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CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, the case at hand, it's -- we have a public hearing. Good morning again, everyone. We're here today to take public comment on the renewal or expiration of the Adams Morgan Moratorium.

By way of background, the Board voted on February 1, 2023, to adopt emergency rules to extend the existing moratorium. The initial emergency rules expired on June 1, 2023, and the Board adopted a second emergency rulemaking on May 24, 2023.

The Board's adoption of the emergency rules was necessary to allow sufficient time to hold a public hearing and to receive comments on the future of the moratorium. The emergency rules expire on September 21, 2023.

Notice of this hearing was published in accordance with D.C. Code Section 25-353 and Section 25-354. The notice was also published on the Agency website and to the D.C. Register on March 24, 2023.

To date we have received a resolution and testimony from the Advisory Neighborhood

Commission, ANC, and Adams Morgan Business Improvement District, and the Kalorama Citizens Association.

After hearing from persons who notified the Board that they wanted to testify as to this hearing, all others who are present will be given an opportunity to testify.

Each individual is allocated five minutes to speak as a matter of fairness so everyone has a chance to address the Board.

You will see on the screen there is a timer and this timer will alert you to the five minutes that you have to speak. And, I'm asking everyone to please adhere to the timer that is on the screen.

The Board Members will then, so once the -- once you testify, the Board Members will then ask questions regarding the various proposals.

If you have prepared written testimony
for today's hearing, and haven't already submitted them, please email them to abca.legal@dc.gov this morning.

All right. Good morning, everyone. Mr. Orellana, -- I'm sorry, Mr. Orellana, can you
-- I have on the witness list though, can you elevate the witnesses who are scheduled to testify today?

And, if it's possible, can you place the attendee list in the chat so the parties will know the order I will be calling the names?

MR. ORELLANA: Sure. Denis James, your access has been elevated. Jean Stewart, your access has been elevated.

Julie, your access has been elevated. Kristen Barden, your access has been elevated. Leslie Delagran, your access has been elevated.

Peter Wood, your access has been elevated, Ted Guthrie, your access has been elevated. That is all, Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MS. STEWART: Hello, is it my turn?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, ma'am.
Hold on a minute.
MS. STEWART: Okay. Sure.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Who's here from -- who's here from the BID? Is there someone here from the BID?
(Off mic response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You are? Okay.
(Off mic response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So, the order I'm going to call, I'm going to start off with Mr. Wood from the ANC.

Then I'll have Denis James. Then I'll have Leslie Delagran. I'm sorry if I mispronounce your name, ma'am.

Then I'll have Kristen Barden. Then I'll have Ted Guthrie. And, then I'll have Jean Stewart. So, that's the order I'll be calling -I'll be calling the names.

If there's -- if there is someone, if there is anyone else -- if there is anyone else there -- hold on, I'm sorry.

If there's anyone else who wishes to speak, please identify yourself through the chat. Okay?

So, that's the order that I will be calling on everyone. So, let's start with Mr. Peter Wood from the ANC group. And, you have five minutes to speak, sir.

MR. WOOD: Hi, good morning. Full warning, I did not know we had five minutes. So, this is going to be, may be a bit choppy. But, I'll do my best.

And, I did send a fuller, more thorough written testimony as well. So, that's -

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, that's everything, sir.

MR. WOOD: Yes. So, there are some more details. Good morning. My name is Peter Wood. I am an Advisor Neighborhood Commissioner representing Single Member District 103 in Adams Morgan. And, I also serve as Chair of Adams Morgan ANC 1C.

Today I come before the ABCA, ABC Board to testify in favor of increasing the maximum permitted number of Class A tavern licenses within Adams Morgan.

This testimony I share today was written by me. But, represents our entire commission per a unanimous vote during our July 5, 2023, meeting.

So, the Adams Morgan Moratorium Zone has had many iterations. But, the first began 23 years ago in July of 2000 when the ABC Board ruled to impose a three year moratorium on tavern, restaurant, and nightclub liquor licenses involving the on-premises consumption of spirits.

According to the ABC Board Chair Robert Woodson, quote, a moratorium is a type of beginning, not simply an end. It is the beginning of a period of self-evaluation and perspective planning, to create more congenial relations between commercial and residential interests, end quote.

It is this spirit of risk assessment, trial and error, and an adapting to an everchanging city that we on the Commission hope to emulate.

Fast forward a little bit, and here we are today. Real estate vacancies and high business turnover persists. Progress has been made in spite of that, but opportunity exists to continue directing Adams Morgan toward an even more robust future.

I'd like to now summarize the questions and concerns ANC 1C addressed over previous months and over, I think, exactly ten different publicly held meetings to discuss this with the community since December 2022.

And, I'll also go over some of the key claims we've made in our resolution and as corresponding discussion. And, what goals we
hope to see come to fruition.
So, our key questions and concerns. First, retail diversity. What is the ideal mix of business types in our neighborhood?

Second, how to prevent quote, bad actors from persisting? What tools are appropriate for ensuring businesses and their customers benefit the community they exist within?

Third, quality of life for need by residents. And, this is not an exhaustive list, but we covered things like the vacant storefronts and business turnover, traffic patterns, parking restraints, noise pollution, waste management and rodent mitigation, pedestrian safety. Of course, the list could go on, but I think those are the big ones.

The key claims that we made within the resolution that passed also unanimously, first, that the moratorium zone has had detrimental effects.

This is not to overlook how the moratorium might have helped curtail dangerous circumstances in its earlier days, or at least did not worsen matters.

Instead, we see how a moratorium was not necessarily the only factor at play during that time. And, that two decades of extending the tavern license moratorium has contributed to a volatile business environment.

Second, not all alcohol vendors are the same. And, ANC 1C pursues a diverse mix of business types. We also have a realistic understanding of what retail trends have occurred over the past 20 plus years in Adams Morgan.

And, the goal to have a diverse alcohol independent local economy is perhaps laudable but has proven very difficult to achieve. It's time we modify our expectations slightly.

And third, other areas in D.C. have demonstrated that moratorium zones are not as desirable or effective as once thought.

Multiple neighborhoods, including nearby U Street, have seen moratorium proposals fail or are considering recommendations to alter or eliminate current moratoriums. We believe this context is worth considering.

Now, our key goals. First, increase the limit of tavern licenses allowed. It is
worth clarifying that our recommendation of raising the limit to 25 , was an arbitrary line drawn out of compromise.

Additionally, calling for a limit to how many new licenses can be issued each year is an attempt to avoid the sudden rush of taverns.

Most of our discussions since December 2022, has been between whether to fully support -- support fully removing a tavern license cap entirely, or raising the cap modestly. Our resolution represents a middle ground to that position.

Second, ABCA ideally with support from other D.C. government agencies, will hold establishments more stringently to certain agreements and strategically increase penalties to promote stricter adherence to those agreements.

We need to acknowledge definitely that liquor licenses are not the only factor in the neighborhood's commerce. And, in some cases, are merely a tangential element.

This highlights to keep law in the D.C. system. Not enough agencies carry out effective enough enforcement, and ABCA is left,
often left alone to try. We support enforcement and wish to see sufficient resources dedicated to this.

And, third, continue current policies regarding nightclubs and restaurants. We support renewing the moratorium on nightclub liquor licenses. We also support having no limit on how many restaurant liquor licenses can be issued in Adams Morgan.

There are notably two, at least two points that we did not discuss at great length that have previously been explored by both ANC 1C and this Board.

And, those are geographical boundaries of the moratorium zone. We have taken no position on whether the current policy, I believe it's an 1800 foot radius from Tryst at 2459 18th Street, Northwest, if that's desirable or ought to be adjusted, we haven't really discussed that. And, duration of extension. We have also taken no position on how long a modified moratorium renewal ought to last, should one pick this.

So, just to conclude, let's refer to -- let's return to former ABC Chair, Board Chair,
excuse me, Woodson's words from 2000. A moratorium is only a beginning in which deeper assessment is undertaken and acted upon.

It is our view as Commissioners that 23 years is ample time to conduct those assessments. Rather than recite from the same and merely temporary measure -- I have one sentence left -- of limiting tavern licenses, we feel now is an appropriate moment to grow our neighborhood even further.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Wood. Mr. James, good morning, sir.
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I can't hear you, Mr. James. You need to unmute your microphone, sir.

MR. JAMES: Okay. Good morning.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, sir. Go ahead.

MR. JAMES: Thank you. The first time on WebEx for me. A new computer and all that. So, thank you for holding this hearing this morning.

The big question for the Board is, --

I'll start over. The KCA and 171 residential neighbors filed a petition yesterday seeking renewal of the existing conditions with one slight exception, not for three years, but for five years.

We put forth a case that the appropriateness requirements are invoked by the conditions on the ground, peace, order and quiet, including noise and trash, negative impact upon residential parking needs, vehicular and pedestrian safety, and we claim that there is over concentration within the Adams Morgan Moratorium Zone.

The Board merely needs to find that that is reasonable. And had -- this Board and its previous iterations having approved similar rules five different times, has no reason to change that.

We run a course that is unfortunately a very slow process of improving the commercial areas by allowing restaurants. And, with the typical sort of, you know, 50 percent of restaurants fail within the first two years, that kind of thinking.

And then, the difficulties brought on
by the COVID, Corona Virus, sorry, COVID, why'd I say that? The Corona Virus epidemic, you know, that hurt a lot of businesses and made things more difficult.

But, we're on -- that is over now. And, we've had numerous new restaurants come in in the last six months or so. I think it's about a dozen.

And, that trend should be allowed to continue instead of having taverns go into those spaces. Taverns, it does say that food is required, but there's no measurable amount. And, that can be satisfied by potato chips on the bar.

And, we don't need any more of that. We have 16 existing taverns. A few of them are among the worst businesses in the city, in my belief.

One of them, I'll mention the words, Heaven and Hell, has been before this Board so many times and has such a long investigative history, it's a wonder that they're still around. Anyway, you know, we can only do so much at the community level. We -- the Kalorama Citizens Association adopted a policy in 2000 with the first moratorium that we would support
moratorium and we would seek settlement agreements with every on-premise licensee that came into the neighborhood.

And, that has been done. The moratorium is really all we have to prevent things from getting a lot worse in our neighborhood.

If we had nine new taverns, and, admittedly it would happen over time, but where would they go?

You know, there's an -- sort of a real movement towards new restaurants. In front of the ANC's ABC Committee last night, four new restaurants were discussed.

And, that doesn't even count a couple that are coming along now, which are in the early stages of applying. For instance, City Lights of China and the Mintwood Place, space will be occupied again when the owner, you know, comes up with his new concept and rebuilds the interior.

So, there will be very few locations.
So, that could very well mean that taverns will go into not such great locations.

Perhaps, you know, inappropriate locations. That would depend on when and where.

And, $I$ don't know what the answers to that is. But particularly, the Board must protect residents against the existing restaurants seeking to flee their requirements and go to become a tavern.

That way, you know, I think the BID wants, you know, more taverns because that will help in their payments, because they're getting no taxes out of empty storefronts. So, that would make more money available to the BID.

And, I would just say while I've mentioned the BID, that eight of the 15 board members are either ABC licensees or landlords of ABC licensees.

So, you know, you really can't -- the BID is not legally able to seek a moratorium. They're free to comment and their individual members are also free to comment.

As to the ANC, the process was very, very bad. The Commissioners, two who are holdover Commissioners from the previous iteration, I honestly believe they were hoping that the moratorium might just slip by and expire and therefore die.

They didn't want to increase the time
for the neighborhood to discuss the matter. They fought against that among themselves in December of ' 22 .

And, they have been very aggressive against residents who spoke up to comment. I would say that of perhaps 50 comments that they received from residents, one was in favor of getting rid of the moratorium.

I wonder if I might have one more minute?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I already gave you six minutes now, sir.

MR. JAMES: Oh, did you? I'm sorry. I talk too much. Well, if there's any questions, I'd be happy to answer.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. And, we're going to -- I'm going to have some questions to ask at the end.

But, I gave you an extra minute. But, I guess you weren't keeping track of the time. All right.

MR. JAMES: No, I'm too busy trying to keep my thoughts in order. Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You're welcome, sir. All right. We'll have Leslie, I'm sorry,
can -- and can Ms. Delagran --
MS. DELAGRAN: You pronounced it.
Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And, can you also -- just spell your name also for the court reporter, please, too.

And, do you have a camera, ma'am? I would like to see who is speaking if that's possible.

MS. DELAGRAN: I just turned it on.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's fine. But, can you please also spell your name for the court reporter and what organization you're from?

MS. DELAGRAN: Leslie, L-E-S-L-I-E Delagran, D-E-L-A-G-R-A-N. I do not represent an organization. I'm a long term resident of the 1800 Block of Wyoming Avenue, Northwest.

I have a -- I've been on Wyoming Avenue for 30 years. So, our life here predates the moratorium. We remember the bad days of broken bar bottles and wild nightlife.

I think that the moratorium has benefitted the community. I think that there are still too many taverns. Sixteen is more than enough.

And, I do not see the reason that the ANC is putting forward for increasing the number of taverns. The study that they cite to, is ten years old. It dates from 2013. It's sponsored by the business community.

It relies on anecdotal evidence as far as I can see. And, it assumes that there's no new restaurant licenses. So, it's fundamentally flawed.

They -- Peter talked about U Street and how that is somehow a success story. All I know is the number of people, friends of ours, who have left U Street, it is just too noisy. They can't live there anymore.

We have ongoing problems with trash on our front sidewalk. Every weekend morning I'm out there cleaning up.

The other night I was looking out the window at midnight and there was a pile of trash. And, there was enormous rats running all over it. I was -- I was horrified.

I don't think that we should have to live with cleaning up other people's mess. But, I guess, that's what you get.

My biggest concern is that the taverns
attract a party scene. And, by giving the green light too more and more taverns, you're basically giving up on the neighborhood and saying, we can't attract daytime retail, we can't have a vibrant community, we can't have bakeries, we can't have retail that appeals to residents.

We just need more bars. More bars are not what residents want. Residents want daytime dining. They want bakeries. They want hardware stores. And, this is, this is basically giving -- giving up.

So, my concern is that having a party scene goes to personal safety. The altercation and murder last December was a real wake up call.

To the fact that, you know, not that I'm a party goer, but I'm not going to go out on 18th Street after dark. I mean, that -- that has really put an end to it.

And that's, I'm sure, what many in the community feel as well. And, those are just my thoughts. Sorry, it's not a prepared testimony. But, I'm happy to take questions as well. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: All right. Thank you, ma'am. All right. So, we'll have Ms.

Kristen Bar -- Barden?
MS. BARDEN: Hi, good morning.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, ma'am. Please spell your name and identify yourself for the record, please.

MS. BARDEN: Sure. I'm Kristen
Barden. It's K-R-I-S-T-E-N B-A-R-D-E-N. Okay. I'll get going.

I'm here today to express my strong support for the resolution adopted by ANC 1C to increase the number of allowable tavern licenses in the Adams Morgan Moratorium Zone.

But, to encourage the ABCA Board to consider removing the AMMZ altogether except for the prohibition on nightclub licenses.

I have been the Executive Director of the Adams Morgan Partnership, AMP BID since 2008. And, the AMP BID, like the other BIDs in D.C., is funded mostly through a special assessment tax on the commercial properties in Adams Morgan.

The special assessment tax is based on the commercial value of the building and not based on occupancy or tenancy. It's based solely on the commercial, on the assessment value that's determined by OTR.

The Adams Morgan Partnership Business Improvement District is a nonprofit organization focused on enhancing the quality of life for businesses, commercial property owners, residents, visitors, and employees in the District of Columbia's most vibrant and eclectic neighborhood.

The Partnership provides daily street cleaning, fun neighborhood events, and creative marketing and economic development initiatives. I believe that this resolution will have a positive impact on the neighborhood and contribute to its continued growth and vitality. Our Board of Directors passed a resolution on November 8, 2022, requesting the ABRA Board, prior to name change, vacate the AMMZ except for the restriction on nightclub licenses, CN, DN licenses.

The resolution adopted by ANC 1C to increase the number of allowable tavern licenses to 25 , to be phased in with no more than four new licenses each year, is a step in the right direction.

But, we would argue it doesn't go far enough to leveling the playing field. The
current AMMZ is the result of various renewals since 2000, and, amended to reflect the changing needs of the Adams Morgan commercial area and surrounding community.

The AMMZ was found to limit the economic development of the Adams Morgan Commercial Corridor, thus the report from the D.C. Fiscal Policy Institute that was previously referred to.

Specifically, the report stated quote, Adams Morgan's commercial area is growing far more slowly than other non-moratorium parts of the city, faces stagnation and system vacancies, has not resulted in the expansion of nonrestaurant retail, while at the same time making it harder for new ABC establishments to enter the marketplace, and has not directly improved peace, order and quiet. But, other steps taken -- other steps taken have improved those issues.

As the DCFPI report found, the appropriate approach is to target problem establishments regardless of license class. And the targeted action, rather than a blanket moratorium, is the more appropriate action.

And, there are mechanisms in place
with the current regulations to take targeted action such as through settlement agreements. Additionally, the moratoriums in Dupont Circle, Georgetown, Glover Park, all have either been dramatically modified or removed entirely.

Additional attempts to establish moratorium zones that took place in the Trinidad and U Street neighborhoods, have both failed. The one successful creation of a new moratorium zone in Langdon Park was specifically focused on preventing nightclubs and nothing more.

Adams Morgan has long been known as a vibrant and diverse neighborhood, attracting residents and visitors with its rich heritage, thriving local businesses, and a lively entertainment scene.

However, the existing moratorium on tavern licenses has created unintended consequences that hinder the community's ability to evolve and adapt to changing needs and demands.

I know that Lost City Bookstore would love to acquire a tavern license and offer beer and wine at book talks. But, are unable to do so
with the moratorium on tavern licenses.
Not only is it cost prohibitive, but they would have to wait for a tavern license to become available when another business closes.

By increasing the number of allowable tavern licenses, we can create a more inclusive and diverse social landscape that caters to a broader range of interests and preferences.

This will foster an environment that encourages entrepreneurship, innovation, and healthy competition. All of which are essential for a thriving local economy and more sales taxes for D.C. government.

Furthermore, it is important to note that the resolution adopted by ANC 1C has been carefully considered and is backed by extensive community input and engagement. And, the ANC has worked diligently the past seven months to gather feedback from residents, local businesses and other stakeholders.

I have full confidence that an increase in tavern licenses, perhaps the elimination of the AMMZ except for nightclub licenses, accompanied by the existing effective regulation and oversight from ABCA will not only
preserve the unique character of Adams Morgan, it will also enhance its overall appeal to entrepreneurs, residents, and visitors.

The resolution aligns with the evolving needs and desires of our community. And, I believe it will contribute to the neighborhoods long term success.

In conclusion, I strongly urge the ABCA Board to support the resolution to increase the number of allowable tavern licenses at a minimum, and, perhaps remove the AMMZ except for nightclub licenses.

And, by doing so, we can foster a dynamic and inclusive community that embraces growth, encourages entrepreneurship, and provides residents and visitors with a diverse array of social and cultural experiences.

Thank you very much. And, I appreciate your commitment to the well-being and development of Adams Morgan.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, ma'am. All right. So, we'll have Mr. Guthrie. Please --

MR. GUTHRIE: Excuse me.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir?

MR. GUTHRIE: I'm having a -- I had to call in in order to hear what you're saying. But, $I$ think that you're getting me over the feed?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. I can -I can hear you, sir. I can hear you.

MR. GUTHRIE: Okay. Unfortunately, I didn't hear what anyone said before me, because I was having technical problems.

I'm Ted Guthrie. I'm a former ANC member who participated in determinations of requested changes to the moratorium the last couple of times it's been renewed.

I guess one of my principal concerns that I don't see really addressed by the material that came from the ANC this year, is that to me, the moratorium has actually been a success.

We have, the purpose of the moratorium was to limit the number of tavern licenses, which ABRA successfully enforced by not allowing anyone to put their applications in. And, as a result of which, we had however many taverns would have applied, and would have gotten open, did not in Adams Morgan.

And, to me, that's a success of the
moratorium. Because quite frankly, it's obvious that there are people out there who want to have tavern licenses in Adams Morgan.

It's also clear to me that tavern licensees in general, have very little responsibility toward the community, as opposed to restaurants.

And, that they're not providing any services that are, you know, truly needed, desired, and wanted by the community.

What they're doing is providing large quantities of alcohol to people without providing, generally without providing food. And, putting them in a situation where it's much more likely that they're going to become inebriated and they're going to do things that are detrimental to the residents who live in the neighborhood.

And, every single weekend, there are people coming up sort of in hoards from the bar scene down on 18th, along my street, that disturb the peace and quiet of the street. And, you know, a certain amount of that I'm quite willing to put up with to have the vital business community that we do have in Adams Morgan.

But, to suggest somehow it's going to improve Adams Morgan to add tavern licenses when we have as many tavern licenses as we do, is just beyond credibility to me.

I don't understand why people would suggest that that would be a benefit. I haven't heard anything from anyone in support of that.

And, in addition, I would point out that there are vast numbers of storefronts that are empty throughout the District, whether or not there's a moratorium zone in place or not.

We're struggling through some challenging times economically in commercial areas. But, the solution is not to put a bunch of alcohol only establishments.

We have more than enough restaurants, more than enough taverns. And, the moratorium has been quite successful and should be continued as it is.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, sir. And, do we have, let me see, Jean Stewart?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Stewart, are you there?

MS. STEWART: Yes. Can you hear me?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I can hear you. Do you have --

MS. STEWART: Yes. I have technical troubles with the sound on my computer too. I am using closed captioning.

But, thank you very much for this opportunity to testify. And, good morning Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board.

I've been a resident in Adams Morgan for over 53 years. And, I remember as a previous witness said, the bad old days when it was mostly party city. And, have welcomed more diverse businesses.

I also am a member of the Executive Committee at the Kalorama Citizens Association. And, I am testifying in support of extending the Adams Morgan Moratorium Zone as currently established, for another five years and in opposition to the proposal from the ANC. And, despite the current limit of ten CT licenses in the moratorium zone, there are already 16 tavern licenses, down slightly from the 18 we had in 2006.

Expanding the number of licenses to 25
under the proposal would be 20 in the first year, I believe is totally unnecessary. There are plenty of places for we residents to go and drink if we want to. We don't need more.

Taverns are basically bars, as there is no requirement to serve any kind of food other than bar snacks. And, the neighborhood just really doesn't need any more of these types of businesses.

We have plenty. We have been or are really over concentrations of taverns, with attendant disturbances of the peace, order, and quiet.

This spoils the quality of life for those of us who live here. And, it's just very unpleasant for our residents.

We are a mixed residential and commercial neighborhood. With due consideration for the large component of those of us who live here and should mean less commotion, commotion sometimes involving vandalism and violence, not more.

More taverns in this compact zone would also lead to still more traffic, with attendant negative impact on vehicle and
pedestrian safety and residential parking requirements.

What Adams Morgan needs, as previous witnesses have said, is more businesses that serve actual needs of residents. Cleaners, pharmacies, coffee shops, restaurants, bakeries, that sort of thing.

And, we have, I would hope that the BID and ANC might explore small business incubators as other neighborhoods have had. To encourage a diversity of businesses in Adams Morgan.

Anyway, for these reasons, I urge the Board to reject the proposal of ANC 1C. And, instead to continue the moratorium zone with its cap of ten CT licenses for another five years.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Ms. Stewart. I think the amount -- I think the amount of -- Mr. Stewart, mute your phone, please ma'am. Yes, thank you.

I see there's a Larry Hargrove. Mr. Hargrove, do you wish to speak?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I guess not.

Is there anyone else who wishes to testify today that I have not, I have not called?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Is there -- has anyone identified themselves in the chat Mr. Orellana?

MR. ORELLANA: We -- who offered her time for everyone else. She can be elevated if she wishes.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No. I think she had said she doesn't want to speak. So, she was just listening.

So, if that's -- I just want to make sure that I've covered the universe of everyone who wants to speak. Okay, fine.

I just have a couple, and I'm asking the same questions to everyone. I mean, currently in Adams Morgan there are 52 active restaurants. One is in safekeeping, four pending.

There are 15 active CT Licenses, which ex -- which exceeds the cap, the current cap of 10. And, of course, I see that everyone knows, everyone wants to continue the no nightclubs.

So, let's start with the ANC. I know
that the ANC has proposed that we raise the cap to 25.

So, I guess what I'm just trying to find out from you, were you aware that although the cap had, is currently 10, that there's actually 16 CR License currently in use in the neighborhood?

MR. WOOD: That question was for me, I'm guessing?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. I'll start with you first, yes.

MR. WOOD: Oh, yes. We're definitely aware. That was a point that we discussed quite a bit. I mean, 25, like I said, an arbitrary number. I mean, really the discussion was just, I guess, two parts.

Should it be -- should there be consideration on ANC's part to suggest that some sort of change towards slightly less stringent regulations should be considered?

And then, if so, to what degree do we want to recommend that change? Like I said, 25 was a compromise because we recognize that the number currently is 16. It has to go back down to nine until a new application can come through
for a tenth.
Twenty-five is higher than both those numbers. Not a magic number. Something we just thought was a useful placeholder and relatively reasonable, considering the, $I$ don't know, somewhere between six to ten hours of meetings that we had.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And, Mr. James, the same question for you. I know that you're asking us to maintain the current cap. With the current cap there's ten, but there's actually, as I stated before, there are actually 15 in active.

So, how do you -- how do you propose the Board should address that issue?

MR. JAMES: Can you hear me?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
MR. JAMES: Okay, thanks. Seeing the number has not changed. Let's put it this way, since the cap was put in place in 2006, the number has never approached ten.

That was set, you know, it was set up in a way that no license, no further taverns can be issued until the number goes below ten. Then you could issue one.

So, the cap was not going to disappear
if the number changed. It was a protection for the community.

So, the idea of having two and a half times the number of the cap, which the 25 that the ANC thinks might be acceptable, is ludicrous. And, I'm trying to think of a word that isn't too nasty.

But, you know, it would just put way too much pressure on the residential community. And, it's not good for the business community either.

Restaurants don't need a whole lot of rough taverns around them that cause disturbance and violent incidents late at night.

The Board has within its ability to look at all the investigative histories of taverns over time. They're long and very serious.

And, I'm not going to go into it, but I will maybe, the other thing I'm going to submit those to you as evidence before the end of tomorrow.
It's just repetitive problems,
fighting, you know, police being called. You know, one of the things you see from a police
report is often, you know, person taken to hospital.

Well, gosh, I guess they, you know, had hangnails. No. They got in a fight because they were all drunk, because they were overserved in a tavern.

This is the kind of thing we dealt with for a very long time. And, the ANC is like, saying, oh well, we need more diverse types in the business thing.

Oh well, we have to get this tavern license for a bookstore? They can give away alcohol. It's legal to do so.

Or, they could institute a charge at the bookstore to participate in their book clubs. But, no. You know, everything that is protecting the residents should be given away.

The only reason that I've heard out of this ANC and the BID, oh, is so that a bookstore could have a tavern license.

And then, what would stop that bookstore from selling that tavern license to somebody who actually wants to run a tavern in a building that is a full-blown tavern? Who knows.

You know, it's just that would be just a ridiculous path to go down. And, it's just beyond belief, you know, that such things would be suggested in a serious way.

Again, I would like to just say that we deal in a very constructive way with settlement agreements. Historically the Kalorama Citizens Association and the ANCs over time have worked together, not always agreeing perfectly.

But, you know, we wind up coming up with a position for a particular settlement agreement for a particular establishment. But, that's not the only thing.

You know, the ABRA investigators, ABCA now, are not standing in front of that establishment 24 hours a day seeing if anything goes wrong. You have to be there to note that there's a problem.

And, there's lots of problems that there aren't enough investigators to see. Or MPD, we have very sparse MPD coverage in this neighborhood nowadays.

When the shooting occurred on December 27, tavern owner Bill Duggen, was out on the sidewalk and was saying, I looked around and
there was no police anywhere to be seen.
You know, this is, this came out of AIR. It's a restaurant. It wanted to be a tavern. But, you know, the people who owned the tavern at that previous location, Songbyrd, didn't want to sell to AIR. They sold it to somebody else.

So, you know, we have people coming to Adams Morgan with guns. And, who knows what else. That's not good for the community.

And, while AIR is not a tavern, you know, but we have lots of restaurants that act quite a bit like nightclubs, because they have an entertainment endorsement, cover charge, music, dancing.

You know, and while those things by themselves are not necessarily bad, when you get a large conglomeration of them, it's going to have an effect on the community. And, it has.

You know, and that's why we've been here since the year 2000 seeking renewal for an effective moratorium. In the beginning, it was too much.

It said no kind of any licen -- you know, no license at all. No As, no Bs, you know.

That was too much.
And, we learned that it was. And, we moved forward and became one that allows restaurants, which everybody can enjoy.

You know, families with children can go and enjoy those. But, not if the scene is too dangerous for those people to be attracted or for viable, you know, open to everyone kind of restaurant to come here.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, Mr. James. Thank you.

MR. JAMES: Thanks very much. I appreciate the chance to say all that.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Barden, you had your hand -- you can lower your hand, please ma'am. Go ahead, please.

MS. BARDEN: Okay, great. Thanks. Yeah, I just wanted to mention a few things. A few, I wanted to correct a few inaccuracies that I've heard this morning.

First of all, Heaven and Hell no longer exist. That business was sold. Mehari no longer owns it.

It's now called Moonlight DC. So, any misconceptions about Heaven and Hell, it's no
longer there.
So, and AIR was not Songbyrd.
Songbyrd was where the comedy club is now. And, also, the Delightful -- Caf, D Light, which is owned by the Ukrainian sisters.

And, so I wanted to also kind of point out, you know, some of the -- some of the places that are, that do have tavern licenses.

So, that includes Hotbed that I just mentioned, the comedy club. Bedrock Billiards, which has been there for at least 30 years.

The Imperial, which is down at Florida Avenue. Baja Tap, Roofers Union, both of which are, you know, operate as restaurants.

And then, the very new Shanklin Hall, which just opened up as a community space where the Columbia Station used to be.

So, I just wanted to point out that, you know, many places that have tavern licenses operate like restaurants. And, you know, we've heard that there is interest in doing some more interesting concepts like the bookstore being able to offer beer and wine.

They would have to sell it, you know. And, the only way they can do that without a
kitchen is by getting a tavern license. So, and they have to wait for, you know, another business to close before they're able to do that.

And, even then, you know, because of the moratorium, acquiring a tavern license is at least $\$ 75,000$. And, most small business owners can simply just not afford that. It's just beyond, you know, beyond their reach.

So, that's really prevented unique and interesting concepts from -- from even considering Adams Morgan, because that price point is just outside anybody's reach.

So, I just wanted to make a few clarifications.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Hold on -- hold on, Mr. Wood. I know that you have spoken before, so let me see if anyone else wants to address that issue.

I also want to have the other Board Members ask questions. So, just hold on a minute sir. But, I will -- before I close this hearing, Mr. Wood, I'll get -- I'll give you an opportunity to speak again.

Do we have any questions from any Board Members? Go ahead, Mr. Short.

MEMBER SHORT: Thank you, Chairman. And, good morning to all of the panelists and concerned citizens who have shown up for this moratorium, for this hearing.

And, I've heard as a Board Member on many occasions the concerns of the community. And, my personal choice has always been that businesses and the community must learn, well, have to survive together. One can't survive without the other.

So, I would just like to say, I've taken some notes, and I can see where Mr. Denis James, what is your recommendation again to the Board?

MR. JAMES: It would be to renew the existing conditions. But, to -- not just for three years but for five years.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Thank you very much.

MR. JAMES: Okay.
MEMBER SHORT: Thank you very much. And, I'd also like to go to Ms. Kristen Barden. Your recommendation Ms. Kristen Barden for the Board?

Board voted in November to vacate the entire Adams Morgan Moratorium Zone, except for the exception on nightclub licenses.

MEMBER SHORT: This next question is for Mr. Ted Guthrie. Mr. Guthrie, can you give us your recommendation?
(No response.)
MEMBER SHORT: Ted Guthrie?
(No response.)
MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Then I'll go to Ms. Jean Stewart.

MS. STEWART: My recommendation is to extend the moratorium as is for five years, not three.

And, I would ask the Board also to note the previous witness, a longtime resident, who said going back to Adams Morgan as a party city will drive away residents, not attract them like she noted in U Street.

Thank you.
MEMBER SHORT: Thank you. And this question is for Ms. Leslie Delagran.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, who are you -- who are you asking a question, Mr. Short?

MEMBER SHORT: Leslie Delagran.
MS. DELAGRAN: Yes. Thank you. Yes, my recommendation would be to extend the current moratorium for an additional five years.

Thank you.
MEMBER SHORT: And, this last question would be for ANC 1C03, Peter Wood.

MR. WOOD: All right. What's the question?

MEMBER SHORT: What is your -- what is your recommendation to the Board? I'm just asking as a Board Member before I vote on anything, I'd just like to get your opinion and why you're testifying today.

MR. WOOD: Yes. So, our entire ANC voted unanimously to suggest raising the limit on tavern licenses from 25, maintaining the moratorium on the nightclub licenses, and maintaining the current status for restaurant licenses, which has no limit.

MEMBER SHORT: Thank you very much. And, when were you elected to be on the ANC, and how long have you been the ANC, with the -active with the ANC?

MR. WOOD: That's in the written part
of the testimony. I had to cut, to cap it. But, I personally have been, it's weird, I'm the longest tenured. But, the tenure used to be significantly longer.

So, I was elected in November 2020. So, I've been a term and a half, three years or so. Or, yeah, a little bit under three years as a Commissioner, plus campaigning and stuff.

MEMBER SHORT: All right. Thank you very much. I don't want to take too much time. Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for allowing me to ask questions. And, thank you panelists.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Before we move on, I'll call on Mr. -- hold on, Mr. Grandis is next.

Mr. Wood, did you respond, did you state what you wanted to state when you had your hand raised the last time?

MR. WOOD: No. But, I can wait to see if it gets addressed in questions first.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
MR. WOOD: Just a couple, following up from what Ms. Barden was saying.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. All right, go ahead Mr. Grandis.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Good afternoon everyone. So, I want to --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I cannot hear you, Mr. Grandis. So, I can't hear you clearly. MEMBER GRANDIS: Mr. Chairman, is that better?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Just raise your voice up a little bit. Or turn your microphone up, sir, please.

MEMBER GRANDIS: How's that? Better? It must be a technical thing, because I have -can participants hear me or not?

MEMBER SHORT: We can hear you.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Mr. Wood, you in your oral presentation, I didn't see it in the written, you used the term volatile. Do you remember, recollect using the term volatile when you spoke about taverns?

MR. WOOD: I remember using the word. I don't know if it was specifically towards taverns. I think it was, if I remember correctly, that basically the volatility of the local business stability.

And, this is something that has been pointed out. Like I said, we had many
discussions at public meetings on this.
And then also, just individual constituents that -- there's a lot of turnover. Like one of the points that was raised, of why this proposal was not useful, is because there's so many new restaurants coming in.

And, the point that we ended up really settling on is, that that's actually reflective of the volatility. It's nice to have the new energy of new investors coming into the neighborhood.

But, it's a lot. And, it's constant. And it potentially has increased in recent years. Probably partially because of the pandemic.

But, that volatility comes because there's limited options for what types of establishments can exist. And, modifying that slightly could potentially reduce some of the volatility.

Of course, it's a tough question.
But, that was a big point of when we discussed volatility of how we discussed it.

MEMBER GRANDIS: I want to thank you for the clarification. Because, this Board, one of our responsibilities is public health and
safety when we issue licenses and we have our investigators do their routine investigations.

So, I was concerned that you were referencing that there's volatility in the balance, on the balance issue, not the volatility as you've explained it. So, I just wanted that clarification.

Ms. Barden, it's good to see you. And, I want -- we all want to thank you. And, we appreciate your presentation today.

Just for public record, I live on Swann at 18th. So, I mean to say, we get the benefit of late night Adams Morgan coming down the hill.

So, we're very familiar with that neighborhood. And, Ms. Barden has done outstanding work as the Executive Director of that BID.

And, I know what the challenges are, I would just note. We've all had these discussions and commitments. So, I want to congratulate what the BID has done.

Now, you mentioned in your testimony that the BID is interested in having other types of businesses come into Adams Morgan. And, as
you know, that's part of your Charter, is to attract them.

There's a perception, and I think it was brought up in this meeting, or hearing, there's a perception that an ABC License or more specifically, a Tavern License, precludes another type of business being in that location.

In your work, which is to bring in business, are you finding that the townhouses, the square footage, the stairs, the lack of storage space, are there other things that are prohibiting or makes it difficult or a challenge for a small business?

Leaving internet -- leaving the internet world aside, is that something you can speak to too this Board to explain, is it the Tavern License or ABC that's precluding new businesses?

MS. BARDEN: I think it's one of the factors, for sure. The -- you know, as you're mentioning, you know, a lot of our commercial properties were former townhouses that were converted into commercial use.

They are not Class A, you know, commercial buildings with 12 foot ceilings and,
you know, 10 foot windows.
They are, you know, they've been converted for commercial use. The Amsterdam Falafelshop is, you know, kind of a perfect example of that.

So, these are not traditional, you know, Class A commercial buildings that are easy to attract retail for sure. We've had a number of terrific retail stores come and go, simply because they outgrew the space, you know.

And, they -- I'm thinking about Violet, the adorable women's clothing store. You know, she outgrew that space and moved to Georgetown. And then, you know, moved to Shaw after that. So, as -- as she continued to grow.

And, you know, Adams Morgan has been a place where a lot of entrepreneurs have chosen historically to start their business. We can go all the way back to ToysRUs.

They got their start in what's now Adams Morgan. And, he was -- it was a hardware store and he started making wooden toys for his children. You know, and then grew that empire.

So, we have a long history of being a terrific neighborhood for entrepreneurs to get
their start. And, to bring a new and interesting concept, which could be a wine bar, you know, with jazz, you know.

But, you know, having that limitation
on what the marketplace can invite, is really difficult, you know. And, I think we could see some really interesting, unique concepts come into Adams Morgan if they didn't have to spend $\$ 75,000$ on a tavern license when one is available, you know.

And, you know, we've seen that that is a hindrance to the creativity that's in the marketplace. And, we think this is a perfect opportunity to open that up and to see what, you know, what entrepreneurs want to do.

So, I think there's some really creative concepts that are out there that we would love to have here to fill, you know, to fill these vacancies.

You know, as we all know, you know, Adams Morgan has suffered, you know, through the pandemic, closures, as has the rest of the city and the rest of the country.

But, you know, this is a unique opportunity, I think, too finally, you know, be
able to attract some really interesting concepts that could help bring this neighborhood back, you know, out of the pandemic.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Well, thank you, Ms. Barden. I appreciate you adding to the record so that the Board has more information about the business opportunities in Adams Morgan.

Being where I live, I'm very familiar with north of Dupont Circle along Connecticut Avenue. And, I think that suffers similar characteristics to 18th Street where Adams Morgan is, in trying to get retail business in, because they do want to be in these neighborhoods, you know.

Adams Morgan has a national, you know, people know it. So, as Dupont Circle, as all the other neighborhoods that are full of townhouses. At the same time, some of these old structures are very limiting.

And, it's a challenge and we appreciate, you know, the BID and Mr. James and his organization and the ANC working together on trying to bring additional types of businesses.

Mr. James, I want to thank you for your testimony today. I've been very impressed
with you for decades.
As I say, I live at 18th and Swann and you've been a real advocate for the residential community. And, you have brought some very good ideas on how to improve and moderate certain things. So, I want to thank you for being so involved these many decades.

I just asked, you know, Ms. Barden that question about the challenges of trying to get other retail. Have you or your organization, and this is not that you should have, but it would help in the record, have you tried to speak with, over these decades, small businesses to try to come in, and finding out why they're not, you know, renting these spaces?

MR. JAMES: Thank you for the kind words. Am I being heard again?

MEMBER GRANDIS: I can hear you.
MR. JAMES: Am I being heard? Oh, no, wait.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, I can't hear you, Mr. James. I don't know what you did.

MEMBER GRANDIS: It's back on.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I can't hear you now. No, I can't hear you, Mr. James.

MEMBER GRANDIS: He's muted himself. MR. JAMES: I found a button and I pushed it. Now can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir. Go ahead.

MR. JAMES: Thank you very much. Sorry about that. I don't know if he heard it, so I'll thank Mr. Grandis for the kind words again.

And, we -- the Kalorama Citizens Association is concerned with many different aspects of residential life in the city.

So, among the things that we've done over time are establish historic districts, which become somewhat of a tourist attraction, but also attract people who care about the history of the neighborhood.

And, for that reason, you know, 18th
Street is in the Washington Heights Historic District. And, if it hadn't been, it wouldn't have the feel that it has now, which attracts people.

They want to go to this groovy looking place, but unfortunately it kind of got lost along the way and became more of a wild
entertainment corridor.
So, if we hadn't done that work for historic preservation, you'd probably see a vastly different street with buildings torn down and different kinds of structures put in their place, because zoning would allow it in lots of places.

Yes, as the President for too many years, I have approached different business owners about moving to Adams Morgan, because I think they would be beneficial. For instance, I know the owner of Pizzeria Paradiso, who runs a very nice set of businesses.

And, she actually lived here in the neighborhood for quite a while. And, she just said it was a little too rough for her.

And, you know, other businesses that were here and then want -- you know, decided to leave because of the difficulties of high rent, or the overall atmosphere not suiting what they want to do.

## Like Toro Mata was a wonderful

Peruvian import store that was forced to leave by a massive rent increase. They didn't want to leave. And, you know, I don't know what went on
precisely with the rental. You know, landlords can do what they want.

Violet, the store that Kristen Barden mentioned a moment ago, they left perhaps for monetary reasons, but, specifically because they were directly above a very loud tavern called Timehri.

And, it's still there. And, it's one of the board members of the BID, you know, its owner is. And, you know, I'm sure he's on that board to protect his interests.

So, it's a very, to use the word Mr. Wood said earlier, it's volatile. But, it's not always just a good mix of different things happening.

It's people wanting to keep it the way it is or expand the way it is because they think they can make more money out of it if we have additional taverns.

And, you know, it's not lost on me that Peter Wood was the ANC's representative to the BID in his prior term. And, now the ANC has a different representative, you know.

So, I've just got to say, you know, the ANC has a different representative this term
to the BID who also voted in favor of, you know, this resolution, which is the ANC resolution very similar to the BID's resolution.

And, it's also filled with
inaccuracies. For instance, Glover Park did not do away with their moratorium. They renewed it.

You know, it just didn't show up in the ABC Code book because you only renew that once per year. And so, they were looking at old information.

And, in the Georgetown situation, the moratorium was allowed to expire. But, not before the City Council at the Citizen's Association of Georgetown and the ANC's request, put in protections into the Code about a limit on the number of taverns and nightclubs.

So, you know, when the result is the same, sure you can move away from moratorium. But, we've never seen that as a viable way forward in Adams Morgan for various reasons.

I would love to see more innovative businesses that could come and live within the restaurant requirements. The easier of those, the $\$ 2,000$ per seat of ABC Board approved occupancy is quite easy to meet. It's not --
it's less than half of the 45 percent food requirement.

So, you know, one could have a wine bar with jazz. I'm not aware of anybody who ever wanted to come here to do that. We certainly have places that have jazz.

And, in fact, one of the taverns that Ms. Barden referred to, is actually a restaurant, Shanklin Hall, it's not a tavern license. So, and it's just a minor point that.

I know I go on a bit. So, I'll pause there. I'd be happy to answer any other questions anybody might have.

And, I think Ted Guthrie was trying to get back on. I don't see his name listed. But, he was having some kind of technical issue, he texted me.

So, thank you again.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: He can log back on, Mr. James if he wants to.

MR. JAMES: Yeah. I encouraged him to do so. And, I contacted your IT guy as well, and tried to connect them.

Hopefully he'll come back.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

That's fine. I think Mr. Grandis, I saw Ms. Barden raise her hand. And then Mr. Wood. I guess they want to respond to the questions that you asked. And, I'm not sure.

MS. BARDEN: I wanted to go back to Mr. Grandis' question about the retail attraction. Because I think it's a really good question. Thank you for asking it. So, you know, Urban Dwell, which is an adorable home goods and gift store, they have women's clothing and jewelry, and all kinds of gift ideas. Some of them are very Adams Morgan centric.

We helped them find that space on Columbia Road when they came in there about seven or eight years ago. So, and they've done very well and survived the pandemic.

And, for those of you who aren't familiar with Urban Dwell, I urge you to check it out, because it's a really cute store.

But, Toro Mata, the Peruvian furniture and hand goods store that Mr. James referred to, they left because the building sold.

So, and that's also the case with the Amsterdam Falafelshop that just recently closed.

They also had to close because the building is for sale. So, those are two examples of, you know, circumstances beyond their control.

And, I do know for certain that a wine bar was very interested in going into a building at 17th and Columbia Road in Jessica White's property.

She was talking to a proprietor for the better part of a year and then gave up because they realized they couldn't get a tavern license. And, there's no kitchen there and there was no space to build a kitchen.

But, the wine bar with, you know, with jazz concept, you know, would have worked really nicely in that space. But, instead, we now have a weed store in that space.

So, you know, landlords yes, they are, you know, they have an incentive to try to get the most money they can from their rent, right?

But, we don't want to have all weed stores. And, you know, and I'm not suggesting that we do. We have quite a few.

But, you know, that the -- you know, it, you know, I don't want to -- I don't want to see like, you know, landlords have to take that
option because they can't get an interesting, unique concept that would require a tavern license simply because there's no kitchen and no possibility of building a kitchen in a given space, right, because these spaces are so unique and so, you know, so different.

So, you know, I just -- I wanted to make that clarification.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr. Wood. And then you can go back, Mr. Grandis. Go ahead Mr. Wood.

You can lower your hand, please.
MR. WOOD: So, thanks. I won't be long. There were unfortunately quite a few inaccurate statements. I don't have time to address them all.

But, I think a few are worth mentioning. One, there's kind of the implication that the BID and the ANC 1 C had some sort of collaboration on creating our resolution because I was the representative at one point. That's completely false.

Even if it was true, it's okay. But, it is not. We're unpaid volunteers. And, the only time we've ever even spoken off of public
meetings with the BID, it was on an issue that we disagreed with, a collection of Commissions. And so, it was completely unrelated to this.

But, more to the point of like relative to our discussion, a few things stand out to me. One, I think much earlier on in this hearing someone stated that the ANC's process was very inadequate in garnishing public input.

I personally found that to be kind of an insulting comment. We went so far beyond what was expected of us for public comment that people commented to me that they were tired of talking about the moratorium zone, because we had done it for so long.

On multiple occasions and multiple meetings, in multiple months, we had comments from people saying that they had voiced their opinions.

That we had, I think established that there was quite a diversity of opinion. And, our job is to try to assess what that is.

I also, independent of that on my own, contacted many people who have been involved with similar discussions in the past, and have had similar views. Many of whom said that they have
supported our resolution.
Some of whom said that they didn't want their name to be made public because the Kalorama Citizens Association had harassed them in the past. So, I respected their request for anonymity.

But, I really think the main point here is, is there was also a couple of things that people moving out of U Street, which I don't know if that is statistically accurate, I just haven't looked at the data.

And then also, that we haven't seen any new applications for tavern licenses. So, that must justify keeping the status quo.

I think Ms. Barden kind of alluded to this, it's really expensive to try to apply for one to be transferred. And, you have to wait for the number to lower.

So, the reason we haven't seen that is because they've been mostly amassed within a small group of actors who have mixed motives. Some have been probably more bad faith than others.

Some have been very good faith. Some of the best constituents I have, and the
neighborhood Commissioners have, have tavern licenses.

And, those are some of the best run businesses in our districts, if not in the neighborhood. And, some of them you wouldn't even know if there was a bar there if someone didn't tell you, because they're so well hidden. But, really it brings, I think, to the deeper point that we discussed pretty exhaustively, which is, I think, everyone wants retail diversity. Everyone wants to have a robust local economy that is ideally locally owned, ideally diverse in terms of who's doing that ownership, ideally has longevity, and ideally does not depend on things like the sales of alcohol, cannabis, and quote/unquote vice economy.

But, we also realize that we don't
live in an ideal world. So, we have to make compromises if we're going to maintain a community and a neighborhood that works well together. Which, I think, collectively we all do agree upon that in this hearing and in my experience as a Commissioner.

But, in order to do that, you know,
sacrifice is required. And, it's hard. I admit. I think this hearing is kind of testament to that.

It's probably not a question that the people that have the most time and energy available to be involved in these discussions, are people who are retired homeowners.

I have to take off time from work just to speak here today. And, most of my fellow Commissioners and constituents couldn't even consider doing that.

So, I'm glad to be able to share these views. But, I do want to make it clear that to the best of my ability, I've really tried to collect information from a wide range of people in the neighborhood.

It's hard as a Commissioner. We don't have a staff. We don't have much of a budget. It's volunteer.

So, really I'm trying. But, I appreciate the chance to be able to kind of add onto that. And, I did put some of that in my written testimony as well, for reference.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Were you done with your questioning, Mr. Grandis?

MEMBER GRANDIS: I just had one last statement. Mr. Wood, I think it's excellent that you referenced one of the big public policies of the district, is to encourage D.C. residents and to encourage small entrepreneurs to have the ability to get a storefront.

And, your neighborhood with the falafel shop, is an outstanding example of having an excellent idea going in. Have no money.

Have a food truck in the --(audio interference.) To have a food truck and to be able to show that product to the residents to be able to have a brick and mortar location.

I just want to reference that Adams Morgan has been an incubator of those types of things. So, I just want to thank you all for taking the time off your record, off your work today to be able to give us your presentations. Thank you. And, thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And, you are welcome, Mr. Grandis. Any other questions by any other Board Members?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I see you
raised your hand. But, I want to bring this hearing to a close Ms. Barden.

But, so I'm -- all right. What I'm going to do is that I'm going to give everyone an opportunity to speak for two minutes to wrap up. And, I will go back and basically I'll, if you need to speak I will. So, what I'll do, is that since there are no other questions by other Board Members, so I'm just going to have everyone just, I'll give you two minutes to wrap up.

Just to let us know what it is that you want the Board to do. And then, we'll move on from there.

So, let me limit on the timer so I can put this back on. So, it's two minutes. I'm giving everyone two minutes, just two minutes, to wrap up.

And, I'm going to go in the same order that I went before if you want to speak. And so, I'll start again with Mr. Wood.

MR. WOOD: Well, thanks. I'll be brief because I sort of already started my closing monolog.

All right. I think that an important
point for us to address here was we've addressed as a Commission and, I think really, as a community, including the groups that disagree with one another as individuals.

Is that Adams Morgan is unique. Adams Morgan is creative. We have a history of a lot of things. Of course, within those things comes conflict.

But, we tend to manage it well. And, in that creativity, I think, is the need to adapt to circumstances. Like I said, the ideal scenario and the realistic scenario aren't necessarily aligned.

So, it's trying to find that middle ground to find what types of adjustments, what types of risks are worth taking.

And, we really went, in my mind, above and beyond as a Commission to try to find a compromise. It was unfortunate that the disagreeing forces were very much opposed to make compromise.

And, I'm glad that I was on a Commission that really took a lot of that into consideration to try to find some sort of position that suggests that some types of modest
changes are worth considering if we're trying to continue having a neighborhood that's worth living in. And, I think it is.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Wood. And, Mr. Wood spoke for less than two minutes. Hint, hint to the rest of the other parties.

Mr. James?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. James, I can't hear you, sir.

MR. JAMES: How about now?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, sir.
MR. JAMES: All right. So, I don't want to trade insults with anybody in this panel. I'll just say that the Kalorama Citizens Association behaves properly in all situations.

We have a long history of dealing with a very diverse group of licensees in as harmonious a way as possible. Meaning, when we go to seek a settlement agreement for instance, we've dealt with every single ABC Licensee, you know, that means 70 that currently exist.

So, once in a while we can't agree, and we go to a protest hearing over some serious
point. But, mostly we can come to terms.
Anyway, the main points that I really want to stress in this last moment or so, are the appropriateness requirements, which are documents which we got to you yesterday. There's about 15 photographs that show the incredibly sloppy dumpsters of many of the ABC establishments.

There's a map that shows the density of $A B C$ licenses on 18th Street. In particular, a cluster of taverns around the upper stretch of 18th Street.

The description of all of the pedestrian safety and parking stressors that go in the neighborhood.

You know, we have these folks who come in and ride their dirt bikes, off road vehicles and so forth. Most of which I understand from the police are illegal.

You know, that comes at two o'clock at night sometimes. And, zooms around the neighborhood blasting stereos as loud as can be, waking up residents. That's because there's a scene here that they want to be part of.

Fifteen, you know, 15 weed shops in the neighborhood. They're not legal. I think
the Board knows that, because it's within your bailiwick now.

You know, they don't have basic business licenses. They don't pay taxes. They're cash businesses. They have armed guards sometimes.

This is not safe for residents. We're experiencing a rash of armed robberies in the neighborhood, particularly around 18th and Columbia.

There's been seven within the last months. I think three with guns. And, some of them, it's not certain whether there was a gun or not, because the person who did it was not apprehended.

And, these occurred between 2:00 a.m. and 4:00 a.m. And, the victims are generally --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Your time is up.

MR. JAMES: Okay. I'll just stop. You know, I'm going to provide the Board with all this information from MPD that I think will help inform its decision.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

Thank you. Ms. Barden?
MS. BARDEN: Sure. So, I'd just like to thank the Board again for holding this hearing. And, if I didn't thank you already, thank you for your kind words about my work here.

It has been quite a while that I've been in this position. And, some days are more challenging than others. But, it is a labor of love for sure.

So, I would just like to reiterate that my Board of Directors would like the Adams Morgan Moratorium Zone to be vacated except for the prohibition on nightclub licenses.

And, as I emphasized in my written remarks, the ANC process, you know, went on for seven months. And, we thought it was very extensive.

And, there were surveys. There were lots of meetings. They got a lot of feedback. And, we thought that they, you know, did an excellent job with that and really took the time to consider lots of viewpoints.

So, and you know, I'd also like to point out, you know, some of the newest businesses that have joined the Adams Morgan
community, Manifest, which is the barbershop on Florida Avenue, that also has a speakeasy.

Hotbed Comedy Club, Baja Tap, they've all been, you know, terrific neighbors. And, those are the types of businesses and entrepreneurs that, you know, we want in Adams Morgan, you know.

And, we think that the community at large could really benefit from more of those types of, you know, innovative, unique concepts. Many of which would need a tavern license simply because they don't have room for a kitchen.

So, or they don't have the ability to build a hood. So, and, you know, I think -- I'd just like to emphasize that this is a unique moment.

And, I think with the Board's support, opening up the tavern licenses will help attract, you know, more unique businesses to the neighborhood and help fill some long term vacancies.

So, you know, and the -- I know D.C. government is very interested in getting businesses back into empty storefronts. And, this is a terrific way to make some significant
drives in that direction.
So, thank you very much for your time today.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, ma'am. Is Mr. Guthrie, is he still available and want to say something?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No? If the other members, if you want to speak, just raise your hand, please and I'll call on you.

If not, I'm going to bring -- close the hearing. I know there was -- if anyone else wants to speak, just raise your hand or make some comment.

Okay. So, go ahead Ms. Delagran.
MS. DELAGRAN: Thank you. I just wanted to summarize by saying that I do not think that the ANC proposal is a modest proposal.

And, I think it's a sad commentary that the only options for revitalization in the neighborhood involve drinking.

I think that the vision of a bookstore with a happy hour or whatever, is a wonderful vision. I fear that that is not the route that this is going to take.

And, that we will have a dozen more or however more, ten more taverns which behave badly. So, I would propose extending or recommend extending the current moratorium.

Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, ma'am. Anyone else want to speak who hasn't spoken already?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. It appears not.

MS. STEWART: I can't get my video to work.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's all right. Ms. Stewart. Go ahead.

MS. STEWART: Yeah. But, I just want to agree with a previous witness that especially if tavern licenses are that expensive, I don't think this is what's going to be attractive.

It's going to be bars, because they can make more money off of liquor than unique businesses, or businesses that serve the needs of residents like me.

It will just add to the noise and the conflict and, you know, possible attraction of
nonresidents who may be rather undesirable. And, will really damage the current attractiveness of our neighborhood, not improve it.

It will just become back to party city with trash and noise and at all hours of the night.

And, I just really oppose the idea that bringing in more taverns is going to improve the diversity of our businesses. I think it will do the opposite.

Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you, that was Jean Stewart. I saw -- I see something in the chat, it says if the moratorium on tavern licenses is lifted, the price would be what ABCA sets.

No, we do not set prices. The market sets the price. The market sets the price for a tavern license.

And, it's my understanding of that. I could be wrong. But, the market sets the price for a tavern license in Adams Morgan based on the availability of license.

So, that's really, it's the availability of licenses that sets -- that sets
the price. The ABCA has no participation in the price of licenses.

We charge a standard price for a tavern license or a nightclub license based on the numbers. But, we -- there's no specific charge for a license in any specific area.

That is -- that's a market source issue. So, I just want to put that out there.

MS. BARDEN: Yeah. That was my point. Yeah, that was my point. If the moratorium on tavern licenses is lifted, it would just be the license fee.

There would no longer be a marketplace for tavern licenses.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right. I just wanted to correct the record for that. Mr. James, you have spoken before.

I mean, I'll give you half a second to speak if you need to say something, sir.

MR. JAMES: Okay. Can you hear me?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
MR. JAMES: Okay. I guess it's a technical question for the Board. Does a tavern re -- is a tavern required to have a kitchen?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: There's no food
requirements for a tavern. It's just -- it is just for restaurants that have to serve food.

So, there are -- and, one of the
things I learned during COVID, there are a significant amount of restaurants up there, what we would consider restaurants that have tavern licenses, because for whatever reason, they choose to have a tavern license though.

And, we had to make, during COVID, we had to make exceptions for certain establishments, because they were truly restaurants.

And, that -- but, they elected for whatever reason, to have a tavern license rather than a restaurant license.

MR. JAMES: Well, the rest -- the definition says food, but it doesn't say what or how much.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes.
MR. JAMES: So, I thought maybe that a kitchen might still be required.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: There is no requirement in the sense that restaurants have to qualify a certain percentage -- a certain percentage that restaurants have to serve in the
combination of food and alcohol, while that doesn't exist for taverns.

MR. JAMES: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. All
right. I -- all right. I want to thank everyone for their active participation today.

The record is -- will remain open until August 4. And the Board will let -- will be issuing a decision trying to -- prior to the expiration of the emergency rules in September.

So, we'll issue a decision on whether or not we will maintain the moratorium and for how -- for the number of years, and what establishment, I think that one is -- if there's no agreement within the parties, the one thing that everyone agrees to, is that they do not want nightclubs in Adams Morgan.

So, if we take nothing from this hearing in the sense of whatever decision, I believe that it's loud and clear from all the opinions that we have received that there is agreement amongst the parties that there should be no nightclubs, which are Nightclub CN Licenses in Adams Morgan.

So, that is loud and clear to the

Board. But, we will look at, we'll take the testimony today into consideration. We will also read all of the public comments that we have received. And, we will make the decision based on the feedback we have received from the community.

So, thank you again for your participation in the hearing today. I believe I do not have to formally end this hearing, because I did that yesterday.

So, thank you very much. Have a great day. I now direct all Board Members to return to -- and so we'll take this matter under --
(Off mic comment.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry
Commissioner Jake J-A-K-E F-A-L-E-S-C-H-I-N-I from ANC 1C07. So, I'm working -- this hearing is over. But, if you want to submit written testimony, you can do that.

You have through August 4. And, it can be sent to ABCA, abca.legal@dc.gov. Okay?

All right. And, that -- all right. So, we will take this matter under advisement. And, I thank you very much.

I now direct all Board Members to
return to Executive Session for further action. Have a great afternoon. Thank you very much. (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 12:15 p.m.)
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## C ER TI FICA TE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Adams Morgan Moratorium

Before: DC ABCA

Date: 07-13-23

Place: teleconference
was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

> Mae $n$ Gars 1. Court Reporter

