DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

+ + + + + MEETING

IN THE MATTER OF:

1010 V, LLC, t/a Living Room

1010 Vermont Avenue NW : Show Cause Retailer CT - ANC 2F : Hearing

License No. 76906 Case #21-251-00040

(Allowed Establishment : to be Used for Unlawful : Purposes, Failed to Follow Security Plan)

> Wednesday October 26, 2022

The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board met via WebEx videoconference, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson presiding.

PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson BOBBY CATO, JR., Member RAFI ALIYA CROCKETT, Member EDWARD S. GRANDIS, Member JENI HANSEN, Member JAMES SHORT, JR., Member

ALSO PRESENT:

JOSE ORELLANA, DC ABRA Staff CHRIS BAILEY, Applicant SIMIAK SAADATIAN, Applicant CHRIS SOUTHCOTT, DC OAG KEVIN PUENTE, Investigator

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Government's	Opening	Statement	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 8	
Government's	Closing	Statement	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.82	
Applicant's (Closing S	Statement.	•	•		•			•		•	.87	

W-I-T-N-E-S-S-E-S

Name Direct Cross Redirect Recross

Investigator Puente 11 34

Mr. Saadatian 46 53 61

Mr. Bailey 62 66

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2

1

1:33 p.m.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon, We're on the record. everyone.

Good afternoon, again, everyone. Welcome to the afternoon session of the ABC My name is Donovan Anderson, I'm Chairman Board. of the Board.

We're joined this afternoon by five other Board Members. Mr. Bobby Cato, Mr. James Short, Ms. Jeni Hansen, Ms. Rafi Crockett and Mr. Edward Grandis. The Board has six members in attendance this afternoon and that constitutes a quorum.

We have seven cases scheduled for this afternoon's calendar. And once your case is called I will take a moment for IT Specialist to elevate the rights for each party to enable their camera and microphone. Then and only then will you have the ability to enable your equipment. If your case has not been heard you will remain mute and your camera will be disabled.

At the conclusion of each case, the parties will have the option to leave. If the party chooses to stay all cameras and microphones

for the conclusion of the case will be disabled. 1 2 Should you have any questions or 3 require assistance in the hearing please submit them using the question and answer feature. 4 5 Also, for any questions that you have if you're not sure what case has been called, 6 7 please identify yourself in the Chat. If for 8 some reason you need to be elevated for the case that's been called, please identify yourself in 9 10 the Chat and we will elevate your rights. 11 All right. So, the first case the 12 for the afternoon calendar we're calling is Case Number 21-CIT-00040, Living Room. The License 13 Number 76906. 14 15 Mr. Orellana, can you please elevate 16 the rights of the Government and the Licensee, 17 and/or witnesses along with the Investigator for this case. 18 19 MR. ORELLANA: David C. Bailey, your access has been elevated. 20 Simiak Saadatian, your access has been 21 22 elevated. 23 Mr. Southcott, your access has been 24 elevated. 25 And Kevin Puente, your access has been

elevated.
That is all, Chairman.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.
All right. Good afternoon, everyone.
Mr. Southcott, can you please identify
yourself for the record, please.
MR. SOUTHCOTT: Good afternoon. My
name is Chris Southcott. I am an attorney with
the Office of the Attorney General and I will be
representing the District in this matter.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon,
sir.
Mr. Bailey, can you identify yourself
for the record, please.
MR. BAILEY: It's spelled D-A-V-I-D,
last name B-A-I-L-E-Y, for Living Room Nightclub.
I am the ABC Manager and the representative for
I am the ABC Manager and the representative for the establishment today. Also, should be on the
the establishment today. Also, should be on the
the establishment today. Also, should be on the line should be Siamak Saadatian.
the establishment today. Also, should be on the line should be Siamak Saadatian. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who was that?
the establishment today. Also, should be on the line should be Siamak Saadatian. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who was that? MR. BAILEY: Siamak, one of the owners
the establishment today. Also, should be on the line should be Siamak Saadatian. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who was that? MR. BAILEY: Siamak, one of the owners should be here as well. I'm not sure if I heard

have not -- all right. So, Mr. Siamak, can you please spell and state your name for the record, please.

MR. SAADATIAN: Good afternoon. My name is Siamak, S-I-A-M-A-K, last name Saadatian, S-A-A-D-A-T-I-A-N. I am one of the partners of Living Room.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon, sir.

Mr. Southcott -- I'm sorry, Mr. Southcott, if this matter goes to a hearing, well, I'll just deal with this matter.

All right. So, this is a Show Cause Hearing for the Living Room.

Prior to starting, Mr. Southcott, do you have any preliminary matters?

MR. SOUTHCOTT: I'm not sure whether this would be properly addressed as a preliminary matter or something that should be addressed once we get into the actual presentation of evidence. But I did want to note that the Government has some problems with the one exhibit that the establishment has provided in the sense that the exhibit itself seem to just be a Word document that doesn't have any indication of who wrote it,

when it was signed or any corroborating information apart from the fact that it is a Word document. And so I would note up front that the Government does not believe that this is the type of evidence that could be included and should be considered by the Board. But if that's something you would rather save for when the evidence is being presented I can offer them.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You can raise it as an objection if that document has been presented in evidence and then we can deal with that at that time we can check on the authenticity of the document and so. So, we can address that preliminary later on in the hearing.

Mr. Bailey, do you have any preliminary matters that you need to bring to the attention of the Board?

MR. BAILEY: No, sir.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And, Mr. Bailey, for some strange reason you're very dark in your photograph. So, I would not recognize you if I was to see you on the street based on how dark you are on your camera. So, just as an FY.

MR. BAILEY: Let me see if I can get another light for you. Give me on moment. I'll

see if I can get another light in the camera.

I'm not sure if that's a little bit better or not, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: A little bit better but we can work on it, Mr. Bailey. You're there and your phone is there for an FY.

All right. That's fine. So, this is a Show Cause Hearing. The Government has the burden, the Government will, the process is that the Government will make an opening statement.

The Licensee can also make an opening statement at this time or they can defer until they present their case. Once the opening statements are presented then the Government will call its witnesses. The Government will do direct examination of its witness and, Mr. Bailey, if you so desire you can cross-examine the witness on the nature of his or her testimony. Once that is done, once the Government has presented its case, then you can call witnesses if you so desire. The Board will ask questions of the witnesses once there has been direct and cross-examination of the witnesses.

Are there any questions by anyone on

any procedural questions prior to us starting?

MR. SOUTHCOTT: None from the

Government.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bailey?

MR. BAILEY: None from the Licensee.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, thank
All right.

Mr. Southcott, do you wish to make an opening statement?

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Yes, I do, Mr. Chairman.

Good afternoon, Members of the Board. The evidence in this case is fairly simple. On the evening of October 22nd, 2021, security personnel at Living Room used excessive force in their removal of a patron and assaulted him in the process. Security footage from the incident will show a member of Living room's staff grabbing a patron by the throat and pushing him back several feet. Subsequent security footage will show security personnel utilizing a very different approach and ultimately ejecting the patron, indicating that the establishment knows how to properly eject a patron but they declined to use that proper level of force in the first

you.

incidence. And, in stead, choked the patron in violation of D.C. Code 25-823A2, which prohibits an establishment for used in an unlawful or disorderly purpose and D.C. Code 25-823A6, which prohibits an establishment from violating its Security Plan as the Security Plan for Living Room prohibits that type of conduct that members of the security personnel engaged in on the night of October 22nd.

The evidence will also show that
Investigator Kevin Puente conducted a regulatory
inspection of Living Room where he talked to the
owner, Mr. Siamak Saadatian, who reviewed the
security footage with Investigator Puente and
admitted that he was very troubled by the
incident, that he did not support that kind of
behavior by his staff and that as a result he
terminated the security guard who used the unjust
force.

Because the security footage shows an assault and the owner admitted that an employee's excess force in ejecting a patron, the District asks that you find Living Room liable for being used for an unlawful or disorderly purpose and for violating its Security Plan.

1	Thank you.
2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, sir.
	<u>-</u>
3	Mr. Bailey, do you wish to make an
4	opening statement at this juncture or will you
5	defer until you present your case?
6	MR. BAILEY: I will defer until I
7	present the case, Chairperson.
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
9	Thank you.
10	Mr. Southcott, do you have a witness
11	you wish to call?
12	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Yes, I do. At this
13	time the Government will call Investigator Kevin
14	Puente.
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: One moment,
16	please.
17	Mr. Bailey, it appears that you have
18	another guest with you so I'll ask when you're
19	not speaking can you please mute your microphone,
20	sir, please.
21	MR. BAILEY: No problem.
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr.
23	Puente, can you raise your right hand, please.
24	(Witness sworn.)
25	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Your witness,

1	Mr. Southcott.
2	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Thank you, Mr.
3	Chairman.
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Mr. Puente, can you
6	please state and spell your name for the record?
7	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. Kevin
8	Puente, K-E-V-I-N P-U-E-N-T-E.
9	MR. SOUTHCOTT: And where do you work?
10	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: At the Alcoholic
11	Beverage Regulation Administration.
12	MR. SOUTHCOTT: What is your position
13	at ABRA?
14	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: I'm an
15	Investigator.
16	MR. SOUTHCOTT: And how long have you
17	worked as an Investigator?
18	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Seven and a half
19	years.
20	MR. SOUTHCOTT: As an Investigator what
21	are your duties?
22	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: I conduct
23	inspection and investigations of licensed
24	establishments in the District of Columbia.
25	MR. SOUTHCOTT: And what types of

things do you review in conducting those 1 2 inspections? 3 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: We do regulatory 4 inspections. We called by MPD for assistance 5 that there's a crime that occurs at or near by an ABC establishment, help them obtain surveillance 6 7 video and investigate the establishment having 8 wrongdoing. 9 MR. SOUTHCOTT: As part of your duties 10 do you receive any training? 11 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 12 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Does some of that 13 training include the appropriate levels of force 14 that an establishment should use when dealing 15 with patrons? 16 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 17 MR. SOUTHCOTT: So, you feel 18 comfortable based on your training and experience 19 being able to, rather, do you feel comfortable 20 based on your training and experience identifying 21 instances where excessive force has been used? 22 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 23 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Thank you. And one 24 last thing on background. Is it a requirement

that an individual be actually charged with a

crime or that a large be filed in order for ABRA 1 to determine that excessive force has been 2 3 utilized? 4 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No. 5 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. I'd like to now direct your attention to the particulars of this 6 7 case, Living Room. Are you familiar with the establishment? 8 9 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 10 MR. SOUTHCOTT: How are you familiar 11 with it? 12 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: I've been to the 13 establishment a handful of times throughout my 14 seven and a half years. 15 MR. SOUTHCOTT: And how did you become involved with the establishment as related to the 16 17 October 22nd, 2021, incident? 18 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: MPD reached out 19 to ABRA. MR. SOUTHCOTT: And what did MPD reach 20 21 out and communicate to ABRA? 22 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: The week of 23 October 30th, 2021, Detective Wilfred Lawful from 24 the Second District reached out to me and advised 25 me that he's been having some trouble obtaining

surveillance video from Living Room. 1 MR. SOUTHCOTT: And what did you do in 2 3 response to that communication? INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: I reached out to 4 5 my supervisors to see if anyone was investigating an incident and they advised me no one was and 6 7 advised me to look into it. I contact Detective Yawful and told him that I'll be working and I'll 8 9 go out there and see if I can get him the surveillance video that he needed. And I asked 10 11 him what was the incident if he could send me the 12 251 Report. 13 MR. SOUTHCOTT: And what is 251 Report? 14 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: It's what MPD 15 calls their report, 251s, to probably document an incident. 16 17 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. And did you 18 receive a public incident report in this case? 19 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 20 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Would you be able to 21 recognize that document if I showed it to you? 22 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 23 MR. SOUTHCOTT: I'm going to ask the 24 Board to allow me to share my screen. 25 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Orellana,

1	can you please allow Mr. Southcott to share his
2	screen, please.
3	MR. ORELLANA: One minute.
4	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, sir.
5	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Can you folks see my
6	screen?
7	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes, sir.
8	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. Investigator
9	Puente, do you recognize this document?
10	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes, I do.
11	MR. SOUTHCOTT: What is this document?
12	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: This is the MPD
13	251 Report.
14	MR. SOUTHCOTT: And this is the police
15	report related to the incident at Living Room?
16	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes.
17	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Can you read the public
18	narrative section of the police report?
19	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. Sub 1
20	states while at the listed location S1 pushed him
21	out of a restricted area in the establishment.
22	When Sub 1 asked S1 why he pushed him instead of
23	just asking him to move, S1 became upset and
24	grabbed Subject 1 above the neck and dragged him
25	out of the establishment. Subject 1 was not

1	allowed to return to the establishment and is
2	unaware of S 1's location after the assault. Sub
3	1 contacted MPD and did not seek medical
4	treatment.
5	MR. SOUTHCOTT: So, this incident
6	report indicates that a patron was grabbed about
7	the neck, is that right?
8	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes.
9	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. And what did you
10	do in response to receiving this police report?
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I went to Living
12	Room.
13	MR. SOUTHCOTT: So, did you conduct a
14	regulatory inspection at Living Room?
15	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes, I did.
16	MR. SOUTHCOTT: And what date did you
17	conduct that inspection?
18	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Saturday, October
19	30th, 2021.
20	MR. SOUTHCOTT: And could you briefly
21	describe what occurred in the course of your
22	investigation of that?
23	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. I arrived
24	about 5:00 p.m. that day. The establishment was
25	participating in a Pub Crawl, a Halloween Pub

Crawl that was taking place. I identified myself to the security and asked to speak to the ABC manager owner. Security went and got the owner, Mr. Siamak. I went downstairs, followed him. I started to conduct my regulatory inspection by the bar but it was too loud for us to walk so I asked to step into the office while we conducted the regulatory inspection.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: And in the course of your regulatory inspection did you find any violations?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No violations from the regulatory inspection.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay, thank you.

But in the course of your conversation you did discuss matters with the owner, did you, is that correct?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes, I advised him MPD reached out to us regarding that they had reached out several times to get the video from the incident that occurred the week prior. I advised him that MPD said they observed the video on their screens but they needed a hard copy of it and that he needed to produce a hard copy to MPD as well as ABRA.

After watching the incident with him I advised him that from my experience it did not look good and that he should consider having his security staff possibly be retrained on their security protocols and just be ready in case if there was a fact-finding hearing or anything else. Mr. Siamak advised me that the security guard was terminated because he used excessive force and he was a former law enforcement officers and law enforcement officers tend to be a bit aggressive.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: So, I can go into that in a little bit. But right now with the conversation I just want to focus on the video footage.

So, did you review video footage from the night of the incident while you were in the owner's office?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes, he pulled it up on his cell phone. We reviewed it for a few minutes and I asked him to send me an electronic version or a hard copy and I got a hard copy later that night from Mr. Bailey.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Would you be able to recognize that footage if I were to play it for

1 you? 2 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. MR. SOUTHCOTT: I'll share my screen 3 again and hopefully I can make this work. 4 5 Is this footage visible? INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 6 7 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. Investigator 8 Puente, can you briefly describe what is 9 happening in this image? I have not played 10 anything yet. 11 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: This is 12 overlooking the dance floor. There's a seating area to the left and dance floor in the middle. 13 14 MR. SOUTHCOTT: And is this the footage 15 that you reviewed in the owner's office? 16 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 17 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. This is only a 18 15 second clip so I think I'm probably just going 19 to play the whole thing and after I play it I 20 will ask you to describe for me what happens in 21 the club and I can obviously replay sections of 22 it if you would like. But I'm playing the clip 23 now. 24 Investigator Puente, can you describe

what occurs in that 15-second clip.

1	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: It appears that
2	one of the security guard has the subject in a
3	choke hold while pushing him back.
4	MR. SOUTHCOTT: And how far back would
5	you say that the security guard pushes the
6	patron?
7	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Appears to look
8	like almost 5 to 10 feet.
9	MR. SOUTHCOTT: And in the process does
10	the security guard or does that conflict result
11	in anyone else being moved around in the
12	problematic way?
13	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Patrons are kind
14	of moved out of the way as they're pushing him.
15	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Do any patrons fall
16	over?
17	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yeah, I believe
18	a female does right in front of this guy you got
19	up on the screen now.
20	MR. SOUTHCOTT: So, based on your
21	training and experience, do you believe that the
22	force that was used by the establishment in this
23	video clip is appropriate?
24	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No.
25	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Why not?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: There were 1 multiple security guards. They could of each 2 grabbed a arm. Living Room is known to have an 3 MPE reimbursable detail. So, if there is an 4 5 issue they could have went and got the RDO officer outside. 6 7 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Thank you. I am now 8 going to pull up a second clip. Is this visible? 9 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 10 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. This clip is 18 11 seconds long. Is this clip also one of the ones 12 that you reviewed in the owner's office in which 13 you received from Mr. Bailey? 14 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 15 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Is it on the same night 16 in question as the previous clip that you just 17 reviewed? 18 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 19 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. This clip is 18 20 seconds long. I am, again, just going to play 21 the whole thing and we can stop and replay 22 portions of it as needed. 23 What did you see in that clip, Mr. 24 Puente? 25 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: The security

guard is grabbing the subject's back of his shirt and kind of lifting him up while bringing him up the stairs.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Would you say that that is a different amount of force that is being used in this clp than what was used in the previous clip?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Would you describe the amount of force that was used in the second clip as being an excessive amount of force for removing a patron?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: I would say it's not excessive as the first clip.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: And why is that?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Because he's got him by the shirt, lifting his shirt up but he's also holding his hand as well as he's walking up the stairs. With the first clip he's like literally throwing him back while choking him it looks like.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: And so in your experience would it be fair to say that the establishment knows how to remove a patron from its premises without using an excessive amount of

1	force?
2	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes, I believe
3	so.
4	MR. SOUTHCOTT: I'm going to play one
5	more clip for you. Can you see this clip?
6	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes.
7	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Is this clip also one
8	of the pieces of security footage that you
9	received as part of your investigation?
10	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes.
11	MR. SOUTHCOTT: And it takes place on
12	the same night?
13	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes.
14	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. This clip is 35
15	seconds along. Again, I'm going to play the
16	whole thing for the Board.
17	Can you describe what occurred in that
18	35-second clip?
19	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: The security
20	guard is escorting the patron out the front door.
21	Then once he's outside the front door he kind of
22	pushes him off to the side.
23	MR. SOUTHCOTT: And how does this clip
24	relate to the second clip?
25	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: It's a

1	continuation of what was occurring on the stairs
2	up to the exit.
3	MR. SOUTHCOTT: In this third clip is
4	there anyone that you are able to recognize?
5	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes, I believe
6	Mr. David Bailey is outside in the dark suit
7	outside.
8	MR. SOUTHCOTT: And so would Mr. Bailey
9	be able to see the events that happened in the
10	first clip?
11	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No, unless he
12	reviewed the surveillance video.
13	MR. SOUTHCOTT: But he would not be
14	able to see contemporaneously what occurred?
15	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No.
16	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. I have no more
17	further questions on the security footage.
18	Well, I guess, at least in terms of
19	sharing that.
20	Mr. Puente, is that all of the
21	security footage that you received?
22	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes.
23	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. And so after
24	you, in the process of reviewing this security
25	footage you mentioned that you did so in the

office of the owner, is that correct? 1 2 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 3 MR. SOUTHCOTT: And did you have a conversation with the owner? 4 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 5 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Who was the person that 6 7 you had a conversation with, can you identify 8 them? 9 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes, Mr. Siamak Saadatian. 10 11 MR. SOUTHCOTT: And can you describe 12 what happened in the course of that conversation with him? 13 14 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. We were 15 just having a casual conversation. I advised him from my experience it did not look good how 16 17 security was handling the patron. I advised him 18 to possibly reach out to his resources such as 19 the Mayor's Office of Night Life and Culture 20 about obtaining security training for his staff 21 to get a refresh on training especially coming 22 out of Covid. And also to provide any video to 23 MPD as soon as possible. 24 MR. SOUTHCOTT: And what did he say in 25 response to your statements?

1	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: He'll look into
2	it.
3	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Did the owner seemed
4	troubled by the security footage when you
5	reviewed it with him?
6	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes, he seemed
7	generally concerned. That's when he told me that
8	they got rid of the security guard who was a
9	former law enforcement officer and those
10	individual tend to be more aggressive.
11	MR. SOUTHCOTT: So, the owner told you
12	that he no longer employs the security guard who
13	engaged in the removal of the patron in the clips
14	that we saw, is that correct?
15	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes.
16	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Did you ever later on
17	or at any point reach out to the establishment to
18	determine whether they had created their own
19	incident report?
20	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No, I did not.
21	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Why did you not do
22	that?
23	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: To be honest, I
24	don't know. After the owner told me what he did
25	I was satisfied with the results.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Does ABRA have a policy 1 2 of reaching out to establishments when there are 3 ongoing MPD investigations? INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: We have a policy 4 5 if there's a staff member is a suspect in an MPD investigation we don't interfere in MPD's 6 7 investigation by talking to any of the alleged 8 suspects. 9 MR. SOUTHCOTT: And so at the time you 10 created your report did you have reason to 11 believe that MPD would be conducting an 12 investigation? 13 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes, because 14 Detective Yawful told me he was investigating the 15 incident on the security quard. 16 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Thank you. As part of 17 your, rather I'll just finish up. 18 How did your conversation with the 19 owner sort of wrap up? 20 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Just that I was 21 making sure would get me the video as soon as 22 possible. I told him along with MPD. I had him 23 sign my regulatory inspection form. And I 24 exited. 25 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. I'd like to move

onto a different part of your investigation. 1 2 As part of your investigation did you 3 review the establishments Security Plan? INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 4 5 MR. SOUTHCOTT: And would you be able to recognize that Security Plan if you were shown 6 7 it? 8 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 9 MR. SOUTHCOTT: I'm going to share my 10 screen. 11 This is in the case report which is 12 part of the record starting on page 8. 13 Investigator Puente, is this the start 14 of the security plan? 15 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. MR. SOUTHCOTT: And as I scroll through 16 it do you recognize this plan? We'll get into 17 18 specific sections in a moment, but I believe it 19 continues on until page 21. Is all of that the 20 Security Plan? 21 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 22 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. I'm going to 23 direct your attention to a segment of this which 24 starts on page 13 and there is that headline report at the bottom there that says Procedure 25

for Handling Incidents. Can you read the second 1 2 paragraph that starts, It is extremely important? 3 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: All staff are 4 prohibited from assuming any alcohol or drugs 5 that will -- oh, the second paragraph not that. MR. SOUTHCOTT: Yes. 6 7 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: It is extremely 8 important that all guests are treated in a 9 friendly and courteous manner. Whenever possible 10 greet patrons with a smile and friendly words. Always address guests in a respectful and 11 12 professional manner. 13 On occasion, patrons may become hard 14 to deal with and uncooperative. In those 15 instances use the EEE Strategy when reasonably 16 possible. 17 MR. SOUTHCOTT: And moving on to the 18 next page. Does the Security Plan explain what 19 this EEE Strategy is? 20 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 21 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Can you indicate what 22 the Security Plan says EEE means? 23 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: It means, 24 Explain, Explain again, and Eject. 25 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. There is a

section that is bolded and in all caps. Could 1 2 you read out that section. 3 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: When in doubt or 4 when necessary call the police. 5 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Did the establishment ever call the police to your knowledge related to 6 7 this incident? 8 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Not that I know 9 of, no. MR. SOUTHCOTT: And then moving on to 10 11 There is a section here that discusses page 15. 12 use of force. Could you read that section? INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 13 able to zoom in a little bit? 14 15 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Yes. I can certainly 16 do that. Okay. 17 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Use of Force. 18 Sometimes second reminders are necessary followed 19 by warnings that further conduct will result in 20 being asked to leave the premises. Any patron 21 that who aggressively rejects a reasonable 22 request to behave should be asked to leave. 23 Staff cannot legally use force against 24 a person unless in self defense and defense of 25 others from imminent harm. When force is used it

must be a reasonable extent necessary. This means no tackling, no punching, no kicking, no choking, no head butts, no piling on top, no hog ties and no pain compliance holds.

At our venue, staff may use restraints or control holds, handcuff -- oh, at our venue staff may not use restraints or control holds, handcuffs, tackling, punching, kicking, choking, had butts, piling on top, hog ties, pain and compliance holds. Basically just use enough force to prevent the violater from harming anyone. When in doubt, call the police and management.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Thank you. As you reviewed that Use of Force section does the security footage indicate that the establishment complied with the Use of Force as it is outlined in the Security Plan?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Why is that?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: They were

observed choking the patron.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Thank you very much.

I'm going to now direct,, or I guess actually, I have a couple more questions on that.

According to your understanding of the 1 2 Security Plan would the establishment have 3 violated the Security Plan even if security was covering the patrons mouth and nose as opposed to 4 their throat? 5 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 6 7 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Why is that? 8 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Because they're 9 covering up the patron. They said just use 10 enough force to prevent the violater from harming 11 anyone. So, if he's not harming anyone they 12 should be able to just escort him out with verbal 13 commands or possibly get the RCO officer that's 14 outside to escort the patron out. 15 MR. SOUTHCOTT: And the establishment 16 -- did th establishment do any of those things in 17 this instance? 18 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No. 19 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Thank you. Did you 20 summarize your investigation in a case report 21 which you wrote up? 22 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 23 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Would you be able to 24 recognize that case report if I showed it to you?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Now it's scrolling up. 1 2 This is page 2 of the packet that has been 3 admitted. And is this your case report that you 4 drafted? 5 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. MR. SOUTHCOTT: I'm not going to ask 6 7 for you to go through and read all of this or 8 frankly in any particular section considering 9 that what's contained in your case report is 10 largely consistent with the testimony. just want to clarify for the Board. Do you swear 11 12 that everything you wrote in this case report is a truthful and accurate account of the events? 13 14 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. 15 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. 16 Thank you very much. At this time I 17 have no further questions. 18 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bailey, do 19 you have any questions? 20 MR. BAILEY: Yes. I'm sorry did you 21 give consent to clarify --22 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, go ahead. 23 MR. BAILEY: -- I wasn't sure if you 24 heard me or not. 25 CROSS-EXAMINATION

1 MR. BAILEY: Investigator, were you aware that there was a detail outside of the 2 3 establishment on this night in question? INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: I'm not sure. 4 5 MR. BAILEY: Okay. So, if the establishment did have a detail outside there 6 7 would be no reason for the establishment to 8 actually h ave to call the police because of the 9 detail already being positioned outside during the incident? 10 11 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No. If the 12 detail is outside I would have someone from the 13 staff and go outside and get the officer if the 14 patron was being aggressive. 15 MR. BAILEY: Okay. Are you aware that 16 the officers positioned outside the establishment 17 have recently been informed by their Sargent to 18 not enter an establishment, that the 19 establishment must escort their patrons out to 20 the MPD officer? 21 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No, sir. 22 member of MPD. 23 MR. BAILEY: Okay. Did the owner ever 24 describe to you why the patient was removed from

the establishment?

1	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: I can't recall to
2	be honest.
3	MR. BAILEY: Did you ever question the
4	Complainant in this matter?
5	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No.
6	MR. BAILEY: Are you aware of how many
7	times the Complainant actually called MPD to the
8	establishment that night and previous nights
9	after that?
10	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No, sir.
11	MR. BAILEY: Okay. Did the detective
12	in this case ever describe why he needed your
13	help in obtaining the video footage?
14	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes. When I
15	spoke to him he said he observed that night and
16	saw the video in the security guard put the
17	patron in a choke hold so he needed a hard copy
18	and made several requests for it. So, that's why
19	I reached to see if we can get a hard copy for
20	him.
21	MR. BAILEY: And did he ever say what
22	date he came and requested the video footage?
23	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No, sir, he did
24	not.
25	MR. BAILEY: Okay. And, I mean, you

1	already made this statement but you did state
2	that you did not request a copy of the written
3	incident as described in the Security Plan that
4	the establishment must have?
5	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No, sir.
6	MR. BAILEY: Okay. That's all the
7	questions I have for the Investigator, Mr.
8	Chairperson.
9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr.
10	Bailey.
11	Any questions by any Board Members?
12	MEMBER SHORT: I have a question.
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr.
14	Short.
15	MEMBER SHORT: Thank you very much, Mr.
16	Chairman.
17	Good afternoon, Investigator Puente.
18	Thank you for your report.
19	One or two questions dealing with the
20	video.
21	What does the ABRA code that you work
22	by, what are the requirements of a owner or a
23	Licensee regarding video when requested by MPD or
24	ABRA?
25	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: When requested by

1	ABRA or MPD the establishment has 48 hours to
2	give any video request to ABRA and MPD.
3	MEMBER SHORT: To your knowledge, when
4	did MPD request video from the Licensee?
5	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Detective Lawful
6	told me he made the request with a hard copy of
7	the video that night when he reviewed the
8	surveillance video of the incident that night.
9	MEMBER SHORT: And what date was that?
LO	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: I believe October
L1	22nd, 2021.
L 2	MEMBER SHORT: When was any video
L3	extended to yourself or MPD?
L 4	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: After I visited
L5	on Saturday, October 30th, Mr. Bailey sent the
L6	video to myself and MPD.
L7	MEMBER SHORT: October 30th would have
L8	been beyond the 48 hours required?
L9	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes, sir, it was
20	seven days later.
21	MEMBER SHORT: Now, the Use of Force in
22	their Security Plan in your opinion as an
23	investigator, how many years have you been an
24	investigator?
25	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Going on seven

and a half years.

MEMBER SHORT: How many cases similar to this where let's say force was used where you put it on a report? In your seven years, just ballpark figure. Do you see this often?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Not often, sir.

I can probably count on my hands the number of
establishments I saw that seen a force like this.

MEMBER SHORT: And most Security Plans outline what you read into the transcript for this hearing in which the manner of force and the amount of force is also in the Security Plan, is that correct?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes, sir.

MEMBER SHORT: In your opinion as an investigator was the Security Plan, was the Security Plan followed?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No, sir.

MEMBER SHORT: How would you grade the amount of force use, with your knowledge in which your experience as an investigator would you consider this over aggressive or egregious?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: I'll say
egregious and over aggressive, sir, because on
video observed the security guard choking him but

then observed two other staff members walking right behind the security guard. So, those two other staff members could have grabbed the patron's hands or arms and help to escort outside without choking the patron?

MEMBER SHORT: My last question. This security person I would imagine you never got his name or any information on that person who committed this violation of the code. Did you ever get the name of that person?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No, sir.

MEMBER SHORT: So, would it fair to say even though this Licensee say she got rid of him or fired that person, could that person go right down the street and get another job in another establishment because ABRA does not track these types of violations and these security persons going from place to place committing these type of acts?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Yes, sir.

MEMBER SHORT: In your experience as an investigator how could ABRA address that?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: We could reach out to the Security Office Management Bureau Branch with MPD and we can reach out to them and

see if we can create a list of security personnel 1 2 that may not be qualified to obtain a license or 3 security license based on any pending criminal charges or charges that they had against them. 4 5 MEMBER SHORT: I do thank you for answering my questions. 6 7 That's all I have, Mr. Chair. 8 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 9 MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 11 Assistant Attorney General Southcott, 12 are you able to get the screen back and to show 13 that first video where it looks like the patron 14 is being dragged. You said it was only a few 15 seconds long. Are you able to --16 MR. SOUTHCOTT: I can bring that up 17 again. 18 MEMBER GRANDIS: And could you, 19 perhaps, slow it down since it's on a few seconds 20 long or you don't have any control on that? 21 MR. SOUTHCOTT: I can certainly try. 22 One moment. Let me see. 23 MEMBER GRANDIS: Mr. Chairman, does he 24 need permission to share the screen? 25 MR. SOUTHCOTT: No, no, no. I'm just

trying to figure out how to -- how I can slow it 1 2 down. 3 MEMBER GRANDIS: Don't worry about 4 that. Just play it. 5 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. All right. That's fine. 6 7 MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you. 8 MR. SOUTHCOTT: I can repeat that for 9 you if you'd like to see it again. MEMBER GRANDIS: No, I'm fine. 10 11 another Board Member would like to. No, it just 12 helped to review it. You can take that down now. 13 14 Mr. Puente, I see in your report that 15 you spoke with the owner who is, I think, present 16 today who stated that this individual was laying 17 on the ground. Am I reading that correctly? 18 you know if any attempt was made to call an 19 ambulance or medical assistance? It would seem 20 unusual to be laying on the ground, particularly 21 if he was asked to get up and he did not. 22 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No. 23 MEMBER GRANDIS: Do you know if there 24 was any attempt to --25 INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No, sir, I do

1 not. MEMBER GRANDIS: Mr. Chairman, I know 2 3 we're having the Government right now so if I 4 have questions regarding for the owner based on 5 what I'm speaking about now about the patron on 6 the ground and the video should I wait until he 7 is a witness or --CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. 8 9 owner decides to testify then you can ask him questions about the video. 10 11 MEMBER GRANDIS: Can I ask him 12 questions on what he said to the investigator, 13 during the investigation? 14 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Not at this 15 moment. Only if he's called as a witness. 16 MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you. 17 Southcott, thank you for time. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 19 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other 20 question by any of the Board Members? 21 Mr. Bailey, any questions of the 22 witness based on questions that were asked by the 23 Board? 24 MR. BAILEY: Excuse me, I'm sorry. Ι

was on mute.

I will go back to the question when the Board Member was asked about the video footage being obtained and requested.

So, Investigator, the MPD detective in

question, he sated that he did request to view the video for which he was allowed to view at the time. And then requested a copy of it. And he said it was in a refusal from the establishment to give him a copy of the video footage. Did he say how he was going to receive the video footage?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Not refusal. He said he tried reaching out several times calling the owner but kept getting Voicemail and that's when he decided to each out to us to see if we can assist.

MR. BAILEY: Okay. Are you aware of when the hours which the establishment is normally open for business?

INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: Usually it's Friday, Saturday, maybe Sunday from usually 7:00 or 8:00 p.m. to 2:00 or 3:00 a.m, depending on the weekend.

MR. BAILEY: Okay. So, the detective never stated that he made an arrangement to pick

1	up the video footage from the establishment at a
2	time that hen ever showed up for?
3	INVESTIGATOR PUENTE: No, he did not,
4	sir.
5	MR. BAILEY: Okay. Thank you. That's
6	all I have.
7	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Southcott,
8	do you have any Redirect?
9	MR. SOUTHCOTT: No further questions.
10	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.
11	Do you have any witnesses, sir?
12	MR. SOUTHCOTT: At this time the
13	Government rests.
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
15	Thank you.
16	Mr. Bailey, do you wish to make an
17	opening statement or do you wish to make an
18	opening statement, sir?
19	MR. BAILEY: Yes, sir. Well, I will be
20	testifying as the Head of Security and the nature
21	of things that occurred during that evening. I
22	don't know at what point you allow me to express
23	what I observed and the accident that took place
24	thereafter.
25	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, normally,

are you the only witness in this case or -
MR. BAILEY: No, Mr. Siamak is also
going to be one of the witnesses in the case, as
well.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, why don't you call, like if you don't have an opening statement call your first witness and then if you're going to testify you are not a lawyer so if you're going to testify then I have to swear you in and then Mr. -- and this is normally someone needs to ask you questions. But, if you're going to testify then I'm not quite sure how we're going to -- who is going to ask you questions but Mr. Southcott will have an opportunity to cross-examine you on whatever testimony that you gave.

MR. BAILEY: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But since you plan to call witnesses, why don't you call the owner and then we'll deal with your testimony at a later point in the hearing.

MR. BAILEY: Okay. I would like to call Mr. Siamak up to the witness stand, please.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Siamak, can you raise your right hand, please.

1	(Witness sworn.)
2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Your witness,
3	sir.
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	MR. BAILEY: Mr. Siamak, on the night
6	in question did you employ an MPD reimbursable
7	detail officers for the establishment to be open
8	that night?
9	MR. SAADATIAN: Yes.
10	MR. BAILEY: After you were made aware
11	of this incident that occurred, did you make a
12	copy of the video footage for the MPD to pick up?
13	MR. SAADATIAN: Yes.
14	MR. BAILEY: And at no time, you know,
15	prior to the investigator coming to the
16	establishment
17	had the mPD officer came to retrieve the video
18	footage, am I correct?
19	MR. SAADATIAN: No.
20	UNIDENTIFIED PERSON: Objection,
21	leading.
22	MR. BAILEY: Okay. Can you briefly
23	describe what from your knowledge as to what
24	happened the night of the incident
25	MR. SAADATIAN: So, based on what i saw

on the video and based on after talking to everybody what happened was that the customer was sitting at someone else's couch and the security guard asked him to get up because that's not where he can sit and that couch belongs to someone already because someone else reserved that couch. And he asked him a couple of time and he refused to do it and the customer apparently gets pissed off because, gets upset because like the security personnel keeps asking him and the customer spit on the security. just the security guard to grab him by the, like he just tried to grab him by the jaw in order to stop him from spitting more. Obviously, and this is a year ago, but still it's a serious matter so that's what happened and that's why the security guard grabbed him from that area and took him out.

And one thing I just wanted to say.

Based on what the Board Member said, laying on
the ground and not calling MPD, I do not recall
anyone laying on the ground.

MR. BAILEY: Okay. Did your security personnel receive any training after this incident?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. SAADATIAN: Yes, specific to 1 2 security? MR. BAILEY: No, your entire security 3 4 staff? 5 MR. SAADATIAN: Our security staff go through trainings. 6 7 MR. BAILEY: Okay. Have you always 8 cooperated with MPD and ABRA when asked for any 9 information and any evidence that they may 10 require? 11 MR. SAADATIAN: I am one of the few 12 very, very hands-on owner in the city. All the 13 AGRA investigators and police, MPD, our personnel 14 they and even some of Mayor's Office confess to 15 Every time I've been asked something I'm that. 16 there to do it. I meet up with people to present 17 them whatever they need video, incident reports, 18 anything they need related to my establishment or 19 anything outside. Even if you ask the detectives 20 they know that and even the ABRA investigator 21 points that he confess to that too that anytime 22 anything is needed I am very, very, very 23 cooperative. 24 I can use as an example, like not long 25 ago there was -- we had an event in our

establishment and ABRA and MPD came and kind of showed their concern. I had no idea what the event would be. I usually don't do that kind -- those kind of events, but he was booked and they came and they showed their -- they expressed their concern that this event might be not having a very good clientele, even though it was prepaid, it was very good money and they told me -- they didn't suggest anything. They said it's your call. And they're just there to make sure everything goes well. But because they expressed their concern, I decided to cancel that event. So, --

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Mr. Chairman, I would like tO object on the grounds of relevance and the witness has not been asked a question for several minutes. This is just a monologue by the witness but it's not particularly relevant to any of the proceedings that are happening here.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Siamak, I need you to respond to a question that's been asked of you.

Mr. Bailey, I know that you're not an attorney but I need you to ask direct questions to the witness to testify to.

MR. BAILEY: I do apologize, Mr. 1 2 Chairman, but I believe Mr. Siamak was addressing 3 the relationship in which he responds to MPD and 4 to investigators as well as to all D.C. 5 Government agencies as --CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But you need to 6 7 ask him that question, sir and for him to 8 respond. Nothing is wrong with the answer. Ι 9 think what Mr. Southcott was coming was that 10 there was no question presented and he was just 11 speaking. 12 MR. BAILEY: Okay. Well, then I'll ask 13 this question. 14 Mr. Siamak, has there been any other 15 incidents where you've had to comply on your own 16 free will to request or maybe a statement made by 17 any District employee of concern for an event or 18 way to handle an event at the establishment? 19 MR. SAADATIAN: It was just -- it was 20 just once, the one that I just explained. 21 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Answer the 22 question though. All right. 23 MR. SAADATIAN: So, yes. There was 24 just one then that District might show their

extra concern and they just didn't tell me to,

not to open or close, they just told me there is 1 2 some concern and just because I saw concern from 3 the Mayor's Office, ABRA and I decided to cancel the event prior to it even though he was pre-4 5 paid. MR. BAILEY: Okay. Mr. Siamak, have 6 7 you been informed that MPD detail officers are 8 not permitted inside of the establishment at any 9 time? Like MPD officers 10 MR. SAADATIAN: Yes. 11 they have advised me that a few times I have 12 asked them to come inside and remove someone from 13 my establishment and they said they've been 14 advised they cannot come in. And they can't. 15 MR. BAILEY: So, you have asked MPD 16 prior to always come inside and remove a patron 17 that is refusing to leave the establishment? 18 MR. SAADATIAN: Absolutely. That's my 19 preference because I do not want security to get 20 involved because that's why we have police 21 officers outside. And that's why us and ABRA pay 22 for police officers to be --23 MR. BAILEY: I think your mic kind of 24 went out there, Mr. Siamak. I'm not sure. 25 MR. SAADATIAN: Yes, like I just said,

I just said, yes. Like I -- that's why I would 1 2 like to have MPD at my establishment any night, 3 any given night that we're open in order for them 4 to diffuse any problem or any situation but they 5 have been advised like not to go inside the establishment and let the security bring the 6 7 patron outside and after that they can deal with 8 it. 9 MR. BAILEY: And you do request that an MPD detail each time that the establishment is 10 11 open being employed to your establishment, 12 correct? MR. SAADATIAN: Exactly. Every night 13 two officers. 14 15 MR. BAILEY: Right. And is that always 16 the case in which happens? Do you always get 17 detail officers outside even though you have 18 requested and paid? 19 MR. SAADATIAN: Unfortunately not. 20 Unfortunately not. It's just like, especially 21 recently it's been kind of like random. 22 Sometimes we have, sometimes we don't have even 23 though I have a set schedule, a set schedule for

MR. BAILEY: And that's all the

six years.

24

1	questions I have, Mr. Chairman.
2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.
3	Mr. Southcott, any questions, sir?
4	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Just a couple.
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION
6	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Mr. Saadatian, is that
7	how your last name is pronounced?
8	MR. SAADATIAN: Saadatian.
9	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Saadatian, thank you.
10	Mr. Saadatian, is the security member
11	who was shown in that first clip does he still
12	work for you?
13	MR. SOUTHCOTT: No.
14	MR. SAADATIAN: How did he lose his
15	job?
16	MR. SAADATIAN: I mean after that
17	incident he was pretty much suspended for further
18	investigation. And then later on after I talked
19	to I had a discussion with ABRA and MPD and
20	everything, pretty much I like we both
21	mutually decided to part ways.
22	MR. SOUTHCOTT: But that was because
23	that was initiated by your view of the security
24	footage, is that right?
25	MR. SAADATIAN: I mean from my side and

1	side, yes.
2	MR. SOUTHCOTT: And do you recall the
3	conversation that you had with Investigator
4	Puente?
5	MR. SAADATIAN: Kind of.
6	MR. SOUTHCOTT: You do recall
7	Investigator Puente coming to your establishment.
8	Correct?
9	MR. SAADATIAN: Yes, yes.
10	MR. SOUTHCOTT: And you did have a
11	conversation. You did review the security
12	footage with him.
13	MR. SAADATIAN: Yes.
14	MR. SOUTHCOTT: And in your review of
15	that security footage he did have a conversation
16	with you about proper security procedures.
17	MR. SAADATIAN: Yes.
18	MR. SOUTHCOTT: And that point did you
19	indicate to him that the security personnel was
20	no longer on your staff?
21	MR. SAADATIAN: Yes.
22	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. I have no
23	further questions.
24	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other
25	questions by any of the Board Members?

MEMBER SHORT: Chair, I'd like to ask 1 2 a question. 3 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr. Short. 4 5 MEMBER SHORT: Yes. This is for, I don't want to butcher your name, sir, please 6 7 forgive me but I'll just call you the Licensee. 8 Sir, prior to October the 22nd, 2021, 9 the incident requires us to be unfortunately this 10 afternoon, prior to that what type of training, 11 especially, all of your employees but especially 12 your security. What type of training do they or were they required to have to be hired there? 13 14 MR. SAADATIAN: Sometimes we have some private sector to do it and sometimes like --15 16 pretty much most of the time when ABRA is holding 17 one, one for different protocols and/or the ID 18 part myself and staff are required to join. 19 MEMBER SHORT: Well, I'm specially 20 saying according to your Security Plan, according 21 to your Security Plan how much training on that 22 Security Plan did your security have prior to 10/22, October 22nd, when this incident occurred? 23 24 MR. SAADATIAN: I can say two times. 25 Two, three times.

1	MEMBER SHORT: A year or two or three
2	how many years have you been open?
3	MR. SAADATIAN: Six, since 2016. Yes.
4	MEMBER SHORT: Six years?
5	MR. SAADATIAN: Yes.
6	MEMBER SHORT: So, in six years how
7	much training prior to 10/22, October 22nd,
8	training on that Security Plan that you have, how
9	many times just approximately would you say your
10	security was trained and drilled on that Security
11	Plan?
12	MR. SAADATIAN: I can say once a year
13	at least if I recall correctly.
14	MEMBER SHORT: Once a year?
15	MR. SAADATIAN: Yes.
16	MEMBER SHORT: The employee that you
17	had to get rid of or you let go because of this
18	incident had he received any of that training?
19	MR. SAADATIAN: I don't think so. He
20	was he was remotely in the report.
21	MEMBER SHORT: How many years or how
22	many months how long had he been employed by
23	you prior to you having to let him go?
24	MR. SAADATIAN: I think two months.
25	MEMBER SHORT: Two months.

1	MR. SAADATIAN: Yes.
2	MEMBER SHORT: Can I ask you this.
3	Since that time are you familiar with the
4	requirements by the Metropolitan Police
5	Department for security personnel to be
6	registered with them? Are you familiar with
7	that?
8	MR. SAADATIAN: I think I think so.
9	My head of security would know better.
10	MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Well, I would
11	really like to just suggest that you and your
12	security get together on that. I think that
13	would have really helped your business and helped
14	you and helped your clients because the footage
15	that I saw that Board and hearing shown today it
16	was disturbing to me. Was it disturbing to you?
17	MR. SAADATIAN: Yes, may I say
18	something?
19	MEMBER SHORT: Excuse me?
20	MR. SAADATIAN: I said,
21	MEMBER SHORT: That's a yes or no
22	question, sir. Please forgive me. Yes or no was
23	that footage to disturbing to you?
24	MR. SAADATIAN: Yes.
25	MEMBER SHORT: Now, since this happened

has there been any training since -- any training based on the violations that you're here facing today for the remaining security you have? Has there been any specific training specific to as in your Security Plan not choking people, handcuffing people and all of the other objectives that we use. Has there been any training and give you give us any dates when that training occurred?

MR. SAADATIAN: Yes, it's been -- Mr. Bailey can you give exact dates and details about it.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Thank you. That's a fair answer.

Would you consider having a professional training company come in, a professional company, security company, that does nothing but training like that. Because we have several licensees like yourself in an establishment who hire professionals to come in and they don't have any more problems after they get that professional training. That in-house training, professional training. Do you think that would help your business?

MR. SAADATIAN: Yes, absolutely and I

1	think that's what we do. We get professional
2	training.
3	MEMBER SHORT: Are they certified
4	trainers? Are they registered with the District
5	Government?
6	MR. SAADATIAN: They should be but
7	that's something that Mr. Bailey can tell you
8	exactly.
9	MEMBER SHORT: I do thank you very much
10	for your honesty. You've been quite interesting
11	and thank you for your answers.
12	That's all I have, Mr. Chair.
13	MR. SAADATIAN: Thank you so much.
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thanks, Mr.
15	Short.
16	Are there any other questions by any
17	of the Board Members?
18	I just have one question, sir. You
19	said that you were advised by the MPD officers
20	that they cannot come inside the establishment.
21	When were you advised? When was this information
22	provided to you?
23	MR. SAADATIAN: Is that for me?
24	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
25	MR. SAADATIAN: I don't exactly I

don't exactly recall the exact date but I have 1 2 been told that like some of the sergeants, some 3 of the sergeants advised her and police officers 4 that not to go inside. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now is it that 5 they should not go inside the establishment 6 7 period or if there's an incident side that they 8 are not supposed to go in? 9 MR. SAADATIAN: That's what I've been told. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Was this -- were 12 you told this prior to October 21st, -- October 13 22nd, 2021? 14 MR. SAADATIAN: Yes. 15 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, you're 16 talking about maybe what in -- because prior to October 2021, we had two years of Covid. 17 18 you saying 19 MR. SAADATIAN: I'm sorry for 20 interrupting you. That might be for one of the 21 That might be, I'm not sure. 22 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't have any 23 other questions. 24 Mr. Bailey, do you have any questions 25 based on the questions that the Board asked, sir?

1	I'm sorry, not Mr. Bailey. Mr.
2	Southcott? You have the last question.
3	MR. SOUTHCOTT: I have no further
4	questions.
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So,
6	Mr. Bailey do you have any Redirect questions you
7	want to ask?
8	MR. BAILEY: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
9	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
10	MR. BAILEY: Sir, the security
11	personnel in question that was involved in this
12	incident, Mr. Siamak, was he terminated or was it
13	as you say, I heard you say it was a mutual
14	agreement for him leaving?
15	MR. SAADATIAN: Yes. Like he was after
16	after the incident he was placed on leave or I
17	said light suspension until further
18	investigation. And then after that, we decide
19	mutually to like just part ways.
20	MR. BAILEY: Thank you, Mr. Siamak.
21	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Are you done
22	with this witness, sir?
23	MR. BAILEY: Yes, sir, I'm done.
24	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you have
25	another witness, sir?

MR. BAILEY: Just myself, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: How do you plan
to testify?

MR. BAILEY: Well, I just want to give my statement as to what occurred from my perspective being the Head of Security and reviewing of the video footage. And there so after, you know, from what, because I encountered the MPD officers that night and the next night and previous interactions about this incident.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So,
I'll swear you in. I will then, I guess you can
give your statement and then your statement is
subject to cross-examination by the Government
and also by the Board if they so choose.

MR. BAILEY: Okay, sir.

(Witness sworn.)

DIRECT EXAMINATION

MR. BAILEY: Okay, on the night in question after reviewing of the video footage I've determined that and the questioning of Mr. Lorrie who was the security personnel in question, he has requested that the patron exit the establishment because he was sitting in an area which he was prohibited to sit in. The

patron repeatedly refused to leave, therefore, once again Mr. Lorrie asked him to remove himself from the establishment. At that point the patron became aggressive and then spat on security personnel. Mr. Lorrie then grabbed the patron in the area of the jaw area which could appear to be in close proximity to his neck by grabbed his jaw area to obtain him not to spit on him again.

Once he had cleared the area which other patrons were there, he then turned the patron around with additional security personnel standing beside him, turned him around and then escorted him up the steps. Once escorted outside MPD and myself were positioned outside. The officers were positioned immediately to the right of the establishment where the patron was escorted out to. They asked the patron, you know, what happened. He refused to speak to our MPD reimbursable detail saying that he wanted to call 911.

That night he went on and called 911.

We sat and waited. MPD officers arrived but

unfortunately the Complainant had left the area

and was unable to be contacted at that time.

The very next day I got a call that

the patron upon me arriving to the establishment that the patron was outside and requested to speak to a manager. When I arrived I spoke to him. He said, well, I'm calling the police. I said feel free to do so, sir. I tried to ask him what happened so that we could, you know, be in front of, you k now, what's going on and anything that was going to be required. He said that he was going to call MPD and the officers to come to review the video footage.

At that time, he apparently did call MPD. MPD arrived and upon their arrival, once again, the Complainant was no where to be found.

Later on, the detectives had arrived to the establishment. We did get a brief understanding as to what possibly had occurred and when the detective arrived we provided the video, allowed him to watch the video footage.

I attempted to try to email the video footage to the detective which it was unsuccessful so we did have a copy there at the establishment for the detective to obtain.

The detective never, never came to obtain the video footage. During the time that he said he was going to come, he stated that he

had gotten tied up. The detective did get, I guess you want to say kind of frustrated because, you know, I mean, of course, you know, he wants to get his case resolved. But we did have the video footage there for him to pick up and had arranged for him to pick it up at the time that he was questioned to be able to pick it up.

After that the investigator, ABRA investigator requested a copy of the video footage which in that same night after he requested I also sent him a copy of the video footage as requested and as required for our establishment to provide.

Mr. Lorrie I've known him for several years prior to 2006 where he was employed as a police officer, municipality police officer. He was employed based upon his knowledge and background to bring to the establishment. The establishment afterwards did receive training from a certified security contractor for MDT certification which allows, which, they train as far as verbal judo, proper handling techniques when dealing with people, how to properly restrain someone and how to diffuse situations.

That is all, Mr. Chairman.

1	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Southcott,
2	any question in cross-examination?
3	MR. SOUTHCOTT: A couple of questions.
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION
5	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Mr. Bailey, you're the
6	head of security at the establishment, correct?
7	MR. BAILEY: Yes, sir.
8	MR. SOUTHCOTT: And so you didn't see
9	the initiation of this incident, correct?
10	MR. SOUTHCOTT: No, I did not see the
11	initiation, no.
12	MR. SOUTHCOTT: You just reviewed on
13	security footage?
14	MR. BAILEY: Yes, just like everyone
15	else, yes.
16	MR. SOUTHCOTT: And as Head of Security
17	do you believe that that is the appropriate way
18	for one of your trained members who are under you
19	as Head of Security to remove a patron?
20	MR. BAILEY: Well, there are several
21	different methods in which a security personnel
22	could use. And like I said, it depends on
23	MR. SOUTHCOTT: I understand. But the
24	question is, was the method that was shown in the
25	video a method that is appropriate for you to

train the people who are under your security umbrella as Head of Security to utilize in removing a patron?

MR. BAILEY: If your asking whether or not if he, from his statement and what I viewed from the video of him trying to cover, you know, retrain him from spitting on him again, I can -- I mean, could different situations have occurred? Yes. Do I condone of his force? Somewhat, yes.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: So, you believe that that was an appropriate technique to utilize?

MR. BAILEY: Well, I'm trying to be very clear on this because as your question is, you're thinking that he choked him. I have obtained that he grabbed him in the jaw to prevent him from spitting again.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: So, even assuming that he grabbed him in the jaw area as opposed to choking him, your testimony here is that grabbing someone by the jaw area, shoving them back across the room, knocking over several other patrons in the process, that is am appropriate way to restrain a patron and something that you would train your security personnel to do?

MR. BAILEY: I mean, unfortunately, I

do understand that when a patron is escorted out, if, you know, there are people asked to move because of the loud music, sometimes you know people fall over. And I don't condone a security personnel intentionally running over a patron at all. But I do understand that certain accidents to happen when an altercation, when a physical altercation is occurring.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: But do you believe that, so from where you stand you don't have any problem with how the employee removed the patron from the bar?

MR. BAILEY: I mean, as per your question I was not there during the incident.

So, I can only go on what was being told and what the video had actually shown.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Fine. Based on your review of the security footage, it is your testimony that you would not have a problem training staff to remove another patron in exactly the same manner?

MR. BAILEY: No. As in any incident, we also review all incidents that occurred and see which way it could be handled, maybe a little bit different than the very next time to avoid

2 unperceived. 3 MR. SOUTHCOTT: So, you saw the second 4 and third clips where the patron was being led 5 out by the security personnel holding onto his shirt and from the side and behind him. 6 7 those slips, right? 8 MR. BAILEY: Yes, sir. 9 MR. SOUTHCOTT: So, that clip, that 10 method of removing a patron is that a method that 11 your security personnel would be trained on in 12 terms of escorting a patron off the premises? MR. BAILEY: That is the method in 13 14 which security personnel is trained on once are 15 able to. 16 MR. SOUTHCOTT: And so you said the 17 same security personnel who is doing that escorting in the second and third clips that one 18 19 is grabbing the patron in the first clip, is that 20 right? 21 MR. BAILEY: Well, I can't say that he 22 lounged forward. I did not see where he lounged 23 forward and grabbed the patron. 24 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Fine. He grabbed the 25 patron and pushed him back several feet in the

certain situations from happening which were

process knocking over other patrons. It's the same security guard who was engaged in the action in the first clip is in the second and third clips, is that right?

MR. BAILEY: Yes. In the second clip, yes, he was able to be in a clear area which he can then turn the patron around and escort him out.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: So, the same security guard was capable of doing it in the second and third clip. That's your testimony that he was incapable of doing so in the first clip?

MR. BAILEY: Because of the amount of patrons surrounding the incident he was unable to grab him, in a sense, to turn him around. He was able to turn around once he was clear, in a clear area away from everyone else.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: So, is it your testimony that it is more appropriate when it is in a crowded setting for a security guard to push a patron back, grabbing them around the head area rather than attempting to turn them around and walk them out of the establishment?

MR. BAILEY: Well, the grabbing around the head area was to prevent the spitting because

of Covid. And that is considered an assault. 1 2 So, he did restrain him from spitting on himself 3 as well as anyone else. Then to forcefully remove him into an area which he can then turn 4 5 him around and then escort him out, yes. MR. SOUTHCOTT: So, you talked about 6 7 Covid as a justification for trying to grab someone around the mouth. Was the establishment 8 9 enforcing social distancing guidelines? 10 MR. BAILEY: Yes, we were and as you 11 can tell all our staff had on masks during this 12 incident as well as the security personnel in 13 question. 14 MR. SOUTHCOTT: But, patrons were 15 certainly much closer together than six feet 16 apart, weren't they? 17 MR. BAILEY: Like I said we went by the 18 Mayor's quidelines in which no violations were 19 found in this case regarding --MR. SOUTHCOTT: I understand that. 20 But 21 I'm trying to understand your justification and 22 so yes or no, were there patrons that were closer 23 than six feet apart? 24 MR. BAILEY: I mean, we went by 25 whatever the guidelines were at that time.

1	MR. SOUTHCOTT: I'm not, you know,
2	questioning whether you were abiding by mayoral
3	guidelines but your justification for grabbing
4	this patron around the mouth is because you were
5	concerned about Covid. So, there were patrons
6	that were closer than six feet apart, weren't
7	they?
8	MR. BAILEY: I can I can, I mean, of
9	course. I mean there are patrons that are
LO	walking past each other, yes.
L1	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Right.
L 2	MR. BAILEY: but
L3	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Thank you, thank you,
L 4	Mr. Bailey.
L5	There were multiple patrons, in fact,
L6	most of the patrons in the clip were unmasked,
L 7	weren't they?
L8	MR. BAILEY: Which they were permitted
L9	to do so when consuming beverages, yes.
20	MR. SOUTHCOTT: But they're still
21	unmasked and so the spread of Covid would be a
22	concern there. If you're concerned about the
23	transmission of GS Saliva were you ejecting
24	patrons who were kissing one another?
25	MR. BAILEY: If we had obtained any

violations of the Mayor's policy, yes. We did --1 2 we would advise the patrons of what they could and could not do which we had signs posted up of 3 4 what the mayor's order was. And then they would 5 be asked to leave if they did not comply. MR. SOUTHCOTT: Have you ever ejected 6 7 a patron for kissing another patron when just based on the -- solely on the basis that their 8 9 kissing constitute a Covid hazard? MR. BAILEY: Never had to. 10 11 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Thank you. I have no 12 further questions. 13 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any question by the Board Members? 14 15 MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Chair, I'd like to 16 ask a question. 17 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr. 18 Short. 19 MEMBER SHORT: Thank you very much. 20 Good afternoon, Mr. Bailey. 21 MR. BAILEY: Good afternoon, Mr. Short. 22 MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Not, Mr. Bailey 23 can you give for the record your credentials and 24 who you were trained by to be a Head of Security 25 and prior to 10/22 or the 22nd?

MR. BAILEY: I have been trained by ABRA. Five years I was an investigator there. So, I have my training there. I've also had my training through several security companies and there's different backgrounds of training from outside of being an ABRA investigator training there at ABRA and training with other security personnel as well.

MEMBER SHORT: Are you registered with the Metropolitan Police Department, the District of Columbia Metropolitan Police Department as a certified security person and a trainer?

MR. BAILEY: No, I've not been made aware of this certification.

MEMBER SHORT: In ABRA who trained you on security?

MR. BAILEY: On security-wise. I can't recall --

in. When I asked the question your background on security and training you said the years you've had with ABRA if I'm hearing you correctly, I'm trying to repeat what you said, your answer. You said that you based your years with ABRA along with your security training. What security

training did you get at ABRA? 1 2 MR. BAILEY: Well, ABRA had -- I cannot 3 recall the gentleman's name that would fly in and to the training for establishments on security 4 5 procedures. I did attend majority, every one of those each year when he was here. 6 7 MEMBER SHORT: Thank you very much. Ι 8 do remember the gentleman from California. 9 Let's move on. Mr. Bailey, in all of 10 that training you got, especially from that 11 expert from California, does he in that training 12 say as best for a persons in job security that a 13 report should be written right away? 14 MR. BAILEY: Yes, sir. 15 MEMBER SHORT: On the night of October 16 22nd did you or any of your staff -- did anyone 17 write a report on the incident that we saw in the 18 clip today? 19 MR. BAILEY: Yes. I took down the initial statement via written and then later on 20 21 typed up the incident report. 22 MEMBER SHORT: So, did you provide that 23 to ABRA in any way for this case?

the incident report so therefore I n ever did

MR. BAILEY: I was never asked for it

24

provide it but I did have it prepared.

MEMBER SHORT: Just a request from myself as a Board member now, the Chair supports this, at any rate would you be willing to provide that original or a copy of that original that you did on October 22nd? That would bring some light to the situation because it would be fresh in my mind at that time. Could you or would you, would you mind providing that?

MR. BAILEY: I have supplied a written copy, a typed up copy of the incident to ABRA as well as the Attorney General.

MEMBER SHORT: Who did you give that to?

MR. BAILEY: I provided it to the -- I emailed it to the Attorney General as well as I-- as part of my exhibits as well.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. And one of my last questions will be, do you keep a log or do you keep any way to record incidents of violence or fights or ejections as we saw on the tape?

MR. BAILEY: Typically, I do document in writing because that's the quickest way to write everything down. So, I write everything down via paper and then later on type it up so

that we can have it digitally.

MEMBER SHORT: Thank you, Mr. Bailey.

I asked the question, do you keep a log so that
you can track from year to year and month to
month incidents where you have to write a report?
Is there a log?

MR. BAILEY: Yes. Yes.

MEMBER SHORT: So, you do have -besides the report that you say you sent to the
Attorney General and to ABRA so if the
investigator would have to come back down and see
would you have a problem showing the investigator
or to the security staff he log that you keep of
that that showed that incident that night?

MR. BAILEY: Well, unfortunately, Mr. Board Member, after I write all my information out and then type it up I get rid of the actual, my notes that I take.

MEMBER SHORT: I wasn't speaking of notes, Mr. Bailey. My specific question was, and I hope that you understood me that I just try to be a little bit more plain. Sometimes I do speak a little fast.

Do you have a log and did you write in the log that night? I thought the initial answer

1 you gave me was yes. 2 MR. BAILEY: Well, it's not actually a 3 log, it's just a copy of the incident report on the computer. 4 5 MEMBER SHORT: When you answered yes you answered incorrectly. You do not have a log? 6 7 MR. BAILEY: I mean our log is kept 8 digital, not -- it's a digital log. 9 MEMBER SHORT: In the training that you 10 got from the gentleman who came in from 11 California doesn't he always in this training in 12 most cases and hopefully in your case I would say 13 95 or 99 percent, I've been through this training 14 also, he says you should keep a log. Did you get 15 that same training from him? 16 MR. BAILEY: Yes, I did. MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Well, if you 17 18 don't have a written log would it be too much to 19 ask that you start keeping one? 20 MR. BAILEY: I can -- I can -- yes, Mr. 21 Board Member. 22 MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Thank you very much. 23 24 Now you said Mr. Lorrie who you met in 25 2006 if I remember correctly, who was an MPD

officer, when you knew him as a MPD officer do 1 2 you know if he had any other incidents of 3 violence or charges of over-reacting or did you do any background on him other than just knowing 4 5 him as a personal friend? MR. BAILEY: Correction. I met him as 6 7 a municipality police officer. That's in another 8 State of Maryland. 9 MEMBER SHORT: Okay. 10

MR. BAILEY: Okay. Yes, I'm not -I've known him since about 2005, 2006, when he
was a municipality police officer.

MEMBER SHORT: So, that I don't prolong this any longer.

Do you or will you now just do a little background on persons before you hire them for security and find out if they do have this in their background because Mr. Lorrie probably can go right around the corner from you or down the street, the way things are now, just get another job with another company, couldn't he?

MR. BAILEY: I supposed so he can get a job anywhere.

MEMBER SHORT: I understand. But now would you hire someone like him? Or do you n ow

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	or would you start just doing a little background						
2	on the person that you hire?						
3	MR. BAILEY: We typically do						
4	backgrounds on our staff members as much as we						
5	are able to obtain, you know, during that time.						
6	MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Mr. Bailey, I do						
7	thank you for your testimony.						
8	That's all I have, Mr. Chair.						
9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr.						
10	Short. Any other questions by any other Board						
11	Members? Any other questions by any other Board						
12	Members?						
13	All right. Mr. Southcott, any						
14	questions based on the question that were asked						
15	by the board?						
16	MR. SOUTHCOTT: I do not have any						
17	further questions.						
18	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I guess I can't						
19	ask Mr. Bailey to redirect. Ask yourself						
20	redirect questions.						
21	MR. BAILEY: I understand, sir.						
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Do						
23	you have any other witnesses you wish to call?						
24	MR. SOUTHCOTT: No, sir.						
25	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you rest?						

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Yes, sir, we rest. 1 2 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. 3 Mr. Southcott, do you wish to make a 4 closing argument? And what I'm asking I want the 5 parties to be specific in what they're asking the Board to do. 6 7 I do have a closing MR. WOODSON: Yes. 8 argument that I would like to make. 9 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Go ahead, sir. 10 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 11 12 Members of the Board, the two charges 13 that we brought as pertaining to this 14 establishment both stem from the October 22nd, 15 2021, incident in the use of excessive force that 16 the Living Room employee utilized in ejecting a 17 patron and how that constituted utilizing the establishment for an unlawful or disorderly 18 19 purpose is one charge. And the second charge was 20 violating the establishments Security Plan. 21 The evidence has demonstrated that in 22 both circumstances those charges are warranted and that the board should fine the establishment 23 24 liable for both of those charged.

Any other arguments that are being

made about whether the timeliness of recording videos or whether police officers did or did not or should or should not have intervened directly have no bearing on these particular charges.

And, in stead, the evidence has demonstrated the establishment liable for being utilized for an unlawful and disorderly purpose and for violating its Security Plan.

You heard through the testimony of ABRA Investigator Kevin Puente that the establishment violated its Security Plan in several ways by utilizing excessive force. Investigator Puente walked you through the particular provisions that demonstrate how the establishment violated by using more force than it needed to. You heard Investigator Puente testify that based on his knowledge and experience that in the second and third clip there was much more appropriate means and a much more appropriate use of force than in the first clip where you can see the security personnel grabbing a patron around his head and neck area, pushing him back several feet, knocking over additional patrons in the process.

Now the establishment claims that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

actually this wasn't choking the patron. This was rather trying to restrain him by placing a hand over his mouth because there were concerns about him spitting and because of Covid.

Now, the Board can review the video footage for itself and determine which of those things is more likely or plausible. But even if we were to accept the establishment s argument that they were just trying to prevent him from spitting on someone else because, you know, we have these Covid concerns, their other conduct indicates that that is not a particularly high concern for them that the only thing that they were concerned about was making sure that they were abiding by the directives of the Mayor when it came to Covid protocols and admittedly they But that does not mean that they were ejecting patrons, let alone forcibly, and let alone excuse the fact that they were engaging in the level of physical violence that you saw one of their security personnel engaged in in those security clips.

You also heard testimony from the owner who indicated that he was disturbed by the fact that this amount of violence had been used

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and when he looked at the security footage he was disturbed seeing that occur. And testified that very same not only to, rather he said the very same thing not only to Investigator Puente in that initial conversation that he had as part of the regulatory inspection, but also testified to as much on questioning from the Board indicated that he was disturbed by the level of violence that was utilized by their own security personnel. And that is understandable and the establishment to its credit took appropriate steps in light of that degree of excessive force.

suspension and although they say that they parted ways with him in a mutual setting, placing someone on a suspension as a direct result of this contact certainly indicates the establishment knew that they were utilizing excessive force and that that type of behavior was not to be permitted even in spite of what the Head of Security might testify but apparently has no problem with that degree of violence being utilized even when the owner himself indicated that he was concerned by the actions that were viewed in the security footage.

So, what do we have here? We have clear video footage. We have uncontroverted testimony. We have a Security Plan that the owner reviewed and we have subsequent training and the firing of that employee that occurred by the establishment in light of this incident.

While the establishment certainly can take steps and should take additional steps to prevent actions from this from occurring against, the fact remains that this incident did occur and there was excessive force that was utilized, the establishment did violate a Security Plan in the course of ejecting this particular patron and knows that it did so which is why it undertook additional training for its staff and why it placed the security member on administrative leave.

Given those circumstances, it is only appropriate that this Board find the establishment liable for operating in an unlawful and disorderly purpose in violation of 25A23A2 and for violation its Security Plan in violation of 25A23A6.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

Thank you.

Mr. Bailey.

MR. BAILEY: In my closing I would like to say that it isn't unheard of for an establishment to place a person in any job to place a person on leave or suspension pending further review of an incident that occurred, especially when receiving a complaint from someone who they perceive as to be an authoritative figure above them.

In this matter, the parties agreed mutually to depart ways not only because of what the establishment perceived in the beginning, but because of his background, his other jobs as it pertains to his career life, to his career.

We'd ask the Board that I also recognize the fact that the investigator also stated that it appeared to be that he grabbed him in the neck area but that it could be possible that he did grab him in the jaw area to prevent him from spitting.

Although the Attorney General pointed out that other methods of Covid could, you know, be addressed by the establishment a concern, but that was the immediate concern of someone

actually spitting on that security personnel himself as well as others because he was uncooperative.

There is no indication to where the establishment did not, you know, at least, try all methods to make sure that patrons did so and necessary to make sure that Covid policies were met. As you can clearly see in the video all staff members were wearing masks and if an investigation was to take place regarding those issue would have seen that patrons were told to put on masks and provided masks when entering the establishment and only allowed to remove those masks when consuming a beverage which was allowed throughout the District of Columbia.

Unfortunately, the establishment did not take additional efforts into getting the patron moved by MPD because they had been instructed otherwise by a new sargent who has been in that area now telling them that they can no longer, MPD officers could no longer come in and eject a patron from the establishment that the establishment must remove the patron by its own methods.

In addition, yo also see that as the

owner had testified to that training was provided there so after. Training is also provided after every event, whether it be in-house or an out source of training provided.

He also testified to the fact that the staff has also, has received training provided by the District at all cost. You know, at anytime that has been available that the staff as well as the owner has attended those trainings and received certificates for those.

And I'd just also note that the fact that the establishment has always in compliance in the District of Columbia with any requests and any concerns in the matter of the operations of the establishment.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.

All right. The record is now closed.

Do the parties wish to file a closing findings of fact and conclusion of law or waive their rights to do so.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: The Government waives.

MR. BAILEY: The establishment waives.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So,

the Bard will issue a decision within 90 days.

As Chairperson of the Alcoholic

1	Beverage Control Board for the District of					
2	Columbia in accordance with DC Code 2575 of the					
3	Open Meetings Act, I move that the ABC Board hold					
4	a closed meeting for the purpose of seeking legal					
5	advice from our counsel on Case Number 21-251-					
6	00040, Living Room, pursuant to D.C. Official					
7	Code Section 2575B of the Open Meetings Act and					
8	deliberate upon Case Number 21-251-0040, Living					
9	Room for the reasons cited in D.C. Official Code					
10	Section 2575B13 of the Open Meetings Act.					
11	Is there a second?					
12	MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Short has seconded.					
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short has					
14	seconded the motion.					
15	I will not take a Roll Call Vote on					
16	the motion before us now that has been seconded.					
17	Mr. Short?					
18	MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Short, I agree.					
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Cato? Mr.					
20	Cato?					
21	Ms. Crockett?					
22	MEMBER CROCKETT: Rafi Crockett, I					
23	agree.					
24	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Hansen?					
25	Mr. Grandis?					

1	MEMBER GRANDIS: Edward Grandis, I
2	agree.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Anderson, I
4	agree. The matter passes four to nothing.
5	Thank you.
6	Thank you. The Board will take this
7	matter under advisement and we'll issue a
8	decision within 90 days.
9	Thank you very much. Have a great
10	day.
11	(Whereupon, the above proceeding was
12	concluded at 3:17 p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
4 3	

aggressively 31:21 approximately 57:9 78:11 83:23 Α ago 48:15 49:25 area 16:21 20:13 48:17 background 13:24 a.m 44:22 66:18 75:20 80:4,16 **AGRA** 49:13 63:25 64:6,6,8,9,23 **ABC** 3:6 5:17 13:6 18:2 80:18 81:1 87:14 agree 90:18,23 91:2,4 68:18,20 71:6,17,21 90:3 agreed 87:11 71:25 72:4 83:22 **backgrounds** 75:5 81:4 abiding 73:2 84:15 agreement 62:14 87:19,20 88:20 ballpark 39:5 ability 3:20 ahead 34:22 37:13 56:3 argument 82:4,8 84:8 bar 18:6 69:12 able 13:19 15:20 19:24 74:17 82:9 arguments 82:25 **Bard** 89:24 25:4.9.14 29:5 31:14 alcohol 30:4 based 7:22 13:18.20 arm 22:3 33:12,23 41:12,15 **Alcoholic** 1:2,14 12:10 arms 40:4 21:20 41:3 43:4,22 66:7 70:15 71:6,16 47:25 48:1,20 59:2 arranged 66:6 89:25 81:5 arrangement 44:25 61:25 66:17 69:17 **ALIYA** 1:19 ABRA 1:22 12:13 14:1 alleged 28:7 arrival 65:12 74:8 75:24 81:14 14:19,21 18:25 28:1 allow 15:24 16:1 45:22 arrived 17:23 64:22 83:17 37:21,24 38:1,2 40:16 allowed 1:9 17:1 44:6 Basically 32:10 65:3,12,14,17 40:22 49:8,20 50:1 65:18 88:13,14 arriving 65:1 **basis** 74:8 52:3,21 54:19 56:16 asked 15:10 16:22 18:2 allows 66:21 bearing 83:4 66:8 75:2,6,7,15,22 altercation 69:7.8 18:7 19:21 31:20,22 beginning 87:13 75:24 76:1,2,23 77:11 ambulance 42:19 42:21 43:22 44:2 48:4 behave 31:22 78:10 83:10 amount 23:5,10,11,25 behavior 10:17 85:19 48:7 49:8,15 50:16,22 absolutely 52:18 59:25 39:12,20 71:13 84:25 52:12,15 61:25 64:2 believe 7:4 21:17,21 accept 84:8 **ANC** 1:7 64:17 69:2 74:5 75:20 24:2 25:5 28:11 29:18 access 4:20,21,23,25 and/or 4:17 56:17 76:24 78:3 81:14 38:10 51:2 67:17 accident 45:23 **Anderson** 1:15,18 3:3,7 asking 16:23 48:10 68:10 69:9 accidents 69:6 5:3,11,20,25 6:8 7:9 68:4 82:4.5 belongs 48:5 account 34:13 7:19 8:4 9:4.6 11:2.8 asks 10:23 **best** 76:12 accurate 34:13 11:15,22,25 15:25 assault 10:21 17:2 72:1 **better** 8:3,5 58:9 Act 90:3,7,10 16:4 17:11 34:18,22 assaulted 9:16 beverage 1:2,14 12:11 action 71:2 37:9,13 41:8 43:8,14 **assist** 44:16 88:14 90:1 actions 85:24 86:9 43:19 45:7,10,14,25 assistance 4:3 13:4 beverages 73:19 acts 40:19 46:5,18,24 47:2 50:20 42:19 **beyond** 38:18 actual 6:20 78:17 51:6,21 54:2 55:24 Assistant 41:11 **bit** 8:2,4 19:11,13 31:14 addition 88:25 56:3 60:14,24 61:5,11 assuming 30:4 68:17 69:25 78:22 additional 64:11 83:24 61:15,22 62:5,21,24 attempt 42:18,24 **board** 1:2,14 3:7,8,10 86:8,15 88:17 63:2,11 67:1 74:13,17 attempted 65:19 3:12 7:6,17 8:21 9:12 address 7:14 30:11 81:9,18,22,25 82:2,9 attempting 71:22 15:24 24:16 34:11 40:22 86:25 89:16,23 90:13 attend 76:5 37:11 42:11 43:20,23 **addressed** 6:18,19 90:19,24 91:3,3 attendance 3:13 44:2 48:20 55:25 87:24 answer 4:4 51:8,21 attended 89:9 58:15 60:17 61:25 addressing 51:2 59:14 75:23 78:25 attention 7:17 14:6 63:15 74:14 77:3 Administration 12:11 29:23 **answered** 79:5,6 78:16 79:21 81:10,11 administrative 86:16 81:15 82:6,12,23 84:5 answering 41:6 attorney 5:8,9 41:11 admitted 10:15,21 34:3 answers 60:11 50:24 77:12,16 78:10 85:7 86:19 87:16 90:1 admittedly 84:16 90:3 91:6 anytime 49:21 89:7 87:22 advice 90:5 apart 7:2 72:16,23 73:6 authenticity 7:13 **Bobby** 1:18 3:10 advise 74:2 bolded 31:1 authoritative 87:10 apologize 51:1 advised 14:24 15:6,7 **booked** 50:4 apparently 48:9 65:11 available 89:8 18:18,22 19:2,7 26:15 85:21 ave 35:8 **bottom** 29:25 26:17 52:11,14 53:5 **Branch** 40:25 appear 64:6 Avenue 1:7 60:19,21 61:3 avoid 69:25 brief 65:15 appeared 87:18 advisement 91:7 briefly 17:20 20:8 47:22 appears 11:17 21:1,7 aware 35:2,15 36:6 afternoon 3:3,5,6,9,13 **Applicant** 1:23,23 44:17 47:10 75:14 **bring** 7:16 41:16 53:6 4:12 5:4,7,11 6:4,8 Applicant's 2:10 66:18 77:6 9:12 37:17 56:10 В bringing 23:2 approach 9:22 74:20,21 **B-A-I-L-E-Y** 5:16 brought 82:13 appropriate 13:13 afternoon's 3:16 back 9:20 21:3,4 23:1 21:23 67:17,25 68:11 burden 8:9 agencies 51:5 68:22 71:19 83:19,20 23:20 41:12 44:1 **Bureau** 40:24 **aggressive** 19:11 27:10 85:11 86:19 68:20 70:25 71:21 business 44:19 58:13 35:14 39:22,24 64:4

59:24 16:4 17:11 34:18.22 90:2 contractor 66:20 butcher 56:6 37:8,9,13 41:8 43:8 come 52:12,14,16 control 1:2,14 32:6,7 59:16,20 60:20 65:9 **butts** 32:3,9 43:14,19 45:7,10,14 41:20 90:1 65:25 78:11 88:21 45:25 46:5,18,24 47:2 conversation 18:15 С 50:20 51:6,21 54:2 comfortable 13:18,19 19:14 26:4,7,12,15 C 4:19 55:24 56:3 60:14,24 coming 26:21 47:15 28:18 55:3,11,15 85:5 calendar 3:16 4:12 51:9 55:7 cooperated 49:8 61:5,11,15,22 62:5,21 California 76:8,11 62:24 63:2,11 67:1 commands 33:13 cooperative 49:23 committed 40:9 79:11 74:13,17 81:9,18,22 **copy** 18:23,24 19:22,22 call 8:15,21 11:11,13 81:25 82:2,9 86:25 committing 40:18 36:17,19 37:2 38:6 communicate 14:21 31:4,6 32:12 35:8 89:16,23,25 90:13,19 44:7,9 47:12 65:21 42:18 46:6,7,19,19,23 90:24 91:3 communication 15:3 66:9,11 77:5,11,11 charge 82:19,19 companies 75:4 50:10 56:7 64:20,25 79:3 **corner** 80:19 65:9,11 81:23 90:15 charged 13:25 82:24 company 59:16,17,17 called 3:17 4:6,9 13:4 **charges** 41:4,4 80:3 correct 18:17 26:1 80:21 27:14 39:13 47:18 82:12,22 83:4 Complainant 36:4,7 36:7 43:15 64:21 calling 4:12 44:13 **Chat** 4:7,10 64:23 65:13 53:12 55:8 67:6,9 **check** 7:12 48:21 65:4 complaint 87:8 Correction 80:6 **choke** 21:3 36:17 compliance 32:4,10 correctly 42:17 57:13 **calls** 15:15 **choked** 10:1 68:14 camera 3:19,22 7:23 89:12 75:22 79:25 complied 32:17 choking 23:20 32:3,8 corroborating 7:1 8:1 32:22 39:25 40:5 59:5 comply 51:15 74:5 cameras 3:25 cost 89:7 cancel 50:12 52:3 68:19 84:1 computer 79:4 couch 48:3,5,7 **choose** 63:15 **concern** 50:2,6,12 counsel 90:5 capable 71:10 chooses 3:25 51:17,25 52:2,2 73:22 **caps** 31:1 **count** 39:7 **Chris** 1:23.24 5:8 84:13 87:24.25 couple 32:25 48:7 54:4 career 87:15,15 case 1:8 3:16,21,23 4:1 circumstances 82:22 concerned 27:7 73:5.22 67:3 86:18 4:6,8,11,12,18 8:13 84:14 85:24 course 17:21 18:9,15 cited 90:9 concerns 84:3,11 89:14 26:12 66:3 73:9 86:13 8:20 9:13 11:5,7 14:7 15:18 19:5 29:11 city 49:12 concluded 91:12 courteous 30:9 **claims** 83:25 33:20,24 34:3,9,12 **conclusion** 3:23 4:1 **cover** 68:6 36:12 46:1,3 53:16 clarify 34:11,21 89.19 **covering** 33:4,9 66:4 72:19 76:23 clear 68:13 71:6,16,16 **condone** 68:9 69:4 Covid 26:22 61:17 72:1 79:12 90:5,8 86:2 **conduct** 10:7 12:22 72:7 73:5,21 74:9 cases 3:15 39:2 79:12 cleared 64:9 17:13,17 18:5 31:19 84:4.11.16 87:23 88:7 casual 26:15 clearly 88:8 84:11 Crawl 17:25 18:1 Cato 1:18 3:10 90:19,20 clientele 50:7 **conducted** 10:11 18:7 create 41:1 conducting 13:1 28:11 created 27:18 28:10 Cause 1:7 6:13 8:8 **clients** 58:14 cell 19:20 clip 20:18,22,25 21:23 confess 49:14,21 credentials 74:23 certain 69:6 70:1 22:8,10,11,16,19,23 conflict 21:10 credit 85:11 certainly 31:15 41:21 23:7,10,14,19 24:5,5 consent 34:21 crime 13:5 14:1 consider 19:3 39:22 criminal 41:3 72:15 85:17 86:7 24:7,14,18,23,24 25:3 Crockett 1:19 3:11 certificates 89:10 25:10 54:11 70:9,19 59:15 certification 66:21 considered 7:6 72:1 90:21.22.22 71:3,5,11,12 73:16 75:14 76:18 83:18,21 considering 34:8 **Cross** 2:14 clips 27:13 70:4,18 71:4 consistent 34:10 cross-examination **certified** 60:3 66:20 8:23 34:25 54:5 63:14 75:12 84:22 constitute 74:9 Chair 41:7 56:1 60:12 close 52:1 64:7 constituted 82:17 67:2,4 closed 89:17 90:4 74:15 77:3 81:8 82:11 constitutes 3:13 cross-examine 8:17 closer 72:15,22 73:6 46:15 Chairman 3:7 5:2 8:3 **consuming** 73:19 88:14 contact 15:7 85:17 9:11 12:3 37:16 41:10 closing 2:9,10 82:4,7 **crowded** 71:20 41:23 43:2,18 50:14 87:3 89:18 contacted 17:3 64:24 **CT** 1:7 **Culture** 26:19 51:2 54:1 62:8 63:1 clp 23:6 contained 34:9 club 20:21 **customer** 48:2,8,11 66:25 contemporaneously code 10:2,4 37:21 40:9 Chairperson 1:15,18 25:14 **cutting** 75:19 3:3 5:3,11,20,25 6:8 90:2,7,9 **CONTENTS** 2:6 D 7:9,19 8:4 9:4,6 11:2 Columbia 1:1 12:24 continuation 25:1 11:7,8,15,22,25 15:25 75:11 88:15 89:13 continues 29:19 **D-A-V-I-D** 5:15

D.C 10:2,4 51:4 90:6,9 dance 20:12,13 dark 7:20,22 25:6 date 17:16 36:22 38:9 61:1 dates 59:8,11 **David** 4:19 25:6 day 17:24 64:25 91:10 days 38:20 89:24 91:8 **DC** 1:22,24 90:2 deal 6:12 7:11 30:14 46:20 53:7 dealing 13:14 37:19 66:23 **decide** 62:18 **decided** 44:15 50:12 52:3 54:21 decides 43:9 decision 89:24 91:8 declined 9:24 defense 31:24,24 defer 8:12 11:5,6 degree 85:12,22 deliberate 90:8 demonstrate 83:14 demonstrated 82:21 83:5 **depart** 87:12 **Department** 58:5 75:10 depending 44:22 **depends** 67:22 describe 17:21 20:8,20 20:24 23:9 24:17 26:11 35:24 36:12 47:23 described 37:3 **desire** 8:17,21 detail 22:4 35:2,6,9,12 47:7 52:7 53:10,17 64:19 **details** 59:11 **detective** 14:23 15:7 28:14 36:11 38:5 44:4 44:24 65:17,20,22,23 66:1 detectives 49:19 65:14 **determine** 14:2 27:18 84:6 determined 63:21 different 9:22 23:5 29:1 56:17 67:21 68:8 69:25 75:5 diffuse 53:4 66:24 digital 79:8,8 digitally 78:1 direct 2:14 8:16,23 12:4 14:6 29:23 32:24 47:4

50:24 63:18 85:16 directives 84:15 directly 83:3 disabled 3:22 4:1 **discuss** 18:16 discusses 31:11 discussion 54:19 disorderly 10:4,24 82:18 83:7 86:21 distancing 72:9 **District** 1:1 5:10 10:22 12:24 14:24 51:17,24 60:4 75:10 88:15 89:7 89:13 90:1 disturbed 84:24 85:2,8 disturbing 58:16,16,23 document 6:24 7:3,10 7:13 15:15,21 16:9,11 77:22 doing 70:17 71:10,12 81:1 **Donovan** 1:15,18 3:7 door 24:20,21 doubt 31:3 32:12 downstairs 18:4 drafted 34:4 dragged 16:24 41:14

E Edward 1:19 3:12 91:1

duties 12:21 13:9

EEE 30:15,19,22

efforts 88:17

drilled 57:10

drugs 30:4

egregious 39:22,24 **eject** 9:24 30:24 88:22 ejected 74:6 ejecting 9:22 10:22 73:23 82:16 84:18 86:13 ejections 77:21 electronic 19:21 **elevate** 3:18 4:10.15 elevated 4:8,20,22,24 5:1 else's 48:3 email 65:19 emailed 77:16 employ 47:6 **employed** 53:11 57:22 66:15,17 **employee** 51:17 57:16 69:11 82:16 86:5 employee's 10:21 employees 56:11

employs 27:12

enable 3:18,20

27:9 enforcing 72:9 engaged 10:8 27:13 71:2 84:21 engaging 84:19 enter 35:18 entering 88:12 entire 49:3 equipment 3:20 escort 33:12,14 35:19 40:4 71:7 72:5 escorted 64:13,13,17 69:1 **escorting** 24:20 70:12 70:18 **especially** 26:21 53:20 56:11,11 76:10 87:8 establishment 1:9 5:18 6:23 9:23 10:3,5 13:6 13:7,14 14:8,13,16 16:21,25 17:1,24 21:22 23:24 27:17 31:5 32:16 33:2.15.16 35:3,6,7,16,18,19,25 36:8 37:4 38:1 40:16 44:8,18 45:1 47:7,16 49:18 50:1 51:18 52:8 52:13,17 53:2,6,10,11 55:7 59:20 60:20 61:6 63:24 64:3,16 65:1,15 65:22 66:13,18,19 67:6 71:23 72:8 82:14 82:18,23 83:6,11,15 83:25 84:8 85:11,18 86:6,7,12,20 87:5,13 87:24 88:5,13,16,22 88:23 89:12,15,22 establishments 12:24 28:2 29:3 39:8 76:4 82:20 evening 9:14 45:21 event 49:25 50:3,6,12 51:17,18 52:4 89:3 events 25:9 34:13 50:4 everybody 48:2 evidence 6:20 7:5,7,11 9:13 10:10 49:9 82:21

encountered 63:8

enforcement 19:9,10

14:2 19:8 23:11.14.25 82:15 83:12 85:12,19 86:11 **excuse** 43:24 58:19 84:19 exhibit 6:22,24 exhibits 77:17 exit 25:2 63:23 **exited** 28:24 **experience** 13:18,20 19:2 21:21 23:23 26:16 39:21 40:21 83:18 **expert** 76:11 explain 30:18,24,24 explained 51:20 **express** 45:22 **expressed** 50:5,11 extended 38:13 extent 32:1 extra 51:25 extremely 30:2,7

<u>F</u>

facing 59:2 fact 7:2 73:15 84:19,25 86:10 87:17 89:5,11 89:19 fact-finding 19:6 Failed 1:10 fair 23:23 40:12 59:14 **fairly** 9:13 fall 21:15 69:4 familiar 14:7,10 58:3,6 far 21:4 66:22 fast 78:23 feature 4:4 **feel** 13:17,19 65:5 feet 9:20 21:8 70:25 72:15,23 73:6 83:23 female 21:18 fights 77:21 figure 39:5 42:1 87:10 file 89:18 filed 14:1 find 10:23 18:10 80:17 86:19 **findings** 89:18 fine 8:7 42:6,10 69:17 70:24 82:23 finish 28:17 fired 40:14 firing 86:5 **first** 4:11 9:25 23:14,19 25:10 41:13 46:7 54:11 70:19 71:3,12 83:20 five 3:9 75:2

83:5

exact 59:11 61:1

61:1 69:21

example 49:24

excess 10:22

exactly 53:13 60:8,25

examination 8:16 12:4

47:4 62:9 63:18

excessive 9:15 13:21

incapable 71:12 floor 20:12,13 44:9 59:8,8,11 63:4 happen 69:7 63:13 74:23 77:13 incidence 10:1 fly 76:3 happened 25:9 26:12 47:24 48:2,16 58:25 focus 19:14 given 53:3 86:18 incident 9:17 10:16 **folks** 16:5 14:17 15:6,11,16,18 qotten 66:1 64:18 65:6 Follow 1:11 **Government** 4:16 6:21 happening 20:9 50:19 16:15 17:5 18:21 19:1 followed 18:4 31:18 7:4 8:8,9,10,14,15,20 19:17 27:19 28:15 70.1 9:3 11:13 43:3 45:13 happens 20:20 53:16 31:7 35:10 37:3 38:8 39:17 footage 9:17,20 10:14 51:5 60:5 63:14 89:21 hard 18:23,24 19:22,22 47:11,24 48:25 49:17 54:17 56:9,23 57:18 10:20 19:15,16,25 Government's 2:8.9 30:13 36:17,19 38:6 grab 48:12,13 71:15 61:7 62:12,16 63:10 20:5,14 24:8 25:17,21 harm 31:25 67:9 69:14,22 71:14 25:25 27:4 32:16 72:7 87:20 harming 32:11 33:10,11 36:13,22 44:3,9,11 grabbed 16:24 17:6 **hazard** 74:9 72:12 76:17,21,25 45:1 47:12,18 54:24 22:3 40:3 48:17 64:5 He'll 27:1 77:11 78:14 79:3 55:12,15 58:14,23 64:7 68:15,18 70:23 head 32:3 45:20 58:9 82:15 86:6,10 87:7 63:7,20 65:10,18,20 70:24 87:18 63:6 67:6,16,19 68:2 incidents 30:1 51:15 69:23 77:20 78:5 80:2 65:24 66:5,10,12 grabbing 9:19 23:1 71:21,25 74:24 83:22 67:13 69:18 84:6 85:1 68:19 70:19 71:21,24 85:21 **include** 13:13 headline 29:24 85:25 86:2 73:3 83:22 included 7:5 force 9:15,25 10:19,22 grade 39:19 heard 3:21 5:22 34:24 incorrectly 79:6 **Grandis** 1:19 3:12 41:9 indicate 30:21 32:16 13:13,21 14:2 19:9 62:13 83:9,16 84:23 21:22 23:5,10,11 24:1 41:18,23 42:3,7,10,23 hearing 1:7 4:3 6:11,14 55:19 31:12,17,23,25 32:11 43:2,11,16 90:25 91:1 7:14 8:8 19:6 39:11 indicated 84:24 85:7,23 32:15,17 33:10 38:21 91:1 46:21 58:15 75:22 indicates 17:6 84:12 39:3,8,11,12,20 68:9 greet 30:10 help 13:6 36:13 40:4 85:17 82:15 83:12,15,20 ground 42:17,20 43:6 59:24 indicating 9:23 85:12.19 86:11 48:21.22 **helped** 42:12 58:13.13 indication 6:25 88:4 forcefully 72:3 grounds 50:15 58:14 individual 13:25 27:10 forcibly 84:18 **GS** 73:23 hen 45:2 42:16 85:13 forgive 56:7 58:22 quard 10:18 19:8 21:2,5 high 84:12 information 7:2 40:8 75:19 21:10 23:1 24:20 27:8 hire 59:20 80:16,25 49:9 60:21 78:16 form 28:23 27:12 28:15 36:16 81:2 informed 35:17 52:7 former 19:9 27:9 39:25 40:2 48:4,12,17 hired 56:13 initial 76:20 78:25 85:5 forward 70:22,23 71:2,10,20 hog 32:3,9 initiated 54:23 found 65:13 72:19 guards 22:2 hold 21:3 36:17 90:3 initiation 67:9,11 **inside** 52:8,12,16 53:5 four 91:4 guess 25:18 32:24 holding 23:18 56:16 frankly 34:8 63:12 66:2 81:18 70:5 60:20 61:4.6 free 51:16 65:5 guest 11:18 **holds** 32:4,6,7,10 inspection 10:12 12:23 fresh 77:7 guests 30:8,11 honest 27:23 36:2 17:14,17 18:5,8,10,13 Friday 44:21 **guidelines** 72:9,18,25 honesty 60:10 28:23 85:6 friend 80:5 73:3 hope 78:21 inspections 13:2,4 friendly 30:9,10 hopefully 20:4 79:12 instance 33:17 н front 7:3 21:18 24:20,21 hours 38:1,18 44:18 instances 13:21 30:15 65:7 h 35:8 instructed 88:19 frustrated 66:2 half 12:18 14:14 39:1 intentionally 69:5 further 25:17 31:19 Halloween 17:25 interactions 63:10 **I--** 77:16 34:17 45:9 54:17 hand 11:23 23:18 46:25 interesting 60:10 **ID** 56:17 55:23 62:3,17 74:12 84:3 idea 50:2 interfere 28:6 81:17 87:7 handcuff 32:6 identified 18:1 interrupting 61:20 **FY** 7:23 8:6 handcuffing 59:6 identify 4:7,9 5:5,13 intervened 83:3 handcuffs 32:8 investigate 13:7 26:7 G investigating 15:5 **handful** 14:13 identifying 13:20 **General** 5:9 41:11 **handle** 51:18 **image** 20:9 28:14 imagine 40:7 investigation 17:22 77:12,16 78:10 87:22 handled 69:24 immediate 87:25 24:9 28:6,7,12 29:1,2 generally 27:7 handling 26:17 30:1 gentleman 76:8 79:10 66:22 immediately 64:15 33:20 43:13 54:18 hands 39:7 40:4 gentleman's 76:3 imminent 31:25 62:18 88:10 **hands-on** 49:12 important 30:2,8 investigations 12:23 **getting** 44:14 88:17 give 7:25 34:21 38:2 Hansen 1:20 3:11 90:24 in-house 59:22 89:3 28:3

mind 77:8,9 69:21 investigators 49:13 86:17 87:6 51:4 leaving 62:14 Maryland 80:8 **minute** 16:3 involved 14:16 52:20 **led** 70:4 masks 72:11 88:9,12,12 minutes 19:21 50:17 left 20:13 64:23 62:11 moment 3:17 7:25 **issue** 22:5 88:11 89:24 legal 90:4 matter 1:5 5:10 6:11,12 11:15 29:18 41:22 legally 31:23 6:19 36:4 48:15 87:11 43:15 91:7 let's 39:3 76:9 89:14 91:4,7 money 50:8 J level 9:25 84:20 85:8 matters 6:16 7:16 18:16 monologue 50:17 James 1:20 3:10 levels 13:13 **Mayor** 84:15 month 78:4,5 months 57:22,24,25 liable 10:23 82:24 83:6 jaw 48:13 64:6,7 68:15 mayor's 26:19 49:14 86:20 52:3 72:18 74:1,4 motion 90:14,16 68:18,20 87:20 Jeni 1:20 3:11 license 1:8 4:13 41:2,3 mayoral 73:2 mouth 33:4 72:8 73:4 licensed 12:23 **MDT** 66:20 84:3 **job** 40:15 54:15 76:12 80:21,23 87:5 **Licensee** 4:16 8:11 9:5 mean 36:25 54:16,25 move 16:23 28:25 69:2 jobs 87:14 37:23 38:4 40:13 56:7 66:3 68:8,25 69:13 76:9 90:3 licensees 59:19 72:24 73:8,9 79:7 moved 21:11,14 88:18 join 56:18 joined 3:9 life 26:19 87:15 84:17 moving 30:17 31:10 **JOSE** 1:22 lifting 23:2,17 means 30:22,23 32:2 **mPD** 13:4 14:18,20 **JR** 1:18,20 light 7:25 8:1 62:17 83:19 15:14 16:12 17:3 medical 17:3 42:19 judo 66:22 77:6 85:12 86:6 18:19,22,25 26:23 juncture 11:4 line 5:19 meet 49:16 28:3,5,11,22 35:20,22 list 41:1 meeting 1:3 90:4 justification 72:7,21 36:7 37:23 38:1,2,4 listed 16:20 **Meetings** 90:3,7,10 38:13,16 40:25 44:4 73:3 literally 23:20 member 1:18,19,19,20 47:6,12,17 48:21 49:8 Κ little 8:2,4 19:13 31:14 49:13 50:1 51:3 52:7 1:20 9:18 28:5 35:22 69:24 78:22.23 80:16 37:12.15 38:3.9.12.17 52:10.15 53:2.10 k 65:7 K-E-V-I-N 12:8 81:1 38:21 39:2,9,15,19 54:19 60:19 63:9 Living 1:6 4:13 5:16 6:7 40:6,12,21 41:5,9,18 64:14,19,22 65:9,12 **keep** 77:19,20 78:3,13 6:14 9:15,18 10:6,12 65:12 79:25 80:1 79:14 41:23 42:3,7,10,11,23 **keeping** 79:19 10:23 14:7 15:1 16:15 43:2,11,16 44:2 48:20 88:18,21 **keeps** 48:10 17:11,14 22:3 82:16 54:10 56:1,5,19 57:1 MPD's 28:6 90:6.8 57:4,6,14,16,21,25 **MPE** 22:4 kept 44:14 79:7 **LLC** 1:6 Kevin 1:24 4:25 10:11 58:2,10,19,21,25 multiple 22:2 73:15 11:13 12:7 83:10 location 16:20 17:2 59:13 60:3,9 74:15,19 municipality 66:16 80:7 kicking 32:2,8 log 77:19 78:3,6,13,24 74:22 75:9,15,19 76:7 80:12 78:25 79:3,6,7,8,14 76:15,22 77:2,3,13,18 kissing 73:24 74:7,9 **music** 69:3 knew 80:1 85:18 79:18 78:2,8,16,19 79:5,9 mute 3:22 11:19 43:25 knocking 68:21 71:1 long 12:16 22:11,20 79:17,21,22 80:9,13 mutual 62:13 85:15 41:15,20 49:24 57:22 80:24 81:6 86:16 mutually 54:21 62:19 83:23 knowing 80:4 longer 27:12 55:20 90:12,18,22 91:1 87:12 members 3:10,12 9:12 knowledge 31:6 38:3 80:14 88:21,21 Ν look 15:7 19:3 21:7 39:20 47:23 66:17 10:7 37:11 40:1,3 83:17 26:16 27:1 43:20 55:25 60:17 n 76:25 80:25 looked 85:1 67:18 74:14 81:4,11 name 2:14 3:7 5:8,16 known 22:3 66:14 80:11 looks 23:21 41:13 81:12 82:12 88:9 5:23 6:2,5,5 12:6 40:8 **Lorrie** 63:22 64:2,5 mentioned 25:25 40:10 54:7 56:6 76:3 knows 9:23 23:24 86:14 66:14 79:24 80:18 met 1:15 79:24 80:6 narrative 16:18 lose 54:14 nature 8:18 45:20 method 67:24,25 70:10 loud 18:6 69:3 near 13:5 large 14:1 lounged 70:22,22 70:10.13 largely 34:10 necessary 31:4,18 32:1 methods 67:21 87:23 law 19:9,10 27:9 89:19 88:7 М Lawful 14:23 38:5 88:6,24 neck 16:24 17:7 64:7 Metropolitan 58:4 majority 76:5 83:22 87:19 lawyer 46:8 75:10,11 laying 42:16,20 48:20 making 28:21 84:14 need 4:8 7:16 41:24 management 32:13 mic 52:23 48:22 49:17,18 50:21,24 leading 47:21 40:24 microphone 3:19 11:19 51:6 manager 5:17 18:3 65:3 microphones 3:25 **leave** 3:24 31:20.22 needed 15:10 18:23,24 manner 30:9,12 39:11 **middle** 20:13 22:22 36:12,17 49:22 52:17 62:16 64:1 74:5

83:16 66:16 80:1,1,7,12 page 29:12,19,24 30:18 personnel 9:15,21 10:8 **needs** 46:11 officers 19:10,10 35:16 31:11 34:2 41:1 48:10,24 49:13 paid 50:8 52:5 53:18 **never** 40:7 44:25 65:23 47:7 52:7,10,21,22 55:19 58:5 62:11 65:23 74:10 76:24 53:14,17 60:19 61:3 pain 32:4,9 63:22 64:5,11 67:21 new 88:19 63:9 64:15,22 65:9 paper 77:25 68:24 69:5 70:5,11,14 **night** 10:8 19:17,23 83:2 88:21 paragraph 30:2,5 70:17 72:12 75:8 22:15 24:12 26:19 **Official** 90:6,9 part 13:9 24:9 28:16 83:21 84:21 85:10 35:3 36:8,15 38:7,8 once 3:16 6:19 8:13,19 29:1,2,12 54:21 56:18 88:1 8:19,22 24:21 51:20 62:19 77:17 85:5 persons 40:17 76:12 47:5,8,24 53:2,3,13 63:9,9,19 64:21 66:10 57:12,14 64:2,9,13 80:16 **parted** 85:14 76:15 78:14,25 65:12 70:14 71:16 participating 17:25 perspective 63:6 Nightclub 5:16 ones 22:11 particular 34:8 83:4,14 pertaining 82:13 ongoing 28:3 pertains 87:15 **nights** 36:8 86:13 normally 44:19 45:25 open 44:19 47:7 52:1 particularly 42:20 phone 8:6 19:20 53:3,11 57:2 90:3,7 50:18 84:12 photograph 7:21 46:10 **nose** 33:4 90:10 particulars 14:6 physical 69:7 84:20 **note** 6:21 7:3 89:11 opening 2:8 8:10,11,13 parties 3:24 82:5 87:11 pick 44:25 47:12 66:5,6 9:9 11:4 45:17,18 **notes** 78:18,20 89:18 66:7 pieces 24:8 number 4:13,14 39:7 46:6 partners 6:6 90:5,8 operating 86:20 party 3:18,25 **piling** 32:3,9 **NW** 1:7 operations 89:14 passes 91:4 **pissed** 48:9 opinion 38:22 39:15 patient 35:24 **place** 18:1 24:11 40:18 0 patron 9:16,19,23,24 40:18 45:23 87:5,6 opportunity 46:15 **OAG** 1:24 **opposed** 33:4 68:18 10:1,22 17:6 21:6 88:10 placed 62:16 85:13 **object** 50:15 option 3:24 23:12,24 24:20 26:17 **objection** 7:10 47:20 order 14:1 48:13 53:3 27:13 31:20 32:22 86:16 objectives 59:7 74:4 33:9.14 35:14 36:17 placing 84:2 85:15 **plain** 78:22 **observed** 18:22 32:22 Orellana 1:22 4:15,19 40:5 41:13 43:5 52:16 36:15 39:25 40:1 15:25 16:3 53:7 63:23 64:1,3,5 **plan** 1:11 10:6,6,25 original 77:5,5 64:11,16,17 65:1,2 29:3,6,14,17,20 30:18 45.23 obtain 13:6 41:2 64:8 outline 39:10 67:19 68:3,23 69:1,5 30:22 32:18 33:2,3 65:22,24 81:5 outlined 32:17 69:11,20 70:4,10,12 37:3 38:22 39:12,16 **obtained** 44:3 68:15 outside 22:6 24:21 25:6 70:19,23,25 71:7,21 39:17 46:19 56:20,21 73:25 25:7 33:14 35:2,6,9 73:4 74:7,7 82:17 56:22 57:8,11 59:5 63:2 82:20 83:8,11 obtaining 14:25 26:20 35:12,13,16 40:4 83:22 84:1 86:13 36:13 49:19 52:21 53:7,17 88:18,22,23 86:3.12.22 64:13,14 65:2 75:6 **Plans** 39:9 **obviously** 20:21 48:14 patron's 40:4 occasion 30:13 over-reacting 80:3 patrons 13:15 21:13,15 plausible 84:7 occur 85:2 86:10 overlooking 20:12 30:10,13 33:4 35:19 play 19:25 20:19,19 occurred 17:21 18:21 ow 80:25 64:10 68:21 71:1,14 22:20 24:4,15 42:4 24:17 25:14 45:21 owner 10:13,21 18:3,3 72:14,22 73:5,9,15,16 played 20:9 73:24 74:2 83:24 47:11 56:23 59:9 63:5 18:16 26:1,4 27:3,11 playing 20:22 65:16 68:8 69:23 86:5 27:24 28:19 35:23 84:18 88:6,11 please 4:3,7,9,15 5:5,6 5:14 6:2,3 11:16,19 87:7 37:22 42:15 43:4.9 pay 52:21 44:14 46:20 49:12 pending 41:3 87:6 11:20,23 12:6 16:1,2 occurring 25:1 69:8 84:24 85:23 86:4 89:1 46:23,25 56:6 58:22 **people** 49:16 59:5,6 86:9 point 27:17 45:22 46:21 occurs 13:5 20:25 89:9 66:23 68:1 69:2,4 October 1:13 9:14 10:9 owner's 19:18 20:15 perceive 87:9 55:18 64:3 14:17,23 17:18 38:10 22:12 perceived 87:13 pointed 87:22 38:15,17 56:8,23 57:7 owners 5:21 percent 79:13 **points** 49:21 period 61:7 **police** 16:14,18 17:10 61:12,12,17 76:15 Ρ 77:6 82:14 permission 41:24 31:4,6 32:12 35:8 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S permitted 52:8 73:18 49:13 52:20,22 58:4 offer 7:8 office 5:9 18:7 19:18 85:20 61:3 65:4 66:16,16 3:1 **P-U-E-N-T-E** 12:8 person 26:6 31:24 40:7 75:10,11 80:7,12 83:2 20:15 22:12 26:1,19 40:24 49:14 52:3 **p.m** 3:2 17:24 44:22 40:8,10,14,14 47:20 policies 88:7 officer 22:6 27:9 33:13 75:12 81:2 87:5,6 policy 28:1,4 74:1 91:12

personal 80:5

35:13,20 47:17 66:16

packet 34:2

portions 22:22

position 12:12 positioned 35:9,16 64:14,15 **possible** 26:23 28:22 30:9,16 87:19 possibly 19:4 26:18 33:13 65:16 **posted** 74:3 pre- 50:7 52:4 preference 52:19 preliminary 6:16,18 7:14,16 premises 23:25 31:20 70:12 prepared 77:1 **present** 1:17,21 8:13 11:5,7 42:15 49:16 presentation 6:20 presented 7:8,11 8:14 8:20 51:10 presiding 1:15 pretty 54:17,20 56:16 prevent 32:11 33:10 68:16 71:25 84:9 86:9 87:20 previous 22:16 23:6 36:8 63:10 **prior** 6:15 9:1 18:21 47:15 52:4,16 56:8,10 56:22 57:7,23 61:12 61:16 66:15 74:25 private 56:15 probably 15:15 20:18 39:7 80:18 problem 11:21 53:4 69:11,19 78:12 85:22 problematic 21:12 problems 6:22 59:21 procedural 9:1 Procedure 29:25 procedures 55:16 76:5 proceeding 91:11 proceedings 50:19 process 8:9 9:17 21:9 25:24 68:22 71:1 83:24 produce 18:24 professional 30:12 59:16,17,22,23 60:1 professionals 59:20 prohibited 30:4 63:25 **prohibits** 10:2,5,7 prolong 80:13 pronounced 54:7 proper 9:25 55:16 66:22 properly 6:18 9:24 66:23

protocols 19:5 56:17 84:16 **provide** 26:22 66:13 76:22 77:1,4 provided 6:23 60:22 65:17 77:15 88:12 89:1,2,4,6 providing 77:9 provisions 83:14 proximity 64:7 **Pub** 17:25,25 **public** 15:18 16:17 **pull** 22:8 **pulled** 19:19 punching 32:2,8 purpose 10:4,24 82:19 83:7 86:21 90:4 Purposes 1:10 pursuant 90:6 **push** 71:20 pushed 16:20,22 70:25 **pushes** 21:5 24:22 pushing 9:19 21:3,14 83:23 put 36:16 39:4 88:12

Q

qualified 41:2

question 4:4 22:16 35:3 36:3 37:12 40:6 43:20 44:1,5 47:6 50:16,21 51:7,10,13,22 56:2 58:22 60:18 62:2,11 63:20,23 67:2,24 68:13 69:14 72:13 74:13,16 75:20 78:3 78:20 81:14 questioned 66:7 questioning 63:21 73:2 85.7 questions 4:2,5 8:22,25 9:1 25:17 32:25 34:17 34:19 37:7,11,19 41:6 43:4,10,12,21,22 45:9 46:11,14 50:24 54:1,3 55:23,25 60:16 61:23 61:24,25 62:4,6 67:3 74:12 77:19 81:10,11 81:14,17,20 quickest 77:23 quite 46:12 60:10 **quorum** 3:14

R

Rafi 1:19 3:11 90:22 raise 7:9 11:23 46:25 random 53:21 rate 77:4 **RCO** 33:13 **RDO** 22:5 reach 14:20 26:18 27:17 40:23,25 reached 14:18,24 15:4 18:19,20 36:19 reaching 28:2 44:13 read 16:17 30:1 31:2,12 34:7 39:10 reading 42:17 **ready** 19:5 reason 4:8 7:20 28:10 35:7 reasonable 31:21 32:1 reasonably 30:15 reasons 61:21 90:9 recall 36:1 48:21 55:2,6 57:13 61:1 75:18 76:3 receive 13:10 15:18 44:10 48:24 66:19 received 22:13 24:9 25:21 57:18 89:6,10 receiving 17:10 87:8 recognize 7:21 15:21 16:9 19:25 25:4 29:6 29:17 33:24 87:17 record 3:4 5:6.14 6:2 12:6 29:12 74:23 77:20 89:17 recording 83:1 Recross 2:14 redirect 2:14 45:8 62:6 62:9 81:19,20 refresh 26:21 refusal 44:8.12 **refused** 48:8 64:1,18 refusing 52:17 regarding 18:19 37:23 43:4 72:19 88:10 registered 58:6 60:4 75:9 Regulation 12:11 regulatory 10:11 13:3 17:14 18:5,8,10,13 28:23 85:6 reimbursable 22:4 47:6 64:19 rejects 31:21 **relate** 24:24 related 14:16 16:15 31:6 49:18 relationship 51:3 relevance 50:15 relevant 50:18 remain 3:21 remaining 59:3 remains 86:10 remember 76:8 79:25

reminders 31:18 remotely 57:20 removal 9:16 27:13 remove 23:24 52:12,16 64:2 67:19 69:20 72:4 88:13,23 removed 35:24 69:11 removing 23:12 68:3 70:10 repeat 42:8 75:23 repeatedly 64:1 replay 20:21 22:21 report 15:12,13,15,18 16:13,15,18 17:6,10 27:19 28:10 29:11,25 33:20,24 34:3,9,12 37:18 39:4 42:14 57:20 76:13,17,21,25 78:5,9 79:3 reports 49:17 representative 5:17 representing 5:10 request 31:22 37:2 38:2 38:4,6 44:5 51:16 53:9 77:2 requested 36:22 37:23 37:25 44:3,7 53:18 63:23 65:2 66:9,11,12 requests 36:18 89:13 require 4:3 49:10 required 38:18 56:13 56:18 65:8 66:12 requirement 13:24 requirements 37:22 58:4 requires 56:9 reserved 48:6 resolved 66:4 resources 26:18 respectful 30:11 respond 50:21 51:8 responds 51:3 response 15:3 17:10 26:25 rest 81:25 82:1 restrain 66:24 68:23 72:2 84:2 restraints 32:5,7 restricted 16:21 rests 45:13 result 10:17 21:10 31:19 85:16 results 27:25 Retailer 1:7 retrain 68:7 retrained 19:4 retrieve 47:17

return 17:1

review 13:1 19:16 29:3 42:12 55:11,14 65:10 69:18,23 84:5 87:7 reviewed 10:13 19:20 20:15 22:12,17 25:12 27:5 32:15 38:7 67:12 86:4 reviewing 25:24 63:7 63:20 rid 27:8 40:13 57:17 78:17 rights 3:18 4:10,16 89:19 **Roll** 90:15 room 1:6 4:13 5:16 6:7 6:14 9:15 10:7,12,23 14:7 15:1 16:15 17:12 17:14 22:3 68:21 82:16 90:6,9 **room's** 9:18 running 69:5 S

s 1:19 17:2 84:8 **S-A-A-D-A-T-I-A-N** 6:6 S-I-A-M-A-K 6:5 **S1** 16:20.22.23 **Saadatian** 1:23 2:17 4:21 5:19,24 6:4,5 10:13 26:10 47:9.13 47:19,25 49:1,5,11 51:19,23 52:10,18,25 53:13,19 54:6,8,8,9 54:10,14,16,25 55:5,9 55:13,17,21 56:14,24 57:3,5,12,15,19,24 58:1,8,17,20,24 59:10 59:25 60:6,13,23,25 61:9,14,19 62:15 **Saliva** 73:23 sargent 35:17 88:19 sat 64:22 **sated** 44:5 satisfied 27:25 **Saturday** 17:18 38:15 44:21 **save** 7:7 saw 27:14 36:16 39:8 47:25 52:2 58:15 70:3 70:6 76:17 77:21 84:20 saying 56:20 61:18 64:19 says 29:25 30:22 79:14 **schedule** 53:23,23 scheduled 3:15

41:12.24 **screens** 18:23 **scroll** 29:16 scrolling 34:1 seating 20:12 second 14:24 20:18 22:8 23:10 24:24 30:1 30:5 31:18 70:3,18 71:3,5,10 82:19 83:18 90:11 seconded 90:12,14,16 seconds 22:11,20 24:15 41:15,19 section 16:18 31:1,2,11 31:12 32:15 34:8 90:7 90:10 sections 20:21 29:18 **sector** 56:15 security-wise 75:17 seeing 85:2 **seek** 17:3 seeking 90:4 seen 39:8 88:11 segment 29:23 **self** 31:24 send 15:11 19:21 sense 6:23 71:15 sent 38:15 66:11 78:9 sergeants 61:2,3 serious 48:15 session 3:6 set 53:23,23 setting 71:20 85:15 seven 3:15 12:18 14:14 38:20.25 39:4 **share** 15:24 16:1 20:3 29:9 41:24 sharing 25:19 **shirt** 23:1,17,17 70:6 **Short** 1:20 3:11 37:12 37:14,15 38:3,9,12,17 38:21 39:2,9,15,19 40:6,12,21 41:5 56:1 56:4,5,19 57:1,4,6,14 57:16,21,25 58:2,10 58:19,21,25 59:13 60:3,9,15 74:15,18,19 74:21,22 75:9,15,19 76:7,15,22 77:2,13,18 78:2,8,19 79:5,9,17 79:22 80:9,13,24 81:6 81:10 90:12,12,13,17 90:18,18 shoving 68:20 **show** 1:7 6:13 8:8 9:18

9:21 10:10 41:12

showed 15:21 33:24

51:24

45:2 50:2,5 78:14 **showing** 78:12 **shown** 29:6 54:11 58:15 67:24 69:16 **shows** 10:20 **Siamak** 5:19,21 6:1,5 10:13 18:4 19:7 26:9 46:2,23,24 47:5 50:20 51:2,14 52:6,24 62:12 62:20 side 24:22 54:25 55:1 61:7 70:6 sign 28:23 signed 7:1 signs 74:3 Simiak 1:23 4:21 similar 39:2 **simple** 9:13 sir 5:12 6:9 7:18 11:2 11:20 16:4,7 35:21 36:10,23 37:5 38:19 39:6,14,18,24 40:11 40:20 42:25 45:4,11 45:18,19 46:17 47:3 51:7 54:3 56:6,8 58:22 60:18.24 61:25 62:10,22,23,25 63:16 65:5 67:7 70:8 76:14 81:21,24 82:1,10 sit 48:5 63:25 sitting 48:3 63:24 **situation** 53:4 77:7 **situations** 66:24 68:8 **six** 3:12 53:24 57:3,4,6 72:15,23 73:6 **slips** 70:7 slow 41:19 42:1 **smile** 30:10 **social** 72:9 solely 74:8 Somewhat 68:9 soon 26:23 28:21 sorry 6:10 34:20 43:24 61:19 62:1 sort 28:19 **source** 89:4 spat 64:4 **speak** 18:2 64:18 65:3 78:22 speaking 11:19 43:5 51:11 78:19 Specialist 3:17 specially 56:19 **specific** 29:18 49:1 59:4,4 78:20 82:5 spell 6:2 12:6

spit 48:11 64:8 **spite** 85:20 spitting 48:14 68:7,16 71:25 72:2 84:4,10 87:21 88:1 spoke 36:15 42:15 65:3 **spread** 73:21 staff 1:22 9:18 10:17 19:4 26:20 28:5 30:3 31:23 32:5,7 35:13 40:1,3 49:4,5 55:20 56:18 69:20 72:11 76:16 78:13 81:4 86:15 88:9 89:6,8 stairs 23:3,19 25:1 stand 46:23 69:10 standing 64:12 start 29:13 79:19 81:1 started 18:5 starting 6:15 9:1 29:12 **starts** 29:24 30:2 **state** 6:2 12:6 37:1 80:8 stated 42:16 44:25 65:25 87:18 **statement** 2:8.9.10 8:10 8:12 9:9 11:4 37:1 45:17.18 46:7 51:16 63:5,13,13 68:5 76:20 **statements** 8:14 26:25 **states** 16:20 stay 3:25 stead 10:1 83:5 stem 82:14 **step** 18:7 steps 64:13 85:12 86:8 86:8 stop 22:21 48:14 strange 7:20 Strategy 30:15,19 street 7:22 40:15 80:20 **Sub** 16:19,22 17:2 **subject** 16:24,25 21:2 63:14 subject's 23:1 submit 4:3 **subsequent** 9:20 86:4 suggest 50:9 58:11 suit 25:6 summarize 33:20 **Sunday** 44:21 supervisors 15:5 supplied 77:10 support 10:16 supports 77:3 **supposed** 61:8 80:22 surrounding 71:14 surveillance 13:6 15:1 15:10 25:12 38:8

spelled 5:15

screen 15:24 16:2,6

20:3 21:19 29:10

suspects 28:8 suspended 54:17 suspension 62:17 85:14,16 87:6 swear 34:11 46:9 63:12 sworn 11:24 47:1 63:17 Т **t/a** 1:6 **TABLE** 2:6 tackling 32:2,8 takes 24:11 talked 10:12 54:18 72:6 talking 28:7 48:1 61:16 tape 77:21 technique 68:11 techniques 66:22 tell 51:25 60:7 72:11 telling 88:20 tend 19:10 27:10 terminated 10:18 19:8 62:12 terms 25:18 70:12 testified 85:2,6 89:1,5 testify 43:9 46:8,9,12 50:25 63:3 83:17 85:21 testifying 45:20 testimony 8:19 34:10 46:16,20 68:19 69:19 71:11,19 81:7 83:9 84:23 86:3 th 33:16 thank 5:3 9:6 11:1.2.9 12:2 13:23 18:14 22:7 28:16 32:14,23 33:19 34:16 37:9,15,18 41:5 41:9 42:7 43:16,17,17 45:5,10,15 54:2,9 59:13 60:9,11,13 62:20 73:13,13 74:11 74:19 76:7 78:2 79:22 81:7,9 82:11 86:24 87:1 89:16 91:5,6,9 **Thanks** 60:14 things 13:1 33:16 45:21 80:20 84:7 third 25:3 70:4,18 71:3 71:11 83:18 thought 78:25 three 56:25 57:1 throat 9:19 33:5 throwing 23:20 tied 66:1 ties 32:4,9

suspect 28:5

44:13 52:11 56:24,25 57:9 today 5:18 42:16 58:15 59:3 76:18 told 15:8 27:7,11,24 28:14,22 38:6 50:8 52:1 61:2,10,12 69:15 88:11 top 32:3,9 track 40:16 78:4 train 66:21 68:1,24 trained 57:10 67:18 70:11,14 74:24 75:1 75:15 **trainer** 75:12 trainers 60:4 training 13:10,13,18,20 21:21 26:20,21 48:24 56:10,12,21 57:7,8,18 59:1,1,4,8,9,16,18,22 59:23,23 60:2 66:19 69:20 75:3,4,5,6,7,21 75:25 76:1,4,10,11 79:9,11,13,15 86:4,15 89:1,2,4,6 trainings 49:6 89:9 transcript 39:10 transmission 73:23 treated 30:8 treatment 17:4 tried 44:13 48:13 65:5 trouble 14:25 troubled 10:15 27:4 truthful 34:13 try 41:21 65:19 78:21 88:5 trying 42:1 68:6,12 72:7 72:21 75:23 84:2,9 turn 71:7,15,16,22 72:4 turned 64:10,12 **two** 37:19 40:1,2 53:14 56:24,25 57:1,24,25 61:17 82:12 type 7:4 10:7 40:18 56:10,12 77:25 78:17 85:19 typed 76:21 77:11 types 12:25 40:17 typically 77:22 81:3 U

ultimately 9:22 umbrella 68:2 unable 64:24 71:14 unaware 17:2 uncontroverted 86:2 uncooperative 30:14 88:3

69:6 72:20,21 80:24 81:21 understandable 85:10 understanding 33:1 65:16 understood 78:21 undertook 86:14 unfortunately 53:19,20 56:9 64:23 68:25 78:15 88:16 unheard 87:4 **UNIDENTIFIED** 47:20 unjust 10:18 unlawful 1:10 10:3,24 82:18 83:7 86:20 unmasked 73:16,21 unperceived 70:2 unsuccessful 65:21 **unusual** 42:20 upset 16:23 48:9 use 9:25 13:14 30:15 31:12,17,23 32:5,7,10 32:15,17 33:9 38:21 39:20 49:24 59:7 67:22 82:15 83:20 usually 44:20,21 50:3 utilize 68:2,11 utilized 14:3 82:16 83:6 85:9,23 86:11 utilizing 9:21 82:17 83:12 85:18

understand 67:23 69:1

V

V 1:6 venue 32:5.6 verbal 33:12 66:22 Vermont 1:7 version 19:22 video 13:7 15:1,10 18:20,22 19:14,16 21:23 25:12 26:22 28:21 36:13,16,22 37:20,23 38:2,4,7,8 38:12,16 39:25 41:13 43:6,10 44:2,6,9,10 45:1 47:12,17 48:1 49:17 63:7,20 65:10 65:18,18,19,24 66:5,9 66:11 67:25 68:6 69:16 84:5 86:2 88:8 videoconference 1:15 **videos** 83:2 view 44:5,6 54:23 viewed 68:5 85:25 **violate** 86:12 violated 33:3 83:11,15 violater 32:11 33:10

violating 10:5,25 82:20 83:7 violation 10:2 40:9 86:21,22,22 violations 18:11,12 40:17 59:2 72:18 74:1 violence 77:20 80:3 84:20,25 85:8,22 visible 20:5 22:8 visited 38:14 Voicemail 44:14 Vote 90:15

W

W-I-T-N-E-S-S-E-S 2:12

W 1:15,18

wait 43:6 waited 64:22 waive 89:19 waives 89:21,22 walk 18:6 71:23 walked 83:13 walking 23:18 40:1 73:10 wanted 48:19 64:19 wants 66:3 warnings 31:19 warranted 82:22 wasn't 34:23 78:19 84:1 watch 65:18 watching 19:1 way 21:12,14 51:18 67:17 68:22 69:24 76:23 77:20,23 80:20 ways 54:21 62:19 83:12 85:15 87:12 wearing 88:9 **WebEx** 1:15 Wednesday 1:12 week 14:22 18:21 weekend 44:23 Welcome 3:6 went 17:11 18:3,4 22:5 52:24 64:21 72:17,24 weren't 72:16 73:6,17 Wilfred 14:23 willing 77:4 wish 9:8 11:3,11 45:16 45:17 81:23 82:3 89:18 witness 8:16,18 11:10 11:24,25 43:7,15,22 46:1,7,23 47:1,2 50:16,18,25 62:22,25 63:17 witnesses 4:17 8:15,21 8:22,24 45:11 46:3,19

timeliness 83:1

times 14:13 18:20 36:7

81:23

WOODSON 82:7 Word 6:24 7:2 words 30:10 work 8:5 12:9 20:4 37:21 54:12 worked 12:17 working 15:8 worry 42:3 wrap 28:19	21 29:19 21-251-90:5 21-251-00040 1:8 21-251-0040 90:8 21-CIT-00040 4:13 21st 61:12 22nd 9:14 10:9 14:17 38:11 56:8,23 57:7 61:13 74:25 76:16	95 79:13 99 79:13		
Word 6:24 7:2 words 30:10 work 8:5 12:9 20:4 37:21 54:12 worked 12:17 working 15:8 worry 42:3	21-251-90:5 21-251-00040 1:8 21-251-0040 90:8 21-CIT-00040 4:13 21st 61:12 22nd 9:14 10:9 14:17 38:11 56:8,23 57:7 61:13 74:25 76:16			
words 30:10 work 8:5 12:9 20:4 37:21 54:12 worked 12:17 working 15:8 worry 42:3	21-251-00040 1:8 21-251-0040 90:8 21-CIT-00040 4:13 21st 61:12 22nd 9:14 10:9 14:17 38:11 56:8,23 57:7 61:13 74:25 76:16	99 79:13		
work 8:5 12:9 20:4 37:21 54:12 worked 12:17 working 15:8 worry 42:3	21-251-0040 90:8 21-CIT-00040 4:13 21st 61:12 22nd 9:14 10:9 14:17 38:11 56:8,23 57:7 61:13 74:25 76:16			
37:21 54:12 worked 12:17 working 15:8 worry 42:3	21-CIT-00040 4:13 21st 61:12 22nd 9:14 10:9 14:17 38:11 56:8,23 57:7 61:13 74:25 76:16			
worked 12:17 working 15:8 worry 42:3	21st 61:12 22nd 9:14 10:9 14:17 38:11 56:8,23 57:7 61:13 74:25 76:16			
working 15:8 worry 42:3	22nd 9:14 10:9 14:17 38:11 56:8,23 57:7 61:13 74:25 76:16			
working 15:8 worry 42:3	22nd 9:14 10:9 14:17 38:11 56:8,23 57:7 61:13 74:25 76:16			
worry 42:3	38:11 56:8,23 57:7 61:13 74:25 76:16			
	61:13 74:25 76:16			
write 76:17 77:24,24	77:6 82:14			
78:5,16,24	25-823A2 10:2			
writing 77:23	25-823A6 10:4			
written 37:2 76:13,20	251 15:12,13 16:13			
77:10 79:18	251s 15:15			
wrong 51:8	2575 90:2			
wrongdoing 13:8	2575B 90:7			
wrote 6:25 33:21 34:12	2575B13 90:10			
	25A23A2 86:21			
X	25A23A6 86:23			
	26 1:13			
Υ	2F 1:7			
Yawful 15:8 28:14	21 1.7			
	3			
year 48:15 57:1,12,14				
76:6 78:4,4	3:00 44:22			
years 12:19 14:14	3:17 91:12			
38:23 39:1,4 53:24	30th 14:23 17:19 38:15			
57:2,4,6,21 61:17	38:17			
66:15 75:2,21,24	34 2:16			
yo 88:25	35 24:14			
*	35-second 24:18			
Z	33 3333114 2 11 18			
zoom 31:14	4			
200111 31:14	46 2:17			
0				
	48 38:1,18			
00040 90:6				
	5			
11	5 21:8			
1's 17:2	5:00 17:24			
1:33 3:2	53 2:17			
10 21:8				
10/22 56:23 57:7 74:25	6			
1010 1:6,7	61 2:17			
11 2:16	62 2:18			
13 29:24	66 2:18			
15 29:24 15 20:18 31:11	 3 			
15 20.16 31.11 15-second 20:25	7			
	-			
18 22:10,19	7:00 44:21			
	76906 1:8 4:14			
2				
2 34:2	8			
2:00 44:22	8 2:8 29:12			
2005 80:11	8:00 44:22			
2006 66:15 79:25 80:11	82 2:9			
2016 57:3	87 2:10			
2021 9:14 14:17,23	3. 2.10			
	9			
17:19 38:11 56:8				
61:13,17 82:15	90 89:24 91:8			
2022 1:13	911 64:20,21			
	I	1	1	

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Living Room

Before: DC ABRA

Date: 10-26-22

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &