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CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And so the last case on our calendar is a protest hearing, Case No. 23-PRO-00014, Barcode, License No. 115593. Mr. Orellana, can you please elevate the licensee and the protestors in this case, please?

JOSE ORELLANA: Mr. O'Brien, your access has been elevated. Kerry Verdi, your access has been elevated. Marisa Hartman, your access has been elevated. Michael Shankle, your access has been elevated. Susan Valaskovic, your access has been elevated. And Investigator Vanessa Pleitez, your access has been elevated. That appears to be all, Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Could I have everyone be on camera, please? Let me have the parties, we need to do some stuff for the record. Mr. O'Brien, can you please spell and state your name for the record, please?

MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, Mr. Chair, Stephen S-T-E-P-H-E-N O-'-B-R-I-E-N, and with me is my colleague, Manalle Mahmoud, M-A-N-A-L-L-E M-A-H-M-O-U-D. I am looking for our clients.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's your client's
name, Mr. O'Brien, so I can have out IT Specialist look for that person?

MR. O'BRIEN: The client in principal is Dee, that is D-E-E Hailegorghis, H-A-I-L-E-G-0-R-G-H-I-S, and our witness is Ashleigh Spiller, A-S-H-L-E-I-G-H S-P-I-L-L-E-R.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I see that Mr. Hailegorghis is on our line, so can you turn your camera on, sir? Can you please spell and state and your name for the record? You're on mute, sir. You need to unmute yourself. Yes, sir, please spell and state your name for the record, please.

MR. HAILEGORGHIS: Yes, last name is Hailegorghis, H-A-I-L-E-G-O-R-G-H-I-S, first name D-E-R-E-G-E.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And you are, sir? What's the relation to this establishment?

MR. HAILEGORGHIS: I'm a part of the owner.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. So let's start with the ANC. Can we have the ANC representative identify themselves for the record?

MR. SHANKLE: Sorry, I was on mute. Hi, I am Michael Shankle, M-I-C-H-A-E-L last name Shankle S-H-A-N-K-L-E. I'm the Chair of ANC 2C.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, sir. And
let's see, we have the Dupont Circle, is it Dupont Circle Association? I'm sorry, let's have Ms. Verdi, can you introduce yourself for the record, please, and tell me who you represent?

MS. VERDI: Thank you. Good afternoon, Chairman and the Board members. I'm Kerry Verdi, K-E-R-R-Y last name V-E-R-D-I. I am the representative of the protesting group of five or more, and have also been authorized today to represent the DCC, the Dupont Circle Citizens Association, should this move forward to protest. I have three witnesses who are also here, present on the screen. You will see Marisa Hartman, Susan Valaskovic, and with her is Gil Livingston.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So this matter is being protested by the ANC, a group of five or more, and the Dupont Circle Association, is that correct? MS. VERDI: That is correct.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Good afternoon everyone again. Sorry for the delay. This matter is a protest hearing, and this matter today is a protest hearing. Are there any preliminary matters that need to be addressed by the Board prior to us starting this hearing? I believe that a motion was filed by the protestors. Maybe you can just let us know the nature of your motion.

MS. VERDI: Thank you. Again, this is Kerry Verdi on behalf of the protestants. We did move, and I understand that we moved late according to 23 DCMR § 1705, but we moved for an emergency continuance and believe that the continuance should be granted, both in the interests of justice and for cause, because we moved as soon as we uncovered that an issue existed with the placard and with the representations that had been made throughout this process.

The placard was posted on December 16, 2022, and it advertised that the nature of the substantial change was, and it says "a request to expand the premises into contiguous space, increasing the total occupancy load of the interior from 149 to 361 and the sidewalk café capacity from 40 to 62. The two issues on the placard were to 1) increase the occupancy by 212 based on the Applicant's expansion into contiguous space, and 2) an increase in occupancy of the sidewalk café.

What the placard does not indicate and was not indicated at any time throughout the mediation or to the investigator was that what was actually being sought was a three-fold substantial change. The first being an increase in the existing capacity in the existing space from 149 to 268 , which is increase of

119, plus an additional 93 individuals for the contiguous space.

Because this issue was never made clear on the placard or during the protest process, it was neither addressed by the investigator-which is certainly not the investigator's fault, as it appears that the Applicant hid this issue throughout this entire process. At the mediation, which was a lengthy mediation, they never indicated that they were seeking to increase the current occupancy load.

Our entire discussion focused on the contiguous space, saying they explained-and this is where I believe there was a slight of hand-our occupancy numbers come from square footage all set in the context of the contiguous space. Then the Applicant's counsel wrote a letter to the investigator in which he stated the substantial change proceeding, the Licensee is seeking only to add to its premise's contiguous space and to extend its present sidewalk café to in front of the expansion space.

That's the same two issues that are on the placard, the same two issues that were raised at the mediation. He never says oh, and we plan to increase the current capacity by 119. Now another reason I believe this was obfuscated is that in the statement
made to the investigator, they specifically addressed the increased occupancy space of the sidewalk café, stating that the sidewalk café has an authorized capacity of 40 , and the requested approval will increase that capacity to 60.
of course, that increase will require separate approval from the public space committee of the Department of Transportation. Never in their statement to the investigator do they say that they are seeking to increase the current occupancy of 149 to 268 , which would also require separate approval. Only in settlement discussions on Monday did we learn of this, at approximately 4:30 Monday afternoon. Our motion was filed before 9:00 a.m. Tuesday morning. Now in their opposition, Mr. O'Brien said that we should have known this because in their PIF they state that there were three issues, and one of them was to increase total occupancy in existing premises from 149 to 268. That was in the PIF; however, given the context of all of our discussions, we believe this to be a typo because the plans that are attached clearly identify the new additional occupancy, the proposed revised patio occupancy. They never at any point say proposed additional occupancy for the additional space.

Further, we at settlement, and Mr. O'Brien noted this in his opposition, have had ongoing settlement negotiations for the past few weeks. We did have some trouble getting them started, but once we did, we have had settlement negotiations.

In that context we had agreed at one point to say we will agree to the expansion in the contiguous space provided that it is only used for pre-booked special events, which is what had been relayed to us at the mediation and also to the investigator. They backed away from that, saying no, we don't want to have to agree that we would only use it for that space. So then when looking at the PIF, it seemed to us they were making an argument in the alternative.

One, the increased space where they say that they would use it only for private events booked in advance, and the alternative, we thought, was to just increase the space and hopefully ABCA won't require us to use it for pre-booked space, or for prebooked events, excuse me. So I think it is clear from this record that they have attempted to hide this third change that they are seeking throughout the entire process.

Now that puts you, the Board members, in
an untenable position of potentially having to rule on a protest when your investigator was not afforded the opportunity to investigate and report on a substantial change of increasing existing space size from 149 to increase it by another 119 people. Also, protestants are not supposed to learn of issues in the PIF. They're supposed to be clearly posted on the placard, and certainly explained to the protestants throughout the process.

Again, this motion was filed immediately upon learning of the issue. It was not for delay or any other reason. The Applicant should not be awarded for hiding this issue, and ABCA should not be placed in a position of ruling on a protest without giving its investigator the opportunity to investigate and report on the occupancy issue.

So for these reasons, we believe in the interests of justice and we've demonstrated good cause, to continue the protest hearing to allow for the establishment to be re-placarded, to allow the investigator to re-investigate, and to give the parties an opportunity to mediate with all issues in front of them to see if a resolution can be reached. For that reason, on behalf of the Protestants, we ask that a continuance be granted.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. O'Brien?
MR. O'BRIEN: Now that the Protestants were confused, $I$ consider it very unfortunate to hear allegations of hiding, sleight of hand, and hiding. The substantial change was initiated by letter to the Board of September 20, 2022, almost six months ago. We said in the letter, and the Board has the letter, that we seek expansion to the contiguous space and an increase in capacity from the present 149 to 361. That is true. That is true then and it's true today. That is what we request.

Now I'm asking Ms. Mahmoud to put up on the screen the drawing that accompanied the September 20, 2022 letter.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You want to show us a drawing, sir? All right, go ahead.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. This was the drawing accompanying the request. The yellow is the expansion space, the balance is the existing space. I don't know if the Board can read on the screen, but it's clearly stated that we want the existing space to have 268 occupants, and I'm saying aside versus the present 141. Ms. Verdi's arithmetic is correct, so a 119 increase in the existing space.

If we scroll down a little bit, an
additional 93 in the expansion space, which comes to an increase of 268 plus 93 equals 361. That's what we requested. That's what's in the placard. Now what the Protestants did not understand, apparently, was that the increase to a maximum of 371 came from two sources. One is the increase in the existing interior, and the second is the expansion space, but the total is 361 . That's all we're requesting and that's been on the table for six months. With respect to the placard, that's what's in the placard and the placard's correct, 149 to 361.

Now as far as timeliness goes, we did last Wednesday file our respective PIFs. In our opposition to the motion we highlighted three components, expand to contiguous space, 93. Number two in the PIF, to increase total occupancy in existing premises from 149 to 268. Now I'm not challenging that the Protestants were confused, but they have known for a week or they have been educated for a week as to their confusion, yet they filed a motion for continuance on the day before the hearing.

So in sum, the motion for continuance is not well-founded in that what we're requesting has been on the table for six months and it's untimely since they have known since a week ago that they were
confused. They were educated a week ago. That's why the motion for continuance should be denied.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You can close your screen, Ms. Mahmoud. You can close the screen, please. Ms. Verdi, do you have-I have some questions I'm going to ask, but do you have a response?

MS. VERDI: I do. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. First of all, going back to the letter, that's the first time we've heard the letter read. The letter again, the letter that was sent by the Applicant, does not make this issue clear to ABCA at all. It says that they seek an expansion to contiguous space from 149 to 361. That is very different than Applicant seeks to expand to 93 in contiguous space and increase its current occupancy by 119.

The reason this is so important is that at mediation, in their statement to the investigator, at meetings with ABRA, I'm sorry, the ANC, they always represented that the contiguous space would only be used for pre-booked private events. So the focus of the settlement attempts, the focus of preparing for the protest was focused on what it appeared to be from the placard and from the letter that was sent to ABCA, as well as what they said to the investigator, which was only two issues.

One of which was the expansion into the contiguous space was would we agree to a contiguous space expansion that was only going to be used for pre-booked private events? That's very different than an expansion of the club size as a whole, because they had also said to the investigator-now they've backed away from that, but did tell the investigator that there would be no overflow from Barcode to this private event space.

By now saying hey, everyone knew we wanted 361, it was nothing more than hiding this issue because the way it was written was seeking an expansion to contiguous space. They told the investigator that there were only two issues that they sought to address, and it was expansion to the contiguous space and expansion of the outdoor café. They've never made clear that they were also-there's nowhere anywhere in the paperwork that says our original Certificate of Occupancy is 149 and we are seeking to change that Certificate of Occupancy from 149 to 268.

I think it's an imperative issue that the investigator have the time to look at that issue, and it certainly has a very different bearing on peace, noise, quiet and parking when you're talking about
additional people simply in the club versus additional people in a pre-booked private event space.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr. O'Brien?
MR. O'BRIEN: I'm going to ask Ms. Mahmoud to put back up the drawing. The yellow denotes the expansion space relative to the existing space handily is more than twice the expansion space. It's obvious that the 149 to 361, an additional 268, cannot come from the expansion space only. I would ask the Board to ask Counsel how long Protestants have had this drawing. I believe it'd be many months. It was submitted as part of the original substantial change request.

MS. VERDI: We received this drawing with the PIF on Wednesday. I would also note that even in Investigator Pleitez's report, she notes that the expansion space appears to be smaller than the current space. I believe that there was, if it wasn't a slight of hand, it wasn't obfuscation, $I$ think there has at least, at a minimum, been confusion as to what has been sought and what is being requested, and that there should be time to allow this third issue to be properly investigated and vetted before a protest.

MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chair, 361 equals 361. If Protestants were confused regarding which areas
contributed to the 361, it doesn't change the fact that the Protestants have always understood since Day 1 from the placard that we wish to go to 361.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Let me ask a question before-Mr. O'Brien, what are the Applicant's actual plans for the change of occupancy?

MR. O'BRIEN: They are depicted on the drawing. The exiting space is 4,500 feet, but the present owners inherited when they acquired the business, a capacity of 149 . As depicted on the drawing filed, they wish to go from 149 to 268. Another element of this is to expand into contiguous vacant space, and that will support another 93. They want to go from in existing space 149 to 268 -is that right, 268? Plus add another 93, and that's how we get to 361 , which has always been the number on the table.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Just for clarification, and then the Protestants can respond, so what's the existing space of $90-\mathrm{I}$ 'm sorry, the contiguous space, the expansion of, the 93, is thathow will that space be utilized?

MR. O'BRIEN: That's-the space will be utilized as private event space for private parties. The Licensee is-constantly receives requests for booking private events, but given its limited capacity
presently from the existing space, it cannot accommodate them. There will be no flow between the private event space and the principal premises, meaning people can't go back and forth wandering. The private event space will only be used when private events are booked. It will not be used for overflow on a busy night.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to ask this question of both parties. Mr. O'Brien, have you read the protest report that was filed by the investigator?

MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, I have.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now is the protest report correct or incorrect regarding your client's plans?

MR. O'BRIEN: I did not perceive any inaccuracy. Mr. Chair, are you referring to any particular section of the protest?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, I'm just asking generally, I'm just trying to get some clarification. One of the things that the Protestants are asking for is for us to go back and they're asking for a postponement for us to go back and for the investigator to go do an additional investigation. I'm just trying to just get some things on the record so
the Board can make an informed decision on what it is that we're going to do.

MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, well, I recognize that the Protestants want to dial back to zero a matter that's pending for three months, I'm sorry, six months, and may be pending for another three months for the Board to render a decision. They want to dial back to zero and start all over again. But the protest report is accurate in this sense. I'm looking at Page 2 at the bottom where Investigator Pleitez quotes Counsel for Protestants as stating as you know Barcode has applied for a substantial license change that would increase its internal occupancy from 149 to 361.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Which is-
MR. O'BRIEN: That's the statement of my opponent, and that statement is accurate.

MS. VERDI: If I may-sorry, sorry.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Verdi, hold on, hold on.

MR. O'BRIEN: I'm not aware of any inaccuracy in the protest report, is the best answer $I$ can give you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So the protest report says that the change is asking for an additional 212. Is that correct? I guess that's what
$I^{\prime} m$ -
MR. O'BRIEN: I'm sorry. Say that again, sir?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That you're asking for an increase of occupancy of 212. Is that what the protest report states? Is that what it states?

MR. O'BRIEN: Well, it states what $I$ just read, which is the investigator's quotation of Ms. Verdi's representation. I'm trying to find-I don't know where the protest report states that the increase in capacity is from 149 to 361.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I guess what I'm trying to find out, you're asking for an occupancy level of-to increase it from 149 to 361, which is an increase of 212. Is that correct?

MR. O'BRIEN: That is correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I guess as far as the Protestant is concerned, is the report incorrect?

MR. O'BRIEN: No, I don't believe the-
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm asking the Protestant. You have said that it isn't, so I'm asking them. I'm trying to get the position of the Protestant.

MS. VERDI: And the position of the

Protestants is that it is, not through any fault of the investigator. But because of this statement made by Mr. 0'Brien on Page 4, that in this substantial change proceeding, the Licensee seeks only, and I'm putting the emphasis on only, only to add to its premise's contiguous space and to extend its present sidewalk café to in front of that expansion space. That's two things it seeks. Those are the only two things it says that they are-that the Licensee is seeking.

That leads to the conclusion, because there were no drawings, there are no numbers, that the additional occupancy is in the contiguous space, which is consistent with what the placard says. The entirety of the discussions and even what Mr. O'Brien says in his statement to the investigator is that the contiguous space will be used for private events.

Where the report is, and I don't know that the proper word is inaccurate, but is that it is incomplete in that because Mr. O'Brien said those were the only two issues being sought in the substantial change, when in fact there was a third issue, which is increasing their Certificate of Occupancy, which is increasing the space in the current club.

It changes the whole nature of the protest
because the contiguous space is only supposed to be seated private events, which is very different than oh, plus we're going to add 119 to Barcode's existing operation.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So to the Protestants, the contiguous space that would be utilized for private events would have an occupancy of 212, which is larger than the establishment itself?

MS. VERDI: That's what we understood at the time. We did not have these drawings. Mr. O'Brien did not provide them to us, so that had been our understanding throughout the course of our negotiations and discussions with the Applicant.

MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chair?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr. O'Brien?
MR. O'BRIEN: The Protestants have understood that this proceeding was an increase of 212. What they're confused about, or previously what they were confused about, and I don't question their good faith even though mine's been questioned, is they thought the entire 212 was coming from the expansion space instead of being spread across the two spaces. But they still understood that it was 212 more persons.

The placard said request to expand the
premises into contiguous space, increasing the total occupancy load from 149 to 361. The placard is correct.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm listening to you. I'm listening-oh you're done?

MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Verdi, if the Board was to grant the continuance, what specific things do you believe that you would add to your PIF in response to this new allegation?

MS. VERDI: What would add to our PIF, there would be a very different way that we would address, obviously-and I think there would be additional investigation of the overcrowding of the current space. I think we would need to determine, because based on the investigation I've done so far, the plans are not in conformity with the International Building Code to allow for the increase in capacity that they are asking for in the current space.

There would be differences in how we would address the current space, how it's treated now, the occupancy levels now versus private event space.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, but I'm asking you what information-I'm not speaking about this matter, today this matter is not going to be settled.

We're going to have a hearing, so what additional information do you believe that you're going to put in your PIF? That's what I'm trying to find out, because I'm trying to figure out what would change. What additional dimension that you're going to add in your PIF that is not currently there?

MS. VERDI: I would expect to add certainly additional exhibits. Also we made some calls about specific witnesses that we would've called that I think that would change given that the nature of the substantial change is very different. We were talking about additional event space. Now when we're talking about the club I think we would have different witnesses and additional exhibits.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions by any Board members? You have a question, Mr. Short? Go ahead, sir.

MEMBER SHORT: I'd just like to ask this question. The plans that were shared on the screen earlier, were they stamped by an engineer or someone from the Protestant just asked, from the Building Code Administration? How did the plans come about? Who drew those plans up and were they approved by any city officials? And second question would be was there any new space involved? So we're going to double the
numbers in the same space, and if it's not signed off by the building section of the city and by an engineer, $I$ wonder how that's going to work out. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Just for some clarification and then you can answer Mr. Short, there are two spaces, right? $I$ think there's agreement between the parties that there are two spaces.

MEMBER SHORT: Yeah, sidewalk café on the $L$ Street side and then the original interior. We're very familiar with it, Mr. Chair. I think you've been on the Board long enough to know we've had Barcode before us on several occasions and basically have dealt with a lot of overcrowding. If they haven't added any new space, we're going to make-I don't know. I'd like to know who signed off on it and who put the plans together for the Applicant. Were they stamped by any city government officials?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay, thank you Mr. Short. There's no misunderstanding with the parties. There is a new space, okay? There is a new space that was presented that they're assuming a new space.

MEMBER SHORT: Can we see the drawings again, Mr. Chair? Can you point those out to me? Can we see the drawings again and you show me where the new space is, because maybe $I$ missed that?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. ShortMR. O'BRIEN: Yeah, we'll put it back up. MEMBER SHORT: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you point out where is the current-explain to us what we're looking at, please.

MR. O'BRIEN: All right, the expansion space, the new space is highlighted in yellow. Below it is the existing space, which is-

MEMBER SHORT: Can I ask a question, sir? Can I ask a question?

MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, Chief, sure.
MEMBER SHORT: Thank you. Isn't the yellow space backed right up to $L$ Street where the old sidewalk café was? Is that correct?

MR. $O^{\prime} B R I E N: ~ N o, ~ s i r . ~ L ~ S t r e e t ~ r u n s ~ a l o n g ~$ the right side. It runs vertically along the right side of the drawing.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay, because I don't see any arrows showing north, south, east or west, so I'm-

MR. O'BRIEN: Yeah, so L Street is-Ms. Mahmoud is running the cursor showing where L Street is.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay, well Mr. O'Brien, I know you know pretty much about drawings and a lot of
things. You've been in this business a long time. Normally drawings would show the streets, it would be a north, south, east, west. It would show where the existing sidewalk café is versus the new space or whatever. Where the cursor is now, arrow is, that's L Street, correct?

MR. O'BRIEN: Where the cursor is now is $L$ Street. Then-

MEMBER SHORT: Right, that's where the sidewalk café is.

MR. O'BRIEN: No, that's where the street is. The sidewalk café, if you look at the top, it says sidewalk café expansion.

MEMBER SHORT: I got it.
MR. O'BRIEN: That's in red.
MEMBER SHORT: Right.
MR. O'BRIEN: And then below that is the existing sidewalk café.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay, so currently what is in that space now where the red box is?

MR. O'BRIEN: Well, the red box is an open sidewalk. The yellow is a former dry cleaner that became available, and that's what caused-it's vacant now and that's what caused the submission of this request.

MEMBER SHORT: Mr. O'Brien, that's the first I've heard about a new property or an additional property, because that's the reason why I was asking that question, and I think you know where I was going with that, with the questioning. So if the L Street side and the red line is where the street is, so how much space are you adding in that red box? How much square footage is that?

MR. O'BRIEN: The red box is sidewalk space.

MEMBER SHORT: How much space is that?
MR. O'BRIEN: It's enough-
MEMBER SHORT: How many square feet?
MR. O'BRIEN: I don't know that number off the top of my head. Well, wait a minute, it's probably in the legend right here. Proposed revised-Ms. Mahmoud, can you read this right here?

MEMBER SHORT: Mr. O'Brien, while you're looking to get someone to read that, again, where are the stamps from any building, any engineer? Who did the plans for you?

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay, an architectural firm called 2 Scale, and the company's name is the Arabic Numeral 2 and then the word Scale, 2 Scale.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay, I understand. Don't
they normally have a stamp that they put on all their projects, because I see where it says at the bottomcome up a little more where it says preliminary, so this is not the final plan. Not for construction, preliminary, not for construction.

MR. O'BRIEN: Yes.
MEMBER SHORT: So that, it's no stamps. It's preliminary, not for construction. What does that mean?

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay, two things are required in order to complete this project. Number one is approved building plans. Number two is ABC Board approval.

MEMBER SHORT: Well, the Board doesn't normally give out space or numbers until we get it from DCRA, isn't that correct?

MR. O'BRIEN: Well, as far as Certificate of Occupancy goes, yes. I can apply for 2,000, but if a Certificate of Occupancy is for 200, I get 200.

MEMBER SHORT: My point exactly. My point exactly.

MR. O'BRIEN: But the practice-it's a chicken and egg problem, which comes first? We're not going to go ahead and incur the expense of construction drawings, go all the way through DCRA
stamps and all, and then turn around and request from ABC Board the authority and then have it protested and perhaps denied. So we-

MEMBER SHORT: So I-
MR. O'BRIEN: -we come to the ABC Board with the concept.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay, great. So you want the ABC Board to approve the numbers before the building code people do. Is that what you're asking?

MR. O'BRIEN: App-
MEMBER SHORT: That's not the chicken nor the egg. The normal procedure has been, since I've been on this board, is we don't give approval of something, especially with numbers, until we hear from the building code construction, or now they call it the building-whatever the new name is. But at any rate-

MR. O'BRIEN: Yeah, Department of Buildings.

MEMBER SHORT: -we normally like to see plans that have been stamped by an engineer and approved for such numbers in such space. Thank you very much. That's all I have, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Mr. 0'Brien.

MR. O'BRIEN: Can I respond, Chief Short?

MEMBER SHORT: Yes, sir.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay, every application comes in with drawings. That's the applicant's estimate of what they can do. But once again, if I go out and apply for 200, however eventually the building department only applies for 149, then I get a license for 149. But that is a very common practice. No one would go ahead and spend the many, many thousands of dollars to do construction plans, go through the Department of Buildings, and only then go to ABC and say can we approve this at the risk, of course, of being denied.

So the Board's regulations, 405.1, authorize the Board to go ahead and approve an application before a Certificate of Occupancy issues.

MEMBER SHORT: Mr. O'Brien?
MR. O'BRIEN: Yes.
MEMBER SHORT: Just being very truthful with you, I respect you highly, and you know I do because I've been knowing you a lot of years.

MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you, Chief.
MEMBER SHORT: What I'm asking is, is this the normal procedure to double or triple occupancy based on plans that say not for construction or preliminary? Is that normal, is that-preliminary plans
are normally sent before this Board, or zoning or anyone else? Preliminary plans?

MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, it is the normal, that's what 405.1 does. There's two steps, approval and license issuance.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay.
MR. O'BRIEN: The approval is the approval of a request, but the license issuance requires a conforming Certificate of Occupancy. This is the normal procedure.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay, well, I understand that. So I guess what I'm trying to get in my head now, wrap my head around now, Mr. Chairman, is what are the-because I haven't heard the Protestants say they are against this. They simply say they want to get all of the, again chickens and eggs lined up, prior to this Board approving those kinds of numbers with plans that have not been approved by anyone other than the Applicant.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's not the issue, Mr. Short.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: This is the issue. This is where we are right now.

MEMBER SHORT: Go ahead.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The Protestants is asking for us to continue the hearing and the reason why the Protestants are asking us to continue the hearing, it was based on their misunderstanding of how the increased occupancy, what the increased occupancy. The Protestants are stating that they understand the substantial change and that you want to increase your occupancy from 149 to 361. However, we were under the understanding that the increase in your occupancy would come from you acquiring another space, that you were not asking us to increase the occupancy of your current space and also to add a separate space.

That is the issue here, whether or not-so I don't think there's any disagreement. I don't think the Protestants are disagreeing with whether or not they can expand or not. They're just saying that our understanding was that yes, you wanted a change, but we thought that the change that you were asking for was just into a contiguous space, and that the contiguous space would increase your occupancy, not that you're asking for two things, to increase your current occupancy and also to get a new space.

That's the issue that the Board needs to decide. At this juncture, the Board is not, and this is, we're arguing a motion right now, so we're not
into the merits of the case, whether or not it's appropriate or not. It's just the Protestants are saying from their view, they don't believe it's right for us to move forward with a hearing based on this new information. Let me ask a question to you, Mr. o'Brien. The Protestants are stating that at a minimum, that they misunderstood what was being requested.

They went through mediation, they went through settlement. Do you believe if we were to issue a continuance that-could this matter be settled or a settlement closed? If this matter is not going to settle, we're going to go to a hearing, based on where-I'm asking you from your perspective based on where the Protestants are telling you their misunderstanding of how they think that this space was going to be utilized.

Do you believe that this case, if we were to continue the case, that this matter could be settled? Or we're just going to push the can down the road and we're going to have a hearing in, I guess the Protestants are saying no earlier than June 14th.

MR. O'BRIEN: I don't know where that date comes from.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm just saying
that's what they threw out, yes. If we're going to have a hearing, even if postponed we're not going to have a hearing June 14th based on our calendar. I'm just saying that's not a date.

MR. O'BRIEN: $I$ believe that we exchanged multiple settlement proposals. I thought we got close, but ultimately we didn't get there. I don't see a reversal of that by a continuance.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I'm going to go into executive session, but any final-Ms. Verdi, you made the motion so any final arguments you want to make before 1 'm going to go into executive session so we can decide whether or not we're going to grant or deny the motion.

MS. VERDI: Actually three points that I'd like to make. The first follows up on the point where you previously asked me how would our PIF change. I think that Mr. Short made an extremely good point when he said Barcode has been before you a number of times already on overcrowding issues. Now because we did not believe that the current space was at issue here, those issues, overcrowding that has already existed in the existing space, would certainly be something that we would add to our PIF and add to our exhibit list. Second, I knew there was another place in
the investigator's report that $I$ wanted to highlight for the Board that $I$ think shows-I don't want to ascribe ill will to Mr. $0^{\prime}$ Brien. I think that we have worked very collegially, but I think just maybe perhaps it's been the wording, whatever that has caused confusion, but not just to me.

Because if you read, it's on Page 7 of the investigator's report under Building Interior, she states that the inside of the premises contains one large bar, a small bar, two restrooms with multiple stalls, and a kitchen and office located at the back of the establishment. The substantial change application requests to add a separate space that will not be a part of the existing space.

The added space is located directly next door to the establishment and appears to be significantly smaller than the existing space. Reading that again, I think, shows confusion or at least that nobody was focusing during this process on that the additional 119 people would be in the existing space. Everything was focused on what was going to be in the expansion space.

Finally, I think that Mr. Short raised a number of other issues that certainly show that additional time is necessary from these plans. They
don't add additional bathrooms, which are required under the code. But there are things- when you asked Mr. O'Brien could this be settled, we've only been working on settlement for maybe two weeks because there were personal matters that prevented the back and forth prior to that.

Once we started, I actually think that the groups made substantial progress. But once we learned of this new issue, we had no choice but to immediately come and seek a continuance and haven't had the opportunity to continue discussions and a mediation with Ms. Fletcher again. All of the things that I think could be beneficial now that these issues have been fully fleshed out and there's much more transparency in this process than there had been.

So we continue to ask for a continuance and believe that we have demonstrated cause for the continuance at this point.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. O'Brien?
MR. O'BRIEN: I want to address that Barcode has been before you in the past.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. O'Brien, I just want to clarify for the record, that is not, at least for me as one Board member, that is not relevant because maybe part of the issue that they were
overcrowded for 149, and that's one of the reasons you're asking for a larger space. But it's not that important to me, but you can go ahead, sir.

MR. O'BRIEN: Yeah, $I$ don't want to leave it hanging out there for the Board members when they're considering the protest hearing on its merits. I don't want this to be in the back of the mind. Barcode has existed for quite some time. Current ownership acquired it in 2019, and the only violations since current ownership acquired it were COVID restriction violations.

Other than COVID restriction violations, their record is clear. The protest is peace, order and quiet or residential parking needs. It doesn't matter where the 212 comes from, whether it comes from only the new space, only the existing space or, as is in fact, the common space, it's 361 is 361 . That's the number the Board evaluates in the context of will this impact peace, order and quiet? Will this impact residential parking needs? Doesn't matter which door they come out of. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. All right.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr. Grandis? Go
ahead, sir.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you. Mr. O'Brien?
MR. O'BRIEN: Yes, sir. I cannot hear Mr. Grandis.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Yes. I think all the Board members and I'm sure the Protestants have all read the materials that you have presented on behalf of your client. What I'm challenged by right now, because we're going to go into deliberations-

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Just on the motion, just on the motion. That's all-

MEMBER GRANDIS: Right, exactly, but I want clarification to give the Applicant an opportunity to show me where, because what we have on the placard and what has been presented through documents, and what's in the report from the investigator clearly states that your client is looking for a substantial change regarding the new building, the acquired building and additional seating for outside sidewalk café.

I hear what you're telling us, but it's not in any of the materials other than the substantial change is for the additional space and for additional sidewalk seating. I just want to make sure that I'm correct in understanding that, because that's how I see the materials.

MR. O'BRIEN: I would invite your attention, Mr. Grandis, to the placard notice. Nature of substantial change, request to expand the premises into contiguous space, increasing the total occupancy load-and I emphasize the word total-of the interior from 149 to 361. Now Counsel says that she read that as meaning that the entire additional 212 would come from the expansion space, but it doesn't say that.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Yeah, thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay, thank you. All right. As Chairperson of the Alcoholic Beverage \& Cannabis Board for the District of Columbia and in accordance with DC Official Code § 2575 of the Open Meetings Act, I move that ABC Board hold a closed meeting for the purpose of seeking legal advice from our counsel on Case No. 23-PRO-00014, Barcode, pursuant to DC Official Code § 2575(B) or (A) of the Open Meetings Act, and deliberate upon Case No. 23-PRO-00014, Barcode, for the reasons cited in DC Official Code § 2575(B)(13) of the Open Meetings Act. Is there a second?

MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Short, I second.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short has second the motion. We'll now have a roll call vote. Mr. Short?

MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Short, I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Cato?
MEMBER CATO: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Hansen?
MEMBER HANSEN: (No audible response)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grandis?
MEMBER GRANDIS: Edward Grandis, I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Anderson, I agree. The matter passes 5-0-0. I'll ask that, folks, we're going to go into Executive Session. We are going to log off, but I'm asking all members not-I'm asking the other parties not to log off. You can mute your line and turn your camera off, and we'll come back on. We will rejoin you as soon as possible, okay? Thank you.

MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Executive Session, please.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 4:21 p.m. and resumed at 4:38 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, we're back on the record. The motion on the table is that
the Protestants had requested that the ABC Board grant a continuance, an emergency continuance. The Protestants stated that there was-they stated that the placarding was misleading. The Protestants-we don't believe that, the Protestant does not have standing to request that the Board re-placard the matter.

The case report, the report that's written on behalf of the Board, it's not the parties. Therefore, no party has standing to request that we re-investigate the matter. The parties are welcome to add additional evidence challenging anything in the report or addressing matters not raised in the report. As everyone is aware, mediation is voluntary, so the Board can't order the parties to go to additional mediation if it's not determined that, if both parties do not want to attend mediation.

Regarding the occupancy, we believe that it's 212. That is what the Licensee is asking for, the Applicant is asking for, an increase of 212. We believe that the consideration of the 212 is di minimis and it does not significantly on the appropriateness grounds as far as the impact of additional people on the premises and the surrounding community. With that said, I make a motion that we deny the motion. Is there a second?

MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Short, I second.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short has second the motion. We will have a roll call vote. Mr. Short?

MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Short, I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Cato?
MEMBER CATO: Bobby Cato, I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Hansen?
MEMBER HANSEN: (No audible response)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grandis?
MEMBER GRANDIS: Edward Grandis, I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And Mr. Anderson, I agree. The matter passed 4-1, and so therefore we're going to deny the motion and we're going to move forward with the protest hearing today. If the parties, if they mutually request a continuance, the Board will consider that if both parties request a mutual, a joint motion to continue the hearing. But if not, we will do, we will start our hearing today.

If the parties need a couple minutes, it's 4:41. We can start the hearing at 4:50 if the parties want a couple of minutes before we start, or we can start right now.

MR. O'BRIEN: Can we have 10 minutes, Mr. Chair?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. It's

4:42, 5:00.
MR. O'BRIEN: 5:00? Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: At 5:00.
MR. O'BRIEN: Kerry, I'll call you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: At 5:00, so do not log off. Just turn your camera off, go on mute until 5:00. All right.

MS. VERDI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay, see you at 5:00, Mr. Chair.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 4:42 p.m. and resumed at 5:16 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, we're back on the record. All right, where we were, we're back on the record, so where we were before, $I$ suggested that if the parties mutually requested a continuance, the Board would favorably consider that. So where are we?

MR. O'BRIEN: Ms. Verdi and I believe that a brief continuance well might result in a resolution. We can't accomplish it today. Ms. Verdi's got multiple clients and she has to canvass them. We've talked to the Board's general counsel and all the parties and witnesses are available on May 22nd, 23rd-correction 23rd. Your general counsel indicated that there might
be a possibility that a quorum could be mustered on that date.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And what time is being proposed?

MR. O'BRIEN: I believe it-
MS. VERDI: It was 10:30 a.m.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 10:30?
MR. O'BRIEN: We can be flexible on the 23rd.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Being a joint motion to continue, $I$ then make a motion that we continue this hearing to the 23rd. Is there a second?

MEMBER GRANDIS: Ed Grandis will second.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grandis has second the motion. We'll now have a roll call vote. Mr. Short?

MEMBER SHORT: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Cato?
MEMBER CATO: Bobby Cato, I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Hansen?
MEMBER HANSEN: (No audible response)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grandis?
MEMBER GRANDIS: (No audible response)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And Mr. Anderson, I agree. The matter passes 5-0-0. I just want to let the
parties know there are now five members of the Board. As of today the Board only has five members, but in order for meetings to occur we only need three. Three is a quorum, so we have more than a quorum to move forward until we have had some-there are now officially two openings on the Board, so we will have five members here.

So that might be-anyway, all right, so this matter then is continued to May 23rd, and I believe that our general counsel will speak to the parties whether or not this matter will be at 10:30 or at 1:30. But the Board is available for us to have this hearing on the 23rd. All right, I would like to thank-

MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you for your indulgence.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You're welcome. I do hope that-the Board supports settlement. I'm not saying that this matter must be settled, but the Board supports settlement if matters can be settled, because at the end of the day this is a license that is in a location because it's not a new license. And so whatever decision that we make, the parties have to mutually coexist, so we're hoping that they can coexist through a meeting of the minds rather than for
the Board to make a decision.
If the Board has to make a decision it will make a decision, but we prefer if the parties can settle matters, because at least at the end of the day both sides will leave happy because there's a give and take. But when the Board makes a decision, one side might be happy and the other side is unhappy. So if it can be-if not we will see you on the 23rd. All right, thank you very much. Have a great day, and hopefully you have a fruitful negotiation. Okay, all right.

MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you very much.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.
MS. VERDI: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I believe now we're at the end of the day, so let me close our hearing for the day, or our calendar for the day, I'm sorry. All right, as Chairperson of the Alcoholic Beverage \& Cannabis Board for the District of Columbia, and in accordance with Title 3, Chapter 405, Office of Open Government, I move that ABC Board hold a closed meeting on May 4, 2023 for the purpose of discussing and hearing reports concerning ongoing or planned investigations of alleged civil or criminal misconduct or violations of law or regulations, and seeking legal advice from our counsel in the Board's investigative
agenda, legal agenda and licensing agenda for May 4, 2023 as published in DC Register on April 28, 2023. Is there a second?

Mr. Short has second the motion. I will now take a roll call vote on the motion before us now that it has been second. Mr. Short?

MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Short, I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Cato?
MEMBER CATO: Bobby Cato, I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Hansen?
MEMBER HANSEN: (No audible response)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grandis?
MEMBER GRANDIS: Edward Grandis, I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And Mr. Anderson, I agree.

As it appears that the motion has passed, I hereby give notice that ABC Board will hold this closed meeting pursuant to the Open Meetings Act. Notice will also be posted on the ABC Board hearing bulletin board, placed on electronic calendar and ABCA's website, and published in the DC Register in as timely manner as practical.

We're adjourned for the day. Thank you very much for your active participation today. I now ask all Board members to return to Executive Session
for further action.
Thank you very much. Have a great day. (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 5:22 p.m.)
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