DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS BOARD

+ + + + + MEETING

-----=

IN THE MATTER OF: :

:

Astede Corp, t/a Nile Ethiopian Restaurant and Nile

Market :

7815 Georgia Ave NW : Show Cause Retailer CR - ANC 4B : Hearing

License #60432 Case # 22-CMP-00082

:

(No ABC Manager on Duty, : Provided False or : Misleading Information) :

Wednesday
May 3, 2023

The Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board met via WebEx videoconference, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson presiding.

PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson
BOBBY CATO, JR., Member
RAFI ALIYA CROCKETT, Member
EDWARD S. GRANDIS, Member
JENI HANSEN, Member
JAMES SHORT, JR., Member
ALSO PRESENT:
GETU AMDE, Witness
INVESTIGATOR JOVAN MILLER, DC ABCA
KEVIN LUTES, DC OAG
JOSE ORELLANA, DC ABCA Staff
WENDELL ROBINSON, Licensee's Counsel

11:01 a.m.

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The next case
on our calendar is Case No. 22-CMP-00082, Nile
Ethiopian Restaurant and Nile Market, License
#60432. Mr. Orellana, can you please elevate the
government, any witnesses, and also the licensee,
please.

MR. ORELLANA: Wendell Robinson, your access has been elevated. Kevin Lutes, your access has been elevated. Investigator Miller, your access has been elevated.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Robinson, can you mute your phone, please?

MR. ROBINSON: Good morning.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Robinson, it appears there are two people who are online in the same location where you are at. So, one person's line has to be muted. You can also turn your camera on, sir. Mr. Robinson?

MR. ROBINSON: Is that better?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir,

that's better. You are logged on twice, sir.

Either you need to log off one of the equipment,

or you need to mute one of the equipment. Mr.

1	Robinson?
2	(No audible response.)
3	MR. ORELLANA: I have sent him a
4	request to unmute.
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.
6	MR. ROBINSON: Mr. Chair.
7	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Robinson,
8	you're logged on two equipment, sir, and because
9	you're logged on on two equipment it is causing a
10	feedback.
11	MR. ROBINSON: I'm in a closed office.
12	There isn't any other cell phones in here. There
13	aren't any other computers in here.
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But I can't
15	keep turn your camera on, now I can't see you.
16	Now the other log on is gone. I can't see you,
17	but I can hear you now.
18	MR. ROBINSON: I'm not sure what the
19	problem is, Mr. Chairman. Let me just try
20	something else.
21	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You know what
22	it is, Mr. Robinson.
23	MR. ROBINSON: Can you see me now?
24	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I can't see
25	you, but I don't have the feedback, so I'll just

1	move with what we have. So, I can hear you well.
2	I saw you, I recognize your voice, and I also saw
3	you in a previous show cause status.
4	MR. ROBINSON: Can you see me now?
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, I can see
6	you, Mr. Robinson.
7	MR. ROBINSON: Mr. Amde is here also.
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
9	Fine. Mr. Lutes, can you please identify
10	yourself for the record, please?
11	MR. LUTES: Morning, Mr. Chairperson,
12	this is Kevin Lutes for the District.
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning,
14	sir. Mr. Robinson, can you introduce yourself
15	for the record?
16	MR. ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor.
17	Wendell Robinson on behalf of the licensee and
18	also with me is Mr. Getu Amde. Please state your
19	name.
20	MR. AMDE: Getu Amde.
21	MR. ROBINSON: Mr. Chairperson
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, I need him
23	to, I can't hear him, and I need him to spell his
24	name.
25	MR. AMDE: G-E-T-U A-M-D-E, Getu Amde.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: How do you spell your last name, sir? Sir, we have a court reporter. That's one of the reasons why. For the court reporter I ask everyone to spell their name so the court reporter will be able to spell. Go ahead, sir.

MR. AMDE: Yes, sir. A-M-D-E, Amde, my last name.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's your first name again, sir? Tell me your whole name and spell it, sir.

MR. AMDE: Getu Amde, G-E-T-U A-M-D-E.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, sir. Mr. Robinson, I just want to get some clarification because I remember from a status hearing, who do you represent? Do you represent, because it's my understanding that this license, I think, is under, is being transferred, and I think the representation that you made the last time was that you do represent both parties, and you have made it known to them that there was a potential conflict. So, the individual who is with you today, is this person the owner of record or is he the transferee who the license has been transferred to?

MR. ROBINSON: The person that holds the license name is Mr. Retta, R-E-T-T-A, Makonnen, M-A-K-O-N-N-E-N.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you represent --

MR. ROBINSON: I represent Astede and Mr. Makonnen who are the licensee. He had a death in the family and I'm asking that he be excused from today's hearing. He said he could not make it because there was a death in the family. Mr. Amde, I represented him when the property was, there was a by sale agreement, I represented him, I drafted the agreement. both agreed to it, and on January 26, 2022 Mr. Makonnen sold Astede business to Mr. Amde as a seller. However, the transfer of the license was being under the auspices of a person named Albert Ebert, A-L-B-E-R-T, last name E-B-E-R-T. application was returned because of lack of information of which I was then retained to then try to facilitate that. During that time, during the facilitation, the charges were levied against the licensee.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on. So,
Mr. Lute, are there any preliminary matters in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

this case today?

MR. LUTES: Mr. Chairperson, there are, in fact, two preliminary matters. First, apologies, court's indulgence, I forgot to spell out my name for the record. It's Assistant Attorney General Kevin Lutes, K-E-V-I-N L-U-T-E-S. Today I'm also joined by ABCA Investigator Jovan Miller.

As the secondary preliminary matter, the District has not received a witness or exhibit list nor exhibits from Mr. Robinson nor the licensee. At this time, the District would object to Mr. Amde testifying in this hearing because no witness list or exhibits have been sent, no witnesses have been identified, and Mr. Amde is not the licensee of record.

MR. ROBINSON: May I be heard?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir, go ahead.

MR. ROBINSON: My preliminary matter is the matter should be dismissed because pursuant to D.C. Code 25447, at a show cause hearing there must be some allegations against the licensee. With respect to the charges and specifications, particularly charge number two,

there is not one representation that the licensee made, and I quote, you made a false or misleading statement during a regulatory inspection or investigation, end of quote. There wasn't, Mr. Amde who is listed in there as making the false allegation was not an agent, servant, or employee of the licensee, he was the owner. I brought this to Mr. -- attention with respect to charge two --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Robinson, I don't mean to cut you, but, I mean, that is more of a substantive than a preliminary motion. My position, sir, is that the Government has a burden to prove the charge. So, therefore, you can make a motion once the Government has presented its case, and if you want to make oral arguments based on, but let me ask you a question, Mr. Lute raised a preliminary issue, and the preliminary issue is that there is no, you didn't provide a PIF, and I remember clearly I informed both parties at the show cause hearing status at seven days before the hearing, you must exchange documents. The Board, unless reasonable cause, it's shown the Board is in power to prevent the other side from presenting evidence

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and witnesses. Do you plan to call any witnesses today, sir?

MR. ROBINSON: Only in terms of rebuttal, but we did give the Government documents. The documents were sent to Mr. Lutes in a letter dated March 11, 2023, which was a copy of the buy/sell agreement that was signed on January 26, 2022 of which Mr. Amde became the owner of Astede. Mr. Lutes has never objected to that document being valid.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Lutes, what I'm going to do at this juncture, I'm not going to rule on your motion. If Mr. Robinson attempts to call witnesses or offer evidence you can raise your motion and the Board will address it at that time.

So, this is a show cause hearing. The way the process works, the Government goes first, so the Government would have an opportunity to make an opening statement. If the Government has a witness, the Government will call its witness, the witness will testify. Mr. Robinson, you'll have an opportunity to cross examine the Government's witness. Once you have cross examined the Government's witness, the Board will

have an opportunity to ask questions of the witness. Once the Board has asked questions of the witness you, Mr. Robinson, will get another opportunity to ask questions of the witness based on the questions that were raised by the Board, and then Mr. Lutes will have an opportunity to ask redirect of his witness. Once the Government has presented its case, you will have an opportunity to present your case, sir. Then that's the way we process. We will have closing arguments, and then we will move on from there.

Does the Government wish to make an opening statement?

MR. LUTES: Yes, Mr. Chairperson, the Government does wish to make an opening statement.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, sir.

OPENING STATEMENT OF KEVIN LUTES, ESQ.

ON BEHALF OF THE GOVERNMENT

MR. LUTES: Thank you, Mr.

Chairperson. Good morning, Mr. Chairperson and members of the Board. This case is about a licensee serving alcohol without an ABC manager or owner present. When caught in the act by an ABCA investigator the manager on duty

misrepresented that they were the owner of the establishment.

The evidence will show that around 10:30 on September 30, 2022 ABCA investigator Jovan Miller arrived at the establishment over the course of his duties and after entering the establishment Investigator Miller encounter Mr. Getu Amde who identified himself as the owner of the establishment but changed his answer after Investigator Miller confirmed that he was not the owner of record.

Next, you'll hear how Mr. Amde was unable to present Mr. Miller with a valid ABC manager's license and how Investigator Miller observed patrons consuming alcohol during his visit.

Further, you will hear how Mr. Amde knew that he was not the owner because he filed an application for transfer of license which was returned to him as deficient months in advance of the date of the violations.

By this hearing's conclusion the District will have proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Nile Ethiopian Restaurant and Market failed to have an ABC manager on duty

2.2

1	during the hours of sale, service, and
2	consumption of alcohol under D.C. Code 25701 and
3	that Mr. Amde held himself out as the manager for
4	the licensee, that Mr. Amde knew that he was not
5	the owner of the establishment, and that he
6	attempted to mislead Investigator Miller when he
7	stated that he was the owner of license under
8	D.C. Code 25823(a)(5)(c) for which the Board may
9	take proposed action under D.C. Code 25823.
10	Thank you.
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Robinson,
12	do you wish to make an opening statement now or
13	do you defer until later on?
14	MR. ROBINSON: Reserve.
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Lutes, do
16	you have a witness you wish to call?
17	MR. ROBINSON: Yes, Mr. Chairperson,
18	the District requests to call ABCA Investigator
19	Jovan Miller.
20	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Miller, can
21	you raise your right hand, please? Do you swear
22	or affirm to tell the truth and nothing but the
23	truth?
24	MR. MILLER: Yes, sir.
25	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Lutes, your

1	witness.
2	MR. LUTES: Thank you, Mr.
3	Chairperson.
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. LUTES:
6	Q Investigator Miller, can you please
7	state and spell your name for the record?
8	A J-O-V-A-N M-I-L-L-E-R, Jovan Miller.
9	Q Where do you work?
10	A I work for the Alcoholic Beverage and
11	Cannabis Administration.
12	Q What's your position? If I use the
13	acronym ABCA, do you understand that refers to
14	the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis
15	Administration?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	Q What's your position at ABCA?
18	A I'm an investigator.
19	Q How long have you worked as an
20	investigator at ABCA?
21	A Four years.
22	Q What duties does that position entail?
23	A Conducting investigations in, excuse
24	me, sorry about that. Conducting investigations
25	and inspections of ABC licensed establishments

Q How are you involved in investigations? A Excuse me? I couldn't hear you.
A Excuse me? I couldn't hear you.
_
Q How are you involved in conducting
investigations?
A I might not be understanding the
question.
Q Let me rephrase that? What type of
trainings have you received in conducting
investigations?
A Internal training from ABCA.
Q During your investigations, have you
encountered Nile Ethiopian Restaurant and Market?
A Yes, sir.
Q When did you encounter Nile Ethiopian
Restaurant and Market?
A It was Friday, September 30, 2022.
Q What was the result of that encounter?
A A no ABC manager or owner violation.
Q Turning to the events of your
encounter with Nile Ethiopian Restaurant and
Market, can you walk me through how you arrived
Market, can you walk me through how you arrived at the establishment?

1	a service form.
2	Q What happened when you entered the
3	establishment?
4	A I introduced myself as an ABCA
5	investigator and asked to speak with an ABC
6	manager or owner.
7	Q Did you speak to anyone?
8	A I spoke to a female staff member who
9	introduced me to Mr. Getu Amde.
10	Q How did Mr. Getu Amde identify
11	himself?
12	A He identified himself as the owner.
13	Q Is Mr. Amde the owner?
14	MR. ROBINSON: Objection. Calls for
15	speculation.
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Lutes?
17	MR. LUTES: Mr. Chairperson, this is
18	an easily identifiable fact which Investigator
19	Miller will testify that he was able to identify
20	Mr. Amde as not the owner.
21	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to
22	overrule the objection. The witness can answer
23	the question, if he can.
24	MR. MILLER: Can you please repeat?
25	BY MR. LUTES:

1	Q Is Mr. Amde the owner?
2	A No, sir.
3	Q How do you know?
4	A I contacted Supervisor Investigator
5	Mark Bashir. He notified me that Mr. Getu Amde
6	was not the
7	MR. ROBINSON: Objection. That's
8	hearsay on hearsay.
9	MR. LUTES: Mr. Miller is speaking to
10	his actions, and furthermore, hearsay is
11	admissible in this forum.
12	MR. ROBINSON: May I respond to that?
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr.
14	Robinson.
15	MR. ROBINSON: That's double hearsay.
16	The best evidence rule here would require that
17	the Government produce some evidence of that.
18	What we have is Mr. Miller stating he doesn't
19	know, but someone else told him. That's double
20	hearsay, so I object on that basis. The
21	Government should present the best evidence to
22	show exactly why Mr. Amde is not the owner of the
23	property.
24	MR. LUTES: Mr. Chairperson, may I
25	speak?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr. Lutes.

MR. LUTES: Thank you, Mr.

Chairperson. Here opposing counsel is saying that Mr. Miller does not know, Mr. Miller has not said that. Moreover, Mr. Miller is merely testifying to the steps that he took to verify whether Mr. Amde was the owner. He has personal knowledge of the steps that he took.

MR. ROBINSON: Mr. Chairperson, we're not talking about the steps that he took. Mr. Lutes asked him, was Mr. Amde the owner, he said no. Then he asked, how do you know that. He then went and said some supervisor told him that he was not. My objection is that's double hearsay, so the Government has an obligation to present the best evidence, not just hearsay evidence. Then, these hearings, there must be at least a modicum of legally admissible evidence for the Board to make a decision. Of course, on cross examination, I can further illuminate why that's important.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to overrule your objection, and you'll have that opportunity, sir, on cross examination, to do

1 that. 2 MR. ROBINSON: Thank you, sir. 3 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. 4 Sir, I'm going to overrule your objection at this 5 Go ahead, Mr. Miller, if you can answer time. 6 the question. 7 MR. MILLER: I contacted supervisor Mark Bashir who then checked ABRA records to 8 9 verify that Mr. Getu Amde was not the owner of the establishment. 10 11 BY MR. LUTES: 12 And after you verified that Mr. Amde Q 13 was not the owner of the establishment, did you 14 speak with Mr. Amde? 15 Α Yes, sir. Did Mr. Amde change his answer? 16 17 Objection. MR. ROBINSON: That's a leading question. 18 19 MR. LUTES: It's an open ended question that could be answered with yes or no as 20 21 well as other statements. It's a statement that 22 led him to investigate, it is not a leading 23 question. 24 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Lutes,

rephrase your question, please.

1		BY MR. LUTES:
2	Q	After you spoke with Mr. Amde, what
3	did he say?	
4	A	He stated that he was the ABC manager
5	and proceed	ed to hand me a temporary ABC manager
6	license.	
7	Q	Was the temporary ABC manager's
8	license val	id?
9	A	No, sir.
10	Q	Why wasn't' it valid?
11	A	The expiration date was September 16,
12	2022.	
13	Q	When did you visit the establishment?
14	A	September 30, 2022.
15	Q	During your visit to Nile Ethiopian
16	Restaurant	and Market did you observe any patrons
17	drinking al	cohol?
18	A	Yes, sir.
19	Q	Did you observe Nile employees serving
20	patrons alc	ohol?
21	A	Yes, sir.
22	Q	Did you take photos during your visit?
23	A	Yes, sir.
24	Q	Other than Mr. Amde, did anyone else
25	identify th	emselves as an ABC manager or owner?

1	A No, sir.
2	Q So, after you spoke to Mr. Amde what
3	happened next?
4	A I notified him of the ABC manager or
5	owner violation for the establishment and took
6	photographs of patrons drinking alcohol.
7	Q What happened after that?
8	A I exited the establishment.
9	Q After you exited the establishment did
10	you write a report?
11	A Yes, sir.
12	Q Mr. Chairperson, the District would
13	like permission to show what's marked as
14	Government Exhibit A.
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Orellana,
16	can you allow Mr. Lutes to share his screen,
17	please?
18	MR. LUTES: Court's indulgence while
19	I open. Investigator Miller, what are we looking
20	at? I'm showing you what's marked as Government
21	Exhibit A. Please tell me what this document is.
22	MR. MILLER: This is a case report I
23	wrote for Nile Ethiopian Restaurant and Nile
24	Market.
25	BY MR. LUTES:

1	Q Have you had the opportunity to review
2	this document prior to the hearing?
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q Is this document a true and accurate
5	representation of the case report that you wrote?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	MR. LUTES: Mr. Chairperson, the
8	District requests confirmation that Government
9	Exhibit A, the case report is in evidence.
10	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, it is.
11	The agents will have taken notice and it's on
12	record, yes.
13	MR. LUTES: Thank you, Mr.
14	Chairperson.
15	BY MR. LUTES:
16	Q Mr. Miller, on your investigative
17	report who is the owner of licensee that's
18	listed?
19	A Retta Makonnen.
20	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Miller, can
21	you spell the name of that person, please?
22	MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. R-E-T-T-A,
23	last name, M-A-K-O-N-N-E-N.
24	By MR. LUTES:
25	Q Scrolling down, I do want to ask you

1	a question on the first line in the details
2	section. Can you please read the time and date
3	for me?
4	A 10:30 a.m., Friday, September 30,
5	2022.
6	Q When did you visit Nile Ethiopian
7	Restaurant and Market?
8	A 10:30 p.m.
9	Q Is the 10:30 a.m. a typo?
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q Now scrolling down to what's on
12	Government Exhibit A but in the report itself
13	it's marked as Exhibit 1, I'm showing you what's
14	marked as Exhibit 1 of Government Exhibit A. Can
15	you please tell me what this is?
16	A This is a 90 day temporary ABC
17	manager's license.
18	Q Who does it belong to?
19	A Mr. Getu Amde.
20	Q What's the expiration date that's
21	listed?
22	A 9/16/2022.
23	Q Now, I'm going to scroll down to
24	what's marked as Government Exhibit 2 of what's
25	Government Exhibit A, can you tell me what this

1	is?
2	A This is a photograph I had taken at
3	Nile Ethiopian Restaurant and Nile Market of
4	patrons consuming alcohol.
5	Q Is there alcohol present in the photo?
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q Where is it present?
8	A It's present at three locations. To
9	your immediate right you'll see a glass right
10	next to a bottle. If you move further down
11	you'll see a patron with a phone in his hand, and
12	in front of him is a glass. If you move across
13	to the top middle you'll see a patron with a
14	glass right there as well.
15	Q Turning to the next page, it's marked
16	as Exhibit 3. Can you please tell me what this
17	is?
18	A This is Nile Ethiopian Restaurant and
19	Nile Market ABC license.
20	Q What are the hours of sales on this
21	license?
22	A Hours of sales for the date of or all?
23	Q For the date of.
24	A 12:00 p.m. to 2:00 a.m.
25	Q And the date of is Friday?

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q I will stop sharing my screen. During
3	your visit did you observe anyone behind the bar?
4	A I cannot recall.
5	Q After you visited, court's indulgence,
6	at the time of your visit to Nile Ethiopian
7	Restaurant and Market who was the owner of the
8	establishment?
9	MR. ROBINSON: Objection. Asked and
10	answered.
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Lutes?
12	MR. LUTES: I have asked how he
13	confirmed who the owner was, but at this time I
14	have not asked at the time of this visit who was
15	the owner.
16	MR. ROBINSON: Objection. Asked and
17	answered. He asked who was the owner, and he
18	said Mr. Retta Makonnen, and he spelled his name.
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sustained.
20	Let's move on.
21	MR. LUTES: Okay. Investigator
22	Miller, are you aware of any documents submitted
23	to ABRA regarding Nile Ethiopian Restaurant and
24	Market?
25	MR. ROBINSON: Objection. Can we

1	identify what documents he's talking about?
2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: He said, let's,
3	I'm going to overrule the objection because I
4	believe that we're going to get to that point.
5	That's a question he's asking. I'm going to
6	overrule the objection. Let him ask the
7	question, and then you can object to it. Let's
8	see where we're going here.
9	MR. ROBINSON: Thank you, sir.
10	MR. LUTES: Mr. Miller, you can answer
11	the question.
12	MR. MILLER: Yes, sir.
13	BY MR. LUTES:
14	Q What documents were submitted to ABCA?
15	A Transfer of sales documentation was
16	sent to ABCA to our licensing department.
17	Q What was the result of that
18	application for transfer of license?
19	A They needed more documentation, excuse
20	me, Mr. Getu Amde was sent a deficiency letter
21	stating that he needed more documentation for the
22	transfer of sales.
23	Q As an investigator, have you reviewed
24	the deficiency letter that was sent to Getu Amde?
25	A Yes, sir.

MR. LUTES: Mr. Chairperson, the 1 2 District requests permission to show what's 3 marked as Government Exhibit B. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I believe that 4 5 you should be able to continue sharing your If not, Mr. Orellana, please allow him 6 7 to do that. 8 By MR. LUTES: 9 0 Investigator Miller, I'm showing you what's marked as Government Exhibit B. Can you 10 11 please tell me what this is? 12 This is a deficiency letter from our Α 13 licensing department. 14 MR. ROBINSON: Objection. The 15 document was not generated by him. 16 MR. LUTES: Mr. Chairperson, you've 17 heard how he's used this document over the course of his -- how he's used it as an investigator. 18 Additionally, if there's an objection to the 19 20 document the District would be more than happy to 21 provide additional foundation. 22 MR. ROBINSON: There has not been a 23 foundation laid for this document at all by the 24 drafter. This document is used as additional 25 hearsay.

1	MR. LUTES: Mr. Chairperson, this
2	document has been, it will be testified as being
3	stored over the course of regular business and,
4	further, is an admission from Mr. Getu Amde. At
5	this point, it's not hearsay, and the District
6	will continue to provide more foundation if it is
7	needed.
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Where is this
9	letter from?
LO	MR. LUTES: Mr. Miller, would you like
L1	to answer that?
L2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I'm
L3	going to overrule, so go ahead.
L 4	MR. LUTES: Mr. Chairperson, I also
L5	believe I misspoke. This letter is not an
L6	admission from Mr. Amde, rather, it's addressed
L 7	to Mr. Amde. I'd like to clarify that for the
L8	record. Court's indulgence for that
L9	misstatement.
20	Investigator Miller, you stated you're
21	an investigator for ABCA.
22	MR. MILLER: Yes, sir.
23	By MR. LUTES:
24	Q And this document was generated by
25	ABCA?

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q And it was generated over the course
3	of regular business of ABCA?
4	MR. ROBINSON: Objection. Leading.
5	MR. LUTES: I'll rephrase. Was it
6	generated over the regular course of business for
7	ABCA?
8	MR. MILLER: Yes, sir.
9	BY MR. LUTES:
10	Q As an agent of ABCA, you have reviewed
11	this document?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	MR. LUTES: Mr. Chairperson, the
14	District requests that Government Exhibit B be
15	admitted into evidence.
16	MR. ROBINSON: I object, Mr. Chairman.
17	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's the
18	nature of your objection, sir?
19	MR. ROBINSON: As you look at that
20	document, your honor, it has Dear Licensee, and
21	it has Astede, Inc. trading as, the licensee is
22	not Astede, Astede is seeking to transfer, but
23	this letter states that your license is not going
24	to be completed because, the transfer is not
25	complete because you write, additional documents.

The licensee is Astede. Mr. Amde is not the 1 2 licensee, not yet. Therefore, I object to this 3 document being admitted because it is an 4 incorrect statement of the facts. 5 MR. LUTES: Mr. Chairperson, the opposing counsel's argument are to the content of 6 7 the letter and not exactly to whether it can be admissible in front of the Board. 8 9 MR. ROBINSON: May I please? document that's been admitted must speak for 10 11 itself. This document is speaking incorrectly 12 and should not be admitted. 13 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Robinson, 14 isn't this part of the agency's records, sir? 15 MR. ROBINSON: It is part of the 16 agency records as I believe, but it's an 17 incorrect statement of the facts. Counsel is seeking to admit it on the basis that Astede is 18 19 the licensee. It is not the licensee, Mr. 20 Chairperson, it is the company that's seeking to 21 have the license of Astede transferred to it. 22 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who is Getu 23 Amde? 24 MR. ROBINSON: Mr. Getu Amde is the

person that's sitting here with me now, Mr.

Chairperson.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LUTES: Mr. Chairperson, I believe the statements Mr. Robinson is making are statements that are more fitted to cross examination. More importantly, Mr. Robinson's objections are to whether this document is admissible. Mr. Robinson is arguing that this document is admissible due to its content and not whether it is admissible. Moreover, the District is more than happy to speak more regarding the content of the document. Mr. Robinson's objections go not towards admissibility but rather challenge the weight of the evidence. Ιt is also admissible, as a matter of fact, because it is part of the agency's record.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to overrule the objection and allow the document since it is part of the agency's records. And you can cross examine the witness, Mr. Robinson, on the contents if you believe that there is some incorrect statement within the document, sir. All right. Let's move on.

MR. LUTES: Thank you, Mr. Chairperson. Confirming that Exhibit B is admitted.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 1 Yes, sir, 2 that's part of the agents of the record, yes. 3 MR. LUTES: Thank you, Mr. 4 Chairperson. Investigator Miller, what's the 5 date on this document? MR. MILLER: April 3, 2022. 6 7 BY MR. LUTES: Who was it addressed to? 8 Q 9 Α Mr. Getu Amde. What is the address that's listed on 10 0 11 the document? 12 7815 Georgia Avenue NW. Α What establishment is located at that 13 Q 14 address? 15 Nile Ethiopian and Nile Market. Α 16 Turning to the letter itself, it says, 17 you mentioned this is a deficiency letter, what is a deficiency letter? 18 19 Α This is a document from our licensing 20 department to either an establishment or someone 21 who, a patron, who is trying to obtain some type 22 of application or license or something like that 23 from ABCA, and when all documents are not 24 submitted, this letter is sent out to those 25 applicants.

1	Q Who was this deficiency letter mailed
2	to?
3	A Mr. Getu Amde.
4	Q If a deficiency letter is mailed, does
5	a transfer of license take place?
6	A No, sir.
7	Q Can you please read to me what
8	documents Mr. Amde had to submit for the transfer
9	to take place?
10	A For the documentation needed, amend
11	front page of the application, sidewalk caf,
12	seats in summer garden, amend business
13	information from questions 12 through 17,
14	transfer consent form, certificate of good
15	standing, certificate of incorporation, articles
16	of incorporation, minutes of the Board of
17	Directors, personal history affidavit, personal
18	information release authorization, purchase and
19	sale agreement, certificate of registration,
20	government ID.
21	Q In the need before license approval
22	section, please tell me what documents are
23	needed.
24	A Police clearance, Baylor affidavit.
25	Q Turning to the top of the document. Is

1 this document a form letter or it comes from a 2 standard template? 3 Α I am unsure. 4 Is Mr. Amde the applicant? Q 5 Yes, sir. Α Is Mr. Amde the licensee? 6 Q 7 Yes, sir. Α Is he the licensee of Nile Ethiopian 8 0 9 Restaurant and Market? For clarification, during his 10 Α application the license specialist created 11 12 another ABRA license for Nile Ethiopian for the 13 transfer, but it did not get completed. 14 spoke on Dear Licensee, they put that under the 15 new license, not the license of Mr. Retta 16 Makonnen. 17 So, at this time Mr. Amde was not the licensee of Nile Ethiopian Restaurant and Market, 18 19 or did not hold the license of Nile Ethiopian 20 Restaurant and Market? 21 Α Correct. 22 I'm going to stop sharing my screen. 23 Investigator Miller, turning back to your visit 24 at Nile Ethiopian Restaurant and Market, does an

ABRA license holder need to have an ABC manager's

1	license?
2	A No, sir.
3	Q Would an ABC manager need a valid ABC
4	manager's license?
5	A Yes, sir.
6	Q Do you believe Mr. Amde was trying to
7	mislead you by stating he was the owner?
8	MR. ROBINSON: Objection. Calls for
9	speculation.
10	MR. LUTES: Mr. Chairperson, I'm
11	asking Mr. Miller to testify to his own thoughts
12	and beliefs. This is not speculation.
13	MR. ROBINSON: Asking what someone
14	else believes is speculation as to what that
15	person believes.
16	MR. LUTES: Mr. Chairperson, this is
17	not hearsay. Moreover, this is not speculation
18	because he knows what he believes.
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to
20	sustain the objection.
21	By MR. LUTES:
22	Q Mr. Miller, if Mr. Amde was not the
23	owner would he need a valid ABC manager's license
24	to manage the establishment?
25	A Yes, sir.

1	MR. LUTES: No further questions, Mr.
2	Chairman.
3	MR. ROBINSON: May I proceed, sir?
4	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure, Mr.
5	Robinson.
6	CROSS EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. ROBINSON:
8	Q Mr. Miller, in your statement of
9	charges that you drafted I noticed in there you
10	cited particular sections of the D.C. Code. Did
11	you put those code sections in or did someone
12	else put them in?
13	MR. LUTES: Objection. Misstatement.
14	The charges that were actually drafted by me, if
15	you're referring to the investigative report,
16	that is separate.
17	MR. ROBINSON: Well, I'm referring to
18	the one that you put up there, and he said that
19	he prepared it. I'm asking him, the portions in
20	there that had citations to the D.C. Code if he
21	inserted them or did someone else do it?
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What document
23	are you asking about Mr. Robinson?
24	MR. ROBINSON: It was the very first
25	document, the statement of his charges that he

1	drafted after he went to the Nile restaurant.
2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: There are only
3	two documents.
4	MR. ROBINSON: That's correct.
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on. The
6	first document was the case report, and the
7	second document, I believe, was the document
8	dated in April that was addressed to Mr. Amde.
9	So, why don't you refer, we have Exhibit 1 and
10	Exhibit 2, for your records sir, just refer
11	specifically to the documents, which I believe is
12	Exhibit 2.
13	MR. ROBINSON: Thank you. Exhibit 1,
14	Mr. Miller, how quickly after the investigation
15	did you prepare that document?
16	MR. MILLER: I do not recall.
17	By MR. ROBINSON:
18	Q Well, when you prepared that document,
19	did you do it yourself or did you have some
20	assistance to prepare it?
21	MR. LUTES: Objection. Calls for
22	violating privilege because legal advice could've
23	been sought when drafting the recommended
24	charges.
25	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: He's talking

about Exhibit 1, which is a case report. 1 2 MR. ROBINSON: Yes, Your Honor. 3 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, the case 4 report is a document that, I believe, Mr. Miller 5 testified that he drafted. So, I'm going to overrule the objection so he can respond to the 6 7 case report, not the charging documents. Exhibit 8 1 is a case report, right? 9 MR. ROBINSON: That's correct. So, I'm 10 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 11 overruling the objection so he can respond to 12 Exhibit 1, which is the case report. 13 MR. LUTES: Understood, Mr. 14 Chairperson. 15 MR. MILLER: Will you please ask the 16 question again? 17 BY MR. ROBINSON: 18 Yes. How soon after the investigation 0 19 at the Nile restaurant did you prepare Exhibit 1? 20 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's not the 21 question. That was asked and answered. That was 22 not the question. You asked that question, he 23 answered, he said he was unsure. We moved on to, 24 I believe, Exhibit 1. I think the question you

asked him was did he receive legal advice.

MR. ROBINSON: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, that's the question that we're talking about now.

MR. ROBINSON: I apologize. When you prepared the document that had in it, Exhibit 1, that had references to the D.C. Code, did you do it yourself or did you have some assistance in preparing that by identifying the D.C. Code sections?

MR. LUTES: Objection. Opposing counsel cannot ask about consultation of legal advice on either the case reports or product and who assisted or consulted.

MR. ROBINSON: Mr. Chairman, the document speaks for itself, and he stated he drafted it. I'm just trying to find out if everything in there was a result of his ingenuity and ability or did he get assistance from someone else. I didn't say who, I just asked if he did.

MR. LUTES: Mr. Chairperson, the document does not have to speak for itself; however, you've heard enough from Investigator Miller for the document to be admitted. Further, again, opposing counsel is requesting information that is a result of work product and consultation

of legal advice, and that is also irrelevant. 1 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. 2 I'm 3 overruling the objection. If he can answer the 4 question, he can answer the question, if not, 5 let's move on. I'm not quite sure where we are going here, but he can answer the question he 6 7 can, if he can't let's move on. Are you able to 8 answer the question? 9 The report was drafted by MR. MILLER: 10 me and me alone. 11 MR. ROBINSON: Thank you. Now, with 12 respect to when you were at the business and Mr. 13 Amde, you said, told you that he was the owner, 14 you called the person that is Mr. Mark Bashir, is 15 that the correct name? 16 MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. 17 BY MR. ROBINSON: 18 And you said that he checked the Q records and found out that he was not the owner. 19 20 Did you ever go back to Mr. Bashir to find out 21 what records he checked to substantiate that? 22 I did. Α 23 What was the basis of that, that he Q 24 said Mr. Amde was not the owner? What record? The ABC license record. 25 Α

1	Q I see. So, you look at the ABC
2	license record to determine if the person owns
3	the property, not whether they're on the license,
4	is that correct?
5	A Excuse me. Say that one more time.
6	Q Yes, sir. So, the ABC record shows
7	that the licensee, which was Astede, had not been
8	transferred to Mr. Amde, is that correct?
9	A Correct.
10	Q Did you have any records there that
11	shows that the establishment was transferred by
12	Astede to Mr. Amde?
13	A No, sir.
14	Q Did you ever, you said that with
15	respect to the letter showing deficiencies there
16	was not a buy-sell agreement. Do you recall
17	that?
18	A I do.
19	Q Did Mr. Lutes or anyone else in the
20	ABC Board or anybody in the agency give you, tell
21	you that there was a buy-sell agreement
22	MR. LUTES: Objection. Calls for
23	privileged client, because he's requesting
24	communications between me and Mr. Miller.

MR. ROBINSON: Can I finish it? My

	question is, did anyone tell you in the agency
2	that there was a buy-sell agreement that was
3	executed on January 26, 2022 transferring
4	ownership of the business to Mr. Amde. Did
5	anyone ever tell you that?
6	MR. LUTES: Objection. Privilege.
7	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I all right.
8	I'm overruling the objection. I'm unsure why
9	this is even relevant to where we are today.
10	MR. ROBINSON: Whenever you found out
11	that Mr. Amde's manager's license had expired on
12	the 16th, at that particular point, your argument
13	is representation and proceedings was they did
14	not have a manager with a proper license on duty
15	at the time the liquor was being consumed, is
16	that correct?
17	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Miller,
18	there's a question.
19	MR. MILLER: I didn't know if you said
20	I could answer or not.
21	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's a
22	different question that's been asked.
23	MR. MILLER: Okay. Can you ask the
24	question again, please?
25	MR. ROBINSON: Yes, sir. After you

1 noticed that Mr. Amde's managers license had 2 expired on the 16th of September, at that 3 particular point it was your understanding that there was not a valid manager's license there 4 5 during the time alcohol was being sold. Is that 6 correct? 7 MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. 8 BY MR. ROBINSON: 9 0 And that would be a violation of the licensee, is that correct? 10 11 Α Correct. 12 And the licensee would be Astede Q 13 Corporation, is that correct? 14 Α Excuse me, I have to, yes. 15 So, the issue then as you Okay. 16 presented it was there wasn't a manager with a 17 proper license, and the owner of the 18 establishment were not present when alcohol was 19 being consumed, is that correct? 20 Α Correct. 21 Okay. Now, with respect to the false 22 statement, at the time the false statement was 23 made, from your investigation, was that statement 24 made by either an agent, servant, an employee or

owner of the licensee Astede?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Who was that person?
3	A Mr. Getu Amde.
4	Q If he wasn't, if he had an invalid
5	manager's license he couldn't be speaking for the
6	licensee, could he?
7	A I cannot speak to that.
8	Q Let me just ask you this, then. If
9	the license was expired and alcohol is being sold
10	there, that's a violation of the licensee, isn't
11	that correct?
12	A Correct.
13	Q And that means that there isn't a
14	proper license representative of the licensee on
15	present at the facility, is that correct?
16	A Correct.
17	Q Okay. Now, with respect to Mr. Amde's
18	statement to you, if he's not a proper manager
19	with a proper license, the false statement
20	couldn't be made by the licensee, could it?
21	A A false statement can be made by
22	anyone who makes a false statement.
23	Q I agree with that. My question to
24	you, sir, is if Mr. Amde's manager's license had
25	expired 14 days before your investigation, his

1	statement could not be the statement of the
2	licensee, is that correct?
3	MR. LUTES: Objection. Asked and
4	answered.
5	MR. ROBINSON: He hasn't answered it
6	yet.
7	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to
8	overrule the objection. You can answer the
9	question.
10	MR. LUTES: Also, hypothetical and
11	calls for speculation.
12	MR. ROBINSON: It's a direct question
13	that he's already answered, Mr. Chairman.
14	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm overruling
15	the objection. If he can answer the question,
16	let him answer the question, if not, move on.
17	I'm not quite sure where we're going here. But
18	are you able to answer the question, sir?
19	MR. MILLER: And employee or any staff
20	member of the establishment who identified
21	himself as an owner or ABC manager or as an owner
22	can be considered making a false statement.
23	BY MR. ROBINSON:
24	Q Is that something you knew about
25	before you went in there, or was that something

someone told you after the fact? 1 2 Α Which part? 3 Q Well, when you said anyone in there 4 can be considered making a false statement. 5 I said any staff member or member of Α the establishment. 6 7 Okay. But at this particular time you 8 testified, did you not, that Mr. Amde's expired 9 license did not make him a member of the licensee, is that correct? 10 11 Did not make him a member? Α What do 12 you mean by member? 13 0 If he didn't have a valid license, the 14 licensee did not have anyone there speaking on 15 its behalf, did it? Objection. 16 MR. LUTES: Asked and 17 answered. Mr. Chairperson, you've heard how, 18 from -- from Investigator Miller that a staff 19 member or someone working there can make a false statement on behalf of the licensee. 20 21 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. 22 going to sustain the objection. Maybe I'm not 23 following along. The charge in this case is no 24 ABC manager on duty, provides false or misleading 25 statements. I started off this hearing with Mr.

Robinson, I'd ask who is it that you represent. So, as far as I'm concerned or the agency is concerned this charge is brought against the licensee of record for Nile Ethiopian Restaurant and Nile Market. That's the person who this case I remember at the show cause hearing is against. status there were objections raised about who is it that you represented, and that's one of the reasons I asked that at the beginning of the hearing who did you represent. Do you represent the current owner of record? Do you represent the individual who's attempting for this license to be transferred to? And you stated to me that you represent both entity. I'm having some concerns here because I don't understand why the -- and I think you stated that the owner of record has had a death in the family so that person is not here. So, I'm having a difficult time following what's going on because it's --MR. LUTES: Mr. Chairperson, I apologize for the interruption, but I can lend some light to this situation. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead.

MR. LUTES: Mr. Chairperson, on April 5, the email I asked Mr. Robinson, in regards to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

this case, if he represents Retta Makonnen in any capacity, and on April 5 Mr. Robinson stated that he does, he represents both.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm aware of that because that was the representation that was made at the status hearing. So, I'm fine with that.

MR. ROBINSON: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir.

MR. ROBINSON: I think I might be able to clarify. The statement of charges that Mr. Lutes raises, with respect to number 2, and I quote, You made a false or misleading statement during the investigation or inspection. That would have to be made against the licensee, which is Astede or Mr. Makonnen who is not here because of death in the family. The statement that they said was made by Mr. Getu Amde who, at the time of the investigation and the time of the statement was not a licensed manager for the licensee and, therefore, could not be speaking for the licensee. Mr. Miller said anyone can make a statement that is false or otherwise on behalf of the licensee. But you would then have to know whether the person was speaking for the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

licensee within the scope of their employment.

Mr. Amde was not a member of the licensee at that time, he was a person who had bought the business and owned the establishment, and that's the point I was trying to make.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. in following along, the investigator informed the body, he went to the entity, he asked for, because he had to deliver a service form, so he asked for, let me speak to an ABC manager or That's what the investigator testified to, and that's what the report said, that he went to the entity, and he spoke to an individual and then someone represented to him that, I was the owner, at least that's what I heard, that's just what I'm following. He then testified that the records were checked, and the person who identified as the owner was not the owner of record as per the ABRA license. So, the charge is against the establishment. I'm having some difficulty following this line of questioning. I think where we are -- I'm having some difficulty following this line of cross examination, I will say that.

MR. ROBINSON: Can I just proceed,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

then, Your Honor?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You can go ahead because the concern that I have is that we do not have, as far as ABRA's records are concerned, the licensee of record here today. We have a non-party because Mr. Amde is not a party to this litigation.

MR. ROBINSON: He's the party being charged with making the false statement. They said he made the false statement. They said he made the false statement, not the licensee.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But this case has not been brought against Mr. Amde. This case is brought against the licensee for the establishment.

MR. ROBINSON: I understand, but the point, and my point is that there has not been an allegation against the licensee that one of its agents, servants, and employees made a false statement. Mr. Amde, as the record will show, was not part of the licensee but a person seeking the transfer. They have to establish, and they have not established, that Mr. Amde was working within the scope of his employment as an agent or servant of the licensee at the time the alleged

false statement was made.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: This is what I want you to do, Mr. Robinson. I need you to refer to the transferor and the transferee. So, Mr. Makonnen is the transferor, he's the person who currently had the license, and he's attempting to transfer the license to Mr. Amde. To clarify the record I need you to reference the parties using that terminology.

MR. ROBINSON: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. So, should I continue to cross or do
you want me to answer that?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, sir, you can continue with cross, but as I stated before, it's --

MR. ROBINSON: I understand your point.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: It's not a contract case. This is a case about the investigator went to an establishment and asked what he thought was a simple question as all investigators when they go to an establishment to deliver a service form, they ask for the owner or the ABC manager because, by law, these are the two parties that can legally bind a licensee to

any violation or potential violation, or these 1 2 are the only people they can serve documents on 3 behalf of the agency. As long as we have that 4 clear, let's move on. 5 MR. ROBINSON: Thank you. Mr. Miller, whenever you went to Mr. Mark Bashir, how soon 6 7 after you prepared your report did you meet with him about the records that he reviewed to 8 9 determine whether or not Mr. Amde was the owner of the establishment? 10 11 I do not recall. MR. MILLER: 12 BY MR. ROBINSON: 13 Do you recall that you did meet with O 14 him to go over those records? 15 Α Yes, sir. 16 Whenever you spoke with him, did he 17 ever bring to your attention that there was a 18 buy-sell agreement that was submitted in Mr. 19 Amde's transfer application? 20 MR. LUTES: Objection, asked and 21 answered. 22 MR. ROBINSON: Not on cross. 23 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I'm 24 going to sustain because that is not relevant. 25 don't want to hear anything about whether or not

there was a transfer application in place. 1 That's not relevant because whether or not a 2 3 transfer application is in place, where we are 4 today there's only one owner of record for this 5 establishment, and that's Mr. Makonnen, M-A-K-O-N-N-E-N. It's my understanding, as of 6 7 today, this is the person who owns the license. 8 So, whether or not there was an application in 9 place, that's not relevant. 10 MR. ROBINSON: I agree. 11 Chairperson, let me just get a point of 12 clarification here. It's my understanding that the transferor is the license holder for the Nile 13 14 kitchen. The owner of the establishment is 15 different than the licensee. Is that your 16 understanding? 17 Mr. Chairperson, I believe MR. LUTES: 18 this is an argument that should be best reserved 19 for closing arguments and not cross examination. 20 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr., yes. 21 I'm going to sustain the objection. Let's move 22 on. BY MR. ROBINSON: 23 24 Mr. Miller, did anyone ever tell you Q

that Mr. Lutes had a copy of a buy-sell agreement

transferring the owner of the establishment from 1 2 Mr. Makonnen to Mr. Amde as of January 26, 2022? 3 MR. LUTES: Objection. Work product as well as attorney/client privilege. 4 He cannot 5 be required to testify about my work product. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You said Mr. 6 7 So, I'm going to sustain the objection. 8 Mr. Lutes is the attorney. Maybe you misspoke, 9 but you said Mr. Lutes. 10 MR. ROBINSON: Well, let me just 11 rephrase it. Did anyone ever tell you that there 12 was a buy-sell agreement that was submitted with 13 Mr. Amde's, the transferee's request for a 14 transfer of the liquor license in a buy-sell 15 agreement that was executed on January 26, 2022? 16 MR. LUTES: Objection. Speculation. 17 Mr. Robinson has identified no entity, has 18 identified no person, and this is a mere fishing 19 trip. 20 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I'm 21 sustaining the objection because I think we're 22 moving away from the issue here. Mr. Robinson --23 MR. ROBINSON: I'll move on to the 24 next point then. 25 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

BY MR. ROBINSON:

Q The next point is that, Mr. Miller, when you went down with Mr. Bashir, did you ask if there -- I'll rephrase that. Whenever you testified about the letter the deficiency letter that went there, did you inquire about whether or not there was a buy-sell agreement that was in the records down at ABRA.

MR. LUTES: Objection. Asked and answered.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sustained. Let's move on.

