:19 29:10 crammed 28:12 create 6:15,17 8:16 31:17
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11 course 5:16 8:11 13:16 31:21 court 8:15 9:9 19:19 29:10 crammed 28:12 create 6:15,17 8:16 31:17 creates 30:22
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11 course 5:16 8:11 13:16 31:21 court 8:15 9:9 19:19 29:10 crammed 28:12 create 6:15,17 8:16 31:17 creates 30:22 criminal 29:7
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11 course 5:16 8:11 13:16 31:21 court 8:15 9:9 19:19 29:10 crammed 28:12 create 6:15,17 8:16 31:17 creates 30:22 criminal 29:7 Crockett 1:20 2:9 33:24
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11 course 5:16 8:11 13:16 31:21 court 8:15 9:9 19:19 29:10 crammed 28:12 create 6:15,17 8:16 31:17 creates 30:22 criminal 29:7 Crockett 1:20 2:9 33:24 33:25 34:4,5,5
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11 course 5:16 8:11 13:16 31:21 court 8:15 9:9 19:19 29:10 crammed 28:12 create 6:15,17 8:16 31:17 creates 30:22 criminal 29:7 Crockett 1:20 2:9 33:24 33:25 34:4,5,5 cross 21:17
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11 course 5:16 8:11 13:16 31:21 court 8:15 9:9 19:19 29:10 crammed 28:12 create 6:15,17 8:16 31:17 creates 30:22 criminal 29:7 Crockett 1:20 2:9 33:24 33:25 34:4,5,5 cross 21:17
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11 course 5:16 8:11 13:16 31:21 court 8:15 9:9 19:19 29:10 crammed 28:12 create 6:15,17 8:16 31:17 creates 30:22 criminal 29:7 Crockett 1:20 2:9 33:24 33:25 34:4,5,5 cross 21:17 crowd 27:17,20 28:9
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11 course 5:16 8:11 13:16 31:21 court 8:15 9:9 19:19 29:10 crammed 28:12 create 6:15,17 8:16 31:17 creates 30:22 criminal 29:7 Crockett 1:20 2:9 33:24 33:25 34:4,5,5 cross 21:17
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11 course 5:16 8:11 13:16 31:21 court 8:15 9:9 19:19 29:10 crammed 28:12 create 6:15,17 8:16 31:17 creates 30:22 criminal 29:7 Crockett 1:20 2:9 33:24 33:25 34:4,5,5 cross 21:17 crowd 27:17,20 28:9 CT 1:8 19:19
correlated 20:9 counsel 1:24 26:3 33:16 count 7:4 16:1 20:19,24 20:25 22:3 counted 5:15 10:13 16:11 29:24 30:17,18 counting 30:5,10 counts 17:21 20:23 29:11 course 5:16 8:11 13:16 31:21 court 8:15 9:9 19:19 29:10 crammed 28:12 create 6:15,17 8:16 31:17 creates 30:22 criminal 29:7 Crockett 1:20 2:9 33:24 33:25 34:4,5,5 cross 21:17 crowd 27:17,20 28:9

danger 23:8 31:2

dangerous 30:12

```
dangerousness 23:12
date 22:5,7,7
dates 22:2
days 22:16 32:21,24
DC 1:23 9:16 19:20
  33:17
DCMR-808.3 22:1
dealing 17:1 22:4
deals 23:8,11
decision 8:11,12 9:2,4
  11:5 32:23 34:15,17
deemed 13:17
deliberating 33:19
demonstrable 19:17
demonstrate 14:16
demonstrates 27:2
desire 24:21
details 7:21
determination 5:19
  9:20 12:9,11,16 16:8
  22:4 29:18
determinations 12:12
  13:10,10
determine 11:19 29:17
determined 9:10
determining 29:16
difference 21:2
different 23:6,22 24:17
direct 28:15,16
directly 11:7
Director 19:14
disabled 3:2,6
disagree 26:14
disclaiming 28:20,22
discuss 19:8
discussed 12:24 27:14
disincentives 30:22
  31:18
disorderly 9:19 13:21
  14:5,8,14,21 17:17
  18:3,14 19:8,11 26:2
  26:5,6,10 27:1 28:16
dispute 5:13 7:2,3 13:8
  14:23 20:20
District 1:1 3:24 15:1
  16:5 17:9,19 20:7
  30:13 33:3,11
District's 20:11
document 18:5 26:22
documents 9:2 18:18
  26:13
doing 7:8
Donovan 1:16,19 2:6
double 16:22
dramatically 14:3
drastic 31:17
drastically 27:18
draw 29:6
```

duck 28:8 due 31:20 32:21 Ε early 32:7 **easily** 17:15 economy 32:1 **EDWARD** 1:20 effort 10:5 either 7:14 11:7 31:18 elevate 2:22 3:14 **elevated** 3:17,18 enable 2:23.25 enabled 10:8 encourage 14:1 encouraged 19:25 engaged 9:17 engaging 28:4 ensure 9:3 ensuring 15:19 entail 15:18 entering 16:8 entirely 26:7 entirety 6:10 7:14 equals 20:12 equipment 2:25 essentially 7:17 establishment 1:10 4:8 7:18 9:14,15,17,24 10:1.7.11.12.13.22.24 12:10 13:14,20 14:20 18:2,10,16 20:4,14 21:21 23:14,20 25:6 27:17 28:2,6,9,17 29:24 establishment's 19:18 25:16 estimation 23:21 evacuate 10:12 evening 24:9 **evidence** 6:25 11:8 18:23 20:16 21:18 22:20,21 23:25 exact 31:24 examine 21:17 **excuse** 29:23 exhibit 6:22 19:24 exhibits 5:3 exit 20:3 exiting 10:13 **expand** 10:14 extension 29:25

extensive 15:17

extensively 17:11

extent 13:17 17:8

eve 7:1

	1	1	1
face 21:8	general 1:25 3:23 30:10	important 21:20 24:23	JAMES 1:21
facility 17:11	generally 28:23	25:13	jammed 13:23
fact 7:16,23 12:19 13:2	gentlemen 13:7	importantly 21:10	Jeni 1:21 2:10 34:8
14:18 18:21,22 19:5	gist 10:20	impose 25:20	jeopardy 16:22
22:20 24:8 25:8 29:3	give 6:3 8:19 21:23	imposed 23:20 25:12	joining 2:7,9
facts 4:25 5:9 6:22 7:5	23:16 34:12	inappropriate 16:21	JOSE 1:23
7:15 8:1 11:14,16,23	given 11:8 22:17	inartful 12:6,13	JR 1:19,21
11:25 13:8,11,19	giving 21:17	incident 14:25 19:15,17	judicial 24:24 32:1
14:15 18:7,15,19	Government 3:20 5:25	25:17 28:3	juncture 5:5
21:11,13 22:22,24	6:1,3,4 19:12 23:25	include 10:3	justifying 23:7
25:1,20 29:21 31:24	25:7	included 8:1 10:9	Juoyy
32:7,15,20,25 33:4	GRANDIS 1:20	including 5:2	K
34:16	guards 26:21	incorporated 5:3 6:23	K-I-F-L-U 4:15
fall 22:3	guess 5:22 6:12,14	6:24 9:23	Kat 1:6
far 30:12,12	guilt 29:10	incorrect 16:4	Kiflu 1:24 4:7,13,14,15
feature 3:9	guilty 29:12	Increase 1:11	1.21 1.7,10,11,10
fight 10:8,9,14 14:4,6	gamy zonz	increased 9:19 14:3,19	L
17:14,17 20:15 27:6	Н	18:4	Ladies 13:7
27:25 28:1,5,10	H-O-N-Y 3:24	independently 31:25	language 12:7,14
fighting 10:15 27:7	half 8:8	indicated 30:25	Lastly 28:19
28:13	handle 31:16	indicating 18:20	law 5:2 13:2 24:1 32:8
fights 20:12	handled 25:17	individuals 18:10,11	32:16,21,25 33:5
file 32:14 33:4	Hansen 1:21 2:10 34:1	27:3,7 28:1	34:16
final 17:7 22:8 34:17	34:7,8,8	inevitable 17:15	laws 21:22 23:10
find 6:13,15 8:6,17 23:1	happen 21:24 22:9,17	information 18:6,6	lawsuit 29:2
28:7	22:17	initial 11:13	lead 31:19
finding 16:7,12 17:5,19	happened 7:20 22:11	injured 14:6	leave 3:3
21:5 22:8,10,10 29:3	24:4,6 27:22 28:2	injuries 25:19	led 17:14
29:4,10	happy 7:5	insight 21:24	left-hand 20:4
findings 10:3 13:2	harm 25:18	instance 32:4	legal 5:13 7:3 11:17
22:20,24 32:15,20,24	head 28:14	instituted 15:21	12:8,23 13:1 19:4
33:4 34:16	hear 13:15	instructions 2:18	23:5,7 33:15
fine 5:5 12:21 13:4	heard 3:1 13:9 26:2,7	intends 11:8	Let's 3:20 29:24 30:1
15:21 17:10 31:3,4,6	hearing 1:8 2:17 3:7,11	interest 32:1	level 23:16
31:10,13 33:6	4:18 5:10,11 13:3	interference 10:2 15:4	levied 23:2
fined 31:9,12	15:13 16:3,15,20,21	interpretation 26:9	liability 11:18 16:3,7,8
first 3:10 15:7 16:5	16:23 18:1 22:16	intervene 10:6	16:12 17:5,19 21:9,11
31:22	29:22	intervened 14:11	22:9,11,12 23:12 24:4
first-degree 32:10	hearings 2:19 30:21	intervenes 27:15	24:6 28:21,22,24,25
following 9:21	help 6:16	intervention 26:21 27:5	29:4,5,9,13,18 31:11
forced 31:22	hey 29:14	introduce 3:20 4:1	liable 14:18 16:9
form 21:13	hide 25:5 27:19 28:8	investigation 9:10	License 1:8 3:13
format 11:3	32:5	investigative 4:25 5:2	Licensee 6:2 19:15
forth 25:14	hiding 27:16	6:8,9,21 7:7 12:12	22:15,22,23
forward 12:4	history 15:5	15:4 18:20 19:23	lieu 15:12
found 9:15 16:9 21:14	hit 28:13	25:14 27:8	lift 23:13
31:11	hitting 27:24	investigator 12:9,13,16	lifted 15:23
four 2:10,20	hold 16:21 24:15 33:14	12:17 26:18	likelihood 14:3
frames 26:7	34:13	involved 15:8	limited 11:14
freely 26:20	homicide 15:9	involves 9:9	litigation 28:24
frequently 23:16	hope 20:1	involving 27:25	little 24:17
front 31:23	hoping 25:8	issue 13:1 15:4 22:12	live 27:1
full 10:4 14:10 27:4		25:3 26:1,25 32:23	LLC 1:6 19:19
functionality 15:19		issued 15:11	located 27:21
future 28:24 29:15	identified 19:23 27:21	issues 22:8	location 20:2
FYI 2:12	identify 4:11	issuing 34:17	logic 29:12
	immediate 30:12	J	logical 29:25
 	immediately 27:16		long 7:11
II	I	I	I

look 21:23 28:21 looking 22:13 looks 7:12 Lounge 1:7 3:13 4:6 9:12 14:18 15:24 16:1 16:6 17:6 33:17,20 Lounge's 17:16

M main 7:22 making 9:2 22:10 male 9:13,14 27:12,13 **manner** 24:10 marijuana 10:4 14:10 18:15,21 26:11,19 27:3 Mark 9:10 matter 1:5 4:17,18 5:2 11:18 15:11 16:24 17:3,11 29:21 30:24 34:10,19 matters 4:19 32:2 **maximum** 10:25 mean 29:2,3,14 meaningful 7:16 means 16:11 29:5 measures 25:17 meet 2:14 13:11 meeting 1:3 33:14 34:13 **Meetings** 33:13,19,22 34:14 Member 1:19,20,20,21 1:21 33:24 34:3,5,8 members 2:8,10,12,14 **mention** 18:19 merely 12:11 31:1 met 1:16 14:17 method 9:17 microphone 2:23 microphones 3:5 minimized 25:18 minimum 25:12,21 missing 27:24 moment 2:21 motion 34:1,11 **move** 33:14 **MPD** 10:11,17,17 multiple 10:15 26:18

Ν

N-C-O 4:5 name 2:6 4:9,12,13,15 narrative 7:12 near 26:20 nearby 28:11 **nearly** 28:13 necessarily 8:24 23:18 necessary 16:20 need 2:17 6:17 8:1 needs 21:24 23:14,23 negotiated 16:25 never 16:2 26:12 night 28:17 nonfunctional 15:20 **note** 5:1 10:16 31:2 **noted** 25:18 notice 9:1 21:16 22:15 22:17,18 23:3 24:24 26:15,23 30:3 34:12 **notify** 10:17 November 1:14 number 5:14 13:11,12 13:13,16,21 14:7,25 21:8 25:10,11 numerous 10:3 14:9 **NW** 1:7

0

OAG 19:23

Objection 24:12 objections 24:19 observed 26:18 occupancy 1:11 7:18 9:19 11:22 14:19 18:3 19:10 25:6 occur 13:21 14:4 30:11 occurred 9:12 17:18 20:15 25:15 28:17 29:3,4,17 occurs 20:1 offense 32:10 offenses 30:16,18 officers 10:16 Official 33:12,18,21 **OIC** 15:12,13,24 16:9 16:15,18,25 17:3 20:21 21:8,12,15 22:18 28:20 30:4,8,25 31:3 once 2:21 25:14,15 open 12:24 26:25 33:13 33:18,22 34:14 operate 23:21 operated 14:4,7 18:2 operating 13:22 14:13 17:11 24:9 operation 9:18 15:24 19:18 opportunity 6:4 21:17 24:20,25 opposing 26:3 option 3:3

order 21:24 22:8,10

Orellana 1:23 3:14,16 overcrowded 27:18 28:3,7,10 overcrowding 20:10,12 28:15 owner 4:7

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2:1 p.m 2:2 34:20 **packed** 17:13 page 7:12 8:6,7 27:9 paid 31:10,13 paragraph 26:16 **parse** 7:14 part 8:14 13:25 18:17 27:1 parties 3:3,15 4:22 5:24 24:25 party 2:23 3:4 29:2 **passed** 34:11 **passes** 34:10 path 20:3 patron 9:13,14 26:8 27:13,13,23,25 28:4,4 patrons 7:17 10:1,3,12 10:15,22 11:1,20 14:6 14:9 26:19 27:23 **pav** 31:6 penalty 5:17 13:17 15:14 17:9,20 21:3 25:4,12,21 32:9 **people** 13:23 17:13 18:24 20:14 28:10 percent 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 period 15:3 22:3 27:19 permission 33:4 permits 14:14 permitted 11:21 person 29:8 personnel 10:5 14:11 pertinent 7:16 perverse 30:22 31:17

physical 10:9

play 30:2

plea 29:7

25:25

pleads 29:8

physically 27:13

place 15:9 16:4,15

17:17 21:25 23:1

plan 15:17 31:5,7,12

please 3:8,14,15,21 4:2

4:10,12 9:9 13:7

point 8:2 10:14 20:2

21:20 22:6 27:22

points 12:24 police 9:21 position 21:8 potential 26:4 precedent 30:14 31:16 preliminary 4:19 premises 17:18 present 1:18,22 5:25 6:1,2 11:3,8 presented 22:21,22 presiding 1:16 pretty 21:6 preventable 17:15 prevented 24:8 **previous** 28:20 30:10 primary 13:15 15:1 25:21 prior 7:3,4 22:1,16 34:16 procedural 16:14 procedure 6:20 Procedures 22:25 proceeding 11:6 12:23 34:13 proof 25:7 proper 6:20 properly 10:17 propose 8:2 proposed 13:2 32:15 32:20,24 34:15 **prove** 19:12 public 2:12 7:1 8:16 23:9 **punitive** 23:18 31:2 purely 5:13 purpose 5:9,10 14:21 18:3,14 19:8,11 26:2 26:6 27:2 28:21,23 **purposes** 1:11 16:6 20:23 30:5 33:15 pursuant 33:17 34:13 **pushed** 28:11 put 5:6,22 6:16 12:1 21:18 29:22 putting 25:7

Q

question 3:8 5:16 14:22 14:24 15:7 16:13,14 19:4 24:9 26:7,10 27:7 28:20 29:15,19 questions 3:6 5:12,13 8:4 14:2 quorum 2:11,13 quote 19:18

R-I-C-H-A-R-D 4:4

27:22 28:1

mute 3:1

Rafi 1:20 2:9 34:5 respective 14:24 **shape** 21:13 30:13 standards 13:12 raise 14:24 **Respondent** 4:5,8 21:9 shattered 28:14 re-litigate 31:23 31:6 shield 32:5 start 3:20 26:1 started 28:12 **re-open** 23:15 responsibility 17:16 Shifting 20:18 reach 30:4 responsible 19:15 **SHORT** 1:21 state 4:12 26:16 read 6:9 7:5,14 8:12,24 **Restaurant** 1:6 3:12 shortly 2:9 **stated** 32:14 reading 7:6 8:2,21 9:12 33:17,20 **shoulder** 13:24,24 statement 12:7 26:15 result 10:6 28:15 30:14 **shoved** 28:11 states 22:1 reason 24:3 show 1:7 16:3,14,20,21 statute 8:14 Retailer 1:8 **reasons** 33:21 review 9:3,21 22:3 16:23 18:1 23:24,24 **stay** 3:4 stipulate 7:19 11:16,24 rebuttal 17:22 revision 15:16,18 23:25 26:15,23 29:20 Richard 1:24 3:16 4:4 recap 13:20 29:20,22 30:3,8 31:23 **stipulated** 5:18,24 7:6 receipt 21:15 32:22 rights 2:22 3:14 received 32:24 roll 34:2 show-cause 3:11 4:18 stipulating 11:24 23:3 30:13,21 recess 34:12 rolling 10:4 14:9 26:19 stipulation 4:23,24 5:9 **showed** 10:21 record 2:4 3:21 4:2,11 room 27:24 6:7 11:9 5:1,3,7,23 6:16,17,22 roughly 11:20 **shown** 23:14,23 **stipulations** 5:6,7,8,21 6:23,25 8:3,14,17 **Ruiz** 9:10 26:18 **shows** 10:2 19:21,24 5:22 9:23 12:2 13:25 20:17 runs 20:5 side 11:8 20:5 stop 10:6 14:11 24:1,20 32:13 34:20 significant 4:23 19:4 straight 9:6 S records 24:24 21:2 23:4 27:19 Street 1:7 significantly 13:22 **strokes** 20:20 **refer** 27:8 **S** 1:20 regardless 23:9 safely 23:15,21 simply 6:9 7:2 16:17 submit 3:8 19:9 27:6 substantial 23:25 regulate 19:2 sanction 25:9 simultaneous 24:11 regulation 14:13 sardines 17:13 successful 15:23 regulations 21:23 33:4 suggest 11:6 26:3 **saying** 8:5 19:10 22:14 says 12:17,18 21:8 22:6 single 19:15 26:8 31:21 suggesting 12:10 30:15 relevant 7:15,25 15:3 sir 4:17 11:3 32:12 33:2 suggestion 7:10 31:14 **scene** 10:18 19:22 29:16 33:9 **summarize** 8:23,23,25 **scheduled** 2:20 4:18 relied 6:25 screen 20:5 Sitting 4:6 9:5 remain 3:1 5:12 second 14:22.23 15:8 situation 10:17 14:12 summarized 13:19 **remarks** 11:13 18:13 19:7 21:1 27:10 24:5 29:8 30:6 **summary** 9:9 15:10,10 remove 27:16 29:25 33:23,24 **situations** 30:16 31:17 15:13 16:16,17 17:2 report 4:25 5:2 6:8,10 seconded 34:1 smashing 10:10 20:22 21:5,16,19 23:8 smoked 18:16,21 6:21 7:7,10,21 8:2,6,6 **Secondly** 16:13 27:6 23:13 30:7,7,11,20 8:7,12,13,18,19,21,22 **seconds** 19:25 **smoking** 14:10 18:24 31:19 8:23,25,25 9:4,5,21 **section** 22:6 27:10 19:2 26:20 27:3 **Sunday** 9:11 11:16,25 12:12,18 33:13,18,22 34:14 solution 30:20 **support** 18:7 21:7 18:20 19:23 20:11 security 10:5,16 14:11 somebody 28:14 **suppose** 30:19 25:14,19 27:8 15:17,19 25:16 26:20 **sorry** 33:7 supposed 23:19 reporter 8:15 26:21 27:4,14,15,21 **speaking** 24:11 28:23 surveillance 9:22 request 17:19 32:9 31:5,7,12 specialist 2:22 **suspension** 15:10,11 requested 15:10 seeking 33:15 specifically 4:24 9:16 15:14,22 16:16,17 9:24 12:1 15:16 19:13 requesting 13:1 seeks 26:3 17:2 20:22 21:5.16.19 requests 33:3 seen 14:8 26:24 21:25 23:24 26:17 23:8,13 30:7,8 31:22 27:9 31:4 require 3:7 30:21 sending 6:14 suspensions 30:11,20 required 15:16,17,18 **sense** 7:13 specifics 15:17 31:19 sent 30:3 specifies 26:23 system 30:23 15:21 22:25 sentencing 29:11 requires 23:17 32:2 speculate 19:1,1 Т reserve 17:22 serious 30:16,17 speculation 11:22 resolution 21:4 18:22 T-E-S-F-I-T 4:14 serve 22:14 resolve 16:17 30:7,24 service 21:15 spell 4:9,11 **t/a** 1:6 31:20,22,24 32:2 spilled 28:10 talking 30:23 session 2:5 resolved 15:11,13 set 25:13 spills 28:1 technical 3:7 16:16,18,24 17:3 32:6 **Sports** 1:7 3:13 9:12 settled 16:25 term 31:3 settlement 31:21 32:3 terms 15:24 21:2 31:1 resolving 20:21 33:17,20 **severe** 30:12 31:18 staff 1:23 26:21 **Tesfit** 1:24 4:7,14 respect 21:18 respectfully 26:14 32:9 32:4 standard 23:5,7,22 **Thank** 4:17 13:6 17:22

	•	•
17:24 25:22,25 32:11	13:14,16 20:19 22:23	1B 1:8
32:12 34:17		16 1.0
	30:5,10,14 31:5,7,18	2
Thanks 25:23	32:5,8,10	<u> </u>
third 15:1 17:2,7,20	violence 19:16	2 14:19 27:9
19:20 20:25 26:16	visible 13:24	2-575 33:13
31:3 32:10	vote 34:2	2-575(b)(13) 33:22
three 2:8,12,13 13:10	-	2-575(b)(4)(A) 33:18
13:16 15:3 32:19	W	2,000 15:21 31:4,7
thrown 10:10 28:13	W 1:16,19	2:08 27:12
throws 27:23	waived 22:18	2:25 34:20
tier 13:15 15:2 25:21	walk-through 15:22	20-CIT-00310 15:5
tightly 28:12	walking 20:3	2022 1:14 9:11
timeframe 26:25	wall 10:10 27:24 28:15	2022- CMP- 0007 20:22
today 3:24 13:8 17:25	watch 14:1 20:1	213A 19:20
today's 13:3 20:23 21:1	way 12:4 14:4,8,14 20:9	22- 33:19
tragedy 24:6,7	21:13 24:7,8	22-251-00014 1:9 3:12
transcript 32:19,22	ways 13:21	33:16
trying 6:13,15 8:15 25:5	WebEx 1:16	22-251-0007 15:6
two 13:10,13,21 14:7	Wednesday 1:13	23 19:25
18:1,3,8 25:11 27:7	weeks 32:19	23- 21:25
32:10	welcome 2:5	23-DCMR-611.1 22:14
type 8:16 31:16	went 34:20	25-762-V1 9:16
typically 7:11	witnesses 21:18	25-823-A2 9:16
Lypically 7:11	word 6:5	251-00014 33:20
U	words 19:14	2575 34:14
unable 27:15	write 8:10	2E 19:24
underlying 15:14,15	written 22:8,10	
16:19 17:4 18:6	wrong 7:23 12:3	3
understand 6:7		30 22:16 32:21
understanding 4:22	X	31422 15:8
6:20		3rd 9:11
understood 2:19	ΥΥ	
underway 2:16	years 15:3	4
		4-0-0 34·10
unimpeded 20:3	yesterday 12:25	4-0-0 34:10
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25		40 9:25 10:23 13:23
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11	yesterday 12:25	40 9:25 10:23 13:23
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9 V vacated 10:11	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9 V vacated 10:11	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24 007 30:24 1	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6 605-DC-2019 19:20
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24 007 30:24 1 1:30 26:17	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6 605-DC-2019 19:20
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9 V vacated 10:11 verbally 6:24 7:2 verdict 29:12 versus 19:20 victim 20:2	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24 007 30:24 1 1:30 26:17 1:33 26:24	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6 605-DC-2019 19:20 7 7 26:24
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9 V vacated 10:11 verbally 6:24 7:2 verdict 29:12 versus 19:20 victim 20:2 video 9:22 10:1,13,21	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24 007 30:24 1 1:30 26:17 1:33 26:24 1:34 2:2	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6 605-DC-2019 19:20
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9 V vacated 10:11 verbally 6:24 7:2 verdict 29:12 versus 19:20 victim 20:2 video 9:22 10:1,13,21 13:25 14:9 18:24	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24 007 30:24 1 1:30 26:17 1:33 26:24 1:34 2:2 1:50 26:17,25 27:12	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6 605-DC-2019 19:20 7 7 26:24
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9 V vacated 10:11 verbally 6:24 7:2 verdict 29:12 versus 19:20 victim 20:2 video 9:22 10:1,13,21 13:25 14:9 18:24 19:21,22 20:9 27:2,11	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24 007 30:24 1 1:30 26:17 1:33 26:24 1:34 2:2 1:50 26:17,25 27:12 1:54 19:24	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6 605-DC-2019 19:20 7 7 26:24 8
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9 V vacated 10:11 verbally 6:24 7:2 verdict 29:12 versus 19:20 victim 20:2 video 9:22 10:1,13,21 13:25 14:9 18:24 19:21,22 20:9 27:2,11 videoconference 1:16	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24 007 30:24 1:30 26:17 1:33 26:24 1:34 2:2 1:50 26:17,25 27:12 1:54 19:24 10 19:24 27:12	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6 605-DC-2019 19:20 7 7 26:24 8 9 9 1:14
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9 V vacated 10:11 verbally 6:24 7:2 verdict 29:12 versus 19:20 victim 20:2 video 9:22 10:1,13,21 13:25 14:9 18:24 19:21,22 20:9 27:2,11 videoconference 1:16 view 10:4 14:10 27:4	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24 007 30:24 1:30 26:17 1:33 26:24 1:34 2:2 1:50 26:17,25 27:12 1:54 19:24 10 19:24 27:12 1215 19:19	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6 605-DC-2019 19:20 7 7 26:24 8 9 9 1:14 90 32:23
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9 V vacated 10:11 verbally 6:24 7:2 verdict 29:12 versus 19:20 victim 20:2 video 9:22 10:1,13,21 13:25 14:9 18:24 19:21,22 20:9 27:2,11 videoconference 1:16 view 10:4 14:10 27:4 violated 24:1 31:12	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24 007 30:24 1:30 26:17 1:33 26:24 1:34 2:2 1:50 26:17,25 27:12 1:54 19:24 10 19:24 27:12 1215 19:19 122 7:19 11:1,21 18:11	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6 605-DC-2019 19:20 7 7 26:24 8 9 9 1:14 90 32:23 93572 1:8 3:13
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9 V vacated 10:11 verbally 6:24 7:2 verdict 29:12 versus 19:20 victim 20:2 video 9:22 10:1,13,21 13:25 14:9 18:24 19:21,22 20:9 27:2,11 videoconference 1:16 view 10:4 14:10 27:4 violated 24:1 31:12 violation 9:15 14:13,25	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24 007 30:24 1:30 26:17 1:33 26:24 1:34 2:2 1:50 26:17,25 27:12 1:54 19:24 10 19:24 27:12 1215 19:19 122 7:19 11:1,21 18:11 13 26:24 27:12	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6 605-DC-2019 19:20 7 7 26:24 8 9 9 1:14 90 32:23
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24 007 30:24 1:30 26:17 1:33 26:24 1:34 2:2 1:50 26:17,25 27:12 1:54 19:24 10 19:24 27:12 1215 19:19 122 7:19 11:1,21 18:11	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6 605-DC-2019 19:20 7 7 26:24 8 9 9 1:14 90 32:23 93572 1:8 3:13
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9 V vacated 10:11 verbally 6:24 7:2 verdict 29:12 versus 19:20 victim 20:2 video 9:22 10:1,13,21 13:25 14:9 18:24 19:21,22 20:9 27:2,11 videoconference 1:16 view 10:4 14:10 27:4 violated 24:1 31:12 violation 9:15 14:13,25	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24 007 30:24 1:30 26:17 1:33 26:24 1:34 2:2 1:50 26:17,25 27:12 1:54 19:24 10 19:24 27:12 1215 19:19 122 7:19 11:1,21 18:11 13 26:24 27:12	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6 605-DC-2019 19:20 7 7 26:24 8 9 9 1:14 90 32:23 93572 1:8 3:13
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24 007 30:24 1:30 26:17 1:33 26:24 1:34 2:2 1:50 26:17,25 27:12 1:54 19:24 10 19:24 27:12 1215 19:19 122 7:19 11:1,21 18:11 13 26:24 27:12 1611.4 22:19 170 7:17 10:1,22 11:20	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6 605-DC-2019 19:20 7 7 26:24 8 9 9 1:14 90 32:23 93572 1:8 3:13
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24 007 30:24 1 1:30 26:17 1:33 26:24 1:34 2:2 1:50 26:17,25 27:12 1:54 19:24 10 19:24 27:12 1215 19:19 122 7:19 11:1,21 18:11 13 26:24 27:12 1611.4 22:19 170 7:17 10:1,22 11:20 11:20 18:9	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6 605-DC-2019 19:20 7 7 26:24 8 9 9 1:14 90 32:23 93572 1:8 3:13
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24 007 30:24 1 1:30 26:17 1:33 26:24 1:34 2:2 1:50 26:17,25 27:12 1:54 19:24 10 19:24 27:12 1215 19:19 122 7:19 11:1,21 18:11 13 26:24 27:12 1611.4 22:19 170 7:17 10:1,22 11:20 11:20 18:9 173 10:12 11:1 18:9	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6 605-DC-2019 19:20 7 7 26:24 8 9 9 1:14 90 32:23 93572 1:8 3:13
unimpeded 20:3 universal 16:18 30:25 32:3 Unlawful 1:11 unruly 27:23 use 29:14 30:9	yesterday 12:25 yield 30:15 Z 0 00007 15:6 0007 21:21 23:2 24:24 007 30:24 1 1:30 26:17 1:33 26:24 1:34 2:2 1:50 26:17,25 27:12 1:54 19:24 10 19:24 27:12 1215 19:19 122 7:19 11:1,21 18:11 13 26:24 27:12 1611.4 22:19 170 7:17 10:1,22 11:20 11:20 18:9	40 9:25 10:23 13:23 17:12 4B 22:6 5 6 605-DC-2019 19:20 7 7 26:24 8 9 9 1:14 90 32:23 93572 1:8 3:13

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Cloud Restaurant

Before: DC ABRA

Date: 11-09-22

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &