DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS BOARD

+ + + + + MEETING

IN THE MATTER OF: :

Brooklyn on U, LLC

t/a Brooklyn :
1212 U Street NW : Fact Finding
Retailer CR - ANC 1B : Hearing :

Case #23-251-00023

(Assault with a Deadly Weapon)

Wednesday October 4, 2023

The Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board met via WebEx videoconference, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson presiding.

PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson BOBBY CATO, JR., Member EDWARD S. GRANDIS, Member JENI HANSEN, Member JAMES SHORT, JR., Member

ALSO PRESENT:

JOSE ORELLANA, DC ABCA Staff ALEX HAJE, Licensee ANDREW KLINE, Licensee Counsel CAMERON MIXON, Licensee Counsel RILEY HONG, Captain, DC MPD INVESTIGATOR TAVRIL PROUT, DC ABCA

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(10:46 a.m.)
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The next case
4	on our calendar is a fact-finding hearing, Case
5	Number 23-251-00023, Brooklyn, License Number
6	111411. Good morning, Mr. Orellana, could you
7	please elevate the rights of the licensee, and
8	the other parties in this case, please?
9	MR. ORELLANA: Captain Riley Hong,
10	your access has been elevated.
11	Investigator Jovan Miller, your access
12	has been apologies. Supervisor, Investigator
13	Tavril Prout, your access has been elevated.
14	And I'm not seeing anyone else,
15	Chairman.
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I thought that
17	Mr. Kline, I'm
18	MR. ORELLANA: Okay, I can elevate
19	them.
20	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, I thought
21	he told me that Mr. Kline I'm, I'm, well, I'll
22	find out whether or not.
23	MR. ORELLANA: They're not on my list,
24	but I'll elevate them.
25	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I'm

Τ	just, good morn Mr. Kline, just to clarify for
2	you, are you representing Brooklyn?
3	MR. KLINE: We are, and Alex Haje is
4	here as well, with the establishment, and Cameron
5	Mixon from my office is on as well.
6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right,
7	okay, fine. All right, hold on a minute, please.
8	MR. HAJE: Hello, yes, I'm present as
9	well.
10	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, just
11	hold on a minute. I just want to make sure that
12	I Mr. Haje, are you driving, sir?
13	MR. HAJE: I pulled over. I'm not
14	driving.
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So,
16	this is a fact-finding hearing on Case Number
17	23-251-00023, Brooklyn. And let me have the
18	parties identify themselves for the record. Let
19	me start with Captain Hong, can you please spell
20	and state your name for the record, please?
21	MR. HONG: My name is Riley Hong, R-I-
22	L-E-Y H-O-N-G.
23	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning,
24	sir.
25	MR. HONG: Good morning.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Prout. 2 MR. PROUT: ABRA Investigator Tavril 3 Prout, T-A-V-R-I-L P-R-O-U-T. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And Mr. Kline. 4 5 MR. KLINE: Yes, good morning, Chairman Anderson, Members of the Board. Andrew 6 7 Kline, A-N-D-R-E-W K-L-I-N-E on behalf of the 8 licensee. 9 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Mixon. MR. MIXON: Good morning, Cameron 10 11 Mixon, C-A-M-E-R-O-N M-I-X-O-N, also on behalf 12 of the licensee. 13 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Haje, can 14 you please spell and state your name for the 15 record, please? 16 MR. HAJE: Yes, sir. it's Alex Haje, 17 A-L-E-X H-A-J-E, representing for the business. 18 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: This is a fact-19 finding hearing -- hold on a moment. A request 20 was made to our agency, to the Board to have a 21 fact-finding hearing on an incident that occurred at this establishment on August the 2nd, August 2.2 23 7th, 2023. Where a simple assault occurred, and 2.4 a request was made to the ABC Board by the 25 interim Chief of Police, to have a fact-finding

1	hearing.
2	Just to let the parties know, a fact-
3	finding hearing is that the Board is just
4	gathering information. The Board has not made
5	any determination whether or not it whether or
6	not any type of infraction happened.
7	Mr., all right, Mr. Haje, it wasn't
8	I see your camera moving. So, maybe
9	(Simultaneous speaking.)
10	MR. HAJE: I've stabilized it. I've
11	stabilized it. I apologize for any
12	inconvenience.
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And the reason
14	I'm saying that, because if you are driving.
15	It's, that's a no-no.
16	MR. HAJE: I'm not. No, I'm not, not
17	at all. I'm pulled over, sir.
18	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Thank
19	you.
20	Okay. All right. So, the Board has
21	not made any determination about whether or not
22	we believe an act of violation has occurred. So,
23	as stated before, we're gathering facts.
24	At the end of this hearing, these are
25	decisions that the Board can make. The Board can

determine that there are no further, we'll take no further action in this case. Or if the Board believes that a potential violation occurred, then the Board will refer this case over to the Office of the Attorney General for them to make a determination.

Again, this is a fact-finding hearing. It's informal. No witnesses are being sworn. During a fact-finding hearing the Board cannot order the party to take any specific action. The party, however, can volunteer to take certain actions if they believe that they would want to take those actions.

The agreement or refusal of the party to take any specific action will not reflect, at least in my view, will not reflect negatively on the establishment. Because as I stated, for us it's a fact-finding hearing and we're gathering facts. And so, we're just trying to figure out what the next step is.

So, since this is a request, that was made by the Chief of Police, let me have Captain Hong, Captain, or Sergeant, Captain?

> CAPTAIN HONG: Yes, Captain.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'll have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

Captain Hong, can tell us, what occurred and why is it that the Chief of Police believes that we should have had -- we should have a fact-finding hearing to further discuss this matter?

CAPTAIN HONG: Sure. Thank you for this time. This all initiated because MPD responded for sounds of gunshots, or shots.

Other technology detected four rounds. And when we responded to the area of 1900 block and 13th Street, we located one of the -- victim with a gunshot wound to the foot, several parked vehicles that were shot.

And further investigation showed that the apparent targets of the gunshots, had been involved in a physical altercation moments before, inside of the second floor of Brooklyn on U. That initiated that correlation with an ABCA establishment. Initiated the request for the investigator from that club. And initiated the fact-finding hearing.

The initial information on the letter, said that there is no evidence that establishment security guards ever notified MPD of the altercation, which is the initial simple assault that occurred on the second floor.

2.2

2.4

And the purpose, at the end of it all, is just to ensure that the ownership is employing all available measures to protect the safety of the patrons. And to my knowledge, it appears there's no security plan. It may not be required of the establishment. So, it's just a factfinding hearing because of what occurred.

And maybe going forward, we can find some solutions that will improve the safety for everyone.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Captain Hong, was the establishment cooperative with MPD throughout their investigation?

CAPTAIN HONG: From what I gathered, yes. They were able to provide video footage, on scene, to the investigators, which greatly assisted with finding out what exactly happened minutes before.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Has the weapon been recovered?

CAPTAIN HONG: No. I believe there was one stop, and one parked vehicle where a K-9 was requested. The K-9 did detect on the vehicle, but when the search was conducted, no weapon was located.

2.2

2.4

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And also, based 1 2 on the review of the camera, you said that they provided video. Was MPD able to make any 3 determination whether or not, the weapon was 4 5 inside the establishment at any point? 6 CAPTAIN HONG: From my knowledge, we 7 do not have information that the weapon was 8 It appears that we were able to use the inside. 9 video to determine that the targeted victims of the incident, were outside, and inside 10 11 previously. So, it's very likely that the other 12 party that was inside of the original physical 13 assault are involved. 14 However, as far as whether the weapon 15 was inside, or it was obtained outside, after the fact, that we don't have information. 16 17 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, 18 thank you, sir. Any questions by any Board 19 Members of the Captain? Yes, Mr. Short. 20 MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Hong, we thank you 21 for your service, sir. And you stated earlier in 2.2 your testimony that there was no security plan. 23 Is that correct? 2.4 CAPTAIN HONG: Yes, from reviewing the 25 report that ABCA was able to share with me, I did

1	observe that there's no there's an agreement
2	but no security plan.
3	MEMBER SHORT: Do they
4	CAPTAIN HONG: I had yes.
5	MEMBER SHORT: Are you aware that this
6	is a licensee, license for a CR, which is a
7	restaurant?
8	CAPTAIN HONG: I believe so, and I
9	know that in the past, restaurants do not require
10	a security plan. If I'm correct.
11	MEMBER SHORT: So, what time of
12	morning did this shooting occur, or the assault
13	occur?
14	CAPTAIN HONG: The assault appears to
15	have occurred a little after midnight, around
16	12:15. And the shooting occurred about three
17	minutes after that.
18	MEMBER SHORT: What time did you get
19	on the scene, or did you go to the scene?
20	CAPTAIN HONG: Oh, I wasn't working
21	that night.
22	MEMBER SHORT: Do you know what time
23	the officers went to the scene?
24	CAPTAIN HONG: That I would have to
25	reference, but since everything happened within

the same amount of time, and we had a shots fired detection. Shots fired detections would be pretty instant. I would say within one minute.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. And so, since this is a restaurant, apparently, they weren't serving food when this melee broke out on the second floor, and then someone was shot outside. But besides the security plan, are there any other recommendations you would make?

Apparently, you know this area quite well, and you know the, you're familiar with U Street.

CAPTAIN HONG: Um --

MEMBER SHORT: Since you've testified before us before. Are there any recommendations that MPD would recommend at this fact finding, that will make this restaurant a little safer for the community?

CAPTAIN HONG: Yes, I believe a security plan is a good beginning. We've had other restaurants which have also had similar gun violence, where it was found that the shooter, at some point, was inside the restaurant. They had a restaurant license.

The owner of that restaurant, I believe had already had a security plan just

2.2

2.4

1 because they had that experience running their 2 ABCA establishments with other types of licenses. I think a security plan just outlines to the 3 4 staff, when certain things occur, what should be 5 the policy. What should be the actions. And if 6 7 it's written out, then it's more clear for the staff and new employees. It becomes a lot more 8 9 certain what should be done, what's required, what's the safe thing to do. 10 11 MEMBER SHORT: Okay. And thank you. 12 You did testify earlier that you got footage from cameras from within, inside of the restaurant? 13 14 CAPTAIN HONG: Yes. 15 MEMBER SHORT: Was the quality pretty 16 good, or was it helpful to the investigation? 17 CAPTAIN HONG: I myself have not seen 18 the footage. I believe Investigator Tavril, may have more first-hand observations of that. 19 20 Again, thank you for MEMBER SHORT: 21 service, and thank you for taking time to be here 2.2 this morning to testify. It means a lot when you 23 see a Captain, here, before the Board, 2.4 testifying. Thank you, sir. 25 CAPTAIN HONG: Thank you.

1	MEMBER SHORT: That's all I have Mr.
2	Chair.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right,
4	thank you. Any other questions by any other
5	Board Members?
6	MEMBER GRANDIS: Mr. Chairman.
7	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr.
8	Grandis.
9	MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you.
10	Captain, again, as we all say, we
11	thank you for taking the time of your schedule to
12	be here to give us direct testimony. I'm looking
13	at the letter from the Acting Chief. I think you
14	referred to that letter at some point, in your
15	testimony.
16	CAPTAIN HONG: Yes.
17	MEMBER GRANDIS: I think I heard you
18	state that the shooter was someone who had been
19	inside. But I don't see that in the letter. Am
20	I missing something in that letter?
21	CAPTAIN HONG: Oh, I'm sorry. Could
22	you repeat that just one more time?
23	MEMBER GRANDIS: Yeah. I think, if I
24	heard you correctly, you stated that the shooter
25	was someone who had previously been in the

establishment, or maybe involved with the altercation inside. I don't see that in the letter from MPD. Am I missing that?

CAPTAIN HONG: Oh, no. So, I had stated that we saw, from the video footage, we were able to confirm that the apparent intended targets of the shooting, had been involved in the fight inside on the second floor.

So, the probability that the shooters may be part of that group that fought the intended targets, is likely. However, we don't have evidence to confirm that.

MEMBER GRANDIS: I don't know if we can work with probability, sir. I mean this is a very serious incident. I'm not trying to diminish that. I think that we all are horrified with the gun violence that's occurring in the city. And, you know, I'm like, but once again I'm looking at the facts, and the facts don't state that the shooter was someone who had been inside.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grandis, let me, I wanted folks to ask the Captain, because I'm not sure if he has some time constraints. But I think that maybe if the

2.2

2.4

investigator testified, then maybe the investigator can give us a better picture --

MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you, I'll move on, I'll move onto that. Thank you, I appreciate that. And Captain I'm not going after your veracity. I believe everything you said.

If, the shooting had not occurred, would MPD have been involved with this matter?

Because there was an altercation inside, but I'm just trying to distinguish the altercation inside, which is very likely a concern of violations of policies of ABCA. But if the shooting had not occurred, do you have any information on if the MPD would have been involved with this?

If I understand again, you don't, because information that MPD was not notified by the establishment of the altercation.

CAPTAIN HONG: Yes, so, if the shooting had not occurred, and it was just a matter of the physical fight inside, it depends on what exactly happened. And at that point, it would be on the staff in the establishment, their security plan. Whether it's something that they notify the police.

2.2

2.4

If they determine that a crime has occurred, then they do have an obligation to call 911 and make notification. If it's a physical scuffle, but it hasn't gotten to the point of an assault. They're just kind of getting into each other's faces. And security is able to separate them.

I know in other security plans, of other establishments, such as the restaurant that doesn't require one, their security plan says, in a disorderly confrontation between parties, security will separate and have them escorted out in different exits. Just like what appears to have happened here. But if it's laid out in a security plan, then it makes it more clear to everyone.

MEMBER GRANDIS: So, from what we have been provided as information regarding that evening, MPD got involved because they were able to discern that shots had been fired. They responded to the shots being fired.

CAPTAIN HONG: Yes, we investigated the shots. And then our investigation led us to find out that it was related to a physical altercation that happened inside earlier.

2.2

2.4

1	MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you, and
2	Captain, I once again I want to thank you for the
3	valuable time you've given us. Thank you, and
4	Mr. Chairman, thank you for that opportunity.
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
6	Thank you. Captain, can you hold on a minute, or
7	do you have some time constraints?
8	CAPTAIN HONG: I could probably stick
9	around for five minutes, if that's enough.
LO	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr.
L1	Kline, I wanted to have the investigator, but
L2	since the Captain has some time constraints, do
L3	you have any questions, Mr. Kline?
L4	MR. KLINE: Yes, I do. Thank you, Mr.
L5	Chairman. Captain Hong, thank you for being
L6	here, and thank you for your service to the
L7	District. You've read the investigative report.
L8	Is that correct, the ABCA, sorry, investigative
L9	report?
20	CAPTAIN HONG: Yes, just before the
21	hearing, actually.
22	MR. KLINE: Okay. So, you're aware
23	that when the altercation took place upstairs,
24	that the establishment let the separated the
25	parties that were involved, let them out at

different times and through different exits?

CAPTAIN HONG: Yes.

MR. KLINE: And that's kind of textbook in terms of dealing with a situation like this, isn't it?

CAPTAIN HONG: Yes, it depends if the staff felt that no crime occurred. And it was physically a situation where they should separate the parties, that would be fine.

MR. KLINE: Well, let's talk about that for a minute. Because that's a little bit troubling. Isn't an assault, any unpermitted touching of another person? Isn't that the definition of an assault?

CAPTAIN HONG: If one party does that. This person touched me, or swung at me, spit in my direction and it was not permitted, they didn't want it, yes. If we have two individuals on private property, that are mutually fighting each other, they could both be charged, or it could be treated as a disorderly fray, inside private property.

And at that point, the security could make some determination. But if they determine that a crime occurred, then they should call 911.

2.2

2.4

1 MR. KLINE: So, in almost any 2 situation, where there's an altercation between two people, as happens on any Friday or Saturday 3 4 night in establishments across the city, it's 5 likely that a crime occurred, correct? CAPTAIN HONG: It could be determined 6 7 that a crime occurred. But it it's mutual 8 fighting in private property, and there's no 9 injuries, they're not trying to press charges against each other, then it could be considered 10 11 disorderly. The staff could have them separated 12 and exit the premise. 13 MR. KLINE: So, I just want to be 14 clear. You don't expect MPD to be called, every 15 time there's an unpermitted touching of another 16 person in an ABC licensed establishment. 17 Correct? 18 CAPTAIN HONG: Yes, not in every 19 situation. 20 MR. KLINE: Okay. All right. And in 21 terms of the way this was handled, this was 2.2 handled properly. Correct, from what you know? 23 CAPTAIN HONG: Yes, as I think --2.4 (Simultaneous speaking.) 25 CAPTAIN HONG: I think the way that it was handled, it sounds proper. If a security plan could outline that procedure that the staff is to use, it will make it clearer, the guidance. Because on scene, it seems that they couldn't determine if the lack of notification and the actions by the staff and security was appropriate.

MR. KLINE: Okay, so I want to -CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Kline, I'm
sorry to interrupt, sir. What I'm going to ask
you to do, we're having feedback. So, when
you're not speaking, please mute your line. So,
when you ask the Captain a question, after you're
done, please mute your line and mic. I'm doing
the same thing too, because I'm hearing a
feedback also. So, I'm also muting my line,
okay. I apologize. All right.

MR. KLINE: Thank you. Captain, and let me be clear to the Board, that this establishment is going to do a security plan.

So, that's not an issue at this point. We've already talked about it and we'll move forward with that.

But I'm just sort of curious, what is it that would have been in the security plan, in

2.2

2.4

this situation, that would have improved the licensee's response here? I mean, didn't they do everything that they were supposed to do and whether it's written in the security plan or not? They acted appropriately.

CAPTAIN HONG: So, a security plan just like the agreement, if it's made available on scene, of any investigation, it would help the on-scene investigation, the detectives. What is the expectation of the staff that ABCA has already reviewed and approved?

And so, it would just make it a lot more clear, that that is the procedure. There was nothing incorrect about what they did. And the rest of the investigation can go forward.

Without the security plan, without the on-scene staff, it appears that the director of the security did not know about the assault that occurred on the second floor. The only people that worked, that was on the second floor, that was aware, they had already left for the night.

A lot of those kind of situations could be clarified. Even if those staff wasn't present at the time, having the security plan, and hearing that initial action that was taken,

2.2

2.4

it would allow the on-scene investigators to know. There doesn't seem to be anything questionable as far as the actions that the staff took.

MR. KLINE: All right. Thank you.

Just one more question. So, I mean you talk

about expectations. The expectation is that the

establishment is going to properly respond to an

incident that occurs within their establishment.

Isn't that the expectation?

CAPTAIN HONG: Yes, definitely. The minimal expectation is that there is no violations of any policy. And I don't see that there is any violations in this situation.

The, I guess, what I'm trying to convey, is if the purpose of the fact-finding is to find out what happened, and is there a way that we could go forward, that may help prevent, or create a safer environment, a better response and coordination with law enforcement, that's kind of what I'm looking for. Then I think a security, as you've said, you guys are planning on doing, it would help in that effort.

MR. KLINE: I don't mean to belabor it, but you say a better response and

2.2

2.4

coordination with law enforcement, how was it deficient in any way, in this case?

CAPTAIN HONG: Yes, so in this situation, looking at the report that I have here, which was not available on scene that evening, I would be honest and say, I don't believe any violations, any -- there was any shortcoming.

Now, going forward, would I say that having a security plan that clearly outlines expectations of security and staff that work at the establishment, would that be helpful and beneficial, going forward? I would say, yes.

That would be, move towards a safer environment.

MR. KLINE: I have no further questions. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's why I don't like to mute myself. I always forget to unmute myself. All right. So, before I excuse the Captain, anyone have last questions for the Captain, before I release him? Be short, Mr. Short. Yes, Mr. Short, go ahead.

MEMBER SHORT: Captain Hong, and I won't belabor this long. But isn't there a new law in the District of Columbia about security

2.2

2.4

1 personnel being trained and registered with the 2 Metropolitan Police Department? CAPTAIN HONG: That I would have to 3 4 look into and get back to you on. 5 MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Not a problem. Secondly, if they had well-trained persons, such 6 7 as those who go through the registration with the 8 Metropolitan Police Department, do you think that 9 makes restaurant's a lot safer than persons who've never been trained, or have security 10 11 responsibilities, but don't know how to carry out 12 all those responsibilities? 13 CAPTAIN HONG: Oh, definitely. Ι 14 quess it applies to everything. But the training 15 for local regulations, the expectations, it goes 16 a long way with staff. And especially we have 17 certain, you know, situations where the security 18 personnel at some establishments may go beyond 19 their scope of security. And then we get 20 involved because of allegations of assault, by 21 security staff. So, training would alleviate a 2.2 lot of those situations. 23 MEMBER SHORT: Thank you very much, 2.4 Captain. And that's all I have, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

1 If you don't mind, sir. MR. HAJE: 2 Could I jump in for just one second? 3 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, sir. Your 4 attorney has to tell me that you can jump in, 5 sir. For your own protection. MR. HAJE: 6 Okay. 7 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, if you and 8 Mr. Kline can, you know. So, if Mr. Kline --9 MR. HAJE: It's okay. No need, I'll That's okay, no problem. 10 defer. 11 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sir, you have 12 an attorney who is speaking for you. And so, 13 therefore, your attorney -- as an attorney, 14 myself, I try to protect my clients, sometimes 15 from themselves. So, that's why I say -- I'm not 16 telling you, you can't speak. 17 MR. HAJE: I understand. I was just 18 going to point to the fact of police presence on 19 U Street. But it's okay, it's fine. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. 20 21 Captain Hong, thank you very much for your 2.2 presence today. If you have to leave you can 23 leave. If not, you can stay on to listen, sir. 2.4 Thank you very much. Bye, thanks. Okav. 25 Mr. Prout.

MR. PROUT: Yes, Mr. Chairperson.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, sir.

How did you become aware of this incident? And just tell us, how did you become aware? And what is it that you were able to find out?

MR. PROUT: Yes. So, on the night of Monday 7, 2023, Supervisory Investigator Earl Jones received a call to the ABCA hotline, requesting ABCA assistance on scene at Brooklyn on U. From there, myself and ABCA Investigator Middleton arrived on scene at approximately 1:08. And we met with Sergeant Vernick.

Sergeant Vernick advised that a shooting had transpired at approximately 12:18 a.m., at 13th, at the intersection of 13 and U Street. And that the shooting was a spill-out from a fight that had transpired on the second floor of Brooklyn on U, minutes prior to the shooting.

Upon arrival to the establishment, I made contact with security personnel, Mr. Cedric Basima, in which he escorted me to meet with ABC manager Ms. Patima. From there, I met with onscene MPD. The fact is, we were allowed to review security footage of the fight that

2.2

2.4

occurred on the second floor of the establishment 1 2 at approximately 12:10 a.m. 3 From what I saw on the camera footage, 4 there were two groups of people. According to the fact-finding request from Metropolitan Police 5 Department, it states that one male patron bumped 6 into the other male patron, which caused the 7 8 scuffle on the second floor of the establishment. 9 However, from reviewing the camera footage, it seemed as though one male from one 10 11 group, was talking to a female in the second 12 From there, a physical assault happened 13 where the one male patron, punched the other male 14 patron in the face. 15 At approximately 12:11 a.m., security 16 personnel broke up the two groups and escorted the first group out of the front entrance of the 17 18 establishment. And then at approximately (audio 19 interference). 20 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Prout. 21 Mr. Prout, I can't hear you, Mr. Prout. Prout. 2.2 MR. PROUT: -- and received --23 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Prout, hold 2.4 on. 25 MR. PROUT: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We lost camera, and we lost you.

MR. PROUT: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, you were saying, so I need you to back up maybe two minutes.

MR. PROUT: Okay. So, going back to the camera footage. At approximately 12:10 a.m., a physical altercation occurred between two groups. The MPD fact-finding request, alleged that one male patron bumped into another male patron, and which caused the simple assault to occur on the second floor of the establishment.

However, from reviewing the security footage, it seemed as though one male patron was talking to the female from the second group, and which caused the physical assault to transpire between two male patrons from two opposing groups. At approximately 12:11 a.m., security personnel broke up the fight.

The first group was escorted down the front staircase, out of the front of the establishment at 12:11 a.m. At approximately 12:12 or 12:13 a.m., the second group was escorted out of the rear of the establishment

2.2

2.4

into the alleyway of Brooklyn on U.

2.2

2.4

From there, at approximately 12:17

a.m., the photographer who was seen taking

pictures at approximately 12:10, is seen exiting

from out of the establishment. From there, he

was heading in the direction of 13, at the

intersection of 13th and U Street.

At approximately 12:18, there are two security personnel that are seen ducking down due to what it seems to be sounds of gunfire, in which a shooting occurred in the direction of 13th and U Streets.

And then from there, according to the police reports, a male victim was found shot at 12:21 a.m., by Metropolitan Police Department.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, Mr. Prout.

I'm, what's the photographer? Was he involved in the altercation? I mean was there any camera incident to say that the photographer himself was involved in the altercation?

MR. PROUT: So, from all of the review of the camera footage, the photographer had no interaction with either of the parties. There were pictures taken by the photographer of different patrons. But in no way was the

photographer in the scuffle or in the fight on the second floor, based on all of the camera footage that was reviewed by myself.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: In your investigation, was the licensee compliant with your request for documents and video?

MR. PROUT: Yes. I was able to access the camera footage on the night of the shooting.

And I was able, there was an ABC manager on duty.

They did fulfill the obligations that are outlined in the settlement agreement. And the security staff, there were approximately three staff members that weren't on scene, by the time I had arrived.

However, based on the review of the camera footage, the security staff did an awesome job, in being able to respond within 60 seconds of the fight occurring on the second floor of the establishment. As well as, they did a good job in separating both of the groups and escorting each group at different times, out of two separate stairwells.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: As a result of your investigation, did you notice any ABCA violation?

2.2

2.4

MR. PROUT: No. There were no ABCA violations I observed, by myself, Mr. Chairperson.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.

Let's see. I want to make sure that -- are you able to ascertain from any camera angles that you received, how is it that the -- how is it that the photographer got shot? Was it just that he was just an innocent bystander, or based on whatever security camera that you observed, was there more to it than that?

MR. PROUT: So, the two cameras that are on the exterior of the establishment, only span to the immediate front sidewalk of the establishment. So, the shooting happened outside of the span of the exterior camera of the establishment. However, I do believe that he may have been an innocent bystander.

I can see on the footage that both groups walked in the same direction of 13th and U Street, but within a five minute time span. So, I do believe he may have not been the target of the shooting.

However, nonetheless he was a victim of gun violence on the night of the shooting, as

2.2

2.4

1 well as the fight that occurred, approximately 2 eight minutes to the shooting occurring at 12:18 3 a.m. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. 4 5 Thank you, sir. All right, any other questions 6 by any Board Members? 7 Mr. Kline, do you wish to ask any 8 questions of the investigator? 9 MR. KLINE: Just a couple. Good 10 morning, Investigator. Is there any actual 11 evidence that ties the incident on the second 12 floor, to the shooting? Because I don't see 13 anything in the report. I haven't heard any 14 testimony. I mean, it seems, circumstantially 15 it's possible. But is there any actual evidence 16 that we know, that this shooting is related to the incident that occurred on the second floor? 17 18 MR. PROUT: What I do know is that a 19 physical assault occurred on the second floor of the establishment. 20 21 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on Mr. 2.2 Mr. Prout, Mr. Kline -- okay, go ahead. 23 Go ahead, Mr. Prout since Mr. Kline has muted his 2.4 line, go ahead. 25 MR. PROUT: So, what I do know is that a physical assault occurred on the second floor of the establishment. There were two groups.

They were escorted at different times, out of the establishment.

There's one group that was escorted out of the front of the establishment, they were seen walking in the direction of 12th and U Street, and then they turned around, and walking toward the direction of 13th and U Street.

Approximately around 12:13/12:14, the second group is, exits out of the rear of the establishment.

The, they are seen. They exit out of the rear through the alleyway. They come back around to the -- and walk in front of the establishment, and they walk in the direction of 13th and U. At approximately 12:17 a.m., the photographer leaves out of the establishment, and exits in the direction of 13th and U.

So, I can say that circumstantially, that the shooting -- there's a high propensity that the shooting may be tied to the physical assault that occurred on the second floor of the establishment.

I say that because, the -- one of the

2.2

2.4

male patrons that was a part of the second group that exited out of the rear of the establishment comes back on, after the shooting. And he looks to have dropped something in front of the establishment, after, like based on the quality of the camera footage, I'm not able to ascertain exactly what he actually dropped.

But I can say that circumstantially, I do believe that there is a nexus between the physical assault that occurred on the second floor and the shooting that occurred at approximately 12:18 on, at the intersection of 13th and U.

However, I'm not able to definitely state that the suspect was one of the patrons that was ejected from the establishment. But I do know that the photographer was a bystander and a victim of the shooting that occurred 60 seconds after he exited the establishment at 12:17 a.m.

MR. KLINE: Where was, the photographer was a good half a block away or more from the establishment, when he was struck.

Correct?

MR. PROUT: Yes, sir.

MR. KLINE: Okay. And I wanted to

2.2

clarify that, as to, just to make sure that we're clear that the establishment's camera coverage from the front of the establishment, is not the reason that it was not captured. It was down the block. Right?

MR. PROUT: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.

MR. KLINE: All right. Now, you said that they, I'm paraphrasing -- that security staff in the establishment responded appropriately with respect to the incident on the second floor. Correct?

MR. PROUT: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.

MR. KLINE: All right. And there's nothing that might be contained in the security plan that would improve their response, is there?

MR. PROUT: No, however I do believe that due to the restaurant operating as a nightclub at certain times of the day, and on certain days of the week, I do feel as though a security plan that can, outline the number of actual staff, the number of security staff that the establishment will have on duty at any given time, as well as complete instructions on how the establishment will handle different crimes and or incidents that may happen within the

2.2

2.4

1 establishment, as well as within a 1200 foot 2 radius of the establishment, as well as the 3 number of cameras that are in the establishment that are supposed to record for 30 days, and 4 different metric of that nature. 5 So, I do believe that a security plan 6 7 would be of assistance for all parties moving 8 forward, Mr. Kline. 9 MR. KLINE: Thank you. I have no 10 further questions. Thank you, investigator. 11 MEMBER GRANDIS: Mr. Chairman, I have 12 some questions to follow up on the attorney's 13 questions. 14 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short, and 15 then you, Mr. Kline -- and then, I'm sorry, 16 Grandis. Mr. Short had his hand up. Go ahead, Mr. Short. 17 18 MEMBER SHORT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 19 Investigator Prout, it looks like you did a very 20 good job on this report. And thank you for that. 21 MR. PROUT: Thanks, sir. 2.2 MEMBER SHORT: We kind of lost you 23 again, you -- Mr. Prout. 2.4 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Prout, are 25 you there?

1	MR. PROUT: I'm still here. I'm still
2	here.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We can't see
4	you, that's why, you lost camera.
5	MR. PROUT: Okay.
6	MEMBER SHORT: I would like to ask Mr.
7	Chair, if it's okay, that Mr. Prout share, if he
8	has that video of the fight on the second floor
9	of the restaurant?
10	MR. PROUT: Yes, I can share that.
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, Mr.
12	Orellana, please give Mr. Prout the opportunity
13	to share his video.
14	MR. PROUT: Okay, can you guys see?
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We see that
16	you're sharing your screen, but there is no
17	content for us.
18	MR. PROUT: So, this is the area right
19	here.
20	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, the video
21	is not playing. You're sharing your screen
22	MR. PROUT: It's not playing?
23	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, but there's
24	no video. It just says that you'll start to
25	share. Okay, now it is, go ahead.

1	(Video plays.)
2	MR. PROUT: Okay. Let me go back.
3	(Video plays.)
4	MR. PROUT: Can you see it playing
5	now?
6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes.
7	MEMBER SHORT: We can see it now.
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Why don't you
9	explain to us, what we're seeing, sir?
10	MR. PROUT: Okay, so, on the second
11	floor, you see a you see a fight transpire in
12	this area, where that cursor is at this time.
13	(Video plays.)
14	MR. PROUT: See right here. Let's go
15	back. So, the male victim is, the male is
16	talking to this female right here. It's based
17	off of that. There's the action. You see he
18	pushes him, and then the fight happens.
19	(Video plays.)
20	MR. PROUT: Security staff is, with
21	the beard, is pushing and is separating both
22	parties at this time.
23	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who is
24	security, Mr. Prout? Who is security?
25	MR. PROUT: This guy right here with

1	the beard.
2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: How do you know
3	he's security?
4	MR. PROUT: So, let's go back.
5	(Video plays.)
6	MR. PROUT: So, going to additional
7	footage, it'll show him escorting them out of the
8	establishment.
9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, the reason
10	I'm asking. Is it, does he have any marked
11	clothes that said he's security, that's what I
12	was asking you, how did you know that he was
13	security?
14	MR. PROUT: No.
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Go
16	ahead. All right.
17	(Video plays.)
18	MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Prout, can you hold
19	it, can you hold everything right there for a
20	moment?
21	MR. PROUT: Okay.
22	MEMBER SHORT: Now, this is a CR, a
23	restaurant. Correct?
24	MR. PROUT: Yes, sir.
25	MEMBER SHORT: Was there any

indication they were serving any food here, at this restaurant, when the fight broke out?

MR. PROUT: On the night of, by the time that we -- by the time that myself and investigator Middleton arrived on scene, there was, the establishment was cleaning up. And there wasn't any indication of food being served. However, I know that the kitchen can close up to two hours prior to the establishment closing.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Now, the last question I'm going to have about the restaurant is, aren't they, restaurants required to have food, a certain amount of food they have to serve, or sales they have to make to be a restaurant?

MR. PROUT: So, within a quarter, which is three months, the establishment is supposed to sell, I believe it's 40 percent food, and 60 percent alcohol in order for them to be in compliance with ABCA's regulations.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Well, I guess
I'm asking this question because it seems more
and more every time one of these restaurants come
before us, it seems like this with people
fighting and no security marked, no tables, no

2.2

2.4

chairs -- and I understand, but when does ABRA 1 2 check and find out whether or not the food, the 3 food sales are appropriate or are in accordance with their license? 4 5 MR. PROUT: So, normally what happens, 6 Mr. Short, is that an ABCA compliance analyst 7 goes out and performs audits. From the audits, 8 the ABCA violations and or the establishment 9 being in compliance is made based off of the audit being done, at this time. 10 11 MEMBER SHORT: Would it be possible 12 that we could, that you could go back and just 13 for the record, find out what, if they have those records on hand? 14 15 I would be interested in knowing. Ι 16 think what we need to start doing with more 17 restaurants is making sure that they're meeting 18 their food requirements. And really, at least 19 sometime being at least fulfilling their 20 obligation as a restaurant on their license. 21 MR. PROUT: Yes, so --2.2 MEMBER SHORT: Go ahead. So, they are responsible 23 MR. PROUT: 2.4 with filing their food and alcohol sales on a

quarterly basis. I'm not sure if the

establishment is delinquent at this time.

However, there are a number of establishments in which -- on the enforcement out of the house -- that has had to issue warning and or monetary fines for restaurants across the District, not being in compliance with filing their food and alcohol sales in a timely manner. So, yes.

MEMBER SHORT: My last question for you, if the security personage were marked or had some markings at least on the back or front of their shirt, where they could be identified as security, do you think that would help some of these situations also?

MR. PROUT: Most definitely. That will help to increase the visibility in low light settings like this, where we're not able to know the staff versus patrons. So, yes.

MEMBER SHORT: And so, the gentleman with the beard that you identified as security, were you able to talk with him? Or was he there when you got there?

MR. PROUT: So, he was not. However,
I did get statements from three of the security
staff that assisted in breaking up the fight.
But they were not on scene once I arrived on

2.2

2.4

1 scene at approximately 1:08 a.m. on the night of 2 the shooting, Mr. Short. 3 MEMBER SHORT: Thank you very much. That's all I have Mr. Chair. 4 That's a very good 5 report there, Investigator Prout, we appreciate 6 it. Thank you. 7 MR. PROUT: Thank you, Mr. Short. 8 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. -- all 9 right. Mr. Grandis. 10 MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you. Sir, 11 putting again the shooting, which occurred 12 outside, aside, it seems that your testimony and 13 also the written reports that have been provided 14 to the Board, that the security followed protocol 15 in immediately trying to disarm the altercation inside. And did remove each of the various 16 17 parties out separate exits. 18 That being said, would an 19 establishment, if it did not have a security plan 20 be required to call either our investigators or 21 MPD in that kind of circumstance? 2.2 MR. PROUT: So, in the spirit of just 23 the fight happening on the second floor, I don't 2.4 believe, in my personal and my professional 25 opinion that Metropolitan Police Department and

or ABCA would need to be notified. However, I do believe that in a security plan, they should outline that the establishment should have an incident book on the premises.

And instances, in which the fighting, the fight happened, the incident report should notate the number of staff that were on duty that night. The staff numbers, names and their titles that responded to the incident. The appropriate time in which it, the incident transpired and the appropriate time in which the incident was addressed.

So, I do believe that should be outlined in a security plan, Mr. Grandis.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Right, if there is no security plan, this incident book, is it the policy of ABCA to require all licensees to have an incident book? Or are you saying that is something that the Board can approve in a security plan?

MR. PROUT: Yes, sir. I'm saying that that is something should be approved in a security plan.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Mr. Chairman, I think that's all I have for this investigator. Thank

2.2

2.4

1	you, sir for your testimony.
2	MR. PROUT: Thank you, Mr. Grandis.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you Mr.
4	Grandis. Mr. Kline any questions of the
5	investigator, sir. The investigator?
6	MR. KLINE: No, I don't have any at
7	this time.
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
9	Thank you, Mr. Prout. I need you to hold on.
10	All right, Mr. Kline, does your client
11	have a response? And I see that the feedback is,
12	it's your line. I only hear the feedback, when
13	you are unmuted. All right.
14	Are you ready, sir?
15	MR. KLINE: Is that better?
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, that's
17	much better. All right, fine, thank you.
18	MR. KLINE: Okay. I'll use my
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, to testify,
20	how, as you know, does your client have a
21	response to
22	MR. KLINE: Yeah, I mean we have,
23	well, I mean I I've gotten a fair amount to
24	say on this. First of all, this is I don't know
25	how many weeks in a row, that I'm before you on

an issue with handguns in the District of Columbia. I liked it a lot better when you were talking about more traditional issues related to peaceful and quiet, and the like. And it is very disturbing, as to what's going on.

And it's not this establishment. It seems that what we've learned about what this establishment did, was appropriate, and proper.

And that doesn't happen unless people are trained and instructed. And they did what they were supposed to do with respect to the altercation.

But and I know coming down the pipe,
I'm going to see you again, on yet more incidents
involving handgun violence in the District of
Columbia. And I just have to say, it's very
disturbing.

And in most cases, it has little to do
-- I'm not going to say in all -- but in most
cases, it has little to do with what the licensee
does or doesn't do. It is a time that we're in
that seems to have been created by just -- in
another situation, we talk about the perfect
storm. I mean we have the perfect hurricane,
Class 5, in terms of gun violence in the District
of Columbia right now.

2.2

2.4

And it just, I just have to express how much it disturbs me, having worked and been in this city for so long, and seen what's happened. It's very disturbing.

But with respect to this licensee, first of all it seems maybe, and I think that was the word that was used, maybe this incident with respect to the shooting, had something to do with the incident on the second floor. We haven't even heard at this point that either the intended targets, or the perpetrators were involved in that.

I mean it's a lot of speculation, well they walked this way, and they walked that way.

And there was an incident right before that.

And, you know, and then the photographer, who was by all accounts, not the intended target, happened to walk out at that point, and ends up with a bullet in him, half a block away. So, we're not even sure.

But in any event, the Applicant, the licensee, shares my concern and what I've expressed to you in terms of what's going on.

And is concerned about the lack of police presence on U Street. And has pleaded with the

2.2

2.4

Lieutenant that there be more police presence on U Street.

And look, we all know, the resources aren't there. We're down more than a thousand officers and we can't even fulfill the reimbursable detail requests that come in. So, I mean it's an issue.

But it appears that they did everything that they were supposed to do. They are going to institute a security plan. They've already ordered shirts to identify security. So, that should address any issue or concern that we had raised.

With respect to food service, the law requires that they have their kitchen open at least two hours before closing. They close their kitchen at 11:00. They close at midnight. Not an issue. They do brunch. They filled their quarterly reports. I mean, they're, you know, a restaurant is defined in the ABC's statute. And if they meet the requirements in the restaurant, then they're entitled to the privileges of a license.

So, Mr. Short I've heard you mention week after week, this training, or this

2.2

2.4

certification by MPD. I have to be honest with you. I don't know anything about it. No idea what you're talking about. And we've looked for it. And I don't know what it is.

So, before we come back before you, I would ask that legal staff or somebody, give us some clue as to what that's about. Because I don't know anything about it.

But in any event, what was done here was proper. It was appropriate. We'll do the security plan. I have to caution one thing, that concerns me a great deal, and that is this. The statute requires that nightclubs provide security plans. The Council of the District of Columbia, in passing the statute, did not and has not required either taverns or restaurants to file security plans.

I worry that we're slipping into a space here, where oh, there's a fact-finding.

Okay, yeah, you have to do security plan. And here it's not a problem, because this licensee is perfectly willing to do it. But what's going to happen when someone gets shot coming out of the Palm, a fine-dining restaurant, which is in my building. And we're going to be before you on a

2.2

2.4

fact-finding, and they're going to be asked for a security plan.

And these decisions should not be made arbitrarily. They should be made after a reasoned discussion, and the opportunity by the public, and by those concerned, MPD and others that are involved. Either in a hearing before the Council, or in a rulemaking before this Board.

But I don't like where we're going, in terms of, oh, there was an incident. We're having a fact-finding. You have to do a security plan. Because it's not the law. And it's not something that should happen.

As I said, in this case, not an issue because this licensee is perfectly amenable and agreeable to preparing the security plan. The other thing that I caution you against, and I don't think this has been talked about much, I hear about the Board approving a security plan, in terms of the contents of it.

I've been involved in these issues for many years. And when there was a very detailed discussion about security, and approval, and what have you, the agency along with I think in those

2.2

2.4

days it might have been the Office of Corporation Counsel -- it may not have yet been the AG's office but that's a detail that's not been important -- it's brought concern that the ABC Board not, or neither the ABC Board, nor in these days, ABRA, not approve the contents of security plans.

And the reason for that is, you may be creating liability for the District of Columbia, because maybe you made the wrong decision about what should or should not be in a security plan.

And in those days, that concern was expressed.

And I had believed that the policy was that the plan is looked at through legal sufficiency.

In other words, to check all the boxes, in terms of what is required to be in the plan in accordance with the statute and the regulations. Not, well gee, do we think this is good enough? Maybe you should do this. And maybe you should have security shirts. Maybe you should do wanding. Maybe you should do pat downs.

So, I raise that for the Board's consideration because it was an issue that was discussed in great detail many years ago. And

2.2

2.4

Mr. Moosally knows, because he was at that point, he was not director, he was general counsel. And I know that he was involved in the discussions. And I just put that out there, because I don't think the Board's been reminded of that.

And maybe the Board will look at it, and say, yeah, we don't think that's a concern, which is fine. But I think out here, representing these establishments, we just want clear guidance as to what is expected by the Board, in terms of, we know there has to be a plan that is consistent with the requirements of the statute and the regulations.

But is the Board going to be passing judgment on the substance of the plan, and making determinations as to whether, what is in the plan, is adequate or not? Or is even ABCA going to do that, because in some cases, investigators look at them?

But I just caution that we not proceed down that road, if we're not already halfway down it, without a consideration of those issues.

Because I think they're important. I don't think that the Board, nor the District, nor ABCA wants to unintentionally, expose the District to

2.2

2.4

liability. So, I think that should be considered.

Happy to answer any questions or concerns that the Board has, with respect to this licensee. Who I think, with respect to the only issue that they were really involved with, which is the altercation on the second floor, that they reacted appropriately, responsibly. And I don't think, you didn't hear anything different from the investigator, nor Captain Hong. They did what they were supposed to do.

Notwithstanding, my reservations about security plans, the Applicant is prepared to do it. And that plan will include a requirement that security staff be identified. As I said, the shirts have already been ordered. So, that was sorted out before we walked in here today. Thank you, and again I'm happy to answer any questions or concerns.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on a minute, Mr. Short. I just want to set the record straight. Just respond to some of what you've stated, Mr. Kline. The Board reviews security plans for the legal sufficiency. The Board doesn't review security plans and make judgments

2.2

2.4

whether or not it's appropriate or not. It is, we review security plans for legal sufficiency as you stated, whether or not the proper boxes are checked for what the law requires.

No one Board Member speaks for the Board, even as Chair, unless we have had a vote. The positions that are expressed by any Board Member, is there individual position, until the Board has had a formal vote, on the record. And then we will announce what the decision of the Board is.

So, you might, questions are being asked, opinions are being expressed, but unless the Board has a public vote, these are just opinions that are being expressed by individual Board Members.

Going back to whether or not security plans are, whether or not the Board is going to require security plans. As you are aware, this is a fact-finding hearing, so, questions might be asked. A Board Member might ask the -- a Board Member might ask the licensee, if they will agree to a security plan.

But that's a request that's being made by a Board Member, that's not a request that is

2.2

2.4

being made by the Board itself. And so, the licensee could respond, that if the Board as a whole is asking for us to do a security plan, then we'll do that.

But the video that I just saw, going back to the question whether or not security plans might be requested for restaurants. This incident occurred at 12:10 a.m. And based on the video, that we saw, I do not know what the occupancy for Brooklyn is. I don't have that on the top of my -- I'm not looking at that.

But what I saw, on that video, at this hour, Mr. Kline, this was not a typical restaurant. It's not, as you said, that you have the Palm restaurant in your building. And if I saw that, and so in the business video I saw, there was a significant amount of people who were dancing, on maybe a dance floor. Or some aspect of a floor, in the establishment at 12:10 a.m.

So, if the Board is going to ask for, or if even Board Members are going to ask for administration of a security plan, it is based on what is it that we see that happens late at night. Okay, so, but thank you for offering that, your client's position on the record.

2.2

2.4

Mr. Short, you have a question you want to ask, sir.

MEMBER SHORT: I do want to make a statement. And thank you, Mr. Kline for asking about the information about the Metropolitan Police Department. I would direct you to our legal division here, at ABCA. And especially to Director Moosally, who had that on his desk. And if you ask him for a copy, I'm quite sure he will make it available to you. Metropolitan Police Department and the city council has past that regulation.

So, with your permission, and I know you're one of the top lawyers in this industry, if you ask for that information, you will get it. Because it is a requirement and it was passed by city council. Thank you for allowing me to direct you to the proper place to get that information. That's all I have.

MR. KLINE: Thank you, Mr. Short.

MEMBER SHORT: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other, any
-- Mr. Kline, and maybe you can, is your client
is still there? I see that, although he's not on
camera, but I see his name. Okay, fine, I just

2.2

2.4

wanted to make sure.

2.2

2.4

Mr. -- all right. What changes if any, has your client done as a result of this incident? If they, have they undertaken any changes?

MR. KLINE: Yeah, I mean I think we've already said that they're developing a security plan. We will file it. That plan will include a requirement that security be identified with shirts. That as I said, have already been ordered. That was proactive before we came before you, and heard that concern expressed by a couple Board Members, after doing the video. So, that's been done.

Other than that, I mean that's it. I mean I think I've been before you on fact-findings many times. And I've said we're here to do a couple things. One is to figure out what happened. And second, is what did we learn? And third, is, you know, what can we do better as a result of what we learned?

And here, I think that's it's pretty limited because the establishment responded appropriately in terms of what they were supposed to do. I mean the staff appears to have been

well-trained. They reacted appropriately. The textbook in terms of separating the people, letting them out at different times, and letting the out from different locations from the establishment in an effort to keep them separated.

Now, once they get out in the world, you know, somebody can wait for an hour for someone to come out of an establishment. They just don't have any control over that.

So, I think that what they learned was, yeah, they see what you saw in the video. That it's hard to identify who security are. So, proactively they're going to address that. And they are going to create the plan. We heard from the Captain. We heard from the investigator. We heard from you, several Board Members, that that's something that's desired. So, that's going to be done. So, those are the changes that will be made.

If, the Board or Members have additional suggestions, or ABCA investigators, or the Director, or MPD, our client is more than happy to entertain those and consider those any such changes that might be recommended.

2.2

2.4

1	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr.
2	Kline, what endorsements is it that your, does
3	this establishment have on its license?
4	MR. KLINE: Entertainment endorsement,
5	I think that's it. Alex, no outside space,
6	right?
7	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Haje.
8	MR. HAJE: Yes, sir. I'm sorry. I'm
9	still here.
LO	MR. KLINE: Do you have outside space?
1	MR. HAJE: We have a streatery, based
L2	on the Streatery Program that's been extended
L3	through next year. But that's it. There's no
L4	public use permit or anything of that nature.
L5	MR. KLINE: Right.
L6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, I'll
L7	MR. KLINE: So, the endorsement would
8	be the entertainment.
L9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Just an
20	entertainment endorsement. And how may cameras
21	do you have in the establishment, do you know?
22	MR. HAJE: You talking to me, sir?
23	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: How many, if
24	Mr. Kline wants you
25	MR. HAJE: Okay.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm asking, if 2 Mr. Kline gives you permission to speak. 3 MR. KLINE: Mr. Haje can answer, Mr. 4 Haje can answer that. 5 MR. HAJE: Okay. I want to answer specifically, so I'll look it up for you 6 7 gentlemen right now -- but it's upwards of -- let 8 me get you an exact count. We've actually added 9 cameras, just to be sure. We've added additional 10 to make sure there's no blind spots. 11 We have 4, 8, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19 12 cameras, currently. 13 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And they're all 14 operational to the best of your knowledge? 15 MR. HAJE: Yes, they're operational 16 and we make sure they stay operational. And we 17 make sure they're recording for at least 30 days. 18 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. 19 Since, what days of the week do you offer 20 entertainment? Because you have an entertainment 21 endorsement. So, is it seven days a week, or is 2.2 it a couple of days a week? 23 In this, we're open, MR. HAJE: 2.4 currently we're open some Thursdays, Friday, 25 Saturday, Sundays. We open on Friday's at 5:00

p.m. But occasionally, we'll have DJs for Happy Hour. Sometimes there's no DJs at all, until later in the evening. Our kitchen is open throughout. We do offer food, we do operate as a restaurant. On Saturdays and Sundays, we open at 11:00 a.m. We serve brunch all day long.

So, we do very much operate as a restaurant. I heard some of the discussion earlier, that, you know, that there was some concern there. And there's not. We're very much a restaurant. We do have a nightlife element to our operation, later in the evening. But that's not our primary focus.

We do try to be, you know, whatever we can and garner whatever business we can, so I hope that answers your question.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Tell me about the security measures that your establishment has in place for late nights when you have you late night entertainment?

MR. HAJE: Of course, sir. Yes, sir. Listen, we are experienced operators. We have had other establishments. We've had CNs, we've had security teams. We ensure that we have security placed at certain positions throughout

2.2

2.4

the establishment. One by the bathrooms, one at the top of the stairs, one by the service bar area, at the entrance. We make sure that we have protocols for search, upon entry to make sure that weapons do not enter the establishment.

So, we're very detailed in the way that we do operate. I believe that's why the situation was handled as well as it was. Is because we make sure that that training is in place. We make sure the protocol is there. We make sure people are in their stations. Nobody leaves their position unless they've announced that they need to use the restrooms, so somebody can come fill that position.

So, we do have security protocol in place, and we have training for our staff to make sure this is done correctly.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't have any further questions. Any questions by -- yes, Mr. Short.

MEMBER SHORT: Good afternoon, Mr.

Haje. On the night -- you saw the video we saw,
oh, I guess, I know you've seen the video,
because you gave a copy. Did it look like it was
-- is that a normal crowd for you on a -- was

2.2

2.4

1 that a Monday night, the night of the incident, 2 or Tuesday? What night of the week was it? 3 MR. HAJE: Yes, sir. It was a Sunday 4 night. We have two floors. In regards to the 5 occupancy question, we were not above occupancy. Our first floor was vacant at that time. 6 7 had a more condensed crowd on the second floor. 8 So, this was a Sunday night, sir. 9 MEMBER SHORT: Okay. A Sunday night. 10 Well, Mr. Haje, you have two separate occupancy 11 -- one for the first floor, one for the second floor. Correct? 12 13 MR. HAJE: Yes, sir. Oh -- they're 14 not separate. I don't believe they're separate, 15 sir. I believe it's one occupancy for the entire 16 establishment. MEMBER SHORT: So, if you had -- I'm 17 18 just trying to figure, well, let me leave that 19 alone. The question I want to ask you, you saw the video and you saw, how many security guys 20 21 were working that night? 2.2 MR. HAJE: We had a total of --23 MEMBER SHORT: On the second floor. 2.4 MR. HAJE: Yes, sir. There should be 25 a total of three on the second floor.

Anytime

we're operating on the second floor, we have at least three security personnel on the second floor.

MEMBER SHORT: Well, Investigator

Prout identified one, the gentleman with the

beard. But I couldn't see, I didn't see but one

up there with all that crowd. I didn't see but

one security person that was identified.

MR. HAJE: And again, we've ordered the shirts, sir, to make sure they are identified in the future. I believe Investigator Prout may have also gotten statements from the other security that was there prior to his arrival. There were plenty of security there that night. And we make sure we don't operate without those gentlemen in place.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. so, is that the normal crowd you have on a Sunday night, that we saw in the video? Is that normal?

MR. HAJE: Honestly, it varies. I'll be quite frank with you, Mr. Short. We have had some crime on U Street, and theirs is no police presence on Sundays. It's very disturbing. I've been in direct contact with Lieutenant Jones in the Third District, who is supposed to be in

2.2

2.4

1 charge of nightlife for U Street. 2 I have reached out and done community 3 outreach with MTV to try to potentially host a gathering at our establishment with MPD to try to 4 5 give them ideas of how we can better defuse some 6 of the violence. We have requested over and over 7 and over again, to have more police presence on 8 It is there on Fridays and Saturdays --Sundays. 9 MEMBER SHORT: My last --MR. HAJE: But it is not existent on 10 11 Sundays. 12 MEMBER SHORT: My last question, my 13 last question for you, Mr. Haje. 14 MR. HAJE: Yes, sir. 15 MEMBER SHORT: What could have MPD 16 also done? We saw your security person, 17 certainly couldn't handle that fight that was 18 going on. What could a police officer have done 19 up there that night, on that, with the video that 20 What could a police officer have done? we saw? 21 (Simultaneous speaking.) 2.2 MR. HAJE: I'm sorry, I just I think 23 they handled it very well. I think they defused 2.4 it and they removed them correctly?

We're not --

MEMBER SHORT:

	MR. HAJE: And to answer your
2	question, if the police presence was outside, I
3	don't believe they would have shot the way they
4	did.
5	MEMBER SHORT: The question I'm asking
6	you, sir, is this. What could MPD have done in
7	that crowd on the second floor, with the video we
8	saw that night? What could they have
9	MR. HAJE: Sir, I don't believe that
10	MPD or anybody can control somebody's actions at
11	all times of the day. I'm sorry.
12	MEMBER SHORT: So, you don't think the
13	crowd had anything to do with the violence?
14	(Simultaneous speaking.)
15	MR. KLINE: Mr. Short, with all due
16	respect, I mean
17	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, Mr.
18	Kline, Mr. Kline, I know you're protecting your
19	client, and you're
20	MR. KLINE: My client is being
21	badgered, and it's contrary to the testimony.
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Kline, I
23	know you're protecting your client, and your
24	client has been doing an excellent job in
25	responding to the Board. So, let's, if and

1	you're kind of done
2	MEMBER SHORT: I have no further
3	questions. I yield to Mr. Kline. I have no
4	further questions, Mr. Chair.
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr.
6	Short.
7	Any other questions, any of the
8	parties, before I bring it I'm going to give
9	you a final opportunity to say something, Mr.
10	Kline on behalf of your client, before we any
11	final comments you want to make, sir.
12	MEMBER GRANDIS: Mr. Chairman.
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, you
14	have a question, Mr. Grandis. I apologize. Go
15	ahead, Mr. Grandis. Who is this question for,
16	sir?
17	MEMBER GRANDIS: It's for the
18	licensee, if attorney, Mr. Kline will allow me to
19	ask some questions based on what we have talked
20	about, and what we've witnessed. Is that okay,
21	Mr. Kline.
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, Mr
23	(Simultaneous speaking.)
24	MR. KLINE: Yes, Mr. Grandis.
25	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead.

1	MR. HAJE: Of course, thank you.
2	MEMBER GRANDIS: You have a CR
3	license. So, on the night in question, what was
4	going on at that hour on the first floor? First
5	floor was not occupied at all. As of, after,
6	what time?
7	MR. HAJE: Yes, sir.
8	MEMBER GRANDIS: After what time?
9	MR. HAJE: Probably after about
10	9:30/10:00 p.m. Most people have moved upstairs.
11	We've shut down the first floor. And that's
12	where they tend, that's where they want to be in
13	the establishment.
14	MEMBER GRANDIS: So, up until 9
15	o'clock p.m. are you serving meals on the second
16	floor?
17	MR. HAJE: Absolutely, we're serving
18	meals throughout the entire place.
19	MEMBER GRANDIS: So, in the video, I
20	did not see any tables and chairs, so were they -
21	- maybe I missed them. But we were looking at
22	MR. HAJE: There is tables and chairs,
23	sir. There's tables throughout the entire
24	establishment. And there's benches and
25	banquettes that run all along the walls.

1	MEMBER GRANDIS: Which we didn't see.
2	MR. HAJE: Those are not movable.
3	Those are permanent position banquettes.
4	MEMBER GRANDIS: You mentioned that
5	you believe your C of O only has one occupancy
6	number, not one for each floor.
7	MR. HAJE: That's correct, sir.
8	MEMBER GRANDIS: Do you ever use
9	promotes.
10	MR. HAJE: Yes, sir.
11	MEMBER GRANDIS: Ever use a DJ?
12	MR. HAJE: Yes, sir.
13	MEMBER GRANDIS: Does the DJ have like
14	a guest list?
15	MR. HAJE: No, sir.
16	MEMBER GRANDIS: You advertise that
17	you have entertainment?
18	MR. HAJE: I believe there may be some
19	internal marketing that mentions entertainment,
20	yes.
21	MEMBER GRANDIS: Internal marketing,
22	I'm not sophisticated in that.
23	MR. HAJE: No, yeah, like if we send
24	out an email blast, just to be clear, I don't
25	want to cloud anything. If we send out an email,

1	we may mention entertainment. There's a DJ
2	booked, yeah.
3	MEMBER GRANDIS: You use a cover
4	charge?
5	MR. HAJE: No, sir.
6	MEMBER GRANDIS: Not ever use a cover
7	charge.
8	MR. HAJE: We don't charge cover. We
9	check IDs and we make sure to search as well,
10	just because of the nature of U Street.
11	MEMBER GRANDIS: You're saying in the
12	video, we saw, I should have been able to see the
13	banquettes, and I should have been able to see
14	whatever tables and chairs you have?
15	MR. HAJE: Yes, sir.
16	MEMBER GRANDIS: If you, because I
17	only saw humans. I didn't see
18	MR. HAJE: Okay, so I was focused on
19	what the investigator was pointing out as far as
20	the altercation. So, I didn't pay attention to
21	all of the video. So, I apologize. I can't
22	answer that question.
23	MEMBER GRANDIS: So, your kitchen
24	closes on Sunday, it closes at 11:00?
25	MR. HAJE: That's correct, sir, yes.

1	MEMBER GRANDIS: And if I wanted to
2	order at 10:30, where would I be eating that?
3	MR. HAJE: You would eat that at your
4	table, sir.
5	MEMBER GRANDIS: On the first floor?
6	MR. HAJE: Either floor.
7	MEMBER GRANDIS: I thought you closed
8	the first floor after 9 o'clock.
9	MR. HAJE: Again, if people naturally
10	tend to move upstairs, then we will close down,
11	once they move upstairs. So, if there's
12	again, there's no you're looking for a hard
13	line this way or that way. Again, based on
14	business, we'll adjust accordingly.
15	So, if I have business until 12
16	O'clock, we'll make, you know, we'll sell
17	everything we can.
18	MEMBER GRANDIS: They don't really
19	MR. HAJE: We're trying to survive,
20	sir, so.
21	MEMBER GRANDIS: So, you don't move
22	any tables and chairs on the second floor, once -
23	_
24	MR. HAJE: Sir, we do not.
25	MEMBER GRANDIS: Mr. Chairman, those

1 are my questions. And I want to thank you, Mr. 2 Kline, it's good to have you before us again 3 today. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 4 Thank you, Mr. 5 Grandis. Mr. Kline, do you have any final comments before I bring us into closure? 6 7 MR. KLINE: No, I think we've covered 8 it. And I think that we should thank the 9 licensee for their appropriate response to what happened on the second floor. And all join 10 11 together with respect to what's going on in our 12 city, with respect to the use of guns everywhere. 13 And that's all I have to say. Thank you. 14 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. 15 Thank you, Mr. Kline. The only agreement that we 16 have, the agreement today that you have 17 volunteered, and this is not because of a Board 18 Member's request, or the Board's request, but you 19 have volunteered to provide us with a legally 20 sufficient security plan. Can you provide that 21 security plan to the Board within 30 days, in 2.2 fact? 23 Yes, absolutely, yes. MR. KLINE: 2.4 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, so 25 you'll provide us with a legally sufficient

1 security plan within 30 days. The Board will take this matter under 2 3 advisement, as I've stated before, based on what 4 was gathered today. The recommendations are 5 either, no further action, or the Board will refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney 6 7 General for further action, if the Board 8 determined that an ABCA violation has occurred. 9 Thank you for your presentation today, Mr. Kline, Mr. Haje, and you have a great day, 10 11 Mr. Mixon. 12 MR. KLINE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, 13 Members of the Board, thank you. Thank you for 14 your time. 15 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you very 16 much. All right. (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 17 18 went off the record at 12:15 p.m.) 19 20 21 2.2 23 2.4 25

A-L-E-X 4:17 A-N-D-R-E-W 4:7 **a.m** 2:2 26:15 27:2.15 28:8,19,23,24 29:3,15 32:3 33:17 34:19 43:1 55:8.19 61:6 **ABC** 4:24 19:16 26:22 30:9 51:4,5 **ABC's** 48:20 **ABCA** 1:21,23 7:17 9:25 12:2 15:12 17:18 21:10 26:8,9,10 30:24 31:1 41:6,8 44:1,17 52:17,24 56:7 58:22 73:8 **ABCA's** 40:20 **able** 8:15 9:3,8,25 14:6 16:6,19 26:5 30:7,9 30:17 31:6 34:6,14 42:16,20 70:12,13 above-entitled 73:17 **ABRA** 4:2 41:1 51:6 absolutely 68:17 72:23 access 2:10,11,13 30:7 accounts 47:17 act 5:22 acted 21:5 **Acting** 13:13 action 6:2,10,15 21:25 38:17 73:5,7 actions 6:12,13 12:6 20:6 22:3 66:10 actual 32:10,15 35:21 added 60:8,9 additional 39:6 58:22 60.9 address 48:12 58:14 addressed 44:12 adequate 52:17 adjust 71:14 administration 55:22 advertise 69:16 advised 26:13 advisement 73:3 afternoon 62:21 **AG's** 51:2 agency 4:20 50:25 ago 51:25 agree 54:22 agreeable 50:17 **agreement** 6:14 10:1 21:7 30:11 72:15,16 ahead 23:22 32:22,23 32:24 36:16 37:25 39:16 41:22 67:15.25 alcohol 40:19 41:24 42:7

Alcoholic 1:2.13 Alex 1:21 3:3 4:16 59:5 allegations 24:20 alleged 28:10 alleviate 24:21 alleyway 29:1 33:14 allow 22:1 67:18 allowed 26:24 allowing 56:17 altercation 7:15,24 14:2 15:9,10,18 16:25 17:23 19:2 28:9 29:18 29:20 43:15 46:11 53:7 70:20 amenable 50:16 **amount** 11:1 40:13 45:23 55:17 analyst 41:6 **ANC** 1:7 **Anderson** 1:14,17 2:3 2:16,20,25 3:6,10,15 3:23 4:1,4,6,9,13,18 5:13,18 6:25 8:11,19 9:1,17 13:3,7 14:22 17:5,10 20:9 23:17 24:25 25:3,7,11,20 26:2 27:20,23 28:1,4 29:16 30:4,23 31:4 32:4,21 36:14,24 37:3 37:11,15,20,23 38:6,8 38:23 39:2,9,15 43:8 45:3,8,16,19 53:20 56:22 59:1,7,16,19,23 60:1,13,18 61:17 62:18 66:17,22 67:5 67:13,22,25 72:4,14 72:24 73:15 **Andrew** 1:22 4:6 **angles** 31:6 announce 54:10 announced 62:12 answer 53:3,18 60:3,4 60:5 66:1 70:22 **answers** 61:16 anybody 66:10 **Anytime** 63:25 apologies 2:12 apologize 5:11 20:17 67:14 70:21 apparent 7:14 14:6 apparently 11:5,10 appears 8:4 9:8 10:14 16:13 21:17 48:8 57:25 **Applicant** 47:21 53:13 applies 24:14 appreciate 15:4 43:5 appropriate 20:7 41:3

44:9.11 46:8 49:10 54:1 72:9 appropriately 21:5 35:10 53:8 57:24 58:1 approval 50:24 **approve** 44:19 51:6 approved 21:11 44:22 approving 50:20 approximately 26:11 26:14 27:2,15,18 28:8 28:19,23 29:2,4,8 30:12 32:1 33:10,17 34:12 43:1 arbitrarily 50:4 area 7:9 11:10 37:18 38:12 62:3 arrival 26:20 64:13 arrived 26:11 30:14 40:5 42:25 ascertain 31:6 34:6 **aside** 43:12 asked 50:1 54:13,21 asking 39:10,12 40:22 55:3 56:4 60:1 66:5 aspect 55:18 assault 1:9 4:23 7:24 9:13 10:12.14 16:5 18:12,14 21:18 24:20 27:12 28:12,17 32:19 33:1,23 34:10 **assistance** 26:9 36:7 assisted 8:17 42:24 attention 70:20 attorney 6:5 25:4,12,13 25:13 67:18 73:6 attorney's 36:12 **audio** 27:18 **audit** 41:10 audits 41:7,7 August 4:22,22 available 8:3 21:7 23:5 56:10 aware 10:5 17:22 21:21 26:3.4 54:19 awesome 30:16 В

back 24:4 28:5,7 33:14 34:3 38:2,15 39:4 41:12 42:10 49:5 54:17 55:6 badgered 66:21 banquettes 68:25 69:3 70:13 bar 62:2 based 9:1 30:2,15 31:9 34:5 38:16 41:9 55:8 55:22 59:11 67:19

71:13 73:3 **Basima** 26:22 **basis** 41:25 bathrooms 62:1 beard 38:21 39:1 42:19 64:6 beginning 11:19 behalf 4:7,11 67:10 belabor 22:24 23:24 believe 5:22 6:12 8:21 10:8 11:18,25 12:18 15:6 23:7 31:17,22 34:9 35:16 36:6 40:18 43:24 44:2,13 62:7 63:14,15 64:11 66:3,9 69:5,18 believed 51:13 **believes** 6:3 7:2 benches 68:24 beneficial 23:13 **best** 60:14 better 15:2 22:19,25 45:15,17 46:2 57:20 65:5 **Beverage** 1:2,13 **bevond** 24:18 bit 18:11 blast 69:24 **blind** 60:10 block 7:9 34:21 35:5 47:19 **Board** 1:2,14 4:6,20,24 5:3,4,20,25,25 6:2,4,9 9:18 12:23 13:5 20:19 32:6 43:14 44:19 50:9 50:20 51:5,5 52:6,11 52:14,24 53:4,23,24 54:5,6,7,9,11,14,16 54:18,21,21,25 55:1,2 55:20,21 57:13 58:17 58:21 66:25 72:17,21 73:2,5,7,13 Board's 51:23 52:5 72:18 **BOBBY** 1:17 **book** 44:4,16,18 **booked** 70:2 boxes 51:16 54:3 breaking 42:24 **bring** 67:8 72:6 broke 11:6 27:16 28:20 40:2 **Brooklyn** 1:6,6 2:5 3:2 3:17 7:16 26:9,18 29:1 55:10 brought 51:4

brunch 48:18 61:6

building 49:25 55:15

bullet 47:19 **bumped** 27:6 28:11 **business** 4:17 55:16 61:15 71:14,15 **Bye** 25:24 **bystander** 31:9,18 34:17

C

C 69:5 C-A-M-E-R-O-N 4:11 calendar 2:4 call 16:2 18:25 26:8 43:20 called 19:14 camera 5:8 9:2 27:3,9 28:1,8 29:18,22 30:2 30:8,16 31:6,10,16 34:6 35:2 37:4 56:25 cameras 12:13 31:12 36:3 59:20 60:9,12 Cameron 1:22 3:4 4:10 **Cannabis** 1:2,13 Captain 1:23 2:9 3:19 6:22,23,23,24,24 7:1 7:5 8:11,14,21 9:6,19 9:24 10:4,8,14,20,24 11:12,18 12:14,17,23 12:25 13:10,16,21 14:4.23 15:5.19 16:22 17:2,6,8,12,15,20 18:2,6,15 19:6,18,23 19:25 20:13,18 21:6 22:11 23:3,20,21,23 24:3.13.24 25:21 53:10 58:16 captured 35:4 carry 24:11 case 1:8 2:3,4,8 3:16 6:2,4 23:2 50:15 cases 46:17,19 52:18 **CATO** 1:17 caused 27:7 28:12,17 caution 49:11 50:18 52:20 **Cedric** 26:21 certain 6:11 12:4,9 24:17 35:18,19 40:13 61:25 certainly 65:17 certification 49:1 **Chair** 13:2 23:16 24:24 36:18 37:7 43:4 54:6 67:4 Chairman 2:15 4:6 13:6 17:4,15 36:11 44:24

2:3.16.20.25 3:6.10 3:15,23 4:1,4,9,13,18 5:13,18 6:25 8:11,19 9:1,17 13:3,7 14:22 17:5,10 20:9 23:17 24:25 25:3,7,11,20 26:1,2 27:20,23 28:1 28:4 29:16 30:4,23 31:3,4 32:4,21 36:14 36:24 37:3,11,15,20 37:23 38:6,8,23 39:2 39:9,15 43:8 45:3,8 45:16,19 53:20 56:22 59:1,7,16,19,23 60:1 60:13,18 61:17 62:18 66:17,22 67:5,13,22 67:25 72:4,14,24 73:15 **chairs** 41:1 68:20,22 70:14 71:22 **changes** 57:2,5 58:19 58:25

charge 65:1 70:4,7,8 charged 18:20 charges 19:9 **check** 41:2 51:15 70:9 checked 54:4 Chief 4:25 6:22 7:2

13:13 circumstance 43:21 circumstantially 32:14 33:20 34:8

city 14:18 19:4 47:3 56:11,17 72:12 clarified 21:23 clarify 3:1 35:1

Class 46:24 cleaning 40:6

clear 12:7 16:15 19:14 20:19 21:13 35:2

52:10 69:24 clearer 20:3 clearly 23:10

client 45:10,20 56:23 57:3 58:23 66:19,20 66:23,24 67:10

client's 55:25 clients 25:14

close 40:8 48:16,17

71:10 closed 71:7

closes 70:24,24 closing 40:9 48:16 closure 72:6

clothes 39:11 **cloud** 69:25 club 7:19

clue 49:7

CNs 61:23 **Columbia** 1:1 23:25 46:2,15,25 49:14 51:9 **come** 33:14 40:23 48:6 49:5 58:9 62:14 **comes** 34:3 coming 46:12 49:23 comments 67:11 72:6 **community** 11:17 65:2 complete 35:23 compliance 40:20 41:6 41:9 42:6 compliant 30:5

concern 15:11 47:22 48:12 51:4,12 52:7 57:12 61:10

concerned 47:24 50:6 concerns 49:12 53:4,19 condensed 63:7 conducted 8:24

confirm 14:6,12 confrontation 16:11 consider 58:24 consideration 51:24

52:22 **considered** 19:10 53:2

consistent 52:12 constraints 14:25 17:7 17:12

contact 26:21 64:24

contained 35:14 content 37:17 contents 50:21 51:6 contrary 66:21

control 58:10 66:10 **convey** 22:16

cooperative 8:12 coordination 22:20 23:1

copy 56:9 62:24 Corporation 51:1 **correct** 9:23 10:10

17:18 19:5,17,22 34:23 35:11 39:23 63:12 69:7 70:25

correctly 13:24 62:17 65:24

correlation 7:17 council 49:14 50:8 56:11.17

counsel 1:22,22 51:2 52:2

count 60:8

couple 32:9 57:13,18 60:22

course 61:21 68:1 cover 70:3,6,8 coverage 35:2

covered 72:7 **CR** 1:7 10:6 39:22 68:2 **create** 22:19 58:15 created 46:21 creating 51:9 crime 16:1 18:7,25 19:5 19:7 64:22 **crimes** 35:24 crowd 62:25 63:7 64:7 64:18 66:7,13 curious 20:24 **currently** 60:12,24 **cursor** 38:12

D

dance 55:18 dancing 55:18 day 35:18 61:6 66:11 73:10 days 35:19 36:4 51:1,6 51:12 60:17,19,21,22 72:21 73:1 **DC** 1:21,23,23 Deadly 1:10 deal 49:12 dealing 18:4 **decision** 51:10 54:10 decisions 5:25 50:3 defer 25:10 deficient 23:2 defined 48:20 **definitely** 22:11 24:13 34:14 42:14 definition 18:14 **defuse** 65:5 defused 65:23 delinguent 42:1 **Department** 24:2,8 27:6 29:15 43:25 56:6,11 depends 15:21 18:6 desired 58:18 desk 56:8 detail 48:6 51:3.25 detailed 50:23 62:6 detect 8:23 detected 7:8 detection 11:2 detections 11:2 detectives 21:9 determination 5:5,21 6:6 9:4 18:24 determinations 52:16 determine 6:1 9:9 16:1 18:24 20:5 **determined** 19:6 73:8 developing 57:7

67:12 71:25 73:12

Chairperson 1:14,17

different 16:13 18:1,1

29:25 30:21 33:3

35:24 36:5 53:9 58:3 endorsement 59:4,17 expectation 21:10 22:7 fired 11:1,2 16:20,21 58:4 59:20 60:21 22:10.12 **first** 27:17 28:21 45:24 diminish 14:16 endorsements 59:2 expectations 22:7 47:6 63:6,11 68:4,4 ends 47:18 direct 13:12 56:6,18 23:11 24:15 68:11 71:5,8 64:24 enforcement 22:20 expected 52:10 first-hand 12:19 direction 18:17 29:6,11 23:1 42:3 experience 12:1 five 17:9 31:21 experienced 61:22 31:20 33:7,9,16,19 ensure 8:2 61:24 floor 7:16,25 11:7 14:8 director 21:17 52:2 21:19,20 26:18 27:1,8 **enter** 62:5 explain 38:9 56:8 58:23 entertain 58:24 **expose** 52:25 28:13 30:2,18 32:12 disarm 43:15 entertainment 59:4,18 express 47:1 32:17,19 33:1,23 discern 16:20 59:20 60:20,20 61:20 **expressed** 47:23 51:12 34:11 35:11 37:8 69:17,19 70:1 38:11 43:23 47:9 53:7 discuss 7:4 54:7,13,15 57:12 discussed 51:25 entire 63:15 68:18,23 extended 59:12 55:18,19 63:6,7,11,12 discussion 50:5,24 entitled 48:22 exterior 31:13,16 63:23,25 64:1,3 66:7 entrance 27:17 62:3 68:4,5,11,16 69:6 61.8 F 71:5,6,8,22 72:10 discussions 52:3 **entry** 62:4 disorderly 16:11 18:21 environment 22:19 face 27:14 **floors** 63:4 23:14 focus 61:13 19:11 faces 16:6 distinguish 15:10 escorted 16:12 26:22 fact 1:7 9:16 11:15 **focused** 70:18 **District** 1:1 17:17 23:25 27:16 28:21,25 33:3,5 **folks** 14:23 25:18 26:24 72:22 follow 36:12 42:5 46:1,14,24 49:14 **escorting** 30:20 39:7 fact- 4:18 5:2 8:6 57:16 51:9 52:24,25 64:25 **especially** 24:16 56:7 followed 43:14 fact-finding 2:4 3:16 disturbing 46:5,16 47:4 establishment 3:4 4:22 4:21,25 6:7,9,18 7:3 **food** 11:6 40:1,7,13,13 6:17 7:18,22 8:6,12 64:23 7:20 22:16 27:5 28:10 40:18 41:2,3,18,24 disturbs 47:2 9:5 14:1 15:18.23 42:6 48:14 61:4 49:19 50:1,12 54:20 division 56:7 17:24 19:16 20:20 facts 5:23 6:19 14:19 foot 7:11 36:1 **DJ** 69:11,13 70:1 22:8,9 23:12 26:20 14:19 footage 8:15 12:12,18 **DJs** 61:1,2 27:1,8,18 28:13,23,25 fair 45:23 14:5 26:25 27:3,10 documents 30:6 29:5 30:19 31:13,15 familiar 11:11 28:8,15 29:22 30:3,8 doing 20:14 22:23 31:17 32:20 33:2,4,6 **far** 9:14 22:3 70:19 30:16 31:19 34:6 39:7 41:16 57:13 66:24 33:12,16,18,24 34:2,5 feedback 20:11,16 **forget** 23:18 **formal** 54:9 **Donovan** 1:14,17 34:16,19,22 35:3,9,22 45:11,12 forward 8:8 20:22 21:15 downs 51:22 35:24 36:1,2,3 39:8 feel 35:19 driving 3:12,14 5:14 40:6,9,17 41:8 42:1 felt 18:7 22:18 23:9,13 36:8 **dropped** 34:4,7 43:19 44:3 46:6.8 female 27:11 28:16 fought 14:10 ducking 29:9 55:19 57:23 58:5,9 38:16 found 11:21 29:14 due 29:9 35:17 66:15 59:3,21 61:18 62:1,5 fight 14:8 15:21 26:17 **four** 7:8 duty 30:9 35:22 44:7 63:16 65:4 68:13,24 26:25 28:20 30:1,18 frank 64:21 establishment's 35:2 32:1 37:8 38:11,18 fray 18:21 Ε Friday 19:3 60:24 establishments 12:2 40:2 42:24 43:23 44:6 Earl 26:7 16:9 19:4 24:18 42:2 65:17 Friday's 60:25 fighting 18:19 19:8 earlier 9:21 12:12 16:25 52:9 61:23 Fridays 65:8 61:9 evening 16:19 23:6 40:25 44:5 front 27:17 28:22,22 eat 71:3 figure 6:19 57:18 63:18 31:14 33:6,15 34:4 61:3.12 event 47:21 49:9 file 49:16 57:8 35:3 42:10 eating 71:2 **evidence** 7:22 14:12 fulfill 30:10 48:5 **EDWARD** 1:18 filing 41:24 42:6 effort 22:23 58:5 32:11,15 fill 62:14 fulfilling 41:19 eight 32:2 **exact** 60:8 filled 48:18 further 6:1,2 7:4,13 exactly 8:17 15:22 34:7 23:15 36:10 62:19 either 29:23 43:20 **final** 67:9,11 72:5 excellent 66:24 67:2,4 73:5,7 47:10 49:16 50:7 71:6 find 2:22 8:8 16:24 **future** 64:11 73:5 **excuse** 23:19 22:17 26:5 41:2,13 ejected 34:16 existent 65:10 finding 1:7 4:19 5:3 8:7 G exit 19:12 33:13 element 61:11 8:17 11:15 elevate 2:7,18,24 exited 34:2,19 findings 57:17 **garner** 61:15 gathered 8:14 73:4 **elevated** 2:10,13 exiting 29:4 **fine** 3:7 18:9 25:19 email 69:24,25 exits 16:13 18:1 33:11 45:17 52:8 56:25 **gathering** 5:4,23 6:18 employees 12:8 33:19 43:17 fine-dining 49:24 65:4 **expect** 19:14 fines 42:5 **gee** 51:18 employing 8:2

general 6:5 52:2 73:7 gentleman 42:18 64:5 gentlemen 60:7 64:16 getting 16:5 give 13:12 15:2 37:12 49:6 65:5 67:8 given 17:3 35:22 gives 60:2 **go** 10:19 21:15 22:18 23:22 24:7,18 32:22 32:23,24 36:16 37:25 38:2,14 39:4,15 41:12 41:22 67:14,25 goes 24:15 41:7 going 8:8 15:5 20:10,20 22:8 23:9,13 25:18 28:7 39:6 40:11 46:5 46:13,18 47:23 48:10 49:22,25 50:1,10 52:14,17 54:17,18 55:5,20,21 58:14,15 58:19 65:18 67:8 68:4 72:11 good 2:6 3:1,23,25 4:5 4:10 11:19 12:16 30:19 32:9 34:21 36:20 43:4 51:19 62:21 72:2 gotten 16:4 45:23 64:12 **Grandis** 1:18 13:6,8,9 13:17,23 14:13,22 15:3 16:17 17:1 36:11 36:16 43:9,10 44:14 44:15,24 45:2,4 67:12 67:14,15,17,24 68:2,8 68:14,19 69:1,4,8,11 69:13,16,21 70:3,6,11 70:16,23 71:1,5,7,18 71:21,25 72:5 great 49:12 51:25 73:10 greatly 8:16 group 14:10 27:11,12 27:17 28:16,21,24 30:21 33:5,11 34:1 **groups** 27:4,16 28:10 28:19 30:20 31:20 33:2 quards 7:23 quess 22:15 24:14 40:21 62:23 guest 69:14 guidance 20:3 52:10 gun 11:20 14:17 31:25 46:24 gunfire 29:10 **guns** 72:12 qunshot 7:11 gunshots 7:7,14

guy 38:25 guys 22:22 37:14 63:20

Н H-A-J-E 4:17 H-O-N-G 3:22 Haje 1:21 3:3,8,12,13 4:13,16,16 5:7,10,16 25:1,6,9,17 59:7,8,11 59:22,25 60:3,4,5,15 60:23 61:21 62:22 63:3,10,13,22,24 64:9 64:20 65:10,13,14,22 66:1,9 68:1,7,9,17,22 69:2,7,10,12,15,18,23 70:5,8,15,18,25 71:3 71:6,9,19,24 73:10

half 34:21 47:19 halfway 52:21 hand 36:16 41:14 handgun 46:14 handguns 46:1 handle 35:24 65:17 handled 19:21,22 20:1

62:8 65:23 **HANSEN** 1:18 happen 35:25 46:9 49:23 50:14

happened 5:6 8:17 10:25 15:22 16:14.25 22:17 27:12 31:15 44:6 47:4,18 57:19 72:10

happening 43:23 happens 19:3 38:18 41:5 55:23

happy 53:3,18 58:24 61:1

hard 58:13 71:12 heading 29:6 hear 27:21 45:12 50:20

53:9 heard 13:17,24 32:13

47:10 48:24 57:12 58:15,16,17 61:8 hearing 1:7 2:4 3:16

4:19,21 5:1,3,24 6:7,9 6:18 7:4,20 8:7 17:21 20:15 21:25 50:7 54:20

Hello 3:8

help 21:8 22:18,23 42:12,15

helpful 12:16 23:12 high 33:21

hold 3:7,11 4:19 17:6 27:23 32:21 39:18,19

45:9 53:20

honest 23:6 49:1 Honestly 64:20 Hong 1:23 2:9 3:19,21 3:21,25 6:23,24 7:1,5 8:11,14,21 9:6,20,24 10:4,8,14,20,24 11:12 11:18 12:14,17,25 13:16,21 14:4 15:19 16:22 17:8,15,20 18:2 18:6,15 19:6,18,23,25 21:6 22:11 23:3,23 24:3,13 25:21 53:10 hope 61:16 horrified 14:16

host 65:3 hotline 26:8 hour 55:13 58:8 61:2 68:4

hours 40:9 48:16 house 42:3 **humans** 70:17 hurricane 46:23

idea 49:2 ideas 65:5 **identified** 42:11,19 53:15 57:9 64:5,8,10 identify 3:18 48:11 58:13 **IDs** 70:9

immediate 31:14 immediately 43:15 **important** 51:4 52:23 **improve** 8:9 35:15 improved 21:1

incident 4:21 9:10 14:15 22:9 26:3 29:19 32:11,17 35:10 44:4,6 44:9,10,11,16,18 47:7 47:9,15 50:11 55:8 57:4 63:1

incidents 35:25 46:13 include 53:14 57:8 inconvenience 5:12 incorrect 21:14 increase 42:15

indication 40:1,7 **individual** 54:8,15 individuals 18:18 industry 56:14

informal 6:8 information 5:4 7:21 9:7,16 15:14,17 16:18 56:5,15,19

infraction 5:6 initial 7:21,24 21:25 **initiated** 7:6,17,18,19 iniuries 19:9 innocent 31:9,18 **inside** 7:16 9:5,8,10,12 9:15 11:22 12:13 13:19 14:2,8,21 15:9 15:11,21 16:25 18:21 43:16

instances 44:5 instant 11:3 institute 48:10 instructed 46:10 instructions 35:23 intended 14:6,11 47:10 47:17

interaction 29:23 interested 41:15 interference 27:19 interim 4:25 internal 69:19,21 interrupt 20:10 **intersection** 26:15 29:7

34:12

70:19

investigated 16:22 investigation 7:13 8:13 12:16 16:23 21:8,9,15 30:5.24

investigative 17:17,18 investigator 1:23 2:11 2:12 4:2 7:19 12:18 15:1,2 17:11 26:7,10 32:8,10 36:10,19 40:5 43:5 44:25 45:5,5 53:10 58:16 64:4,11

investigators 8:16 22:1 43:20 52:18 58:22 involved 7:15 9:13 14:1 14:7 15:8,15 16:19 17:25 24:20 29:17,20 47:11 50:7,22 52:3 53:6

involving 46:14 issue 20:21 42:4 46:1 48:7,12,18 50:15 51:24 53:6

issues 46:3 50:22 52:22 it'll 39:7

J

JAMES 1:19 **JENI** 1:18 job 30:17,19 36:20 66:24 join 72:10 Jones 26:8 64:24 **JOSE** 1:21 **Jovan** 2:11 **JR** 1:17,19

judgment 52:15 judgments 53:25 jump 25:2,4 Κ K-9 8:22,23 **K-L-I-N-E** 4:7 keep 58:5 kind 16:5 18:3 21:22 22:21 36:22 43:21 kitchen 40:8 48:15,17 61:3 70:23 Kline 1:22 2:17,21 3:1,3 4:4,5,7 17:11,13,14 17:22 18:3,10 19:1,13 19:20 20:8,9,18 22:5 22:24 23:15 25:8,8

32:7,9,22,23 34:20,25 35:7,13 36:8,9,15 45:4,6,10,15,18,22 53:23 55:13 56:4.20 56:23 57:6 59:2,4,10 59:15,17,24 60:2,3 66:15,18,18,20,22 67:3,10,18,21,24 72:2 72:5,7,15,23 73:10,12 **know** 5:2 10:9,22 11:10 11:11 14:13,18 16:8 19:22 21:18 22:2

24:11,17 25:8 32:16 32:18,25 34:17 39:2 39:12 40:8 42:16 45:20,24 46:12 47:16 48:3.19 49:2.4.8 52:3 52:11 55:9 56:13 57:20 58:8 59:21 61:9 61:14 62:23 66:18,23 71:16

knowing 41:15 knowledge 8:4 9:6 60:14 knows 52:1

L-E-Y 3:22 lack 20:5 47:24 laid 16:14 late 55:23 61:19,19 law 22:20 23:1,25 48:14 50:13 54:4 **lawyers** 56:14 learn 57:19 learned 46:7 57:21 58:11

leave 25:22.23 63:18

leaves 33:18 62:12

left 21:21 legal 49:6 51:14 53:24 54:2 56:7 legally 72:19,25 **let's** 18:10 31:5 38:14 39:4 66:25 **letter** 7:21 13:13,14,19 13:20 14:3 **letting** 58:3,3 liability 51:9 53:1 license 1:8 2:5 10:6 11:23 41:4,20 48:23 59:3 68:3 licensed 19:16 licensee 1:21,22,22 2:7 4:8,12 10:6 30:5 46:19 47:5,22 49:21 50:16 53:5 54:22 55:2 67:18 72:9 licensee's 21:2 licensees 44:17 licenses 12:2 light 42:15 liked 46:2 limited 57:23 45:12 71:13 list 2:23 69:14

Lieutenant 48:1 64:24 line 20:12.14.16 32:24 listen 25:23 61:22 little 10:15 11:16 18:11 46:17.19

LLC 1:6 local 24:15 located 7:10 8:25 locations 58:4 long 23:24 24:16 47:3 61:6 look 24:4 48:3 52:6,19

60:6 62:24 looked 49:3 51:14 **looking** 13:12 14:19 22:21 23:4 55:11 68:21 71:12 looks 34:3 36:19

lost 28:1,2 36:22 37:4 **lot** 12:8,22 21:12,22 24:9,22 46:2 47:13

low 42:15

М

M-I-X-O-N 4:11 making 41:17 52:15 **male** 27:6,7,10,13,13 28:11,11,15,18 29:14 34:1 38:15,15 manager 26:23 30:9 manner 42:7

marked 39:10 40:25 42:9 marketing 69:19,21 markings 42:10 matter 1:5 7:4 15:8,21 73:2,6,17 meals 68:15,18 mean 14:14 21:2 22:6 22:24 29:18 32:14 45:22,23 46:23 47:13 48:7,19 57:6,15,16,25

66:16 means 12:22 measures 8:3 61:18 meet 26:22 48:21 meeting 1:3 41:17 **melee** 11:6

Member 1:17,18,18,19 9:20 10:3,5,11,18,22 11:4,13 12:11,15,20 13:1,6,9,17,23 14:13 15:3 16:17 17:1 23:23 24:5,23 36:11,18,22 37:6 38:7 39:18,22,25 40:10,21 41:11,22 42:8.18 43:3.10 44:15 44:24 54:5,8,21,22,25 56:3,21 62:21 63:9,17 63:23 64:4,17 65:9,12 65:15,25 66:5,12 67:2 67:12,17 68:2,8,14,19 69:1,4,8,11,13,16,21 70:3,6,11,16,23 71:1 71:5,7,18,21,25

Member's 72:18 members 4:6 9:19 13:5 30:13 32:6 54:16 55:21 57:13 58:17,21 73:13 mention 48:24 70:1

mentions 69:19 met 1:14 26:12,23 metric 36:5 Metropolitan 24:2,8 27:5 29:15 43:25 56:5

mentioned 69:4

56:10 mic 20:14 Middleton 26:11 40:5 midnight 10:15 48:17 Miller 2:11 mind 25:1 **minimal** 22:12 minute 3:7,11 11:3 17:6

18:11 31:21 53:21 minutes 8:18 10:17 17:9 26:18 28:6 32:2

missed 68:21

missing 13:20 14:3 **Mixon** 1:22 3:5 4:9,10 4:11 73:11 moment 4:19 39:20 moments 7:15 Monday 26:7 63:1 monetary 42:4 months 40:17 Moosally 52:1 56:8 **morn** 3:1 morning 2:6 3:23,25 4:5,10 10:12 12:22 32:10 movable 69:2

move 15:3,4 20:22 23:14 71:10,11,21

moved 68:10

moving 5:8 36:7 **MPD** 1:23 7:6,23 8:12 9:3 11:15 14:3 15:8 15:14,17 16:19 19:14 26:24 28:10 43:21 49:1 50:6 58:23 65:4 65:15 66:6,10

MTV 65:3 mute 20:12.14 23:18 muted 32:23 **muting** 20:16 **mutual** 19:7

mutually 18:19

Ν

name 3:20,21 4:14 56:25 **names** 44:8 naturally 71:9 nature 36:5 59:14 70:10 need 25:9 28:5 41:16 44:1 45:9 62:13 negatively 6:16 neither 51:5 **never** 24:10 new 12:8 23:24 **nexus** 34:9 night 10:21 19:4 21:21 26:6 30:8 31:25 40:3 43:1 44:8 55:24 61:20 62:22 63:1,1,2,4,8,9 63:21 64:14,18 65:19 66:8 68:3 nightclub 35:18 nightclubs 49:13 nightlife 61:11 65:1 **nights** 61:19 **no-no** 5:15 **normal** 62:25 64:18,19 normally 41:5

led 16:23

notate 44:7

notice 30:24 notification 16:3 20:5 notified 7:23 15:17 44:1 notify 15:25 Notwithstanding 53:12 number 2:5,5 3:16 35:20,21 36:3 42:2 44:7 69:6 numbers 44:8 NW 1:7

О

O 69:5 o'clock 68:15 71:8,16 **obligation** 16:2 41:20 obligations 30:10 observations 12:19 observe 10:1 **observed** 31:2,10 obtained 9:15 occasionally 61:1 occupancy 55:10 63:5 63:5,10,15 69:5 occupied 68:5 occur 10:12,13 12:4 28:13 occurred 4:21,23 5:22 6:3 7:1,25 8:7 10:15 10:16 15:7,13,20 16:2 18:7.25 19:5.7 21:19 27:1 28:9 29:11 32:1 32:17,19 33:1,23 34:10,11,18 43:11 55:8 73:8 occurring 14:17 30:18 32:2 occurs 22:9 October 1:12 offer 60:19 61:4 offering 55:24 **office** 3:5 6:5 51:1,3 73:6 officer 65:18,20 officers 10:23 48:5 oh 10:20 13:21 14:4 24:13 49:19 50:11 62:23 63:13 okay 2:18 3:7 5:18,20 11:4 12:11 17:22 19:20 20:8,17 24:5 25:6,9,10,19,24 28:3 28:7 32:22 34:25 37:5 37:7,14,25 38:2,10 39:15,21 40:10,21 45:18 49:20 55:24 56:25 59:25 60:5 63:9 64:17 67:20 70:18 on-26:23

on-scene 21:9.17 22:1 once 14:18 17:2 42:25 58:7 71:11,22 open 48:15 60:23,24,25 61:3,5 **operate** 61:4,7 62:7 64:15 operating 35:17 64:1 operation 61:12 operational 60:14,15 60:16 operators 61:22 opinion 43:25 **opinions** 54:13,15 **opportunity** 17:4 37:12 50:5 67:9 opposing 28:18 order 6:10 40:19 71:2 ordered 48:11 53:16 57:11 64:9 **Orellana** 1:21 2:6,9,18 2:23 37:12 original 9:12 other's 16:6 outline 20:2 35:20 44:3 outlined 30:11 44:14 outlines 12:3 23:10 outreach 65:3 outside 9:10,15 11:7 31:15 43:12 59:5,10 66:2 owner 11:24 ownership 8:2

Р

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2:1 **P-R-O-U-T** 4:3 p.m 61:1 68:10,15 73:18 **Palm** 49:24 55:15 paraphrasing 35:8 parked 7:11 8:22 part 14:10 34:1 parties 2:8 3:18 5:2 16:11 17:25 18:9 29:23 36:7 38:22 43:17 67:8 party 6:10,11,14 9:12 18:15 passed 56:16 passing 49:15 52:14 pat 51:21 **Patima** 26:23 patron 27:6,7,13,14 28:11,12,15 patrons 8:4 28:18 29:25 34:1,15 42:17

pay 70:20 peaceful 46:4 people 19:3 21:19 27:4 40:24 46:9 55:17 58:2 62:11 68:10 71:9 percent 40:18,19 perfect 46:22,23 perfectly 49:22 50:16 performs 41:7 permanent 69:3 permission 56:13 60:2 **permit** 59:14 permitted 18:17 perpetrators 47:11 person 18:13,16 19:16 64:8 65:16 personage 42:9 personal 43:24 **personnel** 24:1,18 26:21 27:16 28:20 29:9 64:2 **persons** 24:6,9 photographer 29:3,17 29:19,22,24 30:1 31:8 33:18 34:17,21 47:16 physical 7:15 9:12 15:21 16:3.24 27:12 28:9,17 32:19 33:1,22 34:10 physically 18:8 picture 15:2 **pictures** 29:4,24 **pipe** 46:12 place 17:23 56:18 61:19 62:10.16 64:16 68:18 **placed** 61:25 **plan** 8:5 9:22 10:2,10 11:8,19,25 12:3 15:24 16:10,15 20:2,20,25 21:4,6,16,24 23:10 35:15,20 36:6 43:19 44:2,14,16,20,23 48:10 49:11,20 50:2 50:13,17,20 51:11,14 51:17 52:12,15,17 53:14 54:23 55:3,22 57:8,8 58:15 72:20,21 73:1 planning 22:22 **plans** 16:8 49:14,17 51:7 53:13,24,25 54:2 54:18,19 55:7 playing 37:21,22 38:4 plays 38:1,3,13,19 39:5 39:17 pleaded 47:25 please 2:7,8 3:7,19,20

37:12 **plenty** 64:14 point 9:5 11:22 13:14 15:22 16:4 18:23 20:21 25:18 47:10,18 52:1 pointing 70:19 **police** 4:25 6:22 7:2 15:25 24:2,8 25:18 27:5 29:14,15 43:25 47:24 48:1 56:6,10 64:22 65:7,18,20 66:2 policies 15:12 policy 12:5 22:13 44:17 51:13 **position** 54:8 55:25 62:12,14 69:3 **positions** 54:7 61:25 possible 32:15 41:11 potential 6:3 potentially 65:3 **premise** 19:12 premises 44:4 prepared 53:13 preparing 50:17 **presence** 25:18,22 47:25 48:1 64:23 65:7 66.2 present 1:16,20 3:8 21:24 presentation 73:9 presiding 1:14 **press** 19:9 pretty 11:3 12:15 57:22 prevent 22:18 previously 9:11 13:25 **primary** 61:13 **prior** 26:18 40:9 64:13 **private** 18:19,22 19:8 privileges 48:22 proactive 57:11 proactively 58:14 probability 14:9,14 **probably** 17:8 68:9 problem 24:5 25:10 49:21 procedure 20:2 21:13 **proceed** 52:20 professional 43:24 Program 59:12 promotes 69:9 propensity 33:21 proper 20:1 46:8 49:10 54:3 56:18 properly 19:22 22:8 property 18:19,22 19:8 protect 8:3 25:14 **protecting** 66:18,23

4:14,15 20:12,14

protection 25:5 run 68:25 ready 45:14 56:16 57:9 protocol 43:14 62:10 really 41:18 53:6 71:18 requirements 41:18 running 12:1 62:15 rear 28:25 33:11,14 48:21 52:12 S requires 48:15 49:13 protocols 62:4 reason 5:13 35:4 39:9 **Prout** 1:23 2:13 4:1,2,3 54:4 S 1:18 25:25 26:1,6 27:20,21 51:8 reservations 53:12 **safe** 12:10 27:21,21,22,23,25 reasoned 50:5 resources 48:3 safer 11:16 22:19 23:14 received 26:8 27:22 28:3,7 29:16,21 30:7 respect 35:10 46:11 24:9 31:1,12 32:18,22,22 31:7 47:5,8 48:14 53:4,5 **safety** 8:3,9 66:16 72:11,12 recommend 11:15 sales 40:14 41:3,24 32:23,25 34:24 35:6 recommendations 11:9 respond 22:8 30:17 35:12,16 36:19,21,23 42:7 11:14 73:4 36:24 37:1,5,7,10,12 53:22 55:2 **Saturday** 19:3 60:25 37:14,18,22 38:2,4,10 recommended 58:25 responded 7:7,9 16:21 **Saturdays** 61:5 65:8 38:14,20,24,25 39:4,6 record 3:18,20 4:15 35:9 44:9 57:23 **saw** 14:5 27:3 55:5,9,12 36:4 41:13 53:21 54:9 39:14,18,21,24 40:3 responding 66:25 55:16,16 58:12 62:22 40:16 41:5,21,23 55:25 73:18 response 21:2 22:19,25 62:22 63:19,20 64:19 42:14,22 43:5,7,22 recording 60:17 35:15 45:11,21 72:9 65:16,20 66:8 70:12 responsibilities 24:11 44:21 45:2,9 64:5,11 records 41:14 70:17 **provide** 8:15 49:13 recovered 8:20 24:12 saying 5:14 28:5 44:18 refer 6:4 73:6 72:19,20,25 responsible 41:23 44:21 70:11 provided 9:3 16:18 reference 10:25 responsibly 53:8 says 16:10 37:24 43:13 referred 13:14 rest 21:15 **scene** 8:16 10:19,19,23 public 50:6 54:14 59:14 reflect 6:15,16 restaurant 10:7 11:5,16 20:4 21:8 23:5 26:9 **pulled** 3:13 5:17 refusal 6:14 11:22,23,24 12:13 26:11,24 30:13 40:5 regarding 16:18 16:9 35:17 37:9 39:23 **punched** 27:13 42:25 43:1 purpose 8:1 22:16 regards 63:4 40:2.11.15 41:20 schedule 13:11 **pushes** 38:18 registered 24:1 48:20,21 49:24 55:14 **scope** 24:19 pushing 38:21 registration 24:7 55:15 61:5,8,11 screen 37:16,21 **put** 52:4 regulation 56:12 restaurant's 24:9 scuffle 16:4 27:8 30:1 putting 43:11 regulations 24:15 restaurants 10:9 11:20 search 8:24 62:4 70:9 40:20 51:18 52:13 40:12.23 41:17 42:5 second 7:16,25 11:7 Q reimbursable 48:6 49:16 55:7 14:8 21:19,20 25:2 related 16:24 32:16 quality 12:15 34:5 restrooms 62:13 26:17 27:1,8,11 28:13 quarter 40:16 46:3 result 30:23 57:3.21 28:16,24 30:2,18 quarterly 41:25 48:19 release 23:21 Retailer 1:7 32:11,17,19 33:1,10 33:23 34:1,10 35:11 question 20:13 22:6 reminded 52:5 review 9:2 26:25 29:21 40:11,22 42:8 55:6 **remove** 43:16 30:15 53:25 54:2 37:8 38:10 43:23 47:9 reviewed 21:11 30:3 56:1 61:16 63:5,19 removed 65:24 53:7 57:19 63:7,11,23 65:12,13 66:2,5 67:14 repeat 13:22 reviewing 9:24 27:9 63:25 64:1,2 66:7 67:15 68:3 70:22 report 9:25 17:17,19 28:14 68:15 71:22 72:10 questionable 22:3 23:4 32:13 36:20 43:5 **reviews** 53:23 Secondly 24:6 44:6 right 2:25 3:6,7,10,15 seconds 30:17 34:18 questions 9:18 13:4 reports 29:14 43:13 17:13 23:16,20 32:5,8 5:7,20 9:17 13:3 17:5 security 7:23 8:5 9:22 36:10,12,13 45:4 53:3 17:10 19:20 20:17 48:19 10:2,10 11:8,19,25 53:19 54:12,20 62:19 representing 3:2 4:17 22:5 23:19 24:25 12:3 15:24 16:6,8,10 25:20 26:2 32:4,5 62:19 67:3,4,7,19 52:9 16:12,15 18:23 20:1,6 72:1 request 4:19,24 6:21 35:5,7,13 37:11,18 20:20,25 21:4,6,16,18 quiet 46:4 7:18 27:5 28:10 30:6 38:14,16,25 39:16,19 21:24 22:22 23:10,11 quite 11:10 56:9 64:21 54:24,25 72:18,18 43:9 44:15 45:8,10,13 23:25 24:10,17,19,21 requested 8:23 55:7 45:17 46:25 47:15 26:21,25 27:15 28:14 R 65:6 57:2 59:1,6,15 60:7 28:19 29:9 30:12,16 R-I-3:21 requesting 26:9 60:18 72:14,24 73:16 31:10 35:8,14,20,21 **radius** 36:2 requests 48:6 rights 2:7 36:6 38:20,24,24 39:3 raise 51:23 require 10:9 16:10 Riley 1:23 2:9 3:21 39:11,13 40:25 42:9 44:17 54:19 road 52:21 raised 48:13 42:12,19,23 43:14,19 reached 65:2 required 8:5 12:9 40:12 rounds 7:8 44:2,14,16,20,23

row 45:25

rulemaking 50:8

43:20 49:16 51:16

requirement 53:14

read 17:17

reacted 53:8 58:1

48:10,11 49:11,13,17

49:20 50:2,12,17,20

ĬI
50:24 51:6,11,20 53:13,15,23,25 54:2 54:17,19,23 55:3,6,22 57:7,9 58:13 61:18,24 61:25 62:15 63:20 64:2,8,13,14 65:16 72:20,21 73:1 see 5:8 12:23 13:19 14:2 22:13 31:5,19 32:12 37:3,14,15 38:4 38:7,11,11,14,17 45:11 46:13 55:23 56:24,25 58:12 64:6,6 64:7 68:20 69:1 70:12 70:13,17 seeing 2:14 38:9 seen 12:17 29:3,4,9
33:7,13 47:3 62:23
sell 40:18 71:16 send 69:23,25 separate 16:6,12 18:8 30:22 43:17 63:10,14 63:14
separated 17:24 19:11
58:6
separating 30:20 38:21 58:2
Sergeant 6:23 26:12,13 serious 14:15 serve 40:14 61:6 served 40:7 service 9:21 12:21 17:16 48:14 62:2 serving 11:6 40:1 68:15 68:17 set 53:21
settings 42:16
settlement 30:11
seven 60:21 share 9:25 37:7,10,13 37:25
shares 47:22
sharing 37:16,21 shirt 42:11
shirts 48:11 51:20
53:16 57:10 64:10 shooter 11:21 13:18,24 14:20
shooters 14:9
shooting 10:12,16 14:7
15:7,13,20 26:14,16 26:19 29:11 30:8
31:15,23,25 32:2,12
32:16 33:21,22 34:3
34:11,18 43:2,11 47:8 short 1:19 9:19,20 10:3
10:5,11,18,22 11:4,13 12:11,15,20 13:1
II

23:21,22,22,23 24:5 24:23 36:14,16,17,18 36:22 37:6 38:7 39:18 39:22,25 40:10,21 41:6,11,22 42:8,18 43:2,3,7 48:24 53:21 56:1,3,20,21 62:20,21 63:9,17,23 64:4,17,21 65:9,12,15,25 66:5,12 66:15 67:2,6 shortcoming 23:8 shot 7:12 11:7 29:14 31:8 49:23 66:3 shots 7:7 11:1,2 16:20 16:21,23 show 39:7 showed 7:13	
shut 68:11 sidewalk 31:14 significant 55:17 similar 11:20 simple 4:23 7:24 28:12 Simultaneous 5:9 19:24 65:21 66:14 67:23 sir 3:12,24 4:16 5:17 9:18,21 12:24 14:14 20:10 25:1,3,5,11,23 26:2 27:25 32:5 34:24 35:6,6,12,12 36:21 38:9 39:24 43:10 44:21 45:1,5,14 56:2 59:8,22 61:21,21 63:3 63:8,13,15,24 64:10 65:14 66:6,9 67:11,16 68:7,23 69:7,10,12,15 70:5,15,25 71:4,20,24 situation 18:4,8 19:2,19 21:1 22:14 23:4 46:22 62:8 situations 21:22 24:17 24:22 42:13 slipping 49:18 solutions 8:9	
somebody 49:6 58:8 62:13 somebody's 66:10 sophisticated 69:22 sorry 13:21 17:18 20:10 36:15 59:8 65:22 66:11,17 67:13	9 9 9
sort 20:24 sorted 53:17 sounds 7:7 20:1 29:10	, ,

20:12 25:12 65:21 66:14 67:23 speaks 54:5 **specific** 6:10,15 specifically 60:6 speculation 47:13 spell 3:19 4:14 spill-out 26:16 spirit 43:22 spit 18:16 **spots** 60:10 stabilized 5:10,11 staff 1:21 12:4,8 15:23 18:7 19:11 20:2,6 21:10,17,23 22:3 23:11 24:16,21 30:12 30:13,16 35:9,21,21 38:20 42:17,24 44:7,8 49:6 53:15 57:25 62:16 staircase 28:22 stairs 62:2 stairwells 30:22 start 3:19 37:24 41:16 state 3:20 4:14 13:18 14:20 34:15 stated 5:23 6:17 9:21 13:24 14:5 53:23 54:3 73:3 statement 56:4 statements 42:23 64:12 states 27:6 stations 62:11 statute 48:20 49:13,15 51:17 52:13 stay 25:23 60:16 **step** 6:20 **stick** 17:8 stop 8:22 storm 46:23 straight 53:22 **streatery** 59:11,12 **Street** 1:7 7:10 11:11 25:19 26:16 29:7 31:21 33:8,9 47:25 48:2 64:22 65:1 70:10 **Streets** 29:12 **struck** 34:22 substance 52:15 sufficiency 51:14 53:24 54:2 sufficient 72:20,25 suggestions 58:22 **Sunday** 63:3,8,9 64:18 70:24 **Sundays** 60:25 61:5 64:23 65:8,11

81 Supervisory 26:7 supposed 21:3 36:4 40:18 46:11 48:9 53:11 57:24 64:25 **sure** 3:11 7:5 14:24 31:5 35:1 41:17,25 47:20 56:9 57:1 60:9 60:10,16,17 62:3,4,9 62:10,11,17 64:10,15 70:9 **survive** 71:19 suspect 34:15 sworn 6:8 swung 18:16 Т **T-A-V-R-I-L** 4:3 **t/a** 1:6 **table** 71:4 tables 40:25 68:20,22 68:23 70:14 71:22 take 6:1,10,11,13,15 73:2 taken 21:25 29:24 talk 18:10 22:6 42:20 46:22 talked 20:22 50:19 67:19 talking 27:11 28:16 38:16 46:3 49:3 59:22 target 31:22 47:17 targeted 9:9 targets 7:14 14:7,11 47:11 taverns 49:16 **Tavril** 1:23 2:13 4:2 12:18 teams 61:24 technology 7:8

Supervisor 2:12

space 49:19 59:5,10

span 31:14,16,21

speak 25:16 60:2

speaking 5:9 19:24

			82
00 5 00 0 40 40 00			1 40 00 70 40
32:5 36:9,10,18,20	trying 6:19 14:15 15:10	violation 5:22 6:3 30:25	went 10:23 73:18
43:3,6,7,10 44:25	19:9 22:15 43:15	73:8	weren't 11:5 30:13
45:2,3,9,17 53:18	63:18 71:19	violations 15:12 22:13	who've 24:10
55:24 56:4,17,20,21	Tuesday 63:2	22:14 23:7 31:2 41:8	willing 49:22
67:5 68:1 72:1,3,4,8	turned 33:8	violence 11:21 14:17	wish 32:7
72:13,15 73:9,12,13	two 18:18 19:3 27:4,16	31:25 46:14,24 65:6	witnessed 67:20
73:13,15	28:5,9,18,18 29:8	66:13	witnesses 6:8
thanks 25:24 36:21	30:21 31:12 33:2 40:9	visibility 42:15	word 47:7
theirs 64:22	48:16 63:4,10	volunteer 6:11	words 51:15
thing 12:10 20:15 49:11	type 5:6	volunteered 72:17,19	work 14:14 23:11
50:18	types 12:2	vote 54:6,9,14	worked 21:20 47:2
things 12:4 57:18	typical 55:13	Vote 54.0,9,14	working 10:20 63:21
think 12:3 13:13,17,23	typical 55.15	W	world 58:7
	U		
14:16,25 19:23,25		W 1:14,17	worry 49:18
22:21 24:8 41:16	U 1:6,7 7:17 11:11	wait 58:8	wound 7:11
42:12 44:24 47:6	25:19 26:10,15,18	walk 33:15,16 47:18	written 12:7 21:4 43:13
50:19,25 51:18 52:5,7	29:1,7,12 31:20 33:7	walked 31:20 47:14,14	wrong 51:10
52:8,23,23 53:1,5,9	33:9,17,19 34:13	53:17	
57:6,16,22 58:11 59:5	47:25 48:2 64:22 65:1	walking 33:7,8	X
65:22,23 66:12 72:7,8	70:10	walls 68:25	
third 57:20 64:25	Um 11:12	wanding 51:21	Y
thought 2:16,20 71:7	understand 15:16	want 3:11 6:12 17:2	yeah 13:23 45:22 49:20
thousand 48:4	25:17 41:1	18:18 19:13 20:8 31:5	52:7 57:6 58:12 69:23
three 10:16 30:12 40:17	undertaken 57:4	52:9 53:21 56:2,3	70:2
42:23 63:25 64:2	unintentionally 52:25	60:5 63:19 67:11	year 59:13
Thursdays 60:24	unmute 23:19	68:12 69:25 72:1	years 50:23 51:25
tied 33:22	unmuted 45:13	wanted 14:23 17:11	yield 67:3
ties 32:11	unpermitted 18:12	34:25 57:1 71:1	,
time 7:6 10:11,18,22	19:15	wants 52:24 59:24	Z
11:1 12:21 13:11,22	upstairs 17:23 68:10	warning 42:4	
14:24 17:3,7,12 19:15	71:10,11	wasn't 5:7 10:20 21:23	0
21:24 30:13 31:21	upwards 60:7	40:7	
35:23 38:12,22 40:4,4	use 9:8 20:3 45:18	way 19:21,25 22:17	1
40:23 41:10 42:1	59:14 62:13 69:8,11	23:2 24:16 29:25	1:08 26:11 43:1
44:10,11 45:7 46:20	70:3,6 72:12	47:14,14 62:6 66:3	10:30 71:2
63:6 68:6,8 73:14	70.5,0 72.12	71:13,13	
timely 42:7	v	we'll 6:1 20:22 49:10	10:46 2:2
			11:00 48:17 61:6 70:24
times 18:1 30:21 33:3	vacant 63:6	55:4 61:1 71:14,16,16	111411 1:8 2:6
35:18 57:17 58:3	valuable 17:3	we're 5:23 6:18,19	12 60:11 71:15
66:11	varies 64:20	20:11 35:1 38:9 42:16	12:10 27:2 28:8 29:4
titles 44:8	various 43:16	46:20 47:20 48:4	55:8,19
today 25:22 53:17 72:3	vehicle 8:22,24	49:18,25 50:10,11	12:11 27:15 28:19,23
72:16 73:4,9	vehicles 7:12	52:21 57:17 60:23,24	12:12 28:24
told 2:21	veracity 15:6	61:10 62:6 64:1 65:25	12:13 28:24
top 55:11 56:14 62:2	Vernick 26:12,13	68:17 71:19	12:13/12:14 33:10
total 63:22,25	versus 42:17	we've 11:19 20:21 46:7	12:15 10:16 73:18
touched 18:16	victim 7:10 29:14 31:24	49:3 57:6 60:8,9	12:17 29:2 33:17 34:19
touching 18:13 19:15	34:18 38:15	61:23,23 64:9 67:20	12:18 26:14 29:8 32:2
traditional 46:3	victims 9:9	68:11 72:7	34:12
trained 24:1,10 46:9	video 8:15 9:3,9 14:5	weapon 1:10 8:19,25	12:21 29:15
training 24:14,21 48:25	30:6 37:8,13,20,24	9:4,7,14	1200 36:1
62:9,16	38:1,3,13,19 39:5,17	weapons 62:5	1212 1:7
transpire 28:17 38:11	55:5,9,12,16 57:13	WebEx 1:14	12th 33:7
transpired 26:14,17	58:12 62:22,23 63:20	Wednesday 1:11	13 26:15 29:6
44:10	64:19 65:19 66:7	week 35:19 48:25,25	13th 7:9 26:15 29:7,12
treated 18:21	68:19 70:12,21	60:19,21,22 63:2	31:20 33:9,17,19
troubling 18:12	videoconference 1:14	weeks 45:25	34:13
try 25:14 61:14 65:3,4	view 6:16	well-trained 24:6 58:1	16 60:11
	1.011 0.10	1.01. 1.01.100 2 7.0 00.1	1.00.11
II			•

ı		83
17 60:11 18 60:11 19 60:11 1900 7:9 1B 1:7		
2 2023 1:12 4:23 26:7 23-251-00023 1:8 2:5 3:17 2nd 4:22		
3 30 36:4 60:17 72:21 73:1		
4 4 1:12 60:11 40 40:18 5		
5 46:24 5:00 60:25		
60 30:17 34:18 40:19 7 7 26:7		
7th 4:23 8 8 60:11		
9 9 68:14 71:8 9:30/10:00 68:10 911 16:3 18:25		

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Brooklyn

Before: DC ABCA

Date: 10-04-23

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac Nous &