BY MR. ROBINSON:

Q Did you have any conversations with Mr. Lutes about the deficiency letter --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Robinson,
Mr. Lutes is the attorney. You cannot ask the
witness about, maybe your mistaken, but Mr. Lutes
is a Government attorney, and as an attorney you
know that you cannot ask --

BY MR. ROBINSON:

Q Mr. Miller, did anyone ever tell you whether it be anyone in the agency other than Mr. Lutes that Mr. Lutes had a buy-sell agreement that was sent to him in March --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on a minute. Maybe I'm confused but I thought Mr. Lutes is the Government attorney who's prosecuting this case.

MR. ROBINSON: I'm not asking him to produce anything, I'm just asking him if anyone told him, other than Mr. Lutes, that Mr. Lutes had a buy-sell agreement.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You keep on asking about Mr. Lutes having a -- whether or not there is a buy-sell. Let me say this again, Mr. Robinson. It's not probative for me about whether or not there's a buy-sell agreement. Ι don't care about that. I don't want to hear about anything. That is not helping me to make a, just as one Board member, that's not helping me to make a decision. Until this agency issues a license in the name of the transferee, that person has no license, that person is not a party to this matter, at least in this case at hand. So, I don't understand why you keep on asking about whether or not there was some buy-sell agreement because at least in this position I've had several presentations by individuals who have sold their business, sold the license, but they

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

are not the owner until this agency has issued them a license in their name.

MR. ROBINSON: I'm not arguing that, Mr. Chairperson, and I apologize if my presentation of the arguments are inartful; however, I'm trying to make a distinction between a person who owns a business but does not own the license to conduct the sale of alcohol or anything else by that business. The statute that we're working under here that was cited states that you must have one of two things on a facility at the time alcohol is being sold, one a manager with a valid license, we don't have that Or the statute says the owner of the here. establishment, not the license. My distinction is Mr. Amde, based on a buy-sell agreement, was the owner of the establishment. This manager's license was expired so he couldn't be the licensee, so you had to go to what the statute says is the second alternative, which is the owner of the establishment. When he said he is the owner of the establishment he's qualified for Then, as a response to the false that. accusations, the point that I'm trying to make is, is that it wasn't a false accusation because

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

it was made by Mr. Amde and not the entity. 1 I 2 don't have any further questions, Your Honor. 3 MR. LUTES: Mr. Chairperson, I'm going 4 to object to those statements because, one, 5 because it's testimonial, two, it's outside of the scope of direct, three, it's better reserved 6 7 for closing arguments, four, there is D.C. law 8 that is contrary to what Mr. Robinson has stated, 9 and I will present that during my closing 10 argument. 11 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's fine. 12 Thank you, sir. Any questions by any Board 13 members? Go ahead, Mr. Grandis. MEMBER GRANDIS: And let me make sure 14 15 I'm getting this correct. The witness is the 16 investigator? 17 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The witness, 18 there is only one witness here, sir, and that's 19 the investigator, Mr. Miller. 20 MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you. Mr. 21 Miller. 22 MR. MILLER: Good afternoon. 23 MEMBER GRANDIS: I was going to say I 24 think it's still morning, but you're right, good 25 afternoon. In your training, when you are

assigned to work a certain shift and you go into 1 2 an establishment who are you required to 3 determine is the appropriate person to meet with if there are concerns of the investigator? 4 5 MR. MILLER: Either the owner of the license or an ABC manager. 6 7 Are you trained that MEMBER GRANDIS: 8 you're supposed to request other documents 9 regarding other types of people who may or may 10 not be in there, or are you limited to speak with 11 either a licensed manager who's manager's license 12 hasn't expired, or the owner of the license as 13 recorded at ABRA, I'm sorry, under the new name, 14 Alcoholic Beverage Cannabis Administration. 15 MR. MILLER: You converse with either 16 the owner of the license or an ABC manager. 17 MEMBER GRANDIS: Are you required to 18 go behind whatever you're told by whoever makes 19 such representation? 20 No, sir. MR. MILLER: 21 MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you very much. 22 Mr. Chairman, thank you. 23 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Board members? 24 All right, hearing none, Mr. Robinson, do you 25 have any followup based on the questions that

1	were asked by Mr. Grandis?
2	MR. ROBINSON: No, I do not.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Lutes, any
4	redirect?
5	MR. LUTES: Yes, Mr. Chairperson,
6	additional questions, and a brief redirect.
7	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
8	REDIRECT
9	BY MR. LUTES:
LO	Q Investigator Miller, does the licensee
L1	own the license to serve and sell alcoholic
L 2	beverages?
L3	A Could you ask that question again?
L 4	Q I'll come back to that. You testified
L5	that you entered the establishment and you spoke
L6	to Mr. Amde.
L 7	A Correct.
L8	Q Did Mr. Amde hold himself out as the
L9	owner of the establishment?
20	MR. ROBINSON: Objection. Calls for
21	hearsay and speculation.
22	MR. LUTES: It's an admission on the
23	part of the opposing party because Mr. Amde is
24	acting as an agent of Mr. Makonnen.
25	MR. ROBINSON: Objection. This is not

1 a party opponent, there is only the licensee, not 2 Mr. Amde. 3 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I am overruling 4 the objection. Let the witness answer the 5 question if he can. BY MR. LUTES: 6 7 Let me rephrase that. Was Mr. Amde holding himself out as the owner of the 8 establishment? 9 10 Α Correct. 11 Did Mr. Amde change his answer after 12 you spoke with Mr. Bashir? MR. ROBINSON: Objection. Asked and 13 answered in direct. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I will sustain. 16 Let's move on. That's correct, you did ask that 17 question, and he answered on the direct. I do have a question. 18 MR. LUTES: May 19 the agents of a licensee bind the licensee by 20 statements that they make? 21 MR. ROBINSON: Objection. That was 22 beyond the scope of cross. I didn't ask that 23 question. 24 That was very much touched MR. LUTES: 25 on within cross because a lot of Mr. Robinson's

1	own statements regarded whether Mr. Amde could
2	bind the licensee.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm overruling
4	the objection. Let the witness answer the
5	question if he can.
6	MR. MILLER: Please repeat the
7	question.
8	MR. LUTES: Can the statements of an
9	agent of the licensee bind the licensee?
10	MR. MILLER: Yes, sir.
11	MR. LUTES: No further questions, Mr.
12	Chairperson.
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.
14	Does the Government have another witness?
15	MR. LUTES: The Government does not
16	have another witness. The Government rests its
17	case in chief.
18	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
19	Thank you. Mr. Robinson, do you have an opening
20	statement?
21	MR. ROBINSON: No, I waive my opening,
22	and I would like to go to request a directed
22 23	and I would like to go to request a directed verdict or verdict based on the evidence

District opposed the directed verdict because the 1 2 District has presented substantial, if not 3 conclusive, evidence to support the two charges that are listed. 4 MR. ROBINSON: I haven't made the 5 presentation, so how can you make that statement 6 7 until you've heard my arguments? CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 8 The Board will 9 take your motion under consideration, sir. 10 we will take your motion under consideration. 11 We're not going to make a ruling at this 12 juncture, but we'll take it under consideration. 13 Do you plan to present a case, sir? 14 MR. ROBINSON: No, we do not. 15 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Fine. 16 MR. ROBINSON: I'd like to, therefore, 17 make my argument because the Government has 18 rested, and that motion would have to be made 19 before closing. 20 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, the 21 Government has rested, you've made your motion, 22 so, therefore, do you rest? 23 MR. ROBINSON: I do. 24 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, the 25 Government would have an opportunity to make a

closing statement. 1 Yes, sir? 2 MR. ROBINSON: Mr. Chairperson, what 3 I would like to do is, I think I'd wait, if I 4 don't make this motion now it's waived, and I 5 can't --CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And you made 6 7 your motion, sir. 8 MR. ROBINSON: But I haven't made the 9 arguments that support the argument for my 10 motion. That's what I'm saying. 11 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Robinson, 12 you asked for a directed verdict, if you want to 13 make arguments to support why you want a directed 14 verdict, then you can make those arguments. 15 MR. ROBINSON: That's what I want to 16 do. 17 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Fine, so you 18 can make the arguments why you believe that the 19 Board should issue a directed verdict in your 20 favor. 21 Thank you. MR. ROBINSON: 22 Chairperson and Board members, the District of 23 Colombia Alcohol Beverage Control Board through 24 its attorneys have made two charges. One is

that, quote, the licensee failed to have the

establishment owner or approved ABC manager
present during the hours of selling, service, and
consumption of alcohol, end of quote. With
respect to the charges, Mr. Grandis made the
request about whether or not the investigator
needed to go behind and determine anything else.
The regulation that was quoted, and that is, the
DC Code Section Chapter 7, Standards of
Operation, sub-chapter 1, title 25.701, Board
Approved Manager Required. It reads as follows,
except as provided in paragraph two of the
subsection of an establishment's owner or Board
approved manager, shall be present on the
premises at all times during the establishment's
hours of sale, service, and consumption of
alcohol, end of quote. It's a two-prong task to
show that there was not a licensed manager that
was present. We know that his license had
expired. But what we don't have is, and what Mr.
Lutes just stated was that Mr. Amde was acting as
the owner of the establishment. With that
argument, that is indeed a concession that the
section that I have just quoted stated that even
though his license had expired, as the owner of
the property, the establishment, that they could

not be in violation of the first charge that is being charged. Title 2, with respect to Title 2 it states, quote, you made a false or misleading statement during a regulatory inspection or investigation in violation of Title 823 current notice of investigation, end of quote. section reads, the Board may find and set forth in the schedule civil penalties established until Title 25.830 and suspend or revoke license of any licensee during the licensing period. licensee violates any of the provisions of this title, the regulations promulgated under this title or any other law of the District of Columbia, end of quote. There isn't, in Title 2, charge 2, any allegation that the licensee or any of his agents, servants, and/or employees made a false statement. As a matter of fact, Mr. Lutes just stated that for Mr. Miller that Mr. Amde was acting as owner of the establishment. therefore, move --

MR. LUTES: Objection.

Mischaracterization. I asked if he was --

23 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on, Mr.

Lutes. Mr. Robinson is making arguments for why
he believes that the Board should issue a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

directed verdict in his favor, so I will let him make his arguments, and then you'll have an opportunity to respond to his arguments why the Board should issue a directed verdict in his favor.

MR. LUTES: Understood, Mr. Chairperson, thank you.

MR. ROBINSON: I therefore move that it should be a directed verdict because based upon the evidence presented there is not a basis for finding that there has been a violation of charge one because the owner of the establishment was present, and two, with respect to that charge there is not any evidence that any member of the licensee or anyone acting within the scope of their employment of the licensee made a false statement. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and the Board.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. Lutes, do you wish to respond to Mr. Robinson?

This is not closing, you're just responding to his arguments for why the Board should issue a directed verdict.

MR. LUTES: Of course, Mr. Chairperson. So, first, under D.C. Code Section

25.405, an application for transfer must be submitted to the Board and that application for transfer must be approved before the license has actually transferred to the new owner. Here, the counsel for the licensee has admitted that there was no ABC manager present and that the only person present to help themself as an ABC manager or owner was Mr. Getu Amde. Mr. Amde is not the owner. He applied for a license, however, it was returned as deficient as shown by and testified to by Investigator Miller.

Moreover, D.C. Code 25.701 requires that an ABC manager or owner be present at all times. Here you've heard testimony from Investigator Miller that no ABC manager was present and no owner was present because Retta Makonnen was not present.

Moreover, 823 provides that a violation occurs when a licensee or their agent makes a misrepresentation to the ABRA or MPD in the course of their investigation to mislead them. Here we've heard from Investigator Miller that Mr. Amde said he was the owner despite not being the owner and changed his answer when he was found out that he was not the owner.

1	At this point, the District has proven
2	its case, and counsel for the licensee has failed
3	to prove that the District has not made its case.
4	Thank you.
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. The
6	Board will take the motion under advisement.
7	MR. ROBINSON: Thank you, Mr.
8	Chairman.
9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Do
10	you have a case that you want to present, Mr.
11	Robinson?
12	MR. ROBINSON: No, I do not.
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, do you
14	rest?
15	MR. ROBINSON: I do.
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Lutes, do
17	you wish to make a closing statement?
18	MR. LUTES: Yes, Mr. Chairperson. The
19	District is prepared to make a closing statement.
20	The District will reserve brief rebuttal. May I
21	begin?
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure, go ahead,
23	sir.
24	MR. LUTES: Thank you, Mr.
25	Chairperson. Mr. Chairperson, members of the

Board, when one finds themselves in a hole, one ought not to keep digging. To that very reason the District requests that you find in its favor today. The District has proven by a preponderance of the evidence the licensee, Astede Corporation, as owned by Retta Makonnen, found itself in a hole because the licensee failed to have an ABC manager present during the hours of sale and consumption of alcohol. Rather than face the truth, the manager on duty misrepresented that he was the owner to avoid getting in trouble, digging the hole further. Under D.C. Code Section 25.701, a licensee must have the owner or ABCA approved ABC manager on duty at all times during the hours of sale, service, and consumption of alcohol.

Here you have heard uncontroverted evidence that Investigator Miller arrived at Nile Ethiopian Restaurant and Market, and when he entered the establishment was greeted by the manager on duty, Mr. Getu Amde, who is neither an owner nor held an ABC manager's license, a fact that the opposing counsel has admitted to. Next you have heard and seen how Investigator Miller observed patrons consuming alcohol during his

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

visit and that no other ABC manager or owner was present during this visit.

Next, under D.C. Code 25.823 (85)(c), D.C. law prohibits licensees from providing false or misleading information to ABCA investigators. Today you have heard from Investigator Miller how Mr. Amde, who already found himself in a hole, dug deeper. That night Mr. Amde held himself out as the owner and then the manager of Nile Ethiopian Restaurant and knew he did not have a valid ABC manager's license. When Investigator Miller arrived asking for the ABC manager or owner, Mr. Amde said he was the owner because he knew the owner does not have to have an ABC manager's license and could avoid further fines if Investigator Miller believed him. However, Investigator Miller saw through the ruse and confirmed that Retta Makonnen, not Mr. Amde, was the owner of the Astede Corporation and that Retta Makonnen was the true licensee.

Now stuck in a hole of his own making, Mr. Amde changed his answer saying that he was not the owner and that he was an ABC manager. While opposing counsel argues that Mr. Amde was simply confused, strike that, while opposing

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

counsel would argue that Mr. Amde was the true licensee and was the owner of the establishment, the facts and District law say otherwise. Code 25.405 requires that an application to transfer the license be submitted to the Board. It further requires that an application to transfer a license to a new owner shall be filed by transferee and approved by the Board before the consummation of the transfer. Here, Mr. Amde apparently knew the law to the extent and filed for an application for transfer; however, the application was not approved by the Board and a deficiency notice was returned to him months prior to the violation. After receiving the notice that his application was not accepted, he took no further corrective actions.

Next, opposing counsel argued that
Retta Makonnen should not be punished for the
actions of Mr. Amde; however, D.C. Code 25.823
authorizes the Board to fine the licensee, not
the ABC manager. As you have heard from
Investigator Miller, the transfer of license
never occurred because it would violate District
law, and the same deficiency letter that Mr. Amde
received was sent to 7815 Georgia Avenue NW, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

address of Nile Ethiopian Restaurant and Market. Here Retta Makonnen knew or should've known that the sale and transfer had not completed and that Mr. Amde was not the owner and held himself out as an agent of Retta Makonnen. Mr. Amde's own actions confirmed this because Mr. Amde still carried and ABC manager's license on his person.

Thus, the Government has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the licensee failed to have an owner or ABC manager during the hours of sale and consumption of alcohol and that the manager of the licensee, Retta Makonnen, made a misrepresentation, let me clarify. The manager, Getu Amde, of the licensee, Retta Makonnen, made a misrepresentation in order to mislead Investigator Miller. The Government requests that the Board find in the District's favor and recommends that the Board apply the appropriate penalty. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. Robinson.

MR. ROBINSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and the Board. Let me just try to be very short about this. This is not a case involving whether or not the transfer of the liquor license from

the transferor to the transferee took place.

That's never been the quest here. The question here is whether or not an ABRA licensee who does not have the appropriate manager's license present does have the person who is the owner of the establishment present within the confines of the statute that says that person needs to be present and therefore there cannot be a violation of selling alcohol, although the manager's license has expired.

Charge two is an allegation that an individual who is not an agent, servant, or employee of the licensee is alleged to have made a misstatement. Is that misstatement attributed to the licensee? Consider, if you will, a raid in a restaurant. Has nothing to do with the alcohol, and the individuals in there make statements. Can ABRA then bring an action against the individual who made statements who are not a member of the licensee and attribute them to the licensee. I do not believe that's where this should go.

Mr. Lutes has known since February and March of this year that there is a buy-sell agreement that was submitted to ABRA, and it was

dated January 26, 2022. I've asked him that he should reconsider the charges because the establishment was not the owner of the liquor license but the owner of the business. They have not presented any evidence other than hearsay evidence that Mr. Amde's buy-sell agreement along with Mr. Makonnen was not known by the District of Columbia to exist after they filed the charges. The buy-sell agreement existed prior to the charges and when brought to their attention that the buy-sell agreement was in effect prior to the time of the charges the District failed to do anything to amend its charges, knowing that their charges were deficient.

I'd ask the Board to consider this, not based upon a failed transfer of alcohol license but what the statute requires, and I've quoted that. Statute says during the hours when alcohol is to be consumed there must be two things, one, a manager present with a valid license, which there was not. We're not arguing that. But what we do say is that there was a person who was there who owned it based upon records that have been submitted twice to the District of Columbia. Indicating that the

establishment was owned by Mr. Amde but the license was not.

With respect to the second and final point, and excuse me for being repetitive, the false statement that has allegedly been made has not been made by making an argument that the argument of Mr. Amde is attributable to the licensee even though I'm arguing there wasn't a false statement. But you can't attribute, from what I see, a statement made by a non-licensee employee to state that the licensee made an improper statement. I'm going to ask that you find that the charges of one and two, that the evidence has not been proven to substantiate them and dismiss them.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Are you done, sir? Mr. Lutes said he wanted a brief.

MR. LUTES: Yes, thank you, Mr.

Chairperson. Under District law the transfer of
both an establishment and a license cannot occur
until it receives approval from the Board. Here,
no approval has been received by the Board and,
therefore, the license is still retained by Retta
Makonnen.

Additionally, the fact that Mr. Amde's

1	temporary ABC license was expired does not
2	vitiate his status as an agent of Retta Makonnen.
3	Moreover, additionally, by opposing counsel's
4	logic, if someone doesn't have a valid ABC
5	manager's license and any statement they make
6	cannot be attribute the licensee, is directly
7	contradictory. More importantly, Mr. Amde knew
8	he was not the licensee. And, again, the
9	opposing party has conceded that Mr. Amde did not
10	have a valid license, therefore, Mr. Amde made a
11	statement that he knew would mislead Investigator
12	Miller and that no ABC manager or licensee or
13	owner of the license was present during
14	Investigator Miller's visit. Thank you.
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The record is
16	now closed. Do the parties wish to make proposed
17	findings of fact and conclusion of law or waive
18	your right to do so?
19	MR. LUTES: The District will waive.
20	MR. ROBINSON: Mr. Chairperson, would
21	you indulge me about one minute, please?
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure, sir. Mr.
23	Robinson, you need to mute your phone.
24	MR. ROBINSON: Thank you, we'll submit
25	some findings of facts and conclusions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You should receive the transcript in three weeks. You have 30 days after receipt of the transcript to provide the response. Then the agents will issue the decision within 90 days. If you change your mind to submit the conclusion of law and findings of fact, then please advise the agency as soon as possible.

MR. ROBINSON: Thank you, sir.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: As Chairperson of the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board for the District of Columbia and in accordance with D.C. Official Code Section 2575 of the open meetings act, I move that ABC Board hold a closed meeting for the purpose of seeking legal advice from our counsel on case number 22-CMP-00082, Nile Ethiopian Restaurant and Market pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 2575(b)(4)(A) of the open meetings act and deliberate upon case number 22-CMP-00082, Nile Ethiopian Restaurant and Nile Market for the reasons cited in D.C. Official Code Section 2575(b)(13) of the open meetings act. Is there a second?

MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Short, I second.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short has

1	seconded the motion. I will now have a roll call
2	vote. Mr. Short?
3	MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Short, I agree.
4	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Cato?
5	MEMBER CATO: Bobby Cato, I agree.
6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Crockett?
7	MEMBER CROCKETT: Agree.
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Hansen?
9	MEMBER HANSEN: Jenni Hansen, I agree.
10	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grandis?
11	MEMBER GRANDIS: (No audible
12	response.)
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Anderson,
14	I agree. As it appears the motion has passed
15	6-0-0, I hereby give notice that the ABC Board
16	will recess these proceedings to hold a closed
17	meeting pursuant to section 2575 of the open
18	meetings act. Thank you very much, have a great
19	day.
20	(Whereupon, the entitled matter went
21	off the record at 12:44 p.m.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

Α **A-L-B-E-R-T** 6:18 **A-M-D-E** 4:25 5:7,12 a.m 2:2 22:4,9 23:24 **ABC** 1:10 10:23 11:13 11:25 13:25 14:20 15:5 19:4.5.7.25 20:4 22:16 23:19 33:25 34:3,3,23 39:25 40:1 40:6,20 44:21 45:24 48:10 50:24 58:6,16 64:1 67:6,7,13,15 69:8,14,22 70:1,11,12 70:14,23 71:21 72:7 72:10 76:1,4,12 77:14 78:15 **ABCA** 1:23,24 7:7 10:25 11:4 12:18 13:13,17,20 14:12 15:4 25:14,16 27:21 27:25 28:3,7,10 31:23 69:14 70:5 **ability** 38:18 able 5:5 15:19 26:5 39:7 44:18 47:10 **ABRA** 18:8 24:23 33:12 33:25 48:19 54:8 58:13 67:20 73:3,18 73:25 **ABRA's** 49:4 accepted 71:15 access 2:10,11,12 accurate 21:4 accusation 56:25 accusations 56:24 **acronym** 13:13 act 10:24 77:14,19,23 78:18 acting 59:24 64:20 65:19 66:15 action 12:9 73:18 actions 16:10 71:16,19 72:6 additional 26:21,24 28:25 59:6 additionally 26:19 75:25 76:3 address 9:15 31:10,14 72:1 addressed 27:16 31:8 36:8 Administration 13:11 13:15 58:14 admissibility 30:12 admissible 16:11 17:19 29:8 30:7,8,9,14

```
admit 29:18
admitted 28:15 29:3,10
 29:12 30:25 38:23
 67:5 69:23
advance 11:20
advice 36:22 37:25
 38:12 39:1 77:15
advise 77:7
advisement 68:6
affidavit 32:17,24
affirm 12:22
afternoon 57:22,25
agency 29:16 40:20
 41:1 46:2 51:3 54:23
 55:17 56:1 77:7
agency's 29:14 30:15
 30:18
agent 8:6 28:10 42:24
 49:24 59:24 61:9
 67:19 72:5 73:12 76:2
agents 21:11 31:2
 49:19 60:19 65:16
 77:4
agree 43:23 52:10 78:3
 78:5.7.9.14
agreed 6:14
agreement 6:12,13 9:7
 32:19 40:16,21 41:2
 51:18 52:25 53:12,15
 54:7,24 55:8,13,23
 56:16 73:25 74:6,9,11
ahead 5:6 7:19 10:17
  16:13 17:1 18:5 27:13
 46:23 49:3 50:13
 57:13 68:22
Albert 6:17
alcohol 10:23 11:15
  12:2 19:17,20 20:6
 23:4,5 42:5,18 43:9
 56:8,12 63:23 64:3,16
 69:9,16,25 72:11 73:9
 73:17 74:16,19
alcoholic 1:2,15 13:10
  13:14 58:14 59:11
 77:11
ALIYA 1:19
allegation 8:6 49:18
 65:15 73:11
allegations 7:23
alleged 49:25 73:13
allegedly 75:5
allow 20:16 26:6 30:17
alternative 56:20
Amde 1:23 4:7,18,20,20
 4:25,25 5:7,7,12,12
 6:11,15 7:13,16 8:5
 9:8 11:8,12,17 12:3,4
 15:9,10,13,20 16:1,5
```

16:22 17:8.12 18:9.12 18:14,16 19:2,24 20:2 22:19 25:20,24 27:4 27:16,17 29:1,23,24 31:9 32:3,8 33:4,6,17 34:6,22 36:8 39:13,24 40:8,12 41:4 43:3 47:18 48:2 49:6,13,20 49:23 50:7 51:9 53:2 56:16 57:1 59:16,18 59:23 60:2,7,11 61:1 64:20 65:18 67:8,8,23 69:21 70:7,8,13,18,22 70:24 71:1,9,19,24 72:4,6,14 75:1,7 76:7 76:9,10 Amde's 41:11 42:1 43:17,24 45:8 51:19 53:13 72:5 74:6 75:25 amend 32:10,12 74:13 **ANC** 1:8 and/or 65:16 **Anderson** 1:16,18 2:3 2:13,16,22 3:5,7,14 3:21,24 4:5,8,13,22 5:1.9.13 6:4.24 7:18 8:10 9:11 10:17 12:11 12:15,20,25 15:16,21 16:13 17:1,23 18:3,24 20:15 21:10,20 24:11 24:19 25:2 26:4 27:8 27:12 28:17 29:13,22 30:16 31:1 34:19 35:4 35:22 36:2,5,25 37:3 37:10,20 38:2 39:2 41:7,17,21 44:7,14 45:21 46:23 47:4,9 48:6 49:2,12 50:2,13 50:18 51:23 52:20 53:6,20,25 54:11,16 55:1,9 57:11,17 58:23 59:3,7 60:3,15 61:3 61:13,18 62:8,15,20 62:24 63:6,11,17 65:23 66:19 68:5,9,13 68:16,22 72:20 75:16 76:15,22 77:1,10,25 78:4,6,8,10,13,13 answer 11:9 15:22 18:5 18:16 25:10 27:11 39:3,4,6,8 41:20 44:8 44:15,16,18 50:12 60:4,11 61:4 67:24 70:22 answered 18:20 24:10 24:17 37:21,23 44:4,5 44:13 45:17 51:21 54:10 60:14,17

anybody 40:20 apologies 7:4 apologize 38:4 46:21 56:4 apparently 71:10 appears 2:17 78:14 applicant 33:4 applicants 31:25 **application** 6:19 11:19 25:18 31:22 32:11 33:11 51:19 52:1,3,8 67:1,2 71:4,6,11,12 71:15 applied 67:9 **apply** 72:18 appropriate 58:3 72:19 approval 32:21 75:21 75:22 **approved** 64:1,10,13 67:3 69:14 71:8,12 **April** 31:6 36:8 46:24 47:2 argue 71:1 argued 71:17 argues 70:24 arguing 30:7 56:3 74:21 75:8 argument 29:6 41:12 52:18 57:10 62:17 63:9 64:22 75:6,7 arguments 8:17 10:11 52:19 56:5 57:7 62:7 63:9,13,14,18 65:24 66:2.3.22 arrived 11:5 14:23 69:18 70:12 articles 32:15 asked 10:2 15:5 17:12 17:13 24:9,12,14,16 24:17 37:21,22,25 38:19 41:22 44:3 45:16 46:9,25 48:8,10 50:20 51:20 54:9 59:1 60:13 63:12 65:22 74:1 asking 6:8 25:5 34:11 34:13 35:19,23 55:5,6 55:10,21 70:12 assigned 58:1 assistance 36:20 38:7 38:18 Assistant 7:5 assisted 38:13 **Astede** 1:6 6:6,15 9:9 28:21,22,22 29:1,18 29:21 40:7,12 42:12 42:25 47:16 69:6

59:22

admission 27:4,16

certificate 32:14,15,19 70:19 chief 61:17 12:8 17:20 29:8 32:16 citations 35:20 attempted 12:6 40:20 55:16 57:12 Chair 3:6 attempting 46:12 50:7 58:23 62:8 63:19,22 **Chairman** 3:19 28:16 cited 35:10 56:10 77:21 attempts 9:13 35:2 38:14 44:13 47:8 63:23 64:9,12 65:7,25 **civil** 65:8 attention 8:8 51:17 66:4,18,22 67:2 68:6 50:11 58:22 66:17 clarification 5:15 33:10 74:10 69:1 71:5,8,12,20 68:8 72:22 52:12 72:17,18,23 74:15 attorney 7:6 53:8 54:17 Chairperson 1:15,18 clarify 27:17 47:11 50:8 72:13 54:19,19 55:3 75:21,22 77:11,14 2:3,13,16,22 3:5,7,14 attorney/client 53:4 **clear** 51:4 78:15 3:21,24 4:5,8,11,13 attorneys 63:24 **Bobby** 1:19 78:5 clearance 32:24 4:21,22 5:1,9,13 6:4 attributable 75:7 **body** 48:8 6:24 7:2,18 8:10 9:11 clearly 8:20 attribute 73:20 75:9 **bottle** 23:10 10:14,17,21,21 12:11 **client** 40:23 76:6 bought 48:3 12:15,17,20,25 13:3 **closed** 3:11 76:16 attributed 73:14 brief 59:6 68:20 75:17 15:16,17,21 16:13,24 77:14 78:16 audible 3:2 78:11 bring 51:17 73:18 17:1,4,10,23 18:3,24 closing 10:10 52:19 auspices 6:17 brought 8:7 46:3 49:13 20:12,15 21:7,10,14 57:7,9 62:19 63:1 authorization 32:18 49:14 74:10 21:20 24:11,19 25:2 66:21 68:17,19 authorizes 71:20 burden 8:14 code 7:22 12:2,8,9 26:1,4,16 27:1,8,12 Ave 1:8 **business** 6:15 27:3 27:14 28:13,17 29:5 35:10,11,20 38:6,8 **Avenue** 31:12 71:25 28:3,6 32:12 39:12 29:13,20,22 30:1,2,16 64:8 66:25 67:12 avoid 69:11 70:15 41:4 48:3 55:25 56:7 30:24 31:1,4 34:10,16 69:13 70:3 71:4,19 aware 24:22 47:4 56:9 74:4 34:19 35:4,22 36:2,5 77:13,18,22 buy-sell 40:16,21 41:2 36:25 37:3,10,14,20 Colombia 63:23 В 51:18 52:25 53:12,14 38:2,20 39:2 41:7,17 **Columbia** 1:1 14:1 54:7.24 55:8.11.13.22 41:21 44:7,14 45:17 65:14 74:8,25 77:12 **B** 26:3,10 28:14 30:24 back 33:23 39:20 59:14 56:16 73:24 74:6.9.11 45:21 46:20.23.24 come 59:14 **bar** 24:3 buy/sell 9:7 47:4.9 48:6 49:2.12 **comes** 33:1 based 8:17 10:4 56:16 50:2,13,18 51:23 communications 40:24 C 52:11,17,20 53:6,20 company 29:20 58:25 61:23 66:9 74:16.23 c 70:3 53:25 54:11,16 55:1,9 complete 28:25 **Bashir** 16:5 18:8 39:14 caf 32:11 56:4 57:3,11,17 58:23 **completed** 28:24 33:13 59:3,5,7 60:3,15 61:3 39:20 51:6 54:3 60:12 calendar 2:4 72:3 basis 16:20 29:18 39:23 call 9:1,14,21 12:16,18 61:12,13,18,25 62:8 computers 3:13 66:10 78:1 62:15,20,24 63:2,6,11 conceded 76:9 **Baylor** 32:24 called 39:14 63:17,22 65:23 66:7 concern 49:3 beginning 46:9 calls 15:14 34:8 36:21 66:19,25 68:5,9,13,16 **concerned** 46:2,3 49:5 68:18,22,25,25 72:20 **behalf** 4:17 10:19 45:15 40:22 44:11 59:20 **concerns** 46:15 58:4 45:20 47:24 51:3 camera 2:20 3:15 75:16,19 76:15,20,22 concession 64:22 **beliefs** 34:12 Cannabis 1:2,15 13:11 77:1,10,10,25 78:4,6 conclusion 11:22 76:17 believe 25:4 26:4 27:15 13:14 58:14 77:11 78:8,10,13 77:6 29:16 30:2,20 34:6 challenge 30:13 conclusions 76:25 capacity 47:2 conclusive 62:3 36:7,11 37:4,24 52:17 **change** 18:16 60:11 care 55:14 carried 72:7 63:18 73:21 77:5 conduct 56:8 believed 70:16 case 1:9 2:3,4 7:1 8:16 changed 11:9 67:24 **conducting** 13:23,24 **believes** 34:14,15,18 10:8,9,22 20:22 21:5 70:22 14:5,10 Chapter 64:8 confines 73:6 65:25 21:9 36:6 37:1,3,7,8 confirmation 21:8 **belong** 22:18 37:12 38:12 45:23 **charge** 7:25 8:8,14 **best** 16:16,21 17:17 46:5 47:1 49:12,13 45:23 46:3 48:19 65:1 confirmed 11:10 24:13 52:18 50:19,19 55:4,20 65:15 66:12,13 73:11 70:18 72:6 Confirming 30:24 61:17 62:13 68:2,3,10 charged 49:9 65:2 better 2:21,23 57:6 72:24 77:16,19 charges 6:22 7:24 35:9 conflict 5:22 **Beverage** 1:2,15 13:10 confused 55:2 70:25 13:14 58:14 63:23 Cato 1:19 78:4,5,5 35:14,25 36:24 47:11 62:3 63:24 64:4 74:2 consent 32:14 77:11 caught 10:24 beverages 59:12 cause 1:8 4:3 7:22 8:21 74:9,10,12,13,14 consider 73:15 74:15 consideration 62:9,10 **beyond** 60:22 8:24 9:17 46:6 75:13 bind 50:25 60:19 61:2,9 causing 3:9 charging 37:7 62:12 **Board** 1:2,15 8:23,24 cell 3:12 checked 18:8 39:18,21 considered 44:22 45:4 9:15,25 10:2,5,22 certain 58:1 48:17 consultation 38:11,25

consulted 38:13 77:18.21 31:19 32:25 33:1 11:9 12:5 14:24.25 consumed 41:15 42:19 date 11:21 19:11 22:2 35:22,25 36:6,7,7,15 15:3 18:10,13 19:13 74:19 22:20 23:22,23,25 36:18 37:4 38:5,15,21 20:5,8,9 24:8 31:13 31:20 34:24 40:11 consuming 11:15 23:4 38:23 69:25 dated 9:6 36:8 74:1 documentation 25:15 42:18 44:20 45:6 48:4 48:20 49:15 50:20,22 consummation 71:9 day 22:16 78:19 25:19,21 32:10 **consumption** 12:2 64:3 days 8:22 43:25 77:3,5 documents 8:23 9:5,5 51:10 52:5,14 53:1 64:15 69:9,16 72:11 **DC** 1:23,24,24 64:8 24:22 25:1,14 28:25 56:15,17,21,22 58:2 contacted 16:4 18:7 Dear 28:20 33:14 31:23 32:8,22 36:3,11 59:15,19 60:9 64:1,21 content 29:6 30:8,11 death 6:8,10 46:17 37:7 51:2 58:8 64:25 65:19 66:12 47:17 69:20 71:2 73:6 74:3 contents 30:20 **Donovan** 1:16,18 continue 26:5 27:6 decision 17:20 55:17 **double** 16:15,19 17:15 75:1,20 50:11,14 drafted 6:13 35:9,14 establishment's 64:12 77:5 contract 50:19 deeper 70:8 36:1 37:5 38:16 39:9 64:14 defer 12:13 establishments 13:25 contradictory 76:7 drafter 26:24 contrary 57:8 deficiencies 40:15 drafting 36:23 Ethiopian 1:6 2:5 11:24 **Control** 63:23 deficiency 25:20,24 drinking 19:17 20:6 14:14,16,22 19:15 conversations 54:14 26:12 31:17,18 32:1,4 due 30:8 20:23 22:6 23:3,18 converse 58:15 dug 70:8 24:6,23 31:15 33:8,12 54:5,15 71:13,24 deficient 11:20 67:10 duties 11:6 13:22 **copy** 9:7 52:25 33:18,19,24 46:4 **Corp** 1:6 74:14 duty 1:10 10:25 11:25 69:19 70:10 72:1 **Corporation** 42:13 69:6 deliberate 77:19 41:14 45:24 69:10,15 77:17,20 70:19 deliver 14:25 48:9 69:21 events 14:21 correct 33:21 36:4 37:9 50.23 evidence 8:25 9:14 Ε 38:1 39:15 40:4.8.9 department 25:16 11:3,24 16:16,17,21 41:16 42:6.10.11.13 26:13 31:20 **E-B-E-R-T** 6:18 17:17,18,19 21:9 42:19.20 43:11.12.15 despite 67:23 **easily** 15:18 28:15 30:13 61:23 43:16 44:2 45:10 details 22:1 **Ebert** 6:18 62:3 66:10,14 69:5,18 57:15 59:17 60:10,16 determine 40:2 51:9 **EDWARD** 1:20 72:9 74:5,6 75:14 corrective 71:16 58:3 64:6 effect 74:11 exactly 16:22 29:7 examination 13:4 17:21 could've 36:22 different 41:22 52:15 either 2:24 31:20 38:12 counsel 1:25 17:4 difficult 46:18 42:24 58:5,11,15 17:25 30:5 35:6 48:23 52:19 29:17 38:11,24 67:5 **difficulty** 48:21,22 elevate 2:6 68:2 69:23 70:24 71:1 digging 69:2,12 **elevated** 2:10,11,12 **examine** 9:23 30:19 71:17 77:16 direct 13:4 44:12 57:6 email 46:25 examined 9:25 counsel's 29:6 76:3 60:14.17 employee 8:6 42:24 exchange 8:23 **course** 11:6 17:20 directed 61:22 62:1 44:19 73:13 75:11 **excuse** 13:23 14:4 25:19 40:5 42:14 75:4 26:17 27:3 28:2,6 63:12,13,19 66:1,4,9 **employees** 19:19 49:19 66:24 67:21 66:23 65:16 excused 6:9 **court** 5:2,4,5 directly 76:6 **employment** 48:1 49:24 **executed** 41:3 53:15 court's 7:4 20:18 24:5 Directors 32:17 exhibit 7:11 20:14,21 66:16 27:18 **dismiss** 75:15 encounter 11:7 14:16 21:9 22:12,13,14,14 **CR** 1:8 dismissed 7:21 14:19.22 22:24,25 23:16 26:3 created 33:11 distinction 56:6.15 encountered 14:14 26:10 28:14 30:24 Crockett 1:19 78:6,7 **District** 1:1 4:12 7:10 **ended** 18:19 36:9,10,12,13 37:1,7 cross 9:23,24 17:21,25 7:12 11:23 12:18 14:1 37:12,19,24 38:5 **entail** 13:22 20:12 21:8 26:2,20 30:4,19 35:6 48:23 entered 15:2 59:15 **exhibits** 7:11,14 50:11,14 51:22 52:19 27:5 28:14 30:9 62:1 69:20 **exist** 74:8 entering 11:6 60:22.25 62:2 63:22 65:13 68:1 existed 74:9 68:3,19,20 69:3,4 current 46:11 65:5 exited 20:8,9 entitled 78:20 currently 50:6 71:3,23 74:7,12,25 entity 46:14 48:8,13 **expiration** 19:11 22:20 **cut** 8:11 75:19 76:19 77:12 53:17 57:1 expired 41:11 42:2 43:9 43:25 45:8 56:18 District's 72:17 equipment 2:24,25 3:8 D document 9:10 20:21 3:9 58:12 64:19,24 73:10 **D.C** 7:22 12:2,8,9 35:10 21:2,4 26:15,17,20,23 **ESQ** 10:18 76:1 35:20 38:6,8 57:7 26:24 27:2,24 28:11 establish 49:22 **extent** 71:10 66:25 67:12 69:13 28:20 29:3,10,11 30:6 established 49:23 65:8 70:3,4 71:3,19 77:13 30:8,11,17,21 31:5,11 establishment 11:2,5,7

face 69:10 23:10 27:4 35:1 38:23 Hansen 1:20 78:8.9.9 facilitate 6:21 57:2 61:11 69:12 happened 15:2 20:3,7 facilitation 6:22 70:15 71:6,16 happy 26:20 30:10 facility 43:15 56:12 **furthermore** 16:10 hear 3:17 4:1,23 11:12 fact 7:3 15:18 30:14 11:17 14:4 51:25 G 45:1 65:17 69:22 55:14 heard 7:17 26:17 38:22 75:25 76:17 77:7 **G-E-T-U** 4:25 5:12 **facts** 29:4,17 71:3 garden 32:12 45:17 48:15 62:7 76:25 General 7:6 67:14,22 69:17,24 generated 26:15 27:24 failed 11:25 63:25 68:2 70:6 71:21 69:8 72:10 74:12,16 28:2,6 hearing 1:8 5:16 6:9 false 1:11 8:2,5 42:21 Georgia 1:8 31:12 7:13,23 8:21,22 9:17 42:22 43:19,21,22 21:2 45:25 46:6,10 71:25 44:22 45:4,19,24 getting 57:15 69:12 47:6 58:24 47:13,23 49:9,10,11 Getu 1:23 4:18,20,25 hearing's 11:22 49:19 50:1 56:23,25 5:12 11:8 15:9,10 hearings 17:18 65:3,17 66:16 70:4 16:5 18:9 22:19 25:20 hearsay 16:8,8,10,15 75:5,9 25:24 27:4 29:22,24 16:20 17:16,17 26:25 31:9 32:3 43:3 47:18 27:5 34:17 59:21 74:5 family 6:8,11 46:17 held 12:3 69:22 70:8 47:17 67:8 69:21 72:14 far 46:2 49:4 give 9:4 40:20 78:15 72:4 favor 63:20 66:1,5 69:3 **help** 67:7 glass 23:9,12,14 **go** 5:6 7:18 10:17 16:13 helping 55:15,16 72:18 **February** 73:23 17:1 18:5 27:13 30:12 **history** 32:17 hold 6:24 33:19 36:5 feedback 3:10.25 39:20 46:23 49:2 **female** 15:8 50:13,22 51:14 56:19 55:1 59:18 65:23 filed 11:18 71:7.10 74:8 57:13 58:1,18 61:22 77:14 78:16 final 75:3 64:6 68:22 73:22 holder 33:25 52:13 find 38:16 39:20 65:7 holding 60:8 **goes** 9:18 69:3 72:17 75:13 going 9:12,12 15:21 **holds** 6:1 **finding** 66:11 17:23 18:4 22:23 25:3 hole 69:1,7,12 70:7,21 25:4,5,8 27:13 28:23 findings 76:17,25 77:6 honor 4:16 28:20 37:2 **finds** 69:1 30:16 33:22 34:19 49:1 57:2 fine 4:9 47:6 57:11 37:5 39:6 44:7,17 hours 12:1 23:20,22 62:15 63:17 71:20 45:22 46:19 51:24 64:2,15 69:9,15 72:11 fines 70:15 52:21 53:7 57:3,23 74:18 finish 40:25 62:11 75:12 hypothetical 44:10 first 5:10 7:3 9:18 22:1 **good** 2:15 4:13 5:13 35:24 36:6 65:1 66:25 10:21 32:14 57:22,24 **fishing** 53:18 government 2:7 8:13 **ID** 32:20 **fitted** 30:4 8:15 9:4,18,19,20,21 identifiable 15:18 **following** 45:23 46:19 10:7,12,15,19 16:17 **identified** 7:15 11:8 48:7,16,21,23 16:21 17:16 20:14,20 15:12 44:20 48:18 **follows** 64:10 53:17,18 21:8 22:12,14,24,25 followup 58:25 26:3,10 28:14 32:20 identify 4:9 15:10,19 19:25 25:1 forgot 7:4 54:19 55:3 61:14,15 form 15:1 32:14 33:1 61:16 62:17,21,25 identifying 38:8 48:9 50:23 72:8,16 illuminate 17:21 forth 65:7 **Government's** 9:24,25 immediate 23:9 **forum** 16:11 **Grandis** 1:20 57:13,14 important 17:22 found 39:19 41:10 57:20,23 58:7,17,21 importantly 30:5 76:7 67:25 69:7 70:7 59:1 64:4 78:10,11 improper 75:12 **foundation** 26:21,23 great 78:18 inartful 56:5 27:6 greeted 69:20 incorporation 32:15,16 four 13:21 57:7 incorrect 29:4,17 30:21 Н Friday 14:18 22:4 23:25 incorrectly 29:11 hand 12:21 19:5 23:11 front 23:12 29:8 32:11 Indicating 74:25 further 11:17 17:21 **individual** 5:22 46:12 55:20

48:13 73:12.19 individuals 55:24 73:17 **indulge** 76:21 **indulgence** 7:4 20:18 24:5 27:18 **information** 1:11 6:20 32:13,18 38:24 70:5 informed 8:21 48:7 ingenuity 38:17 inquire 54:6 inserted 35:21 **inspection** 8:3 47:14 65:4 inspections 13:25 Internal 14:12 interruption 46:21 introduce 4:14 introduced 15:4,9 invalid 43:4 investigate 18:22 investigation 8:4 36:14 37:18 42:23 43:25 47:14,19 65:5,6 67:21 investigations 13:23,24 14:3,6,11,13 investigative 21:16 35:15 investigator 1:23 2:11 7:8 10:25 11:4,7,10 11:14 12:6,18 13:6,18 13:20 15:5,18 16:4 20:19 24:21 25:23 26:9,18 27:20,21 31:4 33:23 38:22 45:18 48:7,11 50:20 57:16 57:19 58:4 59:10 64:5 67:11,15,22 69:18,24 70:6,11,16,17 71:22 72:16 76:11,14 investigators 50:22 70:5 **involved** 14:2,5 involving 72:24 irrelevant 39:1 issue 8:18,19 42:15 53:22 63:19 65:25 66:4,22 77:4 **issued** 56:1 **issues** 55:17

J-O-V-A-N 13:8

53:2,15 74:1

January 6:14 9:8 41:3

JAMES 1:21

JENI 1:20

Jenni 78:9

joined 7:7

JOSE 1:24 Jovan 1:23 7:8 11:5 12:19 13:8 JR 1:19,21 juncture 9:12 62:12

K

K-E-V-I-N 7:6 **keep** 3:15 55:9,21 69:2 Kevin 1:24 2:10 4:12 7:6 10:18 kitchen 52:14 knew 11:18 12:4 44:24 70:10,14 71:10 72:2 76:7,11 **know** 3:21 16:3,19 17:5 17:13 41:19 47:25 54:20 64:18 **knowing** 74:13 knowledge 17:9 known 5:21 72:2 73:23 74:7 knows 34:18

L-U-T-E-S 7:7 lack 6:19 laid 26:23 law 50:24 57:7 65:13 70:4 71:3.10.24 75:19 76:17 77:6 leading 18:18,22 28:4 led 18:22 legal 36:22 37:25 38:11 39:1 77:15 legally 17:19 50:25 lend 46:21 let's 24:20 25:2,7 30:22 39:5,7 51:4 52:21 54:12 60:16 **letter** 9:6 25:20,24 26:12 27:9,15 28:23 29:7 31:16,17,18,24 32:1,4 33:1 40:15 54:5,5,15 71:24 levied 6:22 license 1:9 2:5 5:17,24 6:2,16 11:14,19 12:7 19:6,8 22:17 23:19,21 25:18 28:23 29:21 31:22 32:5,21 33:11 33:12,15,15,19,25 34:1,4,23 39:25 40:2 40:3 41:11,14 42:1,4 42:17 43:5,9,14,19,24 45:9.13 46:12 48:19 50:6.7 52:7.13 53:14

56:15,18 58:6,11,12 58:16 59:11 64:18,24 65:9 67:3,9 69:22 70:11,15 71:5,7,22 72:7,25 73:4,10 74:4 74:17,21 75:2,20,23 76:1,5,10,13 **licensed** 13:25 47:20 58:11 64:17

licensee 2:7 4:17 6:7,23 7:12,16,24 8:1,7 10:23 12:4 21:17 28:20,21 29:1,2,19,19 33:6,8,14,18 40:7 42:10,12,25 43:6,10 43:14,20 44:2 45:10 45:14,20 46:4 47:15 47:21,22,24 48:1,2 49:5,11,14,18,21,25 50:25 52:15 56:19 59:10 60:1,19,19 61:2 61:9,9 63:25 65:10,11 65:15 66:15,16 67:5 67:19 68:2 69:5,7,13 70:20 71:2,20 72:9,12 72:14 73:3.13.15.20 73:21 75:8,11 76:6,8 76:12

licensees 70:4 licensing 25:16 26:13 31:19 65:10 light 46:22 **limited** 58:10 line 2:19 22:1 48:21,23 **liquor** 41:15 53:14 72:25 74:3 **list** 7:11,14 listed 8:5 21:18 22:21 31:10 62:4 litigation 49:7 **located** 31:13 location 2:18 locations 23:8 log 2:24 3:16 logged 2:23 3:8,9 **logic** 76:4

Licensee's 1:25

logged 2:23 3:8,9 logic 76:4 long 13:19 51:3 look 28:19 40:1 looking 20:19 lot 60:25 Lute 6:25 8:18 Lutes 1:24 2:10 4:9,11 4:12 7:2,6 9:5,9,11 10:6,14,18,20 12:15 12:25 13:2,5 15:16,17 15:25 16:9,24 17:2,3 17:12 18:11,19,24

19:1 20:16.18.25 21:7 21:13,15,24 24:11,12 24:21 25:10,13 26:1,8 26:16 27:1,10,14,23 28:5,9,13 29:5 30:2 30:23 31:3,7 34:10,16 34:21 35:1,13 36:21 37:13 38:10,20 40:19 40:22 41:6 44:3,10 45:16 46:20,24 47:12 51:20 52:17,25 53:3,7 53:8,9,16 54:9,15,17 54:18,24,24 55:3,7,7 55:10 57:3 59:3,5,9 59:22 60:6,18,24 61:8 61:11,15,25 64:20 65:17,21,24 66:6,20 66:24 68:16,18,24 73:23 75:17,18 76:19

M

M-A-K-O-N-N-E-N 6:3 21:23 52:6 M-I-L-L-E-R 13:8 mailed 32:1,4 making 8:5 30:3 44:22 45:4 49:9 65:24 70:21 75:6

Makonnen 6:3,7,15 21:19 24:18 33:16 47:1,16 50:5 52:5 53:2 59:24 67:17 69:6 70:18,20 71:18 72:2,5 72:12,15 74:7 75:24 76:2

manage 34:24 manager 1:10 10:23,25 11:25 12:3 14:20 15:6 19:4,5,25 20:4 34:3 41:14 42:16 43:18 44:21 45:24 47:20 48:10 50:24 56:13 58:6,11,16 64:1,10,13 64:17 67:6,7,13,15 69:8,10,14,21 70:1,9 70:12,23 71:21 72:10 72:12,14 74:20 76:12 manager's 11:14 19:7 22:17 33:25 34:4,23 41:11 42:4 43:5,24 56:17 58:11 69:22 70:11,15 72:7 73:4,9

76:5 managers 42:1 March 9:6 54:25 73:24 Mark 16:5 18:8 39:14 51:6 marked 20:13,20 22:13 22:14,24 23:15 26:3 26:10 Market 1:7 2:5 11:25 14:14,17,23 19:16 20:24 22:7 23:3,19 24:7,24 31:15 33:9,18 33:20,24 46:5 69:19 72:1 77:17,21 matter 1:5 7:9,20,21 30:14 55:20 65:17

78:20 matters 6:25 7:3 mean 8:11,11 45:12 means 43:13 meet 51:7 13 58:3

meet 51:7,13 58:3 meeting 1:3 77:15 78:17

78:18 member 1:19,19,20,20 1:21 15:8 44:20 45:5 45:5,9,11,12,19 48:2 55:16 57:14,20,23 58:7,17,21 66:14 73:20 77:24 78:3,5,7

meetings 77:14,19,22

members 10:22 57:13 58:23 63:22 68:25 mentioned 31:17 mere 53:18 merely 17:6

78:9.11

met 1:15

middle 23:13

Miller 1:23 2:11 7:8 11:5,7,10,13,14 12:6 12:19,20,24 13:6,8 15:19,24 16:9,18 17:5 17:5,6 18:5,7 20:19 20:22 21:16,20,22 24:22 25:10,12 26:9 27:10,20,22 28:8 31:4 31:6 33:23 34:11,22

35:8 36:14,16 37:4,15

38:23 39:9,16 40:24

41:17,19,23 42:7 44:19 45:18 47:22 51:5,11 52:24 54:2,22 57:19,21,22 58:5,15 58:20 59:10 61:6,10 65:18 67:11,15,22 69:18,24 70:6,12,16

Miller's 76:14 mind 77:6 minute 55:2 76:21 minutes 32:16 Mischaracterization

70:17 71:22 72:16

76:12

55:18,19,25 56:2,8,13

21:21 29:25 34:15

65:22 mislead 12:6 34:7 67:21 72:16 76:11 misleading 1:11 8:2 45:24 47:13 65:3 70:5 misrepresentation 67:20 72:13,15 misrepresented 11:1 69:11 misspoke 27:15 53:8 misstatement 27:19 35:13 73:14,14 mistaken 54:18 **modicum** 17:19 months 11:20 71:13 morning 2:15 4:11,13 5:13 10:21 57:24 motion 8:12,15 9:13,15 62:9,10,18,21 63:4,7 63:10 68:6 78:1,14 move 4:1 10:11 23:10 23:12 24:20 30:22 39:5,7 44:16 51:4 52:21 53:23 54:12 60:16 65:20 66:8 77:14 moved 37:23 **moving** 53:22 **MPD** 67:20

Ν

mute 2:14,25 76:23

muted 2:19

name 4:19,24 5:2,5,8 5:10,10 6:2,18 7:5 13:7 21:21,23 24:18 39:15 55:18 56:2 58:13 **named** 6:17 **nature** 28:18 need 2:24,25 4:22,23 32:21 33:25 34:3,23 50:3,8 76:23 needed 25:19,21 27:7 32:10,23 64:6 needs 73:7 neither 69:21 never 9:9 71:23 73:2 new 33:15 58:13 67:4 71:7 night 70:8 Nile 1:6,7 2:4,5 11:24 14:14,16,22 19:15,19 20:23,23 22:6 23:3,3 23:18,19 24:6,23 31:15,15 33:8,12,18 33:19,24 36:1 37:19 46:4,5 52:13 69:18

70:9 72:1 77:17,20,20 non-licensee 75:10 non-party 49:6 notice 21:11 65:6 71:13 71:15 78:15 noticed 35:9 42:1 notified 16:5 20:4 number 7:25 47:12 77:16,19 NW 1:8 31:12 71:25

0

object 7:13 16:20 25:7

OAG 1:24

28:16 29:2 57:4 objected 9:9 objection 15:14,22 16:7 17:15,24 18:4,17 24:9 24:16,25 25:3,6 26:14 26:19 28:4,18 30:17 34:8,20 35:13 36:21 37:6.11 38:10 39:3 40:22 41:6,8 44:3,8 44:15 45:16,22 51:20 52:21 53:3,7,16,21 54:9 59:20,25 60:4,13 60:21 61:4 65:21 objections 30:6,12 46:7 obligation 17:16 **observe** 19:16.19 24:3 **observed** 11:15 69:25 obtain 31:21 occur 75:20 occurred 71:23 occurs 67:19 **offer** 9:14 office 3:11 Official 77:13,18,21 Okay 24:21 41:23 42:15 42:21 43:17 45:7 once 8:15 9:24 10:2,7 online 2:17 **open** 18:19 20:19 77:13 77:19,22 78:17 opening 9:20 10:13,15 10:18 12:12 61:19,21 Operation 64:9 opponent 60:1 opportunity 9:19,23 10:1,4,6,9 17:25 21:1 62:25 66:3 opposed 62:1 opposing 17:4 29:6 38:10,24 59:23 69:23 70:24,25 71:17 76:3,9 oral 8:16 order 72:15 **Orellana** 1:24 2:6,9 3:3

ought 69:2 outside 57:5 overrule 15:22 17:24 18:4 25:3,6 27:13 30:17 37:6 44:8 overruling 37:11 39:3 41:8 44:14 60:3 61:3 owned 48:4 69:6 74:23 75.1 owner 5:23 8:7 9:9 10:24 11:1,8,11,18 12:5,7 14:20 15:6,12 15:13,20 16:1,22 17:8 17:12 18:9,13 19:25 20:5 21:17 24:7,13,15 24:17 34:7,23 39:13 39:19,24 42:17,25 44:21,21 46:11,16 48:11,15,18,18 50:23 51:9 52:4,14 53:1 56:1,14,17,21,22 58:5 58:12,16 59:19 60:8 64:1,12,21,24 65:19 66:12 67:4,8,9,13,16 67:23,24,25 69:11,14 69:22 70:1,9,13,13,14 70:19,23 71:2,7 72:4 72:10 73:5 74:3,4

20:15 26:6

ownership 41:4 owns 40:2 52:7 56:7

76:13

Ρ

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

p.m 22:8 23:24 78:21 page 23:15 32:11 paragraph 64:11 part 29:14,15 30:15,18 31:2 45:2 49:21 59:23 particular 35:10 41:12 42:3 45:7 particularly 7:25 parties 5:20 8:21 50:9 50:25 76:16 party 49:6,8 55:19 59:23 60:1 76:9 **passed** 78:14 patron 23:11,13 31:21 patrons 11:15 19:16,20 20:6 23:4 69:25 penalties 65:8 penalty 72:19 **people** 2:17 51:2 58:9 **period** 65:10 permission 20:13 26:2 person 5:23 6:1,17

39:14 40:2 43:2 46:5 46:18 47:25 48:3,17 49:21 50:5 52:7 53:18 55:19,19 56:7 58:3 67:7 72:7 73:5,7 74:23 person's 2:19 personal 17:8 32:17,17 **phone** 2:14 23:11 76:23 **phones** 3:12 **photo** 23:5 photograph 23:2 photographs 20:6 **photos** 19:22 **PIF** 8:20 **place** 32:5,9 52:1,3,9 73:1 plan 9:1 62:13 please 2:6,8,14 4:9,10 4:18 12:21 13:6 15:24 18:25 20:17,21 21:21 22:2,15 23:16 26:6,11 29:9 32:7,22 37:15 41:24 61:6 76:21 77:7 point 25:4 27:5 41:12 42:3 48:4 49:17.17 50:17 52:11 53:24 54:2 56:24 68:1 75:4 **Police** 32:24 portions 35:19 position 8:13 13:12,17 13:22 55:23 possible 77:8 potential 5:22 51:1 power 8:24 **preliminary** 6:25 7:3,9 7:20 8:12,18,19 premises 64:14 prepare 36:15,20 37:19 prepared 35:19 36:18 38:5 51:7 68:19 preparing 38:8 preponderance 11:23 69:5 72:9 present 1:17,22 10:9,24 11:13 16:21 17:17 23:5,7,8 42:18 43:15 57:9 62:13 64:2,13,18 66:13 67:6,7,13,16,16 67:17 68:10 69:8 70:2 73:5,6,8 74:20 76:13 presentation 56:5 62:6 presentations 55:24 **presented** 8:16 10:8 42:16 62:2 66:10 74:5 presenting 8:25 presiding 1:16

prevent 8:25 relevant 41:9 51:24 **quote** 8:2,4 47:13 63:25 Retta 6:2 21:19 24:18 previous 4:3 64:3,16 65:3,6,14 52:2.9 33:15 47:1 67:16 69:6 prior 21:2 71:14 74:9,11 quoted 64:7,23 74:18 remember 5:15 8:20 70:18,20 71:18 72:2,5 72:12,14 75:23 76:2 privilege 36:22 41:6 46:6 R 53:4 repeat 15:24 61:6 returned 6:19 11:20 privileged 40:23 **R-E-T-T-A** 6:2 21:22 repetitive 75:4 67:10 71:13 probative 55:12 **RAFI** 1:19 rephrase 14:9 18:25 review 21:1 reviewed 25:23 28:10 problem 3:19 raid 73:15 28:5 53:11 54:4 60:7 proceed 35:3 48:25 report 20:10,22 21:5,9 raise 9:14 12:21 51:8 raised 8:18 10:5 46:7 21:17 22:12 35:15 revoke 65:9 proceeded 19:5 proceedings 41:13 raises 47:12 36:6 37:1,4,7,8,12 right 4:8 12:21 18:3 39:9 48:12 51:7 78:16 read 22:2 32:7 23:9,9,14 27:12 30:22 process 9:18 10:10 reads 64:10 65:7 37:8 39:2 41:7 45:21 **reporter** 5:3,4,5 produce 16:17 55:6 reason 69:2 **reports** 38:12 48:6 51:23 53:20,25 57:24 58:24 59:7 **product** 38:12,25 53:3 reasonable 8:23 represent 5:16,16,20 61:18 68:5,9 76:18 reasons 5:3 46:9 77:21 6:5,6 46:1,10,10,11 53:5 prohibits 70:4 rebuttal 9:4 68:20 46:14 **Robinson** 1:25 2:9,13 promulgated 65:12 recall 24:4 36:16 40:16 representation 5:19 8:1 2:15,16,20,21 3:1,6,7 21:5 41:13 47:5 58:19 proper 41:14 42:17 51:11,13 3:11,18,22,23 4:4,6,7 43:14,18,19 receipt 77:3 representative 43:14 4:14,16,17,21 5:14 property 6:12 16:23 **receive** 37:25 77:2 represented 6:11,13 6:1,6 7:11,17,20 8:10 40:3 64:25 46:8 48:14 9:3,13,22 10:3 12:11 received 7:10 14:10 **proposed** 12:9 76:16 71:25 75:22 represents 47:1,3 12:14,17 15:14 16:7 prosecuting 55:4 receives 75:21 request 3:4 53:13 58:8 16:12,14,15 17:10 18:2,17 24:9,16,25 **prove** 8:14 68:3 receiving 71:14 61:22 64:5 proven 11:23 68:1 69:4 recess 78:16 requesting 38:24 40:23 25:9 26:14,22 28:4,16 72:8 75:14 recognize 4:2 requests 12:18 21:8 28:19 29:9,13,15,24 provide 8:20 26:21 27:6 recommended 36:23 26:2 28:14 69:3 72:17 30:3,7,19 34:8,13 77:4 require 16:16 35:3,5,7,17,23,24 recommends 72:18 provided 1:11 64:11 reconsider 74:2 required 53:5 58:2,17 36:4,13,17 37:2,9,17 **provides** 45:24 67:18 record 4:10,15 5:24 7:5 64:10 38:1,4,14 39:11,17 providing 70:4 7:16 11:11 13:7 21:12 requires 67:12 71:4,6 40:25 41:10,25 42:8 74:17 provisions 65:11 27:18 30:15 31:2 44:5,12,23 46:1,25 punished 71:18 39:24,25 40:2,6 46:4 reserve 12:14 68:20 47:2,8,10 48:25 49:8 purchase 32:18 46:11,17 48:19 49:5 reserved 52:18 57:6 49:16 50:3,10,16 51:5 **purpose** 77:15 49:20 50:8 52:4 76:15 respect 7:24 8:8 39:12 51:12,22 52:10,23 pursuant 7:22 77:17 78:21 40:15 42:21 43:17 53:10,17,22,23 54:1 54:13,16,21 55:5,12 78:17 recorded 58:13 47:12 64:4 65:2 66:13 put 33:14 35:11,12,18 records 18:8 29:14,16 75:3 56:3 57:8 58:24 59:2 30:18 36:10 39:19,21 respond 16:12 37:6,11 59:20,25 60:13,21 Q 40:10 48:17 49:4 51:8 66:3,20 61:19,21 62:5,14,16 responding 66:21 51:14 54:8 74:24 62:23 63:2,8,11,15,21 qualified 56:22 quest 73:2 redirect 10:7 59:4,6,8 response 3:2 56:23 65:24 66:8,20 68:7,11 question 8:18 14:8 refer 36:9,10 50:4 77:4 78:12 68:12,15 72:21,22 15:23 18:6,18,20,23 reference 50:8 rest 62:22 68:14 76:20,23,24 77:9 restaurant 1:7 2:5 **Robinson's** 30:5,11 18:25 22:1 25:5,7,11 references 38:6 37:16,21,22,22,24 referring 35:15,17 11:24 14:14,17,22 60:25 refers 13:13 19:16 20:23 22:7 23:3 roll 78:1 38:3 39:4,4,6,8 41:1 rule 9:13 16:16 41:18,22,24 43:23 23:18 24:7,23 33:9,18 regarded 61:1 regarding 24:23 30:10 33:20,24 36:1 37:19 44:9,12,15,16,18 ruling 62:11 46:4 69:19 70:10 72:1 ruse 70:17 50:21 59:13 60:5,17 58:9 60:18,23 61:5,7 73:2 regards 46:25 73:16 77:17,20 S rested 62:18,21 questioning 48:21 registration 32:19 rests 61:16 **S** 1:20 questions 10:1,2,4,5 regular 27:3 28:3,6 32:13 35:1 57:2,12 result 14:19 25:17 regulation 64:7 **sale** 6:12 12:1 32:19 58:25 59:6 61:11 regulations 65:12 38:17,25 56:8 64:15 69:9,15 regulatory 8:3 65:4 Retailer 1:8 **quickly** 36:14 72:3,11

retained 6:20 75:23

release 32:18

quite 39:5 44:17

sales 23:20,22 25:15,22

II.	ı	ı	ı
saw 4:2,2 70:17	shows 40:6,11	38:15 46:13,16 47:2	take 12:9 19:22 32:5,9
saying 17:4 63:10	side 8:25	50:14 57:8 64:20,23	62:9,10,12 68:6
70:22	sidewalk 32:11	65:18	taken 21:11 23:2
says 31:16 56:14,20	signed 9:7	statement 8:3 9:20	talking 17:11 25:1
73:7 74:18	simple 50:21	10:13,16,18 12:12	36:25 38:3
schedule 65:8	simply 70:25	18:21 29:4,17 30:21	task 64:16
scope 48:1 49:24 57:6	sir 2:20,22,23 3:8 4:14	35:8,25 42:22,22,23	tell 5:10 12:22 20:21
60:22 66:15	5:2,2,6,7,10,11,14	43:18,19,21,22 44:1,1	22:15,25 23:16 26:11
screen 20:16 24:2 26:6	7:18 8:13 9:2 10:9,17	44:22 45:4,20 47:11	32:22 40:20 41:1,5
33:22	12:24 13:16 14:15	47:13,17,20,23 49:9	52:24 53:11 54:22
scroll 22:23	16:2 17:25 18:2,4,15	49:10,11,20 50:1	template 33:2
scrolling 21:25 22:11	19:9,18,21,23 20:1,11	61:20 62:6 63:1 65:4	temporary 19:5,7 22:16
seats 32:12	21:3,6,22 22:10 23:6	65:17 66:17 68:17,19	76:1
second 36:7 56:20 75:3	24:1 25:9,12,25 27:22	75:5,9,10,12 76:5,11	terminology 50:9
77:23,24	28:1,8,12,18 29:14	statements 18:21 30:3	terms 9:3
secondary 7:9 seconded 78:1	30:21 31:1 32:6 33:5	30:4 45:25 57:4 60:20	testified 27:2 37:5 45:8
section 22:2 32:22 64:8	33:7 34:2,5,25 35:3 36:10 39:16 40:6,13	61:1,8 73:18,19 states 28:23 56:10 65:3	48:11,16 54:5 59:14 67:10
64:23 65:7 66:25	41:25 42:7 43:24	stating 16:18 25:21	testify 9:22 15:19 34:11
69:13 77:13,18,22	44:18 47:9 50:13	34:7	53:5
78:17	51:15 57:12,18 58:20	status 4:3 5:15 8:22	testifying 7:13 17:7
sections 35:10,11 38:9	61:10 62:9,13 63:1,7	46:7 47:6 76:2	testimonial 57:5
see 3:15,16,23,24 4:4,5	68:23 75:17 76:22	statute 56:9,14,19 73:7	testimony 67:14
23:9,11,13 25:8 40:1	77:9	74:17,18	thank 3:5 10:20 12:10
75:10	sitting 29:25	steps 17:7,9,11	13:2 17:3 18:2 21:13
seeking 28:22 29:18,20	situation 46:22	stop 24:2 33:22	25:9 30:23 31:3 36:13
49:21 77:15	sold 6:15 42:5 43:9	stored 27:3	39:11 50:10 51:5
seen 69:24	55:25,25 56:12	strike 70:25	57:12,20 58:21,22
sell 59:11	soon 37:18 51:6 77:7	stuck 70:21	61:13,19 63:21 66:7
seller 6:16	sorry 13:24 58:13	sub-chapter 64:9	66:17,19 68:4,7,24
selling 64:2 73:9	sought 36:23	submit 32:8 76:24 77:6	72:19,20,22 75:18
sent 3:3 7:15 9:5 25:16	speak 15:5,7 16:25	submitted 24:22 25:14	76:14,24 77:9 78:18
25:20,24 31:24 54:25	18:14 29:10 30:10	31:24 51:18 53:12	themself 67:7
71:25	38:21 43:7 48:10 58:10	61:24 67:2 71:5 73:25 74:24	things 56:11 74:20
separate 35:16 September 11:4 14:18	speaking 16:9 29:11	subsection 64:12	think 5:18,19 37:24 46:16 47:10 48:22
19:11,14 22:4 42:2	43:5 45:14 47:21,25	substantial 62:2	53:21 57:24 63:3
servant 8:6 42:24 49:25	speaks 38:15	substantiate 39:21	thought 50:21 55:2
73:12	specialist 33:11	75:14	thoughts 34:11
servants 49:19 65:16	specifically 36:11	substantive 8:12	three 23:8 57:6 77:2
serve 51:2 59:11	specifications 7:25	summer 32:12	time 5:20 6:21 7:12
service 12:1 15:1 48:9	speculation 15:15 34:9	supervisor 16:4 17:14	9:16 18:5 22:2 24:6
50:23 64:2,15 69:16	34:12,14,17 44:11	18:7	24:13,14 33:17 40:5
serving 10:23 19:19	53:16 59:21	support 62:3 63:9,13	41:15 42:5,22 45:7
set 65:7	spell 4:23 5:2,4,5,11 7:4	supposed 58:8	46:19 47:18,19 48:3
seven 8:22	13:7 21:21	sure 3:18 35:4 39:5	49:25 56:12 74:12
share 20:16	spelled 24:18	44:17 57:14 68:22	times 64:14 67:14
sharing 24:2 26:5 33:22	spoke 15:8 19:2 20:2	76:22	69:15
shift 58:1	33:14 48:13 51:16	suspend 65:9	title 64:9 65:2,2,5,9,12
short 1:21 72:23 77:24	59:15 60:12	sustain 34:20 45:22	65:13,14
77:24,25 78:2,3,3 should've 72:2	staff 1:24 15:8 44:19 45:5,18	51:24 52:21 53:7 60:15	today 5:23 7:1,7 9:2 41:9 49:5 52:4,7 69:4
show 1:8 4:3 7:22 8:21	standard 33:2	Sustained 24:19 54:11	70:6
9:17 11:3 16:22 20:13	Standards 64:8	sustaining 53:21	today's 6:9
26:2 46:6 49:20 64:17	standing 32:15	swear 12:21	told 16:19 17:14 39:13
		1	45:1 55:7 58:18
showing 20:20 22:13	started 45:25		1 40.1 00.1 00.10
showing 20:20 22:13 26:9 40:15	started 45:25 state 4:18 13:7 75:11	T	
		T t/a 1:6	top 23:13 32:25 touched 60:24
26:9 40:15	state 4:18 13:7 75:11		top 23:13 32:25

1	1	1	1
trading 28:21	56:13 70:11 74:20	witnesses 2:7 7:15 9:1	26 6:14 9:8 41:3 53:2,15
trained 58:7	76:4,10	9:1,14	74:1
training 14:12 57:25	verdict 61:23,23 62:1	work 13:9,10 38:25	
trainings 14:10	63:12,14,19 66:1,4,9	53:3,5 58:1	3
transcript 77:2,3	66:23	worked 13:19	3 1:13 23:16 31:6
transfer 6:16 11:19	verified 18:12	working 45:19 49:23	30 11:4 14:18 19:14
25:15,18,22 28:22,24	verify 17:7 18:9	56:10	22:4 77:3
32:5,8,14 33:13 49:22	videoconference 1:15	works 9:18	22.4 11.0
50:7 51:19 52:1,3	violate 71:23	write 20:10 28:25	4
53:14 67:1,3 71:5,7,9	violates 65:11	wrote 20:23 21:5	4B 1:8
		Widte 20.23 21.3	4D 1.0
71:11,22 72:3,25 74:16 75:19	violating 36:22 violation 14:20 20:5	X	5
transferee 5:24 50:4	42:9 43:10 51:1,1	Υ	5 46:25 47:2
55:18 71:8 73:1	65:1,5 66:11 67:19		6
transferee's 53:13	71:14 73:8	year 73:24	
transferor 50:4,5 52:13	violations 11:21	years 13:21	6-0-0 78:15
73:1	visit 11:16 19:13,15,22		60432 1:9 2:6
transferred 5:18,25	22:6 24:3,6,14 33:23	Z	
29:21 40:8,11 46:13	70:1,2 76:14		7
67:4	visited 14:25 24:5	0	7 64:8
transferring 41:3 53:1	vitiate 76:2		7815 1:8 31:12 71:25
trip 53:19	voice 4:2	1	
trouble 69:12	vote 78:2	1 22:13,14 36:9,13 37:1	8
true 21:4 70:20 71:1		37:8,12,19,24 38:5	823 65:5 67:18
truth 12:22,23 69:10	W	64:9	85 70:3
try 3:19 6:21 72:23	W 1:16,18	10:30 11:4 22:4,8,9	
trying 31:21 34:6 38:16	wait 63:3	11 9:6	9
48:5 56:6,24	waive 61:21 76:17,19	11:01 2:2	
turn 2:19 3:15	waived 63:4	12 32:13	
turning 14:21 23:15	walk 14:23	12:00 23:24	
31:16 32:25 33:23	want 5:14 8:16 21:25	12:44 78:21	
twice 2:23 74:24	50:3,12 51:25 55:14	14 43:25	
two 2:17 3:8,9 7:3,25	63:12,13,15 68:10	16 19:11	
8:9 36:3 50:25 56:11	wanted 75:17	16th 41:12 42:2	
57:5 62:3 63:24 64:11	wasn't 8:4 19:10 42:16	17 32:13	
66:13 73:11 74:19	43:4 56:25 75:8	17 32.13	
75:13		2	
	way 9:18 10:10	-	
two-prong 64:16	we'll 62:12 76:24	2 22:24 36:10,12 47:12	
type 14:9 31:21	we're 17:10 25:4,8 38:3	65:2,2,14,15	
types 58:9	44:17 53:21 56:10	2:00 23:24	
typo 22:9	62:11 74:21	2022 6:14 9:8 11:4	
	we've 67:22	14:18 19:12,14 22:5	
<u>U</u>	WebEx 1:15	31:6 41:3 53:2,15	
unable 11:13	Wednesday 1:13	74:1	
uncontroverted 69:17	weeks 77:2	2023 1:13 9:6	
understand 13:13	weight 30:13	22-CMP-00082 1:9 2:4	
46:15 49:16 50:16	Wendell 1:25 2:9 4:17	77:16,20	
55:21	went 17:14 36:1 44:25	25.405 67:1 71:4	
understanding 5:17	48:8,12 50:20 51:6	25.701 64:9 67:12 69:13	
14:7 42:3 52:6,12,16	54:3,6 78:20	25.823 70:3 71:19	
Understood 37:13 66:6	wish 10:12,15 12:12,16	25.830 65:9	
unmute 3:4	66:20 68:17 76:16	25447 7:22	
unsure 33:3 37:23 41:8	witness 1:23 7:10,14	25701 12:2	
use 13:12	9:21,21,22,24,25 10:2	2575 77:13 78:17	
	10:3,4,7 12:16 13:1	2575(b)(13) 77:22	
V	15:22 30:19 54:18	2575(b)(4)(A) 77:18	
valid 9:10 11:13 19:8,10	57:15,17,18 60:4 61:4	25823 12:9	
34:3,23 42:4 45:13	61:14,16	25823(a)(5)(c) 12:8	
01.0,20 42.4 40.10	","	20020(a)(b)(b) 12.0	
I	•	•	•

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Nile

Before: DC ABCA

Date: 05-03-2023

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac Nous &