DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE CONTROL BOARD

+ + + + + MEETING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Toro Toro DC, LLC,

t/a Toro Toro

1300 I Street NW : Fact Finding
Retailer CR - ANC 2C : Hearing

License #91165 Case #22-251-00022 :

September 28, 2022

(Simple Assault)

Wednesday

The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board met via WebEx videoconference, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson presiding.

PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson RAFI ALIYA CROCKETT, Member EDWARD S. GRANDIS, Member JENI HANSEN, Member JAMES SHORT, JR., Member

ALSO PRESENT:

INVESTIGATOR CHRISTOPHER CONDON, DC ABRA ANDREW KLINE, Licensee's Counsel JOSE ORELLANA, DC ABRA Staff IGOR RADAKOVIC, Licensee

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	11:06 a.m.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And our last
4	case for the morning is a fact finding hearing,
5	case number 22-251-00022, Toro Toro, license
6	number 91165. Mr. Orellana, can you please
7	elevate the rights of the licensee in this case
8	and the Board's witness, please?
9	MR. ORELLANA: Igor Radakovic, your
10	access has been elevated. Andrew Kline, your
11	access has been elevated. Christopher Condon,
12	your access has been elevated. That is all,
13	Chairman.
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you very
15	much. Mr. Condon, I do not see you. Thank you.
16	Mr. Radakovic, do you have a camera you can turn
17	on, sir?
18	MR. RADAKOVIC: Yes, here you go.
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I still don't
20	see you, but all right
21	MR. RADAKOVIC: Oh.
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: that's fine.
23	MR. RADAKOVIC: It should be on.
24	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay, fine,

good morning. I can see you now.

1 MR. RADAKOVIC: Good morning. 2 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning. 3 All right, I would like the parties to identify themselves for the record, so let me start with 4 5 our investigator. Can you please spell and state your name for the record, please? 6 7 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Sure, it's 8 Christopher Condon, C-H-R-I-S-T-O-P-H-E-R, last 9 name is Condon, C-O-N-D-O-N. 10 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. 11 Kline, can you please spell and state your name 12 for the record, please? 13 MR. KLINE: Good morning, Mr. Chairman 14 and members of the Board, Andrew Kline, A-N-D-R-E-W, Kline, K-L-I-N-E, counsel for the licensee. 15 16 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Radakovic, 17 can you please spell and state your name for the 18 record, please? 19 MR. RADAKOVIC: Hi, my name is Igor 20 Radakovic, I-G-O-R, last name R-A-D-A-K-O-V-I-C, 21 and I'm the general manager of Toro Toro. 22 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, 23 Good morning. All right, this is a fact sir. 24 finding hearing and the background for this case

is that on July 31, 2022, Investigator Condon

reported a patron hit another patron with a bottle in the face. The victim sustained a laceration on their chin. It's unclear when the incident occurred.

MPD reported that the manager on duty was not authorized to view footage. There was also indication that the area where the incident occurred may have been uncleaned, but it has not been clear the establishment knew about the incident.

Now, this is a fact finding hearing.

The Board has made no determination what the next step is. What we are here doing today is to gather the facts.

At the end of this fact finding hearing, the Board will make a determination whether or not we will submit this case for a show cause hearing or whether or not we will make a determination that no further action should be taken.

As Mr. Kline is an attorney, he is aware the Board cannot the licensee to take any specific action at a show cause, I'm sorry, at a fact finding hearing. The Board can ask the licensee to take certain action.

The licensee, however, is free to deny taking those actions, but again, the Board cannot force, order any specific actions at a fact finding hearing because we're just gathering the investigation.

This is informal. None of the parties will be sworn, and so therefore, as I stated before, we are just gathering information.

So, I will state we'll have Mr.

Condon, he provided, he did a case report, so

I'll just ask him to go through his case report.

The Board members can ask questions of the case report.

Once Mr. Condon has presented his case report, I will have some questions I want to ask him and then other Board members are free to ask questions, and then you'll have an opportunity, Mr. Kline, to ask questions of the investigator.

Everyone now understands the process we'll be following this morning. All right, so go ahead, sir. So, can you tell us what is it that you found out as a result of your investigation?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Sure, yes, so on Saturday, July 23, I was able to determine that

the establishment failed to preserve the crime scene, which also led them to destroying the evidence related to the crime scene.

They also operated in a disorderly manner by allowing a female patron to get hit in the face by another female patron that led to a laceration on her left chin.

And I also determined that the establishment failed to provide any video footage to the Metropolitan Police Department within 48 hours of their request at the time of the incident.

On Monday, July 25, at about 2:50 p.m., I interview the ABC manager on duty by phone. His name was Vladimir Popovich. He stated that he saw a female walking about that was kind of tipsy looking as she was leaving and the promoter asked her if she was okay.

Basically, she said that someone had hit her on the head with a bottle and they tried to review footage. He said he was not sure who contacted the police as it relates to the incident, but he said they reviewed footage related to the incident, but couldn't find the incident, and they said that they just couldn't

see anything on the footage that relates to the incident.

He said the incident occurred between 2:00 and 2:30 in the morning on Saturday, July 23, 2022.

On that same day, I interviewed, at 4:50 p.m., I interviewed the responding officer, Tate Cho. Officer Cho stated that the victim was with a female friend and that female friend's boyfriend, and she said that the female friend -- she started dancing with her female friend's boyfriend and that female friend got jealous and ultimately hit her in the head with basically a bottle.

He said that he assisted the victim in the ambulance. He said he wasn't sure who contacted the police, but he said that he was assisting the victim while his field training officer, Officer Givens, entered the establishment to attempt to view footage, but he stated that no footage was available for review because there was no manager available that was authorized to view footage.

On Monday, July 25, at about 5:25 p.m., I interviewed his field training officer,

Matthew Givens. Officer Givens stated that the victim was dancing with her male friend who was the boyfriend of another female that they were all with, and that female got jealous and hit the victim on the face with a bottle or the head area, and he said that no footage was viewed because the general manager was not present to view any of the footage.

Officer Givens stated he attempted to gather what had happened, but he said that overall it was very confusing as the story kept changing. Originally, she fell, and then someone else said that she was hit in the head.

But the promoter that night pointed to where the incident occurred and he said that he noted that was the only place within the establishment that was mopped up, wiped up, and there was no bottle, no broken bottle or anything on the ground. He said it was cleaned up, fully cleaned up.

And he said at the time of interviewing, he had yet to hear from the establishment with any footage of the actual incident.

The next day, I contacted Vladimir

Popovich again to make sure that he did provide footage. He said that he provided footage that night, but they couldn't find anything, and he also provided me the promoter's information. Her name was Melena Mejuscavic, who was there that night.

On that same day at about 3:15 p.m., I interviewed that promoter, Melena Mejuscavic. She advised that as she was walking into the basement of the establishment, she saw two men basically assisting a female and she was in visible pain. She was kind of screaming.

She had told the promoter that she had been hit in the head. She contacted MPD. She called 9-1-1, but she said that the boyfriend wouldn't provide any information about the suspect. The suspect had already departed the establishment.

And she said it was overall very confusing. She was kind of screaming, yelling. She was just overall in a lot of pain and whatnot, but she said the incident occurred down in the basement by the booth area near the bar, I'm sorry, near the deejay booth. There's a booth and then the deejay stand. It happened,

according to her that's where it supposedly had happened.

On that same day at about 7:00 p.m.,
I visited the establishment. I conducted an
inspection. I didn't see any violations with the
inspection. I viewed footage from 2:00 in the
morning until 4:00 in the morning, I'm sorry,
4:30 in the morning on Saturday, July 23, 2022.

I viewed it from two camera angles in the basement by the deejay booth and that booth alleged where the incident happened. I didn't see anything related to that incident at all on the camera angle.

Mr. Mikonovich is who I met with to view the footage. He actually didn't know how to work the system, but he had to contact Vladimir Popovich to gather and to learn how to work the camera system. I took photos of where the incident occurred, which is attached as exhibits as well.

The establishment has no security plan and no settlement agreement, and on that day, Saturday, July 23, I was able to determine the establishment operated in a disorderly manner.

They destroyed evidence related to the incident.

They didn't provide footage within 48 hours to 1 MPD as well. 2 3 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm confused. 4 You said you viewed the video. When did you view 5 the video? INVESTIGATOR CONDON: So, I went on 6 7 Tuesday, July 26, at about 7:00 p.m. I went to the establishment and I looked at footage from 8 9 two angles in the basement, and I didn't see 10 anything related to the incident. 11 I didn't see anyone struck on the head 12 or anything as it relates to that. I didn't see -- I saw it -- for two and a half hours, I was 13 14 looking at footage and did not see the actual 15 incident. 16 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, you're saying -- so again, when did the incident occur 17 18 again? 19 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: According to 20 Vladimir Popovich, between 2:00 a.m. and 2:30 in 21 the morning on Saturday --22 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, the 23 date? 24 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Saturday, July 25 23.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Oh, July 23, 2 and so --3 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Correct. 4 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- the first 5 time you were able to see the video was what date again? 6 7 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: July 26, three 8 days later. 9 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Three days 10 later, and do you know why it took three days for 11 you to see the video? 12 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: I only work 13 Sunday through Thursday, so I was not even 14 working Saturday, and then I got assigned the 15 case on Monday, July 25. 16 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now, do you 17 know why -- and on the 23 when -- who requested 18 video on the 23, do you know? 19 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: The officer, 20 Matthew Givens, who I interviewed. He was on 21 scene with Officer Tate Cho, and Officer Givens 22 requested footage inside the establishment. 23 said nobody was on site, no manager that had 24 authorized access to view footage could provide

the footage to him on site.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, do you know 1 2 whether or not he ever received the video? 3 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: At the time of interviewing him on Monday, July 25, over 48 4 5 hours, he had not heard back from the establishment. I'm not sure if it's happened 6 7 after, but at the time of interviewing, no 8 footage was provided to him. 9 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And you're 10 saying that -- so are you aware of where in the 11 establishment did this incident occur? 12 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Through the 13 interviewing of everyone, Melena Mejuscavic and 14 Vladimir Popovich, the incident supposed happened 15 in the basement by the booth area near the deejay 16 stand in the basement, and that's the camera 17 angle that I requested to view because that's the 18 information that I had as to allegedly where the 19 incident occurred. 20 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And were you 21 able to substantiate that through the video that 22 was provided to you at a later date? 23 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: No, I was not. 24 On July 26, I did not -- I saw people dancing and

normal bar activity, but I did not see anyone

struck in the head, in the face.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions

by any Board members? Are you asking a question, Mr. Short? Yes, go ahead, Mr. Short.

MEMBER SHORT: Yes, I'd like to ask a question if I could. Investigator Condon, thank you for your report. And the charges today that we are investigating today for this fact finding, you stated that you talked with the police officers how soon after the incident occurred?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: I talked to the officers two days, both officers Monday, July 25, two days after the incident as that's the date that I was, I was assigned the case that day. I was not working the day of the actual incident.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay, that's clear, and so it's also your testimony that the MPD officers requested footage the same night of the incident, is that correct?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: That's correct,
Mr. Short. Matthew Givens requested footage when
he went. He said that they couldn't review
footage and they wanted footage of it.

MEMBER SHORT: And to this date, to your knowledge, had any video been turned over to

MPD or ABRA?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: As of Monday,
July 25 at 5:25 when I interviewed him, there was
none. In terms of after that date, I am unaware
if it's ever been provided after that date, but
Monday, July 25, at the time of interviewing, no
footage was provided.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: To whom?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: To Matthew

Givens, MPD.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And Mr. Short had asked you what about ABRA?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: I went the next day on July 26 and viewed it myself, which, but it was MPD's request that they did not provide within 48 hours.

MEMBER SHORT: And so, when you viewed the video, were there any spots in the club with the cameras that you were unable to access or were you able to see the whole club?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Yes, I don't know exactly how many cameras they had. I remember they had a decent amount per their camera, their screen. I viewed two just for, one, because that's where the incident allegedly

occurred, and two, just for time purposes.

I viewed two, but if I wanted to go through the entire first floor basement, I believe they had camera footage for the vast majority of the establishment, Mr. Short.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay, now I guess what I want to ask also is there was -- who was in charge of the club that night when the incident occurred?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Mr. Vladimir
Popovich was the ABC manager on duty during the
time of the incident.

MEMBER SHORT: And there was also mention that someone had, they also had a third party who was part of the management that night or renting out portions of the club, is that correct?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Yeah, I don't believe they rented it out. I think they had just a promoter that evening, Melena Mejuscavic, and she was doing an event that night, correct.

MEMBER SHORT: So, I guess what I'm trying to find out, was the promoter in charge of things that night or was it under the direction of a management, or ABC manager, or owner that

night?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: It was under the direction of the establishment. She was not controlling the establishment. She was just doing -- she was, I believe, deejaying, I believe, that night in the basement.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay, so the person who was injured, did they ever file a report?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: So, her name was not in the report, the MPD report. I don't know. The suspect information was not gathered. She left the scene, so the suspect information was not given.

The name of the victim was given, but no contact information for the victim. I don't know why MPD did not obtain that, or they did and did not put it in the report.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay, thank you for your extra report, Investigator, and thank you for much. That's all I have, Mr. Chair.

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Thank you, Mr.

Short.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Short. Any other questions by any other Board members? Mr. Kline, do you have any questions

1	you would like to ask the investigator?
2	MR. KLINE: Yes, I do. Thank you, Mr.
3	Chair. Good morning, Investigator.
4	INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Good morning.
5	MR. KLINE: So, I mean, one of the
6	issues that you were concerned with is failure to
7	provide video footage, correct?
8	INVESTIGATOR CONDON: That, and as
9	well as the actual incident as a whole, but yes,
10	they didn't provide footage, and the failure to
11	preserve the crime scene as well was a concern
12	according to Matthew Givens.
13	MR. KLINE: Okay, the requirement is
14	that the video be provided within 48 hours of
15	request, correct?
16	INVESTIGATOR CONDON: That's correct.
17	MR. KLINE: And you were, indeed,
18	provided video within 48 hours of your request,
19	right?
20	INVESTIGATOR CONDON: I was. MPD was
21	not.
22	MR. KLINE: Okay, now if that's the
23	violation, it would be important to know when MPD
24	made the request, right?
25	INVESTIGATOR CONDON: At the time of

the incident. 1 2 MR. KLINE: No, I'm asking you it 3 would be important to note when MPD made the 4 request, correct? 5 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Correct. MR. KLINE: That's an important part 6 7 of -- if you're contending that that's a 8 violation, it's important to know when the 9 request was made, correct? 10 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: The request was 11 made --12 MR. KLINE: No, I'm not asking you when the request was made. I'm asking you if you 13 14 agree that, in looking at this issue, it's 15 important to know when the request was made and 16 to note when the request was made, correct? 17 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: That's correct, 18 yes. 19 MR. KLINE: All right, so looking at 20 your report, can you show me where it is you 21 noted when MPD made a request for video? 22 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: MPD told me, 23 Officer Givens told me he made a request at the 24 time of the incident, which according to the CCN

report is 2:45 to 3:00 in the morning.

MR. KLINE: You didn't answer my 1 2 question. My question to you is where in the 3 report -- we agree that it's important to note 4 when the request is made so that we can establish 5 whether the video was provided within 48 hours, 6 correct? 7 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: That's correct. 8 MR. KLINE: All right, so where is it 9 in your report? Look at your report and tell us 10 where it is that you noted a request for video 11 was made? 12 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: I did not 13 specify it in the report, but he told me that on 14 the phone, that at the time of the incident when 15 he was there, he went inside the establishment to 16 look at footage and no one was there to provide 17 the footage. 18 MR. KLINE: But you didn't put that in 19 your report even though he had --20 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: I put that in 21 the report that he went into the establishment 22 and was trying to find video footage. 23 MR. KLINE: All right, now --24 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: And he said it 25 was not available. And he said it was available

according to Vladimir Popovich. It was available in the upcoming week.

MR. KLINE: Okay.

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: So, that would be the time of the request, and according to the time, I was going by the CCN report, MPD's report as to the time of the incident, that's when we can assume he was making that request.

MR. KLINE: Well, but you're an investigator. You're doing a report. You're doing an investigation and you're contending that, and you've argued, and I don't know why, that this licensee is in violation of at least three sections of the ABC statute.

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Correct.

MR. KLINE: So, I'm not really sure -may I finish, please? I'm not sure why you're
arguing that. It seems to me your job is to
investigate, but that's what you've done.

You've come before the Board and you're arguing that they're in violation, and we agree that one of the components of a violation of failing to provide video footage is noting when the request occurred, correct?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: That's correct,

Mr. Kline, but I feel that I have adequately shown when the request was made even though it's not specifically stated in my report.

MR. KLINE: Now, in your discussions with both the deejay/promoter and the police, it's pretty clear there was quite a bit of confusion as to what went on, correct?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: That is correct.

MR. KLINE: All right, now you also said pretty clearly that it's your contention that evidence in the control of the establishment was destroyed. What evidence are you contending was destroyed?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: According to Matthew Givens, Officer Matthew Givens, he went into the establishment and asked where the incident occurred. He was pointed to the downstairs booth area.

He said it was the only area within the establishment that was wiped up, cleaned up.

There was no bottle on the ground, nothing, and it was the only thing that he said was cleaned up. That's according to Officer Matthew Givens -

MR. KLINE: Okay.

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: -- who was on 1 2 the scene at the time of the incident. 3 MR. KLINE: But since that time, you've had an opportunity to view a video of that 4 5 area of the establishment, correct? INVESTIGATOR CONDON: That is correct. 6 7 MR. KLINE: And you didn't see any 8 incident in that area, did you? 9 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: That is correct. 10 MR. KLINE: So, I'm going to ask the 11 question I asked before now in light of that. 12 What evidence do you contend was destroyed? 13 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: I'm going by 14 Matthew Givens' verbal statements, and that's, as 15 ABRA investigators, we rely a lot on MPD and what 16 their statements say. So, according to all the 17 confusion --18 MR. KLINE: Do you give that more 19 credibility than the video that you watched with 20 your own eyes? 21 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Well, according 22 to you, Mr. Kline, as well, there was a lot of 23 confusion, and maybe there was confusion that 24 advised Officer Matthew Givens of where it 25 happened, but he said that there was incident

that occurred and he said it was the only area 1 2 that was cleaned up according to --3 MR. KLINE: All right. INVESTIGATOR CONDON: -- Officer 4 Matthew Givens' statement. 5 MR. KLINE: So, let's assume that's 6 7 correct. Your statement was not that anything 8 was cleaned up. You said evidence was destroyed. 9 What evidence is it that you contend was 10 destroyed? 11 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: According to 12 Officer Matthew Givens, the incident happened 13 downstairs and it was the only area cleaned up, 14 the booth area by the deejay board, so that would 15 be the evidence that would be destroyed if they 16 only cleaned up just the remnants of the assault. 17 MR. KLINE: So, what was the evidence? 18 You keep telling me what --19 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: A broken bottle. 20 He didn't say any -- he didn't specify evidence. 21 He said it was the only area that was wiped up. 22 He said the floor was mopped and the table was 23 It was the only area within the cleared. 24 establishment at that time that was mopped and

cleaned.

What evidence, I don't know. It could be blood, a broken bottle, I'm not sure, but he said it was mopped, the floor was mopped and he said overall it was cleaned up.

MR. KLINE: Okay, but in your view of the video, there wasn't any incident that you observed that would have caused any blood or

anything else to be there, was there?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: That's correct. From those two hours between, I'm sorry, two and a half, 2:00 to 4:30 on Saturday, July 23, from those angles, I did not see anything. That's correct.

MR. KLINE: Thank you. I have no further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any follow-up questions by any Board members? I guess what I - - Mr. Kline, who told you that it appeared that - - who gave you a location of where the incident might have occurred?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: The promoter,

Melena Mejuscavic, as well as Vladimir Popovich

stated -- according to Vladimir Popovich, it

happened downstairs near the bathroom area, which

presumably is near the deejay booth and the booth

there, and that's also where Ms. Mejuscavic 1 provided the information. She was the first one 2 3 to assist the victim from the establishment. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 4 And you reviewed the video, but you did not see -- when 5 you reviewed the video, you did not see any 6 incident, is that correct? 7 8 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: That's correct, 9 yes. 10 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Did there 11 appear to be any blind spot in that area that 12 wasn't captured by the camera? 13 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: I didn't see any 14 blind spots. No, I did not. 15 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay, all 16 right, thank you. All right, Mr. Kline? 17 MR. KLINE: Yes, sir? 18 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I mean, either 19 you or your client can inform us. I mean, it's 20 like what happened that night? And these are the 21 questions that I'm curious about. I have a bunch 22 of questions. I'll just generally let you know 23 what my concerns are. 24 What happened that night? What's the 25 establishment's process for preserving a crime

scene? What's the establishment's process of turning video over to either ABRA or MPD? Yeah, and, you know, what happened? And if this incident occurred, why was it not on camera?

MR. KLINE: Okay, so as the report states on its face, there was a lot of confusion as to exactly what occurred or what did not occur.

There's not a single person that witnessed what at least one person contends happened, which is that this woman was struck, so it's really unclear. If you look at the report on its face in terms of the interviews, there's no clarity in terms of what happened.

If it's not on the video, then there certainly appears to be some question as to exactly what happened. In our investigation, we haven't been able to discover anything else in terms of, you know, what the incident was, how it occurred, or what happened.

I think the Board has heard many, many cases in establishments. Sometimes things happen. This was not a situation -- this appears to be, at least according to the only witness testimony we have, which is from the victim and a

friend who refused to give their names, and refused to file a complaint, and a number of other things that call things into question, but a dispute among two women and a man, one of whom, one of the women was the man's boyfriend and he was dancing with the other woman, and according to them, that's what happened. We don't have any explanation as to why that's not on video if, indeed, that is what happened.

As the investigator has pointed out and as my client has informed me, there is coverage, adequate coverage of the public areas of the establishment, and nothing was noted in terms of anything happening.

So, in terms of the incident, we know as much as is in the report. You will see in the report that there were efforts to get medical aid to the person that was in distress, and that was done and that was handled.

The video, according to our witnesses, was made available that night, and it certainly, at worst, was made available within 72 hours to the ABC investigator, and certainly was made available to him within 24 hours of his request.

So, I don't think we see any major failures here

in terms of the establishment's responsibilities.

And in terms of a crime scene, as, Mr. Chair, you said at the outset, one only knows not to clean up a crime scene if they know it's a crime scene, and at this point, even after the fact, there seems to be insufficient evidence that this was a crime scene because there's nothing on the video.

So, I think in sum, what we have here is just one of these incidents that occurs. The person that was injured was attended to. The video was provided and one can argue that it was a day late in terms of MPD. It was made available to the investigator.

It's interesting that there's no -you know, we hear MPD didn't have it. I don't
know why ABRA wouldn't have immediately turned it
over to MPD if that were an issue, but the fact
of the matter is there's nothing to see. I mean,
there's nothing on the video, so what happened
here, we're not exactly clear.

So, I don't know that we see any failures. I think the video was promptly provided. We are looking at the video procedures to make sure there is no confusion in the future

in terms of making sure that it is available within 48 hours, and that staff is aware that even if there is no one there that evening, that MPD or an ABRA investigator should be advised that they will be contacted the next day and provided access to the video.

We think that addresses the only issue that we see with respect to this matter, and we would request that the Board look at the report and what's contended here, and that there was confusion in terms of nobody really knows what went on and follow-up was difficult because of the refusal of the parties to give their identities or the identity of the person that left that apparently was the perpetrator.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Kline, approximately how many cameras does this establishment have if you are aware?

MR. KLINE: I'm going to punt that one to Igor. Igor, how many cameras are there?

MR. RADAKOVIC: Around 30.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, you have -there are around 30 cameras in the establishment.

And Mr. Kline, shouldn't your client be concerned
that an incident occurred inside of the

establishment and you have no video footage of this incident?

MR. KLINE: Well, we don't know, you know, we don't know what occurred. I mean, to presume that an incident occurred because of the statement of a person who refused to identify the party involved, I think, is suspect.

I mean, we just don't know what went on, and video was reviewed and nothing was found. So, I understand if there were, you know, witnesses before us who said yeah, we saw it all, and we don't have it on video.

I would understand the Board's concern, but absent that, simply based upon the word of a person who is so sketchy that won't even give the name of the person involved, I really have to question what standard the applicant is being held here to.

(Simultaneous speaking.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, who was asking a question? Mr. Grandis, yes, sir?

MEMBER GRANDIS: I apologize. He just made a statement. I just wanted to get clarification, but I can wait until you're done.
I apologize.

1	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr.
2	Grandis. You can ask whatever question you want
3	to ask.
4	MEMBER GRANDIS: Good morning, Mr.
5	Kline.
6	MR. KLINE: Good morning.
7	MEMBER GRANDIS: It's a pleasure to
8	have you here to give us your client's
9	understanding of what occurred. I'm going back
10	to the testimony of our investigator, who cited
11	the investigation report, and it says here
12	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on. Mr.
13	Grandis, hold on one minute. Mr. Radakovic, are
14	you moving? Are you driving, walking? What are
15	you doing, sir?
16	MR. RADAKOVIC: I mean, like walking
17	back and forth in one place.
18	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I need
19	you, sir, to be stationary, sir. It is somewhat
20	distracting, so
21	MR. RADAKOVIC: Okay.
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I need you
23	to be stationary for the duration of this matter.
24	MR. RADAKOVIC: Okay.
25	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay, sir?

1 MR. RADAKOVIC: Yes. 2 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, 3 thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Grandis. MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you, Mr. 4 5 Chairman. The investigator, I'm sorry, yeah, Mr. Condon read excerpts of the investigation report, 6 7 and in it, he cited the promoter originally stated that the female fell on her own. 8 9 There was no general manager present 10 who was authorized to view the security camera 11 footage, but the promoter for the evening pointed 12 to where the incident had occurred. 13 So, I think it's clear that the 14 incident occurred inside the premises and I think 15 you were not clear that you had heard that, is 16 that right, Mr. Kline? 17 There are other statements MR. KLINE: 18 that said it was overall confusing as she heard many different stories about what had happened, 19 20 so --21 MEMBER GRANDIS: That's not -- Mr. 22 Kline, I'm going to the direct statement of the 23 promoter. He or she does not seem confused. 24 yes, other people may be confused, but this

particular person who has stated in the report

1	clearly said that he could point out where the
2	incident had occurred.
3	MR. KLINE: Are we talking about the
4	same person? Are we talking about Melena
5	Mejuscavic?
6	MEMBER GRANDIS: I don't know. I'm
7	talking about I'm reading the language of the
8	promoter.
9	MR. KLINE: Right, as am I. On page
10	two, five lines from the bottom, Ms. Mejuscavic
11	stated it was overall confusing as she heard many
12	different stories about what had happened.
13	MEMBER GRANDIS: That's different than
14	where it happened, okay? There may be confusion
15	
16	MR. KLINE: Well, I understand, but
17	there isn't
18	MEMBER GRANDIS: There may be
19	MR. KLINE: She doesn't say that she
20	saw it. She says that she saw a girl being held
21	by two men. So, I think that if we had
22	MEMBER GRANDIS: Mister, you're being
23	selective, Mr. Kline, and I don't want to be
24	MR. KLINE: I'm not being selective,
25	Mr. Grandis, with all due respect.

1	MEMBER GRANDIS: Hold on, Mr. Kline.
2	MR. KLINE: You're saying
3	MEMBER GRANDIS: I'm done on this
4	question.
5	(Simultaneous speaking.)
6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Gentlemen,
7	gentlemen, gentlemen.
8	MR. KLINE: And the fact of the matter
9	is she says that she heard many different stories
10	as to what happened. Certainly one of them was
11	likely it happened over there, but in terms of
12	what happened, we don't have a clear story as to
13	what happened.
14	MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you. Thank
15	you, Mr. Kline. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right,
17	thank you, Mr. Grandis. Any other questions by
18	any other Board members? Mr. Kline, would you
19	client consider does your client have a
20	security plan?
21	MR. KLINE: No, they do not, and we
22	would and I'm sorry that I didn't even get
23	there because we never got there, but we would
24	certainly agree that it would be appropriate that
25	the establishment create and file with the Board

a statutory compliance security plan. 1 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can the 2 3 establishment file such a plan with the Board 4 within the next 30 days? 5 MR. KLINE: Yes, yes, sir, absolutely. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, 6 7 well, thank you. Now, it's my understanding the 8 establishment is voluntarily agreeing to file a 9 security plan with the Board within the next 30 10 days. 11 MR. KLINE: Yes, sir. We're very 12 clear on that. 13 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now, I'm not 14 sure if -- does the -- what type of training is 15 provided to staff to ensure that over service 16 does not occur? Are you aware of that or can you 17 client provide that information? 18 MR. KLINE: Igor, you can provide 19 that. 20 MR. RADAKOVIC: Yes, so all of the 21 managers are TIPS certified, or the late night 22 manager, they finish the security training 23 provided by late night agencies. I just need to 24 remember the name, and then also --25 MR. KLINE: Is it Robert Smith, Igor?

1 MR. RADAKOVIC: Yes, yes, correct, 2 Robert Smith's late night agency, and we do TIPS 3 certified training for our bartenders as well. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now, what night 4 of the week was this that this incident occurred, 5 specifically what night? 6 7 MR. KLINE: Friday night, Saturday 8 morning. 9 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Friday night, 10 Saturday, so what's the capacity of the 11 establishment and approximately how many security 12 personnel do you have during this period of time? 13 MR. KLINE: Igor? 14 MR. RADAKOVIC: The capacity 15 downstairs is 200, I'm sorry, 180, and we do have 16 in the establishment eight security, and then 17 after -- yeah, eight security. 18 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You're saying 19 eight security for the 200 downstairs or how is 20 it? How many floors is the establishment? 21 MR. RADAKOVIC: There is a ground 22 level, and then from the ground level, you go 23 into the basement, and the basement is where the 24 dance floor is and the deejay booth, so the

capacity of downstairs is 180.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 1 180, and so 2 you're saying that there's approximately eight 3 security personnel for the 200, which is the capacity of your certificate of occupancy? 4 5 MR. RADAKOVIC: Yes. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 6 I'm sorry, any 7 other questions by any other Board members? 8 MEMBER GRANDIS: Mr. Chairman? 9 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr. Grandis? 10 11 MEMBER GRANDIS: Yes, at this time, is 12 it -- have I missed the opportunity to ask a 13 question of the investigator? 14 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You can ask a 15 question of the investigator, Mr. Grandis. 16 MEMBER GRANDIS: Investigator Condon, 17 thank you for your testimony today and the 18 presentation you made. You made a statement that 19 you did go back and were able to view footage of 20 various cameras in the establishment, but that 21 you could not, you did not see footage of the 22 incident itself? 23 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: That's correct, 24 Mr. Grandis. I saw video footage from two angles 25 where the incident allegedly occurred and I did

not see anything in those hours. I mean, there was a decent amount of people in the footage and I just, I didn't see anyone struck in the head by any bottle. I didn't see anything like that from those two angles.

MEMBER GRANDIS: I believe the owner testified just now that there are 30 cameras in that establishment. That's what I think I heard. What I just heard you say, am I correct that you stated that you believe that you were looking at a camera or cameras that were directed at that particular location near the deejay booth?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: That's correct, Mr. Grandis, yes, two angles that were showing what I believe -- correct, and I also visited the establishment, so I did verify it looked to be the area where it allegedly occurred.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Did you look at the timestamp of those to see if perhaps the camera maybe malfunctioned or maybe there was some tampering that, because of the exact time, that footage wasn't available?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: I didn't see any, Mr. Grandis, of any tampering. I just, I couldn't see anything. There was, again, there

was a decent amount of people in that basement standing together and whatnot. Could something have happened? Of course, something could have, but I didn't see anything on the footage, but I didn't view any other footage.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Would you think the investigators would be able if they go ahead and voluntarily do the security plan? Because 30 cameras sounds like a sufficient number for a --

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Right.

MEMBER GRANDIS: -- basement and a first floor. Would you believe you all have expertise to help maybe make sure how they're angled and where they're located? Because it does seem that an incident did occur and perhaps maybe changing the angle of a camera would be helpful to the owner as well as to investigators.

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Yes, that's something that, that would definitely be helpful as well, and I think there were, I believe Mr. Kline or the owner said there was eight, is that correct, eight security present that night? Was it eight?

MR. KLINE: Right.

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: So, you know, I

don't know, maybe more security guards present to really notice so they could be like this is exactly what happened. Because nobody other than the promoter really was aware of the incident when it really occurred, which the promoter is not an employee of the establishment, so if -- (Simultaneous speaking.)

MEMBER GRANDIS: How many times did you visit this facility?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Just that one time on Tuesday, July 26 about 7:00 p.m.

MEMBER GRANDIS: 7:00 p.m., and were they open?

INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Yes, they were open. The basement was not in use at that time. It was -- so basically on Fridays and Saturdays, what I learned through Vladimir Popovich as well as Zarko Mikonovich, on Fridays and Saturdays they do kind of like a, I don't want to say a nightclub, but they turn it more into a nightclub, but on weekdays, it's closed by 8:00 p.m. It's very restaurant like. So, when I was there, it was very restaurant like because it was a Tuesday night.

MEMBER GRANDIS: So, from my

understanding, if I'm understanding you 1 2 correctly, the basement level is not used except 3 for Fridays and Saturdays and --INVESTIGATOR CONDON: I believe so, 4 5 yes. MEMBER GRANDIS: Okay, and the area 6 7 that is used, which would be the first floor, is 8 performing like a CR, which is what their license 9 says, and they have a menu and that they actually 10 close early? 11 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Correct. 12 MEMBER GRANDIS: Are they open for 13 lunch? Would you know? 14 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: I'm not sure, 15 but I did verify on Fridays, Saturdays, I made 16 sure that their kitchen is open, so they do serve 17 food on those Fridays and Saturdays until at 18 least two hours before closing, so I did verify 19 that. I think they serve small plates, so 20 they're still cooking and whatnot as they are 21 required by the CR. 22 MEMBER GRANDIS: I want to thank you 23 for your testimony. Thank you very much. 24 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Thank you. 25 MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions, 2 Mr. Kline, based on those questions that were 3 asked by Mr. Grandis? MR. KLINE: No, I don't have any. 4 5 Thank you. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions 6 7 by any other Board members? Any final comments 8 you want to make, Mr. Condon? 9 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: No, I think, you 10 know, I think a security plan is appropriate, but 11 I think the big thing too is, the concern too is 12 that the only person that really kind of saw what 13 happened was not even an employee of the 14 establishment. No security noticed it, none of 15 the eight. 16 Ms. Mejuscavic, as I stated, I don't 17 believe is an employee, so I think that's 18 definitely a concern that the only one that 19 noticed it isn't an employee and the only one 20 that called 9-1-1 is a non-employee. 21 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, 22 thank you, sir. Mr. Kline, any final comments 23 you want to make? 24 I mean, yeah, I just have MR. KLINE: 25 to say that, I mean, it's not clear that she saw

it from the report. It seems to reflect that it's based upon what was told to her by others.

She never says -- and if that's the information that was available, it should have been fleshed out in the report. I mean, there isn't any information here that she saw it. So, it's really unclear as to what happened here.

We agree it's troubling that someone would get injured in the establishment and that they would claim that this is what happened, but somehow nobody noticed it and it's not visible to anyone. Yeah, we find that very troubling.

I'm not sure what the solution to it is, but I would submit to the Board that the fact that those involved refused really to cooperate in any sort of investigation tells some sort of story.

I don't know what it is and I don't pretend to know what it is, but if someone were injured in the establishment, it would seem to me that they would cooperate with those that were investigating, and cooperate with MPD really, and wouldn't provide the information as to who was involved.

It's all very suspicious, not on the

part of the establishment. I think the establishment has been forthcoming about what it knew, and I think that Ms. Mejuscavic's statement that it was overall confusing as she heard many different stories about what happened means that we don't really know what happened.

And I'm not sure we even are sure where it happened, and maybe if one spent hours and hours and hours looking at the tapes from 30 different cameras, maybe we'd find out.

But in the absence of that, we would ask the Board not to assume the worst, not to speculate, that there was a reasonable effort to cooperate, both with MPD and with the investigator, and that the big issue, which was someone was injured, that was attended to rather quickly. An ambulance was called and they were there.

However, the applicant will, as we've promised to you, submit within 30 days a security plan so that it is clear and the Board is clear as to how an incident like this might be handled in the future.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you very much, Mr. Kline, and I am concerned and you raise

the same concern that an incident did occur, and 1 2 although the establishment has over 30 cameras, 3 it's not apparent that this was captured by 4 cameras, so this is something that the 5 establishment needs to be concerned about. All right, the Board will take this 6 7 matter under consideration and we will make a determination whether or not we will refer this 8 9 matter to the Office of the Attorney General if we determine that there is some legal violation 10 11 or no further action if the Board determines that 12 no further action is necessary. 13 But as we have stated, the one 14 agreement that we do have here is that your 15 client will provide to the Board within the next 16 30 days a legally compliant security plan, all 17 right? 18 MR. KLINE: Yes, sir. 19 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you very 20 much. 21 Thank you, Mr. Chairman MR. KLINE: 22 and members of the Board. 23 INVESTIGATOR CONDON: Thank you. 24 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 25 went off the record at 11:53 a.m.)

A-N-D-R- 3:14 a.m 2:2 11:20 46:25 **ABC** 6:14 16:11,25 21:14 28:23 **able** 5:25 10:23 12:5 13:21 15:20 27:18 38:19 40:7 above-entitled 46:24 **ABRA** 1:20,22 15:1,12 23:15 27:2 29:17 30:4 absence 45:11 **absent** 31:14 absolutely 36:5 access 2:10,11,12 12:24 15:19 30:6 action 4:19,23,25 46:11 46:12 actions 5:2,3 activity 13:25 actual 8:23 11:14 14:15 18:9 addresses 30:7 adequate 28:12 adequately 22:1 advised 9:9 23:24 30:4 agencies 36:23 agency 37:2 agree 19:14 20:3 21:22 35:24 44:8 agreeing 36:8 agreement 10:22 46:14 ahead 5:21 14:4 32:1 33:3 40:7 aid 28:17 Alcoholic 1:2,13 **ALIYA** 1:17 alleged 10:11 allegedly 13:18 15:25 38:25 39:17 allowing 6:5 **ambulance** 7:16 45:17 amount 15:23 39:2 40:1 **ANC** 1:7 **Anderson** 1:14,16 2:3 2:14,19,22,24 3:2,10 3:16,22 11:3,16,22 12:1,4,9,16 13:1,9,20 14:2 15:8,11 17:23 25:16 26:4,10,15,18 30:16,22 31:20 32:1 32:12,18,22,25 33:2 35:6,16 36:2,6,13 37:4,9,18 38:1,6,9,14 43:1,6,21 45:24 46:19 **Andrew** 1:21 2:10 3:14 angle 10:13 13:17

angled 40:14 angles 10:9 11:9 25:12 38:24 39:5,14 answer 20:1 **apologize** 31:22,25 apparent 46:3 apparently 30:15 appear 26:11 appeared 25:18 appears 27:16,23 **applicant** 31:18 45:19 appropriate 35:24 43:10 approximately 30:17 37:11 38:2 area 4:7 8:6 9:23 13:15 22:18,19 23:5,8 24:1 24:13,14,21,23 25:24 26:11 39:17 42:6 areas 28:12 argue 29:12 argued 21:12 arguing 21:18,21 asked 6:18 15:12 22:16 23:11 43:3 asking 14:3 19:2.12.13 31:21 assault 1:9 24:16 assigned 12:14 14:14 **assist** 26:3 assisted 7:15 assisting 7:18 9:11 **assume** 21:8 24:6 45:12 attached 10:19 attempt 7:20 attempted 8:9 attended 29:11 45:16 attorney 4:21 46:9 authorized 4:6 7:23 12:24 33:10 available 7:21,22 20:25 20:25 21:1 28:21,22 28:24 29:14 30:1 39:22 44:4 aware 4:22 13:10 30:2 30:18 36:16 41:4

В

back 13:5 32:9,17 38:19 background 3:24 bar 9:23 13:25 bartenders 37:3 based 31:14 43:2 44:2 basement 9:10,23 10:10 11:9 13:15,16 16:3 17:6 37:23,23 40:1,11 41:15 42:2

basically 6:19 7:13 9:11 41:16 bathroom 25:24 **believe** 16:4,19 17:5,6 39:6,10,15 40:12,20 42:4 43:17 **Beverage** 1:2,13 **big** 43:11 45:15 bit 22:6 **blind** 26:11,14 **blood** 25:2,7 **board** 1:2,13 3:14 4:12 4:16,22,24 5:2,12,16 14:3 17:24 21:20 24:14 25:17 27:21 30:9 35:18,25 36:3,9 38:7 43:7 44:14 45:12 45:21 46:6,11,15,22 **Board's** 2:8 31:13 **booth** 9:23,24,25 10:10 10:10 13:15 22:18 24:14 25:25,25 37:24 39:12 bottle 4:2 6:20 7:14 8:5 8:18.18 22:21 24:19 25:2 39:4 **bottom** 34:10 **boyfriend** 7:10,12 8:3 9:15 28:5 **broken** 8:18 24:19 25:2 bunch 26:21

3:8 C-O-N-D-O-N 3:9 call 28:3 called 9:15 43:20 45:17 camera 2:16 10:9,13,18 13:16 15:24 16:4 26:12 27:4 33:10 39:11,19 40:16 cameras 15:19,22 30:17,20,23 38:20 39:7,11 40:9 45:10 46:2,4 capacity 37:10,14,25 38:4 captured 26:12 46:3 case 1:8 2:4,5,7 3:24 4:17 5:10,11,12,14 12:15 14:14 cases 27:22 cause 4:18,23 caused 25:7 **CCN** 19:24 21:6 certain 4:25 certainly 27:16 28:21

28:23 35:10.24 certificate 38:4 **certified** 36:21 37:3 Chair 17:20 18:3 29:3 42:25 **Chairman** 2:13 3:13 33:5 35:15 38:8 46:21 Chairperson 1:13,16 2:3,14,19,22,24 3:2 3:10,16,22 11:3,16,22 12:1,4,9,16 13:1,9,20 14:2 15:8,11 17:23 25:16 26:4,10,15,18 30:16,22 31:20 32:1 32:12,18,22,25 33:2 35:6,16 36:2,6,13 37:4,9,18 38:1,6,9,14 43:1,6,21 45:24 46:19 **changing** 8:12 40:16 **charge** 16:8,23 charges 14:7 **chin** 4:3 6:7 **Cho** 7:8,8 12:21 Christopher 1:20 2:11 3:8 cited 32:10 33:7 **claim** 44:10 clarification 31:24 clarity 27:14 **clean** 29:4 cleaned 8:19.20 22:20 22:22 24:2,8,13,16,25 25:4 clear 4:9 14:16 22:6 29:21 33:13,15 35:12 36:12 43:25 45:21,21 cleared 24:23 clearly 22:10 34:1 client 26:19 28:11 30:24 35:19,19 36:17 46:15 client's 32:8 **close** 42:10 closed 41:21 closing 42:18 **club** 15:18,20 16:8,16 COLUMBIA 1:1 come 21:20 **comments** 43:7,22 complaint 28:2 compliance 36:1 compliant 46:16 components 21:22 concern 18:11 31:14 43:11,18 46:1 concerned 18:6 30:24 45:25 46:5

40:16

concerns 26:23

EDWARD 1:17 Condon 1:20 2:11.15 female 6:5,6,16 7:9,9 D 3:7,8,9,25 5:10,14,24 **effort** 45:13 7:10,11,12 8:3,4 9:11 dance 37:24 11:6,19,24 12:3,7,12 **efforts** 28:17 33:8 dancing 7:11 8:2 13:24 eight 37:16,17,19 38:2 12:19 13:3,12,23 14:6 field 7:18,25 28:6 14:11,20 15:2,9,13,21 40:21,22,23 43:15 file 17:8 28:2 35:25 36:3 date 11:23 12:5 13:22 16:10,18 17:2,9,21 either 26:18 27:2 36:8 14:13,24 15:4,5 18:4,8,16,20,25 19:5 elevate 2:7 final 43:7,22 day 7:6 8:25 9:7 10:3.22 19:10,17,22 20:7,12 **elevated** 2:10,11,12 **find** 6:24 9:3 16:23 14:14,15 15:14 29:13 20:20,24 21:4,15,25 20:22 44:12 45:10 **employee** 41:6 43:13 22:8,14 23:1,6,9,13 43:17,19 finding 1:7 2:4 3:24 days 12:8,9,10 14:12,13 23:21 24:4,11,19 25:9 **ensure** 36:15 4:11,15,24 5:4 14:8 36:4,10 45:20 46:16 25:21 26:8,13 33:6 entered 7:19 fine 2:22,24 **DC** 1:6,20,22 38:16,23 39:13,23 **entire** 16:3 finish 21:17 36:22 decent 15:23 39:2 40:1 first 12:4 16:3 26:2 40:10,18,25 41:10,14 establish 20:4 deejay 9:24,25 10:10 42:4,11,14,24 43:8,9 establishment 4:9 6:1,9 40:12 42:7 13:15 24:14 25:25 46:23 7:20 8:17,23 9:10,18 **five** 34:10 37:24 39:12 conducted 10:4 10:4,21,24 11:8 12:22 fleshed 44:5 deejay/promoter 22:5 confused 11:3 33:23,24 floor 16:3 24:22 25:3 13:6,11 16:5 17:3,4 deejaying 17:5 confusing 8:11 9:20 20:15,21 22:11,16,20 37:24 40:12 42:7 definitely 40:19 43:18 33:18 34:11 45:4 floors 37:20 23:5 24:24 26:3 28:13 **deny** 5:1 confusion 22:7 23:17 30:18,23 31:1 35:25 **follow-up** 25:16 30:12 departed 9:17 23:23,23 27:6 29:25 following 5:20 36:3,8 37:11,16,20 Department 6:10 30:11 34:14 38:20 39:8,16 41:6 food 42:17 destroyed 10:25 22:12 consider 35:19 43:14 44:9,20 45:1,2 footage 4:6 6:9,21,23 22:13 23:12 24:8,10 consideration 46:7 46:2.5 7:1,20,21,23 8:6,8,23 24:15 contact 10:16 17:15 establishment's 26:25 9:2,2 10:6,15 11:1,8 destroying 6:2 contacted 6:22 7:17 27:1 29:1 11:14 12:22.24.25 determination 4:12,16 8:25 9:14 30:5 establishments 27:22 13:8 14:18,21,23,23 4:19 46:8 contend 23:12 24:9 evening 16:20 30:3 15:7 16:4 18:7,10 **determine** 5:25 10:23 contended 30:10 33:11 20:16,17,22 21:23 46:10 **contending** 19:7 21:11 event 16:21 31:1 33:11 38:19,21 determined 6:8 22:12 evidence 6:3 10:25 38:24 39:2,22 40:4,5 determines 46:11 contends 27:10 22:11,12 23:12 24:8,9 **force** 5:3 different 33:19 34:12 contention 22:10 24:15,17,20 25:1 29:6 forth 32:17 34:13 35:9 45:5,10 control 1:2,13 22:11 exact 39:21 forthcoming 45:2 difficult 30:12 controlling 17:4 exactly 15:22 27:7,17 **found** 5:22 31:9 direct 33:22 cooking 42:20 29:21 41:3 free 5:1,16 directed 39:11 **cooperate** 44:15,21,22 excerpts 33:6 **Friday** 37:7,9 direction 16:24 17:3 45:14 exhibits 10:19 Fridays 41:16,18 42:3 discover 27:18 **correct** 12:3 14:19,20 expertise 40:13 42:15,17 discussions 22:4 16:17,21 18:7,15,16 explanation 28:8 friend 7:9,10,12 8:2 **disorderly** 6:4 10:24 19:4,5,9,16,17 20:6,7 **extra** 17:19 28:1 dispute 28:4 21:15,24,25 22:7,8 eyes 23:20 friend's 7:9,11 distracting 32:20 23:5,6,9 24:7 25:9,13 **fully** 8:19 distress 28:18 26:7,8 37:1 38:23 further 4:19 25:15 DISTRICT 1:1 39:9,13,15 40:22 face 4:2 6:6 8:5 14:1 46:11,12 doing 4:13 16:21 17:5 42:11 27:6,13 future 29:25 45:23 21:10,11 32:15 facility 41:9 correctly 42:2 **Donovan** 1:14,16 G counsel 1:21 3:15 fact 1:7 2:4 3:23 4:11 downstairs 22:18 24:13 **course** 40:3 4:15,24 5:3 14:8 29:6 gather 4:14 8:10 10:17 25:24 37:15,19,25 **coverage** 28:12,12 29:18 35:8 44:14 gathered 17:11 driving 32:14 gathering 5:4,8 **CR** 1:7 42:8,21 **facts** 4:14 due 34:25 **create** 35:25 general 3:21 8:7 33:9 **failed** 6:1,9 duration 32:23 credibility 23:19 46:9 failing 21:23 duty 4:5 6:14 16:11 crime 6:1,3 18:11 26:25 failure 18:6,10 generally 26:22 29:2,4,5,7 failures 28:25 29:23 **gentlemen** 35:6,7,7 Е **CROCKETT** 1:17 feel 22:1 qirl 34:20 E-W 3:15 curious 26:21 fell 8:12 33:8 give 23:18 28:1 30:13 early 42:10

31:16 32:8 given 17:13,14 **Givens** 7:19 8:1,1,9 12:20,21 14:21 15:10 18:12 19:23 22:15,15 22:23 23:24 24:12 **Givens'** 23:14 24:5 **go** 2:18 5:11,21 14:4 16:2 32:1 33:3 37:22 38:19 40:7 going 21:6 23:10,13 30:19 32:9 33:22 **good** 2:25 3:1,2,13,22 3:23 18:3,4 32:4,6 **Grandis** 1:17 31:21,22 32:2,4,7,13 33:3,4,21 34:6,13,18,22,25 35:1 35:3,14,17 38:8,10,11 38:15,16,24 39:6,14 39:18,24 40:6,11 41:8 41:12,25 42:6,12,22 42:25 43:3 ground 8:19 22:21 37:21,22 quards 41:1 guess 16:6,22 25:17

half 11:13 25:11 handled 28:19 45:22 **HANSEN** 1:18 **happen** 27:23 happened 8:10 9:25 10:2,11 13:6,14 23:25 24:12 25:24 26:20.24 27:3,11,14,17,20 28:7 28:9 29:20 33:19 34:12,14 35:10,11,12 35:13 40:3 41:3 43:13 44:7,10 45:5,6,8 happening 28:14 head 6:20 7:13 8:5,13 9:14 11:11 14:1 39:3 hear 8:22 29:16 heard 13:5 27:21 33:15 33:18 34:11 35:9 39:8 39:9 45:4 hearing 1:7 2:4 3:24 4:11,16,18,24 5:4 held 31:18 34:20 help 40:13 **helpful** 40:17,19 Hi 3:19 **hit** 4:1 6:5,20 7:13 8:4 8:13 9:14 hold 32:12,13 35:1 **hours** 6:11 11:1,13 13:5 15:16 18:14,18 20:5

25:10 28:22.24 30:2 39:1 42:18 45:8,9,9

I-G-O-R 3:20 identify 3:3 31:6 identities 30:14 identity 30:14 **Igor** 1:23 2:9 3:19 30:20 30:20 36:18,25 37:13 immediately 29:17 important 18:23 19:3,6 19:8,15 20:3 incident 4:4,7,10 6:12 6:23,24,25 7:2,3 8:15 8:24 9:22 10:11,12,19 10:25 11:10,15,17 13:11,14,19 14:10,13 14:15,18 15:25 16:8 16:12 18:9 19:1,24 20:14 21:7 22:17 23:2 23:8.25 24:12 25:6.19 26:7 27:4,19 28:15 30:25 31:2,5 33:12,14

34:2 37:5 38:22,25 40:15 41:4 45:22 46:1 incidents 29:10 indication 4:7 **inform** 26:19 informal 5:6 information 5:8 9:4.16 13:18 17:11,12,15 26:2 36:17 44:4,6,23 informed 28:11

injured 17:8 29:11 44:9 44:20 45:16 **inside** 12:22 20:15 30:25 33:14 inspection 10:5,6 insufficient 29:6

interesting 29:15 interview 6:14 interviewed 7:6,7,25 9:8 12:20 15:3 interviewing 8:22 13:4

13:7,13 15:6 interviews 27:13 investigate 21:19

investigating 14:8 44:22

investigation 5:5,23 21:11 27:17 32:11 33:6 44:16

investigator 1:20 3:5,7 3:25 5:18,24 11:6,19 11:24 12:3,7,12,19 13:3,12,23 14:6,11,20 15:2,9,13,21 16:10,18

17:2,9,19,21 18:1,3,4 18:8,16,20,25 19:5,10 19:17,22 20:7,12,20 20:24 21:4,10,15,25 22:8,14 23:1,6,9,13 23:21 24:4,11,19 25:9 25:21 26:8,13 28:10 28:23 29:14 30:4 32:10 33:5 38:13,15 38:16,23 39:13,23 40:10,18,25 41:10,14 42:4,11,14,24 43:9 45:15 46:23

investigators 23:15 40:7,17 **involved** 31:7,16 44:15 44:24

issue 19:14 29:18 30:7 45:15

issues 18:6

JAMES 1:18 iealous 7:12 8:4 **JENI** 1:18 job 21:18 **JOSE** 1:22 **JR** 1:18 **July** 3:25 5:25 6:13 7:4 7:24 10:8.23 11:7.24 12:1,7,15 13:4,24 14:12 15:3,6,14 25:11 41:11

Κ

K-L-I-N-E 3:15 keep 24:18 kept 8:11 kind 6:17 9:12,20 41:19 43:12 **kitchen** 42:16 Kline 1:21 2:10 3:11,13 3:14,15 4:21 5:18 17:25 18:2,5,13,17,22 19:2,6,12,19 20:1,8 20:18,23 21:3,9,16 22:1,4,9,25 23:3,7,10 23:18,22 24:3,6,17 25:5,14,18 26:16,17 27:5 30:16,19,24 31:3 32:5,6 33:16,17,22 34:3,9,16,19,23,24 35:1,2,8,15,18,21 36:5,11,18,25 37:7,13 40:21,24 43:2,4,22,24 45:25 46:18.21 knew 4:9 45:3 **know** 10:15 12:10,17,18

13:1 15:22 17:10.16 18:23 19:8,15 21:12 25:1 26:22 27:3,19 28:15 29:4,16,17,22 31:3,4,4,8,10 34:6 40:25 41:1 42:13 43:10 44:18,19 45:6 knowledge 14:25 knows 29:3 30:11

laceration 4:3 6:7 language 34:7 late 29:13 36:21,23 37:2 learn 10:17 learned 41:17 leaving 6:17 led 6:2,6 left 6:7 17:12 30:15 **legal** 46:10 legally 46:16 let's 24:6 level 37:22,22 42:2 license 1:8 2:5 42:8 licensee 1:23 2:7 3:15 4:22.25 5:1 21:13 Licensee's 1:21 light 23:11 lines 34:10 **LLC** 1:6 located 40:14 location 25:19 39:12 look 20:9,16 27:12 30:9 39:18 looked 11:8 39:16 looking 6:17 11:14 19:14,19 29:24 39:10 45:9 lot 9:21 23:15,22 27:6 lunch 42:13

М

major 28:25 majority 16:5 making 21:8 30:1 **male** 8:2 malfunctioned 39:20 man 28:4 man's 28:5 management 16:15,25 manager 3:21 4:5 6:14 7:22 8:7 12:23 16:11 16:25 33:9 36:22 managers 36:21 manner 6:5 10:24 matter 1:5 29:19 30:8 32:23 35:8 46:7.9.24 Matthew 8:1 12:20

outset 29:3 **pretend** 44:19 14:21 15:9 18:12 names 28:1 22:15,15,23 23:14,24 near 9:23,24 13:15 overall 8:11 9:19,21 pretty 22:6,10 25:4 33:18 34:11 45:4 24:5,12 25:24,25 39:12 procedures 29:24 mean 18:5 26:18,19 owner 16:25 39:6 40:17 process 5:19 26:25 necessary 46:12 29:19 31:4,8 32:16 need 32:18,22 36:23 40:21 27:1 39:1 43:24,25 44:5 needs 46:5 promised 45:20 Ρ means 45:5 never 35:23 44:3 promoter 6:18 8:14 9:8 medical 28:17 **night** 8:14 9:3,6 14:18 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 9:13 16:20,23 25:21 MEETING 1:3 33:7,11,23 34:8 41:4 16:8,15,21,24 17:1,6 2:1 **Mejuscavic** 9:5,8 13:13 26:20,24 28:21 36:21 p.m 6:14 7:7,25 9:7 41:5 16:20 25:22 26:1 34:5 36:23 37:2,4,6,7,9 10:3 11:7 41:11,12,22 promoter's 9:4 34:10 43:16 40:22 41:24 page 34:9 promptly 29:23 Mejuscavic's 45:3 nightclub 41:20,21 provide 6:9 9:1,16 11:1 pain 9:12,21 Melena 9:5,8 13:13 non-employee 43:20 part 16:15 19:6 45:1 12:24 15:15 18:7,10 16:20 25:22 34:4 20:16 21:23 36:17,18 normal 13:25 particular 33:25 39:12 Member 1:17,17,18,18 **note** 19:3,16 20:3 44:23 46:15 parties 3:3 5:6 30:13 14:5,16,24 15:17 16:6 noted 8:16 19:21 20:10 party 16:15 31:7 provided 5:10 9:2,4 13:8,22 15:5,7 18:14 16:13,22 17:7,18 28:13 patron 4:1,1 6:5,6 31:22 32:4,7 33:4,21 notice 41:2 18:18 20:5 26:2 29:12 **people** 13:24 33:24 **noticed** 43:14,19 44:11 29:24 30:6 36:15,23 34:6,13,18,22 35:1,3 39:2 40:1 35:14 38:8,11,16 39:6 **noting** 21:23 performing 42:8 **public** 28:12 39:18 40:6,11 41:8,12 number 2:5,6 28:2 40:9 **period** 37:12 **punt** 30:19 41:25 42:6,12,22,25 **NW** 1:7 perpetrator 30:15 purposes 16:1 members 3:14 5:12,16 **put** 17:17 20:18,20 person 17:7 27:9,10 0 14:3 17:25 25:17 28:18 29:11 30:14 35:18 38:7 43:7 46:22 observed 25:7 31:6,15,16 33:25 34:4 men 9:10 34:21 **obtain** 17:16 43:12 question 14:3,6 20:2,2 **mention** 16:14 personnel 37:12 38:3 23:11 27:16 28:3 occupancy 38:4 menu 42:9 occur 11:17 13:11 27:8 **phone** 6:15 20:14 31:17,21 32:2 35:4 met 1:13 10:14 36:16 40:15 46:1 **photos** 10:18 38:13.15 Metropolitan 6:10 occurred 4:4.8 7:3 8:15 **place** 8:16 32:17 questions 5:12,15,17 Mikonovich 10:14 9:22 10:19 13:19 plan 10:21 35:20 36:1,3 5:18 14:2 17:24,25 41.18 14:10 16:1,9 21:24 36:9 40:8 43:10 45:21 25:15,17 26:21,22 **minute** 32:13 22:17 24:1 25:20 27:4 46:16 35:17 38:7 43:1,2,6 missed 38:12 27:7,20 30:25 31:4,5 **plates** 42:19 quickly 45:17 quite 22:6 **Mister** 34:22 32:9 33:12,14 34:2 please 2:6,8 3:5,6,11,12 37:5 38:25 39:17 41:5 **Monday** 6:13 7:24 3:17,18 21:17 12:15 13:4 14:12 15:2 occurs 29:10 pleasure 32:7 **Office** 46:9 point 29:5 34:1 R-A-D-A-K-O-V-I-C 15.6 mopped 8:17 24:22,24 officer 7:7,8,19,19,25 pointed 8:14 22:17 3.20 25:3,3 8:1,9 12:19,21,21 28:10 33:11 Radakovic 1:23 2:9,16 morning 2:4,25 3:1,2 19:23 22:15,23 23:24 police 6:10,22 7:17 2:18,21,23 3:1,16,19 3:13,22,23 5:20 7:4 24:4.12 14:9 22:5 3:20 30:21 32:13,16 10:7,7,8 11:21 18:3,4 officers 14:10,12,12,17 **Popovich** 6:15 9:1 32:21,24 33:1 36:20 19:25 32:4,6 37:8 10:17 11:20 13:14 37:1,14,21 38:5 **Oh** 2:21 12:1 **RAFI** 1:17 **moving** 32:14 16:11 21:1 25:22,23 okay 2:24 6:18 14:16 **MPD** 4:5 9:14 11:2 16:6 17:7,18 18:13,22 41:17 raise 45:25 14:17 15:1,10 17:10 21:3 22:25 25:5 26:15 portions 16:16 read 33:6 17:16 18:20,23 19:3 27:5 32:21,24,25 premises 33:14 reading 34:7 19:21,22 23:15 27:2 34:14 42:6 present 1:15,19 8:7 really 21:16 27:12 29:13,16,18 30:4 **Once** 5:14 33:9 40:22 41:1 30:11 31:17 41:2,4,5 44:22 45:14 open 41:13,15 42:12,16 presentation 38:18 43:12 44:7,15,22 45:6 **MPD's** 15:15 21:6 operated 6:4 10:24 presented 5:14 reasonable 45:13 received 13:2 opportunity 5:17 23:4 preserve 6:1 18:11 Ν 38:12 preserving 26:25 record 3:4,6,12,18 **name** 3:6,9,11,17,19,20 order 5:3 presiding 1:14 46:25 **Orellana** 1:22 2:6,9 presumably 25:25 6:15 9:5 17:9,14 refer 46:8 31:16 36:24 presume 31:5 originally 8:12 33:7 reflect 44:1

Q

R

talked 14:9.11 **refusal** 30:13 **says** 32:11 34:20 35:9 **specify** 20:13 24:20 **refused** 28:1,2 31:6 42:9 44:3 speculate 45:13 talking 34:3,4,7 44:15 scene 6:2,3 12:21 17:12 **spell** 3:5,11,17 tampering 39:21,24 related 6:3,24 10:12,25 18:11 23:2 27:1 29:2 **spent** 45:8 **tapes** 45:9 11:10 29:4,5,7 **spot** 26:11 Tate 7:8 12:21 relates 6:22 7:1 11:12 screaming 9:12,20 **spots** 15:18 26:14 tell 5:21 20:9 rely 23:15 **screen** 15:24 staff 1:22 30:2 36:15 telling 24:18 remember 15:23 36:24 sections 21:14 **stand** 9:25 13:16 tells 44:16 remnants 24:16 security 10:21 33:10 standard 31:17 terms 15:4 27:13,14,19 rented 16:19 35:20 36:1,9,22 37:11 standing 40:2 28:14,15 29:1,2,13 renting 16:16 37:16,17,19 38:3 40:8 **start** 3:4 30:1,11 35:11 report 5:10,11,13,15 40:22 41:1 43:10,14 started 7:11 testified 39:7 14:7 17:8,10,10,17,19 state 3:5,11,17 5:9 testimony 14:17 27:25 45:20 46:16 19:20,25 20:3,9,9,13 **see** 2:15,20,25 7:1 10:5 stated 5:7 6:16 7:8,21 32:10 38:17 42:23 thank 2:14,15 3:10 14:6 20:19,21 21:6,6,10 10:12 11:9,11,12,14 8:1,9 14:9 22:3 25:23 33:8,25 34:11 39:10 22:3 27:5,12 28:16,17 12:5,11 13:25 15:20 17:18,19,21,23 18:2 30:9 32:11 33:6,25 23:7 25:12 26:5,6,13 43:16 46:13 25:14 26:16 33:3,4 statement 24:5,7 31:6 44:1,5 28:16,25 29:19,22 35:14,14,15,17 36:7 31:23 33:22 38:18 reported 4:1,5 30:8 38:21 39:1,3,4 38:17 42:22,23,24,25 43:5,22 45:24 46:19 request 6:11 15:15 39:19,23,25 40:4 45:3 18:15,18,24 19:4,9,10 **statements** 23:14,16 **selective** 34:23,24 46:21,23 September 1:11 33:17 thing 22:22 43:11 19:13,15,16,21,23 20:4,10 21:5,8,24 serve 42:16,19 **states** 27:6 things 16:24 27:22 28:3 22:2 28:24 30:9 service 36:15 **stationary** 32:19,23 28:3 settlement 10:22 statute 21:14 think 16:19 27:21 28:25 requested 12:17,22 13:17 14:18,21 **Short** 1:18 14:4.4.5.16 statutory 36:1 29:9.23 30:7 31:7 required 42:21 14:21,24 15:11,17 **step** 4:13 33:13.14 34:21 39:8 16:5,6,13,22 17:7,18 stories 33:19 34:12 requirement 18:13 40:6,20 42:19 43:9,10 respect 30:8 34:25 17:22,24 35:9 45:5 43:11,17 45:1,3 responding 7:7 **show** 4:18,23 19:20 story 8:11 35:12 44:17 third 16:14 responsibilities 29:1 **showing** 39:14 Street 1:7 three 12:7,9,10 21:14 restaurant 41:22.23 **shown** 22:2 struck 11:11 14:1 27:11 **Thursday** 12:13 result 5:22 **Simple** 1:9 39:3 time 6:11 8:21 12:5 Retailer 1:7 **simply** 31:14 submit 4:17 44:14 13:3,7 15:6 16:1,12 review 6:21 7:21 14:22 Simultaneous 31:19 45:20 18:25 19:24 20:14 reviewed 6:23 26:5,6 35:5 41:7 substantiate 13:21 21:5,6,7 23:2,3 24:24 31:9 single 27:9 sufficient 40:9 37:12 38:11 39:21 right 2:20 3:3,23 5:20 sir 2:17 3:23 5:21 26:17 **sum** 29:9 41:11,15 18:19,24 19:19 20:8 31:21 32:15,19,19,25 **Sunday** 12:13 times 41:8 20:23 22:9 24:3 26:16 36:5,11 43:22 46:18 supposed 13:14 timestamp 39:19 26:16 33:2,16 34:9 site 12:23,25 supposedly 10:1 **TIPS** 36:21 37:2 sure 3:7 5:24 6:21 7:16 35:16 36:6 40:10,24 situation 27:23 tipsy 6:17 43:21 46:6,17 **sketchy** 31:15 9:1 13:6 21:16,17 today 4:13 14:7,8 38:17 **small** 42:19 25:2 29:25 30:1 36:14 told 9:13 19:22.23 rights 2:7 Smith 36:25 Robert 36:25 37:2 40:13 42:14,16 44:13 20:13 25:18 44:2 **Smith's** 37:2 45:7,7 **Toro** 1:6,6,6,6 2:5,5 S suspect 9:17,17 17:11 solution 44:13 3:21,21 **S** 1:17 somewhat 32:19 17:12 31:7 training 7:18,25 36:14 suspicious 44:25 **Saturday** 5:25 7:4 10:8 soon 14:10 36:22 37:3 sustained 4:2 tried 6:20 10:23 11:21,24 12:14 sorry 4:23 9:24 10:7 11:22 25:10 31:20 sworn 5:7 **troubling** 44:8,12 25:11 37:7,10 **Saturdays** 41:16,18 33:5 35:22 37:15 38:6 system 10:16,18 trying 16:23 20:22 Tuesday 11:7 41:11,24 sort 44:16,16 42:3,15,17 Т **sounds** 40:9 turn 2:16 41:20 **saw** 6:16 9:10 11:13 speaking 31:19 35:5 turned 14:25 29:17 13:24 31:11 34:20,20 t/a 1:6 table 24:22 38:24 43:12,25 44:6 41:7 turning 27:2 saying 11:17 13:10 take 4:22,25 46:6 two 9:10 10:9 11:9,13 **specific** 4:23 5:3 35:2 37:18 38:2 specifically 22:3 37:6 taken 4:20 14:12,13 15:24 16:1,2

25:10,10 28:4 34:10	walking 6:16 9:9 32:14	2:45 19:25
34:21 38:24 39:5,14	32:16	2:50 6:13
42:18	want 5:15 16:7 32:2	200 37:15,19 38:3
type 36:14	34:23 41:19 42:22	2022 1:11 3:25 7:5 10:8
	43:8,23	22-251-00022 1:8 2:5
U	wanted 14:23 16:2	23 5:25 7:5 10:8,23
ultimately 7:13	31:23	11:25 12:1,17,18
unable 15:19	wasn't 7:16 25:6 26:12	25:11
	39:22	24 28:24
unaware 15:4		
uncleaned 4:8	watched 23:19	25 6:13 7:24 12:15 13:4
unclear 4:3 27:12 44:7	we'll 5:9,20	14:12 15:3,6
understand 31:10,13	we're 5:4 29:21 36:11	26 11:7 12:7 13:24
34:16	we've 45:19	15:14 41:11
understanding 32:9	WebEx 1:13	28 1:11
36:7 42:1,1	Wednesday 1:11	2C 1:7
understands 5:19	week 21:2 37:5	
	weekdays 41:21	3
upcoming 21:2		
use 41:15	went 11:6,7 14:22 15:13	3:00 19:25
	20:15,21 22:7,15	3:15 9:7
V	30:12 31:8 46:25	30 30:21,23 36:4,9 39:7
various 38:20	whatnot 9:22 40:2	40:8 45:9,20 46:2,16
vast 16:4	42:20	31 3:25
verbal 23:14	wiped 8:17 22:20 24:21	
verify 39:16 42:15,18	witness 2:8 27:24	4
victim 4:2 7:8,15,18 8:2	witnessed 27:10	4:00 10:7
8:5 17:14,15 26:3	witnesses 28:20 31:11	4:30 10:8 25:11
27:25	woman 27:11 28:6	4:50 7:7
video 6:9 11:4,5 12:5	women 28:4,5	48 6:10 11:1 13:4 15:16
12:11,18 13:2,21	word 31:15	18:14,18 20:5 30:2
14:25 15:18 18:7,14	work 10:16,17 12:12	
18:18 19:21 20:5,10	working 12:14 14:15	5
20:22 21:23 23:4,19	worst 28:22 45:12	5:25 7:24 15:3
25:6 26:5,6 27:2,15	wouldn't 9:16 29:17	
28:8,20 29:8,12,20,23	44:23	6
29:24 30:6 31:1,9,12	=0	
38:24	X	7
videoconference 1:13		<u>-</u>
	Υ	7:00 10:3 11:7 41:11,12
view 4:6 7:20,23 8:8		72 28:22
10:15 11:4 12:24	yeah 16:18 27:2 31:11	
13:17 23:4 25:5 33:10	33:5 37:17 43:24	8
38:19 40:5	44:12	8:00 41:21
viewed 8:6 10:6,9 11:4	yelling 9:20	
15:14,17,24 16:2		9
violation 18:23 19:8	Z	9-1-1 9:15 43:20
21:13,21,22 46:10	Zarko 41:18	91165 1:8 2:6
violations 10:5		1100 1.0 2.0
visible 9:12 44:11	0	
	————	
visit 41:9	1	
visited 10:4 39:15		
Vladimir 6:15 8:25	11:06 2:2	
10:16 11:20 13:14	11:53 46:25	
16:10 21:1 25:22,23	1300 1:7	
41:17	180 37:15,25 38:1	
voluntarily 36:8 40:8	<u> </u>	
	2	
W	2:00 7:4 10:6 11:20	
W 1:14,16	25:11	
wait 31:24	2:30 7:4 11:20	

${\color{red} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{R} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{F} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{I} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{C} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{A} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{T} \hspace{0.1cm} \underline{E}}$

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Hearing

Before: ABC Board

Date: 09-28-22

Place: Videoconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &