DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA + + + + +ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD + + + + + MEETING -----= IN THE MATTER OF: : : Voyager 888, LLC : t/a Assets : 1805 Connecticut Ave NW : Protest Retailer CN - ANC 2B : Hearing License No. 113585 : Case #19-251-00157 : : (Allowed Establishment : to be Used for Unlawful : Purposes, Failed to : Follow Security Plan) : -----= Wednesday November 2, 2022 The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board met via WebEx videoconference, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson presiding. **PRESENT:** DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson BOBBY CATO, JR., Member EDWARD S. GRANDIS, Member JENI HANSEN, Member ALSO PRESENT: JOSE ORELLANA, DC ABRA Staff JEFFREY SCHAEFFER, Applicant RICHARD BIANCO, Applicant Counsel STEPHEN ORTIZ, Assistant Attorney General INVESTIGATOR GEORGE GARCIA

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

т	ABLE OF	CONTEN	ITS	
OPENING STATEMENT:				
Government, page 7				
Applicant (open/clos	se), pag	je 65		
WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
George Garcia	9	40	62	64
EXHIBIT				ID REC
GEX2 Video, Octobe:	r 19, 20	)19		30
GEX2 Video, Octobe:	r 19, 20	)19		37
CLOSING STATEMENT:				
Government, page 70				
Applicant (open/clos	se), pag	je 65		

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	1:44 p.m.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The next case
4	on our calendar is case number 19-251-00157,
5	Assets, License No. 113585. Mr. Orellana, can
6	you please have the parties for this case
7	available to testify, please.
8	MR. ORELLANA: Richard Bianco, your
9	access has been elevated. Jeffrey Schaeffer,
10	your access has been elevated. Stephen Ortiz,
11	your access has been elevated. George Garcia,
12	your access has been elevated. That is all,
13	Chairman.
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you very
15	much. Good afternoon, everyone. Mr. Ortiz, can
16	you please introduce yourself for the record,
17	please?
18	MR. ORTIZ: Good afternoon. Stephen
19	Ortiz on behalf of the District of Columbia. S-
20	T-E-P-H-E-N O-R-T-I-Z.
21	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon,
22	Mr. Ortiz. Mr. Ortiz, as this matter goes to a
23	hearing, would the Government be calling any
24	witness, and, if so, who is that witness?
25	MR. ORTIZ: Yes. The Government will

be calling one witness, the ABRA investigator, 1 2 George Garcia. 3 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Garcia, can 4 you please identify yourself for the record, 5 please? MR. GARCIA: G-E-O-R-G-E G-A-R-C-I-A. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco, can 8 you please identify yourself for the record, 9 please? 10 MR. BIANCO: Yes, Mr. Chair, good 11 afternoon. Richard Bianco on behalf of the 12 licensee. My name is spelled R-I-C-H-A-R-D B-I-A-N-C-O. With me I have the owner of the 13 14 establishment, Jeff Schaeffer, and I will allow 15 him to introduce himself at this point. MR. SCHAEFFER: Good afternoon. 16 Jeff Schaeffer, J-E-F-F, last name Schaeffer, S-C-H-A-17 18 E-F-F-E-R. 19 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You are the 20 owner of the establishment, sir? 21 MR. SCHAEFFER: Yes, sir. 22 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. 23 This matter is scheduled for show cause hearing. 24 Mr. Ortiz, are there any preliminary matters in 25 this case?

MR. ORTIZ: Petitioners would just
like to know what witnesses opposing counsel will
be calling in today's hearing. Posing counsel
filed untimely his witness list. In that list it
indicates at least roughly 14 potential witnesses
and the District would like to know which, if
any, of the witnesses opposing counsel shall be
calling. And if so, what their proffer will be.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco, do
you plan to call any witnesses today, sir?
MR. BIANCO: Definitely not 14. So,
my plan in my case in chief is to call Mr.
Schaeffer only. The other witnesses names were
individuals identified in the report, and I
reserve the right to call them for impeachment or
rebuttal purposes if needed, but I do not expect
to have to do that.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Is that
satisfactory to you, sir?
MR. ORTIZ: Yes, Mr. Chairperson.
Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So,
this matter is scheduled for a show cause hearing
today, and the Government goes first. The
process is that the Government will give an

opening statement, then the licensee can either 1 2 give an opening statement at this juncture or 3 reserve their right once the present their case 4 and once they have their opening statement. The 5 Government goes first, present its case, call its first witness, cross examination. The Board will 6 7 have an opportunity to cross examine, to ask questions of the witness. Once that's done then 8 9 the licensee will present its case, and the Government can cross examine, the Board can cross 10 11 We will document the evidence. We have examine. 12 closing. And then, unless the findings decide to do a findings of fact and conclusion of law, then 13 the Board will deliberate on the matter. 14 15 Mr. Ortiz, do you wish to make an 16 opening statement, sir? 17 Yes, I do, at this time. MR. ORTIZ: 18 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sir, before, 19 are there any other preliminary matters we need to address before we start? 20 21 MR. ORTIZ: Not from the District of 22 Columbia. 23 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. And Mr. Bianco said no. 24 So, Mr. Ortiz. 25 OPENING STATEMENT OF STEPHEN ORTIZ, AAG

MR. ORTIZ: Good afternoon, Board 1 We are here today in the show cause 2 members. 3 matters of Assets, an establishment located at 1805 Connecticut Avenue NW in Washington, D.C. 4 5 The show cause notice issued in this matter concerns the charges that the licensee, one, 6 7 allowed the licensed establishment to be used for unlawful or disorderly purpose in violation of DC 8 9 Code Section 25-823 A2, and charge two, that the establishment violated the terms of its Board 10 11 approved security plan in violation of DC Code 12 Section 25-823 A6. 13 The evidence will show that on October 14 19, 2019 an altercation occurred between Assets 15 security staff and a patron. The evidence will further show that the establishment engaged in a 16 17 method of operation that was conducive to unlawful or disorderly conduct. The evidence 18 19 will also show that the establishment failed to 20 follow security plan by using excessive force on 21 a patron, failure to contact police, and failing 22 to create an incident report log. 23 The District will be calling one 24 witness, ABRA investigator George Garcia. Thank 25 you.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. 2 Bianco, do you wish to make an opening statement 3 at this time? 4 MR. BIANCO: Reserve for presentation 5 of our case in chief, if that's okay. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Does the Government wish to call its first 8 9 witness? MR. ORTIZ: The Government wishes to 10 11 call its first witness, ABRA investigator, George 12 Garcia. 13 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Garcia, can 14 you raise your right hand, please? Do you swear 15 or affirm to tell the truth and nothing but the truth? 16 17 (No audible response.) MR. GARCIA: CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. 18 19 Thank you. Your witness, sir. DIRECT EXAMINATION OF GEORGE GARCIA 20 21 BY MR. ORTIZ: 22 Q Investigator Garcia, where are you 23 currently employed? At the Alcoholic Beverage Regulation 24 Α Administration. 25

1 0 What is your position? 2 Α Criminal investigator. 3 0 What are your duties and responsibilities as an investigator? 4 5 Α Conduct investigations and inspections 6 of ABC licensed establishments within the 7 District of Columbia. And how long have you been an 8 0 investigator? 9 10 Α Three years. 11 Are you familiar with an establishment 0 12 called Assets? 13 I am. Α How are you familiar with this 14 Q 15 establishment? I conducted an inspection along with 16 Α 17 an investigation of the establishment. When did you conduct this 18 Q investigation? 19 October 19, 2019. 20 Α 21 0 Did you create a case report? 22 Α I did. 23 Now I'm going to direct you to a Q 24 document marked as Government Exhibit 1 for identification. 25

1	MR. BIANCO: I'd like to make an
2	objection as to the case report at this time.
3	I'd ask you to indulge me for a minute while I
4	make my record. Is that all right?
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr.
6	Bianco.
7	MR. BIANCO: With the understanding of
8	how the Board typically rules on these matters, I
9	would like to make my record. Generally, case
10	reports and police reports are hearsay, but
11	admissible as public or business records.
12	However, the hearsay within the reports must
13	either fit an exception or be "reliable"
14	according to the administrative procedures act;
15	here it is not. The report contains multiple
16	hearsay where the investigator is quoting what a
17	third party told him about what a fourth party
18	said. That is not reliable inherently,
19	especially as here where the purported eye
20	witness is not here to be cross examined. The
21	incident took place three plus years ago, and the
22	witness, the purported victim, has a direct
23	interest in the statements that he made. So, my
24	objection is that any hearsay be struck from the
25	document, especially multiple hearsay where we're

three or four steps down the road. Thank you. 1 2 MR. ORTIZ: The hearsay is admissible 3 in these proceedings and, therefore, should be Regarding any other objections, at this 4 allowed. 5 time I would state that counsel can make that objection if it comes into play with the 6 7 investigators testimony. I think it's too early 8 to make a ruling on that objection, that he 9 alleges hearsay upon hearsay. 10 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: As you know, 11 this is an administrative hearing, and the 12 hearsay rule in administrative hearings are not 13 as strict as it is in a court of law. Secondly, 14 this is a case report. The case report is a 15 matter of the agency's records. Certainly Mr. 16 Garcia as the investigator spoke to the witnesses 17 in this case, and so you'll also have an 18 opportunity to cross examine the investigator 19 regarding the facts and conclusions that are made in his reports. On that, I will overrule the 20 21 objection. I'll overrule the objection at this 22 juncture and allow the witness to testify, but 23 you have created a record, Mr. Bianco. Let's move on with the first witness. 24 25 MR. ORTIZ: Court's indulgence.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I want to make 1 2 sure that everyone has, with the exception of Mr. 3 Ortiz and the witness is going to (No audible response.) and Mr. Garcia, I'm asking that 4 5 everyone has put their line on mute. I'm getting 6 some feedback, and I'm not sure exactly where its 7 coming from. 8 BY MR. ORTIZ: 9 0 Mr. Garcia, I'm directing you to a document marked as Government Exhibit 1 for 10 11 identification. Do you recognize, and I'm going 12 to scroll down on this and I'm going to ask you 13 if you recognize it. Do you recognize this document? 14 15 Α I do. 16 0 And what is it? 17 It's my investigative case report. Α 18 Okay. And why did you create this 0 19 report? I created the report to document the 20 Α 21 incident that took place at Assets. 22 Q What is the date on this report? 23 October 19, 2019. Α 24 Q Did you attach any exhibits to this 25 report?

I did. 1 Α Having viewed this document almost in 2 0 3 its entirety, is this a fair and accurate 4 representation of the case report? 5 Α It is. 0 That you created for Assets on October 6 7 19, 2019? 8 Α That's correct. 9 MR. ORTIZ: At this time, Mr. Chairperson, the Government would move Government 10 11 Exhibit 1, the case report, into evidence, 12 understanding its already part of the evidence, 13 but it's just for the record. Yes, sir. 14 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 15 Noted for the record, Mr. Bianco. BY MR. ORTIZ: 16 17 Can you describe the events that led 0 18 to the investigation of Assets? 19 Α My supervisor, my office was notified that an incident took place by MPD with a 251 20 21 Investigation, and we were provided with that 251 22 report, and from there that initiated the 23 investigation. All right. Can you describe more of 24 0 25 the events that occurred after you started your

1 investigation of Assets? After starting the investigation? 2 Α 3 0 Yes. After receiving that. After receiving the 251 report and the 4 Α 5 notification, we just received information that 6 the establishment had an assault take place, and 7 they needed someone to determine what occurred during this incident when the incident took 8 9 place. What were the facts surrounding this 10 0 11 alleged assault? 12 Α The facts on the 251 or the facts that 13 I determined? The facts about the case that are 14 0 15 found in your case report. So, I did find that the establishment 16 Α 17 was in violation of their security plan. And I also did find two violations within their 18 19 security plan that occurred on that incident. What exactly did you observe? 20 0 21 Α That the establishment failed to 22 document any incident on their incident log 23 report or maintain their log reports within the 24 establishment. And they also failed alert MPD 25 during any incident or altercation that took

1 place within the establishment. Can you please describe the incident 2 0 3 that you're indicating? So, basically, after reviewing the 4 Α 5 investigation and the video footage and observed 6 that an assault took place with a patron at the establishment and one of the members of the 7 security staff. 8 9 Q Did the establishment have security guards working on October 19, 2019? 10 11 They did. Α As part of your investigation, did you 12 0 13 speak to any of the security guards? I did. 14 Α 15 Did you speak to a security guard by 0 16 the name of Anthony Mays? 17 I did. Α What did he inform? 18 Q 19 Α He did inform me that the victim was taken out of the establishment because he was 20 21 stealing money from strippers. So, he was taken 22 out of the establishment, and while he was being 23 taken out of the establishment that the victim dropped a phone, and Mr. Mays went to pick it up 24 25 and give it back to the victim. The victim

assaulted him in the face and snatched his chain 1 2 and ran away. 3 Q After the victim ran away, what, if 4 anything, did Mr. Mays do? 5 Mr. Mays advised me that he chased Α after the victim in order to obtain his chain 6 7 back. Did you ask him if MPD was called? 8 Q 9 Α I did. What did he say? 10 Q 11 Α He replied no. 12 Did you also interview the Head of Q 13 Security, Mr. Saheener? I did. 14 Α 15 Did you ask him if MPD was called? 0 I did. 16 Α 17 What did he say? Q 18 Α He also replied no. 19 Q when you interviewed Mr. Saheener, what did he indicate, if anything, regarding the 20 21 assault that took place? 22 He advised me that he didn't know of Α 23 the assault that took place, and he also advised 24 me that none of his security staff was involved in the matter. 25

1 You're saying now that he indicated 0 that none of the security staff was, strike that. 2 3 Did you also interview Mr. Saheener on November 17, 2019? 4 5 Α Yes. 0 And did he indicate, on November 17, 6 7 did he indicate whether any of the security staff was part of the group of men in the altercation? 8 9 Α Yes. On November 17, when I reinterviewed the head of security, he did advise 10 11 me that two of his security men were involved I 12 the altercation. 13 Thank you. Did you ask Mr. Saheener 0 whether the incident was entered into the 14 15 incident report log? I did. 16 Α 17 What did he say? 0 18 Α He replied no. 19 0 Okay. Now, regarding the allegations made by the security staff, specifically that the 20 21 victim snatched a chain from a security guard's 22 neck, did you find any evidence to corroborate 23 that? I did not. 24 Α 25 Q As part of your investigation, did you

review surveillance footage videos? 1 I did. 2 Α 3 0 I'm going to show you what has been marked as Government Exhibit 2 for 4 5 identification. MR. BIANCO: If we could stop here for 6 7 Mr. Chair, I'm going to interpose an a moment. objection as to the video evidence again. 8 I will 9 ask your indulgence for a brief moment while I articulate it. The evidence has been, the two 10 11 videos that the Government intends to show have 12 been previously presented to us per the rules, and I've had the opportunity to review them. 13 14 Although, in the case report there are specific 15 time stamps as to time of day referenced and date The video being proffered by the 16 stamps. Government in this hearing contains no time 17 stamps and no date stamps, so there is no way to 18 19 ascertain when the actual video is from or what times or how the two videos correspond to one 20 21 another. This is a violation, not of the hearsay 22 rule, which is liberally construed, but of the 23 best evidence rule. The video is not admissible. In this proceeding we produced video that was 24 25 appropriately time and date stamped, and for

whatever reason, perhaps because three years has 1 elapsed, the Government is not presenting that in 2 3 this hearing today. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Ortiz. 5 MR. ORTIZ: Yes, the video is the same video. This video was provided by Assets. 6 Now, 7 the time stamp is not an actual time stamp, it's the name of a file. 8 So, when I provided this 9 exhibit to the Board and opposing counsel, I named it Exhibit 2. So, the name of the file 10 11 changed on my end. Now, the witness is going to 12 testify that this video is the video that he 13 observed and noted in the case report with the 14 time stamp, not time stamp, with the name of the 15 file. Now, if opposing counsel would like me to provide the exact video with the name of the 16 17 file, which will give you the time stamp, I'm willing to do so. But my witness will testify 18 19 that this is the same video he refers to in the 20 case report that was provided by Assets. 21 MR. BIANCO: If I may on that issue, 22 looking at the case report, the case report 23 specifically states that the investigator

25

24

reviewed the camera and an incident began at 2:02

a.m. and 31 seconds and then something occurred

at 2:05, 2:06, et cetera. And those time stamps and date stamps are not on the video that's being proffered. So, I think their biggest problem is the (No audible response.) to correspond those two videos as to time, not to mention the authentication and best evidence rule issues that they have with this video. The case report has been admitted, there is in the case report specific time stamps referenced. I think if he wants to testify about that, we've objected to it already, the Board can consider that, but the actual showing of the video, we would consider inappropriate based on the deficiencies of the evidence.

15 MR. ORTIZ: The case report is already introduced into evidence along with exhibits, and 16 17 exhibits is the part of the case report. The time is not at issue in this case, it's what 18 19 occurred, and the video will show what occurred, this altercation. Opposing counsel does not 20 21 mention that this is not a video taken from the 22 establishment. The concern here is the time 23 here, and the time is not relevant to these 24 proceedings.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't know

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

what this video, and I'm hearing that this is a 1 video from the establishment. I mean, of course 2 3 the establishment would have an opportunity to cross examine the witness regarding the video. 4 5 So, I'm going to overrule the objection. We can address this issue if -- I don't know why this 6 7 video is here. If the times are appropriate and there's no time stamp then I would be concerned, 8 but let's see where the evidence takes us. 9 Ι 10 know you're a learned attorney, Ms. Bianco, that 11 you will do vigorous cross examination of the 12 witness who is going to testify about this video. 13 MR. BIANCO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. BY MR. ORTIZ: 14 15 0 Investigator Garcia, do you recognize this video? 16 17 I do. Α And what is it? 18 Q 19 Α It's video footage that I obtained from the establishment. 20 21 And what date is this video depicting? 0 22 October 19, 2019. Α 23 How do you know? Q Because it's the date that I obtained 24 Α 25 the video footage.

Is this is a true and accurate 1 0 2 recording of the scene? 3 Α It is. Does it fairly and accurately depict 4 0 5 the scene as it appeared on October 19, 2019? 6 Α It does. 7 Besides the name change of the file, 0 8 has there been any edits, changes, additions, or 9 deletions to the recording? 10 Α No. 11 MR. ORTIZ: At this time the 12 Government moves Exhibit 2 as this video from 13 inside the establishment on October 19, 2019 into evidence. 14 15 MR. BIANCO: We object for lack of foundation at this point. We don't think it's 16 17 admissible at all, but certainly not now, 18 especially based on the case report which 19 indicates that the investigator was not even in the establishment on October 19 and has no basis 20 21 to testify about what it looked like or did not 22 look like on that date. His investigation didn't 23 even begin until November 2. 24 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I guess the 25 problem I'm having, I don't know what it is, I

1 mean, I think, Mr. Ortiz, maybe we need to see more of the video to have the witness testify 2 3 about what's occurring here Because I don't even know why this video is being proffered. 4 I mean, 5 unless you can provide some more background, I mean, let's see the video, maybe the witness can 6 talk about the video, but I'm not quite sure what 7 is it that this video is supposed to provide the 8 9 Board. 10 MR. ORTIZ: Understood. 11 By MR. ORTIZ: 12 0 Investigator Garcia, who provided you 13 with this video? If I'm not mistaken, it was the ABC 14 Α 15 manager or the owner himself. Okay. Do you have any doubt that this 16 0 17 video is from Assets and taken from October 19, 2019. 18 19 Α You said do I have any doubt? 20 0 Yes. 21 No, Because it's what I requested. Α 22 Okay. And is this the same video that Q 23 you took notes on in your case report? It is. 24 Α 25 Q And what is this video going to

depict?

1 It's going to show the victim that was 2 Α 3 taken out of the establishment for stealing the 4 stripper's money. 5 Thank you. So, you've viewed 0 Okay. this as far as your investigation? 6 7 Α I have. 8 MR. ORTIZ: The Government again moves 9 Exhibit 2 into evidence. 10 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Ortiz, the 11 problem I'm having, why don't you play the video? 12 I don't know what this is, I don't know, that's 13 the problem that I'm having, and I think that's 14 the problem, at least that's what's been raised, 15 I don't know what this video is. So, maybe, it appears that this video is how long? 16 17 MR. ORTIZ: It's 30 minutes long, but 18 I'm only going to show about one minute in total. 19 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, show the 20 video, have the witness testify by the video, and 21 then we can make a determination whether or not, 22 Because as you said, a 30 minute video, I don't 23 know what's on this video that I'm going to put into evidence. 24 25 MR. ORTIZ: Understood.

I	25
1	By MR. ORTIZ:
2	Q Investigator Garcia, can you please
3	describe what you observe once I hit play.
4	A So, right here is the head of security
5	removing the victim from the establishment, and
6	along side him is another member of the security
7	staff.
8	Q All right. I'm just going to rewind
9	a little bit just so you can describe this
10	patron, the clothing he's wearing.
11	A The patron is wearing a jean jacket,
12	white or black Nike Dunks, black belt, blue
13	jeans.
14	MR. ORTIZ: Mr. Chairperson, at this
15	time that was the one snippet of the video I was
16	going to show, and I would like to move this into
17	evidence.
18	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco?
19	MR. BIANCO: Same objection.
20	MR. ORTIZ: I would argue one again
21	that the case report and its attachments are part
22	of the record and therefore this video, which is
23	stated also in the case report should be entered
24	in as an exhibit as well.
25	MR. BIANCO: I'm looking in the case
1	n

report, is there? 1 2 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm looking at 3 the case report myself. 4 MR. ORTIZ: Maybe if I ask my witness 5 one more question. By MR. ORTIZ: 6 7 Investigator Garcia, what was the name Q of the file you used in this report for this 8 video? 9 So, that is CH12\_20191019. 10 Α 11 Thank you. Now, when you indicated 0 12 the time in your case report, how did you 13 determine, strike that. I'd like to move this exhibit into evidence. 14 15 MR. BIANCO: I'm looking at the case report, and I see where it lists exhibits 16 17 It says Exhibit 8, video camera attached. I don't see anything attached 18 footage. 19 indicating what video camera footage. Is this 20 the video that is Exhibit 8 to the case report, or is this some other video? I mean, I suppose 21 22 that goes to my objection, but I guess I'm trying 23 to figure out based on Mr. Ortiz's response to my objection where this is part of the report. 24 25 MR. ORTIZ: Exhibit A says video

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

camera footage, so this is probably the video 1 2 footage that was provided by Assets to the 3 investigator, which Assets had as part of the record Because they provided it to the ABRA 4 5 investigator. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm waiting for 6 7 you, Mr. Bianco. Oh, Mr. Ortiz's 8 MR. BIANCO: 9 explanation that it's probably what was provided by Assets, I think it was to the heart of my 10 11 There are two videos he intends to objection. 12 show, one of them is identified as Exhibit 8, 13 video camera footage, there's no indication in 14 the report whether it's this video or some other 15 video. There are two that he intends to show, I think, we don't know which is which, we don't 16 17 know how to correlate the two, again, there's no time or date stamps, and this was three years 18 19 ago. So, saying it was probably what Assets 20 provide, I think, underscores the problem in this 21 case. 22 MR. ORTIZ: The owner of Assets is 23 If he wants to indicate whether or not here. that's his establishment then he can proffer us 24 25 to that, but this was what was provided and the

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

investigator testified, this is what was provided 1 2 by Assets, and he viewed it based on this 3 investigation that took place. 4 MR. BIANCO: I'm a hundred percent 5 sure that the owner of Assets cannot identify a video snippet that he did or did not provide 6 7 three years ago. But he's here. It's the 8 Government's burden, it's their case in chief, 9 and they have to show the appropriate 10 admissibility of the document. Seems that they 11 can't do that. 12 MR. ORTIZ: Again, the investigator 13 did testify that this video is the video 14 pertaining to the case report indicates, 15 CH12 20191019. 16 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm looking at 17 the case report, and the case report says Exhibit 18 8 is the video camera footage that was provided 19 by Assets. Now, is there a dispute that this is not a video of Assets? 20 21 MR. BIANCO: Having been to the 22 premises, it appears to be a video of Assets. 23 Exactly when or why it's being proffered, I'm 24 sorry, exactly when, that I can't speak to, and I 25 think the Government can't either, and that's the problem that they have here. They've alleged a specific incident, on a specific day, at a specific time and can't draw that straight line here based on the evidence that's on the record. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON:

sure what the purpose of why this document has 6 7 been introduced into evidence, but where we are 8 is that it's been identified as part of the case 9 report, and the investigator has testified that 10 this is one of the videos that was provided to 11 him by the establishment. I'm going to overrule 12 the objection, however, I'm not sure, maybe at 13 some point the Government will tell us what it is 14 that this, if they're going to show us more of 15 the video, and what it is that it is supposed to 16 represent. It's a video, but because it's a part 17 of the case report, Because it's a part of our 18 records I'm going to admit it, but at this 19 juncture I'm not quite sure the probative value of it to the Board unless we are shown later on 20 21 what the relevance is of this document. I will 22 overrule the objection Because it's part of the 23 case report and by law the case report is 24 automatically part of the administrative record. 25 So, let's move on.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

I'm not quite

I	30
1	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
2	document was marked as Government's Exhibit 2 for
3	identification.)
4	By MR. ORTIZ:
5	Q I'm going to show you Exhibit 2, once
6	again, since it's already admitted into evidence,
7	Investigator Garcia. I'm going to fast forward
8	it so that you can inform the Board what you're
9	observing here. I'm going to fast forward
10	Government Exhibit 2 to 7 minutes and 40 seconds
11	on the video time. Can you describe what you see
12	now? I'm going to pause it. Please describe to
13	the Board what you observe.
14	A It looks like a member of the security
15	staff is entering the establishment with a jacket
16	and black and white Nike Dunks.
17	Q Who do you believe that those articles
18	of clothing belong to?
19	A It seems to be items of the victim
20	that was removed from the establishment.
21	Q Thank you. Moving forward now, you
22	indicted earlier that the name of the file was
23	CH20191019, correct?
24	A CH12_20191019.
25	Q Thank you. And can you describe to
	··

1 the Board what those numbers mean, if anything? CH12 is the camera that was provided 2 Α 3 to me, underscore, and 20191019 is the date. Original style originally came with the time 4 5 stamp behind it, behind the title of the file as well. 6 7 Q Okay. So, what would be the date of this video based on the numbers? 8 This one would be underscore 200 9 Α underscore 0200. 10 11 I'm sorry, what was the date? 0 12 Α The date was 20191019. 13 What date would that be? 0 October 19, 2019. 14 Α 15 Thank you. Now, I'm going to show you 0 now what has been marked as Government Exhibit 3 16 17 for identification purposes, which is a video as part of your exhibits as well. All right. 18 Do 19 you recognize it? I do. 20 Α 21 What is it? 0 22 Α It's camera footage that I obtained 23 from the establishment. And you reviewed this as far as your 24 0 25 investigation?

1 Α Yes. What was the date of this recording? 2 0 October 19, 2019. 3 Α Thank you. Does this fairly and 4 Q 5 accurately depict the scenes as it appeared on 6 October 19, 2019? 7 Α It appears to be. 8 0 Is this is a true and accurate 9 recording of the scene? 10 Α Yes. 11 Besides the name of the file, have 0 12 there been any edits, changes, additions, or 13 deletions to the recording? 14 Α No. 15 MR. ORTIZ: The Government moves 16 Exhibit 3, Assets video from Octobe3r 19, 2019 17 into evidence. 18 MR. BIANCO: The Respondent has the 19 same objection. If we can all agree I don't need to go through it point by point yet again that 20 21 our objection on best evidence rule foundation, et cetera, is preserved, and to that I would add 22 that there has been, at this point, no 23 establishment of the relevance of the document as 24 25 we haven't seen what it does or does not show.

	33
1	MR. ORTIZ: I can followup with a
2	couple more questions, I'm sorry, strike that.
3	This is part of the record so it should also be
4	admitted as Government Exhibit 2. I can ask
5	Investigator Garcia what this video will depict
6	so I can show the relevance of this video.
7	Investigator Garcia, can you just tell
8	the Board what this video will show?
9	MR. GARCIA: This video is going to
10	show the victim leaving the establishment,
11	getting into some altercation, what appears to be
12	security staff, and the victim running to the
13	right side of the establishment into an alley.
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Why don't you
15	show the video, have the witness testify about
16	the video and then try and move the evidence at
17	that juncture. Because right now we have a video
18	and I don't what we are trying to prove.
19	BY MR. ORTIZ:
20	Q Investigator Garcia it is now at the
21	2 minute and 12 mark, can you please inform the
22	Board members what you're observing, it's right
23	on top of the screen.
24	A The victim was just removed from the
25	establishment. It seems to me he's having an
I	n

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

altercation or a conversation with security staff. Himself along with one more security member seems to get in some type of altercation, and all the security staff seems to run after him.

Q Now I'm going to fast forward to 5 minutes and 22 seconds. Starting from the bottom of the screen, tell Board members what you see.

9 Α The victim is walking from the other 10 side of the street and walking toward the 11 establishment, and he stops in front of the same 12 security staff there again. The victim starts to walk away and then returns to security staff. 13 I'm not sure what is being said or nobody advised 14 15 me of that. The victim goes back, the victim 16 seems to go back and go back and forth. At this 17 point, security staff is moving toward the 18 victim. At this point, the victim put a few of 19 his belongings on top of a white vehicle, and one of the members of the security staff walks around 20 21 the black sedan vehicle to what seems to be 22 surround the victim. At this point, we can't 23 really determine, this is when the altercation or 24 the assault took place. One of the security 25 members goes on to the vehicle and removes the

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

victim's items from it. The victim approaches 1 2 one of the security staff to obtain his 3 belongings or whatever may be, and the security staff responds by swinging on the victim. 4 The 5 gentleman running toward all of the men 6 surrounding the victim was the head of the 7 security who is still there at this moment. I am 8 not sure what's going on at this moment. This is 9 when the altercation was taking place. The 10 gentleman walking away with the black pea coat is the head of security. Everyone seems to be 11 12 returning to the establishment now. 13 MR. ORTIZ: At this time, the Government moves Exhibit 3 into evidence. 14 15 I would renew my MR. BIANCO: 16 objection and add to it that the video shown 17 does not give any meaningful indication as to who 18 is who or what is going on. It's very small 19 figures on a street doing something and doesn't So, ant 20 comport with the testimony being given. 21 size figures on a video interacting in some 22 manner in black and white is not relevant to the 23 issue at hand. 24 MR. ORTIZ: I would argue that this

25

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

video is relevant. The facts of the case are

that an altercation took place. The altercation 1 2 is depicted on the video. Investigator Garcia 3 did testify that he could observe the individual, 4 head of security, in that video, and therefore it 5 should be entered as an exhibit. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Again, I'll 6 7 sustain the objection, but, again, on the basis that this is one of the documents that was 8 9 included in the case report, and by operation of 10 law the case report is a copy of record. 11 MR. ORTIZ: You indicated you 12 sustained the objection? 13 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry. 14 Yes. No, I'm sorry. I'm overruling the 15 objection. I'm overruling the objection. As I 16 stated before, the video is listed as part of the 17 administrative record, and by operation of law 18 the administrative record is a part of the 19 record. Now, I think the issue as we move 20 forward whether or not, based on the testimony, 21 what weight, if any, the Board should give to 22 this video. Yes, it's part of the record, but I'm not sure what weight, if any, the Board would 23 24 give to this video whether or not it can, I'll 25 just leave it at that. So, it's admitted,

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

because it's part of our administrative record, 1 but towards making a decision, I'll leave it at 2 3 that. I'll overrule the objection. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document 4 5 was marked as Government's Exhibit 3 for identification.) 6 7 By MR. ORTIZ: Investigator Garcia, did you review 8 0 9 the establishment's security plan? I did. 10 Α 11 Was that part of your exhibit in your 0 12 case report? 13 Α It was. Turning your attention to the security 14 0 15 plan that's attached as an exhibit to the case report, which is Government's Exhibit 1 that's 16 17 already been entered into evidence. Can you please read the second paragraph under 18 altercations and conflict resolution? Board's 19 20 indulgence. Sorry. Can you please read 21 paragraph two. 22 Α It is Asset's policy that any security 23 personnel observing and altercation or potential problem that may result in an altercation will 24 25 assist and/or intervene when necessary to avoid

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

escalation. Whenever possible security personnel 1 should work to diffuse the situation and prevent 2 3 further escalation. 4 Q Thank you. Can you also read the 5 highlighted paragraph on page 20? 6 Α The manager on duty shall be alerted 7 to an altercation by security personnel immediat4ely. If the altercation becomes 8 9 violent, security personnel or management shall 10 contact MPD immediately. 11 Can you read, also on page 20, the 0 12 paragraph that starts with, Once the offending 13 patron, can you read that, please? Once the offending patron is under 14 Α 15 control and is no longer a threat to his or her 16 surroundings, the use of additional restraint by 17 security personnel will not be tolerated. Continue? 18 19 Q Yes, please. Any Assets security personnel member 20 Α 21 who exhibits excessive force will be subject to 22 immediate termination of employment. 23 Thank you. Now, did an altercation 0 24 occur? 25 Α Yes.

Did the establishment call the police? 1 0 2 Α It did not. 3 0 Once again, Government Exhibit 1, page 4 21, in the case report, can you please -- my 5 apologies, having some technical issues trying to share the screen. Under the incident report log, 6 7 can you please read what that says, that 8 paragraph? 9 Α Sure. Assets' management will maintain a detailed incident report log in the 10 11 management office. The log will be a 12 chronological record of any incident at the 13 establishment that involves an injury to a patron 14 of any sort. Any altercation that results in the 15 ejection of a patron, a violent altercation, a weapon, a call to MPD, or other emergency 16 17 personnel. Thank you. Now, did the establishment 18 Q 19 create an incident report log? They did not. 20 Α 21 How do you know? 0 22 Α Because the head of security advised 23 me of it. 24 MR. ORTIZ: Court's indulgence. Ι 25 have no further questions for Investigator

That would present the Government's case 1 Garcia. in chief. 2 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 3 Thank you. Your witness, Mr. Bianco. 4 5 MR. BIANCO: Sure. Thank you, Mr. 6 Chair. 7 CROSS EXAMINATION BY RICHARD BIANCO, ESQ. 8 BY MR. BIANCO: 9 Q Investigator Garcia, I am going to 10 have some questions for you, mostly focused on 11 your report. So, I'd like to share my screen and 12 bring that up so I can direct you to different 13 parts of it. On your screen you should see your investigative report, is that correct? 14 15 Α Yes. 16 0 So, first I want to go to page 1 of 17 your report, really in the summary paragraph You cite that the establishment allowed a 18 here. 19 third party to maintain control over the 20 security. Sorry, the exhibit is a little 21 crooked, but do you see where I'm highlighting 22 there? 23 No, I don't see your highlighted area. Α 24 0 Okay. So --25 Α Okay. I see it.

1 Okay. So, it says, allowing a third 0 2 party to maintain control over security. What 3 did you mean by that? Mr. Baurku-Vaneer, his company is not 4 Α 5 a part of Assets, so that would make it a third 6 party company. 7 So, is it your understanding Okay. Q 8 that Assets employed a third party contractor to 9 run the security for their establishment at that time? 10 11 According to the information I Α 12 obtained, yes. 13 I want to move on to page 2 of 0 Okay. the document. On page 2 of the document you 14 15 indicate that you visited Assets on Saturday, November 2, 2019. Is that when your 16 17 investigation began? 18 Α My investigation began prior to that, 19 but I visited the establishment on that date. 20 Is there a reason why you waited two Q weeks to visit the establishment? 21 22 Α No, there is not. 23 Also on page 2, there is an indication Q that once the victim was removed from the 24 25 establishment he ran away and later came back.

	42
1	Is that your understanding of the facts?
2	A Where am I looking?
3	Q Bear with me one second, let me see if
4	I can highlight it again for you. Mr. Briscoe
5	stated that V1 came back to the establishment to
6	curse and antagonize the security staff. Is that
7	ultimately what you found? That he left and came
8	back?
9	A Yes, according to the multiple
10	interviews that I conducted as well, yeah.
11	Q Okay. Also, on page 2, you identify
12	seven members of the security staff that were
13	working on October 18, and I'm highlighting that
14	section there. Based on my understanding and
15	reading your report, you actually interviewed two
16	of those folks, is that accurate?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Is there a reason why
19	A Three.
20	Q Okay. Which three did you interview?
21	A Maurice Bistro, Anthony Mayes, and a
22	Barack Saheener.
23	Q I'm sorry, what was the last one you
24	said? I don't see him identified there.
25	A The head of security.
I	•

Okay. Mr. Saheener. 1 Q 2 Α Yes. 3 0 Did Mr. Saheener indicate whether or not he was working on that night? 4 5 Α He did. 6 0 And he said he was working, is that 7 accurate? 8 Α Yes. 9 Q Okay. And if I understand your report correctly, Mr. Mayes and Mr. Saheener- I mean Mr. 10 11 Briscoe stated to you that they did not call MPD, 12 is that correct? 13 Α Correct. Do you know, how do you know whether 14 Q 15 or not one of the other four individuals did not call MPD? 16 17 According to security, they advised me Α 18 that they were the only ones who were aware of 19 the matter. 20 0 I'm sorry. Who were the only ones that were aware of the matter? 21 22 Α The three security that I interviewed. 23 Okay. Correct me if I'm wrong, you Q identified five individuals in the video that you 24 25 say were involved in the altercation.

1 Α That's correct. So, how could only three of the 2 Okay. 0 3 security folks know about an altercation if five were involved? 4 5 Ά This is what I was told while 6 interviewing the members of the security staff. 7 Okay. Did you go back to MPD and Q check if they received any calls with respect to 8 9 the incident that evening? They did. The Detective was actually 10 Α 11 conducting an investigation on the matter and 12 requested video footage from me. 13 Right. But did you ask whether or not 0 MPD received any calls reporting this incident? 14 15 Α No, I did not. Is that something as an investigator 16 0 17 that you would typically do, and I only ask Because I've seen that in other cases. 18 19 Α No, it's not. 20 Now, on page 3 of the report, you Q 21 indicate that you interviewed Detective White Jones of MPD, is that accurate? 22 23 Α Yes. Do you know the basis of Detective 24 0 25 Jones' knowledge of the incident?

1 I do. Α And did he personally witness the 2 0 3 occurrences? No, he did not. 4 Α 5 Do you know whether or not he 0 6 interviewed any of the witnesses? 7 Α Yes. Which witnesses did he interview? 8 0 I do not recall. 9 Α And it doesn't indicate in the report 10 0 11 which witnesses he interviewed, right? 12 Α Correct. 13 Q The report, again, on page 3, specifically with respect to Detective Jones, 14 15 indicated that the alleged victim was arrested. Did he indicate that any security staff was 16 arrested in connection with this incident? 17 He did not. 18 Α 19 0 Do you know, or did you learn at any point subsequent, whether or not any member of 20 21 the security staff was arrested? 22 Α I do not. 23 Now, here it says on Sunday, November Q 17 at approximately 12:45 a.m., Investigator 24 25 Garcia interviewed the owner. Do you see where

1 it says that? 2 Α Correct. 3 0 Is there a reason that you could recall why you waited a month to interview Mr. 4 5 Schaeffer about this incident? Just for the record --6 Α No. 7 Mr. Garcia, there's no question Q 8 pending. The Agency Lawyer can ask you 9 additional questions on redirect, if he sees fit. Okay? 10 11 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Not the Agency 12 Lawyer, Mr. Bianco, the Government. Let's move 13 on. MR. BIANCO: We can call him the 14 15 Government. That's fine, Mr. Chair, BY MR. BIANCO: 16 17 So, again, still on page 3, you 0 indicted that when you spoke with Mr. Schaeffer 18 19 you told him that his security system is not 20 helpful and should be revised. Why did you say that? 21 22 Α There's no zooming function on his 23 system. And that made it difficult to see what 24 0 25 was going on in the outside video, did it not?

It made it difficult to identify. 1 Α 2 Now, looking to page 4 of your report, 0 3 I am specifically looking at the one, two, three, four paragraphs I'm highlighting right now that 4 5 talks about the video. Do you see where I'm 6 highlighting? 7 Α Yes. In each of those four paragraphs there 8 0 9 are time stamps identifying when certain events occurred. For example 2:02.17 a.m., the victim 10 11 could be seen being thrown out of the 12 establishment, attempted to hit one of the 13 security staff. Do you see where it says that? 14 Α Correct. 15 And an I correct that those time 0 16 stamps correspond to the time of day? 17 Α Yes. 18 In the first line there where it says Q 19 the review of CH12, that is the identifier of the 20 camera, is that correct? 21 Α Correct. 22 0 Okay. And looking at the four 23 different paragraphs, I see each addresses a different camera, camera 12, camera 13, camera 7, 24 25 and camera 16. Do you see that?

1 Α Yes. So, why were only two videos, then, 2 0 3 discussed in your testimony if you actually reviewed four of them? 4 5 Α I'm sorry, you said why is only two of 6 the videos being discussed? 7 Yes. Q 8 Α Because the attorney asked me about two of the videos. 9 Of these four, can you tell me which 10 0 11 two were presented? 12 Α CH12. 13 Is that the only one, or is there 0 another one? 14 15 Α There was another. 16 Q Okay. 17 It was CH13. Α 18 Were those the only two that were Q 19 appended to this report? 20 Wait, I'm sorry, give me one minute. Α 21 I'm sorry, it was CH12 and CH7 that were reviewed today. 22 23 And are those the only two, I'm sorry, Q let me ask you a different question. Of the 24 25 videos identified here, which were appended to

this report?

_	
2	A I'm sorry. Say that again.
3	Q Okay. So, we're looking at your
4	report, and you have identified four separate
5	videos from four separate cameras. Okay? Only
6	two of them have been moved into evidence today,
7	and what I'm asking you is, of the four videos
8	that you have actually identified in your report,
9	which ones were attached to the report?
10	A All four.
11	Q All four. Okay. Do you know why,
12	then, the report and all of its exhibits has not
13	been tendered in its entirety?
14	A I do not.
15	Q Looking, again, specifically at these
16	paragraphs where you talk about the video, I want
17	to look at the first one which addresses camera
18	12. Really it's the first couple of sentences,
19	and it says, though review of camera CH12
20	disclosed that V1 could be seen being thrown out
21	of the establishment at 2:02:17 a.m., attempted
22	to hit one of the security staff at 2:02:31 a.m.,
23	and run away from the three security staff. Do
24	you see that?
25	A Ido.

I	50
1	Q The very next sentence says at 2:05:47
2	a.m. V1 and the security staff are having a
3	discussion and moving closer to V1. Do you see
4	that?
5	A Mm-hmm.
6	Q Okay. So, do you know why the
7	purported victim, after he ran away, then
8	returned to the establishment that he had just
9	been thrown out of?
10	A I do not.
11	Q Okay. And do you know whether upon
12	his return, apparently two minutes after he ran
13	away, I'm sorry, three minutes after he ran away,
14	do you know whether or not he tried to re-enter
15	the establishment?
16	A I don't.
17	Q Am I correct that the incident that is
18	the subject of your report is what occurred
19	outside and is, as you say, depicted in the video
20	Exhibit 2, correct?
21	A Correct.
22	Q Okay. So, it's not your testimony
23	that you made any findings that there was a
24	violation inside the establishment, correct?
25	A The establishment was in violation.

ī	
1	Q That's not what I'm asking. I'm
2	asking the incident that you determined to be a
3	violation occurred outside and not inside of the
4	establishment.
5	A No.
6	Q Okay. So, what occurred inside the
7	establishment that you contend in your report is
8	a violation?
9	A The establishment failed to have their
10	incident report log inside of the establishment.
11	Q Okay. But the physical incident is
12	limited to outside. Is that a fair statement?
13	A Correct.
14	Q Now, the two video exhibits that were
15	presented today do not have a time and date stamp
16	on them as stated in your report. Do you know
17	why that is?
18	A I do not.
19	Q Okay.
20	A The footage wasn't time stamped.
21	Q Okay. So, when you say the footage
22	wasn't time stamped, when? When was it not time
23	stamped?
24	A The original footage wasn't provided.
25	The original footage, originally, the time stamp,
	I I

according to the establishment, the establishment 1 2 advised me that the video stamp comes up for 3 about two to three seconds, which is CH12\_20191019\_0200. 0200 is the time of the 4 5 video footage. The video footage is 30 minutes The video footage was from 2:00 to 2:30. 6 long. 7 So, when you state the time Q Okay. 8 stamps in your report, you didn't read them off 9 of the video, you extrapolated it based on the 10 representations that were made to you about the 11 name of the file. Is that what happened? 12 I'm sorry. Can you say that one more Α time? 13 14 Q So, the times noted in your Sure. 15 report were not time stamps on the video, rather, you extrapolated those times by adding time to 16 17 the start point of the video, is that how you got to those times? 18 19 Α No, it's not how I got to the times. The establishment advised me of how their system 20 21 works and advised me that that's how the time 22 works. That's how I determined what time to 23 really review the video footage. I reviewed the video footage with the establishment first. 24 25 Q So, the establishment gave you these

times, or you did math to come up with them? 1 I didn't do math to come up with them, 2 Α 3 it was provided by the establishment. So, the establishment told me the video footage was from 4 5 2:00 to 2:30. If the video footage starts at 00 6 and I rewind it six minutes, that 2:06. That's 7 how I came to the determination of 02:02:17. 8 0 Okay. So, the establishment told you 9 that the video started exactly at 2:00 a.m. 10 Α Correct. They provided me multiple 11 footages. They provided me from 2:00 a.m. and 12 also 2:30. 13 And you just counted forward using the 0 run times of the video to get the timing in your 14 15 report, is that correct? 16 Α Correct. 17 I'm glad we cleared that Thank you. 0 18 Now, you indicate in your report that you up. 19 never spoke with the victim, or the purported victim of this incident. Is that correct? 20 21 Α Correct. 22 0 The officer investigating the incident 23 on scene as being Officer Bacchus, is that 24 correct? 25 Α Correct.

1 And you never spoke with him either, Q right? 2 3 Α No. His case was forwarded to Detective Jones. 4 5 Now, when you were giving testimony Q 6 about video number 1, you described what the 7 alleged victim was wearing including the colors of his outfit, correct? 8 9 Α Correct. 10 0 So, how did you know what colors he 11 was wearing if the video shown was black and 12 white? 13 That's my assumption, black and white, Α 14 from reviewing the video page. 15 And I'll bring up the video if we need 0 to, to refresh your recollection, but you 16 testified at 7:14 in video number one that 17 18 someone who appeared to be a member of security 19 was re-entering with what you assumed to be the victim's clothing and shoe, is that fair and 20 21 accurate representation of your testimony? 22 Α Yes. 23 Okay. Now, it looked to me like guy Q in a hoodie. Can you tell me what identifies 24 25 that person as security?

The badge on his right arm. 1 Α 2 And do you know which member of the Q 3 security team that person was? No, I don't. 4 Α 5 Do you know whether or not it's one of Q 6 the folks, one of the three folks that you talked 7 to, or one of the four others? 8 I'm sorry. Can you repeat that? Α 9 Do you know whether or not that Q Sure. 10 was one of the three people that you interviewed 11 for purposes of your investigation, or if it was 12 one of the four others? 13 One of the three people I interviewed. Α 14 0 Okay. But you don't know which one. 15 Α No. 16 0 Brief indulgence for one moment. I am 17 looking at the video and hoping I don't have to 18 show it. Okay. I am going to have to show the 19 video. So, if you will indulge me while I bring 20 it up. Okay. So, I am showing you what was 21 marked as Government Exhibit 2, which is the 22 video and is the person entering with the shoe 23 and jacket. Can you tell me from that still of 24 the video whether the person depicted is wearing 25 a badge of any kind?

1 Α In this angle, no. 2 Where do you contend the badge was on 0 3 his hoodie? On the opposite side of his arm. 4 Α 5 So, if this video that was Q Okay. 6 proffered as Government 2 doesn't show the badge, 7 what piece of information did you review to make that determination? 8 9 Α A separate video footage that was also reviewed of the security staff leaving the 10 11 establishment. On his right arm he had a badge. 12 0 Okay. And that is one of the four 13 videos that was not produced as part of the investigative report, correct? 14 15 Α I believe so. 16 0 I'm going to stop sharing that video, 17 and I am going to bring up the second, which has been marked as Government 3. For some reason I 18 19 can't get that one to play. Strike that. When 20 you testified about Government 3, how did you 21 determine in the video that the person who 22 approached what you say, or the security staff, 23 was in fact the victim? What's Exhibit 3? 24 Α 25 Q Exhibit 3 is the second video showing

the exterior.

1

Okay. And what's the question? 2 Α 3 0 How did you determine that the victim returned to the premises from that video? 4 5 Α He walked up to the establishment. 6 0 And it's your testimony that from that 7 video you were able to determine that person's identity? 8 9 Α Not his identity, but he was the one the establishment ejected, the security staff 10 11 removed from the establishment. 12 0 Correct. That part I get. So, at 13 2:12 in the video you testified that the victim was removed from the establishment and runs away, 14 15 correct? 16 Α Correct. 17 Okay. You then testified that at 5:22 0 in the video the victim returns. What my 18 19 question is, is how are you able to determine at 20 5:22 in the video the person that appears is in fact the victim? 21 22 Α By what he has on. 23 Let me just make sure I don't have Q 24 anything else for you. I think I covered 25 everything. Thank you very much, Investigator.

1	58
1	Both the Board and then your attorney may have
2	some additional questions for you.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions
4	by any Board members? Go ahead, Mr. Grandis.
5	MEMBER GRANDIS: Investigator Garcia,
6	thank you for your presentation today and for
7	your investigative report. There's been a lot of
8	dissection of your investigative report this
9	afternoon. So, just for clarification, what
10	potential violations are you asking the Board to
11	consider?
12	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The only thing
13	I will say, Mr. Grandis, is that the Government
14	was the one who drafted the charging document, so
15	based on the report the Government issued the
16	charging document. So, you'll need to go to the
17	charging document because those are the issues in
18	the case, not whatever potential violations.
19	MEMBER GRANDIS: I misspoke, and I
20	want to thank you for that clarification. But
21	going to the charging document, Investigator
22	Garcia, what does that state?
23	MR. GARCIA: Under my case report?
24	MEMBER GRANDIS: Yes.
25	MR. GARCIA: It states DC Official
	"

Code 25766, prohibited statements, DC Official 1 2 Code 25797, limitation on transfer of 3 responsibility for licensing security, and DC Official Code 25823.16, revocation or suspension 4 for violation of the title or misuse of license. 5 6 MEMBER GRANDIS: Does your 7 investigative report also speak towards the 8 security plan? 9 MR. GARCIA: It does. 10 MEMBER GRANDIS: And that report, just 11 for reminding me, what does your report state 12 about compliance or non-compliance with the filed 13 security plan? 14 MR. GARCIA: It's stating as far as--. 15 MEMBER GRANDIS: This incident. 16 MR. GARCIA: Per the security plan, 17 there's a security plan that's very detailed, and 18 it states everything that they're required to do, 19 it just seems that the establishment failed to 20 follow what is in their proposed security plan. 21 MEMBER GRANDIS: Specifically, is 22 there a requirement in the security plan about 23 contacting police? 24 MR. GARCIA: There is. 25 MEMBER GRANDIS: What is that

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

requirement? When does that get triggered? 1 MR. GARCIA: As soon as an incident 2 3 takes place. 4 MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you. Mr. 5 Chairman, thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other 6 7 questions from any of the Board members? Mr. Garcia, you testified about the 8 9 security, I'm sorry, an incident log. MR. GARCIA: Correct. 10 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: How did you 11 12 that they did not create that incident log? know MR. GARCIA: The head of security 13 advised me of that. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What did the head of security specifically advise you to? 16 What did he say? 17 So, when I first started 18 MR. GARCIA: 19 I asked him of the incident log, and I asked him could he provide me of the incident that take 20 21 place. He said it's in his car. I advised him 22 that the incident log was required to be inside 23 the establishment. And then he stated that he didn't have an incident for that log Because he 24 25 never logged one.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Did you ask him 1 2 if anyone else, if anyone else had created one 3 that they could provide you with? 4 MR. GARCIA: No. Because the log he 5 had possession of. The entire log was I his 6 possession. 7 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, you're 8 saying the entire log was in his possession, and 9 he said he did not have an entry for that specific incident? 10 11 MR. GARCIA: Correct. 12 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Regarding 13 contacting the police, who did you speak to 14 regarding who contacted the police? 15 The ABC manager, the head MR. GARCIA: 16 of security, everyone that I interviewed I asked 17 if MPD was contacted. 18 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What did they 19 say? 20 MR. GARCIA: No. 21 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: MPD was ever 22 contacted by anyone, the victim, the potential 23 victim, or anyone from the establishment? The victim did contact 24 MR. GARCIA: 25 MPD and advised them of an assault that took

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

That's why the MPD's officer's case got 1 place. transferred to the detective. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, you're saying that the victim contacted the police to 4 5 say that he was assaulted at the establishment? 6 MR. GARCIA: Yes. Without that 7 notification we wouldn't have been made aware of 8 the incident that took place. 9 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't have 10 any further questions. Any other questions by 11 the Board members? Mr. Ortiz, any recross? 12 MR. ORTIZ: Yes, I do. Thank you. 13 RECROSS BY MR. ORTIZ: 14 15 Investigator Garcia, on November 17, 0 2019 did you interview the head of security? 16 17 I did. Α 18 What did he say regarding the fight? Q 19 Α I'm sorry? 20 What did he state regarding the 0 21 altercation that took place? 22 Α He did say that he observed a group of 23 men who were fighting and attempted to break it 24 up. 25 Q Did he mention if the security staff

1 was part of the group of men in that? He advised later that two of his 2 Α 3 security staff were among the men who were in the fight. 4 5 Thank you. Now, you testified that Q 6 you had difficulty identifying the people on the video, is that correct? 7 8 Α Correct. 9 Q Were you able to identify the victim? I was. 10 Α 11 How were you able to identify the 0 12 victim besides the clothing? 13 Α With the multiple video footages I was able to follow the trail. 14 15 Thank you. Were you also able to Q identify the head of security? 16 17 Α Yes. How were you able to identify him? 18 Q 19 Α A black peacoat with fur on the 20 collar. 21 And once again, who provided you with Q 22 security footage? 23 Α The establishment. And now, was the victim removed from 24 0 inside the establishment? 25

I	04
1	A He was.
2	Q Now, does Assets' security plan
3	indicate that they must log an incident for any
4	altercation that results in the ejection of a
5	patron?
6	A It does.
7	Q Was the patron ejected?
8	A He was.
9	MR. ORTIZ: Thank you. I have no
10	further questions.
11	REDIRECT
12	BY MR. BIANCO:
13	Q It goes to Mr. Ortiz's last question.
14	You testified that the security plan requires
15	that the establishment call MPD following an
16	altercation that results in a patron being
17	ejected. There was no altercation prior to the
18	ejection here, was there? Or did I miss
19	something?
20	MR. ORTIZ: I would object to that.
21	My question was based on the incident report log,
22	not the MPD.
23	MR. BIANCO: Oh, yes. Sorry. Strike
24	that.
25	BY MR. BIANCO:
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Same question but with the report log. 1 0 2 Any altercation that results in patron's ejection 3 has to be logged. It's my understanding of the testimony that there was no altercation prior to 4 5 the ejection, is that correct? 6 Α I can't determine that. 7 So, in your report you made no Q 8 determination that there was an altercation prior 9 to the ejection, is that correct? 10 Α That's correct. 11 0 Thank you. No further questions. 12 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I think you 13 stated, Mr. Ortiz, that the Government rests. Does the Government have another witness you wish 14 15 to call? 16 MR. ORTIZ: No, the Government does 17 not have any other witnesses. The Government 18 rests. 19 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. 20 Bianco, do you wish to make an opening statement? 21 MR. BIANCO: Mr. Chair, I can open and 22 close simultaneously. We're going to rest on 23 burden of proof. I will be asking for briefings, 24 but I can quickly outline my position if it 25 pleases the Board.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, sir. 1 2 OPENING/CLOSING BY RICHARD BIANCO, ESQ. 3 MR. BIANCO: So, here we are, everybody, three years after the incident that 4 5 took place, arguing about it. Our motion to dismiss was denied, I get that. We've preserved 6 7 Hopefully we won' t have to argue it the issue. 8 again after post-hearing briefs. I will just say 9 that the only reason given by the Government for a three year delay is COVID, which flies in the 10 face of the hundreds of cases that were filed and 11 12 adjudicated by this Board from March of 2020 13 until today. It's weak at best, if not 14 disingenuous. The evidence you heard shows that 15 the Government has not met its burden. They have to show a violation took place by clear and 16 17 convincing evidence, they did not. There was no violent incident. There was no altercation or 18 19 anything else that occurred inside the 20 establishment prior to the removal of the patron, 21 triggering any obligation by the establishment to 22 call MPD or issue any kind of report. By all 23 accounts, they handled the ejection of the patron 24 in a compliant and appropriate manner.

25

Again, once outside, the patron fled,

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC he disengaged, he left, he was no longer a patron at that moment. He decided to come back to have a confrontation, looking for a fight. He didn't need to, that's just what he did. Perhaps the result of that was predictable. But what you have here is a person who was not a patron walking up to a group of independent contractors standing on the street doing nothing to accomplish the business purpose of the entity engaging in some type of altercation, not on the licensed premises.

12 That work licensed premises is an 13 important one Because that Is the specific 14 language of the statute under which this 15 particular respondent is charged. Use of the licensed premises for an unlawful purpose or 16 17 allowing the licensed premises to be used for an 18 unlawful purpose. That did not happen. Let me 19 just speak to the unlawfulness aspect of it. 20 There was no arrest, there was no prosecution, 21 there is nothing indicating that outside of the 22 licensed premises an alcohol law was violated or 23 an obligation to report or log was triggered. Now, what I'm not getting into is the gross 24 25 deficiency of the evidence that was presented.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

The report is essentially completely from top to bottom, not only hearsay but double and in some cases triple hearsay. It is inherently unreliable and based on the evidence that has been presented, it is specifically unreliable as to the evidence that was presented in this particular case.

Now, I would also note that by the 8 9 investigator's own admission, the report was 10 incomplete. The report contained, by the investigator's testimony, four videos. We were 11 12 only shown two. The report as a whole, being three years old and with the representations 13 14 contained therein that are not corroborated by 15 anybody's testimony, is not worth the paper that 16 it's printed on. It underscores our case as to 17 why the Government should not be waiting three 18 years to prosecute stale cases.

19There is a Court of Appeals case that20specifically addresses the applicable standard21for the unlawful purpose statute for incidents22that occur outside of the establishment. That23case is Lavelle, L-A-V-E-L-L-E, vs the DC24Alcoholic Control Board. I don't have the25citation with me, but it will certainly be

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

featured in our briefing. What that case essentially establishes is that incidents occur outside the licensed premises without more, without evidence of the manner in which the respondent has carried out their business, is not sufficient to trigger a violation of that statute. We, of course, understand that single incidents can constitute a violation of the unlawful purpose statute, so we get that that's there. But more information is needed other than an incident perhaps, based on the video I saw, might not even have, but perhaps occurred outside of the licensed premises.

Based on that, we don't think there is 14 15 any legal basis on this record to find that the Government proved by clear and convincing 16 17 evidence that there was any violation of any alcohol law in this case. And as I mentioned at 18 19 the outset, and I think once during, I would like 20 to submit proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law since our defense is 21 22 essentially a legal one as opposed to a factual 23 dispute. Thank you. 24 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr.

Bianco. So, that's your opening and closing, or

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

do you anticipate doing a closing? 1 2 MR. BIANCO: That's my opening and 3 closing. Asking me to go on longer is dangerous, as you know, so I will be quiet now and let Mr. 4 5 Ortiz say his piece. 6 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I just want to 7 know what the expectation. So, your presentation 8 is an opening and closing, so you have rested, is 9 that correct? 10 MR. BIANCO: I have rested, that is 11 Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. correct. 12 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Ortiz, do 13 you wish to make a closing statement? 14 CLOSING BY STEPHEN ORTIZ, AAG 15 MR. ORTIZ: Yes, I do. Thank you. 16 The Government has proven to the Board by 17 substantial evidence that the licensee allowed the establishment to be used for an unlawful or 18 disorderly purpose in violation of DC Code 19 Section 25823.82 and violates terms of the Board 20 21 approved security plan in violation of DC Code 22 Section 25823.86. 23 We heard from Investigator Garcia that 24 investigated Assets, and he stated a patron was 25 removed Because he was stealing, a patron was

removed from the establishment Because he was stealing the stripper's money from the ground. That altercation resulted in the removal of a patron. We reviewed surveillance footage taken from Assets depicting that removal of the patron. Investigator Garcia described that members of the Assets security surrounded the victim and assaulted him. We also saw footage of that assault. We also observed a member of the security team retrieve the victim's property and take it inside the establishment. Investigator Garcia interviewed

13 several members of the security team. 14 Specifically, Investigator Garcia spoke to the 15 head of security, and he informed Investigator Garcia that two of his security were among the 16 17 men in the fight. Investigator Garcia also testified that a member of security team took the 18 19 victim's belongings and brought them inside the establishment. 20

Now, turning to the evidence
supporting charge two, violating the terms of the
Board approved security plan, Investigator Garcia
testified that the terms of the security plan
requires Assets to maintain a detailed incident

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

report log and must record any incident at the 1 establishment that involves an altercation that 2 3 results in the ejection of a patron. Investigator Garcia testified, and we saw footage 4 5 that the victim was ejected from the 6 establishment. Investigator Garcia also 7 indicated the security plan states that a manager 8 or security personnel shall contact the MPD if an 9 altercation becomes violent. We heard from Investigator Garcia that the MPD was not called. 10 11 He spoke to the head of security, and the other 12 security staff, and the ABC manager. He was 13 informed they did not call MPD. 14 We also heard from Investigator Garcia 15 the security plan does not allow for the security 16 personnel to use excessive force. Based on this, 17 the Government has therefore proven the charge and the notice to show cause. The Government 18 19 recommends that the Board apply a penalty consistent with DC Code Section 25-830. 20 Thank

22 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Mr. 23 Ortiz. The record is now closed. Mr. Bianco has 24 already informed us that he intends to file 25 proposed findings of fact and conclusion of law.

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

you.

	/3
1	Is that correct, Mr. Bianco?
2	MR. BIANCO: Yes, that's correct.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, the
4	transcript should be available within
5	approximately three weeks. The proposed findings
6	of facts and conclusion of law are required to be
7	submitted to the agency 30 days after receipt of
8	the transcript. It is my belief that the agency
9	will release their decision within 90 days after
10	that period of time.
11	MR. ORTIZ: Just a quick question, the
12	Government does not wish to issue its own facts
13	and conclusion of law, the Board is deciding that
14	it is going to rule on that and provide the
15	transcript?
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm not
17	following you, sir.
18	MR. ORTIZ: I'm sorry. So, do both
19	parties have to provide the facts and the
20	conclusion of law? Is that the ruling that the
21	Board is going to basically give what opposing
22	counsel wishes?
23	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's not my
24	decision. As part of these hearings I always as
25	the question, are the parties going to file
	•

proposed findings of fact and conclusion of law, 1 2 and the licensee in this particular case informed 3 us that he intends to do that. So, I have no say whether or not the Government wishes to do that 4 I have to honor the request of the 5 also. 6 licensee that this is what he intends to do. So, 7 therefore, we will hold off on making a decision until we receive this information. 8 I would hope 9 that the Government would also do the same, but 10 that's not the Board's call. Procedurally this 11 is where we are. 12 MR. ORTIZ: Understood. I just wanted 13 a little bit of clarity Because, as you mentioned, typically the Board submits the 14 15 findings of fact. Thank you. 16 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We'll do that, 17 but in these show cause hearing status, one party 18 or the other always says that they want to do it, 19 and I think a lot of times the other party waits 20 to see what the other side is going to say. If 21 one side says that I'm going to do it, then the 22 other party will say, I guess I'll do it, or both 23 parties jointly waive that option. But in this

counsel for the licensee has affirmatively stated

case, even before I asked him the question,

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

24

	/3
1	that this is what he intends to do, therefore,
2	the Board can't make a final determination until
3	we have received these proposed findings of fact
4	and conclusion of law.
5	MR. ORTIZ: Understood.
6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other
7	questions before I close?
8	MR. ORTIZ: No sir.
9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco?
10	MR. BIANCO: Nothing from the
11	respondent. Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of
12	the Board. I appreciate it.
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: As chairperson
14	of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board for the
15	District of Columbia in accordance with DC
16	Official Code Section 2575 of the Open Meetings
17	Act, I move that ABC Board hold a closed meeting
18	for the purpose of seeking the advice from our
19	counsel on case number 19-251-00157, Assets,
20	pursuant to DC Official Code Section
21	2575(b)(4)(a) of opening upon case number 19-251-
22	00157 Assets for the reasons cited in DC Official
23	Code Section 2575(b)(13) off the opening of that.
24	Is there a second?
25	MEMBER CATO: I'll second.

	76
1	MEMBER HANSEN: Yes.
2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Hansen and
3	Mr. Cato second the motion. I will now take a
4	roll call vote on the motion.
5	Mr. Cato.
6	MEMBER CATO: Bobby Cato, I agree.
7	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Hansen.
8	MEMBER HANSEN: Jeni Hansen, I agree.
9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grandis.
10	MEMBER GRANDIS: (No audible
11	response.)
12	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Anderson,
13	I agree.
14	As it appears the motion has passed,
15	I hereby give notice that ABC Board will recess
16	these proceedings to hold a closed meeting
17	pursuant to Section 2575 of the Open Meetings
18	Act.
19	Again, the transcript should be
20	available in about three weeks, and the proposed
21	findings of fact and conclusion of law, they're
22	due to the Board 30 days upon receipt of the
23	transcript.
24	Thank you very much for your
25	presentation today. Have a great day.
•	

We have come to the end of our calendar for the day, and since we're at the end of our calendar for the day, let me close the record for the day. As Chairperson of the Alcoholic

As Chairperson of the Alcoholic 6 Beverage Control Board for the District of 7 Columbia, in accordance with DC Official Code Section 2575(b) and Section 2575(b)(14) of the 8 9 Open Meetings Act, I move that ABC Board hold a closed meeting on November 9, 2022 for the 10 11 purpose of discussing and hearing reports 12 concerning ongoing or planned investigations of alleged criminal or civil misconduct or 13 violations of law or regulations and seek legal 14 15 advice from our legal counsel on the matters identified on the Board's legal licensing and 16 17 investigative agenda for November 9, 2022 as 18 published in the DC Register on November 4, 2022. 19 Is there a second?

 20
 MEMBER HANSEN: Jeni Hansen will

 21
 second.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grandis and Ms. Hansen have seconded the motion. I will now take a roll call vote to the motion now that it's been seconded.

	78				
1	Mr. Cato.				
2	MEMBER CATO: Bobby Cato, I agree.				
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Hansen.				
4	MEMBER HANSEN: Jeni Hansen, I agree.				
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grandis.				
6	MEMBER GRANDIS: Edward Grandis, I				
7	agree.				
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Anderson,				
9	I agree.				
10	As it appears that the motion has				
11	passed, I hereby give notice that ABC Board will				
12	hold this closed meeting pursuant to the Open				
13	Meetings Act. Notice will also be posted on the				
14	ABC Board hearing room bulletin board, placed on				
15	the electronic calendar on ABRA's website and				
16	published in the DC Register in as timely manner				
17	as practical.				
18	I want to thank members of the public				
19	who participated in our hearings today, the Board				
20	members who participated in our hearings today.				
21	We are now adjourned for the day. I will now				
22	direct all Board members to return to executive				
23	session for further developments.				
24	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter				
25	was concluded at 3:40 p.m.)				

Α **A-N-C-O** 4:13 a.m 19:25 45:24 47:10 49:21,22 50:2 53:9,11 A2 7:9 A6 7:12 **AAG** 6:25 70:14 **ABC** 9:6 23:14 61:15 72:12 75:17 76:15 77:9 78:11,14 **able** 57:7,19 63:9,11,14 63:15.18 above-entitled 78:24 above-referred 30:1 37:4 **ABRA** 1:21 4:1 7:24 8:11 27:4 ABRA's 78:15 access 3:9,10,11,12 accomplish 67:9 accounts 66:23 accurate 13:3 22:1 32:8 42:16 43:7 44:22 54:21 accurately 22:4 32:5 act 10:14 75:17 76:18 77:9 78:13 actual 18:19 19:7 20:12 add 32:22 35:16 adding 52:16 additional 38:16 46:9 58:2 additions 22:8 32:12 address 6:20 21:6 addresses 47:23 49:17 68:20 adjourned 78:21 adjudicated 66:12 Administration 8:25 administrative 10:14 11:11,12 29:24 36:17 36:18 37:1 admissibility 28:10 admissible 10:11 11:2 18:23 22:17 admission 68:9 admit 29:18 admitted 20:8 30:6 33:4 36:25 advice 75:18 77:15 advise 17:10 60:16 advised 16:5,22,23 34:14 39:22 43:17 52:2,20,21 60:14,21 61:25 63:2 affirm 8:15 affirmatively 74:25 afternoon 3:15,18,21

4:11,16 7:1 58:9 agency 46:8,11 73:7,8 agency's 11:15 agenda 77:17 ago 10:21 27:19 28:7 agree 32:19 76:6,8,13 78:2,4,7,9 ahead 58:4 66:1 alcohol 67:22 69:18 Alcoholic 1:2,14 8:24 68:24 75:14 77:5 alert 14:24 alerted 38:6 allegations 17:19 alleged 14:11 29:1 45:15 54:7 77:13 alleges 11:9 alley 33:13 allow 4:14 11:22 72:15 allowed 1:9 7:7 11:4 40:18 70:17 allowing 41:1 67:17 altercation 7:14 14:25 17:8,12 20:20 33:11 34:1,3,23 35:9 36:1,1 37:23,24 38:7,8,23 39:14,15 43:25 44:3 62:21 64:4,16,17 65:2 65:4,8 66:18 67:10 71:3 72:2,9 altercations 37:19 **ANC** 1:7 and/or 37:25 Anderson 1:15,18 3:3 3:14,21 4:3,7,19,22 5:9,18,22 6:18,23 8:1 8:7,13,18 10:5 11:10 12:1 13:14 19:4 20:25 22:24 24:10,19 25:18 26:2 27:6 28:16 29:5 33:14 36:6,13 40:3 46:11 58:3,12 60:6,11 60:15 61:1,7,12,18,21 62:3,9 65:12,19 66:1 69:24 70:6,12 72:22 73:3,16,23 74:16 75:6 75:9,13 76:2,7,9,12 76:12 77:22 78:3,5,8 78:8 angle 56:1 ant 35:20 antagonize 42:6 Anthony 15:16 42:21 anticipate 70:1 anybody's 68:15 apologies 39:5 apparently 50:12 **Appeals** 68:19

appeared 22:5 32:5 54:18 appears 24:16 28:22 32:7 33:11 57:20 76:14 78:10 appended 48:19,25 applicable 68:20 Applicant 1:22,23 2:9 2:21 apply 72:19 appreciate 75:12 approached 56:22 approaches 35:1 appropriate 21:7 28:9 66:24 appropriately 18:25 approved 7:11 70:21 71:23 approximately 45:24 73:5 area 40:23 argue 25:20 35:24 66:7 arguing 66:5 arm 55:1 56:4,11 arrest 67:20 arrested 45:15.17.21 articles 30:17 articulate 18:10 **as--** 59:14 ascertain 18:19 asked 48:8 60:19,19 61:16 74:24 asking 12:4 49:7 51:1,2 58:10 65:23 70:3 aspect 67:19 assault 14:6,11 15:6 16:21,23 34:24 61:25 71:9 assaulted 16:1 62:5 71:8 Asset's 37:22 Assets 1:6 3:5 7:3,14 9:12 12:21 13:6,18 14:1 19:6,20 23:17 27:2,3,10,19,22 28:2 28:5,19,20,22 32:16 38:20 41:5,8,15 70:24 71:5,7,25 75:19,22 Assets' 39:9 64:2 assist 37:25 Assistant 1:24 assumed 54:19 assumption 54:13 attach 12:24 attached 26:17,18 37:15 49:9 attachments 25:21 attempted 47:12 49:21

62:23 attention 37:14 attorney 1:24 21:10 48:8 58:1 audible 8:17 12:3 20:4 76:10 authentication 20:6 automatically 29:24 available 3:7 73:4 76:20 Ave 1:7 Avenue 7:4 avoid 37:25 aware 43:18,21 62:7 В **B-I-** 4:12 Bacchus 53:23 back 15:25 16:7 34:15 34:16,16 41:25 42:5,8 44:7 67:2 background 23:5 badge 55:1,25 56:2,6 56.11 Barack 42:22 based 20:13 22:18 26:23 28:2 29:4 31:8 36:20 42:14 52:9 58:15 64:21 68:4 69:11,14 72:16 basically 15:4 73:21 basis 22:20 36:7 44:24 69:15 Baurku-Vaneer 41:4 Bear 42:3 **began** 19:24 41:17,18 behalf 3:19 4:11 **belief** 73:8 believe 30:17 56:15 belong 30:18 belongings 34:19 35:3 71:19 belt 25:12 best 18:23 20:6 32:21 66:13 Beverage 1:2,14 8:24 75:14 77:6 Bianco 1:23 3:8 4:7,10 4:11 5:9,11 6:24 8:2,4 10:1,6,7 11:23 13:15 18:6 19:21 21:10,13 22:15 25:18,19,25 26:15 27:7,8 28:4,21 32:18 35:15 40:4,5,7 40:8 46:12,14,16 64:12,23,25 65:20,21 66:2,3 69:25 70:2,10 72:23 73:1,2 75:9,10

biggest 20:3

**Bistro** 42:21 **bit** 25:9 74:13 **black** 25:12,12 30:16 34:21 35:10,22 54:11 54:13 63:19 blue 25:12 **board** 1:2,14 6:6,10,14 7:1,10 10:8 19:9 20:11 23:9 29:20 30:8 30:13 31:1 33:8,22 34:8 36:21,23 58:1,4 58:10 60:7 62:11 65:25 66:12 68:24 70:16,20 71:23 72:19 73:13,21 74:14 75:2 75:12,14,17 76:15,22 77:6,9 78:11,14,14,19 78:22 Board's 37:19 74:10 77:16 **Bobby** 1:18 76:6 78:2 bottom 34:7 68:2 break 62:23 brief 18:9 55:16 briefing 69:1 briefings 65:23 briefs 66:8 bring 40:12 54:15 55:19 56:17 Briscoe 42:4 43:11 **brought** 71:19 bulletin 78:14 burden 28:8 65:23 66:15 business 10:11 67:9 69:5 С calendar 3:4 77:2,3 78:15 call 5:10,12,15 6:5 8:8 8:11 39:1,16 43:11,16 46:14 64:15 65:15 66:22 72:13 74:10 76:4 77:24 called 9:12 16:8,15 72:10 calling 3:23 4:1 5:3,8 7:23 calls 44:8,14 camera 19:24 26:17,19 27:1,13 28:18 31:2,22 47:20,24,24,24,24,25 49:17,19 **cameras** 49:5 car 60:21 carried 69:5 case 1:8 3:3,4,6 4:25

5:12 6:3,5,9 8:6 9:21 10:2,9 11:14,14,17 12:17 13:4,11 14:14 14:15 18:14 19:13,20 19:22,22 20:7,8,15,17 20:18 22:18 23:23 25:21,23,25 26:3,12 26:15,20 27:21 28:8 28:14,17,17 29:8,17 29:23,23 35:25 36:9 36:10 37:12,15 39:4 40:1 54:3 58:18,23 62:1 68:7,16,19,23 69:1,18 74:2,24 75:19 75:21 cases 44:18 66:11 68:3 68:18 Cato 1:18 75:25 76:3,5 76:6,6 78:1,2,2 cause 4:23 5:23 7:2,5 72:18 74:17 certain 47:9 certainly 11:15 22:17 68:25 **cetera** 20:1 32:22 **CH12** 31:2 47:19 48:12 48:21 49:19 CH12\_20191019 26:10 28:15 30:24 CH12 20191019 0200 52:4 CH13 48:17 CH20191019 30:23 CH7 48:21 chain 16:1,6 17:21 Chair 4:10 18:7 21:13 40:6 46:15 65:21 70:11 75:11 **Chairman** 3:13 19:3 60:5 chairperson 1:15,18 3:3,14,21 4:3,7,19,22 5:9,18,20,22 6:18,23 8:1,7,13,18 10:5 11:10 12:1 13:10,14 19:4 20:25 22:24 24:10,19 25:14,18 26:2 27:6 28:16 29:5 33:14 36:6,13 40:3 46:11 58:3,12 60:6,11 60:15 61:1,7,12,18,21 62:3,9 65:12,19 66:1 69:24 70:6,12 72:22 73:3,16,23 74:16 75:6 75:9,13,13 76:2,7,9 76:12 77:5,22 78:3,5 78:8 change 22:7

changed 19:11 changes 22:8 32:12 charge 7:9 71:22 72:17 **charged** 67:15 charges 7:6 charging 58:14,16,17 58:21 chased 16:5 **check** 44:8 chief 5:12 8:6 28:8 40:2 chronological 39:12 citation 68:25 cite 40:18 cited 75:22 civil 77:13 clarification 58:9,20 clarity 74:13 clear 66:16 69:16 cleared 53:17 close 65:22 75:7 77:3 closed 72:23 75:17 76:16 77:10 78:12 closer 50:3 closing 2:19 6:12 69:25 70:1,3,8,13,14 clothing 25:10 30:18 54:20 63:12 **CN** 1:7 coat 35:10 **Code** 7:9,11 59:1,2,4 70:19,21 72:20 75:16 75:20.23 77:7 collar 63:20 colors 54:7,10 **Columbia** 1:1 3:19 6:22 9:7 75:15 77:7 come 53:1,2 67:2 77:1 comes 11:6 52:2 coming 12:7 company 41:4,6 completely 68:1 compliance 59:12 compliant 66:24 comport 35:20 concern 20:22 concerned 21:8 concerning 77:12 concerns 7:6 concluded 78:25 conclusion 6:13 72:25 73:6,13,20 74:1 75:4 76:21 conclusions 11:19 69:21 conducive 7:17 conduct 7:18 9:5,18 conducted 9:16 42:10 conducting 44:11

conflict 37:19 confrontation 67:3 Connecticut 1:7 7:4 connection 45:17 consider 20:11,12 58:11 consistent 72:20 constitute 69:8 construed 18:22 contact 7:21 38:10 61:24 72:8 **contacted** 61:14,17,22 62:4 contacting 59:23 61:13 contained 68:10,14 contains 10:15 18:17 contend 51:7 56:2 CONTENTS 2:6 **Continue** 38:18 contractor 41:8 contractors 67:7 control 1:2,14 38:15 40:19 41:2 68:24 75:14 77:6 conversation 34:1 convincing 66:17 69:16 copy 36:10 correct 13:8 30:23 40:14 43:12,13,23 44:1 45:12 46:2 47:14 47:15,20,21 50:17,20 50:21,24 51:13 53:10 53:15,16,20,21,24,25 54:8,9 56:14 57:12,15 57:16 60:10 61:11 63:7,8 65:5,9,10 70:9 70:11 73:1,2 correctly 43:10 correlate 27:17 correspond 18:20 20:4 47:16 corroborate 17:22 corroborated 68:14 counsel 1:23 5:2,3,7 11:5 19:9,15 20:20 73:22 74:25 75:19 77:15 counted 53:13 couple 33:2 49:18 course 21:2 69:7 court 11:13 68:19 Court's 11:25 39:24 covered 57:24 COVID 66:10 create 7:22 9:21 12:18 39:19 60:12 created 11:23 12:20 13:6 61:2

criminal 9:2 77:13 crooked 40:21 cross 2:11 6:6,7,10,10 10:20 11:18 21:4,11 40:7 currently 8:23 curse 42:6 D D.C 7:4 dangerous 70:3 date 12:22 18:15,18,25 20:2 21:21,24 22:22 27:18 31:3,7,11,12,13 32:2 41:19 51:15 day 18:15 29:2 47:16 76:25 77:2,3,4 78:21 days 73:7,9 76:22 **DC** 1:21 7:8,11 58:25 59:1,3 68:23 70:19,21 72:20 75:15,20,22 77:7.18 78:16 decide 6:12 decided 67:2 deciding 73:13 decision 37:2 73:9,24 74:7 defense 69:21 deficiencies 20:13 deficiency 67:25 Definitely 5:11 delay 66:10 deletions 22:9 32:13 deliberate 6:14 denied 66:6 depict 22:4 24:1 32:5 33:5 depicted 36:2 50:19 55:24 depicting 21:21 71:5 describe 13:17,24 15:2 25:3,9 30:11,12,25 described 54:6 71:6 detailed 39:10 59:17 71:25 detective 44:10,21,24 45:14 54:4 62:2 determination 24:21 53:7 56:8 65:8 75:2 determine 14:7 26:13 34:23 56:21 57:3,7,19 65:6 determined 14:13 51:2 52:22 developments 78:23 different 40:12 47:23 47:24 48:24 difficult 46:24 47:1

difficulty 63:6 diffuse 38:2 direct 2:11 8:20 9:23 10:22 40:12 78:22 directing 12:9 disclosed 49:20 discussed 48:3,6 discussing 77:11 discussion 50:3 disengaged 67:1 disingenuous 66:14 dismiss 66:6 disorderly 7:8,18 70:19 dispute 28:19 69:23 dissection 58:8 **District** 1:1 3:19 5:6 6:21 7:23 9:7 75:15 77:6 document 6:11 9:24 10:25 12:10,14,20 13:2 14:22 28:10 29:6 29:21 30:2 32:24 37:4 41:14,14 58:14,16,17 58:21 documents 36:8 doina 35:19 67:8 70:1 **Donovan** 1:15,18 double 68:2 doubt 23:16,19 drafted 58:14 draw 29:3 dropped 15:24 due 76:22 Dunks 25:12 30:16 duties 9:3 duty 38:6 Ε E-F-F-E-R 4:18 earlier 30:22 early 11:7 edits 22:8 32:12 Edward 1:19 78:6 either 6:1 10:13 28:25 54:1 ejected 57:10 64:7,17 72:5 ejection 39:15 64:4,18 65:2,5,9 66:23 72:3 elapsed 19:2 electronic 78:15 elevated 3:9,10,11,12 emergency 39:16 employed 8:23 41:8 employment 38:22 engaged 7:16 engaging 67:10 entered 17:14 25:23

36:5 37:17 entering 30:15 55:22 entire 61:5,8 entirety 13:3 49:13 entity 67:9 entry 61:9 escalation 38:1,3 especially 10:19,25 22:18 ESQ 40:7 66:2 essentially 68:1 69:2 69:22 establishes 69:2 establishment 1:9 4:14 4:20 7:3,7,10,16,19 9:11,15,17 14:6,16,21 14:24 15:1,7,9,20,22 15:23 20:22 21:2,3,20 22:13,20 24:3 25:5 27:24 29:11 30:15,20 31:23 32:24 33:10,13 33:25 34:11 35:12 39:1,13,18 40:18 41:9 41:19,21,25 42:5 47:12 49:21 50:8,15 50:24,25 51:4,7,9,10 52:1,1,20,24,25 53:3 53:4,8 56:11 57:5,10 57:11,14 59:19 60:23 61:23 62:5 63:23,25 64:15 66:20,21 68:22 70:18 71:1,11,20 72:2 72:6 establishment's 37:9 establishments 9:6 et 20:1 32:22 evening 44:9 events 13:17,25 47:9 everybody 66:4 evidence 6:11 7:13,15 7:18 13:11,12 17:22 18:8,10,23 20:6,14,16 21:9 22:14 24:9,24 25:17 26:14 29:4.7 30:6 32:17,21 33:16 35:14 37:17 49:6 66:14,17 67:25 68:4,6 69:4,17 70:17 71:21 exact 19:16 exactly 12:6 14:20 28:23,24 53:9 examination 6:6 8:20 21:11 40:7 examine 6:7,10,11 11:18 21:4 examined 10:20 **example** 47:10 exception 10:13 12:2

excessive 7:20 38:21 72:16 executive 78:22 exhibit 2:14 9:24 12:10 13:11 18:4 19:9,10 22:12 24:9 25:24 26:14,17,20,25 27:12 28:17 30:2,5,10 31:16 32:16 33:4 35:14 36:5 37:5,11,15,16 39:3 40:20 50:20 55:21 56:24,25 exhibits 12:24 20:16,17 26:16 31:18 38:21 49:12 51:14 expect 5:16 expectation 70:7 explanation 27:9 exterior 57:1 extrapolated 52:9,16 **eye** 10:19 F face 16:1 66:11 fact 6:13 56:23 57:21 69:20 72:25 74:1,15 75:3 76:21 facts 11:19 14:10,12,12 14:14 35:25 42:1 73:6 73:12.19 factual 69:22 failed 1:10 7:19 14:21 14:24 51:9 59:19 failing 7:21 failure 7:21 fair 13:3 51:12 54:20 fairly 22:4 32:4 familiar 9:11,14 far 24:6 31:24 59:14 fast 30:7,9 34:6 featured 69:1 feedback 12:6 fight 62:18 63:4 67:3 71:17 fighting 62:23 figure 26:23 figures 35:19,21 file 19:8,10,15,17 22:7 26:8 30:22 31:5 32:11 52:11 72:24 73:25 filed 5:4 59:12 66:11 final 75:2 find 14:16,18 17:22 69:15 findings 6:12,13 50:23 69:20 72:25 73:5 74:1 74:15 75:3 76:21 fine 46:15

first 5:24 6:5.6 8:8.11 11:24 40:16 47:18 49:17,18 52:24 60:18 fit 10:13 46:9 five 43:24 44:3 fled 66:25 flies 66:10 focused 40:10 folks 42:16 44:3 55:6,6 follow 1:11 7:20 59:20 63:14 following 64:15 73:17 followup 33:1 footage 15:5 18:1 21:19 21:25 26:18,19 27:1,2 27:13 28:18 31:22 44:12 51:20,21,24,25 52:5,5,6,23,24 53:4,5 56:9 63:22 71:4,8 72:4 footages 53:11 63:13 force 7:20 38:21 72:16 forth 34:16 forward 30:7,9,21 34:6 36:20 53:13 forwarded 54:3 found 14:15 42:7 foundation 22:16 32:21 four 11:1 43:15 47:4.8 47:22 48:4,10 49:4,5 49:7,10,11 55:7,12 56:12 68:11 fourth 10:17 front 34:11 function 46:22 fur 63:19 further 7:16 38:3 39:25 62:10 64:10 65:11 78:23 G G-A-R-C-I-A 4:6 G-E-O-R-G-E 4:6 Garcia 1:25 2:12 3:11 4:2,3,6 7:24 8:12,13 8:17,20,22 11:16 12:4 12:9 21:15 23:12 25:2 26:7 30:7 33:5,7,9,20 36:2 37:8 40:1,9 45:25 46:7 58:5,22,23 58:25 59:9,14,16,24 60:2,8,10,13,18 61:4

gentleman 35:5,10 George 1:25 2:12 3:11 4:2 7:24 8:11,20 getting 12:5 33:11 67:24 GEX2 2:15,16 give 5:25 6:2 15:25 19:17 35:17 36:21,24 48:20 73:21 76:15 78:11 given 35:20 66:9 giving 54:5 glad 53:17 Government 2:8,20 3:23,25 5:24,25 6:5 6:10 8:8,10 9:24 12:10 13:10,10 18:4 18:11,17 19:2 22:12 24:8 28:25 29:13 30:10 31:16 32:15 33:4 35:14 39:3 46:12 46:15 55:21 56:6,18 56:20 58:13,15 65:13 65:14,16,17 66:9,15 68:17 69:16 70:16 72:17.18 73:12 74:4.9 Government's 28:8 30:2 37:5,16 40:1 Grandis 1:19 58:4,5,13 58:19,24 59:6,10,15 59:21,25 60:4 76:9,10 77:22 78:5,6,6 gross 67:24 ground 71:2 group 17:8 62:22 63:1 67:7 guard 15:15 guard's 17:21 quards 15:10,13 guess 22:24 26:22 74:22 н hand 8:14 35:23 handled 66:23 Hansen 1:19 76:1,2,7,8 76:8 77:20,20,23 78:3 78:4,4 happen 67:18 happened 52:11 head 16:12 17:10 25:4 35:6,11 36:4 39:22 42:25 60:13,16 61:15 62:16 63:16 71:15 72:11 heard 66:14 70:23 72:9 72:14 hearing 1:7 3:23 4:23

5:3.23 11:11 18:17 19:3 21:1 74:17 77:11 78:14 hearings 11:12 73:24 78:19,20 hearsay 10:10,12,16,24 10:25 11:2,9,9,12 18:21 68:2,3 heart 27:10 helpful 46:20 highlight 42:4 highlighted 38:5 40:23 highlighting 40:21 42:13 47:4,6 hit 25:3 47:12 49:22 hold 74:7 75:17 76:16 77:9 78:12 honor 74:5 hoodie 54:24 56:3 hope 74:8 Hopefully 66:7 hoping 55:17 hundred 28:4 hundreds 66:11 L **ID** 2:14 identification 9:25 12:11 18:5 30:3 31:17 37:6 identified 5:14 27:12 29:8 42:24 43:24 48:25 49:4,8 77:16 identifier 47:19 identifies 54:24 identify 4:4,8 28:5 42:11 47:1 63:9,11,16 63:18 identifying 47:9 63:6 identity 57:8,9 immediat4ely 38:8 immediate 38:22 immediately 38:10 impeachment 5:15 important 67:13 inappropriate 20:13 incident 7:22 10:21 12:21 13:20 14:8,8,19 14:22,22,25 15:2 17:14,15 19:24 29:2 39:6,10,12,19 44:9,14

44:25 45:17 46:5

50:17 51:2,10,11

60:12,19,20,22,24

61:10 62:8 64:3,21

66:4,18 69:11 71:25

53:20,22 59:15 60:2,9

incidents 68:21 69:2,8 included 36:9 including 54:7 incomplete 68:10 independent 67:7 indicate 16:20 17:6,7 27:23 41:15 43:3 44:21 45:10,16 53:18 64:3 indicated 17:1 26:11 36:11 45:15 72:7 indicates 5:5 22:19 28:14 indicating 15:3 26:19 67:21 indication 27:13 35:17 41:23 indicted 30:22 46:18 individual 36:3 individuals 5:14 43:15 43:24 indulge 10:3 55:19 indulgence 11:25 18:9 37:20 39:24 55:16 inform 15:18,19 30:8 33:21 information 14:5 41:11 56:7 69:10 74:8 informed 71:15 72:13 72:24 74:2 inherently 10:18 68:3 initiated 13:22 injury 39:13 inside 22:13 50:24 51:3 51:6.10 60:22 63:25 66:19 71:11,19 inspection 9:16 inspections 9:5 intends 18:11 27:11,15 72:24 74:3,6 75:1 interacting 35:21 interest 10:23 interpose 18:7 intervene 37:25 interview 16:12 17:3 42:20 45:8 46:4 62:16 interviewed 16:19 42:15 43:22 44:21 45:6,11,25 55:10,13 61:16 71:12 interviewing 44:6 interviews 42:10 introduce 3:16 4:15 introduced 20:16 29:7 investigated 70:24 investigating 53:22 investigation 9:17,19 13:18,21,23 14:1,2

72:10,14

General 1:24

Generally 10:9

61:11,15,20,24 62:6

62:15 70:23 71:6,12

71:14,16,17,23 72:4,6

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

72:1

15:5.12 17:25 22:22 24:6 28:3 31:25 41:17 41:18 44:11 55:11 investigations 9:5 77:12 investigative 12:17 40:14 56:14 58:7,8 59:7 77:17 investigator 1:25 4:1 7:24 8:11,22 9:2,4,9 10:16 11:16,18 19:23 21:15 22:19 23:12 25:2 26:7 27:3,5 28:1 28:12 29:9 30:7 33:5 33:7,20 36:2 37:8 39:25 40:9 44:16 45:24 57:25 58:5,21 62:15 70:23 71:6,12 71:14,15,17,23 72:4,6 72:10,14 investigator's 68:9,11 investigators 11:7 involved 16:24 17:11 43:25 44:4 involves 39:13 72:2 **issue** 19:21 20:18 21:6 35:23 36:19 66:7,22 73:12 issued 7:5 58:15 issues 20:6 39:5 58:17 items 30:19 35:1 J J-E-F-F 4:17 jacket 25:11 30:15 55:23 jean 25:11 jeans 25:13 **Jeff** 4:14,16 Jeffrey 1:22 3:9 **Jeni** 1:19 76:8 77:20 78:4 jointly 74:23 Jones 44:22 45:14 54:4 Jones' 44:25 **JOSE** 1:21 **JR** 1:18 juncture 6:2 11:22 29:19 33:17 Κ knowledge 44:25 L-A-V-E-L-L-E 68:23 lack 22:15 language 67:14 Lavelle 68:23

law 6:13 11:13 29:23 36:10,17 67:22 69:18 69:21 72:25 73:6,13 73:20 74:1 75:4 76:21 77:14 Lawyer 46:8,12 learn 45:19 learned 21:10 leave 36:25 37:2 leaving 33:10 56:10 led 13:17 left 42:7 67:1 legal 69:15,22 77:14,15 77:16 let's 11:24 21:9 23:6 29:25 46:12 liberally 18:22 license 1:8 3:5 59:5 licensed 7:7 9:6 67:11 67:12,16,17,22 69:3 69:13 licensee 4:12 6:1,9 7:6 70:17 74:2,6,25 licensing 59:3 77:16 limitation 59:2 limited 51:12 line 12:5 29:3 47:18 list 5:4,4 listed 36:16 lists 26:16 little 25:9 40:20 74:13 **LLC** 1:6 located 7:3 log 7:22 14:22,23 17:15 39:6,10,11,19 51:10 60:9,12,19,22,24 61:4 61:5,8 64:3,21 65:1 67:23 72:1 logged 60:25 65:3 long 9:8 24:16,17 52:6 longer 38:15 67:1 70:3 look 22:22 49:17 looked 22:21 54:23 looking 19:22 25:25 26:2,15 28:16 42:2 47:2,3,22 49:3,15 55:17 67:3 looks 30:14 lot 58:7 74:19 Μ maintain 14:23 39:10 40:19 41:2 71:25

making 37:2 74:7

39:11

management 38:9 39:9

manager 23:15 38:6

61:15 72:7,12

March 66:12 mark 33:21 marked 9:24 12:10 18:4 30:2 31:16 37:5 55:21 56:18 math 53:1,2 matter 1:5 3:22 4:23 5:23 6:14 7:5 11:15 16:25 43:19,21 44:11 78:24 matters 4:24 6:19 7:3 10:8 77:15 Maurice 42:21 Mayes 42:21 43:10 Mays 15:16,24 16:4,5 mean 21:2 23:1,4,6 26:21 31:1 41:3 43:10 meaningful 35:17 meeting 1:3 75:17 76:16 77:10 78:12 Meetings 75:16 76:17 77:9 78:13 member 1:18,19,19 25:6 30:14 34:3 38:20 45:20 54:18 55:2 58:5 58:19,24 59:6,10,15 59:21,25 60:4 71:9,18 75:25 76:1,6,8,10 77:20 78:2.4.6 members 7:2 15:7 33:22 34:8,20,25 42:12 44:6 58:4 60:7 62:11 71:6,13 75:11 78:18,20,22 men 17:8,11 35:5 62:23 63:1.3 71:17 mention 20:5,21 62:25 mentioned 69:18 74:14 met 1:15 66:15 method 7:17 minute 10:3 24:18,22 33:21 48:20 minutes 24:17 30:10 34:7 50:12,13 52:5 53:6 misconduct 77:13 misspoke 58:19 mistaken 23:14 misuse 59:5 moment 18:7,9 35:7,8 55:16 67:2 money 15:21 24:4 71:2 **month** 46:4 motion 66:5 76:3,4,14 77:23,24 78:10 **move** 11:24 13:10

manner 35:22 66:24

69:4 78:16

25:16 26:13 29:25 33:16 36:19 41:13 46:12 75:17 77:9 moved 49:6 moves 22:12 24:8 32:15 35:14 moving 30:21 34:17 50:3 MPD 13:20 14:24 16:8 16:15 38:10 39:16 43:11,16 44:7,14,22 61:17,21,25 64:15,22 66:22 72:8,10,13 MPD's 62:1 multiple 10:15,25 42:9 53:10 63:13 mute 12:5 Ν name 4:12,17 15:16 19:8,10,14,16 22:7 26:7 30:22 32:11 52:11 named 19:10 names 5:13 necessary 37:25 neck 17:22 need 6:19 23:1 32:19 54:15 58:16 67:4 needed 5:16 14:7 69:10 never 53:19 54:1 60:25 night 43:4 Nike 25:12 30:16 non-compliance 59:12 note 68:8 noted 13:15 19:13 52:14 notes 23:23 notice 7:5 72:18 76:15 78:11.13 notification 14:5 62:7 notified 13:19 November 1:13 17:3,6 17:9 22:23 41:16 45:23 62:15 77:10,17 77:18 number 3:4 54:6,17 75:19,21 numbers 31:1,8 NW 1:7 7:4 0 **O-R-T-I-Z** 3:20

object 22:15 64:20 objected 20:10 objection 10:2,24 11:6 11:8,21,21 18:8 21:5 25:19 26:22,24 27:11

29:12.22 32:19.21 35:16 36:7,12,15,15 37:3 objections 11:4 obligation 66:21 67:23 **observe** 14:20 25:3 30:13 36:3 observed 15:5 19:13 62:22 71:9 observing 30:9 33:22 37:23 obtain 16:6 35:2 obtained 21:19,24 31:22 41:12 occur 38:24 68:22 69:2 occurred 7:14 13:25 14:7,19 19:25 20:19 20:19 47:10 50:18 51:3,6 66:19 69:12 occurrences 45:3 occurring 23:3 Octobe3r 32:16 October 2:15,16 7:13 9:20 12:23 13:6 15:10 21:22 22:5,13,20 23:17 31:14 32:3.6 42:13 offending 38:12,14 office 13:19 39:11 officer 53:22,23 officer's 62:1 **Official** 58:25 59:1,4 75:16,20,22 77:7 old 68:13 once 6:3,4,8 25:3 30:5 38:12,14 39:3 41:24 63:21 66:25 69:19 ones 43:18,20 49:9 ongoing 77:12 open 65:21 75:16 76:17 77:9 78:12 open/close 2:9,21 opening 2:7 6:1,2,4,16 6:25 8:2 65:20 69:25 70:2,8 75:21,23 **OPENING/CLOSING** 66:2 operation 7:17 36:9,17 **opportunity** 6:7 11:18 18:13 21:3 opposed 69:22 opposing 5:2,7 19:9,15 20:20 73:21 opposite 56:4 **option** 74:23 order 16:6 Orellana 1:21 3:5,8 original 31:4 51:24,25

originally 31:4 51:25 **Ortiz** 1:24 3:10,15,18,19 3:22,22,25 4:24 5:1 5:20 6:15,17,21,24,25 7:1 8:10,21 11:2,25 12:3,8 13:9,16 19:4,5 20:15 21:14 22:11 23:1,10,11 24:8,10,17 24:25 25:1,14,20 26:4 26:6,25 27:22 28:12 30:4 32:15 33:1,19 35:13,24 36:11 37:7 39:24 62:11,12,14 64:9,20 65:13,16 70:5 70:12,14,15 72:23 73:11,18 74:12 75:5,8 Ortiz's 26:23 27:8 64:13 outfit 54:8 outline 65:24 outset 69:19 outside 46:25 50:19 51:3,12 66:25 67:21 68:22 69:3,12 overrule 11:20,21 21:5 29:11,22 37:3 overruling 36:14,15 owner 4:13.20 23:15 27:22 28:5 45:25 Ρ

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 3.1 p.m 3:2 78:25 page 2:8,9,20,21 38:5 38:11 39:3 40:16 41:13,14,23 42:11 44:20 45:13 46:17 47:2 54:14 paper 68:15 paragraph 37:18,21 38:5,12 39:8 40:17 paragraphs 47:4,8,23 49:16 part 13:12 15:12 17:8 17:25 20:17 25:21 26:24 27:3 29:8,16,17 29:22,24 31:18 33:3 36:16,18,22 37:1,11 41:5 56:13 57:12 63:1 73:24 participated 78:19,20 particular 67:15 68:7 74:2 parties 3:6 73:19,25 74:23 parts 40:13 party 10:17,17 40:19 41:2,6,8 74:17,19,22

passed 76:14 78:11 patron 7:15,21 15:6 25:10,11 38:13,14 39:13,15 64:5,7,16 66:20,23,25 67:1,6 70:24,25 71:4,5 72:3 patron's 65:2 pause 30:12 pea 35:10 peacoat 63:19 penalty 72:19 pending 46:8 people 55:10,13 63:6 percent 28:4 period 73:10 person 54:25 55:3,22 55:24 56:21 57:20 67:6 person's 57:7 personally 45:2 personnel 37:23 38:1,7 38:9,17,20 39:17 72:8 72:16 pertaining 28:14 Petitioners 5:1 phone 15:24 physical 51:11 pick 15:24 piece 56:7 70:5 place 10:21 12:21 13:20 14:6,9 15:1,6 16:21 16:23 28:3 34:24 35:9 36:1 60:3,21 62:1,8 62:21 66:5,16 placed 78:14 plan 1:11 5:10,12 7:11 7:20 14:17,19 37:9,15 59:8,13,16,17,20,22 64:2,14 70:21 71:23 71:24 72:7,15 **planned** 77:12 play 11:6 24:11 25:3 56:19 please 3:6,7,16,17 4:4.5 4:8,9 8:14 15:2 25:2 30:12 33:21 37:18,20 38:13,19 39:4,7 pleases 65:25 plus 10:21 point 4:15 22:16 29:13 32:20,20,23 34:17,18 34:22 45:20 52:17 police 7:21 10:10 39:1 59:23 61:13,14 62:4 **policy** 37:22 Posing 5:3 position 9:1 65:24 possession 61:5,6,8

possible 38:1 post-hearing 66:8 posted 78:13 potential 5:5 37:23 58:10,18 61:22 practical 78:17 predictable 67:5 preliminary 4:24 6:19 premises 28:22 57:4 67:11,12,16,17,22 69:3,13 present 1:17,20 6:3,5,9 40:1 presentation 8:4 58:6 70:7 76:25 presented 18:12 48:11 51:15 67:25 68:5,6 presenting 19:2 preserved 32:22 66:6 presiding 1:15 prevent 38:2 previously 18:12 printed 68:16 prior 41:18 64:17 65:4,8 66:20 probably 27:1,9,19 probative 29:19 problem 20:3 22:25 24:11,13,14 27:20 29:1 37:24 Procedurally 74:10 procedures 10:14 proceeding 18:24 proceedings 11:3 20:24 76:16 process 5:25 produced 18:24 56:13 proffer 5:8 27:24 proffered 18:16 20:3 23:4 28:23 56:6 prohibited 59:1 proof 65:23 property 71:10 proposed 59:20 69:20 72:25 73:5 74:1 75:3 76:20 prosecute 68:18 prosecution 67:20 Protest 1:7 prove 33:18 proved 69:16 proven 70:16 72:17 provide 19:16 23:5,8 27:20 28:6 60:20 61:3 73:14,19 provided 13:21 19:6,8 19:20 23:12 27:2,4,9 27:25 28:1,18 29:10

31:2 51:24 53:3,10,11 63:21 public 10:11 78:18 published 77:18 78:16 purported 10:19,22 50:7 53:19 purpose 7:8 29:6 67:9 67:16,18 68:21 69:9 70:19 75:18 77:11 purposes 1:10 5:16 31:17 55:11 pursuant 75:20 76:17 78:12 put 12:5 24:23 34:18 Q question 26:5 46:7 48:24 57:2,19 64:13 64:21 65:1 73:11,25 74:24 questions 6:8 33:2 39:25 40:10 46:9 58:2 58:3 60:7 62:10,10 64:10 65:11 75:7 quick 73:11 quickly 65:24 quiet 70:4 quite 23:7 29:5,19 **quoting** 10:16 R R-I-C-H-A-R-D 4:12 raise 8:14 raised 24:14 ran 16:2,3 41:25 50:7 50:12,13 **re-enter** 50:14 re-entering 54:19 read 37:18,20 38:4,11 38:13 39:7 52:8 reading 42:15 reason 19:1 41:20 42:18 46:3 56:18 66:9 reasons 75:22 rebuttal 5:16 **REC** 2:14 recall 45:9 46:4 receipt 73:7 76:22 receive 74:8 received 14:5 44:8,14 75:3 receiving 14:3,4 recess 76:15 recognize 12:11,13,13 21:15 31:19 recollection 54:16 recommends 72:19 **record** 3:16 4:4,8 10:4,9

11:23 13:13.15 25:22 27:4 29:4,24 33:3 36:10,17,18,19,22 37:1 39:12 46:6 69:15 72:1,23 77:4 recording 22:2,9 32:2,9 32:13 records 10:11 11:15 29:18 recross 2:11 62:11.13 redirect 2:11 46:9 64:11 referenced 18:15 20:9 refers 19:19 refresh 54:16 regarding 11:4,19 16:20 17:19 21:4 61:12,14 62:18,20 **Register** 77:18 78:16 Regulation 8:24 regulations 77:14 reinterviewed 17:10 release 73:9 relevance 29:21 32:24 33:6 relevant 20:23 35:22.25 reliable 10:13.18 reminding 59:11 removal 66:20 71:3,5 removed 30:20 33:24 41:24 57:11,14 63:24 70:25 71:1 removes 34:25 removing 25:5 renew 35:15 repeat 55:8 replied 16:11,18 17:18 report 5:14 7:22 9:21 10:2,15 11:14,14 12:17,19,20,22,25 13:4,11,22 14:4,15,23 17:15 18:14 19:13,20 19:22,22 20:7,8,15,17 22:18 23:23 25:21,23 26:1,3,8,12,16,20,24 27:14 28:14,17,17 29:9,17,23,23 36:9,10 37:12,16 39:4,6,10,19 40:11,14,17 42:15 43:9 44:20 45:10,13 47:2 48:19 49:1,4,8,9 49:12 50:18 51:7,10 51:16 52:8,15 53:15 53:18 56:14 58:7,8,15 58:23 59:7,10,11 64:21 65:1,7 66:22 67:23 68:1,9,10,12 72:1 reporting 44:14

**reports** 10:10,10,12 11:20 14:23 77:11 represent 29:16 representation 13:4 54:21 representations 52:10 68:13 request 74:5 requested 23:21 44:12 required 59:18 60:22 73:6 requirement 59:22 60:1 requires 64:14 71:25 reserve 5:15 6:3 8:4 resolution 37:19 respect 44:8 45:14 respondent 32:18 67:15 69:5 75:11 responds 35:4 response 8:17 12:4 20:4 26:23 76:11 responsibilities 9:4 responsibility 59:3 rest 65:22 rested 70:8.10 restraint 38:16 rests 65:13.18 result 37:24 67:5 resulted 71:3 results 39:14 64:4,16 65:2 72:3 Retailer 1:7 retrieve 71:10 return 50:12 78:22 returned 50:8 57:4 returning 35:12 returns 34:13 57:18 **review** 18:1,13 37:8 47:19 49:19 52:23 56:7 reviewed 19:24 31:24 48:4,21 52:23 56:10 71:4 reviewing 15:4 54:14 revised 46:20 revocation 59:4 rewind 25:8 53:6 Richard 1:23 3:8 4:11 40:7 66:2 road 11:1 roll 76:4 77:24 room 78:14 roughly 5:5 rule 11:12 18:22,23 20:6 32:21 73:14 **rules** 10:8 18:12 ruling 11:8 73:20 run 34:4 41:9 49:23

53:14 running 33:12 35:5 **runs** 57:14 S **S** 1:19 S- 3:19 S-C-H-A- 4:17 Saheener 16:13,19 17:3 17:13 42:22 43:1,3 Saheener- 43:10 satisfactory 5:19 Saturday 41:15 saw 69:11 71:8 72:4 saying 17:1 27:19 61:8 62:4 says 26:17,25 28:17 39:7 41:1 45:23 46:1 47:13,18 49:19 50:1 74:18,21 scene 22:2,5 32:9 53:23 scenes 32:5 Schaeffer 1:22 3:9 4:14 4:16,17,17,21 5:13 46:5.18 scheduled 4:23 5:23 screen 33:23 34:8 39:6 40:11.13 scroll 12:12 second 37:18 42:3 56:17.25 75:24.25 76:3 77:19,21 seconded 77:23,25 Secondly 11:13 seconds 19:25 30:10 34:7 52:3 section 7:9,12 42:14 70:20,22 72:20 75:16 75:20,23 76:17 77:8,8 security 1:11 7:11,15 7:20 14:17,19 15:8,9 15:13,15 16:13,24 17:2,7,10,11,20,21 25:4,6 30:14 33:12 34:1,2,4,12,13,17,20 34:24 35:2,3,7,11 36:4 37:9,14,22 38:1 38:7,9,17,20 39:22 40:20 41:2,9 42:6,12 42:25 43:17,22 44:3,6 45:16,21 46:19 47:13 49:22,23 50:2 54:18 54:25 55:3 56:10,22 57:10 59:3,8,13,16,17 59:20,22 60:9,13,16 61:16 62:16,25 63:3 63:16,22 64:2,14 70:21 71:7,10,13,15

71:16,18,23,24 72:7,8 72:11,12,15,15 sedan 34:21 seek 77:14 seeking 75:18 seen 32:25 44:18 47:11 49:20 sees 46:9 sentence 50:1 sentences 49:18 separate 49:4,5 56:9 **session** 78:23 seven 42:12 share 39:6 40:11 sharing 56:16 shoe 54:20 55:22 show 4:23 5:23 7:2,5,13 7:16,19 18:3,11 20:19 24:2,18,19 25:16 27:12,15 28:9 29:14 30:5 31:15 32:25 33:6 33:8,10,15 55:18,18 56:6 66:16 72:18 74:17 showing 20:12 55:20 56:25 shown 29:20 35:16 54:11 68:12 **shows** 66:14 side 25:6 33:13 34:10 56:4 74:20.21 simultaneously 65:22 **single** 69:7 sir 4:20,21 5:10,19 6:16 6:18 8:19 13:14 66:1 73:17 75:8 situation 38:2 **six** 53:6 size 35:21 small 35:18 snatched 16:1 17:21 snippet 25:15 28:6 soon 60:2 sorry 28:24 31:11 33:2 36:13,14 37:20 40:20 42:23 43:20 48:5,20 48:21,23 49:2 50:13 52:12 55:8 60:9 62:19 64:23 73:18 sort 39:14 **speak** 15:13,15 28:24 59:7 61:13 67:19 specific 18:14 20:9 29:2,2,3 61:10 67:13 specifically 17:20 19:23 45:14 47:3 49:15 59:21 60:16 68:5,20 71:14

spelled 4:12 **spoke** 11:16 46:18 53:19 54:1 71:14 72:11 staff 1:21 7:15 15:8 16:24 17:2,7,20 25:7 30:15 33:12 34:2,4,12 34:13,17,20 35:2,4 42:6,12 44:6 45:16,21 47:13 49:22,23 50:2 56:10,22 57:10 62:25 63:3 72:12 stale 68:18 stamp 19:7,7,14,14,17 21:8 31:5 51:15,25 52:2 stamped 18:25 51:20 51:22.23 stamps 18:15,16,18,18 20:1,2,9 27:18 47:9 47:16 52:8,15 standard 68:20 standing 67:8 start 6:20 52:17 started 13:25 53:9 60:18 starting 14:2 34:7 starts 34:12 38:12 53:5 state 11:5 52:7 58:22 59:11 62:20 stated 25:23 36:16 42:5 43:11 51:16 60:23 65:13 70:24 74:25 statement 2:7,19 6:1,2 6:4,16,25 8:2 51:12 65:20 70:13 statements 10:23 59:1 states 19:23 58:25 59:18 72:7 stating 59:14 status 74:17 statute 67:14 68:21 69:7.9 stealing 15:21 24:3 70:25 71:2 Stephen 1:24 3:10,18 6:25 70:14 steps 11:1 stop 18:6 56:16 stops 34:11 straight 29:3 street 34:10 35:19 67:8 strict 11:13 strike 17:2 26:13 33:2 56:19 64:23 stripper's 24:4 71:2 strippers 15:21 struck 10:24

style 31:4 subject 38:21 50:18 **submit** 69:20 **submits** 74:14 submitted 73:7 subsequent 45:20 substantial 70:17 sufficient 69:6 **summary** 40:17 Sunday 45:23 supervisor 13:19 supporting 71:22 suppose 26:21 supposed 23:8 29:15 surround 34:22 surrounded 71:7 surrounding 14:10 35:6 surroundings 38:16 surveillance 18:1 71:4 suspension 59:4 sustain 36:7 sustained 36:12 swear 8:14 swinging 35:4 system 46:19,23 52:20 Т t 66:7 T-E-P-H-E-N 3:20 t/a 1:6 **TABLE** 2:6 taken 15:20,21,23 20:21 23:17 24:3 71:4 takes 21:9 60:3 talk 23:7 49:16 talked 55:6 talks 47:5 team 55:3 71:10,13,18 technical 39:5 tell 8:15 29:13 33:7 34:8 48:10 54:24 55:23 tendered 49:13 termination 38:22 terms 7:10 70:20 71:22 71.24 testified 28:1 29:9 54:17 56:20 57:13,17 60:8 63:5 64:14 71:18 71:24 72:4 testify 3:7 11:22 19:12 19:18 20:10 21:12 22:21 23:2 24:20 28:13 33:15 36:3 testimony 11:7 35:20 36:20 48:3 50:22 54:5 54:21 57:6 65:4 68:11 68:15 thank 3:14 4:22 5:21

6:23 7:24 8:1.7.19 11:1 17:13 19:3 21:13 24:5 26:11 30:21,25 31:15 32:4 38:4,23 39:18 40:3,5 53:17 57:25 58:6,20 60:4,5 62:12 63:5,15 64:9 65:11,19 69:23,24 70:11,15 72:20 74:15 75:11 76:24 78:18 third 10:17 40:19 41:1,5 41:8 threat 38:15 three 9:10 10:21 11:1 19:1 27:18 28:7 42:19 42:20 43:22 44:2 47:3 49:23 50:13 52:3 55:6 55:10,13 66:4,10 68:13,17 73:5 76:20 thrown 47:11 49:20 50:9 timely 78:16 times 18:20 21:7 52:14 52:16,18,19 53:1,14 74:19 timing 53:14 title 31:5 59:5 today 5:10,24 7:2 19:3 48:22 49:6 51:15 58:6 66:13 76:25 78:19,20 today's 5:3 told 10:17 44:5 46:19 53:4.8 tolerated 38:17 top 33:23 34:19 68:1 total 24:18 trail 63:14 transcript 73:4,8,15 76:19,23 transfer 59:2 transferred 62:2 tried 50:14 trigger 69:6 triggered 60:1 67:23 triggering 66:21 triple 68:3 true 22:1 32:8 truth 8:15,16 try 33:16 trying 26:22 33:18 39:5 turning 37:14 71:21 two 7:9 14:18 17:11 18:10,20 20:5 27:11 27:15,17 37:21 41:20 42:15 47:3 48:2,5,9 48:11,18,23 49:6 50:12 51:14 52:3 63:2 68:12 71:16,22

	I	I	,
type 34:3 67:10	<b>visit</b> 41:21	Y	20191019 31:3,12
typically 10:8 44:17	visited 41:15,19	year 66:10	<b>2020</b> 66:12
74:14	vote 76:4 77:24	years 9:10 10:21 19:1	<b>2022</b> 1:13 77:10,17,18
	Voyager 1:6	27:18 28:7 66:4 68:13	<b>21</b> 39:4
U	<b>vs</b> 68:23	68:18	<b>22</b> 34:7
ultimately 42:7		00.10	<b>25-823</b> 7:9,12
underscore 31:3,9,10	W	Z	<b>25-830</b> 72:20
underscores 27:20	<b>W</b> 1:15,18	zooming 46:22	<b>251</b> 13:20,21 14:4,12
68:16	Wait 48:20	200ming 40.22	<b>2575</b> 75:16 76:17
understand 43:9 69:7	waited 41:20 46:4	0	2575(b) 77:8
understanding 10:7	waiting 27:6 68:17		2575(b)(13) 75:23
13:12 41:7 42:1,14	waits 74:19	<b>00</b> 53:5	<b>2575(b)(14)</b> 77:8
65:3	waive 74:23	<b>00157</b> 75:22	2575(b)(4)(a) 75:21
Understood 23:10	walk 34:13	<b>02:02:17</b> 53:7	<b>25766</b> 59:1
24:25 74:12 75:5	walked 57:5	<b>0200</b> 31:10 52:4	<b>25797</b> 59:2
unlawful 1:10 7:8,18	walking 34:9,10 35:10		<b>25823.16</b> 59:4
67:16,18 68:21 69:9	67:7	1	<b>25823.82</b> 70:20
70:18	walks 34:20	<b>1:44</b> 3:2	<b>25823.86</b> 70:22
unlawfulness 67:19	wanted 74:12	<b>113585</b> 1:8 3:5	<b>25025.00</b> 70.22 <b>2B</b> 1:7
unreliable 68:4,5	wants 20:10 27:23	<b>12</b> 33:21 47:24 49:18	
untimely 5:4	Washington 7:4	<b>12:45</b> 45:24	3
<b>untilitiely</b> 5.4 <b>use</b> 38:16 67:15 72:16	wasnington 7.4 wasn't 51:20,22,24	<b>13</b> 47:24	<b>3</b> 31:16 32:16 35:14
use 30.10 07.13 72.10	wash t 51.20,22,24 way 18:18	<b>14</b> 5:5,11	37:5 44:20 45:13
V	way 10.10 weak 66:13	<b>16</b> 47:25	46:17 56:18,20,24,25
<b>V1</b> 42:5 49:20 50:2,3		<b>17</b> 17:4,6,9 45:24 62:15	<b>3:40</b> 78:25
	weapon 39:16	<b>18</b> 42:13	
value 29:19	wearing 25:10,11 54:7	<b>1805</b> 1:7 7:4	<b>30</b> 2:15 24:17,22 52:5
vehicle 34:19,21,25	54:11 55:24	<b>19</b> 2:15,16 7:14 9:20	73:7 76:22
victim 10:22 15:19,23	WebEx 1:15	12:23 13:7 15:10	<b>31</b> 19:25
15:25,25 16:3,6 17:21	website 78:15	21:22 22:5,13,20	<b>37</b> 2:16
24:2 25:5 30:19 33:10	Wednesday 1:12	23:17 31:14 32:3,6,16	4
33:12,24 34:9,12,15	weeks 41:21 73:5 76:20	<b>19-251-</b> 75:21	
34:15,18,18,22 35:1,4	weight 36:21,23	<b>19-251-00157</b> 1:8 3:4	<b>4</b> 47:2 77:18
35:6 41:24 45:15	went 15:24	75:19	<b>40</b> 2:12 30:10
47:10 50:7 53:19,20	white 25:12 30:16 34:19		F
54:7 56:23 57:3,13,18	35:22 44:21 54:12,13	2	5
57:21 61:22,23,24	willing 19:18	<b>2</b> 1:13 18:4 19:10 22:12	<b>5</b> 34:6
62:4 63:9,12,24 71:7	wish 6:15 8:2,8 65:14	22:23 24:9 30:2,5,10	<b>5:22</b> 57:17,20
72:5	65:20 70:13 73:12	33:4,21 41:13,14,16	
victim's 35:1 54:20	wishes 8:10 73:22 74:4	41:23 42:11 50:20	6
71:10,19	witness 2:11 3:24,24	55:21 56:6	<b>62</b> 2:12
videoconference 1:15	4:1 5:4 6:6,8 7:24 8:9	<b>2:00</b> 52:6 53:5,9,11	<b>64</b> 2:12
videos 18:1,11,20 20:5	8:11,19 10:20,22	<b>2:02</b> 19:24	<b>65</b> 2:9,21
27:11 29:10 48:2,6,9	11:22,24 12:3 19:11	<b>2:02.17</b> 47:10	
48:25 49:5,7 56:13	19:18 21:4,12 23:2,6	<b>2:02:17</b> 49:21	7
68:11	24:20 26:4 33:15 40:4	<b>2:02:31</b> 49:22	<b>7</b> 2:8 30:10 47:24
viewed 13:2 24:5 28:2	45:2 65:14	<b>2:05</b> 20:1	<b>7:14</b> 54:17
vigorous 21:11	witnesses 5:2,5,7,10,13	2:05:47 50:1	<b>70</b> 2:20
violated 7:10 67:22	11:16 45:6,8,11 65:17	<b>2:06</b> 20:1 53:6	
violates 70:20	won' 66:7	<b>2:12</b> 57:13	8
violating 71:22	work 38:2 67:12	<b>2:30</b> 52:6 53:5,12	<b>8</b> 26:17,20 27:12 28:18
violation 7:8,11 14:17	working 15:10 42:13	<b>20</b> 38:5,11	<b>888</b> 1:6
18:21 50:24,25 51:3,8	43:4,6	<b>200</b> 31:9	
59:5 66:16 69:6,8,17	works 52:21,22	<b>2019</b> 2:15,16 7:14 9:20	9
70:19,21	worth 68:15	12:23 13:7 15:10 17:4	<b>9</b> 2:12 77:10,17
violations 14:18 58:10	wouldn't 62:7	21:22 22:5,13 23:18	<b>90</b> 73:9
58:18 77:14	wrong 43:23	31:14 32:3,6,16 41:16	
violent 38:9 39:15		62:16	
66:18 72:9	X		
l	I		l

## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Assets

Before: DC ABRA

Date: 11-02-22

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

near Lans &

Court Reporter

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1716 14TH ST., N.W., STE. 200 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-7831

(202) 234-4433