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CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Our next case is Case number 22-PRO-00026 Empire Lounge, License Number 110702. Ms. Fashbaugh, can you please elevate the rights of the parties in this case, please.

MS. FASHBAUGH: Please stand by. This might take a moment. Richard Bianco, your rights have been elevated. Dessalegn Nigussie, your rights had been elevated. I do not see the other licensee. I do not see Commissioner Alex Padro.

Yohannes Bayou, your rights have been elevated. Dan Orlaskey, your rights have been elevated. Pearson Stoecklein, your rights have been elevated. Rhoda Glasgow, your rights have been elevated.

Sergeant Dale Vernick, your rights have been elevated. Captain Han Kim, your rights have been elevated. Chairperson, I see -- oh, Alex Padro, your rights have been elevated.

I see one more individual in the room, would you like me to elevate them? Their name is Keayon Kassem.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Is Keayon

Kassem, is that a witness for either side? I'm sorry?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Not for the applicant, unless he is logged in under a different name. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Is -- who's -Keayon Kassem, who's that? Why -- elevate their rights, I'm trying to see who that person is. Mr. Orlaskey, do you know a Keayon Kassem?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes. It's -- I'm sorry. This is --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry who is speaking?

MR. NIGUSSIE: This is Mr. Nigussie.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's -- who is that person, sir, is that one of your witness?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That's just a friend. No, he is not a witness.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So you don't need to elevate. They're not testifying, so they can be theory. There is no need to elevate their rights, Ms. Fashbaugh. They can listen to the hearing since they're -- if they're not participating today.

So as far as -- I'm sorry. How many -- how -- who's protesting this matter, Mr.

Bianco?
MR. BIANCO: WNA, as well as the ANC. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Who's representing the WNA?

MR. STOECKLEIN: This is Pierson Stoecklein, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Okay. So you are the represent. All right. So have you -- I know that your case -- your -- the case is much later on, but -- all right. Let -all right. Let me do some introductions and then I can preliminary let us -- let's move on. Sorry.

So let's do some introductions. So what I'll -- I'm going to do is that -- Mr. Bianco, can you please -- we'll start with the applicant doing some -- their introduction. So, Mr. Bianco, let's start with you please.

MR. BIANCO: Sure. Good afternoon, Mr. Chair, members of the Board, my name is Richard Bianco, R-I-C-H-A-R-D B-I-A-N-C-O. I represent the applicant.

And the representative for the applicant is here. His name is Dessalegn Nigussie. I will let him say and spell his name
so we can all get it right.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Nigussie,
can you please introduce yourself by spelling and -- state and spell your name, please?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes. Good afternoon. My name is Dessalegn Nigussie. Dessalegn spelled as D-E-S-S-A-L-E-G-N. And my last name is Nigussie, N-I-G-U-S-S-I-E. I'm the owner of Empire Lounge DC.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And, Mr. Nigussie, do you have a camera? I would like to see you, if $I$ can.

MR. NIGUSSIE: Let me see.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. All right. So you can work on -- continue working on that. Who else? Is there anyone else you need to identify, Mr. Bianco?

MR. BIANCO: So the other individuals here are witnesses. They're not representing the establishment. If you want me to introduce them for the record, $I$ can do that now, or we can do it if and when $I$ call them.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. We can do that later on. Okay. Fine. All right. Let's start with the ANC. Who's here on behalf
of the ANC?
MR. ORLASKEY: That would be me. My name is Commissioner Dan Orlaskey from 1B02. It's D-A-N-I-E-L O-R-L-A-S-K-E-Y.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So are you the only one from the ANC, sir?

MR. ORLASKEY: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr. Stoecklein, can you please spell and state your name for us. Please state your name for the record and your affiliation.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes. Pierson
Stoecklein. First name, P-I-E-R S-O-N. Last name, S-T-O-E-C-K-L-E-I-N. And I represent the Westminster Neighborhood Association.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Are there any witnesses that are on -- well -- all right. So good afternoon, everyone. Ms. Glasgow, can you please spell and state your name for the record, please.

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Rhoda Glasgow, R-H-O-D-A G-L-A-S-G-O-W.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And who are you, ma'am?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I'm an
investigator for the Alcoholic Beverage Regulation Administration.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Can you just turn your volume up somewhat, please. All right? Thank you.

Hi, everyone. This is a protest hearing. This is a substantial change application for the Empire Lounge. This protest is being protested by the ANC and WNA and -which is what? The Westminster Neighborhood Association; am I correct?

MR. STOECKLEIN: That is correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you. All right. And so are -- I know that after all these party, are you the -- Mr. Stoecklein, you're the one representing -- you're going to do the -- are you the one doing the protest hearing, or is that Mr. Orlaskey?

MR. STOECKLEIN: I will be represent

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I ask that each side -- I'd ask that someone be designated as an -- as the representative to do this protest hearing.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Well, what --

I'm the designated representative with Westminster Neighborhood Association, but I don't represent the ANC.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm aware of that, sir. But --

MR. ORLASKEY: Chairman --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr. Orlaskey.

MR. ORLASKEY: Mr. Stoecklein will be presenting the case in chief on behalf of both the ANC and the WNA, mostly for expediency and to not be redundant.

I'll be here to answer any questions on behalf of the ANC if any come up. I also have a brief statement $I$ can make either at the beginning or the end, depending on how you want to do it.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That is fine. That works. All right. Are there any -- and, Mr. Padro, I hope that you are a passenger in a car; is that correct, sir? Or are you driving, sir?

MR. PADRO: I am not driving. I am stationary.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

Okay. All right. Are there any preliminary matters that each side -- any of the parties need to make known to the Board?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes. Yes, Your Honor .

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Let's start --
let's -- I'm sorry. Let's start with the licensee first then I'll go to the -- I'll -then I'll go to the -- I'll come to you, sir.

MR. BIANCO: I don't have any preliminary legal matters, but I do want to be courteous to Mr. Padro, who is traveling. And I did -- I do want to get him on as a witness as quickly as possible.

But I understand there are some things that may have to happen first, so I just wanted to make the Board aware, he's time limited.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And what are you asking for, sir? Because as you know how this case usually goes, is that the Board presents its case, the Board cross-examine its witness, the Board asks questions of its witnesses, and after the Board ask questions of its witnesses, then the licensee will have an opportunity. Then the protestant will have an
opportunity.
It is 1:48-- 1:49. So we're talking about maybe -- depending on how vigorous the questions are, we're basically talking about maybe in another two, two-and-a-half hours for the licensee to present its case.

So are you requesting that we change our process and procedures? Is that what you're ask -- what are you asking?

MR. BIANCO: That's correct. I would ask if -- I have, exactly ten questions for Mr. Padro. His testimony is very limited. I would ask that we could take him out of order and let him move on with his trip.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Even before when the Board present this case? That's what you're asking?

MR. BIANCO: Correct. It's a very limited issue. Essentially, the -- just to make a proffer without putting on any evidence, Mr. Padro works for Shaw Main Streets, and the only thing he's going to testify about is confirming that Empire Lounge participates in the RDO program through Shaw Main Streets.

If the parties will stipulate to that,
we don't even need to put him on as a witness. Otherwise, $I$ would just like to have that on the record. And I anticipate that to take about five minutes.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein or Mr. -- and Mr. Orlaskey, is that something that you can step -- stipulate to? That Empire Lounge participates in the RDO program as part of this -- the Shaw Main Stream -- Main -- the --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chair, that's not something we're able to stipulate to, but I understand that Mr. Padro needs to leave. And so if we can hear from him and then ask perhaps one or two confirmatory questions, then I -- we're happy to proceed as opposing counsel suggests.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
That's fine. Do you have any preliminary issues that you want to bring the -- to the attention of the Board, sir?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes, I do. So I -two separate but related matters. The first of which is that this morning, we circulated a revised version of our previously provided exhibits. They're identical in all material respects, but we identified some labeling
omissions. And so we just added labels.
My impression from communication with opposing counsel this morning is that they consent to that -- to the amended introduction of our exhibits, though Mr. Bianco can certainly speak for himself here. And so that's my first request, is that we be allowed to proceed with a amended exhibit set.

MR. BIANCO: I have no objection.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Overruled. Any other --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes. One other matter. We also requested -- well, we would request the opportunity to substitute a witness. We have a previously identified witness who was to testify to her experience, you know, in the neighborhood and the impact of Empire Lounge.

She's unable to participate today and so we have a substitute witness who would testify to the same substance. And because of that, we don't believe that there's any prejudice to the applicant.

> And so we would ask that this resident be allowed to share and speak to her direct experience. It is essential to our case.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco?
MR. BIANCO: We object to any witness being called who wasn't previously disclosed. It was disclosed this morning. I don't know who this person is and have not prepared and could not prepare the period of time I had for crossexamination of this particular witness. I think it'd be inappropriate and they should not be allowed to put the witness on.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who was the witness that's been -- what's the name of the witness who can't testify today?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Sure. I'm pulling that up right now. The witness that is unavailable today is Ms. Rebecca Oser.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco, do you know who Ms. Rebecca Oser is?

MR. BIANCO: So I don't know her personally, but she was disclosed last week, along with her anticipated scope of testimony. And I would also note that included in the investigative report is a narrative provided by Mr. Stoecklein outlining what Ms. Oser or Oster would -- had personally witnessed and would be testifying to.

This new -- or this other person, $I$ don't know what the scope of their testimony would be or, more importantly, $I$ think what specifics they would be talking about as I did have for Ms. Oser.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein, what significance is there regarding her testimony that would -- is this the same -- I guess what I'm trying to find out who this new witness and what it does that they'll be testifying to?

MR. STOECKLEIN: The new witness is a resident that lives in the building directly adjacent to and behind Empire Lounge. She has testimony that she would share about her direct experience impact on her life that's similar to the testimony of a number of witnesses.

As the Chair well knows, there's no deposition process in the preparation of these witnesses. So there isn't, and nor is there, a requirement that a statement be provided the investigator from every witness.

And so the indication or the implication that there is, you know, discovery that was unable to be done, you know, it just --
it really doesn't hold water in this instance, right? I mean, there's plenty of witnesses Mr. Bianco will call that we've not seen statements from and that is only -- you know, whose testimony is only generally referred to.

Most importantly, you know, it is extremely important that the rights of the neighborhood to present our best evidence and eyewitness testimony, you know, that that that'd be permitted in order to -- I think we'll just call it due process, and so -- you know, so this - -

And Mr. Bianco will certainly go to cross-examine this witness. The testimony is substantially similar to what would have been offered by Ms. Oster.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So why is Ms. Oser not available today, sir?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Ms. Oser became unresponsive through our requests to participate today, despite multiple attempts and so I can't force her to do so, nor could I issue a subpoena on short notice.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So because she's unresponsive, that -- you're asking us to
substitute her? Not because she's ill, but because she's being unresponsive to you, maybe it's because she doesn't want to testify anymore; is that --

MR. STOECKLEIN: No, I can't speak to what may be causing that because she was in touch very recently and then suddenly was not.

And so -- but irrespective of Ms. Oser, I think that the request to present the testimony from this witness is no less important, valid, and I just -- I struggle to see how there's prejudice to, given the way that this process works, the pre-trial process, I struggle to see how any resident with direct knowledge and experience with the applicant shouldn't be heard here when there will be an opportunity to cross.

It's the same opportunity that we'll have for Mr. Bianco's witnesses. The mere fact that Mr. Bianco didn't know a name doesn't disadvantage him or his clients at all.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco?
MR. BIANCO: Sure. The Board has very specific rules. If witnesses aren't disclosed, they may not testify. It's the routine ruling of this Board on issues such as this.

The other thing I would note is, in this case, again, Mr. Stoecklein provided not only a narrative for Ms. Oser, but a narrative for two other purported witnesses testifying or supposedly testifying about their personal experience.

They were named on the PIF form. They are not present either, without explanation. So they apparently can't find any witnesses that are going to support their case that will actually show up and testify at a hearing.

And the other thing I would note, which I think is extremely important and I think is getting very much lost in the case that WNA intends to put on, is that this is a very limited inquiry here.

This is not a renewal. It's not a referendum on this particular establishment's operating history going back to the beginning of time. This is a substantial change application, adding additional space without adding additional occupancy.

I think it's very straightforward and the parade of horribles that WNA is attempting and evidently failing at putting on --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chair, I would object. Mr. Bianco's making --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. --
MR. STOECKLEIN: -- right now. He's obviously aware --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on, hold on, Mr. Stoecklein. You stated your position. Mr. Bianco did not object. And so I'm asking you, please, to let him complete his statement and then I'll go back to your and ask you on a procedural matter, but please do not interrupt, sir. Go ahead, Mr. Bianco.

MR. BIANCO: That's all. It's a limited inquiry and it doesn't need to go as far as WNA is taking it. And that's my position on the issue.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you have any final comment, Mr. Stoecklein?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Apologize for the interruption, but it seemed to me that Mr. Bianco was beginning his opening statement, so -- which I think we would all love to get onto.

So there is no requirement that a statement be provided from all witnesses that are
identified. The rules that -- Mr. Bianco only cited part of the rule. The rules indicate very clearly that it is subject to discretion of the Board whether a witness may or may not testify if that witness appears in a subsequent amendment. It is totally up to discretion. And I would say that Mr. Bianco himself -- my last point here, Mr. Bianco, although it is not true that we don't have witnesses who were available to testify, if it were true, that's all the more reason.

If due process is really what is of interest here, that's all the more reason to allow the witness who is available, willing to be cross-examined, to state her experience for everyone to hear.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Mr.
Stoecklein, I'm going to make a motion to the Board to deny your motion and the main reason why I'm denying your motion, specifically, at this -at the protest status hearing, I specifically told each party that -- and our rules require that seven days before the hearing you are supposed to provide documents and witnesses. And if documents and witnesses are not
identified, that the Board -- if -- the Board would deny the introduction of these documents, the witnesses, unless you can prove that the other side has not been harmed.

You have stated to the Board that the reason why you're asking to substitute a witness, not because Ms. -- your witness was ill today or not because you -- something happened to your witness in a sense that they're unavailable.

I believe that if you had stated -- I specifically asked you why is it that this witness is not available?

Now, if you had told me that she's ill or she had to leave town or something like that or she had a work assignment that she couldn't work and that you're asking for leave to substitute with another witness who could testify January to the same area, I would more so -- I would think about that differently.

But your response to us is that a reason why you're asking to substitute this witness is because you are no longer able to contact this witness. And that's why the reason I'd say maybe she decided at this moment she no longer wants to testify.

And so you have had -- you -- since it's the witness that is no longer communicating with you, you have had an -- you could have substituted -- the witness that you're trying to call today, you could have identified that person seven days ago and that person would have been able to testify today.

So my -- I'm -- my motion to the Board today is that we deny the substitution because $I$ do not believe the rationale for this witness substitution, it's not something that I can support.

It is not because an emergency occurred because this witness could -- cannot occur, it's just that you're unable to communicate with her and so therefore, you're asking to substitute her name for another and that is not -- and counsel is correct that since the witness that you're trying to substitute today has not been identified to him seven days prior, that he will be harmed by this witness.

And so that is the recommendation that I'm making to the Board that the Board denied the motion. Is there a second? Mr. Grandis has seconded the motion. We'll have a roll call
vote. Mr. Short?
MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Short. I vote that the witness should be allowed.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Crockett?
MEMBER CROCKETT: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: With -- you agree with what? With what --

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Your motion to deny.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Ms. Hansen?

MEMBER HANSEN: Jeni Hansen, 1 agree with the motion to deny.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grandis?
MEMBER GRANDIS: (No verbal response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And, Mr. Anderson, I agree to the motion denied -- the motion to deny the substitution has been passed for the one. Thank you. All right.

All right. I so what we will do, Mr. -- what I will do then, I'll have open -- I'll have both sides present opening -- this is where the hearing will go then. We'll have the opening by the applicant, the opening by the protestant, and then we will have the -- we'll have -- the
licensee can call its first witness, Mr. Padro. I see that there are -- and this is just a motion that $I$ will ask the parties to consider. I know that there are two police officers on the line that are being called by the license -- I'm sorry, by the protestant.

And it is now 2:05 and I do not believe that the protestants will have an opportunity to call their witnesses until maybe 4:00 or 5:00. Would the protestants want to call those officers early in the hearing, or do they -- would -- are they satisfied that they -- to have them available at 5:00, 6:00, whenever we get to the protestant's case?

Because we have two officers who are here, but that's -- I'm just making that known to the parties if that's something that they want to consider.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Thank you for that. And, in fact, the officers have expressed to me their request that -- because they have -because duty calls that -- in the same way that we're going to try to expedite testimony of Mr. Padro, that we'd be -- that they be allowed to testify as well.

MR. BIANCO: I'm fine with that, Mr. Anderson. I'm not contending.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So what I will do then -- thank you. What I'll do, I'll have Mr. Bianco present his witnesses and then -- Mr. Stoecklein, then I'll -- you can then call the officers so they can go back to their units present -- reference -- I'm sorry, protecting the residents of the District of Columbia rather than sitting here on the phone for maybe another three hours before we calls them.

All right. So we'll have opening statement by the licensee, then the protestant, then we'll call Mr. Padro, and then we'll have the licensee, then the protestant can call the officers who were subpoenaed to testify today.

Everyone is in agreement with that, okay? All right. So we can start off with the opening by Mr. Bianco.

MR. BIANCO: Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the Board. Good afternoon. We're here today on my client's application for a substantial change in his operations. Specifically, he is expanding the space of the
establishment.
Establishment's located at 1909 9th Street, Northwest presently and since 2019, when the establishment opened. Empire Lounge has occupied the second and third floor of that building.

Notwithstanding their occupancy of the second and third floor, they have always leased the first floor. They just were not operating in that space.

Recently, it has been built out and they are seeking to operate in that space. The new space, the first floor space, has frontage on 9th Street and it can be -- and it can also be accessed from inside of the existing Empire Lounge.

The important piece of this, I think, that we need to keep in mind today is that while the establishment is expanding in square footage, they are not increasing occupancy.

Their occupancy is -- present, is going to remain at 125, which is the amount on their license. So essentially, we are putting the same number of people in a larger space. There will be less crowding.

And I think the evidence you here today will demonstrate with the addition of another door for the same establishment for patrons to enter and exit through. It will limit pedestrian traffic and crowding on the street.

I think of particular concern here is that the establishment has made repeated efforts to work with the protestants at each stage of the process, but has been completely rebuffed.

We are definitely not going to get into the specifics of any negotiations and, in fact, there were none, but we do intend to put on the record today our efforts to work with the community, which I know traditionally is important to the Board.

I think some of the other testimony that you will hear today about the protestants' behavior towards the establishment is particularly disturbing and I think demonstrates the true agenda that WNA has against this establishment.

The ANC has essentially been disengaged in this process, have deferred to WNA at every step of the way here, has not submitted a PIF, isn't going to call any witnesses, or
present any evidence.
And in light of that, we really don't know at this point, other than their form protest letter with check boxes on it for the broad category of protest issues, exactly and specifically what their problem is with this relatively minor substantial change that we're asking for.

And with that, $I$ would like to ask the Board members bear in mind that the scope of today's hearing is narrow. It's not about everything that has happened between this establishment and the neighbors since it opened in 2019.

The only question that the Board needs to answer at the end of the day is, would it be appropriate for this establishment to operate with the same number of people in a larger space?

And we think after you hear the evidence today, you will find that it would be appropriate. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein, do you wish to make an opening statement? Do you -- or do you wish to make an opening statement?

MR. STOECKLEIN: I do. And I have a
question for this chair procedurally. Commissioner Orlaskey indicated that he had a statement to make as well, but I think it's an open question as to when the Board would like him to make that statement, so --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: He can
determine what -- he can let me know whether or not he wants to make an opening or it's -- or a closing. It's up to him.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay.
MR. ORLASKEY: It's Commissioner Orlaskey. If Mr. Stoecklein wants to go ahead and do his, I can always come back to me at the end. It's really kind of depends on what's left to be said, so --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So you can let me know, sir, if you want to speak.

MR. ORLASKEY: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Empire Lounge has a proven multi-year history of violating numerous laws in the District of Columbia since the club was open.

This includes, but is not limited to, playing amplified music in violation of ABRA
requirements and receiving countless citations, verifying as much violations of existing settlement agreements -- existing settlement agreement, operating without a license, and continuing to operate without a license after having been warned by this commission.

Selling hookah without a license, extensive violations found by the Board of the mayor's COVID restrictions, DCRA violations, et cetera, et cetera.

This demonstrates, without question, that if there is a law in DC that the applicant has not broken, $I$ 'm not really aware of it. Numerous residents of two adjacent buildings on 8th Street who's rear of the building abuts Empire Lounge have been driven away over the past several years from their homes largely due to the disruptions caused by the music and other disruptive activity emanating from Empire well beyond midnight and in many cases, more than five nights a week.

The owner of Empire, Mr. Nigussie, has attested on record before the Board that noise control technology has been installed, is operating at all times to keep sound within
allowable levels, but no evidence has ever been provided to this Board. We suspect that will be provided today.

Empire has been associated with multiple violent crimes, including a shooting inside of Empire in June of 2022. Several weeks prior to that, the MPD responded to a call with another gun that was found -- a patron with a gun found inside.

There was a shooting directly in front of Empire in June 2021 and the list goes on and on. There are countless instances of Empire's direct adverse impact on the community and we will bring testimony of this to support that today.

The summary that I just provided represents, however, only a sample of the many, many violent and non-violent crimes that the neighborhood has experienced over the past several years within a two-block radius to the 1909 block of 9th Street.

Nobody could begin to count the hundreds, literally, of car windows that are smashed along Westminster St, 9th, and 10th, all immediately adjacent to the block in question.

The countless times parents and children have been woken up at 3:00 a.m. to fights breaking out or shots been fired within 100 feet of the door steps.

Knocking of residents living
immediately adjacent 1900 block clubs, Empire in particular, count the hours and hours of sleep that they have lost between the hours of 12:00 a.m. and 4:00 a.m. multiple nights a week because the club continues to rage.

And there are, unfortunately, no ABRA inspectors available, nor does this account for all of the empty bottles of liquor that is streaming about the neighborhood by those going to and from the clubs, and inevitably driving drunk throughout the city, several recent occasions smashing into parked vehicles along Westminster Street before fleeing.

You will hear today further evidence about all of this and the question that is before the Board today, and ultimately, is irrelevant, in our opinion, whether the number of -- whether the applicant is requesting an expansion in the occupancy number because the proposed substantial change is an expansion of the facility and
expansion of operation and expansion of amplified music.

And it's very clear to us and I hope to this Board, given your interactions to date with the applicant, that it cannot be expected to, and has not, frankly, operated within the confines of the rule within it's giving -- within it the existing space. So how could it possibly be given authorization to expand further?

Applicant continues to flout the authority of this Board and every other enforcement authority in that district. And we ask that you reject the request.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein, I just want to get some clarification because when I looked at the -- when I looked at the placard notice, and I just want to make sure that you are clear, the only thing that the Board has been asked to do is to allow them to operate on the first floor.

The Board has not been asked and the Board will not agree to change their occupancy --

MR. STOECKLEIN: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- or their hours of operation.

MR. STOECKLEIN: I just recommended -CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I just want to make sure that you know that the --

MR. STOECKLEIN: That is -- yes, Mr. Chair. That's abundantly clear.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. That it's just whether or not they can now operate on the first floor. But the Board will not be making any decision on the occupancy because the occupancy --

MR. STOECKLEIN: I understand, Mr. Chair. I think we're in violent agreement. I agree with you there.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Fine. All right. That's fine. Mr. Orlaskey, do you wish to make an opening or, do you want to make a closing?

MR. ORLASKEY: I'll just make a few brief comments on behalf of the ANC.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, sir.
MR. ORLASKEY: First and in response
to Mr. Bianco's statements, I've already explained what my role is here and mostly that is to answer questions on behalf of the ANC if they come up.

But our interest in this case, our -and our concerns are aligned with the WNA's. Therefore, we don't think it makes any sense to present the same case twice.

That being said, I've spoken before this Board on other occasions about balancing equities between businesses and residents in mixed-use areas.

In my particular experience as a commissioner for three-and-a-half years in one of those mixed-use areas, it's often very difficult to balance those equities because more often than not, what's good for one is not so good for the other.

It is my opinion, based on my time serving, that residents in this mixed-use area shoulder a disproportionate amount of the inconveniences of living and operating a business here.

This is especially true when businesses routinely violate the rules and regulations that are put in place to maintain that balance of equities.

Now, you are about to hear testimony from many residents that live nearby and are
intimately familiar with the operations of this particular business. We will hear testimony from MPD officers regarding this business. And I urge you to take what they say and consider what happens if we don't take some sort of action.

If this application is approved without any consequences or blatant and repeated disregard for the rules that $I$ just mentioned, $I$ don't see this situation improving. And I'll just leave it at that.

I have more, but I think it's mostly been covered by Mr. Stoecklein. Thank you for your time.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Thank you, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So we're going to -- since we're going to go out of order, Mr. Bianco, do you have a witness you wish to call?

MR. BIANCO: I'm going to call Alex Padro of Shaw Main Streets.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Padro, where are you, sir? Mr. Padro? The last time I saw Mr. Padro -- okay. Fine. Mr. Padro, can you raise your right hand, please. You -- I can't see, sir, but -- I -- okay. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth and nothing but the truth?

MR. PADRO: I do swear.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Your witness, Mr. Bianco.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. Mr. Padro, how were you affiliated with Shaw Main Streets?

MR. PADRO: I am the executive director of the organization and have been since December of 2004.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And what does the organization do?

MR. PADRO: Shaw Main Street is the commercial revitalization and historic preservation organization for central Shaw, serving primarily the seventh 9th Street commercial corridors.

We are responsible for attracting, supporting, marketing, providing services to the businesses. We're also in charge of advocating for historic preservation in the neighborhood and for increase delivery of public services behind the government of the District of Columbia.

MR. BIANCO: So in your class, it is
executive director of the Shaw Main Streets organization. You're familiar with the 1900 block of 9th Street?

MR. PADRO: I am.
MR. BIANCO: And how about Empire Lounge?

MR. PADRO: I am familiar with Empire Lounge, although I have not been there since the pandemic.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And in your position with Shaw Main Streets, I know you described to litany of duties. Do you have any dealings with the Metropolitan Police Department RDO program?

MR. PADRO: Yes. Shaw Main Streets is responsible for administering a reimbursable detail officer program for several blocks, including the 1900 block of 9th Street. So we're

MR. BIANCO: Okay.
MR. PADRO: Our responsibility is to establish the hours of operation and to pay for services that are contracted and then to invoice and collect payments from the participating businesses.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And is Empire Lounge one of the businesses that participates in RDO via Shaw Main Streets?

MR. PADRO: It is indeed.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And what currently are the hours of operation for the RDO program?

MR. PADRO: Mondays through Thursdays from 11:30 p.m. to 3:00 a.m. Fridays and Saturdays from 11:30 p.m. to 4:00 a.m. Sundays from 5:30 to -- 5:30 p.m. to 3:00 a.m. the following morning.

MR. BIANCO: And in the last 6 to 12 months, have there been any issues with the RDO program and Shaw Main Streets?

MR. PADRO: The principle issue is a citywide one, not just one specific to the 1900 block of 9th Street. Because MPD is understaffed, they are, apparently, from 3- to 400 officers short and have not been able to hire sufficient officers to get back to their full strength.

And a number of different initiatives on the part of MPD, MPD has not been able to fully staff the RDO program on the 1900 block. Certain shifts were supposed to have as many as
six officers. We're often lucky if we can have one.

So, you know, the intention of having a significant number of officers present on the block to be able to instill a sense of safety and to provide for immediate response when there are incidents and is not as effective as the counsel and the ABC Board, you know, intended when they made the -- those particular option available.

So we are grateful that ABC Board did extend to major organizations the ability to coordinate the RDO. But yes, so we would be much happier, I think and everybody would be happier, including the community, if we had the full complement of officers that we've agreed to pay for.

MR. BIANCO: And have you noticed a difference in the environment when MPD is not able to provide a full complement of RDO officers?

MR. PADRO: That's the question that -- I guess, I'm not really clear on how respond to it. You know, visually, certainly, I have observed on weekend nights that when the area is most crowded, that there is more order and more
civil behavior on the part of the public that is on the block when there are multiple MPD officers visible than when there are fewer officers that are seen on the block.

So I can say that there's a, my observation, definitely correlation between an increased police presence on this and other blocks and increased public safety.

MR. BIANCO: Great. I don't have anything further of this witness.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. Stoecklein?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Padro, did I understand you correctly just a moment ago indicating that you observed a correlation between the number of MPD officers on this block and others translating to incidences of crime?

MR. PADRO: No. What I said was that I observed that the behavior of a public that is present on the block and adjacent blocks is more civil, less disruptive, you know, when there were significant numbers of MPD officers present.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Padro, how often do you have occasion to frequent the 1900 block of 9 th Street on a given week?

MR. PADRO: I try to get there in the evenings between, you know, seven and ten times a month.

MR. STOECKLEIN: And could you please be specific as to what you mean by the evenings?

MR. PADRO: After 6:00 p.m.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Until roughly what time?

MR. PADRO: I'm sometimes there as late as midnight or 1:00 a.m.

MR. STOECKLEIN: So are you -- am I understanding correctly it's your testimony that seven to ten times a month, you stay on the 1900 block of 9th Street from roughly 6:00 p.m. until midnight or 1:00 a.m. every month?

MR. PADRO: Is that -- I'm not there for that entire length. I'm there, you know, from, you know, for some period of time on that many instances per month.

MR. STOECKLEIN: And so -- and what about the other blocks that you frequent, Mr. Padro? How many other blocks are you frequenting? And can you identify what blocks you're observing there as well?

I'm just trying to understand how it
is that you can opine on the correlation between, you know, incidences of violence in particular, since this is an Empire Lounge case, you know, related to Empire Lounge on 1900 block on 9th, you know, given the presence that you're describing at the times that you're describing.

So I'm trying to understand where you are and how much time you spend there.

MR. PADRO: Sure. So the other area that we're currently providing RDO services for through MPD is the intersection of 8th Street and Florida Avenue, one block away.

We previously also have provided services on the 600 block of Florida Avenue. And so those are the locations that we have contracted.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Got it. Thank you, Mr. Padro. And so based on the DC crime cards, which I think is probably the database that's most reflective, the areas that you just described, could you please tell me, give or take, approximately, the number of crimes that have been reported, let's say, from July 1, 2020 to July 1, 2022?

MR. PADRO: I don't have that type of
information memorized.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Do you have a general sense? Do you have any sense of the number of crimes, number violent crimes, maybe the number of crimes involving weapons of any kind?

MR. PADRO: I'm not prepared to be able to answer that question because I did not review the data in advance of this.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes. So then, Mr. Padro, I'm having a really hard time understanding how it is that you can credibly opine on the correlation between the presence of MPD officers, and in particular, the number of MPD officers, and the number of crimes observed if you have no idea the number of cracks actually committed and reported.

MR. PADRO: So my testimony was not about the number of crimes. So I'll repeat the testimony so that we're on the same page. My observations are that individuals that are present on the blocks in question demonstrate more civil behavior, less disrupted behavior, and

MR. STOECKLEIN: I'm going to object to -- Mr. Padro's speculating at this point. Mr.

Chair, he's testified to things that he's clearly not observed.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein, you have asked the question and $I$ believe the question that was asked for -- from Mr. Bianco, he was generally giving his observation by saying that, generally, 1 believe, when police are there, people are more compliant.

I think that's what he's giving, a general. He's not saying that -- so I'm going to allow him to answer the question. I take it that he's given his perspective from a lay person.

He's not been -- I -- and I -- you're asking certain questions in your -- you have subpoenaed the police, which I have signed off on those subpoenas. So I believe that the questions you asked him, they're better off asking from your witnesses.

But I believe Mr. Padro, under crossexamination was just giving you his perspective from a lay person. You -- I don't -- I didn't take that he was giving any generalized expert testimony on crime reduction. So do you have --

MR. STOECKLEIN: But I would ask that his testimony is specifically speaking to a
frequency of crimes relative to the proportion of MPD officers be stricken from the record?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein, Mr. Padro was not presented as an expert. He gave --

MR. STOECKLEIN: I agree, Mr.
Chairman. That's precisely why the testimony that he's giving is completely inappropriate and is objectionable, because he is not an expert.

He has not reviewed the data very clearly. He is not sitting up above all these blocks, observing it at all hours of the day, you know, a fraction thereof, or even anything close. And so his generalized observations have no place in this proceeding.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein, your cross-examining the witness and you're asking the witness certain question --

MR. STOECKLEIN: I'm asking you to direct the witness, Mr. Chairman, to respond -to specifically respond to the questions I'm asking, not to provides generalized opinions about things that can't be supported in fact.

So unless Mr. Padro has the facts to which he can speak, that $I$ would ask that the

Chair instruct the witness to answer only the questions that I've asked.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein, this is cross-examination. I believe, if under direct, if Mr. Bianco had asked -- you did not object to any questions being asked by Mr. Bianco.

And so you're now on cross-examination and now you're asking me on cross-examination to strike testimony that is being given by a witness.

And I believe that Mr. Padro stated that I did not -- you -- I think you misconstrued his testimony and he also clarified to you, sir, that that's not what my testimony was.

I think Mr. Padro, at least in my -from what $I$ heard, he was just, from a layman's perspective, by saying that's not what I said. I'm just giving you my generalizing -- I believe that when the police are there, that people behave -- that most of us believe that when the police are present, most people behave appropriately.

And so that's what -- that's all he was saying. He -- I don't believe -- I did not
take it as him saying that the crime statistics you had asked him specifically for crime information --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Pardon, Mr. Chairman. I don't mean to interrupt you, but I appreciate that you have that perspective and also withdraw it from my objection so that we can continue the - -

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You have any more --

MR. STOECKLEIN: -- continue on.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- questions you want to ask, sir?

MR. STOECKLEIN: I don't.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Any questions by any Board members of Mr. Padro? Yes, Mr. Grandis?

MEMBER GRANDIS: Mr. Padro, it's a pleasure to have you before us today.

MR. PADRO: Thank you. Same here.
MEMBER GRANDIS: $I$ think $I$ heard you answer about certain crimes that are occurring in the area. We've been told that there was a -- in one of the openings that there's been a series car window break-ins and other types of crime in
that block.
Are you familiar with any of those incidents of car window's being broken and things of that type of crime?

MR. PADRO: Yes. So in my personal observance of the broken glass, it mostly has been on adjacent blocks rather than on the 1900 block itself.

Because 1900 block is so heavily trafficked, it's less likely that individuals that are trying to break into cars will take the chance of being observed. There also a lot of cameras associated with the businesses that would be able to observe that activity.

So the 1800 block of 9th Street, the 800 and 900 blocks of T Street are some of the blocks. And even further down, Westminster Street, R Street are where I predominantly -- we see the broken glass.

And yes, that is a significant problem, but again, not only in this neighborhood, but in most nightlife areas around the city, to my observance.

MEMBER GRANDIS: And when you observe these types of crimes, have you talked to the
police about them?
MR. PADRO: No. I mean, we don't have the ability to give particular instructions to the RDO officers. Actually, we have no ability to do -- provide specific instructions other than where, if they are being asked to control and be present.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Maybe I didn't state the question well. Has there been a correlation between any of the businesses -- nightlife businesses, and the break-ins to these windows that you have been able to learn about?

MR. PADRO: The correlation, you know, is the fact that there is nightlife in the area and that there are cars present where the drivers have a tendency to leave objects that could easily be fenced if stolen.

And so that makes the area more attractive for those types of crimes. Not a specific business attracting patrons that would tend to be victims of crime.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other question by any of the Board members? Mr.

Stoecklein, any questions based on the questions that were asked by the Board?

MR. STOECKLEIN: I do have one more question, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead and ask, sir.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Padro, can you provide us with any indication of the number of instances in which the RDO or some number of, call it, seats or slots that are intended to comprise the RDO go unfilled on a weekly basis?

MR. PADRO: Currently, I would say that we are only getting one to two officers when up to six officers have been requested.

MR. STOECKLEIN: And for how long has that been the case, Mr. Padro?

MR. PADRO: It's been the case, I would say, since January of this year.

MR. STOECKLEIN: And do you know why that is the case? Have you been given any indication as to --

MR. PADRO: Yes, what I've been told by the MPD representative that is the coordinator, Brenda Smith, is that officers amount available because of a significant demand
as the program has become more popular, more and more nightlife areas and businesses are taking advantage of the program.

And then coupled with the reduction in force that currently is placed as a result of the fact that the MPD has not been able to get to full strength and the number of initiatives that MPD has been engaged in to address public safety citywide, they just don't have as many officers available to cover the RDO slots that had been requested.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Padro. I don't have any further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, sir. Mr. Bianco's any redirect?
(No verbal response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. Padro, thank you very much for your testimony.

MR. PADRO: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Have a great day.

Mr. Stoecklein, do you wish to call a witness, sir?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chairman, I would like to call Sergeant Dale Vernick.

## CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sergeant

Vernick?
MR. STOECKLEIN: Sergeant --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sergeant
Vernick, I see you on my line, but I'm not -- I see your name. Can you unmute yourself and speak, sir? Sergeant Vernick, I see that you're in a car, sir, and I'm asking, can you --

Ms. Fashbaugh, can you -- I see that -- I'm not sure if --

SERGEANT VERNICK: There we go.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
SERGEANT VERNICK: Can you hear me?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir. I see that you're in a car, sir. Are you in a moving car?

SERGEANT VERNICK: No, I'm stationary sir.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Are you driving?

SERGEANT VERNICK: at stationary sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you. Can you raise your right hand, sir, please.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth and nothing but the truth?

SERGEANT VERNICK: Yes, sir, I do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.
Mr. Stoecklein, your witness.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
Sergeant Vernick, could you please just state your name for the record and -- again and clarify your position within the MPD?

SERGEANT VERNICK: Yes. My name is Sergeant Dale Vernick. First name is D-A-L-E. Last name, V-E-R-N-I-C-K. I am a Sergeant with the 3D Nightlife Unit and I currently am responsible for myself, two other sergeants, and 18 officers that patrol the U Street corridor, to include the 1900 block on 9th Street.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Vernick, how -Sergeant Vernick, pardon me, how long have you served on the MPD and in particular, how long have you overseen the Nightlife task group?

SERGEANT VERNICK: So I have just celebrated my 20th year with Metropolitan Police Department and I'm coming up on three years of the Nightlife Unit.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay.
Congratulations on that achievement. We appreciate your service. Are you familiar with Empire Lounge? I mean, the -- with the business that Empire Lounge conducts?

SERGEANT VERNICK: I am. 1909 9th Street, Northwest, Empire Lounge. I'm very familiar with it.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Before we dive into specific incidences, can you please describe for the Board what you and the officers that you oversee have observed on the 1900 block of 9th Street in the way of -- you know, of crime and activity and just --

Could you please just give us an appreciation for the -- you know, the climate and, you know, what your officers encounter regularly?

SERGEANT VERNICK: Yes, sir. So the 1900 block in 9th Street is predominantly run by hookah-type bars that generally draw a younger crowd, some of which the patrons are unruly, at best.

We deal with a lot of fighting in the streets, stabbing, shootings, robberies, and just
chaos. More so on the weekend than the weekdays, but violence does erupt Monday mornings, you know, at the club. So we're there and we staff that Club Zone Unit seven days a week.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And are you in a position to -- based on your knowledge of this block, to compare on a relative basis to, you know, other blocks within the Third District impacts within the district, you know, how the general level of violence in this area compares to others?

SERGEANT VERNICK: Yes. So my previous 17 years on Metropolitan Police Department, I worked in Anacostia, which is a fairly violent area of the nation's capital.

And I specifically sit, or predominantly sit when I'm not engaged in other activities, in the 1900 block in 9th Street just because of my patrol area. It is the most violent. So it takes the majority of my time.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Have you had occasion to interact with or develop relationships with -- you know, with patrons that frequent the area on a regular basis?

SERGEANT VERNICK: Yes. What I find
is a lot of the patrons come back seven days a week and being one of the guys out there dealing with the chaos is -- I'll get a, Hey, Serg, from across the street and people come up and talk to me and tell me all kinds of information.

They talk to me about what's going on in the community, about other scenes, and so I've actually spent a lot of time dealing with the business owners, the bouncers, as well as the patrons, and the community members that come up and have complaints about some of the goings on from the club area.

MR. STOECKLEIN: So, Sergeant, I think I heard you say, and please correct me if I'm wrong, but you mentioned earlier that it's your observation, your opinion that this is one of the most violent areas that -- in the district that you have patrolled; is that correct?

SERGEANT VERNICK: So that 1900 block in 9th Street is an extremely violent area in relation to other blocks in the U Street corridor. So it does get a lot of my attention. And --

MR. STOECKLEIN: And what --
SERGEANT VERNICK: Go ahead.

MR. STOECKLEIN: And what kind of -can you elaborate? What kinds of violence are we talking about here? Are these --

MR. BIANCO: I'm going to object.
This is now been asked and answered three times.
MR. STOECKLEIN: I'm asking Sergeant Vernick to elaborate on the nature of the crimes and violence that he observes. There is a significant difference in terms of gravity of the situation and consequence if -- between a broken window versus a fistfight versus a fatal stabbing.

And so I'm asking Sergeant Vernick to provide for the Board more specificity of what he's observed so that the Board could get a full appreciation for the nature of the violence.

MR. BIANCO: He's testified that --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. -- hold on, sir. Mr. Stoecklein remember, this is a protest hearing on the substantial change application of Empire Lounge. I gave you some leeway so you can have Sergeant Vernick talk about what is going on in the area generally.

But this is about Empire Lounge, sir. I need you to focus on Empire Lounge. I -- he --
so I'm not going to allow other questions regarding the -- generally what is going on in the neighborhood group.

We have now gotten the flavor because I -- we're -- we've allowed him to testify about the area, so -- because this specific hearing is on Empire Lounge, you now need to focus, sir, on Empire Lounge.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. That's fine, Mr. Chairman. Sergeant Vernick, are you aware of a shooting that occurred? Are you aware of any shootings that have occurred in or in the immediate vicinity of Empire Lounge? And if so, can you please describe those?

SERGEANT VERNICK: Yes. So I'm aware of several. Inside, I'm aware of just recently a non-contact shooting in which a firearm was brought inside the club. A gunshot was discharged. An impact took place in what appears to be the floor. And that was just roughly two months ago.

Prior to that, we had members of the Metropolitan Police Department, my Unit affected arrest of a patron inside Empire Lounge with a firearm on him and he was -- somebody -- he
wasn't a police officer, anybody that was allowed to carry a firearm.

Additionally, approximately a year ago, there was a shooting outside of Empire Lounge involving patrons and guests right in front of the security staff.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Thank you, sir. So $I$ want to handle these sort of one-byone. With respect to the shooting that you suggested occurred approximately a year ago, can you describe any further what findings came out of any investigation? Who was involved?

SERGEANT VERNICK: So I don't actually have the name of the victim or the suspect. I believe our Detective Unit has actually identified a suspect. I do not know if that person has been arrested in connection with this shooting.

But there was an adult male that was shot outside of Empire Lounge, $I$ believe, in the -- like, the shoulder area. A non-fatal-type shooting, but still a shooting. That suspect was able to make good his escape that night.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And am I correct in understanding, in part, your testimony
that the altercation involving the victim and others included at least one or more patrons from Empire Lounge?

SERGEANT VERNICK: Yes, sir.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Thank you. And let's then? So you also identified an incident more recently in which you or other MPD officers responded to the discharge of a firearm inside of Empire; is that correct?

SERGEANT VERNICK: Yes, sir. I was specifically on that scene. As you walk by the narrow hallway to the right, we discovered a shell casing. I was also dealing --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Sorry, but I'm just going to interrupt you real quickly just because I want to clarify. So would it sound right to you that on or about May 62022 was -- is the incident in question?

MR. BIANCO: I'm going to object to the -- to Mr. Stoecklein leading witnesses and essentially testifying for him. If the witness doesn't have personal knowledge or doesn't remember, he can't testify to the fact.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Sergeant Vernick, are you -- do you know approximately the month and
day on which this incident about which we're speaking occurred?

SERGEANT VERNICK: So it was three to four months ago -- four -- I'm sorry. Yes, about two months ago, two-and-a-half months ago, something like that, for the shooting inside, the non-contact shooting.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And could you please describe when you arrived? You were starting to do that, $I$ cut you off. Could you please walk us through, you know, the circumstances of the scene and individuals that you observed when you arrived?

SERGEANT VERNICK: So I was contacted by one of the officers that was out on the scene. He told me that there was a shooting inside of Empire Lounge, that -- he stated that he was flagged down by the bouncer. I believe there was only one person working.

We went inside and it was packed. We had to pretty much kick everybody out. So we were able to locate one shell casing on the floor at the top of the staircase. Also looked like an impact mark on the floor.

I have seen subsequent video of a
person inside the club brandishing a firearm and discharging one round at another patron. Somehow that round did not take effect on the patron, I guess, by the grace of God.

But there was definitely a firearm that was discharged inside that establishment, which I think is the key.

Additionally, we tried to gain footage of that and the ABRA manager that was on duty was unable to provide us with footage because I -- my main concern was, is there personally gunshot wound walking around the streets that can succumb to their injuries? I was trying to figure out if I had a shooting victim.

The ABRA manager was able to get in contact with one of the owners. The owner basically stated he had childcare issues and was not responding. So it was several days later when we were able to get the video. And then I saw it subsequent to that.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And to be clear, when you arrived, so your -- did you just indicate that you needed to clear the establishment of patrons, but it was still full of patrons after the shooting; is that accurate?

SERGEANT VERNICK: That is 100 percent accurate. There was multiple officers, including myself, that had BWCs rolling. It was a handgun caliber shell casing. Unfortunately, I don't remember if it was a 9 or a 40 S\&W.

But either way, if it would've been loud enough inside that establishment that people would typically flee at a rapid pace down the stairs and that did not happen.

When we got in there and there were still people in there drinking, you know, smoking who hookah, carrying on like nothing happened. And it was actually quite surreal.

And the fact that the manager that was on duty stated he didn't hear a gunshot, that he was standing at the bar is what he told us, which was 20 to 30 feet away.

And coming from a guy that has shot guns for 30,35 years, there's no way he could have not known that a gunshot was discharged inside of a crowded establishment surrounded by walls and a ceiling where the sound would have just echoed.

MR. STOECKLEIN: So thank you for that, Sergeant Vernick. So given your experience
on the force and experience with, presumably, multiple, you know, firearm discharges, does it seem -- is it unusual that immediately following a shooting, you know, patrons would hear that and would remain?

MR. BIANCO: Mr. Anderson, I'm going to object at this point. I've tried to sort of lay back here to get through this, but my objection is based on relevance grounds and it's really threefold.

1, there's no correlation between anything this witness is saying and the addition of square footage to this establishment, which is why we're here.

Number 2, the incident that's being painstakingly inquired into did not result in a violation, either primary tier or secondary tier, for this particular establishment after the Board looked into it.

And number 3, this latest round of questioning is related to odd behavior of the patrons, as opposed to operational concerns of the establishment.

So the overall objection is relevance and those are my three supporting arguments.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Response, Mr. Stoecklein?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chairman, I'm trying to understand and I and I would hope that the Board would understand how it is that after a gun was discharged, that patrons wouldn't have left if they had heard it. And that's the key, if they had heard it.

And so what I'm trying to get towards is why might the patrons not have heard the gun being discharged? And that is highly relevant because notwithstanding, you know, the technical factors Mr. Bianco's suggested many times and we've all agreed on, but this isn't -- this case is not about a requested occupancy increase.

The fact remains that it is a significant increase in the size of the establishment and, therefore, a significant increase in the -- you know, the potential impact of whatever activities go on there, right?

The scope of the activities and, in particular, the music, the sound levels, which is really what much of this is all about. So I think it's highly relevant and that's where I'm trying to go.

MR. BIANCO: Mr. Anderson --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Let me just --
MR. BIANCO: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. If he's presenting evidence about noise, why isn't he asking about noise?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I was about to say that, Mr. -- I was about to say, Mr. Bianco. MR. STOECKLEIN: Well, I was just about to get there when you objected, Mr. Bianco.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein, I'm giving you some leeway. However, remember, this is about -- what the Board has been asked to do in this particular case is whether or not we should approve the substantial change to allow them to operate on the first floor.

So can we -- I need you to hold in on back that --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Sure.
MR. STOECKLEIN: That's the purpose of this hearing, sir. So again, let's focus on why we're here. Go ahead, sir.

MR. STOECKLEIN: I'll move on.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to overrule the objection, but $I$ need you to remember why we're here, sir.

MR. STOECKLEIN: I will do that and I will try to be more focused.

Sergeant Vernick, when you arrived at the club, can you describe the volume level of the music that was playing?

SERGEANT VERNICK: Yes. To the best of my recollection, it had been turned down because I believe the DJ was shutting it down, or we went up and told the DJ to shut it down. So shortly after our arrival, it was dissipated prior or -- I don't really have a good basis. I'm sorry.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. That's fine. And did you inquire with the security staff why they didn't clear the establishment after the firearm was discharged?

MR. BIANCO: Same objection, Mr. Anderson.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I'm going to --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chairman, the security staff's sole purpose is to maintain order and the safety of the public both outside and inside the establishment.

So if a firearm's discharged and the
security staff isn't clearing the premises, I'm trying to understand why.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to overrule the objection into -- if the witness can answer the question, he can. Let's see.

SERGEANT VERNICK: Just one more time with the question, please, Mr. Stoecklein.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Sure. Sergeant Vernick, did you have the opportunity to speak with security personnel at Empire to understand why, after the firearm was discharged, the premises wasn't cleared?

MR. BIANCO: That specific question was not asked by me.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Okay. Sergeant Vernick, so I'd like to ask --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Just hold on. Give me hold on. Can you -- let's hold on one minute, please. Okay. Hold on a minute. We're off the record.

I apologize. We're back on the record. Go ahead.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Sergeant Vernick, are you aware of any other recent shootings that have occurred within Empire or next to Empire?

SERGEANT VERNICK: So I believe I've touched on the shooting out front, which was a contact, the non-contact shooting inside about two-and-a-half months ago, and then not necessarily shooting related, but the gun recovery from inside Empire that was about three weeks prior to the last shots fired inside the establishment.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Could you just -- could you please tell me whether you believe that -- what do you believe would be the impact of the Board allowing the applicant to expand to the first floor with respect to public safety?

MR. BIANCO: Objection. Speculation.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Sergeant Vernick is just -- has testified to, and is responsible for, safety -- public safety in this area and he's -he oversees nightlife. So if he's not qualified to -- attest to or to opine on the impact of this expansion on public safety, $I$ can't fathom who else would be.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to overrule the objection. If he can answer, he can answer. If he can't, then let's move on.

MR. STOECKLEIN: So can I repeat the
-- I'd like to repeat the question. Sergeant Vernick?

SERGEANT VERNICK: Yes, sir.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Could you please, based on your experience, knowledge of Empire, and everything that you've just testified on, could you please state for us your view of the potential impact on public safety should the Board grant applicant's request to expand the first floor?

SERGEANT VERNICK: It has been my experience dealing with Empire and the owners of and whatever the Sound Bar was next to Empire, which is the space we were talking about that adding that extra square footage would be an extremely detrimental to an already --

I understand we're not changing the occupancy, but I would say that the management and owners of Empire don't necessarily follow the guidelines of ABRA and the Board. And they kind of stretch things out.

I would say it would be very detrimental to the community, the patrons, the establishment itself, and also my colleagues out on the street.

I can't tell the future, obviously, but I would -- I have a strong feeling, based on other occurrences dealing with Empire, that they would pack the bottom much the way Sound Bar did when that entity was up and running.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Thank you, sir. One last question for you. Given all the violence in this area and on this block in particular and at this bar in particular, did you have any opinion on or have you had any conversations with regular patrons as to why they might continue to come to this area that is a threat to personal safety?

MR. BIANCO: I'm going to object to the extent that the question calls for hearsay. This is beyond the scope of Sergeant Vernick's duties.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sustain the objection. Let's move on.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Sergeant Vernick, have you ever had -- all right. No further questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead Mr. Bianco.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. Sergeant Vernick, I am going to try to keep it as short as possible
and get you out of here. I'm only going to have a few questions for you.

So one of the last questions that you were asked about, the impact on public safety. And if I am correct, the concern you cited was twofold.

One, they don't follow the guidelines. And you were concerned that the establishment would exceed their occupancy; is that correct?

SERGEANT VERNICK: My apologies. That is correct, sir.

MR. BIANCO: Okay.
SERGEANT VERNICK: Based on history of dealing with management there.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. Let's assume, for the sake of argument, that they did not exceed their occupancy. Would you have similar concerns?

SERGEANT VERNICK: I think that is a really big what if and I don't believe it to be an action that they would take. They have proven to me that they are in it for the money and not in it to serve the community, to be good neighbors, to just offer healthy, vibrant atmosphere for the patrons.

I have zero belief that they would not pack that first floor beyond occupancy in addition to what is upstairs.

MR. BIANCO: I understand. And I understand your concern. And based on that testimony, would it be fair to say that if they kept their occupancy for the building to the legal amount, you would not have the concerns that you are expressing in testimony?

SERGEANT VERNICK: I mean, I think that's wishful thinking on -- for the question. I don't see that as a -- or a rational or realistic, I guess, approach that they would have.

They have showed such disregard for safety, public safety, the community, and following general guidelines set forth by ABRA. I have absolutely zero belief that that would be the case. So I can't answer your questions, sir. I do apologize.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. But you would agree that an occupancy for that building of 125 is not problematic from a public safety standpoint, correct?

SERGEANT VERNICK: I honestly feel
that, theoretically, 125 is not a problematic occupancy level for the entire building. However, I would -- I feel that it should be separated between upstairs and downstairs maybe a little bit better than it would be -- than it currently is. I think 125 for the second floor is kind of hefty.

MR. BIANCO: Sure. And if it were, let's say it were separated between the number upstairs and the number downstairs, that would alleviate your concerns, would it not?

SERGEANT VERNICK: I would not say alleviate. I don't feel that. So I think anybody with a rational brain would say, Hey, look, if there's more floor space, people are less packed. It makes more sense.

So for that, I agree with your comments. However, realistically, I don't see anybody maintaining that number. I don't think they maintain that number now with just the upstairs.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And you testified about a couple of instances of shootings over the last couple of years. Do you recall that testimony?

SERGEANT VERNICK: I believe it was last year or so, but I do recall my testimony.

MR. BIANCO: Right. And do you know whether or not those incidents resulted in violations for the establishment of any laws?

SERGEANT VERNICK: So I've done other hearings in reference to who $I$ believe I've done other hearings in reference to Empire in the past. Honestly, I go with whatever the Board says and so I don't necessarily --

I believe they were shut down for 96 hours at some point. And I do you know, they've changed their security around a little bit. But that being stated, we're still getting guns inside the building. So there's a -- still a problem.

MR. BIANCO: And you are in charge of the detail that patrols that particular area for nightlife purposes, correct?

SERGEANT VERNICK: I wouldn't call it a detail. That'd be more the RDO. But I have a unit of 18 officers that are dedicated to the $U$ Street corridor, which also encompasses the 1900 block on 9th.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. Would you agree
with the statement that when there are more officers on-site on the 1900 block of 9th Street, things remain more orderly?

SERGEANT VERNICK: You know, theoretically maybe. It honestly has to do with the night, what the vibe is in the air, if people are just going to be people and be violent or rob or --

I don't know if there is an absolute correlation between the amount of law enforcement officers in the block. I do know that I go heavier at that block than I do any other block from 9th Street to 14th Street on $U$.

So I've done -- generally put my strongest, most capable people in that area for that reason because it is prone to the most violence.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And you're obviously very familiar with the area. So is it fair to say that the 1900 block of 9th Street is more densely populated with bars and restaurants than the other areas you're talking about?

SERGEANT VERNICK: So I honestly feel that it is. And I also feel that it's the same kind of clubs as opposed to the diversity that's
up and down the $U$ Street corridor and maybe some of the other club areas throughout the city.

I feel that the 1900 block on 9th with the hookah establishments and night clubs, they're all fairly similar and $I$ think that just brings a larger population to that specific block.

MR. BIANCO: In your controls or in your monitoring of the 1900 block of 9th Street, could you talk about -- I'm sorry. Have you noticed an issue with crowds of people on the sidewalks?

SERGEANT VERNICK: That I have. That seems to be a citywide problem. It is a problem at Empire, as well as other clubs. I have been working with other bar owners to get that situation under control. It is definitely something that needs to happen.

And now that there's a Nightlife task force, it actually frees me up to do some of that kind of community building where $I$ can have conversations with bar owners about controlling that.

MR. BIANCO: And would I be correct in assuming that the congregation of people is for
lines entering the establishment, or is it something else?

SERGEANT VERNICK: So there's a multitude of things. Definitely, lines coming in. Definitely people coming outside waiting.

Also, a lot of bars don't allow people to smoke marijuana cigarettes inside their club, rightfully so, so they make them go outside, which people go outside, smoke the marijuana, and go back in.

So there's a lot of reasons why that -- why those crowds are outside and that's where trying to get with the business owners to resolve some of these issues is trying to be -- I'm trying to make it beneficial to everybody.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. What types of things are you doing with the business owners to try and make the sidewalks clearer?

SERGEANT VERNICK: Oh, I think with any issue, you have to point it out to them. Give them reasonable expectations and also offer solutions, whether it's some kind of lineage where they could people up --

But the problem is, especially in that block, you have bars right on top of each other.

And getting the cues for one line to another start to get mangled as you move more than a door or two down.

MR. BIANCO: I understand. Fair to say that the addition of an extra door for ingress and egress would help that problem?

SERGEANT VERNICK: Of course. I think anytime you have more than one entry and exit, you're making things safer. I think anybody that says you wouldn't would be straight lying to you. So yes, to answer your question.

MR. BIANCO: Thank you, Sergeant. I appreciate your testimony. I don't have any further questions at this time. The Board may have something.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Bianco. Any questions by any Board members?

MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Short. I have a question.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr. Short.

MEMBER SHORT: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman.
Good afternoon, Sergeant Vernick.

Thank you for your service for 20 years and three years on the Unit that you patrol, 9th Street.

My first question for you is: How familiar are you with the physical layout of 1909 9th Street Northwest as it is today? How familiar are you with the interior physical layout?

SERGEANT VERNICK: So good afternoon, Mr. Short. So I was in there a month-and-a-half ago. Unless the layout's changed dramatically in that month-and-a-half, I could say I'm pretty familiar with it, although I understand that there's a rooftop that $I$ have never been to.

That being said, $I$ do know when you go into the door for Empire, that there is a alleyway and you can walk through a door and get into what used to be Sound Bar, or you can go up a thin narrow hallway to what is, essentially, Empire.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. And knowing that you're not a building code enforcement or fire marshal, on the top floor, the third floor, how many ways do you have to get in and get out if you're on the third floor and you're trying to exit the building in case of an emergency?

MR. BIANCO: Mr. Chair, before he answers, I'm going to object. What we're talking about here is the first floor. This hearing today has nothing to do with the third floor layout.

MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Chair, if I could possibly say this, if you have to leave the third floor and come down to the first floor, it has a lot to do with it because that would mean that the same number of people who are on the second and third floor have to use the same exit as the people on the first floor.

And the reason why Sound Bar had a separate CMO when they were on the first floor is because of that issue. So that issue is very -I think important to what we are trying to get to today to find out about the first floor usage. I think it's urgently important.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to overrule the objection. However, I just want to remind everyone, this is -- the purpose of this hearing is whether or not the Board should grant the substantial change for the first floor.

We're only talking about the first floor, but I'm going to overrule the objection.

If the officer can answer the question, he can answer the question.

MEMBER SHORT: I'll repeat the question again since we were cut off and I don't want to lose track of questioning.

Again, you have been physically inside of that building on how many occasions, approximately?

SERGEANT VERNICK: Mr. Short, I've been in there two or three times. I've never actually been on the third floor, Mr. Short.

I've been on the second floor every time. And I do know that there is at least one egress from the third to the second and then from the second down to the first.

MEMBER SHORT: Is there a rear exit at all for people who are in that club, a rear exit or egress, to the alley of 1909 or to the outside of the building, to your knowledge?

SERGEANT VERNICK: I don't know the answer to that. From the back side of that, it's all fenced off. So even if people got a back area, it's my belief that they would be in a fenced-in area and kind of trapped. So I don't know if there is egress on the backside.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Now, from the second floor to the first floor, the only way to get to the second floor is coming up from the first floor, correct?

SERGEANT VERNICK: That is accurate, sir.

MEMBER SHORT: So that would mean, theoritive-wise, that you can only get up there one way, then you can only to come down one way, correct?

SERGEANT VERNICK: That's my belief. But like I said, I haven't -- I don't know what's in the back of the building and I know it's not standard entrance and exits.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Well, you mentioned also about security and the bouncers for that establishment. In your opinion, as a public safety official and a police officer, how well trained are their security at this location, 1909 9th Street, Northwest?

MR. BIANCO: Objection. Foundation.
MEMBER SHORT: The foundation I'm trying to get to, Mr. Chair, if I possibly could is that the bouncers and the persons on the inside, if there's an emergency and they do have
to all leave there at once, the security persons would have to assist in that.

So as -- the level -- so the level of the security officers and their ability to be security officers, I think it's very pertinent to adding more space to the first floor or any other part of that building

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: If you can answer the question, answer the question. If not, let's move on.

SERGEANT VERNICK: I --
MEMBER SHORT: The question again, just to remind you, Sergeant -- thank you very much for your patience. The security and security level that's currently at 1909 9th Street, Northwest, in your experiences of all of the guns and everything else, how well do you think that security could get people out in case of a real emergency of fire or explosion or whatever?

SERGEANT VERNICK: Mr. Short, to answer your question -- sorry, I'm getting a lot of feedback. I have very little faith in the competency of the security staff there.

I know they've changed hands a couple
of times and I'm not sure about the current security agency that is employed there.

So I would say the previous word, definitely not capable. And I don't really have much experience with the current security company there. So I don't know if that's a fair evaluation.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Well, thank you for that. And my very last question for you, Sergeant and again, thank you for your 20 years of service to our city.

How many times have you seen or experienced overcrowding in the space that they currently have a CMO for?

SERGEANT VERNICK: So I've only been in there a couple of times and every time 1 come in there, $I$ have to notify ABRA. Its way overpopulated, as are most of the clubs. So that's one of the objectives of this Nightlife task force that has been pushed through the mayor's office.

We now have fire marshals. They go out and visit these establishments several times during the tenure of the Nightlight task force. We also have ABRA that is a little more heavily
staffed.
We have other governmental agencies that are doing some of the things that we normally do with traffic control that are helping us out, so allowing us to do things.

So it's -- it seems like people are -that there hasn't been the crowds that normally come down there, so -- since the task force has been enacted, which has actually made for a much safer environment for all.

MEMBER SHORT: Thank you very much for your testimony today and you be safe out there. Thank you. That's all I have, Mr. Chair.

SERGEANT VERNICK: You to Mr. Short. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you Mr. Short. Any other questions by any Board members?

MEMBER CROCKETT: Two quick questions.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You have a question, Ms. Crockett?

MEMBER CROCKETT: I do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead.
MEMBER CROCKETT: Good afternoon, Sergeant Vernick. Thank you for spending some time with us today. My first question is
regarding your statement that you don't have any faith that they would not -- that Empire Lounge would not exceed the capacity limits and would result in overcrowding.

Do you have any evidence that they, in the past or at present, have exceeded their occupancy limits and -- resulting in overcrowding in the facility?

SERGEANT VERNICK: So I don't know if any of the ABRA investigate -- or the ABRA incidents specify overcrowding for Empire specifically, at least in the last year or so.

However, based on their security not doing its job, their management not doing its job, the owners not assisting with any of the investigations in a timely fashion, it seems to me that pretty much everything they have done is through lawyers instead of just doing the right thing.

And that's why I have very little faith in their ability to not exceed the occupancy of the establishment.

MEMBER CROCKETT: So my question was:
Do you have any direct evidence that they have exceeded capacity limits resulting in
overcrowding in the past? And it appears it is -- do not have evidence of that.

Mr. Short, can you please mute?
SERGEANT VERNICK: If we --
MEMBER SHORT: Excuse me?
MEMBER CROCKETT: Can you please mute?
There's feedback.
MEMBER SHORT: Oh, not a problem.
SERGEANT VERNICK: Ms. Crockett, if we could defer to ABRA in reference to that. We generate ABRA incident reports for things like overcrowding. I am at work right now and I don't have access to that.

Hopefully, somebody from ABRA would be able to pull that up and answer that question a little bit better for you.

MEMBER CROCKETT: I have no problems differing to ABRA. My second question was regarding -- you made a comment about marijuana cigarettes. Smoking indoors of any kind is not allowed in the city, correct?

SERGEANT VERNICK: That is correct.
MEMBER CROCKETT: So people would go outside to smoke menthol cigarettes, nicotine, anything, correct?

SERGEANT VERNICK: That is correct, ma'am.

MEMBER CROCKETT: So I'm unclear as to why you specifically called out smoking marijuana cigarettes as a reason for crowding on the sidewalk when people have to step outside to smoke anything in the city.

SERGEANT VERNICK: It's generally what I see in that area, is people stepping out to smoke marijuana. Of course, there's people smoking nicotine base-cigarettes and vapes and everything else.

But when it comes to the overcrowding on the sidewalk, it seem -- it appears to me, based on my experience of being on the street from 8:00, 9:00 at night to 4:00 or 5:00 in the morning, that is the main cause, is people going outside. Since most of the establishments allow hookah on the inside, people go outside to smoke other products.

MEMBER CROCKETT: Thank you. I have no further questions.

## CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other

 questions by any Board members? All right. I have a question $I$ want to ask, Sergeant Vernick.This was the question that the Board has been asked to do. The ABC Board has been asked to approve a substantial change to allow the use of the first floor.

The ABC Board has not been asked to expand their occupancy. So from a layman's perspectives, wouldn't -- allowing 125 people into three spaces, wouldn't that more so alleviate the overcrowded than having 125 people in two spaces?

I can't follow. That's what I'm trying to find out. That's the decision. And from -- it's like -- to me it looks like it's obvious. 125 people in two, now they want 125 people in three space, so the place should be less overcrowded.

It should help the police investigation. So I'm -- explain to me why you believe this is not going to alleviate the problem.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Anderson, when we were young guys and we used to go to the night clubs, we always went to the club that was the busiest, the most packed, right? That's where all the entertainment is. That's where the funs
at.
I don't see them abiding by the laws set forth of maintaining the occupancy of 125 on three levels. It would be so scarcely populated on the inside that their business would actually suffer.

So I honestly believe that they would overpack the entire establishment right from the jump.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So your response is, because your -- seems to -- you believe that they, that they're not going to abide by their occupancy for 125.

MR. STOECKLEIN: That's correct. They have done very little to comply with any of the directions put forth by the Board of the Metropolitan Police Department or anyone else.

They seem like they're very much into the nightclub business to solely make money and to put safety concerns second place.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Thank you. All right. Mr. -- yes, Mr. Grandis, go ahead.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Because of your question, $I$ do now have a question for the
officer. May I?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, sir. MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you. Officer, I want to thank you. Do appreciate the hard work you all have and keeping our city safe.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grandis, I've turned up my volume to, like, 58, so I'm -so $I$ can't -- so if I'm having a problem hearing you, I believe others are having a problem. Go ahead, sir.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Is that better? No? How about this?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's much better.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you. He talked about offline about all that, so --

Officer, you've testified several times that you just don't trust this licensee in the context of the overcrowding. I think we have had those issues before.

But you do believe our investigators, if they went out there and found overcrowding, that they could cite them for violation?

SERGEANT VERNICK: Yes, I'm sure they could. I'm sure they could and would. The
problem is generally ABRA has, what, three or four investigators working on a weekend night and there's hundreds of bars in the city. And to just focus on Empire is not realistic.

So I mean, sure, we could write up reports, but I don't think that actually ensures the safety of the community.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Yes. And I
appreciate that, but I also want to know -- I think your testimony has been noted and we appreciate your observations. Thank you very much. Mr. Chairman, thank you.

SERGEANT VERNICK: Thank you, Mr. Grandis.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Grandis.

Mr. Bianco, any questions based on the questions that were asked by the Board?

MR. BIANCO: No, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein, any questions based on the questions -- any redirect?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Sergeant Vernick,
based on your experience, has Empire Lounge demonstrated an ability to control violence within the club when operating on two floors alone?

MR. BIANCO: Objection. Asked and answered multiple times.

MR. STOECKLEIN: We've been speaking to -- for reasons that I'm not clear on, we've been quibbling about whether or not the applicant is seeking an increase in the occupancy load.

My question has nothing to do with occupancy load. My question has to do with expansion to an additional existing floor and I'd like to know whether -- given that there seems to be some confusion here, I'd like to know whether, based on Sergeant Vernick's highly relevant experience and the incidents that he's testified about, whether he would say that Empire Lounge has demonstrated an ability to control violence within the club when operating two floors.

Mr. Chairman --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead.
MR. STOECKLEIN: -- you just asked Sergeant Vernick why it would be the case, in his opinion, that if an additional floor allowed the
crowd to disperse, why that would -- you know, why that might or might not contribute to more or less violence. So this is highly relevant.

MR. BIANCO: Mr. Chair, this question was previously asked. I objected to it. My objection was overruled. Understandable. And Sergeant Vernick testified to it and it's now beyond the scope of the questions that the Board has asked in addition to being repetitive.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. To maintain a clean record and so all the facts are out, okay, I'm going to overrule the objection. If he can answer, he can answer. But let's be brief and let's move on.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Sure. Sergeant Vernick, would you like me to repeat the question?

SERGEANT VERNICK: Yes, sir. If you would quick.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Based on your experience, has Empire Lounge has demonstrated ability to control violence within the club went operating on two floors alone?

SERGEANT VERNICK: The simple answer is, no, they have not been able to.

MR. STOECKLEIN: And so in your opinion, would an additional floor of operation make it more or less difficult for the owner and operator to control patrons and prevent violence inside the club?

SERGEANT VERNICK: In my opinion, it would make it more difficult for them to control the crowd and the violence inside the club.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Thank you, Sergeant. One more brief line of questions. Are you aware of a fight involving a large number of individuals and MPD officers that occurred on May 12th on the street immediately outside of Empire?

MR. BIANCO: Objections. Scope. Relevance.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm -- this I am going to sustained. Let's move on.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Sergeant Vernick, in your opinion, would the proposed expansion of Empire have -- what would be the impact of the proposed expansion of Empire on your ability and the ability of the security personnel at that Empire to maintain public safety and prevent violence?

MR. BIANCO: Objection. This is now
the same question the fourth time.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein, any response?

MR. STOECKLEIN: That question stands, Mr. Chairman,

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry?
MR. STOECKLEIN: I -- no, I don't have any response.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You don't have any response to --

MR. STOECKLEIN: To my knowledge, I do not recall asking this question to Sergeant Vernick at any point in the past however many minutes we've been speaking. But this is my last question.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Answer the question. Okay. I'll overrule the objection. If the witness can answer the question, he can go ahead.

SERGEANT VERNICK: If you don't mind just repeating it one more time.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Sure, Sergeant. In your opinion, would the proposed -- would the expansion proposed by the applicant, what would be the impact of the expansion proposed by the
applicant on MPD and Empire security staff's ability to maintain public safety and prevent violence? What would be the impact?

SERGEANT VERNICK: Adding an extra floor to that situation would call for more security, I mean, two, three, possibly four more people. So not knowing how they would staff it, I don't know if $I$ can really say what --

I mean, it would definitely need more staffing than what they have now. I think what they have now is kind of a baseline for the two floors. So you're definitely going to need more security.

And honestly, I would probably have to beef up my manpower a little bit. And I know we're not talking about adding capacity load, but adding real estate to an already densely populated area, that's going to require some attention.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Thank you, Sergeant Vernick. I --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sergeant
Vernick, thank you very much for your testimony today. You are free to go.

All right. We've been at this hearing
for over two hours. We're going to take a tenminute break. It's 3:43. So we'll be off the record until 3:53. Okay. So we are off the record for ten minutes. Thank you.

All right. We're back in the record. We are back on the record. Mr. Bianco, Mr. Stoecklein? Mr. Stoecklein?

MR. STOECKLEIN: I'm also here, Mr. Anderson.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you have another witness you wish to call, sir?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes, I do. I would like to call Captain Han Kim, the MPD.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Captain Kim, are you there, sir? Captain Kim?

MR. STOECKLEIN: I see him on the line, but --

CAPTAIN KIM: Yes, I'm here.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Captain Kim, can you raise your right hand, please, sir. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth and nothing but the truth?

CAPTAIN KIM: I swear.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.
Your witness, Mr. Stoecklein.

MR. BIANCO: Mr. Anderson, before we get started --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr.
Bianco?
MR. BIANCO: -- with Captain Kim, I'm not objecting, but $I$ very much would like to avoid any cumulative or repetitive evidence. So if there's some proffer as to what Mr. Kim is going to testify to and how it will differ from Sergeant Vernick's testimony, 1 think it might be instructive for everybody involved.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's fine. The Board did state -- I did inform the parties earlier that the Board would prevent repetitive and redundant testimony. So, Mr. Stoecklein, can you give us a proffer of Captain Kim's testimony? MR. STOECKLEIN: Sure. Captain Kim was formerly commander of the Third District prior to his predecessor taking over in recent months -- or his successor taking over. He can speak to -- in a way that only a commander can about, you know, the history in the area and the evolution that he saw over the multiple years that it was his responsibility to address public safety.

And in particular, he can also speak to several additional shootings that occurred in the immediate vicinity of the block that -- to which he was, you know, directly privy in a way that the sergeant was not.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: As I said
before, this is -- the purpose of this hearing is whether or not this Board should grant a substantial change for the first floor.

I know that Sergeant Vernick provided us an overview, so I don't want Detective King -I'm sorry, Kim, to provide us the same type of level of overview, so I need more direct testimony from him regarding Empire. I'm not interested in having general information. We already have that.

So more -- so I think it should be more direct during his tenure on -- he can talk about Empire and what impact he believes the Board expanding -- allowing them to use the first floor will have on the operations.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chairman, I understand that. And I appreciate it. But --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'll give you some -- I will give you some leeway, however,
it's not going to be as extensive as the information that was just -- because as you stated, he's a past commander. He's no longer commander in this area, so --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- he can give some historical and his direct ties to this establishment. But I don't think that is -- I don't think it's going to be helpful to us for him to give us about trends in the area, since he's no longer directly overseeing this area.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chairman, as you will hear, Mr. Kim -- Commander Kim, now Captain Kim testify he only was recently reassigned to a new jurisdiction.

So he can speak to multiple years which are within the scope of the investigator's report, within the scope of -- directly within the scope of consideration here, which bear on the adverse impact on peace order and quiet, which is the statutory requirement that we need to demonstrate of an expansion as proposed.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to disagree with you, sir. All I stated that we did have the -- I think you're missing the point I'm
stating. I'm not -- he can testify. I'm saying I do not need him to testify -- I do not need him to give the same testimony that we just --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Understood. And I will avoid --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- as --
MR. STOECKLEIN: I will avoid coming even close to that level of detail with Captain Kim.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Fine. Go ahead. And so you can go ahead with that, but $I$ am -- I will be listening to any objection that's raised by counsel and I'll make a determination whether or not I'm going to allow that level of testimony if objections are raised. Okay. Go ahead. You can go ahead, sir.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Captain Kim, could you please state your name and position for the record.

SERGEANT VERNICK: My name is Captain Han Kim. H-A-N K-I-M. I'm currently working for Patrol Services South Division of MPD.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Thank you. And could you please indicate the period of time during which you were commander for the Third District?

CAPTAIN KIM: I became the commander of the Third District in May of ' 21 and then I resigned that position in -- this April, April of 2022. And I have several years of experience actually as a captain in the Third District as well, from 2018 'til 2020.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And are you familiar with the applicant and their operation?

CAPTAIN KIM: Empire Lounge. Yes, sir.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. So could you please, during -- and please be as brief as you see appropriate, but could you please describe for the Board, during your tenure as a commander of the Third District, what you observed in terms of the frequency and severity of violence in the -- on the 1900 block of 9th Street and immediately surrounding area?

CAPTAIN KIM: Yes, sir. And I don't want to just dwell on the violence part of it. I think that corridor is just fraught with volatility. Everything from minor thefts, all the way to shootings.

And what it's affecting is not only the 1900 block and 9th Street, it really
propagates into the neighborhoods. And the most concerns and complaints that I received were from the neighborhoods that are very close within proximity to U Street corridor and also the 9th Street corridor.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. And so can you describe, say, from the beginning of your time -- you indicated that you were the captain in the Third District before you became commander.

But could you -- just limited to the time that you were commander, could you please speak to the -- again, the incidence of, as you said, not just violent crime, but any crime that you observed --

CAPTAIN KIM: Sure.
MR. STOECKLEIN: -- in the area?
CAPTAIN KIM: As a commander, obviously, I had to be very in tune with our hotspots, as we call them, our areas that we knew that concentrated -- concentrate heavy resources on. And one of those areas was the U Street corridor and the 9th Street corridor.

Now, that area -- actually, Sergeant Vernick talked about, so $I$ won't go into it.

Actually, he started to kind of go more towards the 9th Street corridor or U Street has its issues.

But in terms of volatility, the constant fights, the main problem is -- if we're talking here, I'll be honest with you -- was not with inside these clubs, although we did have issues.

Most of the problems occur when the folks are hanging out in front of those specific clubs, not leaving, just hanging out, fights. We've had multiple folks just drinking outside. That -- and those arguments turned into stabbings, shootings, major melees. So those are the main problems within that corridor.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Are you aware of, again, in the immediate vicinity and during your time as commander, can you speak to, in particular, the -- just the incidences of shootings?

Can you describe the shootings that you're aware of, the stabbing you're aware of. Can you, particularly just on 1900 block of 9th Street -- you know, what you've directly observed, that your officers reporting to you
directly observed?
CAPTAIN KIM: Sure. And I'll be short here. I know we've all been sitting through, so I don't want to repeat things that have been said. But in terms of the shooting, $I$ can clearly remember that it actually involved Empire Lounge 1909 7th Street.

We did have a shooting there and after the investigation, it was found that it occurred in the line that was formed while patrons were waiting to go inside of Empire Lounge.

Some sort of argument occurred in that line. And one of the suspects or the people involved produced a handgun and shot the victim. What was concerning to me at that point was that it was very late or early in the morning.

Most of the time Empire Lounge closes at 03-- or 3:00 a.m. That weekend that this particular shooting occurred, they got permission from, I suppose, ABRA to remain open. It was a Juneteenth holiday, so they had permission to stay open an extra hour.

So they were allowed to be open 'til 4:00 a.m. Now, what was very concerning to me and which I addressed was that this shooting
occurred at 3:40 a.m. That is 20 minutes prior to when that club was supposed to be closed.

So I was very frustrated and concerned as to why the club, that lounge, would allow a line to form outside of it at 3:40 in the morning, when, in my opinion, they should have been preparing and getting their security personnel to empty the premises.

Instead, they were allowing lines to form, again, at 3:40 in the morning when that shooting occurred. There was some talk that when that fight occurred, that it was not reported to officers nearby.

The shooting victim actually had to come up to one of the officers in that block and report that he was shot. So after that incident, I actually submitted a fact-finding hearing letter to ABRA. And $I$ will defer to ABRA as to what, if anything, they found during that factfinding hearing.

That's one specific shooting within the year that $I$ can say that involved directly to Empire Lounge. There has been other shootings or shooting that $I$ could talk about that was on the 1800 block in 9th Street. And other obviously
volatility in the area.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And in your experience with Empire, have you found them to be diligent enforcers of, you know, the rules by which a club should -- must be operated under DC code, or are you aware of, you know, the history to the contrary?

CAPTAIN KIM: I wouldn't be able to answer that. I think Sergeant Vernick answered that he was somebody, obviously, that was managing the whole district, so I had my hands into everything.

But in terms of the single place, not abiding by the rules, in that way, I'm not aware of other than some of the concerns about the security that Sergeant Vernick mentioned I've heard and then the incident $I$ just spoke about.

But as far as -- I know anytime we need a video of that sort from Empire, we've had no troubles getting videos and their cooperating with us.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Thank you. One more question. Captain, are you aware of a fight involving MPD officers and a large number of individuals that occurred directly outside

Empire Lounge on March 12th?
MR. BIANCO: I'm going to object to that. I objected to that question -- the same question with Sergeant Vernick and the Chair sustained my objection. So my objection would be the same here.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I'm going to overrule this objection, Mr. Bianco. You had raised the objection on different causes. Here it is. That's part of his direct. He's asked you this question, so I'm going to allow this question, if it's -- if this witness has direct knowledge about this incident.

CAPTAIN KIM: I am aware of it.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And are you aware of a video recording the captured this fight?

CAPTAIN KIM: $I$ am aware of it, yes, sir.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Mr. Chair, I'm not exactly sure the best way to do this for everyone, but I'd like to introduce what is Protestant's Exhibit 6. It is a short video.
(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as Protestant Exhibit 6 for
identification.)
MR. BIANCO: Mr. Chair, before we get to the playing of the video, as you know, we've exchanged exhibits in advance. So I'd like to deal with my evidentiary objection to Protestant Exhibit number 6 first.

And my specific objection is based on my review of the video. It is -- appears to be a social media video that was posted. It's taken by somebody who is not identified or known.

And as such, the video cannot be authenticated and is not appropriate for consideration by the Board. And I know it has been the Board's practice to only allow such social media posts to be used when the author is identifiable and able to authenticate the video.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein, can you please -- I have not seen the document, so can you -- can -- if -- can you provide us some background information regarding this video, sir?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Sure. This is a video that was posted to Twitter by someone using the app on March -- the evening of March 12, 2022. And in the video, you can see, first of
all, extreme violence, but the -- there are multiple MPD officers attempting to break up the fight, which is directly outside of Empire, just to have perspective when you look at the video. And in this instance, these are officers that reported directly to -- you know, to Commander Kim. And he's already indicated that he is both aware of the -- of the melee and he's aware of the video.

And so I can't -- and so I think it's critically important that the Board see exactly what -- you know, what occurred in the video.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who's the author of the video?

CAPTAIN KIM: If you'll forgive me, I'm just going to read to you exactly who the --

MR. STOECKLEIN: But what I'm saying it's not -- the commander is not the author? This is not an MPD video. This isn't that a club video. This is just a random video that you're asking him to testify regarding that was found on social media; is that correct?

CAPTAIN KIM: No, it is the best evidence that we are aware of that exists of a fight that he -- of an altercation that involved

MPD officers that he's already testified to being aware of.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, he's aware of it so he can testify, but we don't know who the video -- who took the video. I mean, I'm not going to allow -- you don't know -- you can't tell -- he's not the author of the video. This is not a video by the police.

It's not a video that you got from the security camera off the establishment. Or even if you had stated that you had gotten a video from a security camera from another establishment, at least you could have someone authenticate that this is a video that was taken from my security cameras from our perspective. So I'm -- $I$ can -- I'm not going to allow --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chair --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm not going to allow a video that you -- we don't know who authored this video. We don't know whether this is authentic. And part of the problem that we'll always have with video, sir, is that, depending on how it's taken, when it's taken, it might give a certain impression that it's not necessarily in
-- helpful.
So I'm not going to allow the video because we cannot authenticate this video, sir. That is only -- that's the reason why I'm going to -- he can if it's a -- if he is familiar with it, you can ask him questions about the incident from his perspective, but not from the videos. So I'm not going to allow the video, sir.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. I understand, Mr. Chairman. If I could beg your indulgence. I just have a procedural question then because I was not aware that -- in this venue, that the federal rules of evidence or the -- you know, the DC rules of evidence were strictly adhered to with and so I'm just -- I'm trying to get my bearings here because hearing -- hearsay has been allowed in multiple instances already.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We --
MR. STOECKLEIN: So I just -- I'm not really sure --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We're not talking about --

MR. STOECKLEIN: And we can't subpoena a handle that says fullassboop, right? Which is the handle of the -- of this Twitter user. So I
-- you know, I'm at a loss because we would've subpoenaed if we could, you know, the person that created the video.

But as you know, counsel requires an e-mail address and a full name, which the -- with the -- there's just -- there's no chance. But this video -- I mean, this -- we know that it is a fight that occurred and we know that it reflects the fight that occurred because the commander has already testified that the officers were involved.

So I just -- I don't understand why this Board would not want to see what's going on on the streets that we're talking about. I just --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What I stated, sir, if the commander is familiar with the fight -- with what occurred, you can ask him to tell us what occurred. You're asking us -- you're asking me -- you're asking to show up video and have the commander testify about a video that he did not take and no one can -- and no -- and that no one can authenticate.

Yes, we don't follow strictly -- in an administrative hearing, we don't follow strictly
the rules of evidence, but hearsay has to be credible. So there's a credibility issue.

As I stated before, if this was a video that came from the security camera of the establishment, from a security camera of some other establishment, from the bodycam video of the police, that this -- the commander can testify. But you're asking him to testify on a video that no one is -- no one here today can authenticate this video.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. I understand, sir.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You can have him testify about -- you could -- you said he's familiar, so you can have him talk about the incident itself and the relevancy of this and how is it -- how this incident, how this is related to Empire.

So I've not stated that you cannot. He can testify. I'm just saying he cannot testify -- he cannot -- the Board cannot watch a video and have him testify about the video, sir. That's all I've stated.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay, Mr. Chairman.
Captain Kim, to the best of your
recollection, could you describe the melee that occurred on the night in question?

CAPTAIN KIM: If it's the same video that we're speaking of, $I$ was not aware of the incident because -- I'll just give you a little backstory on it -- because there was no report taken.

Usually, at the commander level, something is brought to me because someone was, you know, seriously injured or we had some sort of a serious incident.

This incident, when it occurred, was not brought to me because, at the time, no one reported being injured and no one filed a report. It actually came to my attention via citizen. And then at this time, $I$ can't remember how I was able to view that video, but I did. It was a very short clip and I do remember it being a pretty outlandish -- you called it a melee.

It appeared to be multiple folks -citizens fighting, which spilled out onto the street. And our officers got involved just trying to break up the fight. Our officers ran over to the location, tried to break it up.

And as our officers did that, all the folks -- again, if I remember the video correctly, it was so many people involved. And as our officers ran to that location, all the folks that were involved ran away from the scene.

Again, at that time, no one reported being injured. No one reported being a victim, so there was no report to be taken. But that's what $I$ recall of that video and the incident.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Thank you. Captain Kim, one last question. Is it a common occurrence in this area and in your experience for instances like this to occur that don't actually get reported because, as you said, there's not an injury or there's no particular suspect that's been detained?

CAPTAIN KIM: It is not only not the -- not just because there's no report of injury. It's what we call, you know, mutual combatants, right?

There's a lot of fights that occur where both are involved in a mutual fight and they're usually broken up and neither the folks that are involved want to stick around. They flee. Or neither -- or want to file a report.

So it's that sort of thing.
And it is common in that area to see because, as you can imagine, we're dealing with a nightlife area and specially a lot of these problems occur at club let-out time.

This usually starts happening around 1:00 a.m. and then continues on. And the trouble that we have on that corridor is dispersing these folks once they exit these bars.

I know Sergeant Vernick and his team are very involved in trying to and mitigate these things, trying to work with the clubs. Saying, Hey, you know, can we -- and I know this didn't come about.

We were trying to kind of have them help us with dispersing at different times or having folks -- even if you close at 3:00 a.m., let's say, Hey, at 2:30, let's get, you know, half the folks out. And then 3:00, what's left the other folks -- half the folks out so they don't, you know, a melee around the establishment.

But obviously that's hard to do because, again, they're a business, so they want folks in their established to the full exit time.

So that's a long answer to say that, yes, there's a lot. And you guys, when you're in that corridor during the weekends, in those early morning hours, it's just a really volatile situation.

MR. STOECKLEIN: I appreciate that. Just so I'm clear, so is it accurate -- would it be accurate to say that the number of instances, I guess, I'm not sure the correct terminology to use here, but the number of reports -- you know, sort of formal reports taken that -- you know, that your officers right up, it represents only a fraction of the actual violence occurring the -in this block?

CAPTAIN KIM: I don't want to venture to guess that. And I wouldn't, I don't want to say, fraction, but I am willing to say that, yes, some of the incidents, the violence, do not go reported.

And we also get also late reports as well. We have inebriated folks who are either robbed in the area or things get stolen, where they just don't want to deal with it because they're, you know, really intoxicated at the time.

And we've had many, many times where they come in the next day or report the incident two days later or at a different district.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Thanks very much,
Captain. I have no further questions.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco?
MR. BIANCO: Yes, sir. Okay.
Captain, I want to start where we ended, essentially, with this notion of this fight on the street. Your only knowledge of that comes from the video that was excluded as evidence, correct?

CAPTAIN KIM: From when a citizen brought it to my attention, yes, sir.

MR. BIANCO: So you didn't speak with any eyewitnesses to that?

CAPTAIN KIM: I did not.
MR. BIANCO: And --
CAPTAIN KIM: There were no eyewitnesses.

MR. BIANCO: And as you mentioned, there was no formal report made of that incident, correct?

CAPTAIN KIM: There was not.
MR. BIANCO: And you have no reason to
believe whatsoever that that fight that apparently occurred had anything to do with Empire, do you?

CAPTAIN KIM: I do not know how that fight stemmed from, no.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. If I recall your testimony correctly, it was that, in your for view, most of the problems on 9th Street occur outside of the clubs; is that accurate?

CAPTAIN KIM: If you're clumping in all of the problems, issues, reports that occur on 9th Street corridor and you were to separate it that way, yes, most do occur outside.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And I want to talk, just for a moment, about the establishment's ability to regulate activities that go on on a public street. Is there anything an establishment can do about people acting out on a public street?

CAPTAIN KIM: First, I'll start at I know that these establishments have agreements with ABRA in terms of particularly the lines. I know some establishments have parameters on where the lines can be, how many they can be, things that -- of that sort, so they -- they should be
controlling that. As far as -- I'm not sure what other activity that you referred to.

MR. BIANCO: Unruly behavior --
CAPTAIN KIM: Excuse me?
MR. BIANCO: Unruly behavior, fighting, yelling, throwing things, blocking the sidewalk. Is there anything that a bar can do about adults' activities in a public space like that.

CAPTAIN KIM: So with that question, we do expect, if you -- there's -- a lot of these clubs do have security guards that are at the door or outside the door. We do expect them to, within that particular space, if something happens, to either intervene.

And if they can't, then -- like, we were talking about this whole meeting here, we have officers on that block to at least report it. But other items outside of that space than -

- other than being a reporter or a good Samaritan, no.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And you talked about an incident involving a shooting outside of Empire, correct?

CAPTAIN KIM: Yes, sir.

MR. BIANCO: And I think your testimony was that you referred that to the ABC Board for a fact-finding hearing, correct?

CAPTAIN KIM: I did.
MR. BIANCO: And you don't know what, if any, action the ABC Board took about that, right?

CAPTAIN KIM: I can't recall.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And you also discussed a concern that there was a line outside of the establishment at that time in the morning. Do you have any knowledge that they were actually admitting patrons at that point?

CAPTAIN KIM: We have witnesses because one of the -- actually, without getting too far into the case, we did have folks tell us that they were waiting in line to go into Empire when this incident occurred.

MR. BIANCO: No, no. I mean, that part I understand.

CAPTAIN KIM: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: I mean, I think you testified clearly that there was a line.

CAPTAIN KIM: Yes.
MR. STOECKLEIN: But what I think I'm
asking, and maybe what you don't know, is whether or not people were actually being let in?

CAPTAIN KIM: I do not know that.
MR. BIANCO: That's all I have. Thank you very much, Captain Kim. I appreciate your testimony.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Hold on, Captain Kim. Are there any questions by the Board members?

MEMBER SHORT: Question.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr. Short.

MEMBER SHORT: Good afternoon, Commander.

CAPTAIN KIM: Good afternoon, sir. MEMBER SHORT: Thank you for your service, sir.

CAPTAIN KIM: Thank you.
MEMBER SHORT: My question to you is: When there are incidents in places such as the 1900 block of 9th Street Northwest, when you were a commander and now as a senior command -supervisor for the Metropolitan Police Department resources -- how does violence on the 1900 block on 9th Street affect MPD's, the Metropolitan

Police Department's resources in that region or the city?

CAPTAIN KIM: Thank you for that question. That was one of my biggest frustrations when I was commander at the Third District just because you're talking about a very short or small a footprint of the Third District. And we did have to put a whole lot of resources there.

And as you heard Sergeant Vernick say several times -- I mean, he had two other sergeants and 18 other members. When I was there, I had up to 23 members. So it does take a lot of resources.

And not only was it MPD resources, when I was there, I needed help from other government agencies. As you guys all know now, there's a Nightlife task force has been created, but it also took resources.

I used to work with DPW. ABRA was very helpful, having agents out there with us, again, DCRA, DW. So it takes a lot to kind of oversee that small footprint of our city.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Thank you. But basically, the question $I$ was trying to find out
was MPD's -- just MPD's resources. Would that be any different?

CAPTAIN KIM: I'm sorry?
MEMBER SHORT: The 1900 block of 9th Street --

CAPTAIN KIM: Yes, sir.
MEMBER SHORT: -- how did it affect the resources of just MPD in that region and also citywide?

CAPTAIN KIM: I think I -- you're kind of going in and out, but yes, $I$ did have to have a lot of resources dedicated to just the 1900 Block in 9th Street.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Now, my second question is: As a senior command person with the Metropolitan Police Department, have you or any of the senior staff had any public safety training in your years of service?

CAPTAIN KIM: I'm not sure I understand the question. Myself, if I had?

MEMBER SHORT: I'm just -- the senior staff of MPD and specifically yourself?

CAPTAIN KIM: Yes, sir.
MEMBER SHORT: Training when you were in your years about anything dealing with public
safety and just not for MPD, but public safety in general?

CAPTAIN KIM: Absolutely. I mean, yes. We're training all the time. We were required to have training. So the short answer is, yes.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. And so you mentioned also that a lot of the violence that happens in the 1900 block of 9th Street happens in the exterior and on 9th Street itself.

Have you ever got or do you -- or does your command get complaints about internal or inside of the clubs? And if not, why?

CAPTAIN KIM: It's been my experience that, as compared to the activities that go outside -- no, the complaints have been mostly outside. I don't know why more of the volatility doesn't go on inside of the clubs. Maybe -- and that's --

And again I'm just making assumptions here. Maybe folks are there to have a good time and, you know, the old adage, if you know, you want to fight then go outside, that sort of thing.
But other than some of the -- we -- I
-- if I recall correctly, a stabbing that occurred in a bar that is also on 9th Street, few other things that occurred inside. Other than that, again, most of the activity happens outside.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short, we can't hear you, sir. I can't hear you, Mr. Short. Mr. Short, I cannot hear you. I cannot hear you, sir. I cannot hear you.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at $4: 31 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. and resumed at 4:36 p.m.)

MR. STOECKLEIN: Hold on. If maybe any of the other Board members who haven't had an opportunity to ask questions yet, have them -that perhaps while we're waiting, we could --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I --
MR. STOECKLEIN: -- some additional --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Generally, we can do that. But I would -- I want to hear all -- I want all the Board members to hear and participate on -- although, as long as they're three Board members online, we do have a quorum. So that's not an issue.

But I prefer that all Board members
hear all the evidence and testimony so when we deliberate, they're --

MR. STOECKLEIN: No. I mean, I -yes, I was suggesting that maybe while we waited, we could hear more and then Mr. Short can get back on and finish.

But I understand, obviously, it's entirely up to you, Mr. Chairman. I just -- I'm keenly aware --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, I --
MR. STOECKLEIN: -- of that it's approaching the end of the day for everybody, so --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, Mr. Stoecklein, we will be here until this hearing is over. So whatever time that's going to be, we're going to finish this hearing today. It's -- if we're under the --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I appreciate that. I just don't want everybody to have to suffer.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I hear. And it's -- and after this hearing is over, we're going to deliberate and make a decision -- a tentative decision tonight and if -- our final
decision tonight, so we'll be here 'til the end. But I -- we all have brand new laptops, so I'm not quite sure why we're having these technical difficulties. But these are all brand new laptops. All agents, all of the Board members, we are operating on, so --

He has not had any of his problems early all day today and it's not a Wi-Fi issue. So it's just -- the equipment just -- I don't know what happened, so --

I just text him. I'm waiting for his response. But he's typing. He is responding to my text. I'm waiting for the response.

He's waiting for his -- the laptop to sign him back in. All right. Mr. Short has rejoined us.

Mr. Short, did you have any other questions that you want to ask?

MEMBER SHORT: Can you hear me now?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I can hear you, sir. Do you have any other questions that you want that ask?

MEMBER SHORT: If I could continue. And thank you for being patient, everyone. Thank you for being very patient. The question I had
asked was about security accountability.
And you're saying nightlife security in general, but basically since we're only talking about 1909 9th Street at this time, security accountability, can you tell us or tells this Board and the public if there are any concerns. And if there are, what are they? Security accountability.

CAPTAIN KIM: That's for me, sir?
MEMBER SHORT: Yes, sir.
CAPTAIN KIM: You're talking private security inside the club?

MEMBER SHORT: Yes, sir.
CAPTAIN KIM: I'm not sure if I'm the one to answer accountability on their part. They're privately contracted. So whatever that they agreed upon when they hired them and then they are accountable to their boss, which is initially Empire Lounge.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Well, thank you for that, but my last question would be: We didn't -- we weren't -- we were unable to see a video today, but there have been videos produced and had hearings regarding 1909 9th Street previous to this hearing.

And so what I would ask you is: In your professional word, what would happen if you're trying to get 125 people outside the interior to the exterior and you have those large numbers of people on the sidewalk, smoking or whatever else, directly in front of the exit egress, the only one for the building?

CAPTAIN KIM: What you've described there, obviously, is not ideal. And when it's not even 125, when you have, sometimes, three to five folks out there, it can get volatile.

Again, Sergeant Vernick mentioned this earlier. It really depends on what's going on, who's out there, what happened inside the club, did some sort of, you know, fight go in inside the club that spills outside, so --

And again, it's been my experience of dealing with situations on 9th Street and $U$ Street, the more folks that you have out there meleeing around, the bigger problems we get.

So when I say -- you know, I promised him, you know, happened from 3:00 to 5:00, 30 people and you put a number,125, again, not an ideal situation, something that is very difficult to handle and deal with.

MEMBER SHORT: Thank you so very much, Commander, for your service. Thank you for your testimony today. And you also be safe out there. CAPTAIN KIM: Thank you, sir. You too.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Short. Any other questions by any of the Board members? All right.

Mr. Bianco, any questions based on the questions the Board asked? Mr. Stoecklein, any redirect?

MR. STOECKLEIN: No, Mr. Chair?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Commander, thank you very much for your testimony today. You are now free to go. Thank you very much. Have a great day.

CAPTAIN KIM: Thank you. You too.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you. Now, we're going to go back to normal procedure. And the normal procedure, the way this case normally occurs, is that the Board will present its testimony and our -- and then once the Board, we'll have the licensee.

So we're going to go back to -- so we -- so just -- let me ask -- well, let me -- let
the Board -- I'm going to have the Board call its -- excuse me, have the Board call its first witness and then I'm going to do a witness check by both the licensee and the protestant.

So the Board will call its first witness, Ms. Rhoda Glasgow.

Ms. Glasgow, can you raise your right hand, please. Ms. Glasgow?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes, I'm raising my right hand. I'm not sure what's wrong with the video.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, okay. Because I can't see you raise your hand. So putting -- can you please raise -- put it back up. I saw it for a couple of minutes and then it disappeared. So -- all right. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth and nothing but the truth?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes, I do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Can you state your name for the record, please.

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Rhoda Glasgow, R-H-O-D-A G-L-A-S-G-O-W.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you tell us where you're employed, please?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: The Alcoholic Beverage Regulation Administration.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And how long have you been employed by the Agency?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Approximately three-and-a-half years.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And can you please tell us, what are your duties and responsibilities?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I conduct inspections and investigations of licensed ABC establishment in the District of Columbia.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Are you familiar with the Empire Lounge?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes, I am.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And how are you familiar with this facility?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I was assigned the protest for the substantial change application.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now, can you tell us, what is the -- what -- can you tell us, what is the application that the -- that is the subject of this protest?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: It was for the
expanded space that is named Sound Bar.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So
who did you speak to in preparing for this -- let me backup. Did you write a report for -- based on your findings?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes, I did.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Are you able to share this report with the Board?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Fashbaugh, can you allow Ms. Glasgow to share her case report if that has not been done yet -- as yet, please. Thank you.

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Can you see my screen?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, ma'am.
INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Can you see it now?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Nope.
INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Share.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, we can.
INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Why don't you go back to the first case then -- all right. The first page of your report. So can you identify
this document, please?
INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes, this is my protest report, my investigative report for the substantial change of Empire Lounge's application.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now, did you get a chance to speak to the protestants in this case?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes, I did.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And who did you speak to?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I spoke with, specifically, Mr. Pearson Stoecklein.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And what information were you able to gather as a result of your conversation with him?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Well, Mr. Stoecklein's complaint is, basically, Empire Lounge has been violating DC laws and playing loud music at an amplified level. He expressed the establishment is operating Sound Bar as a separate entity.

He said that there were a lot of establishments already on the block and because of him operating it as a separate entity, it
seems that it's going to add more $A B C$ license to the block, which is not conducive to the neighborhood.

He said that the establishment has been violating your essay for a lot of -- on a lot of occasions and there are a lot of crimes outside of the establishment. And this is unacceptable for the neighborhood.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now, who else did you test -- who else did you identify -- I'm sorry. Who else did you interview as part of your report?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I did not specifically interview anyone else. He actually compiled a bunch of statements from neighbors who lived adjacent to the establishment. So that is what is documented on my report.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So these were just his -- this is basically Mr. Stoecklein's conversation that he recorded too off a individual; is that correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you describe for us this -- the -- briefly, the neighborhood?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: So 9th Street between $T$ and $U$ is a very busy area. It has a lot of licensed establishment there. It has approximately 18 licensed establishment on that block alone.

At night the street is actually -recently the street is actually blocked off by MPD, so there's no traffic going in any direction on that block after a certain time at night.

Well, during the summertime, there's a lot of people on the streets, patrons, I guess, going from one establishment to the next. But when it comes to licensed establishment, there's a lot of activities out there.

Recently, there's a lot of MPD presence, because there is a lot of RDO. So if the street is -- I guess, has a lot more people there than, you know, usual.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And I guess that you're stating that this is a very busy -this is a very, very busy area with a significant amount of establishment; is that correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now, I'm hearing conversations regarding Sound Bar. Are
you familiar with Sound Bar?
INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: So my first interaction with the establishment, I was actually monitoring an establishment on 9th Street. And I saw it and I was like, I never -this is the first $I$ was seeing of it. I think it was a couple of months ago. And $I$ went in and the place was extremely packed.

And I approached the owner of the establishment and I asked him, Did you apply for -- because I did not see any postings for a substantial change or anything at the time, so I contacted my supervisor.

And he said that there is actually a report that is being written as we speak by another investigator because he was -- he operated the establishment without approval from the Board, so --

But the -- inside Sound Bar, that particular area on the first floor was extremely packed. And I also noticed that there was a DJ on the floor, but on his essay -- his -- I'm sorry, his -- not security plan, his settlement agreement.

He -- the DJ is supposed to only be on
the second floor, not adjacent -- not facing the wall of patrons -- I mean, I'm sorry, of residents in the neighborhood.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So are -you're saying this -- the reason I'm asking -and I see that it's off in your report. So was Sound Bar a licensed establishment?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: At the time? CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- after that -- okay --

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Oh no.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Is it currently a licensed establishment?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Sound Bar, no. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now, did you have an opportunity to specifically visit Empire Lounge as a part of the investigation of this case?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And when did you visit this establishment?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I visited the establishment on -- where's my report? I'll tell you exactly the date. I visited the establishment on Friday, July 1st.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now, when you say you visited the establishment, explain what you meant by you visited the establishment, please.

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I visited the establishment. I spoke with the owner regarding my protest report. I took pictures of the area of Sound Bar to document in my report.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now, as at the time of the -- at the times that you visited, was there -- was Sound Bar -- so -- I'm sorry where is Sound Bar or where was Sound Bar?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Sound Bar is adjacent. It's basically practically part of the building of Empire Lounge, but it has a separate entrance. So it looks like an additional ABC license establishment on the block.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, but is -INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: But it -- I'm sorry.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, it's okay. Go ahead. Now --

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: But it is next to -- it is part of the building of where Empire Lounge is.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now, is this a space that would -- is this the same space that would be the -- that would be classified the first floor?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So was -- when you -- at the time you spoke to the owner, was there any activities on the first floor? INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: No.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And what time is it that you went to visit this establishment? INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I would say around 11:00,11:15.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now, you said you -- so you only went to visit this establishment one time; is that correct? INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: No, I visited three other times. Actually, I was just monitoring the establishment to make sure there was nothing -- no activity going on while the protest is -- while we wait for a decision from the Board.

But the one time that $I$ went in there, and that is documented in my report, is to get the photos and speak with the owner regarding the
protest.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So what other occasions did you actually monitor the establishment?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: So I monitored the establishment on June 27th around 12:00 a.m. to, like, 12:15, July 1st, as I explained before. July 3rd at around 1:30 in the morning and July 4th at around 11:30 p.m.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So were there any -- were you able to identify any ABRA violation during the period of your monitoring?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: No, there were no violations.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now, what about -- did you -- did there -- did you do a record search regarding whether or not they were calls for service for -- from this particular address? INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes. So according to the record search, there were 68 calls for service between March 16, 2019 to June 21, 2022. It was regarding noise, assault, theft, et cetera.

I also did a noise complaint and there were approximately eight noise complaint between

2021 and 2022.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And I see -all right. I see you -- there's an investigative history for this establishment, I guess, covering the period. So I see that there is some -- there is an investigative history for this establishment.

Can you tell us what period is documented in your report?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Okay. So I'm sorry, the noise complaint was from 2019 to 2022 - -

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, I'm saying, generally, the investigative history. What period was it documented in your report?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: According to investigative history here, I have from January 20, 2019 to June 7th of 2022.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You have some exhibits attached to your report. Can you identify the exhibits that are attached to your report, please?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Sure. So the first exhibit is a letter from the ANC outlining exactly their concerns from the establishment
based -- their protest is based on the effect of real property values.

And it explains the effect the establishment has on peace, order, and quiet and litter provisions and parking needs, and pedestrian safety. That's Exhibit 1.

Exhibit 2 is also a letter from the WNA Association explaining -- outlining their concerns as well. And it's basically the same concerns as the ANC.

Exhibit 2. It's -- I -- as I explained before, letters from resident -- former and current resident in a nearby establishments that Mr. Stoecklein obtained and sent to me.

We have one -- we have -- from Exhibit 5, we have another letter that explains -outlines their reason for leaving, moving out of their homes because Empire Lounge music is extremely loud and it's hard to, basically. live in the neighborhood next to that establishment.

I have Exhibit 6 and 7 that outlines that as well. And I have here an Exhibit 8, a letter from Mr. Nigussie explaining that he was harassed and -- verbally and physically by Mr. Stoecklein.

He attached the videos, but there was no audio in the video, so I could not substantiate exactly if his argument -- his statement was accurate or not.

I have here the next exhibit, Exhibit 9. I have -- it's the zoning map of Empire Lounge, which is located in the ARTS-2 zoning area.

I have the GIS map which shows there are 60 establishment in Exhibit 10 within 1,200 feet of the establishment. I have Exhibit number 11, which outlines that there are no public libraries within 400 feet, but there is a school around -- within 400 feet.

Exhibit number -- what exhibit number is this? Exhibit number 12 shows the front area of Empire Lounge, which is Empire and Sound Bar next to it. As you can see, it's the same building, but separate entrances. They're are both 1909.

Exhibit 13 shows the back of the establishment. There is one exit to the back of the establishment at the top that facilitates the exit for the second floor and the third floor. And we have, if you can see -- okay.

So then exhibit -- the other exhibit, Exhibit 14, is the door for the first floor, the exit for the first floor. And it all leads out into an alleyway.

And as Officer Vernick explained, there is a barrier that blocks it off, where, like -- so you don't go out directly into the alleyway. There is, like, a gate that -- or something that closes so you don't go directly out.

Exhibit number 15 shows inside of the establishment. He was doing construction, little work in there, so it was a bit of a mess. Exhibit 16 shows the bar area.

Exhibit number 17 is that the one bathroom area. Exhibit number 18 shows the DJ booth, which I explained to you before that their essay only allows them to have a DJ on the second floor and this is on the first floor.

Exhibit 19 shows more pictures of inside of the establishment. Exhibit number 20, same, inside of the establishment.

Exhibit number 21, this is a door that leads to what's the staircase to go up to the second floor. It's between the first -- it's a
way to go from Sound Bar area, that space, towards the -- going up to the first floor and the second floor --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry. Let's go back to Exhibit 21.

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So there is -so when you enter -- so there's an entrance on 9th Street for -- there's an entrance on 9th Street for Empire Lounge and there's an entrance on -- a separate entrance on 9th Street for Sound Bar; is that correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And so you're saying, once you're in either Sound Bar or Empire Lounge, there is a door that allows you just one -- there's just one door that allows you inside to get from Sound Bar to Empire Lounge to go upstairs or --

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- and vice versa?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Okay. Go ahead, please.

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Exhibit 21 is their license. Yes, ABC license hours. Exhibit number 22 is the parking. There is no main parking in the area because --

These signs recently went up because they were doing a lot of construction in the area. So these are newly placed signs. So there's no real parking on the block.

Exhibit 21 -- I mean, sorry, Exhibit 24 is the record search of their calls for service. As you can see, it started from March of 2019 through June of 2022. Exhibit 25 is their settlement agreement.

MEMBER SHORT: And what's the date of the settlement agreement?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: The date of the settlement agreement is -- just a second. October 1, 2018. That was the roll call hearing of -- one second. It says, Order by October 17, 2018.

MEMBER SHORT: All right. Go ahead.
INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Okay. And we have here their security plan.

MEMBER SHORT: And what's the date of the security plan?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: October 12, 2018.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's the date of security plan?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: No, that is the date of the agreement for the settlement agreement.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So what's the date of security plan? Do you --

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I'm sorry the security plan. June 17, 2022.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you.

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: And that was Exhibit 26. And that, $I$ believe, is all my exhibits.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you. All right. I don't have any further questions to ask you Ms. Glasgow. Close this screen, please.

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any of -- any questions by the Board members?

MEMBER SHORT: Yes. Mr. Short would like to ask the investigator a question.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr. Short.

MEMBER SHORT: Good afternoon still, Investigator --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Glasgow.
MEMBER SHORT: Glasgow, okay.
Investigator --
INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Good afternoon
MEMBER SHORT: -- Glasgow. Thank you for the action report and I think a lot of your exhibits were quite helpful. Regarding your time and service with the -- as an investigator with ABRA, at anytime since you've been in on board with ABRA as an investigator, have you had any training regarding public safety whatsoever?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
MEMBER SHORT: And can you explain to us just very briefly what that training incurred?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Well, basically, when we enter an establishment or if we're referring to the establishment and public safety, we have to make sure that the establishment is complying with the rules that allows -- would allow safety practices.

So there's no overcrowding, no
overoccupancy. We have to make sure that the security is checking, doing their searches so the public can remain safe inside of the establishment.

As you see here, we -- some establishments come into an agreement with this security plan to allow for public safety within the establishment.

So basically, the establishment -- we have to make sure that the establishment is following the rules so the public can be safe inside of the establishment.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Based on your answer, since the security agreement was signed October 2018, I believe --

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
MEMBER SHORT: -- have there been any violations of the security plan or security agreement?

MR. BIANCO: I'm going to object to the characterization of the testimony. I think the testimony was that the security plan was not in place until 2022 and not 2018.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr. Short.

MEMBER SHORT: Thank you. Based on that -- and thank you very much, Mr. Bianco. Based on that, was there a security agreement signed October of $2018 ?$

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: The agreement that was signed in 2018 was their settlement -MEMBER SHORT: Settlement agreement. Okay.

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: -- agreement, not the security plan.

MEMBER SHORT: All right. Thank you for the correction. Now, with the security, have there been any violations of that security agreement since it was signed in $2018 ?$

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: The only thing that $I$ could remember -- well, $I$ can explain from their investigative history is that there was an incident for a firearm on June 7th of 2022. There was an incident where there was a gun inside of the establishment.

MEMBER SHORT: That violate the agreement?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Well, according to the report, $I$ do not believe that the -- well, I guess if -- it will bring up the question as
the -- is -- was this individual searched before entering the establishment? So that is a security issue.

MR. BIANCO: Again, Mr. Anderson, I renew my objection to the testimony on a June 7th apparent incident where the security plan is dated June 17th.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So remember, so we can -- this -- the security plan is dated June 17, 2022, so we can't have testimony on a plan that was not -- on a violation of a possible plan that was not in existence.

So if we're going to have testimony, it has to be on the security plan. It would be for are there any incidents after June 17, 2022, not prior to June 17, 2022.

MEMBER SHORT: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. Now, Investigator Glasgow, the space that there -- that this business is asking to use on the first floor, was any violations -- or let me take that back. Was anybody using that space prior to getting permissions from this Board? INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes. There were a couple of cases that were written because he was using his space without approval.

MR. BIANCO: Mr. Chair, I would -- not objecting to this particular question, but I would note that the questions are referring to unadjudicated violations and I would ask that we exclude such questions until my client has the opportunity to defend himself.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I will have clients -- I'll have our Board member is to carefully tread along this area. But I was shown a picture and I'm showing Sound Bar and the -I'm sorry, the -- our witness did testify about a Sound Bar and there's activity in the Sound Bar.

So I think it's somewhat relevant, but when I don't -- I'm not -- I'm just asking Board members not to go into specifics. But if you have general questions about this space, so be it.

All right. So I will just -- all right. But you can go ahead, Mr. Short, but if it's for --

MEMBER SHORT: I'll take that question back.

## CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

MEMBER SHORT: I don't want that question. Thank you very much. But now, you did
your walkthroughs or your inspections for the space, you testified in what hours -- what -- the question, I guess, I really want to ask you is: What hours does the 1900 block of 9th Street normally get busy? What hours are -- what are their busiest hours?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I would say from, like, 10:00 p.m. to, like, 3:00 or 2:30, between those hours.

MEMBER SHORT: So it's the same process is the same process at 10:00 that it is at 3:00?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Sometimes, yes.
Yes.
MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Very fair. Again -- so how many inspections did you do of this space? And what -- and again, I know this is repetitive, but again, just refresh me as a Board member, how many times did you, and what hours did you go for the -- to do your report?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: For my report,
I specifically only went there into the establishment, into the expanded space one time.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. That's all I have at this
time. I thank you and -- Investigator Glasgow, CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Short, any other questions from any of the Board members? Go ahead, Mr. Grandis.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you,
Investigator. That one time you went in, if I remember, it was, like, midnight or 12:30 a.m.? Am I recollecting that --

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: It was about 11:00 -- between 11:00 and 11:30.

MEMBER GRANDIS: And you went there precisely because of the assignment to deal with the request to expand the premises to the first floor, correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
MEMBER GRANDIS: And I think you already stated, but just to remind me, when you went in, you went into the Sound Bar area first or to the Empire area first?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Well, when I got there, the Sound Bar area door was locked. So the owner came out and he escorted me into the Empire area, which he led me to the side door going into Sound Bar area.

MEMBER GRANDIS: And when you went
into the Sound Bar area, I'm assuming now from the picture you went in from that door that was closed, but that could be opened to go from the first floor to the second floor?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: That is
correct.
MEMBER GRANDIS: And were patrons using that same door as you were escorted into? INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: At the time, no. There was no patrons on the first floor. MEMBER GRANDIS: Oh, there were no patrons on the first floor, so -- and you also -for your records, you said that there was a bar that you could see on the first floor?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
MEMBER GRANDIS: And you could see a
DJ booth on the first floor?
INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
MEMBER GRANDIS: When you went to the second floor, did you see a DJ booth?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: There is one up there too, yes.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Was there a DJ that evening?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: At the time of
my visit, $I$ did not go up to the second floor. My main focus was the expanded space at that time.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Have you been to that second or third floor other than regarding this particular matter?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes, multiple times.

MEMBER GRANDIS: And there's a DJ booth on the second floor, as well as a DJ has been there?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
MEMBER GRANDIS: And we've had testimony today that the second and third floor, at least in layman's terms, was overcrowded.

Did you have a sense that there was a 150 people on both floors, or did you have a sense that it was more or less?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: So I had written a case that they were over their occupancy on one occasion. That was during the mayor's emergency order. They were -- they had a couple of other mayor's order violation at that time and occupancy was one of them.

MEMBER GRANDIS: And at that time, did
you, at all, observe the first floor when you made that observation regarding the overcrowding? INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Well, at that time, no. The first floor was not operating at all.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Talking about the incident where it was overcrowded on the second and third floor, again, when you went to look at the first floor, there was no occupancy? There was nothing going on on the first floor?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes. At that time, around 2020, yes, there was no one on the first floor.

MEMBER GRANDIS: And if the Board were to grant this and keep the occupancy, I think it's a 150 currently?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Well, 125.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 125.
MEMBER GRANDIS: 125. Thank you.
Thank you for the correction. If the occupancy is not increased, then there would be less crowding on the three floors than there are currently on the two floors, even if it's a 125?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I can't -- I don't know how he will, you know, navigate the
traffic of the patrons. But the one time that I did see the Sound Bar operating, where he had the first and -- the second and the third floor operating as well, it was extremely overcrowded on the first floor.

MEMBER GRANDIS: And were they cited a violation of being overcrowded at that incident?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Well, as I explained before, my supervisor advised me that another investigator was writing the exact same case for overcrowding because they came across the incident a couple of days ago where he was operating the first floor and it was completely packed.

Like, there was -- I -- when I went in there, well, there was barely any way for me to walk through to get to the back of the establishment.

MEMBER GRANDIS: But that wasn't part of -- that visit was not part of this process?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: No.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Okay. And when you went in the first floor, $I$ know you used that stairwell that does connect interior second floor
to first. Did you go to the back of that first floor to determine if there was an exit?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: The back of the first floor, no. I don't think there's a exit. The exit is on the third floor.

MEMBER GRANDIS: And if I understood your testimony, if $I$ were to use that exit because of via fire emergency and I came from the third floor down to the ground level, that's in the back of the building, but there was a fence with a gate. And is that gate with a lock? Or is that gate as an emergency type of thing where you could push it and get into the alley?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: It's like -- I think it's like a wooden gate, so there's no electronic mechanism to open or close.

MEMBER GRANDIS: So you're -- just from your knowledge, there's no way to use that wood gate to get to the alley?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: No, I think someone would actually has to -- have to physically move it to get, you know, everyone to

MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you. Thank you. And I want to thank you for your
presentation. And, Mr. Chairman, thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure. So any other questions by any of the Board members?

MR. ORLASKEY: Ms. Glasgow, I want to ask you another question because I'm now confused. It's correct that there -- that -- as far as ABRA is concerned, there is no Sound Bar; is that correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: That's correct.
MR. ORLASKEY: And so how then are you stating that Sound Bar is overcrowded? You can state that it's packed, but how can you state that Sound Bar is overcrowded if you don't know -- if it's not an ABRA license establishment and you don't know what the occupancy is for that space.

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Well, when I went in there, the name outside of that area was Sound Bar. I did not go into the side with Empire Lounge entrance. I went into the entrance where the names Sound Bar was.

So when I -- and this when I went in there the first time, where they were over their occupancy, I thought, at that time, there, they were a licensed establishment until the reports
were written, then we realized it's not a licensed establishment.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But I'm saying that, but you don't know what the occupancy of that space is; isn't that correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: No, I do not know.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: To you the space was overcrowded? INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But you don't know what the actual occupancy -- the space -there was a lot of people in a small space. But you don't know what the occupancy of it because it wasn't a licensed establishment; isn't that correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Exactly.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I
just want to -- I want to clear the record when we're talking about something being overcrowded. If we don't what they -- we don't have a Certificate of Occupancy for that space to state what the occupancy load for that space is. All right.

MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Chairman, can I ask
a question based on your question? CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr. Short. MEMBER SHORT: Thank you.

Investigator Glasgow, when people are using spaces illegally or without permission from ABRA, are there normally any signs up posted of how many people can be in a space when it's Illegally being used?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: No. No.
Normally, when a place is requesting expansion or anything, there -- they have to apply and then they'll placard it.

So there's no way for me to know, you know, what the occupancy or anything about that space if they don't bring it to us -- to our attention.

MEMBER SHORT: Thank you for your answer. And that's all I have, Mr. Chair. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions by any of the Board -- all right. Any other questions by any other Board members? Mr. Bianco?

MR. BIANCO: Good afternoon, Investigator Glasgow. I think I would like to
start where the Board stopped, which is this this notion of overcrowding.

And I think your testimony was, you would have no way of knowing what the occupancy limits are if a place does not have a license. Am I recalling in your testimony accurately?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes. I mean, if the place -- if they don't -- I mean, he was operating the space without approval. So any information on that space, $I$ would not know -MR. BIANCO: Yes, I understand. INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: -- for the occupancy.

MR. BIANCO: Yes, that part I understand. But a building can have a Certificate of Occupancy without an alcohol license, right?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yeah.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And do you know whether or not there's a Certificate of Occupancy for that building at that time?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Well, I'm assuming -- well, no.

MEMBER SHORT: I did this -- I can pull it up.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Glasgow, I need you to answer questions on knowledge, ma'am, okay?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't know, you don't know. Please do not assume.

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: No, I don't know.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So I want to now go back to this notion of the -- this write-up for overcrowding. And again, I -- and please correct me if I'm wrong.

My understanding of your testimony is that when you visited the establishment and you testified that it was packed, when you went back to the office, you were informed that somebody was writing up the same case, correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: That is correct.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And I'm going to tread very carefully here because of my earlier objection as to unadjudicated violations.

But in looking at the investigative history, dealing with the expansion to the first floor without approval, I don't see any
allegation of overcrowding in that allegation; is that accurate?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: In which
allegation?
MR. BIANCO: Investigative History Item number 3. It reads, Expanded its operations to the first floor without approval, operated under an unapproved trade name, and then it goes on to state the procedural history of the Board, referring to OAG, status hearing, show cause hearing. And as of -- as we sit here today, no adjudication of those claims?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: So yes, there were no occupancy referenced here.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And in reviewing your report and the photos that go along with your report, when you went inside of the first floor establishment, it appears that it was under construction at that time, right?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes. He was doing repairs there. yes.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. Now, I have a few more things that $I$ want to cover with respect to your report. So you've been an investigator for a few years now, right?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: And I've always found you to be very, very thorough. And what I would -- I suppose what $I$ would ask is, in your experience, have you encountered an establishment that has different trade names on different floors?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I mean, I can reference a-- like, a hotel that I've been to that has the bar area named something different from the hotel itself, but the hotel does have the ABRA license.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. But you're not aware of other situations where upper floors can be called one thing and lower floor's called something else?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: No.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. So the next thing that you talk about in your report are the protest issues. And I'm not going to go through each one of these individual statements one at a time and sort of ask you individual questions about them. But they come in two parts.

So we have in your narrative a recitation of the supposed residence comments about this particular establishment, correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And if I understood your testimony correctly, you didn't actually speak with these residents, correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Correct.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And if we go to the exhibits, starting with Exhibit 4, which purports to be the written complaints from these residents. And I'm specifically referencing Exhibits 4, 5, 6 -- 4, 5, and 6, those are emails sent by Mr. Stoecklein; is that correct? INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: That is correct.

MR. BIANCO: And there's nothing in those e-mails indicating that the actual resident who these statements are attributed to sent those statements to Mr. Stoecklein, correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes, that is correct. I don't see a to or from or forwarded on these e-mails.

MR. BIANCO: Exactly. Right, yes, that was my next question. There's no e-mail header or a forwarding documentation, right? INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: That is correct.

MR. BIANCO: So the only information about what these people supposedly said comes directly from Mr. Stoecklein, right?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: According to the e-mail, it was sent from Mr. Stoecklein to me.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And we don't know what, if anything, those people actually said to Mr. Stoecklein?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I can't say.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. I'm just trying to streamline some of the questions, so please bear with me as I scroll through. Okay. So if we go back to Section 2 of your report, which is entitled, Nearby establishments -- I'm sorry.

Section 2, it says, Characteristics of the neighborhood, and then the first subheading is, Zoning. And then the second subheading is, Nearby establishments, correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And in, Nearby establishments, it looks like you state there are 55 licensed establishments within 1,200 feet and 37 of those have entertainment endorsements, correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: That is correct.

MR. BIANCO: All right. Now, I want to look at -- I want to zoom in a little bit, right? So that's the bigger picture. That's the 1,200-foot view. But $I$ want to look at the establishments in the immediate vicinity of Empire Lounge.

So if we scroll down your list of licensed establishments to number 20, that's where we'll see Empire Lounge in 1909 9th Street, Northwest, correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes. Yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. So if we look at Items number 18, which is Cloud Lounge and Restaurant --

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: -- at 1919 9th Street -INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- and then looked down to Cortez which is 1905 9th Street, so that's moving north to the south, it looks to me like there are five establishments within a couple 100 feet of one another all with entertainment endorsements. Is that an accurate
view of your report?
INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And then I see that one of those establishments, number 23, which is two doors down from Empire Lounge is Cortez.

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: And they have a summer garden endorsement as well. Are you familiar with their summer garden?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes, I am.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And do you know whether it is on the front of the building or the back of the building?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: The back.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And is it open, or is it enclosed?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: It's opened. I don't want -- I will say $I$ don't know.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. Yes.
INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I'm not sure.
MR. BIANCO: I was just going to say if you're not sure, please say you're not sure. I don't want --

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I'm not sure.

MR. BIANCO: -- you to guess. Okay. And do you know if they have music on their summer garden?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes. They have overhead music.

MR. BIANCO: All right. So now I want to scroll down to page 8 of your report where you talk about their hours of operations. So you have a chart in there with the hours of operation of Empire Lounge, correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Correct.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And it looks like hours of operation and hours of sales are 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m. during the week and 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 a.m. on the weekends, correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Correct.
MR. BIANCO: Do you know if they actually operate for all of those hours or just some of them?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I can't say. I am not there every day to know exactly what time they actually close.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. When you did your four visits to monitor the establishment, were any of them in the morning, or were they all than
the at night?
INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: The latest one, the latest time $I$ was there was up until 1:40 in the morning and they were operating.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And were they operating on all four occasions that you visited? INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Oh, yes.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So I don't want to go into too much granular detail on this, but in terms of the layout, okay, 1909 9th Street contains both Empire and the establishment labeled Sound Bar, correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Correct.
MR. BIANCO: And there is a door -- an interior door between them, correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Correct.
MR. BIANCO: And there are also separate exterior doors to enter each of those parts of the establishment, correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Correct.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And I'm sorry, when I say exterior door, 1 mean, on the 9 th Street side, there are two exterior doors?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: That is correct.

MEMBER SHORT: And according to page 8 and 9 of your report, during the four visits to the property, there were no violations, right? INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: That is correct.

MR. BIANCO: And there were no -- you state that there were no issues with peace, order and quiet. Is that also correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: That is
correct. At the time of my visit, yes.
MR. BIANCO: Right. Okay. Now, I
want to talk about this record search for a moment, which is on page 9 of the narrative portion of your report. And then we're going to go to the grid thereafter.
Now, this is -- I just want to
understand what this chart represents. Okay. So you say according to the DC Office of Unified Communications, there were 68 calls for service in the three-year period from March 16, 2019 through June 21, 2022, correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Correct.
MR. BIANCO: And that is the chart
that you have as Exhibit 24, right?
INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And that chart represents calls referencing 1909 9th Street, right?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: Does it give any
information about whether the reason for the call was substantiated in any way?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: No. The acronyms here -- I can't really determine exactly what the calls were. There are abbreviations that can be noticeable, like on page 2 of that report, there was noise -- a noise complaint on October 6, 2019. But the acronyms are very -the terminology, $I$ can't -- I don't know.

MR. BIANCO: Yes. Now, you're very on top of things because I was just going to ask you about that actually. So let's go to the chart that is Exhibit 24 and there's a couple of questions that $I$ have for you about that chart, okay? I'm just getting it arranged on my screen because it's sideways.

Okay. So the first thing is the one, two, three, four, fifth column says, Time, which I assume means the time of the call, right?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I would think
it would be that, yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And so this is the report as it was given to you by the Office of Unified Communications, right?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: That is
correct.
MR. BIANCO: So you didn't, like, call through this report to see if the call was at a time that the establishment was actually operating? Is that fair to say?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And in the narrative portion of your report, you specifically mention a couple of types of calls, specifically, theft, noise, and assault, right? INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.

MR. BIANCO: Can you tell me if those are ones that you're particularly concerned with? INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Repeat that.

MR. BIANCO: Are those the ones that you are most concerned with? Id that why you mentioned them?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Well, it addresses the concerns of the protestants actually. That is why it is referenced like
that, yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And there's a number -- but that's not the entirety of this report. It's not all theft, noise, and assault, right?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: As I said, I don't know the acronyms for -- or I don't know the terminology for some of these -- the types of calls, so $I$ can't really say what some of them are. The ones that I noted was the noise and the theft that was clearly -- easily -- it was -- is that it's easy to translate.

MR. BIANCO: Yes. I agree with you. And noise and theft are among the ones that are easy to translate. And there are others that I think are fairly easy to translate, like property loss, parking, DUI.

And would you agree that some of those other types of calls may not be directly related to the operations of this establishment?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: The call basically came in at the location of 1909 9th Street. So anything that is referenced in this report that was given to me is referenced to 1909 9th Street. So I can't determine if it -- I
can't say that maybe they had -- they called it -- they said 1909, but it was not exactly at 1909. The report specifically says 1909 9th Street, which is Empire Lounge. So whatever is there is related to the address of the establishment.

MR. BIANCO: Right. But it doesn't say whether whatever the call was about happened inside the establishment or outside, right?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: It does not detail that, no.

MR. BIANCO: Right. And let me just check to see if $I$ have any more on this report. And then there's a number of them that are marked miscellaneous and obviously we don't have any idea what those are.

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: That's correct. I do not know.

MR. BIANCO: And then there's a number of other ones that neither you or I have any idea what they are, like DISU and ACCM, correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Correct. I do not know what that is.

MR. BIANCO: Yes, me neither. Okay. So I want to go back to this notion about the
time when you went to the establishment and testify that it was -- that Sound Bar was packed. I understand your testimony correctly that you did not count the people in there, right?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: At that time, no.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And you also reported in your narrative that there were eight noise complaints between 2019 and 2022, correct?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Correct.
MR. BIANCO: And do you know whether or not any of those eight noise complaints resulted in a finding of violation by the Board? INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I cannot say.

MR. BIANCO: And you testified some about the settlement agreement only allowing a DJ on the second floor, right?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And I think we had a lot of back-and-forth on the date of the settlement agreement, but we settled on the fact that it was in 2018, right?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Right.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And in 2018, there was no operating first floor of the
establishment, correct?
INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: No, not to my knowledge.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So the settlement agreement, fair to say, only addresses the second and third floor of the establishment?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: That is correct.

MR. BIANCO: Investigator, thank you very much as always for a thorough job. I don't have any more questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein, it's your opportunity -- so one of the questions, since $I$ can't see your sir, I don't know. But this is your opportunity to -- do you have any questions of the investigator, sir?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes, I do.
Inspector Glasgow, I'm going to ask you about a variety of things. So first off, are you aware that the -- is it your belief that the statements provided by me on behalf of WNA are verbatim reflections of the statements that were provided to me or to us?

MR. BIANCO: Objection. Foundation. Hearsay. Relevance. Anything else I can think
of?
MR. STOECKLEIN: There have been multiple applications by opposing counsel and others questioning the validity of these e-mails.

And so I'm trying to lay the
foundation so that, if needed, $I$ can provide the e-mails because would you believe it, I actually have copies?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I think the -- Mr. Stoecklein, the e-mails that are in the record that are in her -- the report, they're are e-mails without --

MR. STOECKLEIN: I understand Mr.
Chairman. I understand that. And I'm actually trying to lay the foundation and have Ms. Glasgow testify to the conversation we had about why it is that is the case and why the originals weren't sent. It relates to --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, then you need to ask her that. I mean --

MR. STOECKLEIN: What I'm trying to do, but Mr. Bianco objected. And so I'm trying to defend myself so that $I$ can at least get off the ground here.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You don't need
-- sir, you don't need to defend yourself. You need to ask questions. I mean, Mr. Bianco asked objective questions about the e-mail, about --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Bianco objected, so -- I'm asking Ms. Glasgow if she is -- if she recalls the manner in which the statements were requested, meaning can she recount her requests of me in providing the statements that I did?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So why don't you ask her that question? So I think if you ask her that question, I don't believe that Mr. Bianco will object.

So you can ask her the question. These are your statement that's in her report. So you can ask her about -- ask her those specific questions.

MR. STOECKLEIN: I'm desperately trying, Mr. Chairman. I'm sorry if I'm missing something here.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You need to start there first. So that's why Mr. Bianco said that lack of foundation. So you need to go back to the beginning, sir.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Ms. Glasgow, do you recall the request that you made of me -- Ms.

Glasgow, could you please describe the process or instructions that you gave to me in requesting the statements that I forwarded?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: We had -- you explained to me that -- you stated that there are a lot of people who live within the -- within range of the establishment that had issues with their -- the operation.

And you said you can get -- you can provide me with that information. And I specifically said, That will be great if you can get more information or at least get their testimony on paper so I can document it in my report

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes. Thank you. And is it accurate to say that when we had that conversation, that you asked me to compile those statements and send them along to you?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.
MR. STOECKLEIN: And do you also recall my raising with you at the time that several of the witnesses, particularly those who are mothers with children, were concerned about the disclosure of their identities?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes.

MR. STOECKLEIN: And is it also accurate that, as a result of that, when we discussed it, this is -- this was the reason for -- in your report, the reductions to the names of those individuals?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: That is correct.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Do you have any reason to believe that any of the content of the statements that $I$ forward to you is in any way fabricated, modified, or other way -- otherwise, in any way, shape, or form, not a verbatim reflection of the statements that were provided to me.

MR. BIANCO: Objection. Relevance. Her belief about the statements are not before this court.

MR. STOECKLEIN: She can testify to her opinion about the authenticity and veracity of the evidence that she's requested to be provided.

MR. BIANCO: Her opinion is irrelevant.

MR. STOECKLEIN: I disagree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: This is --

MR. STOECKLEIN: All right. Well, we -- I can make this easy, Mr. Chairman, but it's going to -- in order to do this, 1 need to be able to -- I need the --

I have the e-mails on my screen. I can produce them so that we can get over this question about, you know, the veracity of witness statements, including my own, apparently.

MR. BIANCO: I object. They were not produced seven days in advance as they're required to. I'm concerned that they were held back from both the report as well as the PIF if he intended to use them.

MR. STOECKLEIN: I did not intend to use them because it never occurred to me that opposing counsel would question the authenticity or veracity of the statements made and imply that I have fabricated evidence, which seems to be the case, so --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on, hold on there, sir. I believe if we were in the -- if we're in a courthouse, Mr. Stoecklein, and you produced anonymous statements and no one knows who they're attributable to, the Court would not allow the allowance in evidence of these
documents because one would have stated that we don't know who they're from.

And so -- I believe that counsel is making objections -- I mean, we didn't -- we don't necessarily follow the rules of evidence here. I'm not quite sure if Ms. Glasgow can testify on the -- whether or not how authentic these e-mails are.

I think she can testify that you have -- she requested the e-mails from you. They were submitted. You also -- it was placed in the record that it appears that some of these individuals were concerned about their personal safety, so that's one of the reasons why they did not -- that their e-mails were not attached to it, so I --

Their e-mails -- and I believe that the Board can consider the e-mails for what they're worth, but I don't think that Ms. Glasgow herself can say that -- can testify that these are authentic e-mails received from the --

MEMBER SHORT: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- from the individuals unless you had sent the e-mails to her and she was the one based on -- and she was
the one based on your statement --
I'm sorry, can someone -- Mr. Short, please -- mute your phone, please. So I don't -yes. So I don't believe that --

MR. STOECKLEIN: I understand, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes.
MR. STOECKLEIN: So what I'm trying to -- there's a couple of, I guess, issues here to try to address. So what $I$ was trying to establish, and I think we did, was that the information was provided in the fashion that it was provided because that was responsive to Inspector Glasgow's request.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Did --
MR. STOECKLEIN: So --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What is the -sir, hold on. Hold on. What's established. She requested e-mail from you?

MR. STOECKLEIN: She requested -- I believe we'd have to ask for the reporter to pull the transcript back. But when we were just speaking, I believe it was established that that Ms. Glasgow requested that I compile the statements of the witnesses that I received and
provide the relevant portions thereof.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm not quite sure if that's what the testimony is. I think what -- this is what I'm taking from this. Ms. Glasgow asked you for -- you stated that there are other witnesses. There are other residents who had concerns.

Ms. Glasgow asked you to provide those statements from those witnesses. And you compiled them -- you compiled e-mails, then you provide them to her.

I don't think she -- I don't -- I -the record doesn't establish that she told you how to provide them to her. You elected to provide these documents, for whatever reason, in the way that they were provided. So that's what the rest --

MR. STOECKLEIN: I understand. And it's --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And so we can move on from there, sir. I don't think that she can testify --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- that these are authentic, but I don't -- there were provided

-     - 

MR. STOECKLEIN: I understand.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: She asked for information, they were provided. And so here they are.

MR. STOECKLEIN: I understand, Mr.
Chair. And so responsive to Mr. Bianco's objection, these are being offered as rebuttal evidence, right?

Rebuttal evidence is always permissible in order to address claims that have been made in the course of argument by opposing parties. So there --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's --
MR. STOECKLEIN: It's not possible --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You're -- hold on, sir. Hold on, sir. You're cross-examining the witness. Mr. Bianco --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Bianco objected to me actually showing this Board.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's -- that -- no, sir. No, sir. That's not what you -this -- you asked Ms. Glasgow whether or not these were authentic e-mails. That's what you asked. Ms. Glasgow, she is not in a position to
testify to that fact.
MR. STOECKLEIN: No, I asked --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: She can't testify to that fact. But she can testify, which she has testified, that she requested for you to provide these e-mails from other individuals who were -- who had concerns. You provided that to her.

But she can't say that any specific person that because you -- because -- who the email came from, that information was not provided to her.

She just got e-mails from you and that reported to that come from separate individuals. But she can't say this particular e-mail came from Tom, who lived in apartment --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chairman, I really do understand. With all due respect, sir, I grasp everything that you're saying fully. So if there is a way in which I could -- without offending you or the other members, if there's a way that we could if maybe I can readdress the witness and then provide sufficient foundation to try to introduce this rebuttal evidence merely so that we can confirm that --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: This is not rebuttal evidence, Mr. Stoecklein. You are cross-examining the witness. This is not rebuttal. This is not rebuttal. You're crossexamining the witness about her report, so just.

She wrote a report. She testified about her report. You are cross-examining her about her report. This is our witness. She cannot -- she's not your rebuttal witness.

She is the Board's witness that now you have an opportunity to question her about the report.

This is what we're going to establish today. What we're establishing today is that you -- she asked you for e-mails -- she asked you for e-mails because --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Statements, Mr.
Chairman. It's important that we be clear. She asked me for statements. If she had asked me for the e-mails, $I$ would've produced the original emails, but she did not. I produced what I was asked to produce.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Glasgow, what did you ask him for?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I asked that --
because he -- on the letter here, he stated -- it stated that he was a representative for the association. So I asked him -- he said he had a lot of people who had issues with the establishment. He can provide that for me.

And I specifically said, Please. Any statement, anything that you can send to me, I will add it to the report to properly document it.

MR. STOECKLEIN: And, Ms. Glasgow, for what it's worth, I appreciate that. And I'm -this is not intended to be directed at your credibility or anything like that.

I'm just trying to establish that everything that was -- that we've all looked at now is accurate and a verbatim reflection of the statements that I have in my possession.

And if there was some way for me to demonstrate that to the Board so that we can move on, I would be thrilled --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Let me remind him something, Mr. Mr. Stoecklein. Okay. I need to remind you that this is the appropriateness of the request of the substantial change, okay?
I don't -- it's not helpful for the

Board that we're spending a significant amount of time on hearsay in the sense that -- this is hearsay regarding these e-mails.

I mean, this is tangential to the issue that is at hand. Let's spend our time on whether or not it is -- whether or not the Board should or should deny the substantial change, okay?

You have established that there are other residents who are concerned about the operation of this business. Fine. I don't think -- I don't -- counsels not objecting to that. So that's fine.

You have stated that -- you have provided some e-mails. I think what you cannot get this witness to testify that -- who sent these e-mails because she does not know. So let's move on from there.

Let's focus this hearing on the appropriateness of whether or not the Board can grant the substantial change, okay?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay, Mr. Chair.
Ms. Glasgow, based on your
investigation, report that you've created, how many documented violations of the settlement
agreement appear in your report?
INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: How many -- I'm sorry?

MR. STOECKLEIN: How many documented violations of the settlement agreement did you identify in your report? And if you need a minute to look at the synopsis, that's fine. INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I can't say specifically because -- as what $I$ can see so far on their investigative history, they have violated their settlement agreement on two occasions, 29 -- March, 2019 and April, 2019.

MR. STOECKLEIN: And if you proceed to the next page, Ms. Glasgow, on page 12 of your report. Are Items 19 and 20 reflective of the same violations, or -- actually 18, 19, and 20.

Is that all related to the same two violations, or are those in fact separate violations? Because I count four, I'm just -I'm not sure.

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes, you're right. It is four. I did not have this page in front of me, so there is four.

MR. STOECKLEIN: No problem.
MR. BIANCO: Mr. Chair, I -- again,
for the record, $I$ just note that any alleged violations that resulted in either the OAG declining to prosecute or that are unadjudicated or did not result in a violation, should be not considered by the Board.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I guess what I'm saying, are -- so are we going through the investigative history? Is that where -- that's where we are?

MR. STOECKLEIN: That's not where we're going.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. If we're -- unless they're -- unless the matters are adjudicated on the investigative history, then we can -- we cannot talk about violations.

So I -- Ms. Glasgow, in your -- within our reports, and I briefly mentioned that there's an investigative history that ran from, I think, January 20, 2019 to June 7, 2022.

So if they're adjudicated in fractions there, we can talk about that. But if it's not listed there, then it's not really relevant because they have not been adjudicated to say that, I mean, any infraction occurred.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Sir, I'm just reading
from what is listed, Mr. Chair. So I -- the -you know, the neighborhood is only able to speak to what's been made available.

And so I'm just speaking to the investigative history to try to establish the frequency with which this establishment readily violates the -- freely violates the settlement agreement. But we can move on.

Ms. Glasgow, you mentioned that the overcrowding -- that the crowding of the bar -- I believe you mentioned that the crowding situation at Empire was being covered by another inspector; is that accurate?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: At the time, yes, that is what $I$ was told that the incident was -- did happen prior and an investigative report is being drafted as -- at that moment.

MR. STOECKLEIN: And do you know the status of that report and/or can you provide an indication of why that's not included because I don't see it anywhere. But --

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: According to the investigative report, December 16, 2021 was the first incident of the expanded space. And the Board requested a warning for that first
violation.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Sorry -- right. No, I was speaking to the fact that the -- just to the crowding issue. I'm about to jump to where you just went, but I just want to understand if another inspector was covering the crowding issue.

Do you know anything about the status report because I don't see it included in your investigative document?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: So I said that, I -- when I went in there, the area was crowded and also I referenced that Sound Bar, at that time when I visited that area, it was new to me.

So when I contacted my supervisor that I believe Sound Bar is not a licensed establishment, he said -- he stated that another investigator came across the -- there -- the space that is being used and realized that it is not an approved area to be used and a report is being written. So --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay.
INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: -- it covers --
MR. STOECKLEIN: I see. I understand.
Okay. Okay. Thank you. So then moving on to
what you were just describing. So related to the unlawful operation of Sound Bar, the operation of Sound Bar without a license, what is the date upon which ABRA first identified that operation was occurring without a license?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I'm sorry, December 16, 2021.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And when was the warning actually issued? When would the recipient have received -- when would the establishment have received the warning that seems to have been issued?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: According to the investigative history, January 26, 2022.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And then am I stating correctly that there was -- that the establishment continued to operate for some period of time --

MR. BIANCO: I'm going to object as he's referencing an unadjudicated violation. Most of this are -- that we're dealing with unadjudicated violations or otherwise dismissed. But I'm trying to give some latitude to get through it. But at this point, $I$ have to object.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to
overrule the objection in that sense that I -- we can look at the -- we can we can look at the investigative history and would state what it is. It has not been adjudicated, so we can state what the investigator history is and one can state that it's not adjudicated.

So therefore, whether or not it's factual or not, we don't know because the Board hasn't addressed it. It states that there was a violation. It states -- on the investigative history, it states that there was another violation on February 19, 2022.

And I -- it has said that a show cause hearing was scheduled for July 13, 2022, which was supposed to have been last week. I don't recall whether or not this -- we had a hearing last week, so I don't know. Okay. But let's move on from there.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So that's what the history states.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Ms. Glasgow, did you -- in speaking with Mr. Nigussie, did you inquire as to whether he was aware of Sound Bar, which is the first floor that we're discussing here.

Was -- he was aware that Sound Bar was being was in operation without a license? INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: The conversation Mr. Nigussie and I -- he explained that it is not a separate establishment. It is a -- basically an expanded space to -- of Empire Lounge .

He addressed that he is not seeking an additional occupancy or a expansion of the occupancy.

He explained that patrons can move around from the first floor -- from the second floor, the third floor, down to the new expanded space that he has there, Sound Bar, so it's --

I can't say how he was thinking, what he -- what his line of thinking was regarding Sound Bar, but his explanation is that it's just an additional space that is --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Sure. Yes, but nonetheless, I'm just asking about whether he was aware that there were people, patrons, on the first floor drinking and doing whatever the patrons do there, whether he was aware of that occurring on the first floor?

MR. BIANCO: I'm going to object as to
speculation. The original question is about their conversation, which I suppose is arguably an admission, but her speculating as to what he knew or didn't know and when is not appropriate testimony.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to end on this, okay? I'm going by the investigative history and so we can move on from there. In reviewed on -- in review of the investigative history, there was, as of January 26, 2022, as per the investigative history, Mr. Nigussie was issued a warning regarding the use of the first floor area.

A warning was issued January 26, 2022. Outside of that, if you want to ask -- if you want to ask questions of Ms. Glasgow or whether or not he was aware after January 26, 2022, fine.

But the investigative history states that on January 26, 2022, he was issued a warning about the use of the expanded space. So he was aware as of January 26, 2022. Let's move on.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. So, Ms. Glasgow, based on your investigative history, is it fair to say that -- I'm approximating here doing the math -- but that from roughly mid-

December -- at least mid-December through-mid February, the first floor was being operated? So three months, at least?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: According to the investigative history, the first time on document that we have, they were operating the establishment that we documented was December 16, 2021.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And are you aware of whether the floor was being operated anytime before that?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: No. I'm not aware.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. In the course of your investigation, do you recall our discussion about the concentration of bars, in particular, tavern licenses, that occurs on the 1900 block on 9th Street?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: Yes, you stated that there were way too many taverns, or I should say, license establishment on 9th Street, that there should not be allowed to have another establishment on the street.

But you were, $I$-- you were referring to that as they were operating the establishment
as a separate entity from Empire Lounge and not just a additional space of Empire Lounge.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Right. I'm speaking to the question of whether you -- did you, per our conversation, investigate the specific number of tavern licenses held by establishments on the 1900 block of 9th Street at this time?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: There are 18 establishment on 9th Street between T street and U Street.

MR. STOECKLEIN: And are you aware of any other part of the city that has a higher concentration of tavern license issued within sensibly a single block?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I can't put a number for any other populated area of the district. But 9th Street is very populated with bars. U Street is very -- has a lot of bars as well. But $I$ can't actually put a number on what I have to, you know, reference in this protest hearing.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Fair enough. So to -- but to the best of your knowledge, is it -would it be accurate to say that this block represents one of the most highly concentrated
tavern license or ABRA -- concentration of ABRA licensed facilities in the district.

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I can't --
MR. STOECKLEIN: To the best of your knowledge.

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I guess, Golden Triangle. It's quite, populated with bars and restaurants. As I said, U Street is as well.

MR. STOECKLEIN: And are you aware of similar incidences of violence in either of the areas that you just referenced? Crime of any type, whether it's non-violent, violent, of just -- are you aware of?

INVESTIGATOR GLASGOW: I --
MR. BIANCO: I'm going to object on relevance, foundation, and scope. None of this has really anything to do with this base expansion.

We're talking now about violence in other neighborhoods and concentration in other neighborhoods. There's just no straight line here.

MR. STOECKLEIN: I'm simply trying to find out whether Ms. Glasgow, based on the research that she did, can tell us whether or not
this particular little block, in fact, has the highest number of tavern license holders in the entire District of Columbia. That's what I'd like to know. And I think that's highly relevant.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to --
MR. BIANCO: She talked --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to sustain the objection. She has answered the question. She has stated that she wasn't -- she couldn't state for sure. She gave example of $U$ Street and she also gave example of Golden Triangle.

This is -- we're not -- we are -- this hearing is not about overconcentration. All this hearing is, is whether or not it's appropriate for the ABC Board to approve the utilization of the first floor to add this to this license.

The Board has not been -- the Board is not asked -- has not been requested to add another establishment on the street. Whether or not we add this second space or not, the first floor space, it will have -- at least on paper, it should not have any impact on what's going on on the overconcentration because the occupancy
will still remain 125 individuals for the Empire Lounge.

So we're not adding -- at least as far as I'm concerned today, this Board is not asking to increase the expansion. Whether or not the Board agrees to this expansion, it's not going to have any impact on the over concentration of establishments on 9th Street because this Empire Lounge currently has a license.

So it's whether or not we will allow them to utilize an additional space without expanding their occupancy. So having sustained conversation about overconcentration of license on U Street, that is not a relevant concern for this hearing.

If we're deciding to do a renewal hearing -- if this was a hearing on whether or not we should renew their license, well, then that's an appropriate issue. But this is not -it's not relevant right now for the purpose of this hearing. So it's not -- so let's move on from this, sir. And I think --

MR. STOECKLEIN: I have no further questions, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, sir.

Any other questions by any of the Board members? Any questions by -- any follow-up questions by any Board members?

Okay. All right. So the Board has presented its case. Now, where we are right now, we're now going to have the licensee ready. We're going to take a break, but I just need to get some clarification moving forward.

Mr. Bianco has already -- so we now need a licensee to put forward with -- to move forward with this case. Once the licensee has moved forward with his case and we have the court testing good to move forward. How many witnesses do you have, Mr. Bianco?

MR. BIANCO: So I have three, but during the break, I am going to speak with my client and see if we can cut through some of this and reduce it to two. And hopefully with my encouragement, we'll get there.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But you believe that you have two witnesses.

MR. BIANCO: At least two, maybe three, yes.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And, Mr. Stoecklein, how many additional? You have
already had two witnesses. How many additional witnesses do you have, sir?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Four.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Four additional witnesses, sir?

MR. STOECKLEIN: That's correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But during the protest hearing status, $I$ informed all parties that they cannot have more than five witnesses. So you've had -- you've never -- you have not asked permission for six witnesses.

Well, how do we have six witnesses if it was now clearly told you that you can only have five witnesses? And neither party requested from the Board that they want to have more witnesses than five.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chairman, both parties, on their PIF forms and pre-trial submissions, identified more than five witnesses. I was not aware of a procedural requirement that

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, no, Mr. --
MR. STOECKLEIN: -- my ability to put on five witnesses and only five witnesses, but I

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein, I'm sorry.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Did you participate in the protest hearing status hearing? Yes, you did.

MR. STOECKLEIN: To be quite candid with you, $I$ don't recall because there are three designated co-representatives for the Westminster Neighborhood Association.

And I'm not sure if I or another one of the representatives was on that status call.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We are now, in two years, off -- we start with COVID, since we have been doing virtual hearings. The instruction that were -- that's what has been given to all parties, is that you're limited to -- you only have one hour to move on with your case and you only have five witnesses.

That has been the established procedure for our protests here since we have been on -- we've virtual. Now, if I want to go by the clock, since you've had two witnesses, I could easily say to you that you have exceeded probably 30 to 40 minutes in the two witnesses
that you've had.
But I'm going to move forward to say that you have an hour to present your case, sir. You have had two witnesses. You can only call three more witnesses moving forward, sir. You have had two --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Mr. Chairman, then I'm prepared to do that and I'm also, just for a little bit of foreshadow, and I could share with you that three of the four that $I$ had intended to put on are providing what I expect to be fairly limited, you know, testimony.

That will be similar, albeit, from their own perspectives, but -- so I think we can move through it quickly. The fourth, would only need to be offered as an impeachment witness, if it comes to that.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Fine. So if it comes to that, three witnesses. You're going to have an hour and I'm going to time it moving forward. And I'm also informing parties, moving forward, the time that you spend on cross-examination can be used against you.

You have an hour to present your case, then we move forward. It is now 6:26 and I've
been taking an -- I've been taken a break every two hours to be mindful of everyone, the court reporter, the Board, the witnesses, the attorneys, myself, who have to be on camera the entire time.

So every two hours -- that's why I've been trying to take a break every two hours. So it's 6:26 We're going to be back -- we'll be back on the record at -- we're going to take 13 minutes this time, at 6:40-- at 6:00 -- at 6:40 we'll be back in the record and Mr. Bianco will present his case. He has an hour to present his case.

Once Mr. Bianco is presenting his case, the protestant will have an hour to present their case. And I will allow the ANC -- they can do a closing.

All right. So 6:27. We're off the record until 6:40. All right. We're off the record until 6:40. Thank you.

All right. We're back on the record. You have a witness you wish to call, sir?

MR. BIANCO: Yes, Mr. Anderson. I'm going to call Dess Nigussie, the applicant/owner.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Nigussie,
where are you, sir?
MR. BIANCO: He may not be elevated. I don't see him in the panel.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: He shouldn't have left. He was on.

Ms. Fashbaugh, have you -- do you see Mr. Nigussie? I don't know what would've happened to him.

MR. BIANCO: He just texted me.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Great. Thank you.

MR. NIGUSSIE: I just got unmuted.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you go back on cameras, sir?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Pull your camera down a little bit further so we can see your face. Perfect.

MR. NIGUSSIE: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you raise your right hand, sir? Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth and nothing but the truth? Hello, sir?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I didn't hear you, I'm sorry.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth and nothing but the truth?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Your witness, sir.

MR. BIANCO: Thank you. Mr. Anderson.
Dess, I'm going to try and go through this early part quickly because it's late in the day and we've heard a lot of this already. You're the owner of Empire Lounge, correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. BIANCO: And it's been an operations in 2019, correct?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on, hold on. Mr. Bianco, hold on one minute, please.

MR. BIANCO: Yes?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Nigussie, I need you to be -- I need you to be stationary. MR. NIGUSSIE: Okay. That's what I'm trying to do.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So hold on.

MR. NIGUSSIE: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Pull the camera
down so we can see a good -- your face.
MR. NIGUSSIE: There?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Perfect.
Please don't move.
MR. NIGUSSIE: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you. Go ahead.

MR. BIANCO: Mr. Nigussie, do you have any co-owners, or is it just you?

MR. NIGUSSIE: It's just me.
MR. BIANCO: And we're here for a substantial change to your license, correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. BIANCO: And the change -- what's the change to your license? Please describe it. MR. NIGUSSIE: Just to use the first floor of the building.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And presently, you use the second and third floor, correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. BIANCO: And you're expanding to the first floor?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. But you're not adding any occupancy; is that correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. BIANCO: And your current occupancy is 125?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. Now, can you describe before the Board how the first floor is going to operate?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Basically, it's the same business. I want people to freely move within first floor, second floor, and third floor.

MR. BIANCO: Okay.
MR. NIGUSSIE: There's an inside door that goes from first floor to the second floor and third floor.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. Are you going to be using the trade name Sound Bar?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is my intention, yes.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And what portion of the premises will be called Sound Bar?

MR. NIGUSSIE: The first floor.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And are the second and third floor are still going to be called Empire?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. BIANCO: And you're -- are you going to be able to get from the upper floors to the first floor from inside the establishment?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. When you walk in the front door on the Empire side, can you describe how you would get to the Empire portion of the establishment.

MR. NIGUSSIE: As you open the door, you will see a stair. That leads up to second floor.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And is that where Empire is?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And from that door, are you also able to access Sound Bar?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct. The door to the right is first floor, yes.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And is there another -- does Sound Bar have another 9th Street entrance?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And where's that located?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That one located facing 9th Street up front.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So there will be two doors to enter the Empire Sound Bar establishment; is that true?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And how many doors are there presently with just Empire?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Just one.
MR. BIANCO: Now, if I could ask, Mr. Chair, that $I$ be given the ability to share my screen. There's some exhibits I'd like to go through.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Fashbaugh, can you please give Mr. Bianco the ability to share his screen, please.

MS. FASHBAUGH: This has been accomplished. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.
MR. BIANCO: All right. Mr. Nigussie, are you able to see my screen?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. Now, I'm also going to ask, are you able to see my pointer as well because I may point to some things.

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. So can you tell the Board, please, what that photograph shows?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is the back door from the first floor and the parking area for two cars.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So directing your attention to the door behind this red car here in the lower part of the photo, where does that door lead?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That door leads to the first floor.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And then I see on the upper right-hand side of this photograph, a set of stairs and a door next to some trash cans. Do you see that as well?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes, I can.
MR. BIANCO: And where does that go?
MR. NIGUSSIE: That goes to second floor.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And is this how the rear of the building looks today?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. Mr. Chair, I would move admission of Applicant number 1.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein?
Mr. Stoecklein?
MR. STOECKLEIN: No objections.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'll move exhibit -- so this is Exhibit 1?
(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as Applicant Exhibit 1 for identification.)

MR. BIANCO: Exhibit 1, correct. Okay. Mr. Nigussie, I'm now going to show you what we have marked as Applicant Exhibit number 2. Do you see that?
(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as Applicant Exhibit 2 for identification.)

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes, $I$ can see now.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And could you describe what that is?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is the first floor facing 9th Street. That's a picture taken --

MR. BIANCO: Okay.
MR. NIGUSSIE: -- to --
MR. BIANCO: So that was my next question. Where is this picture taken from?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes, from the back door
to -- facing 9th Street.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. So these windows in the upper middle portion of the photograph, those are the windows out to 9th Street?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And is there a dance floor on the first floor of the establishment?

MR. NIGUSSIE: No.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. Is there going to be a dance floor on the first floor of the establishment?

MR. NIGUSSIE: No.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. Bear with me while I bring up the next item that I'd like to talk about. So in the investigative report, we're going to go to page 5. Okay.

And it says here on page 5, under, Nearby establishments, that there are 55 licensed ABC establishments within 1,200 feet, correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And 37 of them have entertainment endorsements; is that correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And we had some
testimony on this from the investigator, so I want to go through it very, very briefly. There are five within a few 100 feet of your establishment, correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct also.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. I'm going to show you a document that we are going to mark as Exhibit number 3, which is a printout from the DC GIS system. And I'm going to zoom in on your building.

It is suddenly not cooperating with me. Okay. Okay. So I'm going to zoom in on your building there at 1909 9th Street. Do you see where I'm -- do you see where I'm looking?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And does that truly and accurately represent the number of ABC license establishments in proximity to yours?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And at the back of your building -- I'm sorry. What is at the back of your building?

MR. NIGUSSIE: There is an alley and after that, there is the building.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And does Mr.

Stoecklein live in that building?
MR. NIGUSSIE: I'm not sure.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And do the other ABC establishments also back up to the alley, or do they lead somewhere else?

MR. NIGUSSIE: They do. All of them.
MR. BIANCO: Move admission of Applicant's Exhibit 3.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein?
MR. STOECKLEIN: No objection.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So moved.
(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as Applicant Exhibit 3 for identification.)

MR. BIANCO: So I'd like to move on to Applicant's Exhibit number 4.
(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as Applicant Exhibit 4 for identification.)

MR. BIANCO: Do you -- this is a Board order in 20-PRO-2015. Do you recall having a protest over the renewal of your license?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And specifically what I want to look at in the Board's findings of
fact, conclusions of law and order is paragraph number 3 of the findings of fact, which states that there are 69 licensed establishments located within 1,200 feet of the proposed location. Do you see that?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes. Yes, right there.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. Do you know why there are now 14 fewer in the same area?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I imagine because of COVID and neighborhood complaining, they're out of business.

MR. BIANCO: Okay.
MR. NIGUSSIE: It's in grace of God we are still here.

MR. BIANCO: And in the investigative report, you heard Investigator Glasgow testify that your hours -- your approved hours are 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m. and 3:00 a.m. on the weekends; is that accurate?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is accurate.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. Now, what hours do you actually operate?

MR. NIGUSSIE: We open every day at 6:00 p.m.

MR. BIANCO: And when do you close?

MR. NIGUSSIE: And then we close at 2:00 a.m. during the week, except Friday. We close at 3:00 am., Friday and Saturday. And Sunday, we close at 2:00 a.m. as well.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So I -- you were here for Investigator Glasgow's testimony -excuse me -- about the calls to MPD for 1909 9th Street, correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That's correct.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. So I want to look at her Exhibit 24 to her report, but I've highlighted some specific entries, okay? Starting with the first page $I$ filed, there are four that $I$ want to look at. Are you open at 12:27 p.m.?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I'm not.
MR. BIANCO: 1:30 --
MR. NIGUSSIE: No.
MR. BIANCO: -- a.m.? 10:45 a.m.?
MR. NIGUSSIE: No.
MR. BIANCO: 12:24 p.m.?
MR. NIGUSSIE: No. We don't open until 6:00 p.m. every day.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. On Thursday, are you open at 2:35 a.m.?

MR. NIGUSSIE: No, we not.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. Are you open at 2:35 p.m.

MR. NIGUSSIE: No.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 11:48 a.m.?
MR. NIGUSSIE: No.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And then I see
11:25 a.m.?
MR. NIGUSSIE: No.
MR. BIANCO: 3:30 a.m.?
MR. NIGUSSIE: No.
MR. BIANCO: 10:28 a.m.?
MR. NIGUSSIE: No.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And then there I see three more entries on this page -- I'm sorry, two more entries on this page that are before 6:00 p.m. and you're closed, correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. BIANCO: And then another one at 3:44 a.m. and you're closed, correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That's correct.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. You were here for the testimony about some of the codes, under, Type, that very used in this report, correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So there's one here that I am looking at that is highlighted on 330 of 2019 and the code used is mental. Do you know if that has anything to do with your operations?

MR. NIGUSSIE: No.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And then there are some highlighted items labeled, Miscellaneous. Do you have any idea what those are indicating?

MR. NIGUSSIE: It could be anything. I'm not sure.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And at the bottom of the first page, there is an entry for a DUI. Do you see that?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. Do you have any information that that is in any way related to your establishment?

MR. NIGUSSIE: No.
MR. BIANCO: Moving on from there. Now, Mr. Nigussie, one of the concerns that the neighbors have raised in their protest is disturbance of the peace, order, and quiet.

Have you done anything to address -I'm sorry, strike that. Have you done anything
to evaluate the noise emanating from the establishment?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes. We have rearranged the location of the speaker and basically, we sealed every suspected way of emitting voice -- noise from our -- from the establishment.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And how did you seal it?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Well, the technicians did it with the foreman.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And did you do anything to verify or test the effectiveness of the measures that were taken?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes, we did.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. So if you'll bear with me for just a moment, $I$ am now going to bring up a video. Okay. Are you able to see that, Mr. Nigussie?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. So I'm going to press play and then I may stop the 1-minute-and-22-second video as it runs to ask you questions. Is that all right?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That's okay.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So -- okay. So what portion of the premises is that?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is the first floor.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And were you personally present while this was going on? MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And can you tell me what volume the music is set at in this video? MR. NIGUSSIE: That we set it up to the maximum volume possible.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So I just want to make sure $I$ understand. Is that the maximum volume that the sound system will play, or the maximum volume that you intend to use?

MR. NIGUSSIE: The maximum volume the sound system can play.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And who else was present on the first floor of the establishment at the time this video was made?

MR. NIGUSSIE: My contractor was there as well.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And what is his name?

Yohannes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. So is Mr. Yohannes the one that is taking the video, or are you taking the video?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Mr. Yohannes is the one who's taking the video.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So now I'm going to let it play. And is that you on the left-hand side of the video there?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes, that's me.
MR. BIANCO: And where are you going?
MR. NIGUSSIE: Opening the door to the alley.

MR. BIANCO: Okay.
MR. NIGUSSIE: The backdoor.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I just -- I got a question, Mr. Bianco. Is there supposed to be some volume to this? I'm not hearing anything. Am I missing something?

MR. BIANCO: There is volume. Yes, that's actually the entire point.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes, we can't -- I can't hear anything either.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: There wasn't volume inside, so I'm just saying was your volume
configured, that's what I'm saying?
MR. BIANCO: Yes, I was definitely getting volume on my end and I had the --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You're the only one who's getting -- I didn't get any volume, sir, so I didn't hear anything --

MR. BIANCO: I certainly --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- from the video.

MR. BIANCO: I certainly understand the confusion as it would be pretty pointless without the volume. Okay. So let me see on my end what I can do to address this.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Now, we can -- now you're able to hear it?

MR. BIANCO: Okay. Great. So hopefully you're still able to hear me as well. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But I would ask, Mr. Bianco, why don't you start the video back over? Because we heard no volume when you started. So why don't you start the video back over, please, sir?

MR. BIANCO: Absolutely. Glad to do that. Was just trying to save time. Here we go. MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chair, I'd like
to gently object because I take it that Mr. Bianco is trying to give us some indication of what the decibel level is.

But without any kind of quantitative measure here, it seems impossible to really appreciate what's being asserted here.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein, that is -- you will have an opportunity on crossexamination to cross-examine the witness on what you're trying to prove. So I'm going to -- I'm overruling the objection.

You will have cross-examination to make that point, sir, if you need to make a point. So let's move on -- let's go on.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. No doubt as I'm going to stop the video there. At this point in the video, where are you?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I'm inside, but from -I don't know if it was just my phone or everybody heard it like that, but the volume of the sound is way louder than what I heard on the video, when you going to get it from inside. But there's just no loud when -- from what you are showing me right now, It was way louder.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. Dess, I'm just
going to need you to answer the questions that I ask, okay?

MR. NIGUSSIE: All right.
MR. BIANCO: So at this point in the video, where are you standing?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I'm inside.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And are you -where are you in relation to the backdoor?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Right next to the backdoor.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And as Mr. Yohannes's went outside, did you adjust the volume in any way?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I did not.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And where -- if someone were to adjust the volume, where is the sound system located?

MR. NIGUSSIE: The sound system is further to -- well, right -- it's in front of the other side of the wall.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So is it on -near the 9th Street windows, the middle of the establishment, or the rear of the establishment?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I would say toward the middle.

MR. BIANCO: The middle. Okay. Now, I'm going to play the video for a few more seconds here and then I'll ask you another question.

MR. NIGUSSIE: It's 10 feet.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. Now, at this point in the video, are you able to hear any music emanating from the establishment?

MR. NIGUSSIE: No.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And I hear a white noise in the background. Do you know what's making that noise?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is the noise that comes out of the AC unit. You would see it on the left side.

MR. BIANCO: Okay.
MR. NIGUSSIE: You can see it.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. Mr. Nigussie, does that truly and accurately depict the video that was taken on the day of the sound test?

MR. NIGUSSIE: No, that is correct.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And move admission of Applicant's Exhibit number 5?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein?
MR. STOECKLEIN: No objection.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So moved.
(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as Applicant Exhibit 5 for identification.)

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And, Mr. Nigussie, did you -- in addition to this video, did you do any other sound testing?

MR. NIGUSSIE: We tested the volume from the -- behind the alley, but we didn't hear anything. And this a formal video we did.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So I'm sorry. I heard was having trouble hearing you there. What other sound tests did you do?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Basically, we tested just like this for behind the building, if there is a noise that comes out of the building. But we approved that. There's no music sound you can hear right standing where the car is parked. It actually not even going any farther from the back of the building.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And how did you test that?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Basically, we turn the volume up to the level you could call it, like, really loud inside and we shut down the door and
make sure that door is closed and, basically, we measure with decimeter. What is it called? The noise measurement.

MR. BIANCO: And what --
MR. NIGUSSIE: And --
MR. BIANCO: Go ahead.
MR. NIGUSSIE: And it shows it's really -- well, it would say, like, quiet, very quiet, office loud. So what we find out from the major month was, like, really quiet of his quiet -- it says, Office quiet, actually.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So what did you use to measure that level?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That's a noise measuring app we have on iPhone app.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So you have an iPhone noise measuring app? Is that what your testimony is?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. I'm going to show you what we've marked as Applicant Exhibit number 6. Can you describe for me what that is?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is the -basically that's a noise measuring app standing outside the building. Not inside, but outside.

MR. BIANCO: Outside of the building. And outside in the front or the rear?

MR. NIGUSSIE: At the rear.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And does that truly and accurately depict what your phone app showed on the day that you did that test?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. Move admission of Applicant's number 6.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein?
MR. STOECKLEIN: I would object. We -- there's no way of determining whether this image is actually a screenshot of Mr. Nigussie's phone, that it reflects what he says that it reflects, whether it, in fact, is tied to any measurement taken in reality anywhere near his property, et cetera.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And you are submitting this exhibit for what purpose, Mr. Bianco?

MR. BIANCO: The purpose is to show the results of the test that Mr. Nigussie performed. His testimony is that this was taken from a phone app to support the evidence and the objections that are being made go to weight and
not admissibility.
If the Board decides in its judgment that a phone app decibel measurement is not great evidence, well, then that's the decision the Board gets to make. But his testimony supports that, for whatever it's worth, this is the measurement taken.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I mean, I would admit it for what's worth. Mr. Stoecklein, you have the ability to cross-examine him on this -on the weight that the Board -- what weight, if any, the Board would give this docket -- this -whatever this is.

But so -- but I'll admit it, but you'll have an opportunity to cross-examine him on this document and the Board will -- based on that information, will give it whatever weight, if any. So this is Exhibit 6.
(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as Applicant Exhibit 6 for identification.)

MR. BIANCO: That's number 6, correct.
Okay. Mr. Nigussie, now, in the course of this substantial change application, did you have any communications with Mr.

Stoecklein?
MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And can you
describe the communications that you had with him in connection with this substantial change application?

MR. NIGUSSIE: So when we start this process for substantial change of, there was the -- it was the Neighborhood Association. First of all, they didn't give us any platform when they decided to protest.

They didn't offer me, basically, a platform to hear what I had to say. Literally, they voted to protest and they didn't hear what I had to say. They didn't give me any platform when the application was protested.

After that, $I$ 've tried to work out a resolution through the representative, Mr.

Stoecklein, but the responsible guy has denied I had pending negotiation with the business.

MR. BIANCO: Okay.
MR. NIGUSSIE: Then after that, a few months down the road, on 5/21/22, Mr. Stoecklein himself showed up to our business around, I would say, 12:00 midnight.

I actually I was happy to see him because he was ready for -- to come to an agreement, but instead I was met by a trade that I've never encountered before.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And can you describe the specific facts and circumstances surrounding that interaction on 5/21?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes. Basically Mr. Stoecklein came in. He ordered a drink at the bar and the bartender helped him out. And she gave him a receipt for him to sign. And he wrote on the receipt to the bartender, saying that, If you don't get all your tips, call me. And there is a phone number for it.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And how do you know that that was written on the receipt?

MR. NIGUSSIE: $I$ have the receipt.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And after this interaction with the bartender, what happened next?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I didn't realize -when he wrote this, $I$ didn't make any sense of it. But after that, I realized he wanted to start, like, wage complaint by employees against me. That's what he was trying to do at that
point, I guess.
MR. BIANCO: Well, hang on, Mr. Nigussie. That's not what I'm asking. I'm asking, after he had the interaction with the bartender on 5/21, what happened next that night?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Okay. Then he tried to go to the third floor. There is security guard up to the stairs, going to the third floor, he was trying to bypass security floor and going to third floor. But the security guy stopped him and told him that the third floor is not open yet.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And where were you at this time?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I was on third floor by myself.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And after Mr.
Stoecklein tried to get to the third floor and was stopped by security, what is the next thing that happened?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Then one of my security guys notified me that there is this white guy downstairs, he want to talk to you. That's when I came down the stairs and let him know that is too loud in inside of -- and invite him to go at
the back where it's quieter so that -- we hoping we can have a productive conversation.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So when you got -which floor did you walk out on when you walked out of the establishment?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Second floor.
MR. BIANCO: Second floor. Okay. And when you got out of the second floor door, where did you go?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Out the back door of the second floor.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And could you tell me what was discussed in that conversation?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Well, to start to the conversation, I tried to explain to him that -- I tried to work with the ANC on resolution and I told him basically my intentions to work with the Westminster Neighborhood Association as well.

After I said that, he was, like -- you can tell he's -- right off the bat, like he wasn't in conversation. He was like, Then what? I was like, What do you mean, then what? But at -- that's why you can see, like, he is angry. I don't know why he was angry to start the conversation.

And he said, Do you know who I am? I didn't say anything to that. And then he said, I'm an FBI agent. I want you to be gone. Then there's not going to be any negotiation here. I said, Good for you, but that's not up to you to decide.

After that he -- I didn't know what to say and then at this point he -- you can see the anger and the confrontational face. You can observe that. He was pointing fingers to my face as he was speaking and then he goes off, How many employees did you claim for SBA loan?

MR. BIANCO: And what did --
MR. NIGUSSIE: I said, That is none of your concern, sir.

MR. BIANCO: Then what happened?
MR. NIGUSSIE: And then he keep on saying, Do you know that guy across the street? He has you, he said. And they said he always invited me to his meetings, so why don't you invite me too?

And I realized I didn't know at that point who he's talking about. I told him, I don't have any personal meeting to invite you to. And he say, The guy has second lounge. All I
told him, I don't know the guy. And at this point in time -- really afraid at this point, so I ask him to leave.

MR. BIANCO: And did he?
MR. NIGUSSIE: He slowly, still talking, got down the stairs from second floor to the first floor. And I let him out through the back door of the fence.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So I'm going to share video that does not have any volume to it. Can you describe what we are looking at here?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes. You can see the guy standing on the left side of -- it looks like, white shirt -- white short sleeved shirt, but actually, that's blue shirt.

MR. BIANCO: Okay.
MR. NIGUSSIE: And then the left camera that there is a white shirt, that was Mr. Stoecklein standing at the bar.

MR. BIANCO: So this is Mr. Stoecklein standing at the bar?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Right.
MR. BIANCO: And which bar is that within your establishment?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is the second
floor bar.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. So I'm not going to play this video all the way through because it's 2 minutes and 48 seconds. But I am going to stop and ask you questions and go to different parts of the video. Is that okay?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is okay.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. So do you know what's going on at that part of the video?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes. That is -- my bartender tried to help Mr. Stoecklein.

MR. BIANCO: Okay.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Objection.
Relevance. I don't know what this has -- I mean, what, if anything, this has to do with whether or not the -- Empire should be allowed to expand to the first floor.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So I don't know why -- I don't -- I really don't know why we're watching this video. So I think that we had some conversation regarding your interaction with him in this particular day, so I'm not quite sure.

I think at this juncture, this is just Mr. -- the licensee testified that he had an interaction with you on this specific occasion
and I guess he's just showing the video to say, Here is the -- this is the video of interaction that we have. I don't know why it's being --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Nigussie is not present in this video. He can't speak to the nature of this interaction. He has no idea what's being said. There's no volume. I can stipulate to the fact that that is me on the video. I have good cause for being there, just like any other patron.

But that's not what's at issue here and that's not what we're talking about. So far, I've heard nothing that suggest any shred of relevance to the issue in question. I do look forward to getting to the bottom of this and discussing it at some point, but this is --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Why are we -all right. We had testimony about a video about -- Mr. Nigussie talk about he had a conversation. So you're showing us a video without any volume. Why are we watching this video?

MR. BIANCO: Sure. We were watching the video -- well, the -- first of all, the relevance of the video is because, 1, these representations have been testified to in a court
and in the investigative report. Mr. Nigussie testified to them. They bolster his testimony. Number 3, it goes to witness bias, witness credibility, the underlying modus operandi of this particular protest, and that it is something other than the purpose for which it is purported.

And this particular video that doesn't show the conversation does show Mr. Stoecklein writing on this receipt in the manner that Mr. Nigussie testified to in an effort to harm his business in some way other than through this protest process.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. -- but, Mr. Bianco -- all right.

MR. STOECKLEIN: It doesn't show that. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein, can you give me -- can you give me an opportunity, sir, please?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Of course. I apologize, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You've showing us the video. Your -- the applicant testified.

MR. BIANCO: Correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You're showing
a video to say that Mr. Stoecklein -- and I don't believe that Mr. Stoecklein is going to deny that he was in the establishment.

Now, are you going to show us -- I don't know what it is that he wrote on the receipt. Are you going to -- are you showing us the receipt that basically -- so we can read the receipt to see what's written on it?

MR. BIANCO: Possibly. I am going to ask Mr. Stoecklein what was written on the receipt. And if Mr. Stoecklein either doesn't recall or denies writing that on the receipt that we will show the receipt for impeachment purposes.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But I don't think he's denying that. I mean, I think the bottom line, we don't know why he wrote that. I mean, $I$ guess the bottom line is that $I$ don't see why we're seeing -- we have the testimony.

Okay. There's a video to say that he was in establishment, but $I$ don't see why we need to look at this video.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. Well, I mean, if Mr. Stoecklein is willing to stipulate that he wrote on the receipt, Are you not getting your
tips? Call me, then I'm fine with that. We don't need to look at the video any further.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No. But what I'm trying to say, Mr. -- what I'm saying, Mr. Bianco, does this video clearly show what your -does this video clearly shows the receipt and that he wrote this -- what you're saying on the receipt?

MR. BIANCO: No, it only shows him writing on the receipt.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Then I don't need to see this video. I mean, I think that -- I mean, if -- because -- unless you're going to show me a close up of the receipt in the video to say this is what he wrote and the video is going to document it -- I still don't understand why that's even relevant.

I mean, yes, you have the video has established that on the day in question that Mr. Stoecklein was -- okay. He was there. Your client testified that he was there and the nature of his conversation.

And so therefore, let's move on from there. So we don't need to -- we don't need this video unless Mr. Stoecklein was going to state
that he has never been to the establishment, and he --- and this never occurred, at least the conversation never occurred. That has been testified to.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. Yes, I'm fine with that. We can just move on from there. Thank you very much, Mr. Chair. I appreciate that.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.
MR. STOECKLEIN: So, Chair, is -- I --
is the objection sustained or overruled, Mr. Chair? I'm not sure because --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We're not watching the video, sir. You're objecting to the showing of the video. I mean -- so we're not going to watch the video, but the video established that you were in the establishment.

I assume this is -- that was the purpose of it. He stated that you're in the establishment. You had an interaction with --

MR. STOECKLEIN: That's right. I'm certainly willing to stipulate to that. But beyond that, I -- again, I renew my objection on relevance. I would ask for certain -- from -some discretion from the Court because this is a pretty unusual attempt to actually discredit the
credibility of opposing counsel, which I find pretty exceptional --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein

MR. STOECKLEIN: -- so --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- the witness testified. We have direct testimony. The witness testified about, you were there, what you said, what you did, you can cross-examine him on that. And the video has established that you were there.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes. I just don't understand how any of this is relevant. Mr. Chair, you've pointed out on multiple occasions over the course of this many-hour proceeding that we're here to focus on the very narrow question of whether there's an adverse impact on the community if the first floor is allowed to go into operation or to continue to be operated, as Mr. Nigussie's been operating. So we're so far afield for -- from that right now.

MR. BIANCO: I completely agree that the proceeding Mr. -- that Mr. Stoecklein's put on is completely out of control, but here we are. And the other thing $I$ would say is this testimony
is on the record and the exhibits withdrawn. What are we arguing about?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And that's what I'm saying. If we are --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Well, that's great. I just was asking what the status exhibit was. That if I missed, Mr. Bianco indicated that he withdrawn exhibit, then I apologize because we could have dispensed with all this conversation. But I just was asking for clarification, so --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't have a problem with the video being shown, if -- because the video established that you, sir, you're in the establishment, as was testified by the witness, that you were there, you had an interaction with his -- with one of his servers. MR. STOECKLEIN: But it doesn't support the truth of the facts being asserted, right?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't know. Sir, sir, sir, where we are, the witness testified and the witness showed a video to say you were there. Okay. And he gave his testimony. You will have an opportunity to cross-examine him about the truth of what his
testimony --
MR. STOECKLEIN: I understand, Chair.
I'll just still --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Let's move on. We don't need to see any further off a video that have no -- that has -- now, if there was a video that recorded the conversation, then that's different. But here's a video that have no volume. All that is video establishes is that you were there.

MR. BIANCO: And I think we have a stipulation to that fact, so we don't need the video, correct?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Fine. So let's move on.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. Fine. Mr. Nigussie, do you have a security plan for your establishment?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: And I believe the security plan was attached to the investigative report, which I will pull up momentarily.

Okay. And this -- so I'm showing you what is attached to the investigative report as your security plan. Is this your current
security plan?
MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes, it is.
MR. BIANCO: And it's dated June 17, 2022. Is that when this was approved?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is about right, yes.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And have you adopted a new security plan to include use of the first floor?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: And does that security plan -- well, let me just ask you this: On the new first floor, if allowed, what is your plan with respect to cameras?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I'm sorry. I didn't -can you repeat the question?

MR. BIANCO: Sure. If you're allowed to operate on the first floor, do you have a plan with respect to cameras?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes. We have installed a separate camera just for first floor, front and the back of the building.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And have you installed those cameras yet?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And as -- I'm going to show you a document that should be up on your screen right now that is marked as Applicant Exhibit number 9. Do you see that?

MR. NIGUSSIE: What am I looking at?
MR. BIANCO: This is Applicant Exhibit number 9. Do you see that?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes, yes, yes.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And have you done a diagram for the first floor cameras as they have been installed?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: And is that -- does that diagram appear anywhere in your security?

MR. NIGUSSIE: A camera diagram?
MR. BIANCO: Correct?
MR. NIGUSSIE: I don't see, no.
MR. BIANCO: I am scrolling down to what is marked as --

MR. NIGUSSIE: Exhibit B is -- yes.
MR. BIANCO: And what does that show?
MR. NIGUSSIE: That shows, basically, the front, the back, and the inside cameras. It shows that you have one camera at the back, two cameras up -- one, two, three, four, five, six,
six cameras inside, on the first floor. That's on the first floor.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So this is all the first floor shown on this exhibit?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And this diagram here that says, Exhibit $A$, what does that show?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is the camera locations for second floor and third floor.

MR. BIANCO: Second floor and third floor. Okay. So, Mr. Nigussie, is this a true and accurate copy of your amended security plan?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. BIANCO: And with respect to entry procedures, how are you going to handle people entering the establishment once Sound Bar is allowed to operate?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Our plan is to have more security, obviously. And I hope it's more convenient and safe when we have two securities and the two doors versus one security gate.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So are you going to have -- how are you going to have security stationed at each store?

MR. NIGUSSIE: There is going to be
security right in front of the Empire door and the first floor door as well.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So what are those security guards going to do when patrons enter the property?

MR. NIGUSSIE: They properly ID them.
Pat down, search them, and we have a wand as well, according the security plan. So pretty much they will make sure that everybody that comes through the door is properly ID'd and searched.

MR. BIANCO: And are those procedures laid out in your amended security plan?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes, it.
MR. BIANCO: And I would move admission of Applicant number 9, the amended security plan.

MR. STOECKLEIN: No objection.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So moved.
(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as Applicant Exhibit 9 for identification.)

MR. BIANCO: So, Mr. Nigussie, you testified that -- about your interactions with the WNA. Did you make any efforts to work with
the ANC on this particular application?
MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: What did you do?
MR. NIGUSSIE: We -- actually after we attended the meeting, I reached out to the ANC, e-mailed them actually, and try to work out a resolution. Further promised to give us a settlement agreement and --

MR. BIANCO: Now, Mr. Nigussie, let me stop you right there. I do not -- I am not asking you, and I don't want you to talk about, any specifics of any negotiations. I just want to get a sense of the communications between the parties, okay?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Okay.
MR. BIANCO: So after the meeting and after you reached out to them, what happened?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Pretty much no response for a long time.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And were there ever any substantive discussions about settlement?

MR. NIGUSSIE: No.
MR. BIANCO: Were you willing to have those discussions?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Absolutely.
MR. BIANCO: I don't have anything further at this time, Mr. Anderson.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. Stoecklein, do you have any questions?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes, Mr. Anderson. So -- I'm trying to get to where to start.

I believe that you indicated in your testimony that you, and please correct me if I'm not phrasing this exactly as you've stated it, that you were not given the opportunity to interact with the Westminster Neighborhood Association?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I did not say no opportunity. I said I didn't given a platform to present what I have to say.

MR. STOECKLEIN: So is it your testimony that you were not given notice of the WNA meeting at which the protest was discussed?

MR. NIGUSSIE: They did. That's not what I said. I was invited to the video conference. But you remember when you guys voted? The procedure is supposed to have -- to hear what the owner have to say.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Nigussie, I just -- I asked you a yes or no question. So I would appreciate if you could just stick to the yes or no answer. So is it your testimony that you were not given notice of the WNA meeting at which the protest was discussed?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I did not say that. I did not say that.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. So you were given notice; is that correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That's correct.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And you did participate in that call; did you not?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I did.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Thank you. You indicated that the -- can you tell us -- and it's clear that you've done construction with Sound Bar. It was -- we saw extensive video that -- did the construction include the installation of additional speakers?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Everything, yes.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And how many additional speakers?

MR. NIGUSSIE: On the first floor, I believe, but $I$ could be wrong, three.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Three speakers. You only have three speakers on the first floor?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That's correct.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Mr. Nigussie, do you have a DJ booth on the first floor or any other kind of sound equipment?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. With respect to the speakers that you indicated you installed, do you have any idea what their maximum sound levels are on -- specifically in terms of decibels or wattage? Do you get any -- can you provide us with any specifics about their maximum possible output?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I can't say.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. So you have no idea how loud they can actually get from a decibel and a wattage standpoint; is that accurate?

MR. NIGUSSIE: The volume is set -basically, the speakers are set --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Nigussie, I'm asking whether you know, based on a quantitative reading, what the maximum output of the speakers are?

Speakers output are measured in wattage and decibels. I'm wondering if you can tell us what the maximum limits are on those speakers.

MR. NIGUSSIE: I don't remember off the top of my head, but I --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Thank you. That's good. Thank you. And can you tell me what equipment you installed on the DJ booth to ensure that the sound levels are kept within the allowable parameters specified under the code -under the DC Code?

MR. BIANCO: Objection.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Can you tell me what equipment?

MR. BIANCO: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion. Assumes facts not in evidence. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right, sir. What were you saying?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Nigussie just spent at least five minutes testifying about the permissible decibel levels. We saw his purported iPhone screenshot of what is and is not allowable decibel level. So I think it's -- I'm simply following the thread established by counsel and -
-
MR. BIANCO: He did no such thing. He showed a photograph of a decibel meter that he read while he was doing a sound test. He made no testimony about what the law said, doesn't say, allows, doesn't allow, that was the legal compliance or not. We played a video based on a sound test that he himself attended.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I'm going to rule -- overrule the objection. If he can answer it, he can answer. If he doesn't have an answer, he doesn't have an answer. You can answer the question, Mr. Nigussie.

MR. NIGUSSIE: Can you repeat the question again? I'm sorry.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes. Mr. Nigussie, are you -- can you please indicate what equipment exists specifically on the DJ -- I'll call it, to set up, the DJ booth. That's what we're speaking about it as before. Can you indicate what equipment exists to maintain sound levels at or beneath the level mandated by the district's ordinance?

MR. NIGUSSIE: There is some --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I'm
not --
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. I'll rephrase the question. I'll withdraw the question.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Are you aware that -mare you aware of the Noise Control Act in the District of Columbia?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Well, I don't. Specifically no.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Specifically, no. Okay. Are you aware that the Noise Control Act, that's the proper name for this provision of law, prohibits establishments like yours from exceeding the maximum limit of 60 decibels within exterior walls? Are you aware of that?

MR. NIGUSSIE: You have --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Hold on. Hold on. All right. Let me go back to the settlement agreement. Can you -- can -- I what controls the noise in this establishment, sir, is the settlement agreement, okay?

I think -- so I'm going to -- so I -if you're going to talk about noise, I need you to go to the settlement agreement. Not because -- that's not -- $I$ don't -- that is not relevant,
at least for this hearing because --
MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chairman --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- I believe --
MR. STOECKLEIN: I'm sorry. I'm not sure I understand. So the Noise Control Act, which pertains to all ABRA licensed establishments, doesn't apply in this case to Mr. Nigussie's establishment for some reason? Is that what I'm understanding?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm --
MR. STOECKLEIN: That I cannot speak to him about the Noise Control Act?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm not sure what Noise Control Act you're talking about, sir, but I can only go back to the settlement agreement and this settlement agreement controls the noise in this establishment.

And if you review the settlement agreement, which specific says what can -- that noise should not be.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chairman, I'm citing to DC Code provision 25-725, the Noise Control Act.

MR. BIANCO: Mr. Chairman --
MR. STOECKLEIN: I think that it's
applicable in --
MR. BIANCO: -- the specifics of my objection is he's asking my lay witness about the specifics of the DC Code.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Well, what I'd like to know --

MR. BIANCO: And then -- Mr. Stoecklein, I sat here and listened to your objection, $I$ will ask for the same courtesy. Thank you.

My specific objection is that Mr. Stoecklein continues to pursue a legal conclusion from my client or lay witness. This is argument. He's free to present argument in his closing statement when he testifies. He's free to put facts on the record.

What he should not be permitted to do is to continually badger my client about his knowledge of the law. It's not relevant.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Ignorance of the law is never a defense, Mr. Bianco. I think we both learned that in law school. I'm asking whether Mr. -- I'm not asking Mr. Nigussie to opine on whether 60 decibels, for example, is appropriate or what levels might be, or what equipment will
achieve that.
I'm asking whether he is aware of the laws that he is obliged to adhere to as an operator of an establishment.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Okay. I'm going to -- all right. Hold on. Hold on. I'm going to overrule the objection. If the owner's aware, he can answer the question. If he's not aware, let's move on. Are you able to answer the question, sir?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Understood. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Mr. Nigussie, are you aware of the Noise Control Act in the District of Columbia which restricts the national allowable decibel level in a club like yours to 60 decibels?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I can't say --
MR. STOECKLEIN: It's a yes or no question, sir.

MR. NIGUSSIE: I'm not aware of this law.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. So if you're not aware of this law, then how can we possibly believe that you know whether or not the sound being emitted by any of the speakers at any part
of your club, Sound Bar or otherwise, are actually kept under the allowable limit specified in the law?

MR. BIANCO: Objection --
MR. StOECKLEIN: If you don't even know what the limit is how can we -- how can you --

MR. BIANCO: Hang on. There's, like, six questions here. Can we ask just one question at a time?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's the nature of the objection? What's the nature of the objection, Mr. Bianco?

MR. BIANCO: Multiply compound. I would ask that he ask one question and get an answer.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Fine. Mr. Stoecklein, can you please ask the witness one question at a time?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Absolutely.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Let's move on.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Nigussie, how can you be sure that your sound system is operating in compliance with the law that we just discussed if you don't know what law -- what limits the law
prescribes?
MR. NIGUSSIE: You want me to answer?
MR. STOECKLEIN: Please, yes.
MR. BIANCO: If you can answer the question, sir.

MR. NIGUSSIE: Okay. So the volume control is not on the speakers. The volume control is on the DJ mixer. So what we did is that we turn up the volume all the way on the DJ mixer, not on the speaker.

But by even listening to the music, the music is too loud because I've been running this business for almost three years and up to right now, I know when the music is too loud. And we --

MR. STOECKLEIN: When is that, Mr. Nigussie? When --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein, can you let -- can you allow him to finish answer the question, sir? He's not done. Just allow him to answer the question. When he's done, you can ask your other question, sir.

Go ahead, sir.
MR. NIGUSSIE: Then when we set the volume all the way up on that mixer and it was
really loud, loud than usual. And we tested outside the building after we closed the door. That's what we did, sir.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. So you know, based on your ear, what is in compliance with the law and what is not with respect to the sound level? Is that what you're -- is that your testimony?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Are you talking about the DC Code provision you're --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Well, I just want -I mean, I think I heard you testify that you have -- you just -- you have an ear, you've developed an ear for what is or not -- is not a legally compliant noise level; is that correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: They --
MR. STOECKLEIN: It's a yes or no, Mr. Nigussie. Is that your testimony that you have developed that sense with your own ear? I'll withdraw the question. Mr. -- it's okay. Mr. Nigussie, I'm --

MR. NIGUSSIE: If you can answer the question, go ahead.

MR. STOECKLEIN: I'm withdrawing the question, Mr. Nigussie. I'll move on. You
indicated that you did sound testing. Can you tell me who specifically conducted that sound testing?

MR. NIGUSSIE: It's myself and my contractor.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And are you a licensed sound engineer?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Am I?
MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes. Are you?
MR. NIGUSSIE: No, no.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And is your contractor a licensed sound engineer?

MR. NIGUSSIE: He's not.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Do you have any technical credentials related to noise mitigation, acoustic engineering, or something else that would be relevant to your technical ability to measure sound levels?

MR. NIGUSSIE: No.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. You indicated that you used a phone app to measure sounds -sound levels at your establishment. Can you tell me how that phone app is calibrated?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That wasn't my phone app, actually. That was my contractor's phone
app.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Interesting. Okay. Can you tell me how your contractor's phone app -- I would move to -- in light of Mr. Nigussie's testimony, I would move to strike the previously admitted exhibit which he purported to display a screenshot of the sound app that he used from his phone.

MR. BIANCO: The contractor's my next witness.

MR. STOECKLEIN: My motion stands.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You have a witness. Why don't you -- once the witness testifies, I will reserve judgment --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Understood.
Understood, Mr. Chair. Okay. That's fine. Mr. Nigussie, did you attend the February 16, 2022 ANC ABR committee meeting?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I don't know which meeting you referring to.

MR. STOECKLEIN: So you indicated that you attended -- I believe you indicated in your testimony that you attended an ABR committee -the ANC 1B, you know, ABRA committee, ABR committee meeting.

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes. Over video conference, yes.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes. And my understanding is that's the February -- that there's one meeting a month, right? So my understanding is that that was the February 16, 2022 meeting. Does that sound right to you?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I don't remember the exact date, but there is one $I$ attend, sir.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And at that meeting, did you indicate that you were unaware that your establishment had been operating on the first floor for some period of time?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I don't recall what I said on that meeting sir, you're referring to.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. So then is it your -- so you do not recall indicating to the members of the ABR committee on February 16th --

MR. BIANCO: Objection --
MR. NIGUSSIE: -- that --
MR. BIANCO: Asked and answered.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sustained. Let's move on, sir. He said he doesn't recall, so I'm not sure -- if you have evidence to --

MR. STOECKLEIN: I do.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- refresh his recollection, then let's have the evidence refresh his recollection, sir.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. We'll take a few minutes to -- that's actually the rebuttal testimony that I indicated the fourth witness would be able to speak to, so we'll have the -I'll have to circle back to that --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And I think -I'm not quite sure of how that's relevant. I mean, the --

MR. STOECKLEIN: I'd like to prove that Mr. Nigussie is, in many instances, quite frankly, to put it blankly, about -- just about everything. So I have evidence to -- I have testimony that I can offer from several witnesses that were at the meeting who can tell you that, in fact, Mr. Nigussie did claim that he was unaware that the first floor was operating.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: As of what date? As stated earlier in his investigative reports, the ABC Board issued a warning, I think, in February -- the date in February. So this -we've established that as of, I think, February 16, 2022, he was aware that he was operating the
first floor illegally.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes. And I'm suggesting that prior to that date, he indicated to the committee, it's my understanding, but I -again, I would --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I mean, he can state whatever he wanted, but at least the record indicates that on February 16, 2022, the ABC Board issued him a warning.

So as of February -- if he stated on February the 20 th that he was not aware, we can have an argument. But we don't really need to establish whether or not he was aware prior to February 16 because, since he was issued a warning by the Board, he was aware as of February 16th.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Right. I agree with that and I'm suggesting that -- okay. I'll come back to that if we have time, Chair.

Mr. Nigussie, do -- can you tell me when the Sound Bar first -- when you first commenced operations on the first floor of your establishment?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Say -- what was the question again? I'm sorry. I missed it.

MR. STOECKLEIN: What was the date upon which you first commenced or became aware of operations commencing on the first floor of your establishment?

MR. BIANCO: Mr. Anderson, I'm going to object here. We discussed earlier the fact that there is an unadjudicated violation. A hearing's not been held.

And I know we've been very careful to dance around this issue, but I don't want to get into any issue that could put my client in a position to have to testify as something that's an issue in the show cause.

MR. STOECKLEIN: This speaks -- I mean, this -- the show cause is on the period of time following the warning. This speaks to -- my line of questioning speaks to Mr. Nigussie's underlying credibility as a witness.

I'd like to know whether he was -what he says he was or wasn't aware of during the roughly four month span during which Sound Bar was operating.

MR. BIANCO: Mr. Anderson, this doesn't have anything to do with the appropriateness of the request that's before the

Board. I understand that Mr. Stoecklein wants to try and impeach the credibility of my client, $I$ get that.

I've given some latitude on that and what my only objection is, is on the issue of forcing my client to testify on an unadjudicated violation that's coming up for a hearing, is not appropriate, especially where it is more prejudicial than probative.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to sustain the objection. And let the record reflect that a warning was issued on -- by the ABC Board, it's in the investigative history, on -- and let me -- all right. Let me -- rather than me give in, let me go back to the report itself. Hold on please.

In the report -- the case report, which is a part of the record and in the investigative history, Case number 22CMP00 -- I'm sorry. Hold on. In Investigative History number 4, Case 21, CMP00082 expanded its operation to the first floor without approval.

On January 26, 2022, the Board issued a warning. Let the record reflect. As of, the Board issued a warning to the applicant on

January 26, 2022 about expansion of its operation in the first floor.

That's already established because a warning was issued. I'm not quite sure how much more relevant it is for us to further explore this issue.

MR. BIANCO: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That is not relevant moving forward. We are aware that -and the Agency's aware and that is why we issued a warning to him on January 26, 2022 about expanding the operation on the first floor. Let's move on.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chairman, can -is there any period of time that I'm -- during which -- over the four-month span during which Sound Bar was in operation that I'm allowed to inquire with Mr. Nigussie about his knowledge or his involvement or is that all off limits?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But why is that relevant, sir? We have already established that he was operating the first floor as the Board issued a warning on -- the Board issued a warning on Case 21 CMP00082, was generated on December 16, 2021, on January 22nd, on January 26, 2022,
the Board issued a warning, right? So --
MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chairman, I understand. I think that it's relevant and I would hope that the Board would agree --

MR. BIANCO: What is relevant, sir? We have already established that on -- we -- that our -- one of our investigators went to the establishment on January -- on December 16, 2020 - -

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes, I know that. I understand that, Mr. Chairman. And what I'm trying to demonstrate is a persistent pattern of disregard for every -- nearly every rule that ABRA is responsible for enforcing and every issuance or citation or warning that you have issued to Mr. Nigussie, he's continued to ignore it.

And I have evidence indicating that even following the warning, Mr. Nigussie was intimately involved with the operation of the club. He continued to be aware of --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: If there are other cases on his investigative history that have not been adjudicated, that the Board will make a decision. The Board could determine that
that was not true. I don't know. All right.
But I think that this is not an appropriate -- this is not an appropriate -let's move on from here, sir. I've sustained the objection. Let's move on.

This is of the appropriateness of expanding of -- whether or not the Board, excuse me, should issue a substantial change for him to operate on the first floor.

It has been established that he was operating on the first floor and the Board issued him a warning in January. That already is established. Let's move on from there.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Mr. Nigussie, do you allow smoking to occur inside your club?

MR. NIGUSSIE: $I$ do not.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Does smoking occur inside your club even though it's not allowed?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Not that I'm aware of. We don't allow any smoking here.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Are your security personnel instructed to -- what are your security personnel instructed to do if they see someone smoking inside your club?

MR. BIANCO: I'm going to object as to
relevance on smoking. I don't see what this has to do with anything.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I am going to sustain the objection. The ABC Board does not regulate smoking as an establishment. That is regulated by Department of Health. It's -- so therefore - -

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chair, one of the other Board members, it's my recollection, specifically inquired about this -- applicability of the smoking statutes to make an --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And I know, sir. And I but what I'm trying to say to you is, sir, a Board member can ask a question, but I'm the Board chair and I'm saying to you, we do not regulate smoking or hookah in an establishment.

And so therefore, we're not going to have any testimony regarding any type of smoking in this ABC establishment because this is not something that we regulate, okay?

MR. STOECKLEIN: All right. I --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: It's not -- we do not regulate that, so therefore, we're not going to have testimony on that issue. If you -if there are --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chair, for the record, I would respectfully request that my objection be recorded on the basis that there is applicable DC circuit law that --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. There is -- sir -- let me say this to you, sir, okay? We do not regulate that. The most recent court case regarding this issue. We do not regulate and we made no decisions on the operation of smoking in an ABC establishment. We --

MR. STOECKLEIN: I'm absolutely aware of that.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: By the Office of Attorney General. And so therefore, we do not make decisions and we no longer opine on smoking or hookah in the establishment. This is not an area that we regulate and so therefore, you need to address that with the appropriate agency, ABRA.

MR. STOECKLEIN: And in order to do that, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to just renew my objection for the record and I will gladly move on.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir. And
your objection is for the record and I've overruled it. I'm sorry -- I've overruled the objection --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Understood.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- for the
record.
MR. STOECKLEIN: I will move on, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Let's move on, yes.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Understood.
Mr. Nigussie, what is the total square footage of your establishment currently?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I got to find out. I don't exactly know the square feet.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Is it approximately
-- would you -- are you aware whether it is in excess of 3,000 square feet or not, if you'll put all three levels?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I can't say. I don't want to speculate.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Are you aware that a facility, a commercial building, in access of 3,000 fees required to provide all street private parking for its customers?

MR. NIGUSSIE: You said private parking?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes.
MR. NIGUSSIE: I'm not aware of that.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And do you provide any parking for your customers as it stands today?

MR. NIGUSSIE: We do not.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. You indicated that you have no other co-owners; is that correct? At Empire?

MR. NIGUSSIE: You said --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I didn't hear the question, sir. And I think he's having a problem. So I did not understand the question you were asking.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Was it your testimony that you do not have any other co-owners in Empire?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Do you know a gentleman named Keayon Kassem?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Do you know why this individual would have reached out to the

Westminster Neighborhood Association in early June of this year and represent himself as a partner of Empire?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I don't know.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Mr. Chairman, I know that you were explicit about our time restrictions and that each party would have an hour left. Would it be possible to find out how much time $I$ have remaining?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I have not been keeping track, sir. But, you are -- yes.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. I will -- I'm going --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm being judicious in the sense that I'm counting your time for -- when you present your actual case and not necessarily using time against you for you to do cross-examination because $I$ think it's more appropriate for you to do direct testimony. So I've not been keeping track of --

MR. STOECKLEIN: I just wanted to be respectful of your -- the requirements, Mr. Chairman, so I appreciate that. And I'm finishing up with the witness momentarily.

Mr. Nigussie, are you aware that
you're under oath today?
MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. STOECKLEIN: And do you understand it's a crime to lie under oath?

MR. BIANCO: I'm going to object.
This is not relevant to anything. Can we wrap this up, please?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't know where he's going, but what -- was -- what -- is there a question pending?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Oh, there -- yes, they are and it specifically pertains to Mr. Nigussie testimony about me. And so I would appreciate some latitude to explore the multiple assertions made about my actions within the bar, my assertions at the bar, et cetera.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You are -- sir, he testified. You're asking questions on crossexamination. You're welcome to ask questions on cross-examination, sir.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Nigussie?
MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Do you have any evidence to support any of your claims regarding alleged threats or to verify any of the alleged
contents of the exchange, the conversation that you and I had on the evening that -- portrayed in the video? $I$ don't have the date in front of me, I'm sorry, but --

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes, I do have a witness, actually.

MR. STOECKLEIN: I'm sorry? You have a witness who can --

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Are we hearing from that -- have we heard from that witness or --

MR. NIGUSSIE: Not yet.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. You know who I am, right, Mr. Nigussie? And I think it's abundantly clear to everybody that we know each other, right? We've interacted over a period of several years now; is that correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes, I know you.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes. Do you know the difference between the FBI and the SBA?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I don't know what SBI is, but I know what CBA is.

MR. STOECKLEIN: I'm sorry, I'm not sure I understood your answer. Could you just repeat it again for me, please?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes, I don't know what a CBI is, but I don't know -- I know what a CBA is.

MR. STOECKLEIN: I'm not sure that I asked either of those, but I just want to be -just be clear here. So the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the FBI, is a federal agency.

And I'm asking you whether you know the difference between the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Small Business Administration, the SBA?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes, I know the difference.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And is it possible -- and you are aware that I'm an attorney, correct? And that -- correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I wasn't aware.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And, you know

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: He's aware that you are an attorney, Mr. Stoecklein, so are you -- I wasn't aware prior to hearing that you're an attorney so -- okay.

MR. STOECKLEIN: And are you -- at any point in our two years of interacting together,

Mr. Nigussie, have you had any reason to believe that $I$ am an agent of the FBI?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That's what you told me, sir. I don't have any choice but to believe what you told me.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Nigussie, do you think that it's possible, particularly given the noise that we've all discussed in your establishment, that you may have misunderstood what was being said?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Sir, I remember what I heard and I'm very clear. It wasn't that loud. MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Well, Mr. Chair, I'm not sure how to do this because there's nobody else that's here on my side to actually cross-examine me. So I don't know if it's appropriate for me to make a statement or how --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: As an attorney, sir -- as you've said, you're an attorney, it's not appropriate for you to make a statement, sir, unless you're going to testify later on. So if you're going to testify --

MR. STOECKLEIN: I'm not sure how to direct myself, Mr. Chairman --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, then you can -- I can swear you in later on if you want to testify, sir, or you want to give a statement. I can swear you in and you can give a statement and then Mr. Bianco will cross-examine you.

MR. BIANCO: And, Mr. Anderson, just as a procedural point, $I$ understood that Mr . Stoecklein was a representative for WNA and a witness. I didn't see that he had entered an appearance, and $I$ understand he is an attorney, but I didn't understand that he was the attorney in this --

MR. STOECKLEIN: That is correct. No. You're -- that's right. Mr. Bianco, that's correct. I am not representing WNA in my capacity as an attorney. I just happen to be an attorney.

MR. BIANCO: Yes, that's my understanding.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: I appreciate you clarifying that. Thank you.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Which is an important distinction and I appreciate --

MR. BIANCO: Yes, I --

MR. STOECKLEIN: I appreciate that.
MR. BIANCO: Yes. Absolutely.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Nigussie, you indicated in your statement to Inspector Glasgow that you -- please correct me if I'm wrong -that you faced threats of physical violence; is that accurate? That's your statement? From me?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes. That I was referring to -- I was referring to the way you were pointing fingers to my face while you were talking. That's what I was referring to. I wasn't referring to the fact that -- I didn't say, you personally hit me. That's the violence I was afraid of.

MR. STOECKLEIN: So point -- so when you use the phrase physical violence, you mean me pointing at you?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. I'm glad we've clarified that. And so notwithstanding the significant threat or physical violence that you felt from my finger pointing, is it accurate that you, nonetheless, did invite me upstairs so that we can have a conversation in quiet; is that correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes. I invite you upstairs and we went downstairs.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Right. I remember. I remember. I know. So you developed a threat of physical violence from my finger, but you -but it was not so significant that you felt uncomfortable going upstairs with me and having a private conversation together; is that correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Not just your finger, sir. The whole demeanor, your anger, your agitation, the whole thing is -- it was a big threat to me. That's what I was referring to.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Mr. Nigussie, are you aware that it's illegal to withhold tips from employees?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Okay. Mr. Stoecklein. All right. All right. I have been more than reasonable. I should have been counting your cross-examination against your time. I have not been doing that, sir. I need you to wrap up, sir. We're going to --

MR. STOECKLEIN: And I'm just trying to be responsive to extensive --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And I'm saying to you Mr. -- this is a hearing on the
appropriateness of whether the Board should issue a substantial change for the first floor. We are not going to have any evidence or any testimony on withholding tips, okay?

MR. STOECKLEIN: I disagree, Mr.
Chairman. But what this -- I apologize.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm not going there, sir. I need you to -- we're going to put -- this is not relevant to what -- to our decision, sir. And so I need you to --

MR. STOECKLEIN: I understand, Mr. Chairman, but --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- your crossexamination on relevant issue to the Board. If you want to maintain the Board's attention, you need to provide relevant cross-examination. This is the issue -- Mr. Nigussie is directed -- on his direct examination he stated that's his position.

That's not necessarily relevant to the decision that the Board needs to make today. And so therefore, $I$ need you, sir, to ask relevant questions that will help this Board to make a determination.

If you're going to cross-examine him,
it's on issues that are relevant, that's going to enable this Board to make a decision.

And I believe that -- to help your case, I need you to present witnesses whose -who are going to provide us direct -- the -direct evidence on whether or not it is appropriate for this Board to issue the substantial change.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. I understand, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You're going over a thousand questions. You cross-examining this licensee, it is not helpful to the Board, sir. It's not helpful for the Board. And I'm asking you --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Your point is taken, Mr. Chairman. I'll move on. And I'm -- and I will -- there's two more questions --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I think about ten minutes ago, you had stated that -- when you asked for time, I thought you were wrapping up because if I was keeping time, you would have exceeded the time for you to present your case and you would not present a case today because you'd have exceeded your hour.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Understood, Mr. Chairman. Shall I terminate my questioning of Mr. Nigussie in that matter, in that case?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: This what I'm going to do, sir. I'm going to put my clock on and I'm going to start keeping time of how much time you have. And that's going towards the hour that you have to present your case.

MR. STOECKLEIN: I understand, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So you can decide what you want to do first. I'm not going to -- if you want to continue asking questions, you can do that.

But I'm now putting you on notice that I'm now putting you on a timer regarding the one hour that you have to present your case. And the amount of time you spend cross-examination will be subtracted from your time, okay? Move --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Duly noted, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Moving -starting now. Okay.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Nigussie, did you -- have you -- at any point in the past six
months, have you spoken with the third district commander at MPD regarding -- let me withdraw that question and rephrase.

Mr. Nigussie have you had occasion to speak with the third district MPD commander at any point in the past six months?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I don't recall, sir.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Do you know --
referred -- have you interacted at all with Commander James Boteler of the Third District?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I don't recall, honestly.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And so is it accurate that you do not recall -- that you do not recall a conversation between Ms. -- between -- with Commander Boteler regarding an ATV rally that was being advertised at your establishment?

MR. BIANCO: I'm going to object.
That has been now asked and answered for a third time.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sustained.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. No further questions.

## CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions

 by Board members?MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Short has a question.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr. Short.

MEMBER SHORT: To Mr. -- the licensee. Good afternoon, sir.

MR. NIGUSSIE: Good afternoon, sir.
MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Not a problem. Yes. Mr. Nigussie?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Nigussie, on one of the exhibits that was displayed, there were two vehicles on the rear, close to the exit from the second floor and, of course, the exit on the first floor. Do you know whose vehicles those were?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I believe you're referring to the car -- the two car park at the rear of the building?

MEMBER SHORT: That's correct.
MR. NIGUSSIE: That must be my car and my employee car.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. So when your business is open, you don't park back there, do you?

MR. NIGUSSIE: No. No. Well, we -when we are open we don't park there.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. That's a fair question. Now, the gate that leads to the alley, is there something in your security plan or something in your operational plans that says if there's an incident on 9th Street and it is impossible to get out of that front door and you had to get all three floors if this -- if you actions is just permitted to happen and no cars at the back there, who opens the gate to the alley? And how long does that take for that to go in operation?

MR. NIGUSSIE: There is a door, but when business is operational, we usually -- we don't lock the alley door. We just locked the building door. I don't understand --

MEMBER SHORT: So explain to me again. And I'll tried to ask this question as slowly as possible so that you can understand what I'm saying. Now, this -- let's hypothetically say your businesses is open. It's 1:00 in the morning on a Saturday night or early Sunday morning.

And there's an incident on 9th Street,
maybe a shooting or maybe a fire, neighbor, what -- and it becomes impossible for you to allow your patrons to stay in and they all have to exit, all 125 of them, out of the rear exits from the second floor and from the first floor. What happens and who has the key to the gate in the back if there's an incident such as I described? MR. NIGUSSIE: We do not lock the door, sir. The pedestrians can exit at the back door, actually.

MEMBER SHORT: So I'm not talking about the rear door itself from the first floor or from the second floor. I'm speaking of the wooden gate that leads to the alley. Who's responsible --

MR. NIGUSSIE: There is a --
MEMBER SHORT: Go ahead.
MR. NIGUSSIE: Sorry. I'm sorry to interrupt you. There is a gate to the alley fence. That gate is usually open on the -- when the business is operational. We don't lock it.

MEMBER SHORT: So anytime the investigator would have come and go through your back door and to the gate, it would be open; is that correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MEMBER SHORT: So would that be a part of your plan that you're going to submit to the Agency and make sure that there are no parking signs back there while business is going on?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MEMBER SHORT: Because sometimes
things can happen and you forget to move your car if you're there during the day. But is there any way that this Board or the -- our Agency, ABRA, can be assured no one's going to park back there and that the gate can be opened and accessible for people to exit from your property into the alley or wherever else they have to go?

Because if your car is back there and people are trying to leave, it's going to be almost impossible to get 125 people down the steps from the second floor or from the first floor.

Because we saw you in the video, when you opened the door and walked out and they were two vehicles back there, one of them being yours, as you just testified, it would be kind of hard for people to exit there; wouldn't it be?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I believe you're right,
sir.
MEMBER SHORT: So are there any signs going to placed out there? Should you -- well, actually the sign should be there whether you get the first floor or not.

Because when people come down from the second floor and the cars are there and the cars are between the wooden gate and -- to exit into the alley -- so how often do you park back there?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is -- I don't know if you notice on the picture, from second floor to the alley door, there is the stairs that doesn't even park to the left of the car, there's a stairs that leads -- to the outside alley door.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Well, I did observe that, so yes, I look very closely at that. I looked -- when you left the first floor, with the sound video and all that was played by your attorney, when you walked out of that door, I can see the steps to your left coming from the second floor.

MR. NIGUSSIE: Right, right.
MEMBER SHORT: But also I saw that the vehicles extended past those steps. Is it any way possible we can ask your attorney to put that
video on again and show --
Mr. Chair, can we ask the attorney to -- Mr. Bianco to show that video again so I can -- the -- I can positively identify what I'm addressing when I'm talking about the vehicles parked in the rear and the access to the alley?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Right.
MEMBER SHORT: Can I request that?
Okay.
MR. BIANCO: It should be on the screen right now. Do you need the sound on or you just want to see it.

MEMBER SHORT: No, the sound won't matter. I just want to see Mr. --

MR. BIANCO: Okay.
MEMBER SHORT: -- Nigussie go to the back door. Can you backup a little bit more just before we go -- okay. Right -- hold it right there. Mr. Nigussie, is there -- required by law for you to have an exit sign over that door?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes.
MEMBER SHORT: Is there an exit sign over that door?

MR. NIGUSSIE: No. We got to -- we're going to finish that. It's not complete.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. And I can see that you have a turn notch at the top of that door or were -- opposed to your head at the door level. Is that legal, or is that the way it's going to be when you have people there -MR. NIGUSSIE: No. MEMBER SHORT: -- or is there going to be some kind of mechanism on the door where people can get out. It's -- they call that panic hardware in the safety business. So that door would have to have that kind of panic hardware on it. It would have -- has -- also has some other things happen.

But let's continue the video, please. Stop right there. Now, I'm looking at the stairways. I'm looking at your vehicle and I'm looking at you coming out. So again, are there any No Parking signs while the business is going on back there?

MR. NIGUSSIE: No. But we can do that.

MEMBER SHORT: You can do that? MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes. MEMBER SHORT: Okay. I just wanted to point those things out, Mr. Chairman. And again,
for the record, that exit is going to be very important even before -- if this wish is granted for -- this application for the first floor is granted.

Those airways and that rear exit door are going to have to be very accessible should something unfortunately or unforeseen happen on 9th Street.

That's all I have, Mr. Chair. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Is there any other questions --

Mr. Bianco, please turn off your screen, sir.

Any other question by any of the Board -- any of the Board members? Yes, Mr. Grandis.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Mr. Nigussie, thank you. How long have you had this --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grandis, your volume is very low, sir.

MEMBER GRANDIS: That better?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: It's -- I don't know why your volume is so low.

MEMBER GRANDIS: 84 out of 88 . Is this any better?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: It is a little better. Go ahead, sir.

MEMBER GRANDIS: That's all.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on. Mr. Short, can you please adjust your -- I know you have switched equipment, so we can't see your face, so -- yes, that's better. Go ahead.

MEMBER SHORT: I'm on my phone, Mr. Chair. Please forgive me, but the -- my laptop, unfortunately for me, it's dead again today, so hopefully my phone will be a lot better off than -- and I'll tried the best I can keep to my face on the screen. Thank you, sir.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Go ahead.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you. Mr. Nigussie, how long have you been having a lease at this particular building?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Since -- we had it since 2019.

MEMBER GRANDIS: 2019. And did that lease include the first floor, second floor, third floor, and the roof?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MEMBER GRANDIS: So you have a lease
for the roof as well?
MR. NIGUSSIE: I -- well, we never we never open the room, but yes.

MEMBER GRANDIS: And when did you make the -- you know, the changes that we saw in the video of what the first row currently looks like, did you do that, or a prior tenant do that?

MR. NIGUSSIE: We did that. We did ourselves. Yes, I did that.

MEMBER GRANDIS: You did that. Okay. And I noticed that, and we've already brought it up, there's a DJ booth on in -- on that level. I think we've heard testimony today regarding the settlement agreement that talks about the DJ booth would be on the second floor.

So could you help me understand in the context of wanting to expand to the first floor what your business plan is for the first floor, since you do seem to have a DJ booth down there?

MR. NIGUSSIE: Yes. On the first floor, I will love to have DJ stand, hoping that we have different customers who has different taste to different music.

The second floor -- if we can play some, like, hip-hop on the second floor, we can
play some other type of music on the first floor. That's my hope. So that's why it's --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. NIGUSSIE: -- designed, yes.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you. But your current settlement agreement, I believe, states that a DJ can only be on the second floor. So are you entering into negotiations to update your settlement agreement?

MR. BIANCO: Mr. Grandis, if I could just interject for a moment. I'll let him answer the question to the extent that he can. But what I -- what we had planned to do going forward is most likely be seeking some relief to the award on the interpretation issue of the applicability of the DJ clause to the first floor. And I would note it's in the record somewhere in connection with this request.

There was some back-and-forth with the Agency about the applicability of the first floor, the settlement agreement to the first floor, and what was prohibited and what was not.

So I think my point is, legally, my position is it's an open issue and we'll likely have to seek some decision or relief on it. But

I'm not objecting to your question. He can testify as to what his plan is physically for the space.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Mr. Bianco, I appreciate your advice to the Board, but I don't know if it's an open question. Let's move forward. So let's just say, in your business plan, you plan to have a DJ on that new first floor if you can expand there, correct?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MEMBER GRANDIS: And you plan to continue having a DJ on the second floor because I think I heard you say that individuals have different taste in music?

MR. NIGUSSIE: That is correct.
MEMBER GRANDIS: So does your business plan have a vision to come back to the Board to raise the occupancy because I think I heard your attorney address that earlier, saying that DCRA can give you occupancy loads to each of the floor?

So is that part of your business plan, to come back to the Board to raise your occupancy level?

MR. NIGUSSIE: No, sir.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Mr. Bianco, is it no? CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: He said that -he said no. He's not -- he doesn't have any plan to come back to the Board to raise his occupancy. He answered the question, sir.

MEMBER GRANDIS: And if you were to do that, make some kind of these type of changes, that could have also require perhaps placarding, but that's another issue down the road.

Does your business plan have, in your planning, to do any activity on the roof? Because I heard earlier today that someone notice something like a rooftop -- something on the rooftop.

So does your business plan encourage, based on being able to get what your relief is seeking to also include, perhaps coming back to the city to have the roof as a part of your business plan?

MR. NIGUSSIE: I believe you heard about Cortez next door, but we don't have any plan about that as well.

MEMBER GRANDIS: So no plans at this point. Okay.

Mr. Chairman, thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Grandis. Any other questions by any of the Board members?

Mr. Stoecklein, any questions based on questions that were asked by the Board?

MR. STOECKLEIN: No, Mr. Chairman.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco, any redirect?

MR. BIANCO: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Nigussie, thank you for your testimony. Do you have another witness?

MR. BIANCO: I do. Bayou Yohannes, his rights have already been elevated.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Yohannes, do you have camera you can elevate -- you can turn on, sir?

MR. BAYOU: Good afternoon.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon, sir. Can you raise your right hand, please. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth and nothing but the truth?

MR. BAYOU: Yes, I do, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Your witness, sir.

MR. BIANCO: Thank you very much.
MR. BIANCO: Mr. Yohannes, you were here for Mr. Nigussie's testimony, correct?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco, can you have him spelling stasis name for the record?

MR. BIANCO: Absolutely. Mr.
Yohannes, could you please say your first name and your last name and spell them for the record.

MR. BAYOU: Yes. Yohannes Bayou. Y-O-H-A-N-N-E-S, last name Bayou, B-A-Y-O-U.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. Mr. Bayou, you were here for Mr. Nigussie's testimony, correct?

MR. BAYOU: Yes, sir.
MR. BIANCO: And do you recall watching the video of the sound tests on the first floor?

MR. BAYOU: Yes, sir.
MR. BIANCO: And were you involved in that sound test in any way?

MR. BAYOU: Come again? Sorry.
MR. BIANCO: Were you there on the day of that sound test?

MR. BAYOU: Yes. Yes, sir.
MR. BIANCO: And what did you do on that day?

MR. BAYOU: I was recording the video.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. I'm going to bring up the video and I am going to try to get through this very quickly. All right. Can you see the video up on the screen there?

MR. BAYOU: Yes, sir.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And it was your testimony that you weren't taking this video, correct?

MR. BAYOU: Yes, sir.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. So I don't want to go through the whole thing because $I$ want to get everybody out of here. So I'm going to go to this portion of the video, which is the 47-second mark. Do you see that?

MR. BAYOU: Yes, sir.
MR. BIANCO: And where are you standing at that point, the 47 -second mark of the video?

MR. BAYOU: I'm behind of the camera.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And how far away from the door are you, approximately?

MR. BAYOU: By this image, maybe 6 to 7 feet.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And from that
position, were you able to hear any music coming out of the establishment?

MR. BAYOU: No, sir.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And it looks like at that point you backed up. And were you able to hear any music coming out of the establishment from that vantage point?

MR. BAYOU: No, sir.
MR. BIANCO: And I just have one more exhibit that I want to show you. And so do you recall Mr. Nigussie's testimony about the sound meter that was used?

MR. BAYOU: Yes, yes, I used that application many times.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So I'm going to show you what has been marked as Exhibit number 6. Do you recognize that?

MR. BAYOU: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: And is that the sound meter that you used to conduct that test?

MR. BAYOU: Yes, that sound meter application.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And where did you get that sound meter application?

MR. BAYOU: From Apple store.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And does that -is that a true picture of what the sound meter showed on the day that you measured it?

MR. BAYOU: Yes, sir.
MR. BIANCO: Thank you. I have no further questions. Mr. Stoecklein -- please stay on the line. Mr. Stoecklein and the Board will likely have some questions for you.

MR. BAYOU: All right.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein?
MR. STOECKLEIN: Sir, could you please tell me, do you have any -- you indicated that you conducted sound tests. Are you a licensed sound engineer?

MR. BAYOU: On a legal liability, yes.
MR. STOECKLEIN: I'm sorry?
MR. BAYOU: I have a license, yes.
MR. STOECKLEIN: You have a acoustic engineer or sound engineer license?

MR. BAYOU: Sound engineer, no. Designer. As designer.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. As a designer -- as a -- what does that mean? As a designer of physical spaces, a architect? Can you just clarify for me, please.

MR. BAYOU: Interior designer, sir. MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Interior designer. Thank you. Do you have any other technical credentials in acoustic measurement or any kind of mathematical background or any other kind of quantitative background that makes you particularly expert or at all expert in sound testing?

MR. BAYOU: No, sir. I don't have it.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. That's okay. I mean, neither do I. So, you know, good. You indicated that you use a phone app to measure sounds -- sound levels at the establishment. Can you tell me how the application that you downloaded is calibrated?

MR. BAYOU: Yes. I use these application when I finish the job. When I building interior designer, I use sound proof installations.

MR. STOECKLEIN: But --
MR. BAYOU: And --
MR. STOECKLEIN: Sir, I'm sorry. I just -- I'm going to ask you to please just stick to the question for your sake and for mine so that $I$ don't get in further trouble.

Can you just tell me, do you have -can you tell me -- so you said that you know how the sound application that you used is calibrated. How it -- how you know that what it shows is an accurate reflection of what's actually occurring? That's what I mean when I say calibrated.

MR. BAYOU: Yes. That day image that is -- so it is a screenshot, but the matter is recording. It's about three minutes, if you see in the image.

MR. STOECKLEIN: It's fair to say, I think, that you are not aware of how the sound meter that is purportedly portrayed in -- as represented in this screenshot is actually calibrated to measure sound at any level; is that accurate?

MR. BAYOU: (No verbal response.)
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. No further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other -any questions by the Board members?

MEMBER SHORT: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I'd like to have a question.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr. Short?

MEMBER SHORT: Yes. Good afternoon -well, wait a minute. Good evening now, Mr. Bayou. Okay. Thank you. Now, you tested it. What time of day was that when you did that testing that video was taken?

MR. BAYOU: Around 2:00, 3:00.
MEMBER SHORT: P.m. in the --
MR. BAYOU: P.m., sir.
MEMBER SHORT: Okay. So if you would mean --

MR. BAYOU: Sorry, a.m. I mean, p.m. Yes, yes,2 pm.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. If you were there at 1:00 a.m. and the club was fully functioning, if you were there in that same location using the same application at 1:00 a.m. in the morning when the club is fully -- forgive me -- the CT is fully packed with clients and you were playing music, would that meter be reading the same thing at that time? Is that possible?

MR. BIANCO: Objection. Speculation. Assumes facts not in evidence.

MEMBER SHORT: I'd like to ask this question, Mr. Chairman, because this person, Mr. Bayou, has just testified that his application on
his iPhone, which he got through the Apple store is pretty accurate.

And I was just wondering would he be willing to take that same test with that meter with an investigator standing beside him at 1:00 a.m. in the morning?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to overrule the objection. If he can answer the question, answer it. If he can't, just -- let's move on. So are you able to answer the question, sir?

MR. BAYOU: Yes. Absolutely yes, sir. My answer is, yes, sir.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Well, we can ask you, but I'd really liked to ask the attorney, Mr. Bianco, if he would be willing to have a private investigator come out there with Mr. Bayou and yourself at 1:00 a.m. in the morning and run this very same test and provide that information to this Board. Would that be possible, Mr. Bianco?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short, that's not something that we can ask the --

MEMBER SHORT: I'm sorry. I thought I'd ask that question and I thought it would be
relevant because the testimony is that you can hear any sound outside of the back door when the music is playing. And that was just on one floor.

And I was just wondering, since we're going to be -- it's been requested of this Board by the applicant to use all three floors. And
if they're going to use this same tests to bring before this Board to grant them their wishes for an application -- first floor, then how would we know his sound mediation or whatever else that Mr. Bayou had worked on and using that very same meter, how would that affect this hearing and effective the community?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I don't -- that's not -- we can't ask questions of the attorney, Mr. Short. So if you if you have a question for -- if you have a question for the witness, you ask a question for the witness, but we're not going to have -- that's not a question we can ask the attorney at this juncture in the case.

MEMBER SHORT: Well, again, I'd like to ask the witness, does he think his sound equipment he got on his -- he has on his Apple
phone would get the same results at 1:00 a.m. in the morning with clients on all three floors or two floors, the second and third floor -- would he get the same reading he got at 1:00 p.m. during the day? Can you answer that question? MR. BAYOU: No, sir.

MEMBER SHORT: Why is he --
MR. BAYOU: I didn't hear. What did

MEMBER SHORT: Excuse me?
MR. BAYOU: Yes. The metal that I check it, if 1 try it, say, 24 -- 1:00 a.m., whatever. It doesn't -- yes. My answer is yes, so --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sir, why did you turn your -- the lights off, sir? We weren't seeing you clearly. Then you turned the lights off, so you're now in the shadows. Thank you, sir.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. And my last question for you, sir, is: Would you be willing to do it yourself, just for you and for the applicant's sake, for the licensee's sake, to do that same test at 1:00 a.m. in the morning with your same equipment?

MR. BAYOU: Yes, yes.
MEMBER SHORT: Would you be willing to provide that to this Board?

MR. BAYOU: Yes.
MEMBER SHORT: Thank you. That's all I -- thank you, Mr. Chair, that's all I wanted to get. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Short. Any other questions by any of the Board members?

Mr. Stoecklein, any questions based on the questions that were asked by the Board?

MR. STOECKLEIN: (No verbal response.)
Mr. Bianco, any redirect?
MR. BIANCO: No.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you, sir, for your testimony. Have a great day. Does the applicant rest?

MR. BAYOU: Thank you.
MR. BIANCO: Yes. I just got a text from my client, and at my urging, he is allowing me to not call our last identified witness. So the applicant rests.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Bianco. All right. It's 8:47. We're going to
take another break.
Mr. Stoecklein, you have 55.57 minutes to present your case, sir.

MR. STOECKLEIN: I promise not to use them, or at least all of them.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: It is 8:48. We're in recess until 9:00. So you can start to your presentation at 9:00. So we're in recess until 9:00.

We're back on the record.
Mr. Stoecklein, are you there, sir?
Mr. Stoecklein?
MR. STOECKLEIN: Sorry, Mr. Chair, I'm just -- yes, I apologize.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you have a camera you can turn on, sir? Since you'll be now doing your -- yes, sir. Thank you. Do you have a witness that you want to call?

MR. STOECKLEIN: I do. As an initial matter before $I$ do, $I$ have a -- I guess a request.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What is that, sir?

MR. STOECKLEIN: So I indicated to you that we had three residents available to speak to
their experience, but given the long time that we've been at this, one of them had a personal situation. I don't want to call it an emergency. I don't want to speak for them, but they had to leave immediately.

Their partner and -- you know, their live-in partner is available to speak to the same experience. And I would like to be able to call that person.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The person's in the same household?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco?
MR. BIANCO: Mr. Anderson, I am too tired to object, so let's have it.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco, I

MR. STOECKLEIN: Rich, I appreciate the charity. Thank you.

MR. BIANCO: All right. We have the -- without objection.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You know, Mr. Stoecklein, this is what you need to do, just wear them down, wear them down, wear them down.

MR. BIANCO: If at this late time, Mr.

Stoecklein, there's any, like, reasonable facts we can stipulate to, then whatever moves this thing along. Let's just get through it.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes. I'm going to ask the same three questions to each of these folks and it's going to be, I think -- that'll be the ballgame. All right?

MR. BIANCO: All right. Let's rock and roll.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who's the witness, sir?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do we need -are they -- do we need to elevate anyone, or are they here?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who do we need elevate?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes. So the first witness is Kyle Dudzinski.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: If -- Ms. -well, let's -- who are the three witnesses? I'll make sure that they're all -- okay. So he's elevated. who else needs to be elevated?

MR. STOECKLEIN: So the second witness
is, pardon me, Ewa Sobczynska.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. She's been elevated. Who else?

MR. STOECKLEIN: And the third, I'm texting with right now, this is the sort of the substitute partner of -- she's trying to determine whether she can participate via phone if that -- is that -- if that is allowable.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's fine. We can -- you can provide her the phone line and you can provide us with maybe her -- the last four digits of her phone and we will have -- we will elevate that -- unmute that line.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So if you'd provide us the last four digits of her phone number, we will -- or his or her phone number, I will have Ms. Fashbaugh unmute that line.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. I'm going to send her the number to get her to call in while my -- Mr. Dudzinski is introducing himself. So I'll --

## CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

 Fine. All right. So, Mr. Dudzinski, do you have a camera? Can you unmute your line and turn yourcamera on, sir, if you have a camera?
MR. DUDZINSKI: Evening.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good evening. Can you raise your right hand, please. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth and nothing but the truth?

MR. DUDZINSKI: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Let him -- your first question, to have him, please, spell and state his name for the record. That's the first question should be asked. Okay. Thanks. Go ahead. Your witness.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Mr. Dudzinski, could you please state your -- can you spell your name for the record? Are you with us, Kyle?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: He's here. can you hear us, sir? We can't hear you, sir.

MR. DUDZINSKI: Can --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, we can now. We can hear you.

MR. DUDZINSKI: Okay. Perfect. I just -- I'll use my internal speakers.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. So the question was: Can you please spell and state your name for the record, sir?

MR. DUDZINSKI: Yes, sir. Sorry. Name is Kyle Dudzinski, spelled K-Y-L-E, last name, D-U-D-Z-I-N-S-K-I.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Great. And, could you please tell me -- could you state the address which -- at which you own a condo at or near Empire?

MR. DUDZINSKI: Yes, 1912 8th Street Northwest.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And just to be clear, is it correct that you are the owner of that unit, formerly a resident of, and have since moved out?

MR. DUDZINSKI: Yes, sir.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Kyle, could you please explain to the Board, from the time that you moved in 'til the present day, the nature of your experience as a resident and owner relative to Empire?

MR. DUDZINSKI: Yes, absolutely. We'll take you guys through abbreviated timeline, understanding, you know, it is 9:00.

So I bought my home back in September of 2020 and had originally planned to be there for the next five to seven years, loved DC, loved
the Shaw neighborhood.
And everything was great until, I would say, by spring of 2020 , around the -- or excuse me, 2021, around the May time frame.

Started to hear some base and some music that hadn't otherwise been there for the first, you know, handful of months I was living there.

Granted, understanding we were going through a pandemic and operations weren't necessarily in full swing anywhere. So that being a reason and understandable one at that.

However, over the course of the next couple of months, you know, it grew worse and worse. So around the June 2021 month, you know, the base that was able to allow me to sleep got a little bit louder, but nothing that, you know, a couple of melatonin didn't fix.

Unfortunately, you know, throughout that summer, it just got worse and worse to the point where, you know, in about August -- late July, August my master bedroom was reverberating and my bed was shaking, the windows were shaking and $I$-- we couldn't sleep in there anymore.

It was, I mean, at least five days a
week. You know, weekends were certainly louder and more raucous than the weekdays. But I remember on countless occasions, Mondays, Tuesdays, Thursdays, that these noises also just permeated through my building.

So I -- in July, we started sleeping in our second bedroom and initially, that was okay.

But it got to the September time frame and then it just seemed like the noise ratcheted up that much further, to the point where, instead of sleeping in our second bedroom, we moved one of our beds into our living room and I started sleeping in my living room with my mattress on the ground, kind of make makeshift movie theater, if you will.

Unfortunately, it wasn't a type of vacation that $I$ appreciated. So initially started looking at, you know, how do we solve this problem in September because that's when the sleeping really became an issue, when we had to move from our second bedroom into our living room, but also stayed with friends and families in certain times.

And initially called the police, not
knowing that there was an ABRA Board that's specifically designed for these things, you know. They came.

They heard the noise and they said, Unfortunately, we can't do anything. If it was one of your neighbors, sure, we could we could talk, but this is an establishment and a licensed establishment. You need to go to the ABRA.

So when I got, you know, back and we were living there concurrently for a couple of weeks in October after a couple of trips, made three separate complaints to the ABRA late October, where two of them, I believe one was the 27th of October, one was the 30th of October.

And then about a week-and-a-half after -- and two weeks after the first one, on November 8th, was the third and final complaint.

We went back-and-forth with the ABRA, filing oral requests to understand where these cases were in the judicial process, understanding that it does take time.

Didn't receive a fact briefing on any of it until maybe at the beginning of December. Unfortunately, it was at that time, living there for another three weeks over the thanksgiving
holiday, that me and my girlfriend made the decision to move out of the city.

We couldn't deal with the noise anymore. Nothing was changing. And, you know, for better or for worse, I started reading up on no previous cases and, unfortunately, it didn't seem like for this establishment, what was discussed prior -- in settlements that may have been discussed prior, unfortunately, you know, the issues still were happening. So we moved out.

I've kept the place in hopes of moving back one day. Again, I love the city. I've lived there for -- I lived there for six years before moving across the river. And, you know, the nature is what it is. At this point in time when I felt compelled to address this Board to my experience.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Thanks, Mr.
Dudzinski. So it was a pretty comprehensive summary. I appreciate it. So just a few followups.

Did you, at any point in time, try to contact the owners of Empire, Mr. Nigussie in particular? And if so, what was the nature of
those conversations or interactions, if any?
MR. DUDZINSKI: No, I didn't. I mean, at most points in time, it was very late at night. And even if it was 10:00 or 11:00, you know, I wasn't about to go over there in my skivvies and knock on their door, telling them to quiet it down.

I figured that our institutions in DC, the checks and balances would be able to handle such situations, which is why I didn't raise it to the ABRA to hopefully have these issues dealt with.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Are you of any -- are you aware of any requirement within your condo documents or any law in DC that would obligate you, before calling ABRA to complain about noise, to take it upon yourself to go try to address it directly?

MR. DUDZINSKI: I'm not aware, sir.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And am I
correct in -- am I correct that your testimony is that, on average -- let's start -- say that sort of on a weekly average that this -- that the kind of noise that you described that could be heard and felt in your condo, occurred approximately
five nights a week, sometimes more?
MR. DUDZINSKI: Five nights a week, sometimes more from -- starting at 9:30, 10:00 p.m. all the way until 3:00 a.m.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And how many times did ABRA investigators come out and inspect the sound levels?

MR. DUDZINSKI: Certainly on three occasions, they came out physically. I believe on one other occasion they just went directly to their place, although I don't have record of that from memory here.

And each time that came into my unit and they took measurements from inside my unit, heard noise, and then they went out to our back patio, which is on the adjacent alleyway, and they were able to hear the noise permeating through there.

And then they had another officer in my unit that was able to corroborate everything that they were hearing outside.

So to the event that I saw that video earlier, unfortunately, my experiences were -you know, just the second and the third floor operating, that was what was shaking my unit and

I can't imagine what adding another floor on top of that would do to my building and neighboring buildings. And that's unfortunate.

MR. STOECKLEIN: And is it fair to say that the -- your decision to leave a condo that you had likely just purchased, is -- was the result of your experience of Empire?

MR. DUDZINSKI: That is the sole reason that I left.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. I have no further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco?
MR. BIANCO: Yes, sir.
Good evening. I am going to try to move through this in an abbreviated way. I am going to share my screen here.

Are you able to see I have Applicant's Exhibit 3 up on the screen? And can you see where 1909 9th Street is marked on that map?

MR. DUDZINSKI: Yes, sir.
MR. BIANCO: And can you describe for me where you live in relationship to 1909 9th Street?

MR. DUDZINSKI: Yes. I live behind on the 8th Street side, as testified.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So one of these buildings here?

MR. DUDZINSKI: That's correct.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. Or is -- which one is it? Is it this building, or this building?

MR. DUDZINSKI: It's the building directly behind it.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So that would be -- what's the address, this building here?

MR. DUDZINSKI: 1912, as I stated earlier.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. 1912 8th Street. And where is your unit inside of 1912 8th Street?

MR. DUDZINSKI: It was the ground level, sir.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And these symbols here, one, two, three, four, five, represent other ABC licensed establishments with entertainment endorsements.

How is it that you know the noise that was bothering you was coming from Empire and not one of the other establishments?

MR. DUDZINSKI: Well, the taco joint, which is directly to the left of Empire, has a rooftop where they're serving food and they would
shut down all of their music and things by about 10:00, 11:00 because their lights would go off and that's when the cleaning staff would be done.

I know that it was Empire because I actually went back into that alleyway and opened the door that they said was unlocked and just listened from outside to make sure that's exactly what I could hear.

The base that I could hear each time that I walked outside was exactly what was permeating from Empire.

So me being on the premises from the alley way, not actually on their premises, I was certainly able to tell if it was directly coming from Empire Lounge.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And it was your testimony at that time that only the second and third floor were operating, correct?

MR. DUDZINSKI: I moved out in November of 2021, so I'm assuming, just based on this timeline that was provided earlier, that they weren't operating that first floor at that time.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And other than the sound test video you watched today, you don't
have any understanding of how the first floor is soundproofed, correct?

MR. DUDZINSKI: I don't, but from my experiences, I can tell that the second and third floor certainly aren't soundproofed.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And you know we're here today talking about the first floor, correct?

MR. DUDZINSKI: Absolutely, but as my testimony goes to --

MR. BIANCO: I'm not looking for argument.

MR. DUDZINSKI: I'm sorry.
MR. BIANCO: He'll redirect you and you can make all the argument you like. You answer the question, thank you. Okay. So you testified about some complaints to ABRA, correct?

MR. DUDZINSKI: Yes, sir.
MR. BIANCO: And you called ABRA and they came out and they did some measurements, you said?

MR. DUDZINSKI: Yes, sir.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And you were frustrated, you followed up, and what you didn't testify about is what the results were.

MR. DUDZINSKI: I was never provided the results.

MR. BIANCO: Would you be surprised to learn that your noise complaints were dismissed, sir?

MR. DUDZINSKI: I would be surprised and I would ask, do you have reasons for why they were dismissed?

MR. BIANCO: Yes, they couldn't be substantiated.

MR. DUDZINSKI: And why is that?
MR. BIANCO: You can -- we can look at the Board records, but I do appreciate your testimony on that on that point. So you moved in in 2020, correct?

MR. DUDZINSKI: That's correct.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And at the time you moved in, Empire was there and operating, correct?

MR. DUDZINSKI: Correct. I believe, but to a lesser so due to COVID protocols.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And Cloud Lounge, which is located here, they were operating at that time as well?

MR. DUDZINSKI: I have no knowledge of
that establishment, sir.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. And how about Right Spot? Do you know --

MR. DUDZINSKI: Again, I'm sure that their lights were on, but $I$ have no knowledge of those establishments.

My knowledge is strictly based on what I could hear from my unit directly behind me, which is Empire Lounge. So I would like to testify on that because $I$ have no knowledge of the other establishments.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And finally, it was your testimony that you did not communicate any noise issues directly to the establishment, correct?

MR. DUDZINSKI: No. I thought ABRA, a city institution, would be able to help handle this, but obviously not.

MR. BIANCO: Great. Thank you very much. I have no further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Close this screen, please, Mr. Bianco. Any questions by any Board members? Yes, Mr. -excuse me, yes, Mr. Grandis.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you. Mr.

Dudzinski. Thank you for your testimony this evening. Do you have someone currently living -and you may have already said this, but just for my knowledge, did you -- do you have someone living in that unit that you had to move out of? MR. DUDZINSKI: It was vacant for five or six months.

MEMBER GRANDIS: And are they complaining to you?

MR. DUDZINSKI: They have made some complaints, but not on a regular basis. And I'm not sure if that somewhat replicates, you know, the line of them trying to expand business and trying to play ball. So I can only speculate on that, unfortunately.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Okay. Thank you. And you said that your unit is on the ground floor?

MR. DUDZINSKI: Yes, sir.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Correct? And that -is there a -- do you have a door on that ground floor that goes to a patio or to the back alley as well?

MR. DUDZINSKI: Yes. So I have a carport. I have my window unit, which does have
an egress, but there's also a door to my second bedroom that provides a full egress to the stairwell.

MEMBER GRANDIS: And if they do get approval to expand their business to the first floor, $I$ think there's been testimony today that they already have a DJ booth on that first floor. I think I heard you say that would be more problematic?

MR. DUDZINSKI: Well, to the extent I can testify that my bedroom was shaking due to DJ booths on the second floor and operations on the third floor, $I$ can only imagine that anything put in on the first floor would only exacerbate that issue.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Well, that's a good point I'd like to ask you. And you may not be knowledgeable enough to give an opinion, but when you say shaking, I'm only speculating, but often shaking may be actually the base as opposed to the sound. So were -- are you disturbed both by visible shaking and as well with sound?

MR. DUDZINSKI: So yes, sir. And they both cause sleep problems. The shaking was the window panes as well as the bed frame, but base
plus music also was able to get through the window. So it's twofold.

MEMBER GRANDIS: And I heard testimony that there may be some kind of soundproofing that may be part of their business plan, which I don't know specifically.

But perhaps if the bass, which usually is why we have shaking, is resolved and they have soundproofing, that may alleviate some of the noise actually that goes into your --

I'm only speculating, but if they were able to do that, would you have a problem with them expanding to the first floor?

MR. DUDZINSKI: -- that I understood that previous witness testimony is that he has already installed the soundproofing on the first floor. Again, to you, my experience was from the second third floor and that being an issue.

So again, exacerbation, but I don't understand how, unless their business plan involves a complete, total overhaul, deconstruction, and construction of soundproof throughout the whole building, how just soundproofing the first floor would make my experience on the first floor any better.

MEMBER GRANDIS: And all residents should be able to have a peaceful night. We all agree with that. Well, $I$ have one last question.

Putting -- and I know noise is an issue with you and the impact it had onto your sleep. But putting that aside, we heard other testimony about so-called crime, other issues that may or may not be related to this building.

Did you have other issues, other experiences that emanated from the customers for the staff of this building?

MR. DUDZINSKI: I can't say that the customers or the staff were the ones committing any of the violence. I do have an unfortunate break-in attempt that I had -- I thwarted where someone jumped in my back carport around bar closing time and tried to break into my bedroom window.

Again, $I$ have no clue if that individual was related to the club or not. But just the litter was -- from the back alley, the human feces, the pee smell, just the rat overfestation in the area, I mean, it's not being made better by any of these clubs and the garbage that they have back there.

So it's not just crime, it's dirt and it's just kind of a peace of mind that the cleanliness of the community that we would all hope to live in.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other questions by any of the Board members?

Mr. Bianco, any questions based on -any based on the questions of the Board?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. Mr. Grandis -- so Mr. Grandis asked you about the establishment agreeing to sound proofing and the like. Are you a member of WNA?

MR. DUDZINSKI: I'm not, sir, no.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. Did you
participate in any of their meetings or discussions about this establishment?

MR. DUDZINSKI: I did not, sir, no. I'm testifying on behalf.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. That's all. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein, any redirect?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes.
Mr. Dudzinski, is it correct, to the best of your knowledge, that at this time, none
of the owners of the units in your building are currently occupying those units?

MR. DUDZINSKI: I believe one of the owners is only part-time and then I do believe we have one couple that are full-time owners, but they just moved in recently.

MR. ORLASKEY: Okay.
MR. DUDZINSKI: So they bought it this past -- in the past few months.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And do you recall in preparation for your testimony today and in the multiple conversations and e-mail exchanges that we had in this subject attempting to connect me with certain other owners in the building?

MR. DUDZINSKI: Yes.
MR. STOECKLEIN: And do you recall my persistent efforts to secure testimony from additional owners?

MR. DUDZINSKI: Yes, sir.
MR. STOECKLEIN: So can you indicate, to the best of your knowledge and based on the feedback of those owners, why it is that they were concerned about testifying and ultimately unwilling?

MR. BIANCO: Objection. Speculation. He's asking him how other people think or feel.

MR. STOECKLEIN: I'm asking, based on the conversations to which Mr. Dudzinski was a party, what he was aware of.

MR. BIANCO: I mean, that wasn't the question, one, and two, it calls for hearsay. It's not appropriate in any of them.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I'm going to overrule the objection. If you can answer the question, answer the question, if you can.

MR. DUDZINSKI: So I can speak to my experience first and I was extremely afraid of retribution, which is why I did not consider coming before this Board on numerous occasions and Pierson was extremely persistent in saying that, you know, only unfortunate, you know, witness testimony can drive change.

And although I'm not living there, I still own the unit and I would love to move back some day. I think I made that clear earlier. And I believe, through conversations with my other Board members, as well as tenants of the building, those were some shared concerns between
all of us.
I mean, we live in the same community. We operate on the same streets. It's not crazy to think that, you know, things could happen and that is a very real fear.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Dudzinski. I have no further questions.

MR. BIANCO: I have a question based on Mr. Stoecklein's line of questioning, just answer --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, Mr. Bianco, but I'm not going to give you another run because if I do, then I -- he has to -- I would give him -- I have to give him another opportunity to ask. So with that line of questioning it's over, sir. Thank you. So let's move on. Do you have --

Thank you, sir, for your testimony.
MR. DUDZINSKI: Thank you, all.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you have another witness, sir?

MR. STOECKLEIN: I do. I'd like to call Ms. Ewa Sobczynska. I know I'm butchering that name.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Sobczynska,
can you turn your camera on, please, ma'am. Can you raise your right hand, please. Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth and nothing but the truth?

MS. SOBCZYNSKA: Yes, I do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you have her say and spell her name for the record, please, sir.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes. Could you please say and spell your name for the record?

MS. SOBCZYNSKA: Sure. My name is Ewa Sobczynska, E-W-A S-O-B-C-Z-Y-N-S-K-A.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Sobczynska, could you please indicate the address of the building in which you live her on property

MS. SOBCZYNSKA: Yes. I lived -- I own an apartment at 1912 8th Street, Northwest, Apartment D.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. And did you see -- just for the record, did you see the map that Mr. Bianco was showing to the prior witness, Mr. Dudzinski?

MS. SOBCZYNSKA: Yes. I live in the same building as Kyle -- or $I$ own an apartment in
the same building as Kyle.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Okay. Thank you.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Could you please tell me how long you've -- you resided in and/or owned in the building?

MS. SOBCZYNSKA: Yes. So I and my husband bought the apartment in February 2012 and we have lived here for a year-and-a-half. We have been renting the space from 2014 to 2021 -October 2021, and I have moved back here parttime. I live in Washington DC and New York State from October 2021 'til now.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. So just -- so I'm looking to focus just specifically on the time that you spend in the 1912 building so just so I'm clear, you --

MS. SOBCZYNSKA: Sure. I can be very clear. I have lived here from February 2012 'til December 2013, and then from October 2021 until now.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. And during your time living in the building, can you please describe the -- any observations at Empire and any impact that it's had on, you know, your
peace and enjoyment of your property, your home?
MS. SOBCZYNSKA: Thanks, Pierson. So just a general observation that -- my unit is facing 8th Street. So I don't have any windows of any bedrooms facing backwards. So I have not experienced the same amount of sound distress that Kyle has described and he -- and I --

But I want to underline that Kyle has shared with us his concerns and his sound distress for a number of months before $I$ have moved back to the building. I can hear loud noises in the back in the evenings as I throw out my trash.

I can't testify that they are coming from Empire Lounge. There is -- this is a very -- this has become a very noisy neighborhood since the time we bought this apartment over ten years ago. So I can't testify that the sound is coming specifically from Empire Lounge, from my knowledge.

I do want to make a statement that the general quality of life has definitely declined for residents of this area. It's very clear that the City's placing a lot of value on inviting a number of business owners to the area without
mainly be due respect to the fact that this is still quite a residential neighborhood.

And so what Kyle has stated about the quality of life, the trash in the alley behind Empire and other accomplishments along the 9th Street corridor, the human feces, the stink of pee, that has definitely -- over the past ten years, there's been a decline.

And I have to say I was -- it was quite a stark difference from where the area has been ten years ago.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Can you tell me, ten years ago if you think about the 1900 block and the establishments that existed there at the time, can be described what the differences between the establishments that existed then exist today?

MS. SOBCZYNSKA: Yes.
MR. BIANCO: I'm going to -- hang on. I'm going to object, Mr. Chair, on the -- on relevance grounds to both this question and the remainder of this witness's testimony.

She just testified that she can't say that there's any disturbances coming from Empire. What are we talking about here? I think we
should just move on and hear any relevant testimony that remains.

MR. STOECKLEIN: The witness is --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, sir.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Well, the witness agrees she made that statement. She did, however, speak specifically to other nuisances that arise specifically out of Empire that she has personally observed, so she can speak to other adverse consequences of Empire's activities on her life and her co-residents.

I think it's also highly probative of the broader question that we're -- we continue to try to address and to bring into focus, which is that an expansion has to be considered in the broader context of the impact on the area -- the immediate area.

That is what the statutory obligation -- I mean, that's what the requirement is. And so she's -- I'm asking her to speak because she's in a unique position over the course of a decade to speak to the difference that she's observed personally in the area.

MR. BIANCO: Empire hasn't been there for a decade.

MR. STOECKLEIN: I couldn't agree more, but that's the point. That's the whole point, Mr. Bianco.

MR. BIANCO: I don't get the point. She can't tie --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Gentlemen, all right.

MR. BIANCO: What are we talking about?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to -for what it's worth, I'll have the witness testify. However, the witness has, however, testified that based on where her unit is, she cannot --

I mean, the purpose of this hearing is to state whether it's appropriate for us to allow the substantial change for them to operate on the first floor.

This witness, however, has testified that she cannot specifically pinpoint whether or not this establishment is responsible for the noise.

But I will allow the witness to testify, but -- for what it's worth, since she's here and she has volunteered to testify, but I
think we need to keep in mind the limits of her testimony regarding --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Understood, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- of the expansion.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Ms. Sobczynska, I'm going to withdraw that question, just try to rephrase a narrower question and then we can finish.

Could you please specifically speak to the relative difference in the, you know, number of clubs and loudness that you observed in your -- you know, during your first year of residence back in 2012 versus what you now see?

MS. SOBCZYNSKA: Yes. So none of the owners that originally owned the unit in this building had ever complained about excessive noise at night. That was never a complaint we've had about any of the establishments at 8th Street.

And mind you, there has been -- and I guess that's the building next to Empire, there has been a rooftop deck that actually was, I think, constructed around the time when we bought
an apartment here where there was noise coming during the day that was turned off in the evening.

So we've never had, really, complaints about noise issues at all.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Just so I understand, you're -- you mean when you first moved to the area?

MS. SOBCZYNSKA: Yes. So between 2012 and 2013 when we reside --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Right.
MS. SOBCZYNSKA: -- resided here, yes.
MR. STOECKLEIN: And how does that differ from the experience that you've observed now?

MS. SOBCZYNSKA: There is a constant noise that is coming from the alleyway in the evenings.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And would you say that that -- can you indicate to us the extent to which that disrupts your ability to enjoy your own property and to live your life, go about your business peacefully?

MS. SOBCZYNSKA: It disrupts my ability to enjoy my property in peace on the
weekends, especially.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Thank you very much. I have no further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco? We can't hear you, sir.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. So if I understand your testimony, it is that your neighborhood is noisier now than it was ten years ago? Is that the crux of your testimony?

MS. SOBCZYNSKA: The quality of life in our neighborhood has declined substantively over the past ten years, correct.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And the reason you attribute to that is the number of ABC licensed establishments in your neighborhood, correct?

MS. SOBCZYNSKA: Sorry. Can you explain this to me?

MR. BIANCO: Sure. The number of bars and restaurants that are now in your neighborhood.

MS. SOBCZYNSKA: I would not attribute the increased noise from the increase in residency, which has also increased over the past ten years. There is now two huge -- or actually four apartment buildings.

So no, that noise does not come from more people living here. It comes from more establishments with, I guess, alcohol licenses, correct.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. Good. So the quality of life declines with the number of alcohol licenses. And can you draw a direct line to Empire Lounge in terms of the diminishing quality of life in the neighborhood?

MS. SOBCZYNSKA: The simple answer is, I can't because it is one of the establishment and it is one of the establishments that is the loudest on the block.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And have you --
MS. SOBCZYNSKA: And that's -- and just to be clear, when I say the loudest, obviously, it's my qualitative assessment. I don't have any data or evidence to substantiate this.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. All right. That's good enough. Thank you very much for your testimony. I appreciate it.

MS. SOBCZYNSKA: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other
questions? Any questions by any Board members?

All right. Well, 1 guess no -- there's no questions by the Board members and $I$ don't believe that $I$ need to give you a chance to do redirect. So that is it okay.

All right. Thank you, ma'am, for your testimony. Have a great day.

MS. SOBCZYNSKA: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Do you have any other witnesses, sir?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Thank you. I'd like to call the substitute witness, Ms. Lexi Overholt. She is dialed in the phone.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Is she -- has she been elevated? I don't know, that's what I'm trying to find out, if $I$ need to have her elevated.

MR. STOECKLEIN: The last four digits of her phone are 1026.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Fashbaugh, can you please elevate 10426, please?

MR. STOECKLEIN: 1026.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 1026, I believe.

MS. FASHBAUGH: 103969 is elevated. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Is that -who's -- can the person who's --

MR. STOECKLEIN: That's correct. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry -all right. So hello? Hello? Can I -- yes, I think she has to unmute herself and I think she needs to --

MS. OVERHOLT: Hi. Can you hear me now?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, ma'am. We can hear you.

MS. OVERHOLT: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you raise your right hand, please. Can you raise your right hand, please ma'am.

MS. OVERHOLT: Yes. Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you swear or affirm to tell truth and nothing but the truth?

MS. OVERHOLT: I do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Can you have -- Mr. Stoecklein, can you have her spell and state her name for the record, please.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes, Lexi, could you please state and spell your name for the record.

MS. OVERHOLT: Yes. My full legal
name is the Alexandra Overholt, $A-L-E-X-A-N-D-R-$ A, $\mathbf{O - V}$ as in Victor, E-R-H-O-L-T as in Tom.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Great. Thank you. And could you please indicate your -- you know, your address?

MS. OVERHOLT: Yes. I was residing in 1912 8th Street, Apartment E as in elephant.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And you just said it in the past tense, so does that mean that you are no longer living there?

MS. OVERHOLT: Correct. We still have our lease until August 1st, but we've moved out.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And when did you first move in?

MS. OVERHOLT: We moved in July 27 th of 2020.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. And could you please describe to us the nature of your experience as it specifically relates to living in your home and any observations or impacts to -- directly tied to Empire Lounge?

MS. OVERHOLT: Yes, absolutely. So the first night that we moved in, we started experiencing very loud noise coming from Empire Lounge. We actually went down into the carport
to see if we could tell what establishment it was. And it was clear that it was coming from the second and third floor of Empire.

We could tell because employees were going down the stairs, opening the doors, and the sound would increase. This continued to happen for the duration of our time there, $I$ would say a minimum of five times a week, but it's honestly more like seven.

And we were on the top floor of 1912, so our bedroom balcony faced the top floor of Empire Lounge. And our bedroom floor would shake, our TV would shake, our head board would shake.

I actually reached out to Empire and they would never pick up their phone. So I resorted to text. If you text the main line, they will actually text you back.

And so they said that they would turn the music down and in turn they actually would turn it up, causing us to move to our guest room on the other side of the apartment.

We called the ABRA many times. ABRA never came to our apartment. I know that we had been talking with the other owners of the
building and they had had ABRA come out a few times to do the sound decibel measurements, but they never came when we called.

On one occasion, I woke up at 3:00 in the morning to what $I$ thought was a table saw. And I went and looked out the balcony, and they were using the table saw to reconstruct new bottle boxes and six signage. That lasted until about 4:30 in the morning.

On about five or six different occasions, usually on Thursday nights, around midnight, a gold van would come.

They would open the back gate of the Empire parking lot and everyone in the van dressed in all black would load trash bags into the back of the van, usually taking about 15 minutes.

The staff, one time was playing basketball from about 1:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m. I know it was the staff because then they went back up the entrance into the club.

There was a ton of garbage all the time, causing rats and rodents. And I think that that is probably about the extent of my experiences.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Thank you for that. Can you just confirm again the -- on a weekly basis -- weekly or monthly, whatever is appropriate, you know, the number of nights during which -- you know, you endured the circumstances that you described?

MR. BIANCO: Objection. Asked and answered.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to overrule the objection. Let her answer the question if she can.

MS. OVERHOLT: Sure. I would say it was a minimum of five nights a week and it started around 10:00 p.m. and would go until 3:00 a.m.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Are you aware of the -- sorry. Are you aware of the stated closing time for Empire Lounge?

MS. OVERHOLT: I'm not.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Would you say, during your experience, that Empire is a good neighbor?

MR. BIANCO: Objection.
MS. OVERHOLT: No.
MR. BIANCO: Relevance.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Overruled. MR. STOECKLEIN: Are there --

MS. OVERHOLT: No, I would --
MR. STOECKLEIN: Go ahead. Lexi.
MS. OVERHOLT: I'm sorry.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Go.
MS. OVERHOLT: I would say no, that they're not. As I mentioned previously, I had texted, asking them to turn down the music and they would turn it up.

I think that if we're sitting here today discussing Empire, that they have not been respectful neighbors. As you can see, many people are complaining about their quality of life.

MR. STOECKLEIN: All right. And can you tell me why it is that you decided not to renew your lease?

MS. OVERHOLT: Solely because of Empire Lounge.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Got it. Okay. Thanks very much. I have no further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco?
MR. BIANCO: Yes. Very briefly, you testified that you moved in in 2020, correct?

MS. OVERHOLT: Correct.
MR. BIANCO: And Empire Lounge was there, when you decided to move in, correct?

MS. OVERHOLT: Correct.
MR. BIANCO: And could you tell the Board why you decided to move behind a bar?

MS. OVERHOLT: Well, when we first moved there, we were not aware of any of the establishments on 9th Street. I had just moved to DC and we had not heard about anything from our leasing agent.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. Were you aware of the five other bars within 100 feet of Empire?

MS. OVERHOLT: I was not. As I mentioned, I was not aware of pretty much anything in DC as I moved here from Florida.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. And if you were aware that there were five bars with entertainment endorsements within 100 feet of Empire, would you have decided to move in?

MS. OVERHOLT: Yes, because I lived in South Beach for 12 years and we never had experiences like this. The other bars, to my knowledge, do not disrespect the neighborhood like Empire does.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. Thank -- oh, I'm sorry. One more question. Are you a member of the Westminster Neighborhood Association?

MS. OVERHOLT: I am not.
MR. BIANCO: Okay. Did you participate with them in any way in the decision to protest the substantial change?

MS. OVERHOLT: I spoke with Pierson, but that's pretty much it.

MR. BIANCO: Okay. Did you gain any insight as to why Westminster did not want to discuss any of these issues you're raising with us?

MS. OVERHOLT: I'm not aware.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Objection. She can't

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Overruled. Overruled. Let's move on.

MR. BIANCO: Thank you very much. I don't have any more questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on, ma'am. Any questions by any Board members? There are none. Thank you very much for your testimony, ma'am. Have a great day.

MS. OVERHOLT: Thank you so much.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Bye bye. Do you have any other -- I think that was your last witness, sir?

MR. STOECKLEIN: I do have the witness that we discussed to I don't know whether we'll call it impeach or rebut Mr. Nigussie's testimony related to the ANC ABRA committee hearing, but extremely limited scope of testimony there, but it is highly relevant.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, you're trying to -- the witness rebutted what testimony?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Nigussie
indicated in his testimony -- he acknowledged that he attended the ANC ABRA committee hearing on February 16th.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
MR. STOECKLEIN: But indicated that -but indicated -- I just want to say, this is when we got into the back -- the extended back-andforth conversation, all of us, I think, about whether he could -- what statements he made in front of the committee regarding Sound Bar.

And so this witness will be able to testify, based on first-hand knowledge,
specifically, the representations that Mr. Nigussie made regarding Sound Bar when he, according to his own testimony, was attempting to secure some kind of settlement arrangement.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So you're stating that the -- he made a -- when was this meeting, I'm sorry, again?

MR. STOECKLEIN: February 16, 2022.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So you're saying on February 16th, he made representation that he was not aware of the operation of Sound Bar?

MR. STOECKLEIN: That is correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I mean, I don't believe that we need any rebuttal testimony -- I mean, as I've stated earlier, on 12/16/21, there was Case number 21 CMP00082 -- I'm sorry -- yes, 82, that on January 26, 2022, the Board issued a warning to Mr. Nigussie regarding the operation of the expansion onto -- into the first floor.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Right.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So I mean, I --
MR. STOECKLEIN: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- irrespective -- but what I'm -- my position, irrespective of
what he states to whoever he states, it -- as the Board have decided issued January 26, 2022, he was on notice.

So I don't think it's relevant what is it that he tells the community. It's -- the Board issued him a warning in January 26, 2022. And the reason why the Board issued him a warning in January 26, '22, it was the because of the expansion of the operation into the first floor, so --

MR. STOECKLEIN: All right. I agree, Mr. Chair --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- whether or not he denies it -- this is my position, sir, whether or not he denied that he knew, as of January 26, 2022, the Board -- it -- factually, the Board issued him a warning.

So $I$ don't think it's relevant to -us to -- for us to -- we don't need rebuttal testimony on what is it that he claims he knew or didn't know. Factually, as far as the Board is concerned, he was aware on January 26, 2020, when we issued the warning.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chair, if I may?
The intention here is because of -- the timing
matters, so the fact that he came before the ANC, which he did for a reason, but indicated to all of us earlier today that the ANC had refused to even speak to him or deal with him is directly contradictory to what this witness will speak to.

Furthermore, because the warning was issued prior to, and we all agree that if the warning was issued, he has constructive knowledge of the existence of the club, then an attempt to go before the ANC and induce some kind of settlement fraudulently is highly relevant in this instance because the ANC is our highest authority in the neighborhood.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But it just -I think that's not relevant. Clearly, there was no settlement. The ANC is one of the party -for whatever reason, the ANC didn't settle the matter. The ANC is a party at this hearing. So therefore, whether or not whatever was done or wasn't done, it's not relevant, as I've stated.

MR. STOECKLEIN: So am I understanding correctly that it's the position of the Chair that Mr. Nigussie's credibility is irrelevant to this proceeding?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I -- but what

I'm trying to say to you, sir -- okay. If you're saying he came to the Board and said he didn't know anything in February --

MR. STOECKLEIN: I'm saying that I provided about 15 cases where Mr. Nigussie has clearly lied, not only to us, but to you. And I'm trying to bear out the final instance of that for the record. If that's not allowable, then I will just -- I will just -- then we rest our case.

MR. BIANCO: Mr. Chair, we're well into fantasy land at this point. This doesn't make any sense. The chair has already addressed this issue of notice that Mr. Stoecklein keeps harping on for no reason.

Mr. Nigussie testified that he went to this meeting. The Board Chair has repeatedly referenced evidence that is, in fact, on the record with respect to the warning and $I$ don't know why on earth any of us would want to belabor this any longer.

And it has no bearing on the ultimate issue here, which is not credibility, it's not trash, it's not garbage, it's not upset neighbors that don't like having 55 establishments in their
neighborhood, even though they move directly behind them.

The issue here is very simple, first floor expansion, no increase in occupancy, appropriateness. All this --

MR. STOECKLEIN: Have we entered into closing statements? It feels like we may have at this juncture.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein, Mr. Stoecklein?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Well, I'm just wondering whether we have --

MR. BIANCO: Again --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Hold on. Gentlemen, gentlemen, gentlemen, it is -- gentlemen, it is 9:59 and we've been going at listens 1:30, 1:40. All right. So it's not necessary to call rebuttal witness. So where -let's do closing.

All right. Each side has five minutes to do closing. And are you ready for closing, Mr. Bianco, or do we need that get five-minute break?

MR. BIANCO: I -- based on where we are, I'm ready to go off the cuff. Let's just do
it.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So you have five minutes to do closing, sir. I'm sorry. And this is what I want from each party, what is it that the licensee is requesting and what is it that the protesting is requesting of the Board? Thank you. Five minutes, sir.

MR. BIANCO: Thank you, Mr. Anderson. As I said at the outset nine hours ago, this is a very simple issue that the WNA has needlessly complicated.

The very simple issue is whether the applicant in this case can expand its square footage onto the first floor of 1909 9th Street without a corresponding expansion and occupancy. What you've heard from WNA is largely noise and not motivated by a bona fide intention about peace and quiet. Based on the testimony that was presented, their motivation is shutting down this establishment at all costs. That's not why we're here.

We're here to consider a very limited issue. The protest reasons that were cited were done so in a form letter that was just a blanket statement, checking off all of the boxes.

There has been no evidence presented with respect to parking. There has been no evidence that has been presented with respect to vehicular safety. There has no evidence that has been presented with respect to real property values.

The evidence on peace, order, and quiet is scant, if any, and weighs strongly in our favor. For all of WNA's complaints, something that they are not able to deny, that we all heard with our own ears, is my client's standing directly outside of his establishment during the day, which is important because there was no ambient noise coming from other establishments.

So at a time when it was otherwise silent, my client was standing directly -- or his contractor was standing directly within a few feet of the back door with the music at full blast and none of us could hear it. That is an important point that I think the Board needs to rely very heavily on.

The testimony presented by the MPD, again, I think, weighs in our favor in that the issues cited are broader than just one
establishment.
Captain Kim stated very clearly that the problem is outside. He further conceded, on cross-examination, that there's nothing that establishments can do to control the behavior of adults in public space other than report it to the police.

This case is not a fire marshal case, it's not a DCRA occupancy issue, it's not even an ABRA occupancy issue.

For whatever efforts were made to turn it into that, there is no evidence that at any point this establishment was over occupancy, nor is there any evidence that they have been cited for that.

And I think that's important because the MPD testimony is that he has referred complaints to both ABRA and to the fire marshal, and notwithstanding those referrals, not only were there no violations, there were no citations. Occupancy is not an issue here as much as WNA would like it to be.

The problem -- I think one of the very big problems we have here is that 9th Street and that U Street Corridor is dying. And the
evidence that is on the record indicates that just one year ago, there were 69 licensed establishments in a 1200-foot radius and that could be found in the Board's order renewing the license.

The report we heard today indicates there's only 55 left. That's 14 gone in a year. And what these businesses are, are small familyowned neighborhood businesses.

And the testimony that we are hearing that is quite concerning is Johnny-come-lately people moving in, paying too much money for condominiums directly behind a strip of five bars, and then instantly complaining to anybody who will listen that it's dirty and there's rats and it's noisy and it's inappropriate.

And in the process of doing that, they are murdering these businesses. The literal corner and epicenter of the Don't Mute DC movement is here and the people fighting against it, unfortunately, are here as well.

What we're asking for -- oh, I'm sorry. And there's one more thing I want to mention, which is the conspicuous absence of the ANC.

They have been completely disengaged in this process. They've provided no reasoning, no logic, no explanation as to why this particular request would be inappropriate.

The testimony on the record demonstrates my client made efforts to reach out and address whatever concerns they had, they didn't tell us, nor did WNA in a manner that would be cooperative among the neighborhood and allow people to work together.

Instead, the response that we get is, no, we will never agree to anything. And here we are after a nine hour hearing over largely nothing.

Our position is that the increase in square footage without a corresponding increase in occupancy should be granted. Many of the details that were the focus of the extensive examination couldn't -- could have and should have been worked out in the ordinary course of this process that the Board uses.

Unfortunately, due to the attitudes of the neighbors, they were not and we are where we are.
request and then I'm sure -- and, unfortunately, we will all see each other again in the fall when we're fighting over the renewal of this license and all of the things that Mr. Stoecklein wanted to raise in this hearing will be more appropriately considered by the Board at that time. Thank you very much.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Is Mr. Orlaskey -- is he still here?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Mr. Chairman, the -ironically, the ANC's ABR committee meets tonight. So Mr. Orlaskey had to leave to attend that meeting --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. STOECKLEIN: -- to discuss, among other things, this proceeding. So I don't know that he is available right now, but I can certainly find out.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, it's your -- I'll give you an opportunity to do closing. If he comes online, prior to your closing, then we'll probably just close record.

Then if he wants to -- I mean, he has basically stated that he's here for questions. He didn't necessarily have anything to say. But
you have five minutes to close, sir.
MR. STOECKLEIN: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. And I've texted Mr. Orlaskey to see if he's available, so he may join.

To that point, Mr. Bianco has suggested or implied that the ANC is completely disengaged and that is simply not the case.

The ANC protested this proceeding. They approved -- an approval of a protest to the ANC requires rigorous process, multiple meetings, and it include -- it requires substantial buy in from the entire neighborhood.

So the reason that the ANC was not more vocal in this process is because we were required to choose one speaker, one representative, to represent both the WNA and the ANC and in that case for better and for worse, it was me.

So this has -- it has nothing to do with whether the ANC is supportive of the position espoused by WNA, that's the first point.

Mr. Bianco has also seemed to suggest that there is a wholesale unwillingness on the part of the WNA or the ANC to reach any kind of amicable agreement with these -- with the clubs
on the 1900 Block of 9th Street. And that too is demonstratively false.

We, in fact, only have two pending protest proceedings at all right now because we are very judicious about the protests that we file.

MR. BIANCO: Mr. Anderson, can we keep this to the evidence on the record? None of this is on the record --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco, he's doing his closing. Please do not interrupt. Go ahead, Mr. Stoecklein.

MR. STOECKLEIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And so we -- you know, $I$ will tell you that we have settlement agreements in place recently negotiated with several establishments.

So we are more than willing to work with our neighbors who actually have an intention of being neighbors and would have been comfortable doing so in this case, if not, for the extensive history with Mr. Nigussie, in particular, of making misrepresentation at every possible turn to the road.

We simply cannot trust anything that Mr. Nigussie says. And so how could we possibly
come to the table and negotiate anything on that basis?

Mr. Bianco has also suggested to you, I think, in an attempt to distract from the real sort of substantive facts here, that somehow the fact that some of the witnesses that you heard from tonight and some of the members that WNA that I represent may have moved to the area or purchased property subsequent to the opening of Empire Lounge, that that somehow -- that that moots their rights as property owners and residents in the district.

And I can't fathom how that is supported or even reasonable to consider. The fact remains, the law is the law. And there is no first in time, first in right overarching rule here.

If an establishment wants to continue to operate, they need to operate in accordance with the rules that you, among others, are charged with enforcing.

And what, unfortunately, this club has shown is that they have no interest in following those rules. And in fact, they have -- they flouted your authority left and right.

This isn't just about ignoring a settlement agreement with the WNA. This isn't just about some trash. And forget whether they have misrepresented and broken promises to the WNA, they have ignored your authority over and over and over and over.

This establishment is one of the worst ABRA violators in the District of Columbia. And it has shown repeated disregard for statutes and regulations.

Close to a year ago, in its order, allowing Empire's license to be reviewed, this Board, you, found that the record of compliance raised, quote, serious questions about the ownership's ability to properly superintend the business. The ownership's knowledge of the requirements of his license and ability to act appropriately when confronted with crime, violence, unruly crowds, and other issues that may arise in the course of operating a tavern, end quote.

Since that order, Mr. Nigussie has continued his blatant, disregard and contempt for ABRA, its laws, and its regulations. That includes his contempt for your authority and all
of the time that you put him tonight and every other night and very other day to try to help support the good businesses, trying to do business the right way in the district.

It is in a front to your authority and a front to all the efforts of the law abiding businesses that try to do it the right way.

Since your order, Mr. Nigussie received citations, whenever inspectors repeatedly operating on the first floor of the building. And although we were prevented from further engaging in and discovering facts around those circumstances, the fact remains that he did so without an endorsement, continued to do so after receiving a warning, and he has consistently failed to abide by settlement agreements and a variety of other licensure requirements.

Very recently, his license, as you know, was suspended because he allowed a patron to enter with a firearm. So my question to you is: Is there any reason to think that if you allow Mr. Nigussie to expand his premises by, we'll call it a third, that somehow it won't make it even harder for him to act, as you put it, to
superintend his business, that it won't simply exacerbate the problems that Empire has caused and continue to cause?

I don't think that there's any possibility. We're not talking about random events of violence without a causal connection. We have talked tonight about a shooting that occurred just outside, a discharge of a weapon that occurred inside, an attempted murder inside Empire, all within the past year.

If you lived next door to that establishment, would you be comfortable with your safety, with your family's safety? Do you think that that's a reasonable way for this -- for a club to operate? I hope not. I appreciate your time and consideration.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, sir. Before closing the record are there any documents that you wish to move into evidence? I know we've had some type of testimony that you want to move into evidence?

MR. BIANCO: I believe we've moved all our documents.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Bianco.

And, Mr. Stoecklein?
MR. STOECKLEIN: Other than the Twitter video that I wasn't able to submit, no. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Thank you. The record is now closed. Do the parties wish to provide -- to do proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law or waive your right to do so? We can opposed -- I'm sorry.

MR. BIANCO: Sorry about that. This is Richard Bianco. No, no, I'm ready to move on with my life. I definitely don't want to brief this.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Stoecklein?
MR. STOECKLEIN: Unfortunately, I would say that we would err on the side of caution and say that we would like to. But I would -- if permissible, I'd like to take it back to the Association and the ANC, since Mr. Orlaskey is not here and I can't really speak for the ANC, and then circle back with the general counsel, if that's possible with your general counsel. I just -- I'm not in a position to speak for Mr. Orlaskey or the ANC, so I'm not able to really -- to do that?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But what is your position? His position -- I mean, his position was that, I'm just here to listen if there are questions that needs to be asked. If question that needs to be asked, then I'll ask a question, so there -- so I'm asking you, as part of your client, whether or not you wish to do that?

MR. STOECKLEIN: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. The parties choose to file proposed findings of fact conclusion of law from 90 days from when the Board received proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law. So therefore, you should get the transcript within three weeks. They, therefore, are due to the Board 30 days after receipt of the transcript.

Now, the proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, you're not bringing any new evidence, no evidence, no new evidence, no testimony, no new testimony. It's basically based on the transcript.

This is what was proven. These are what the facts are in the transcript and this is what the law -- as long as it's clear, this is
what needs to be done. You -- therefore, if you change your mind, please advise the Board, sir, if you will change your mind in doing this.

MR. StOecklein: Mr. Chairman, I'm very sorry to do this, but I'm told and just saw that Mr. Orlaskey has joined the -- rejoined the call. I don't know if the Chair is amenable to reopening the record from Mr. Orlaskey to make a brief statement, but I'm just conveying the request.

MR. BIANCO: Mr. Chair, my position is that the record is closed. There's been ample opportunity here. Mr. Orlaskey opted to attend another meeting, which is understandable, but at the end of the day, we've been here all day working on this and it's not for lack of opportunity to present what he wanted to present. I think the hearing should be closed at this point.

## CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You're correct,

 Mr. Bianco. The record is closed. I said prior to and so the record is closed. So if -- since, Mr. Stoecklein, you have stated that on behalf of your client, you're going to do proposed findings of fact and conclusion of law, then -- Mr.Orlaskey, then he can participate in that exercise if he so desire, but the record is closed, okay?

All right. So as I stated before, the transcript will be available within, I think, two to three weeks and you're just -- this -- the proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law argues the Board within 30 days after receipt of the transcript. If you change your mind, please so advise the Board, okay?

All right. As chairperson of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board for the District of Columbia and in accordance with DC Code Section 2-575 of the Open Meetings Act, I move that ABC Board hold a closed meeting for the purpose of seeking legal advice from our counsel on Case number 22 PRO-00026, Empire Lounge, pursuant to DC Code Section 2-575 B 4A of the Open Meetings Act and deliberate upon Case number 22 PRO-00026, Empire Lounge for the reasons cited in DC Code Section 2-575 B13 of the Open Meetings Act.

Is there a second? Mr. Short has seconded the motion. We'll now -- I'll now take a roll call vote on the motion. Mr. Short?

MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Short, I agree. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Crockett? MEMBER CROCKETT: I agree. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Hansen? MEMBER HANSEN: Jeni Hansen, I agree. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grandis? MEMBER GRANDIS: Agree.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Anderson, I agree as to appear through the motion has fast. I hereby give notice that the ABC Board will recess this proceedings to hold a closed meeting pursuant to Section 2-575 of the Open Meetings Act.

I would like to thank the parties for their participation in the hearing today. And again, the proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, I give the Board 30 days upon receipt of the transcript.

If you change your mind that you no longer wish to pursue this option, please advise the Board through ABRA legal. Thank you very much. Have a great night.

All right. The Board, our work is not done. So we're going to go into a short executive session and then we will come back to
close the record.
So we -- the Board, will go into executive session and we will come back to officially close the record. So let us move into executive session and then we'll come back to close the record, please. Thanks.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 10:21 p.m.)
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