DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS BOARD

+ + + + + HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF: :

Akedo DC, LLC t/a AKEDO

1100 15th Street NW : Fact Finding
Retailer CT - ANC 2C : Hearing
License No. 119595

License No. 119595 Case #24-251-00012

(Aggravated Assault) : -----=

> Thursday April 25, 2024

The Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board met via WebEx videoconference, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson presiding.

PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson SILAS GRANT, JR., Member JAMES SHORT, JR., Member

ALSO PRESENT:

JOSE ORELLANA, DC ABCA Staff ARMAN NAQI, Licensee ANDREW KLINE, Licensee Counsel CAMERON MIXON, Licensee Counsel McHAULEY MURPHY, MPD INVESTIGATOR GEORGE GARCIA

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

10:02 a.m.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We are on the record. Good morning. As chairperson of the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board for the District of Columbia, and in accordance with D.C. Code Section 2571 et seq, of the Open Meetings Act OMA, I am welcoming you to the regularly scheduled meeting of the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board. This meeting is being conducted pursuant to guidance made available by the District of Columbia's Office of Open Government regarding electronic meetings held by public bodies. Electronic meetings by the ABC Board are authorized pursuant to section 2577(a) of the D.C. Code.

Pursuant to the OMA requirements, notice of today's meeting was provided 48 hours in advance of the meeting on ABCA's website and on the District's central meeting calendar. The notice included the time, date, agenda, and call in or log in information for public participation. This electronic meeting is being hosted by a WebEx account provided by the District of Columbia Government. Please address

any questions or complaints to the OOG at opengovoffice@dc.gov. My name is Donovan Anderson; I'm chairperson of the Board. I would like to introduce the other members of the ABC Board who are also participating electronically. Please respond when I announce your names. Mr. James Short.

MR. SHORT: Mr. James Short, present.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Silas

Grant.

Mr. Silas Grant, present.

MR. GRANT:

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The Board has three members in attendance for the conduct of business today, and that constitutes a quorum. Before we get underway with today's hearing calendar, I need to make a few instructions very clear so that the conduct of these hearings is understood by everyone. There are two cases scheduled for today. Once your case is called, I will take a moment for IT specialist to elevate the rights for each party to enable their camera and microphone. Then and only then will you have the ability to enable your equipment. If your case is not been heard, you'll remain mute and

your camera will be disabled. At the conclusion

2.2

2.4

1	of each case, the parties will have the option to
2	leave. If the party chooses to stay, all cameras
3	and microphones for the concluded case will be
4	disabled. If you have any questions or require
5	technical assistance during the hearing, please
6	submit them using the question and answer
7	feature. Our first case this morning is a fact-
8	finding hearing, case number 24-251-00012, Akedo,
9	license number 119595. Good morning, Mr.
10	Orellana; can you please elevate the rights of
11	the parties in this case?
12	MR. ORELLANA: Okay then. Good
13	morning everyone, Andrew Kline, your access has
14	been elevated; Lieutenant McHauley Murphy, your
15	access has been elevated; Investigator George
16	Garcia, your access has been elevated; Cameron
17	Mixon, your access has been elevated; and that
18	appears to be all, Chair.
19	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I think we're
20	missing
21	MR. KLINE: Mr. Arman Naqi is
22	should be here.
23	MR. ORELLANA: Thank you.
24	MR. NAQI: Arman Naqi; I am present.
25	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Are you

1 present? Do you have a camera so you can turn 2 Mr. Kline, mute your line, sir. I'm waiting 3 for everyone to be on camera if they are able to 4 do so. Mr. Nagi, are -- do you have a camera, 5 sir? If not, we can move on. MR. NAQI: Yes, sir, I'm just 6 7 connecting. 8 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, 9 thank you. All right, good morning everyone. Let me have the parties identify themselves for 10 11 the record. We'll start with you, Mr. Kline. 12 MR. KLINE: Good morning Mr. Chair, members of the Board. Andrew Kline here on 13 behalf of the licensee. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Mixon? 16 MR. MIXON: Members of the Board, Cameron Mixon with Veritas Law Firm, of counsel 17 18 to the licensee. 19 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Nagi, can 20 you please spell and state -- that's what I 21 should have asked everyone to do, but Mr. Naqi, 2.2 can you please spell and state your name for the 23 record? 2.4 MR. NAQI: Yes, sir, Arman Naqi, A-R-

M-A-N, last name Naqi, N-A-Q-I.

1	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning,
2	sir. Lieutenant McHauley Murphy?
3	MR. MURPHY: Hello, good morning
4	everyone. Can everyone hear me?
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
6	MR. MURPHY: Yes, my name is
7	Lieutenant McHauley Murphy, M-C-H-A-U-L-E-Y,
8	Murphy, M-U-R-P-H-Y.
9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Investigator
10	Garcia?
11	MR. GARCIA: George, G-E-O-R-G-E,
12	Garcia, G-A-R-C-I-A.
13	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning
14	everyone. All right, this is a fact-finding
15	hearing and let me just put some background. On
16	we're having a fact-finding hearing as a
17	result of a request from Chief of Police Pamela
18	A. Smith. On Friday, February 2, 2024 at
19	approximately 2:04 a.m., Metropolitan Police
20	Department officers responded to an unconscious
21	person in front of 1100 15th Street NW. A
22	preliminary investigation revealed that the
23	victim and suspect attended a soft opening event
24	at the location with family and friends.
25	Following the closing, as the victim was leaving

the location, he was approached by the suspect. The victim and the suspect had a verbal altercation that became physical; the victim was punched by the suspect and hit his head on the pavement. He then lost consciousness and was transported to the hospital. The suspect fled the location before the arrival of the officers; unfortunately, the victim did not survive his injuries and was pronounced deceased on February 8, 2024.

As a result of this action, the Chief of Police sent a request to the Board for us to have a fact-finding hearing to investigate whether or not there were any -- what is the response basically from the establishment and whether or not this was a preventable action by the licensee. I just want to set the stage that this is an informal proceeding; the Board cannot order the establishment to take any specific What the Board is doing here is investigating, and we're asking questions. the end of the hearing, the Board can either determine that there are no further actions necessary, or the Board can send this matter over to the Office of the Attorney General for the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

Office of the Attorney General to take action to see if a show cause hearing should be held in this case. Again, none of the witnesses who are testifying here today will be sworn in because this is an informal process and the Board is just doing a fact-finding to investigate. Any questions before we start? No?

All right. One of the things that I will do, I will start with Lieutenant Murphy, since this is a request that was made to the Board for the Board to further investigate. Good morning, sir.

MR. MURPHY: Good morning, good morning everyone. Everyone can hear me?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir.

MR. MURPHY: My name is Lieutenant
McHauley Murphy, Second District, and Mr.
Anderson, as you stated in the fact-finding
letter, this incident did occur on Friday,
February 2, at approximately 0200 hours. I was
the watch commander during that tour for
midnights; upon arrival on the scene, the victim
had already been transported to a nearby
hospital, where we provide all lifesaving
measures, and the victim was pronounced six days

2.2

2.4

1	later on February 8 at the hospital. Upon
2	arrival on the scene, the suspect was not there,
3	fled on foot in unknown locations, and that's
4	what we know for right now. This case is
5	currently being investigated by Homicide Branch,
6	and that's what we know for right now; they are
7	conducting a follow up in reference to this case.
8	I'll take any questions if anybody has any
9	questions in reference to this case.
10	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't have
11	any questions; I don't know if any of the Board
12	members might have any specific questions of you,
13	sir. Yes, Mr. Short?
14	MR. SHORT: Thank you very much, Mr.
15	Chairman. Good morning Lieutenant McHauley.
16	MR. MURPHY: Good morning, Mr. Short.
17	MR. SHORT: Lieutenant, you said you
18	did go to the scene there?
19	MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir.
20	MR. SHORT: Okay, and this location is
21	1100 15th Street NW, Washington, D.C.?
22	MR. MURPHY: Yes, sir.
23	MR. SHORT: How long have you been in
24	the Second District?
25	MR. MURPHY: I've been in the Second

District for about almost two years now; I got promoted as a lieutenant in July of 2021 last year -- I mean I'm sorry, 2022 last year.

MR. SHORT: Well before you became a lieutenant through the Metropolitan Police

lieutenant through the Metropolitan Police

Department, did you have any knowledge at all of
this kind of -- this area, 1100 block of 15th

Street NW?

MR. MURPHY: No, sir.

MR. SHORT: You had no idea?

MR. MURPHY: No, I did not know the area of Northwest. When I was an officer, I was an officer in the Southeast, Seventh District; got promoted to the Northeast side, and this is my first time here in the Northwest side in the Second District.

MR. SHORT: Well, that's fair. And so since you've been there, is this the norm that someone would be struck, knocked down on the street and transported to the hospital and then - is it true that this person expired? They're gone?

MR. MURPHY: No, sir, it is not the norm here in the Northwest side in the Second District. I believed this event occurred as Mr.

2.2

2.4

Anderson stated in the fact-finding letter. They
-- both the victim and the suspect attended a
gathering; unknown what the reasons were why they
got into a physical altercation, and apparently
the victim got assaulted and fell down to the
ground, hit the pavement, and hit his head, and
because of the injury, he sustained lifethreatening injuries. This is not the norm here
in the Second District, sir.

MR. SHORT: Okay, so now this establishment, Akedo, is a CTL, so it's a -- it's not -- describe the business model that you know about this establishment.

MR. MURPHY: So basically, I also worked the night life area, in Golden Triangle, Akedo is the first time I ever heard of that location when I first arrived on that scene on that day. Apparently, I believe that was the first night of soft opening, where they just kind of like have like a little private gathering --

MR. SHORT: Forgive me for cutting you off. Is this a night club or a tavern, or both?

MR. MURPHY: It's not a nightclub,

24 it's --

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: It's a

restaurant. It's a typical restaurant.

MR. SHORT: Our records -- so okay,
Mr. Chairman, thanks for the correction, but I'm
seeing that it's CT on the paperwork I have in
front of me.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's your word, Mr. Short. A CT can be a traditional restaurant; this establishment is a restaurant.

MR. SHORT: Okay, I'm just -- for the record, it's registered with ABCA as a CT; is that correct, Mr. Chair?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: It's my understanding, but it is a restaurant because a restaurant can either be a CR or a CT, and this establish is a restaurant.

2.2

2.4

MR. SHORT: Okay well again, I'll ask questions based on the paperwork that I have in front of me, which says they're registered as a tavern/as you would say, restaurant. So now Mr. — I mean excuse me, Lieutenant Murphy, can you give us for this — the Chairman will call it a restaurant, but the paperwork will say a tavern; would you give us what their business model is or what it has been since you've been involved with

1 it as a night life person there in the Second 2 District? MR. MURPHY: After the incident on 3 February 2, we never had any other issue with 4 5 that particular place. When we -- when I arrived on the scene, the owners was -- I believe the 6 7 restaurant was in a closing time, it wasn't 8 nobody left inside of the business, but the 9 owners were still there; they were very 10 cooperative. They've given us any information 11 that we require of them, and after the incident, 12 we never had any issue with that place. 13 MR. SHORT: Okay, so what time was 14 that when you got there or when it went on record 15 with MPD? The incident date and 16 MR. MURPHY: time will be like around 0200 hours, and I got 17 18 notified probably like maybe a couple minutes 19 after, and so I arrived there maybe about 10 20 minutes later, probably like 2:15, 2:20. 21 MR. SHORT: 2:20? 2.2 MR. MURPHY: Right, somewhere around 23 there. 2.4 MR. SHORT: And so basically, this was 25 a soft opening; can you explain to us what you

1 know about what's considered to be a soft 2 opening? I cannot like articulate 3 MR. MURPHY: more what a soft opening will be, but according 4 5 to the owner, it was just like a private gathering which is to invite families and friends 6 7 to come over; it was not open to the public, just 8 like private gathering. That's what my 9 interpretation of soft opening will be. MR. SHORT: Has the suspect been 10 11 identified as to who assaulted this person? 12 MR. MURPHY: Our Homicide Branch has 13 identified a person of interest, so they are 14 currently investigating that. As far as if we 15 have an actual suspect, I do not know of that; 16 our Homicide Branch is following up, but we do 17 have a person of interest right now and the case 18 is currently in grand trial 19 MR. SHORT: Was this person of 20 interest identified as a person who -- or patron 21 of Akedo? MR. MURPHY: Yes, I believe both the 2.2 23 victim and the suspect were in attendance of the 2.4 restaurant before he -- after he got assaulted.

MR. SHORT: Had anything occurred

1 inside of Akedo that you know of prior to the 2 incident happening on the street where someone was killed? 3 No, sir, nothing happened 4 MR. MURPHY: 5 inside; everything happened on the outside. Were there any camera 6 MR. SHORT: 7 information that you were available to MPD that 8 you know of? Not that I know of; our 9 MR. MURPHY: Homicide Branch detective arrived on the scene, 10 11 so I'm not sure if they did obtain any video 12 footage, but as far as my knowledge, I do believe 13 there were video cameras in the area, so there 14 should be video footage available as far as I 15 know of; I did not obtain any video myself, but a 16 homicide detective might have. 17 MR. SHORT: This might be speculative, 18 but maybe you can answer it or not. The suspect 19 or person of interest, if they are found to have been the person who assaulted the person who 20 21 died, will they be charged with murder or 2.2 homicide? 23 MR. MURPHY: Yes, we believe so. Yes, 2.4 sir. 25 MR. SHORT: All right, thank you.

1 That's all I have, Mr. Chairman. Thank you very much for your testimony and thank you for your 2 3 service, Lieutenant Murphy. 4 MR. MURPHY: Thank you. 5 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other questions by any other Board members? Mr. Kline, 6 7 I'm going to do something a bit different this morning. Can you tell us -- describe this 8 establishment? I'm not sure if it's Mr. Kline or 9 Mr. Mixon; whoever is --10 11 MR. KLINE: That would be Mr. Mixon 12 this morning; I get to second chair today.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay Mr. -just to clarify the record, can you -- what sort
of establishment is this? Just describe for the
record what type of establishment this is,
please?

MR. MIXON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Board. As you address Member Short's questions about the business model, Akedo, while being licensed as a CT, functions -- it's like a traditional restaurant with full food service; it's kind of a fast casual concept, an alternative to the more upscale complete dining experience of their neighboring property, Shoto.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

It kind of features Japanese food with some
Western touches, a little Italian and American
flavors mixed in. So it's not a club
establishment; people will go to drink alongside
food.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Mixon, do you have any questions to ask the Lieutenant?

MR. MIXON: And because you -- sorry just for the clarification, I did in fact have a question, but just to address the question of a soft opening, it's an event in which prior to the full launch of the establishment, some invited quests get to meet the staff of the restaurant and try out select items to build brand awareness and report with word of mouth. Normally very successful, casual, fun event unlike the present situation unfortunately. I do have a question in fact for Lieutenant Murphy. Lieutenant Murphy, thank you for being here today and helping us find clarity. I was wondering to you knowledge of the night of this incident and then in any subsequent aspects of the investigation, has the licensee been cooperative?

MR. MURPHY: Yes, yes they were very cooperative. When I arrived on the scene and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1	even till current, they are very cooperative with
2	our homicide detective. They were absolutely
3	cooperative; they haven't given us any issues.
4	MR. MIXON: I see. No further
5	questions.
6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right,
7	thank you. All right, Mr. Garcia? Good morning
8	Mr. Garcia. Can you tell us are you do you
9	have any knowledge of this incident?
10	MR. GARCIA: Some of it, yes I do.
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And how is it
12	that you became aware of this incident?
13	MR. GARCIA: I was notified through a
14	request for a fact-finding requested by MPD.
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And what action
16	if any did you take?
17	MR. GARCIA: I visited the
18	establishment to get further information about
19	what occurred on the night in question.
20	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well can you
21	share with us what is it that you were able to
22	find out?
23	MR. GARCIA: So I did speak of all of
24	the security staff that were present, that were
25	working during the soft opening, and all the

security staff seem to have the same concept of what occurred. Basically, the security staff weren't made aware of what took place until after it took place, until EMS were arriving on scene. There was a crowd surrounding, and that's typically when the security staff was made aware of an incident that took place in that surrounding area.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So tell us about -- did you write a case report?

MR. GARCIA: I did. So the incident didn't -- just for clarification, according to what -- according to a lot of the staff and the different people I spoke to, the incident did not take place in front of Akedo; it took place maybe about 200, 300 meters away from Akedo's, but it's in the same -- it's kind of called -- it was a food court; it's multiple establishments within this vicinity, but they all are accompanied by this particular food court area.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.

MR. GARCIA: So I guess these two -three of the security guards who advised me that
the only thing they heard was oh, somebody got
knocked out, they heard a lot of that going

2.2

2.4

around during the incident that took place. None of the security staff seemed to know who was the suspect or wasn't unable to identify him at all. They didn't have specifics on what took place, they just knew that someone was assaulted in the food court area.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, but as far as your investigation, why is it that none of the security knew the specifics or what took place?

MR. GARCIA: Because it happened a short distance away from the front of the establishment. So apparently the establishment has their security staff stationed in front of Akedo's. Akedo's is maybe about I'm going to say about 300 meters from the front of the establishment is where it took place.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well the question I'm trying to ask you, Mr. Garcia, is that based on your investigation, were the two individuals, were they customers of Akedo?

MR. GARCIA: I was able to verify that one was. The victim was in fact inside of the establishment and was a customer of Akedo's.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And based on your investigation, were you able to confirm

2.2

2.4

whether or not this -- the victim was involved in any type of infraction inside of the -- or -- let me ask you, did you get a chance to review camera footage inside the establishment?

MR. GARCIA: I did. There was no incidents that took place inside of the establishment.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, so you're saying that nothing -- during the -- so you're saying that based on the camera footage, nothing occurred inside the establishment; is that correct?

MR. GARCIA: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So are you -so what is it that you -- in reviewing the camera
footage, what is it that you saw inside the
establishment? Just explain what is it, the
period of time you look at video and what is it
that you saw?

MR. GARCIA: First thing I did was when I spoke to all of the security guards, one of them were able to identify what the victim had on, and I was able to catch him on the video footage that was provided to me. So throughout the establishment, I tried to follow him as best

2.2

2.4

as I can, and throughout the time of the footage that I was provided, he was in the establishment with no incidents that took place that he was involved in.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And as a result of your investigation, is there anything that you believe that this establishment could have done to prevent this incident?

MR. GARCIA: No. The only thing that I would probably recommend is that the establishment re-organize their cameras to get a different angle, a wider view of the vicinity that they're in to combat this issue in the future, but according to the homicide detectives that I spoke to, the establishment did everything that they were required to do. This is something that happened outside of the establishment and beyond their powers.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And based on your investigation, it's your view that whatever happened outside was in no way tied to this establishment, outside of the fact that the victim was a customer?

MR. GARCIA: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And just, as a

2.2

2.4

1 result of your investigation, did you conclude 2 where there any ABCA violations committed by the 3 establishment? The establishment did not 4 MR. GARCIA: 5 have any violations. 6 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, 7 thank you. Any questions by any of the Board 8 members of Mr. Garcia? Go ahead, Mr. Short. 9 MR. SHORT: Good morning, Investigator Garcia. Good morning, Investigator -- or good 10 11 afternoon or no, good morning. Good morning, 12 Investigator Garcia. 13 MR. GARCIA: Good morning. Can you 14 hear me? 15 MR. SHORT: I hear you now, yes. 16 MR. GARCIA: Okay. Good morning. 17 MR. SHORT: Okay, you said your view 18 of the cameras on the inside in your opinion as 19 an ABCA investigator, and how many years of 20 experience do you have or what is your 21 experience? 2.2 MR. GARCIA: Five years. 23 Five years. And so you MR. SHORT: 2.4 testified that in your opinion, the camera 25 footage was not adequate; is that correct?

1 MR. GARCIA: I testified that the 2 video footage outside could be a wider view, but 3 the inside was fine. MR. SHORT: Okay, and that when you 4 5 talked with security, the security persons, that 6 they told you that the person was inside or you 7 found out after looking at the footage? MR. GARCIA: They advised me that the 8 victim was inside. 9 10 MR. SHORT: Okay. Now the security 11 persons you talked to, I do know that some of our 12 security persons that work inside of ABC 13 establishments have training; are you aware of 14 any kind of training these persons had, security for this establishment? 15 16 MR. GARCIA: They all disclosed that they had training offered by the establishment. 17 18 MR. SHORT: Excuse me? 19 MR. GARCIA: They all advised me that 20 they were provided training by the establishment. 21 MR. SHORT: Okay, that sounds great. 2.2 Now again, the victim was inside, and how 23 far from the front door was he assaulted and 2.4 eventually died from? How may feet from the 25 front door?

MR. GARCIA: It was closer to 300; it was by the staircase or the stairwell leading downstairs.

MR. SHORT: And the camera footage you said that if it was -- the coverage had been better on the outside, would have picked that up? Is that what you're saying? Might have picked it up? Is that yes or no?

MR. GARCIA: Yes, that's correct.

MR. SHORT: Okay. Thank you very much for your testimony and thank you for your report. That's all I have, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other questions -- go ahead, Mr. Grant.

MR. GRANT: Good morning Mr. Garcia and thank you for being with us. Just two quick questions for you. One, along those lines of the camera placement and their scope in terms of being able to pick up on activity in a wider range, one, is that going to be an official recommendation from you to the establishment and to the Board? And then secondly, in the event that you make that an official recommendation that the cameras are changed, is it within your purview to review the updated sort of stance or

2.2

2.4

1 placement of the cameras to ensure that they are 2 sufficient in capturing a wider scope of footage 3 and activity? I certainly can, but to 4 MR. GARCIA: 5 my knowledge, the establishment doesn't have a Board order or any type of order issuing them to 6 7 have that camera view to capture that specific 8 area, so it is my recommendation, but I'm not 9 sure if we can enforce that without having a Board order or something of that sort in place. 10 11 MR. GRANT: Thank you. No further 12 questions. 13 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions -- Lieutenant, do you have any questions of the 14 15 investigator? Mr. Mixon? 16 MR. MIXON: Yes, thank you Mr. Chair. 17 Investigator Garcia, good morning, thank you for 18 being here. In your investigation, has the 19 licensee and any of its staff, have they been 20 cooperative? 21 MR. GARCIA: They have. 2.2 MR. MIXON: Yes, thank you. In terms 23 of where camera coverage should be for the establishment, do you think that all 2.4 establishments should be able to view 300 meters 25

1 in every direction? 2 MR. GARCIA: For the safety of the 3 area and with the circumstances that took place at this establish -- well in the vicinity of this 4 5 establishment, yes I do. MR. MIXON: Did you speak with any MPD 6 7 investigators in the course of your 8 investigation? Yes, I did. 9 MR. GARCIA: 10 Can you identify who those MR. MIXON: 11 were? 12 MR. GARCIA: I spoke to one detective, 13 Nicole Howard and her partner, Bryan Adelmeyer. 14 MR. MIXON: Can you tell us what 15 Detective Howard said? 16 MR. GARCIA: I did follow up with an 17 email with her, and she did reply back "Hello, 18 Mr. Garcia, I will be glad to help you in any way 19 that I can. I can tell you off the hand that the 20 club is not responsible for what happened; this 21 was an isolated incident that no one could have 2.2 foreseen happening. The club manager and staff 23 have been very helpful in providing prompt assistance as soon as the incident occurred; I 2.4

don't really think that it's anything that they

could have done differently that may have changed the outcome of what happened."

MR. MIXON: Thank you. I understand that according to the report, there was an additional statement by Detective Howard the next day; can you please tell us what that says?

MR. GARCIA: Yes, I did speak with —
the previous statement was an email, but the
interview that I did with both partners, Bryan
and Nicole, Detective Adelmeyer stated that both
the victim and suspect were inside at the
establishment at one point — I'm sorry, that
both the suspect and the victim were inside of
the establishment at one point, but the entire
conflict occurred outside of the establishment,
that the detective stated that the investigation
is still ongoing, and the detective stated that
the incident took place a decent amount away from
the establishment and far away from where the
establishment's security was unable to intervene.

The detective also stated that the incident took place nowhere near the establishment; Detective Nicole stated that she does not believe the establishment could have done anything to prevent the incident, as this

2.2

2.4

incident was an isolated incident. It had 1 2 nothing to do with the parties involved with 3 being overserved by Akedo. She also stated that she believes that the incident isn't something 4 that spilled over from the club. 5 Thank you, Investigator. 6 MR. MIXON: 7 MR. GARCIA: You're welcome. 8 MR. MIXON: Returning to your 9 discussion of possible camera coverage and the distance of the incident from the establishment, 10 11 you're aware that -- you estimated 200 to 300 12 yards -- I mean sorry, meters. Apologies. 13 meters is roughly equivalent to somewhere around 14 300 yards? 15 MR. GARCIA: Yes. 16 MR. MIXON: You're aware that 100 17 yards is the distance of a football field? 18 MR. GARCIA: Yes. 19 MR. MIXON: So we can estimate by 20 using this frame of reference that we're talking 21 about approximately somewhere three football 2.2 fields away this incident took place from the 23 establishment? 2.4 MR. GARCIA: That's not accurate. 25 MR. MIXON: If that's not accurate,

1	can we clarify a distance we're describing, then?
2	MR. GARCIA: The distance was
3	approximately like you know what? Feet. I'm
4	sorry, correction; feet.
5	MR. MIXON: Understood. Okay. It's
6	more clear. Do you think it's reasonably
7	foreseeable that their that that was an area
8	that was outside of the scope of service or
9	entrance to the establishment, that that's
10	somewhere that an incident of this nature might
11	take place?
12	MR. GARCIA: Repeat the question?
13	MR. MIXON: Is it reasonably
14	foreseeable to the licensee that this is
15	somewhere that they would have to cover as if it
16	was a part of their establishment?
17	MR. GARCIA: Are you asking if it's
18	reasonable?
19	MR. MIXON: Could they reasonably
20	expect this act or foresee it?
21	MR. GARCIA: That's not something you
22	prepare for.
23	MR. MIXON: Thank you. No further
24	questions, Mr. Chair.
25	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right,

thank you. All right, that's fine. Mr. Mixon, is there any -- I might have some questions I want to ask the owner, but is there any statement that you want to make on behalf of your client?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure, go ahead, please.

MR. MIXON: Yes, Mr. Chair.

MR. MIXON: Thank you for giving us the opportunity to say our piece. What we have here today is an obviously incredibly tragic event that -- and no one here is oblivious of course to the severity of what transpired. A man died, but his death, as unfortunate as it was, bears no connection to the operations or security protocols of the establishment. Akedo has taken reasonable, prudent measures that every licensed establishment should take, secured itself, installed security cameras, cooperated with MPD and ABCA when asked, and there's nothing to suggest that a random act of violence that just happened to take place somewhere, potentially hundreds of feet away from the establishment has any bearing on the security measures that they have taken.

I was speaking earlier about

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

foreseeability, and when you look at all the factors here, this is not a foreseeable event. The two individuals involved in this incident seemed to have dined normally at the establishment with no signs of hostility, no negative interactions inside the establishment, that separately engaged in a -- walked away, essentially outside of the scope of the establishment and its security personnel. Neither entered with a weapon; nothing on the record suggests that they were intoxicated. Ultimately -- and nothing suggests that there was intent on either party to go there with violent intentions in any way that was foreseeable to the licensee. In fact, who I believe was the victim on his way out had a friendly interaction with one of the licensee's employees.

This is unfortunately random and outside of the scope of what anybody could be expected to understand. Simply put, it's bad luck. It's not every day that someone gets into a fist fight and happens to fall and wind up dead. If you ask the people who are tasked with understanding what happened on February 2, they unequivocally all say that there's no

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

relationship between the establishment's operations and this event. Two different MPD detectives said that it was so far away that there's really nothing they could have done, it didn't spill over from the club such that they should have been expected to monitor or follow these people in any way; so technically they're on public space, out on the street, beyond the scope of where security personnel would be expected to observe or intervene.

Similarly, as we've heard just now,
Investigator Garcia doesn't believe that there's
anything they could have done and you know, Akedo
has been nothing but willing to cooperate with
MPD and ABCA, we're here today because we want to
answer questions and address the gravity of the
situation, but ultimately the record reflects
that this is an incident that could have happened
across the street as much as it happened in a
courtyard hundreds of feet away, unrelated to
Akedo and the actions of its staff. Ultimately,
I think we're confused; we're not quite sure why
we're here, what could be done. If people get
into altercations prior to leaving -- I mean, I'm
sorry -- after leaving a licensed establishment,

2.2

2.4

and how that has anything to do with what -- how the establishment conducts its business or responds to security incidents. And that's all I have for now.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Mixon, we're having this hearing today because as I started off the hearing, that the Chief of Police requested an incident, a violent incident occurred within close vicinity to this establishment, and it appears that both the victim and the aggressor were customers of this establishment, and the purpose of this hearing is to see, as you're aware, since you are -- you practice in this area, that a lot of times incidents, we have incidents that have started inside the establishment, and because the security, since they -- if they do not follow proper procedures, these incidents are followed up outside.

And so that's one of the reasons why we -- so the Chief of Police requested that we have this fact-finding hearing, and all that we're doing is trying to find out. So maybe you can tell us -- I just want to get some clarification, whether you or your client can

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1 respond to this. Is it true that -- were both 2 the victim and the aggressor in the establishment? Because I'm unclear. 3 MR. MIXON: That is our understanding 4 from the record. 5 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's your 6 7 understanding, sir? 8 MR. MIXON: That the -- the case 9 report states that they both patronized the establishment. 10 11 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's fine. 12 And either you or your client can respond to 13 this, and so just explain to us again what type 14 of event was being held the night in question. 15 MR. MIXON: A soft opening; a 16 promotional early night of operation to introduce 17 the establishment to the community, certain 18 invited guests. 19 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And just for 20 clarification again, either you or your client 21 can respond. Are you -- is your client aware of 2.2 any dispute or any verbal altercations that 23 occurred inside regarding the victim and the 2.4 aggressor?

MR. MIXON: Not at all.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And this is a question for either yourself or your client can respond; do we know how much alcohol was served to the victim and/or the aggressor?

MR. MIXON: It's not known, but nothing in the record suggests that there was.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I know they investigated, but is your client aware? I mean, did they talk to see whether or not -- how much alcohol did either the victim or the aggressor consume?

MR. MIXON: During a review of the security footage, I believe this would have been identified, but it's our understanding that they -- it was a soft opening, hardly a wild kind of night, they -- to the best of our knowledge, they dined and maybe had drinks with dinner, but it wasn't their intention to be intoxicated.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, it's never -- and I don't believe that it's anyone's intention to be intoxicated, but things happen and so the question, the other question I'm asking, and maybe is one that your client can respond to this, was there any evidence of intoxication or over-service by your client to

2.2

2.4

either the victim or the aggressor?

MR. MIXON: I see Mr. Naqi shaking his head no.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well maybe he can -- I mean, the bottom line Mr. -- I know you're representing Mr. Naqi, this is a fact-finding hearing so I mean his reputation and his business is on trial, so I mean if there's something he wants to say, of course under your guidance, he's welcome to say something in responding to any of the questions that I'm asking.

MR. NAQI: Yes, Mr. Chairman, if I may, neither party appeared to be over-served or for that matter, intoxicated.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, thank you, sir, and so just another -- what are the establishment's protocols for preventing intoxication or over-service?

MR. NAQI: Sir, we have very strict protocols with all our staff, serving staff, that if any individual patron seems to be, you know, in excess or intoxicated, that we immediately inform the patron that we cannot continue with service, and we actually provide water and that's

2.2

2.4

pretty much it.

2.2

2.4

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And just one last question, sir. Are the staff authorized to deny admittance to alcohol or alcohol service to people that appear intoxicated?

MR. NAQI: Absolutely. Yes, absolutely, sir.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't have any other questions; any Board members have any questions for the owner through Mr. Mixon? Go ahead, Mr. Short.

MR. SHORT: This is for the owner of the establish -- the licensee. The question, and I asked it earlier, who trained your security persons on night life or in restaurant business or CT business in the District of Columbia?

MR. NAQI: Good morning, good morning, sir. We hold extensive training of our staff through experienced management that is hired by our establishment, and personnel who work in security have participated in a program that we mandate to any incoming new staff members, which is a training course that lasts 120 minutes and includes various topics, but not limited to duties and responsibilities for a security host,

you know, screening IDs, conflict resolution, use of force, rules and laws, you know, observance of alcohol and drugs, and power of arrest, etc. It includes peer trainings, testing, and final assessment before people are offered a passing grade, and we take that very seriously.

MR. SHORT: Are you aware that there are many establishments in the District of Columbia and our District of Columbia Council passed laws that suggest or mandate for some that their security persons get filed with the Metropolitan Police Department, that reason being that a lot of people who get trained by persons who are untrained themselves have issues about handling the appointed situations that wound up with people being killed. So as the Chair stated at the beginning of the hearing, no one -- this hearing is not about having you to do anything other than what you've been doing, but just a suggestion.

If your persons who in charge of your security for your business have not had some serious, professional training, maybe that's an issue that you need to consider. And again, not saying that your persons aren't or are formally

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

trained or aren't trained. So for the record again, who can you name that is a registered business in the District of Columbia that did the training for your staff? Security staff.

MR. KLINE: Chair I'm going to jump in I mean, we've been through here. I'm sorry. this, many fact-findings, and if we -- if the Board needs to request an opinion from the Attorney General as to what is required with respect to training, let's do it. But having our clients appear before the Board, and it being suggested that there is a requirement of which I've never seen and am unaware of is simply unfair, and I object to it, there's no such requirement, I'm sorry Mr. Short, I completely disagree with you, and if there's some question about this, let's ask the AG and let's get straight on it, because it really is unfair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you for -- you've expressed your opinion, Mr. Kline. Do you have another question you want to ask, Mr. Short?

MR. SHORT: Yes, Mr. Chairman. This is again for the owner. How long have you been in business in the District of Columbia?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1 MR. NAOI: Mr. Short, we have been --2 this -- we've been in business since February 1, 3 2024 at this particular establishment. another establishment, critically acclaimed 4 5 restaurant brand. We've been at the same building address that has been in business since 6 February 14, 2022. 7 8 So you have two years of MR. SHORT: 9 business experience in the night club business or the CT business or restaurant business at night; 10 11 is that correct? 12 MR. NAQI: Yes, sir. To clarify, not 13 night club experience, we operate restaurant bar 14 and lounges. 15 MR. SHORT: But is it true, yes or no, 16 that your license says that you are a CT, which 17 is a tavern? Is that what your license says? 18 MR. NAOI: Yes, that is correct. 19 MR. SHORT: Okay, so do you know the difference between how a restaurant is run and a 20 21 tavern is run? Can you explain that to us with 2.2 your knowledge of business since you've been in 23 business? I mean, I understand that 2.4 MR. NAOI: 25 the definition of a restaurant versus a tavern

1 has to do with the split in the sales between 2 food and beverage, and a tavern, just like a restaurant, is an establishment that serves both 3 beverage as well as a food offering. 4 5 MR. SHORT: Okay, that's very fair. Mr. Chairman, that's all I have of this witness. 6 7 Thank you very much. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. 8 Mr. 9 -- since you're speaking, Mr. Naqi --10 MR. NAOI: Yes, sir. 11 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I needed to 12 clarify for the record, what type of 13 establishment do you run? Tell us what type of 14 establishment is -- how do you pronounce -- so 15 for the record, how do you spell and pronounce 16 the name of the establishment, and tell us what 17 type of business is it, sir. 18 MR. NAQI: Yes, Mr. Chairman. 19 name of the establishment is Akedo, and we run a 20 fast casual, very high end chef-driven restaurant 21 with a themed Japanese-styled bar and lounge 2.2 area. 23 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What does that 2.4 mean, sir? Like -- Mr. Nagi --25 MR. NAOI: Yes, Mr. Chairman?

1 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You are telling 2 the public what type of establishment you run, 3 I'm just asking you, just tell me. I don't 4 mean nothing by it, sir --5 MR. NAQI: Sure. It's a Japanese --6 very simply, it's a Japanese-themed cuisine, 7 decor, etc. casual restaurant and bar. 8 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, sir. 9 Any other questions by any of the Board members? 10 All right. Any -- thank you. Any final comments 11 before bringing this matter to closure that any 12 party -- so I'll start with Lieutenant McHauley 13 Murphy. Any final comments that you want to make 14 before I bring this hearing to closure? 15 MR. MURPHY: No, I'm sorry to say I do 16 not have any additional comments. 17 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. 18 Garcia, any final comments you want to make if 19 you so desire? 20 MR. GARCIA: No. 21 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Mixon, on 2.2 behalf of your client, any final comments you 23 want to make, sir? 2.4 MR. MIXON: Yes, thank you Mr. Chair 25 and members of the Board. We appreciate what's

going on here today and we're happy to assist in any way, any time any establishment, any licensee is implicated, even by distant extension, to an unfortunate, violent incident like this. It's exactly the kind of thing that no one wants to have to deal with, but in light of the severity of what happened, Akedo has fully cooperated with investigators, has come here to answer questions to the best of their ability, the best of knowledge. But what -- in the course of today's proceedings, no one has identified a security failing, a real lack of care, an inability to meet its duty of care with respect to the security of its operations. Nothing about the actions of the two parties involved in this violent incident could have led anyone to believe that they were on the cusp of entering into a physical altercation.

To repeat, they expressed no hostility to one another or anyone else on the premises; they didn't bring a weapon; there's no suggestion that they were over-served and on the cusp of violence. Something happened outside that was unknown to those of us today, the licensee at the time, probably anyone but the people there. It

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

wasn't even foreseen to them that their night would go that way or that the deceased would end up as such. It's unfortunate bad luck, but the responsibility of licensees is to take prudent, reasonable measures to respond to foreseeable consequences within the scope of the licensed premises. Nothing about what's suggested today says that they didn't do that. Anyone involved in fact-finding investigation prior to today has concluded that they see no relationship between the event that took place and the licensee or its security protocols. I think that that's been only reinforced by our conversation today.

To address the suggestion that perhaps there's a responsibility of the establishment to have security cameras so encompassing that something that I believe -- as I said, an incident as much as 300 feet away or the distance of a football field away, that it's expected that they should be able to record that, that is within the scope of foreseeability I think is -- stretches far beyond what the law requires, anticipates, or what anyone from a common sense perspective would reasonably expect. If anybody could have done anything to prevent this, I'm

2.2

2.4

absolutely sure that they would have, but the reality is that tragic and random events happen all the time, and it's not fair, and it's not conducive to the doing of business in the District of Columbia if we're going to hold every establishment to have pre-cognitive or super human powers to respond to incidents that they cannot foresee or are beyond the scope of their control, and you know I think it would be totally tragic if we were here every week responding every time a violent incident just happened to be situated somewhat within the proximity of an establishment. It would be a terrible waste of administrative resources of this Agency, and would have a powerful, chilling effect against operators, established businessmen who want to do the job of contributing to our community with licensed establishments. So thank you again for the chance to answer these questions today.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, sir.

All right. I'm going to bring this matter to closure. As I stated before, the actions that the Board has is to take no further action or to submit this matter to the Office of the Attorney General for further action if this Board

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1	determines that they believe that the
2	establishment, their action or inaction
3	contributed to this event. So thank you very
4	much for so we'll take this matter under
5	advisement. Thank you very much for your
6	presentation today, and everyone have a great
7	day. Thank you.
8	MR. MURPHY: All right, thank you.
9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
10	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
11	went off the record at 11:01 a.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
2 4 25	
ر ک	
I)	

A
A-R- 5:24
a.m 2:2 6:19 47:11
ABC 2:14 3:4 24:12 ABCA 1:19 12:11 23:2
23:19 31:19 33:15
ABCA's 2:19
ability 3:23 44:9
able 5:3 18:21 20:21,25
21:22,23 25:19 26:25
45:20
above-entitled 47:10
absolutely 18:2 38:6,7
46:1 access 4:13,15,16,17
acclaimed 41:4
accompanied 19:19
account 2:24
accurate 29:24,25
act 2:8 30:20 31:20
action 7:11,16 8:1
18:15 46:23,25 47:2
actions 7:23 33:21
44:15 46:22 activity 25:19 26:3
actual 14:15
additional 28:5 43:16
address 2:25 16:19
17:10 33:16 41:6
45:14
Adelmeyer 27:13 28:10
adequate 23:25
administrative 46:14
admittance 38:4 advance 2:19
advised 19:23 24:8,19
advisement 47:5
afternoon 23:11
AG 40:17
Agency 46:14
agenda 2:21
Aggravated 1:9
aggressor 34:11 35:2
35:24 36:4,11 37:1
ahead 23:8 25:14 31:6 38:11
Akedo 1:6,6 4:8 11:11
11:16 14:21 15:1
16:21 19:15 20:20
29:3 31:15 33:13,21
42:19 44:7
Akedo's 19:16 20:14,14
20:23
alcohol 36:3,10 38:4,4
39:3 Alcoholic 1:2,13 2:5,9
alongside 17:4
altercation 7:3 11:4
1

II

44:18 altercations 33:24 35:22 alternative 16:24 American 17:2 amount 28:18 **ANC** 1:7 and/or 36:4 **Anderson** 1:14,16 2:3 3:3,9,12 4:19,25 5:8 5:15,19 6:1,5,9,13 8:15,18 9:10 11:1,25 12:7,13 16:5,13 17:6 18:6,11,15,20 19:9,21 20:7,17,24 21:8,14 22:5,19,25 23:6 25:13 26:13 30:25 31:6 34:5 35:6,11,19 36:1,7,19 37:4,16 38:2,8 40:19 42:8,11,23 43:1,8,17 43:21 46:20 47:9 Andrew 1:20 4:13 5:13 angle 22:12 announce 3:6 answer 4:6 15:18 33:16 44:8 46:19 anticipates 45:23 anybody 9:8 32:19 45:24 anyone's 36:20 Apologies 29:12 apparently 11:4,18 20:12 appear 38:5 40:11 appeared 37:14 appears 4:18 34:10 appointed 39:15 appreciate 43:25 approached 7:1 approximately 6:19 8:20 29:21 30:3 **April** 1:11 area 10:7,12 11:15 15:13 19:8.20 20:6 26:8 27:3 30:7 34:14 42:22 Arman 1:20 4:21,24 5:24 arrest 39:3 arrival 7:7 8:22 9:2 arrived 11:17 13:5,19 15:10 17:25 arriving 19:4 articulate 14:3 asked 5:21 31:19 38:14 asking 7:21 30:17 36:23 37:12 43:3 aspects 17:22

Assault 1:9 assaulted 11:5 14:11 14:24 15:20 20:5 24:23 assessment 39:5 assist 44:1 assistance 4:5 27:24 **attendance** 3:13 14:23 attended 6:23 11:2 Attorney 7:25 8:1 40:9 46:24 **authorized** 2:15 38:3 available 2:11 15:7,14 aware 18:12 19:3,6 24:13 29:11,16 34:13 35:21 36:8 39:7 awareness 17:14 В

back 27:17 background 6:15 bad 32:20 45:3 bar 41:13 42:21 43:7 based 12:18 20:19,24 21:10 22:19

bar 41:13 42:21 43:7
based 12:18 20:19,24
21:10 22:19
basically 7:15 11:14
13:24 19:2
bearing 31:23
bears 31:14
beginning 39:17
behalf 5:14 31:4 43:22
believe 11:18 13:6
14:22 15:12,23 22:7
28:24 32:15 33:12
36:13,20 44:16 45:17
47:1
believed 10:25

best 21:25 36:16 44:9,9

beverage 1:2,13 2:5,9

believes 29:4

better 25:6

42:2,4

beyond 22:18 33:8 45:22 46:8 bit 16:7 block 10:7 Board 1:2,13 2:5,10,14 3:3,5,12 5:13,16 7:12 7:18,20,22,24 8:5,11 8:11 9:11 16:6,19 23:7 25:22 26:6,10 38:9 40:8,11 43:9,25 46:23,25 bodies 2:14

bottom 37:5 Branch 9:5 14:12,16

15:10 **brand** 17:14 41:5

bring 43:14 44:21 46:21 bringing 43:11 Bryan 27:13 28:9 build 17:14 building 41:6 business 3:14 11:12 12:24 13:8 16:20 34:2 37:8 38:15,16 39:22 40:3,25 41:2,6,9,9,10 41:10,22,23 42:17 46:4 businessmen 46:16

С

calendar 2:20 3:16 call 2:21 12:22 called 3:19 19:17 camera 3:21,25 5:1,3,4 15:6 21:3,10,15 23:24 25:4,18 26:7,23 29:9 cameras 4:2 15:13 22:11 23:18 25:24 26:1 31:18 45:16 Cameron 1:21 4:16 5:17 **Cannabis** 1:2,13 2:5,10 capture 26:7 capturing 26:2 care 44:12.13 case 1:8 3:19.24 4:1.3.7 4:8,11 8:3 9:4,7,9 14:17 19:10 35:8 **cases** 3:18 casual 16:23 17:16 42:20 43:7 catch 21:23 cause 8:2 central 2:20 certain 35:17 certainly 26:4 **chair** 4:18 5:12 12:12 16:12,18 25:12 26:16 30:24 31:5 39:16 40:5 43:24 **Chairman** 9:15 12:4,22 16:1 37:13 40:23 42:6

chairperson 1:13,16 2:3,4 3:3,9,12 4:19,25 5:8,15,19 6:1,5,9,13 8:15 9:10 11:25 12:7 12:13 16:5,13 17:6 18:6,11,15,20 19:9,21 20:7,17,24 21:8,14 22:5,19,25 23:6 25:13 26:13 30:25 31:6 34:5 35:6,11,19 36:1,7,19

37:4,16 38:2,8 40:19

42:18,25

Department 6:20 10:6 encompassing 45:16 42:8,11,23 43:1,8,17 consciousness 7:5 43:21 46:20 47:9 consequences 45:6 39:12 enforce 26:9 **chance** 21:3 46:19 consider 39:24 **describe** 11:12 16:8,15 engaged 32:7 describing 30:1 changed 25:24 28:1 considered 14:1 **ensure** 26:1 **charge** 39:21 constitutes 3:14 **desire** 43:19 entered 32:10 charged 15:21 **consume** 36:11 **detective** 15:10,16 18:2 entering 44:17 chef-driven 42:20 continue 37:24 27:12,15 28:5,10,16 **entire** 28:14 Chief 6:17 7:11 34:7,21 contributed 47:3 28:17,21,23 entrance 30:9 chilling 46:15 contributing 46:17 detectives 22:14 33:3 equipment 3:23 chooses 4:2 control 46:9 determine 7:23 equivalent 29:13 circumstances 27:3 determines 47:1 conversation 45:13 essentially 32:8 clarification 17:9 19:12 died 15:21 24:24 31:13 establish 12:16 27:4 cooperate 33:14 34:25 35:20 cooperated 31:18 44:7 difference 41:20 38:13 **clarify** 16:14 30:1 41:12 cooperative 13:10 different 16:7 19:14 established 46:16 42:12 17:23,25 18:1,3 26:20 22:12 33:2 establishment 7:15,19 correct 12:12 21:12,13 clarity 17:20 differently 28:1 11:11,13 12:9 16:9,15 clear 3:17 30:6 22:24 23:25 25:9 dined 32:4 36:17 16:16 17:4,12 18:18 client 31:4 34:25 35:12 41:11.18 dining 16:24 20:12,12,16,23 21:4,7 35:20,21 36:2,8,23,25 **correction** 12:4 30:4 dinner 36:17 21:11,17,25 22:2,7,11 Council 39:9 direction 27:1 22:15,17,22 23:3,4 43:22 clients 40:11 counsel 1:20,21 5:17 **disabled** 3:25 4:4 24:15,17,20 25:21 **close** 34:9 disagree 40:16 26:5,24 27:5 28:12,14 **couple** 13:18 closer 25:1 course 27:7 31:12 37:9 disclosed 24:16 28:15,19,23,24 29:10 **closing** 6:25 13:7 38:23 44:10 discussion 29:9 29:23 30:9,16 31:15 **closure** 43:11,14 46:22 **court** 19:18,20 20:6 31:17,22 32:5,6,9 **dispute** 35:22 **club** 11:22 17:3 27:20 courtvard 33:20 distance 20:11 29:10 33:25 34:2.10.12.16 27:22 29:5 33:5 41:9 cover 30:15 29:17 30:1.2 45:18 35:3,10,17 38:20 41:3 coverage 25:5 26:23 41:13 distant 44:3 41:4 42:3,13,14,16,19 **Code** 2:7,16 29:9 **District** 1:1 2:6,12,25 43:2 44:2 45:15 46:6 **Columbia** 1:1 2:6,25 **CR** 12:15 8:17 9:24 10:1,13,16 46:13 47:2 38:16 39:9,9 40:3,25 critically 41:4 10:25 11:9 13:2 38:16 establishment's 28:20 46:5 **crowd** 19:5 39:8,9 40:3,25 46:5 33:1 37:18 Columbia's 2:12 **CT** 1:7 12:5,8,11,15 District's 2:20 establishments 19:18 16:21 38:16 41:10,16 combat 22:13 doing 7:20 8:6 34:23 24:13 26:25 39:8 come 14:7 44:8 CTL 11:11 39:19 46:4 46:18 commander 8:21 cuisine 43:6 **Donovan** 1:14,16 3:2 estimate 29:19 comments 43:10,13,16 estimated 29:11 current 18:1 door 24:23,25 43:18,22 **currently** 9:5 14:14,18 downstairs 25:3 et 2:7 committed 23:2 cusp 44:17,22 drink 17:4 event 6:23 10:25 17:11 **common** 45:23 customer 20:23 22:23 drinks 36:17 17:16 25:22 31:11 community 35:17 46:17 **customers** 20:20 34:11 **drugs** 39:3 32:2 33:2 35:14 45:11 47:3 complaints 3:1 cutting 11:21 **duties** 38:25 complete 16:24 **duty** 44:13 events 46:2 D completely 40:15 eventually 24:24 Ε concept 16:23 19:1 **D.C** 2:6,16 9:21 evidence 36:24 conclude 23:1 earlier 31:25 38:14 exactly 44:5 date 2:21 13:16 **concluded** 4:3 45:10 day 11:18 28:6 32:21 **early** 35:16 **excess** 37:23 conclusion 3:25 47:7 effect 46:15 excuse 12:21 24:18 expect 30:20 45:24 conducive 46:4 days 8:25 either 7:22 12:15 32:13 conduct 3:13,17 **DC** 1:6,19 35:12,20 36:2,10 37:1 expected 32:20 33:6,10 conducted 2:10 dead 32:23 45:19 **electronic** 2:13,14,23 **experience** 16:25 23:20 conducting 9:7 **deal** 44:6 electronically 3:5 conducts 34:2 death 31:13 23:21 41:9,13 **elevate** 3:20 4:10 confirm 20:25 deceased 7:9 45:2 elevated 4:14,15,16,17 experienced 38:19 conflict 28:15 39:1 decent 28:18 email 27:17 28:8 expired 10:21 confused 33:22 **decor** 43:7 employees 32:17 **explain** 13:25 21:17 connecting 5:7 definition 41:25 **EMS** 19:4 35:13 41:21 connection 31:14 deny 38:4 **enable** 3:21,23 expressed 40:20 44:19

extension 44:3 Government 2:12.25 36:14 44:11 foreseeability 32:1 identify 5:10 20:3 21:22 extensive 38:18 45:21 **grade** 39:6 foreseeable 30:7,14 grand 14:18 27:10 F 32:2,14 45:5 **Grant** 1:17 3:10,11,11 **IDs** 39:1 fact 1:7 17:9,18 20:22 foreseen 27:22 45:1 25:14,15 26:11 immediately 37:23 22:22 32:15 Forgive 11:21 gravity 33:16 implicated 44:3 great 24:21 47:6 fact-4:7 37:6 formally 39:25 inability 44:12 found 15:19 24:7 fact-finding 6:14,16 ground 11:6 inaction 47:2 frame 29:20 guards 19:23 21:21 incident 8:19 13:3.11 7:13 8:6,18 11:1 18:14 34:22 45:9 Friday 6:18 8:19 guess 19:22 13:16 15:2 17:21 18:9 friendly 32:16 fact-findings 40:7 guests 17:13 35:18 18:12 19:7,11,14 20:1 factors 32:2 friends 6:24 14:6 guidance 2:11 37:10 22:8 27:21,24 28:18 failing 44:12 front 6:21 12:6,19 19:15 28:22,25 29:1,1,4,10 fair 10:17 42:5 46:3 Н 20:11,13,15 24:23,25 29:22 30:10 32:3 hand 27:19 fall 32:22 full 16:22 17:12 33:18 34:8,8 44:4,16 45:18 46:11 families 14:6 fully 44:7 handling 39:15 family 6:24 fun 17:16 happen 36:21 46:2 incidents 21:6 22:3 far 14:14 15:12,14 20:7 functions 16:21 34:3,15,15,18 46:7 happened 15:4,5 20:10 24:23 28:19 33:3 further 7:23 8:11 18:4 included 2:21 22:17,21 27:20 28:2 18:18 26:11 30:23 includes 38:24 39:4 45:22 31:21 32:24 33:18,19 fast 16:23 42:20 46:23,25 44:7,23 46:11 incoming 38:22 **future** 22:14 incredibly 31:10 feature 4:7 happening 15:2 27:22 features 17:1 **happens** 32:22 individual 37:22 G **February** 6:18 7:9 8:20 individuals 20:20 32:3 **happy** 44:1 9:1 13:4 32:24 41:2,7 **G-A-R-C-I-A** 6:12 head 7:4 11:6 37:3 inform 37:24 feet 24:24 30:3,4 31:22 G-E-O-R-G-E 6:11 hear 6:4 8:14 23:14.15 informal 7:18 8:5 33:20 45:18 **Garcia** 1:22 4:16 6:10 heard 3:24 11:16 19:24 information 2:22 13:10 fell 11:5 6:11,12 18:7,8,10,13 19:25 33:11 15:7 18:18 field 29:17 45:19 infraction 21:2 18:17,23 19:11,22 hearing 1:3,7 3:15 4:5,8 fields 29:22 20:10.18.21 21:5.13 6:15.16 7:13.22 8:2 **injuries** 7:9 11:8 fight 32:22 21:20 22:9,24 23:4,8 34:6,7,12,22 37:7 **injury** 11:7 filed 39:11 23:10,12,13,16,22 39:17,18 43:14 **inside** 13:8 15:1,5 final 39:4 43:10,13,18 24:1,8,16,19 25:1,9 hearings 3:17 20:22 21:2,4,6,11,16 43:22 25:15 26:4,17,21 27:2 held 2:13 8:2 35:14 23:18 24:3,6,9,12,22 find 17:20 18:22 34:23 27:9,12,16,18 28:7 Hello 6:3 27:17 28:11,13 32:6 34:16 **finding** 1:7 4:8 37:7 29:7,15,18,24 30:2,12 help 27:18 35:23 fine 24:3 31:1 35:11 installed 31:18 30:17,21 33:12 43:18 **helpful** 27:23 instructions 3:16 Firm 5:17 43:20 **helping** 17:19 first 4:7 10:15 11:16,17 gathering 11:3,20 14:6 high 42:20 intent 32:13 intention 36:18,21 11:19 21:20 14:8 hired 38:19 fist 32:22 **General** 7:25 8:1 40:9 **hit** 7:4 11:6,6 intentions 32:14 interaction 32:16 **Five** 23:22,23 hold 38:18 46:5 46:25 George 1:22 4:15 6:11 homicide 9:5 14:12,16 flavors 17:3 interactions 32:6 fled 7:6 9:3 interest 14:13,17,20 give 12:22,24 15:10,16,22 18:2 follow 9:7 21:25 27:16 15:19 given 13:10 18:3 22:14 interpretation 14:9 33:6 34:17 hospital 7:6 8:24 9:1 **giving** 31:8 intervene 28:20 33:10 followed 34:18 glad 27:18 10:20 **following** 6:25 14:16 **go** 9:18 17:4 23:8 25:14 host 38:25 interview 28:9 intoxicated 32:11 36:18 **food** 16:22 17:1,5 19:18 31:6 32:13 38:10 45:2 hosted 2:24 36:21 37:15,23 38:5 19:20 20:6 42:2,4 going 16:7 19:25 20:14 **hostility** 32:5 44:19 intoxication 36:25 25:20 40:5 44:1 46:5 hours 2:18 8:20 13:17 foot 9:3 46:21 footage 15:12,14 21:4 **Howard** 27:13,15 28:5 37:19 **Golden** 11:15 **human** 46:7 **introduce** 3:4 35:16 21:10,16,24 22:1 23:25 24:2,7 25:4 **good** 2:4 4:9,12 5:9,12 hundreds 31:22 33:20 investigate 7:13 8:6,11 investigated 9:5 36:8 26:2 36:13 6:1,3,13 8:11,13,13 ı football 29:17,21 45:19 9:15,16 18:7 23:9,10 investigating 7:21 23:10,11,11,13,16 idea 10:10 14:14 **force** 39:2 foresee 30:20 46:8 25:15 26:17 38:17,17 investigation 6:22 identified 14:11,13,20

17:22 20:8,19.25 22:6 leading 25:2 22:20 23:1 26:18 27:8 28:16 45:9 investigator 1:22 4:15 6:9 23:9,10,12,19 26:15,17 29:6 33:12 investigators 27:7 44:8 invite 14:6 invited 17:12 35:18 involved 12:25 21:1 22:4 29:2 32:3 44:15 45:8 isolated 27:21 29:1 issue 13:4,12 22:13 39:24 issues 18:3 39:14 issuing 26:6 Italian 17:2 items 17:14 **James** 1:17 3:7.8 **Japanese** 17:1 43:5 Japanese-styled 42:21 Japanese-themed 43:6 iob 46:17 **JOSE** 1:19 **JR** 1:17,17 **July** 10:2 jump 40:5 Κ killed 15:3 39:16 **kind** 10:7 11:19 16:23 17:1 19:17 24:14 36:15 44:5 Kline 1:20 4:13,21 5:2 5:11,12,13 16:6,9,11 40:5.20 knew 20:5.9 knocked 10:19 19:25 luck 32:21 45:3

know 9:4,6,11 10:11 11:12 14:1,15 15:1,8 15:9,15 20:2 24:11 30:3 33:13 36:3,7 37:5,22 39:1,2 41:19 **knowledge** 10:6 15:12 17:20 18:9 26:5 36:16 41:22 44:10 **known** 36:5

lack 44:12 lasts 38:23 launch 17:12 law 5:17 45:22 laws 39:2,10

leave 4:2 leaving 6:25 33:24,25 led 44:16 left 13:8 let's 40:10,17,17 **letter** 8:19 11:1 license 1:8 4:9 41:16,17 licensed 16:21 31:16 33:25 45:6 46:18 licensee 1:20,20,21 5:14,18 7:17 17:23 26:19 30:14 32:15 38:13 44:2,24 45:11 licensee's 32:17 licensees 45:4 lieutenant 4:14 6:2,7 8:9,16 9:15,17 10:2,5 12:21 16:3 17:7,18,18 26:14 43:12 life 11:15 13:1 38:15 life- 11:7 lifesaving 8:24 **light** 44:6 **limited** 38:24 line 5:2 37:5 lines 25:17 little 11:20 17:2 **LLC** 1:6 **location** 6:24 7:1,7 9:20 11:17 locations 9:3 log 2:22 long 9:23 40:24 look 21:18 32:1 looking 24:7 **lost** 7:5 **lot** 19:13,25 34:14 39:13 lounge 42:21 **lounges** 41:14

M M-A-N 5:25 **M-C-H-A-U-L-E-Y** 6:7

M-U-R-P-H-Y 6:8

man 31:12 management 38:19 manager 27:22 mandate 38:22 39:10 matter 1:5 7:24 37:15 43:11 46:21,24 47:4 47:10 **McHAULEY** 1:21 4:14 6:2,7 8:17 9:15 43:12 mean 10:3 12:21 29:12 33:24 36:9 37:5,7,8

40:6 41:24 42:24 43:4 measures 8:25 31:16 31:23 45:5 meet 17:13 44:13 meeting 2:9,10,18,19 2:20,23 meetings 2:7,13,14 **Member** 1:17,17 16:19 **members** 3:4,13 5:13 5:16 9:12 16:6,19 23:8 38:9,22 43:9,25 **met** 1:13 meters 19:16 20:15 26:25 29:12,13 Metropolitan 6:19 10:5 39:12 microphone 3:22 microphones 4:3 midnights 8:22 minutes 13:18,20 38:23 missing 4:20 **mixed** 17:3 **Mixon** 1:21 4:17 5:15 5:16,17 16:10,11,18 17:6,8 18:4 26:15,16 26:22 27:6.10.14 28:3 29:6,8,16,19,25 30:5 30:13,19,23 31:1,5,8 34:5 35:4,8,15,25 36:5,12 37:2 38:10 43:21.24 model 11:12 12:24 16:20 moment 3:20 monitor 33:6 morning 2:4 4:7,9,13 5:9,12 6:1,3,13 8:12 8:13,14 9:15,16 16:8 16:12 18:7 23:9,10,11 23:11,13,16 25:15 26:17 38:17,17 **mouth** 17:15 **move** 5:5

MPD 1:21 13:15 15:7 18:14 27:6 31:18 33:2 Murphy 1:21 4:14 6:2,3

6:6,7,8 8:9,13,16,17 9:16,19,22,25 10:9,11 10:23 11:14,23 12:21 13:3,16,22 14:3,12,22 15:4,9,23 16:3,4 17:18,18,24 43:13,15

mute 3:24 5:2

47:8

33:15

multiple 19:18

murder 15:21

Ν **N-A-Q-I** 5:25 name 3:2 5:22,25 6:6 8:16 40:2 42:16.19 **names** 3:6 Nagi 1:20 4:21,24,24 5:4.6.19.21.24.24.25 37:2,6,13,20 38:6,17 41:1,12,18,24 42:9,10 42:18,24,25 43:5 **nature** 30:10 near 28:22 nearby 8:23 necessary 7:24 need 3:16 39:24 needed 42:11 **needs** 40:8 negative 32:6 neighboring 16:25 neither 32:10 37:14 never 13:4,12 36:20 40:13 new 38:22 Nicole 27:13 28:10,23 night 11:15,19,22 13:1 17:21 18:19 35:14,16 36:16 38:15 41:9,10 41:13 45:1 nightclub 11:23 **norm** 10:18,24 11:8 normally 17:15 32:4 Northeast 10:14 Northwest 10:12,15,24 notice 2:18,21 **notified** 13:18 18:13

NW 1:7 6:21 9:21 10:8 0

number 4:8,9

object 40:14 oblivious 31:11 observance 39:2 observe 33:10 obtain 15:11,15 obviously 31:10 occur 8:19 occurred 10:25 14:25 18:19 19:2 21:11 27:24 28:15 34:9 35:23 offered 24:17 39:5 offering 42:4 Office 2:12 7:25 8:1 46:24 officer 10:12,13 officers 6:20 7:7 official 25:20,23 **oh** 19:24

okay 4:12 9:20 11:10 12:3,10,17 13:13 16:13 19:21 23:16,17 24:4,10,21,22 25:10 30:5 41:19 42:5 **OMA** 2:8,17 **Once** 3:19 ongoing 28:17 **OOG** 3:1 open 2:7,12 14:7 opengovoffice@dc.g... 3:2 opening 6:23 11:19 13:25 14:2,4,9 17:11 18:25 35:15 36:15 **operate** 41:13 operation 35:16 **operations** 31:14 33:2 44:14 operators 46:16 **opinion** 23:18,24 40:8 40:20 opportunity 31:9 option 4:1 order 7:19 26:6.6.10 **Orellana** 1:19 4:10.12 4:23 outcome 28:2 outside 15:5 22:17,21 22:22 24:2 25:6 28:15 30:8 32:8,19 34:19 44:23 over-served 37:14 44:22 over-service 36:25 37:19 overserved 29:3 owner 14:5 31:3 38:10 38:12 40:24 owners 13:6,9 Ρ

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 2:1 **Pamela** 6:17

paperwork 12:5,18,23 part 30:16 participated 38:21 participating 3:5 participation 2:23

particular 13:5 19:20 41:3

parties 4:1,11 5:10 29:2 44:15 partner 27:13 partners 28:9 party 3:21 4:2 32:13 37:14 43:12

passed 39:10 passing 39:5 patron 14:20 37:22,24 patronized 35:9 **pavement** 7:5 11:6 **peer** 39:4 **people** 17:4 19:14 32:23 33:7,23 38:5 39:5,13,16 44:25 **period** 21:18 person 6:21 10:21 13:1 14:11,13,17,19,20 15:19,20,20 24:6 personnel 32:9 33:9 38:20 persons 24:5,11,12,14 38:15 39:11,13,21,25 perspective 45:24 physical 7:3 11:4 44:18 **pick** 25:19 picked 25:6,7 **piece** 31:9 **place** 13:5,12 19:3,4,7 19:15,15 20:1,4,9,16 21:6 22:3 26:10 27:3 31:21 45:11

28:18.22 29:22 30:11 placement 25:18 26:1 please 2:25 3:6 4:5,10 5:20,22 16:17 28:6

31:7 point 28:12,14 **Police** 6:17,19 7:12 10:5 34:7,21 39:12 possible 29:9 potentially 31:21

power 39:3 powerful 46:15 powers 22:18 46:7 practice 34:14 pre-cognitive 46:6

preliminary 6:22 premises 44:20 45:7 **prepare** 30:22

present 1:15,18 3:8,11 4:24 5:1 17:16 18:24 presentation 47:6

presiding 1:14 pretty 38:1

prevent 22:8 28:25 45:25

preventable 7:16 preventing 37:18 previous 28:8

prior 15:1 17:11 33:24 45:9

private 11:20 14:5,8 **probably** 13:18,20

22:10 44:25 procedures 34:18 proceeding 7:18 proceedings 44:11 process 8:5 professional 39:23 program 38:21 **promoted** 10:2,14 promotional 35:16 **prompt** 27:23 pronounce 42:14,15 **pronounced** 7:9 8:25 **proper** 34:18 property 16:25 protocols 31:15 37:18 37:21 45:12 provide 8:24 37:25 provided 2:18,24 21:24 22:2 24:20 providing 27:23 proximity 46:12 prudent 31:16 45:4 public 2:13,22 14:7 33:8 43:2 punched 7:4 **purpose** 34:12 pursuant 2:11,15,17

Q

purview 25:25

put 6:15 32:20

question 4:6 17:10,10 17:17 18:19 20:18 30:12 35:14 36:2,22 36:22 38:3,13 40:16 40:21 questions 3:1 4:4 7:21 8:7 9:8,9,11,12 12:18 16:6,20 17:7 18:5 23:7 25:14,17 26:12 26:13,14 30:24 31:2 33:16 37:11 38:9,10 43:9 44:8 46:19 **auick** 25:16

quite 33:22 quorum 3:14

R

random 31:20 32:18 46:2 range 25:20 re-organize 22:11 real 44:12 reality 46:2 really 27:25 33:4 40:18 reason 39:12 reasonable 30:18 31:16 45:5

reasonably 30:6,13,19 45:24 reasons 11:3 34:20 recommend 22:10 recommendation 25:21 25:23 26:8 record 2:4 5:11,23 12:11 13:14 16:14,16 32:11 33:17 35:5 36:6 40:1 42:12,15 45:20 47:11 records 12:3 reference 9:7,9 29:20 reflects 33:17 regarding 2:13 35:23 registered 12:11,19 40:2 regularly 2:8 reinforced 45:13 relationship 33:1 45:10 remain 3:24 repeat 30:12 44:19 reply 27:17 report 17:15 19:10 25:11 28:4 35:9 representing 37:6 reputation 37:7 request 6:17 7:12 8:10

34:21 require 4:4 13:11 required 22:16 40:9 requirement 40:12,15 requirements 2:17 requires 45:22 resolution 39:1 resources 46:14 respect 40:10 44:13 respond 3:6 35:1,12,21 36:3,24 45:5 46:7

requested 18:14 34:8

18:14 40:8

responded 6:20 responding 37:11 46:10 responds 34:3

response 7:15 responsibilities 38:25 responsibility 45:4,15 responsible 27:20 restaurant 12:1,1,9,9

12:14,15,16,20,23 13:7 14:24 16:22 17:13 38:15 41:5,10 41:13,20,25 42:3,20 43:7

result 6:17 7:11 22:5 23:1 Retailer 1:7

46:23 47:4 Returning 29:8 **service** 16:3,23 30:8 speculative 15:17 revealed 6:22 37:25 38:4 **spell** 5:20,22 42:15 taken 31:15,24 review 21:3 25:25 36:12 serving 37:21 **spill** 33:5 talk 36:9 reviewing 21:15 set 7:17 spilled 29:5 talked 24:5,11 right 5:8,9 6:14 8:8 9:4 **Seventh** 10:13 **split** 42:1 talking 29:20 9:6 13:22 14:17 15:25 **severity** 31:12 44:6 **spoke** 19:14 21:21 tasked 32:23 18:6,7 21:8 23:6 shaking 37:2 22:15 27:12 tavern 11:22 12:23 30:25 31:1 37:16 43:8 **share** 18:21 staff 1:19 17:13 18:24 41:17,21,25 42:2 **short** 1:17 3:7,8,8 9:13 19:1,2,6,13 20:2,13 tavern/as 12:20 43:10 46:21 47:8,9 rights 3:21 4:10 9:14,16,17,20,23 10:4 26:19 27:22 33:21 technical 4:5 37:21,21 38:3,18,22 roughly 29:13 10:10,17 11:10,21 technically 33:7 40:4,4 **rules** 39:2 12:3,8,10,17 13:13,21 tell 16:8 18:8 19:9 27:14 run 41:20,21 42:13,19 13:24 14:10,19,25 stage 7:17 27:19 28:6 34:24 43:2 15:6,17,25 20:11 23:8 staircase 25:2 42:13,16 43:3 23:9,15,17,23 24:4,10 stairwell 25:2 telling 43:1 S **stance** 25:25 terms 25:18 26:22 24:18,21 25:4,10 38:11,12 39:7 40:15 **start** 5:11 8:7,9 43:12 terrible 46:13 safety 27:2 **started** 34:7.15 testified 23:24 24:1 **sales** 42:1 40:22,23 41:1,8,15,19 **saw** 21:16,19 42:5 **state** 5:20,22 testifying 8:4 **Short's** 16:20 saying 21:9,10 25:7 **stated** 8:18 11:1 28:10 testimony 16:2 25:11 39:25 **Shoto** 16:25 28:16,17,21,23 29:3 testing 39:4 **show** 8:2 39:16 46:22 thank 4:23 5:9 9:14 savs 12:19 28:6 41:16 41:17 45:8 side 10:14,15,24 statement 28:5,8 31:3 15:25 16:1,2,4,18 scene 8:22 9:2,18 11:17 **states** 35:9 17:19 18:7 23:7 25:10 **signs** 32:5 **Silas** 1:17 3:9,11 stationed 20:13 25:11,16 26:11,16,17 13:6 15:10 17:25 19:4 scheduled 2:9 3:19 Similarly 33:11 **stav** 4:2 26:22 28:3 29:6 30:23 **scope** 25:18 26:2 30:8 **simply** 32:20 40:13 **steps** 7:20 31:1.8 37:17 40:19 straight 40:18 32:8,19 33:9 45:6,21 43:6 42:7,8 43:10,17,24 **sir** 5:2,5,6,24 6:2,5 8:12 **street** 1:7 6:21 9:21 46:18,20 47:3,5,7,8 46:8 8:15 9:13,19,22 10:9 10:8,20 15:2 33:8,19 **thanks** 12:4 screening 39:1 **second** 8:17 9:24,25 10:23 11:9 15:4.24 stretches 45:22 themed 42:21 10:16,24 11:9 13:1 35:7 37:17,20 38:3,7 strict 37:20 thing 19:24 21:20 22:9 16:12 38:18 41:12 42:10,17 **struck** 10:19 44:5 secondly 25:22 42:24 43:3,4,8,23 **submit** 4:6 46:24 things 8:8 36:21 **section** 2:7,15 46:20 subsequent 17:22 think 4:19 26:24 27:25 **secured** 31:17 situated 46:12 successful 17:16 30:6 33:22 45:12,21 situation 17:17 33:17 security 18:24 19:1,2,6 sufficient 26:2 46:9 situations 39:15 19:23 20:2,9,13 21:21 suggest 31:20 39:10 threatening 11:8 24:5,5,10,12,14 28:20 six 8:25 suggested 40:12 45:7 three 3:13 19:23 29:21 Thursday 1:11 31:14,18,23 32:9 33:9 **Smith** 6:18 suggestion 39:20 44:21 34:3,17 36:13 38:14 **soft** 6:23 11:19 13:25 45:14 tied 22:21 14:1,4,9 17:11 18:25 suggests 32:11,12 36:6 till 18:1 38:21,25 39:11,22 time 2:21 10:15 11:16 40:4 44:11,14 45:12 35:15 36:15 **super** 46:6 13:7,13,17 21:18 22:1 sure 15:11 16:9 26:9 45:16 somebody 19:24 see 8:2 18:4 34:13 36:9 somewhat 46:12 31:6 33:22 43:5 46:1 44:2,25 46:3,11 37:2 45:10 times 34:14 soon 27:24 surrounding 19:5,8 seeing 12:5 **sorry** 10:3 17:8 28:12 survive 7:8 today 3:14,19 8:4 16:12 seen 40:13 29:12 30:4 33:25 40:6 suspect 6:23 7:1,2,4,6 17:19 31:10 33:15 **select** 17:14 40:15 43:15 9:2 11:2 14:10,15,23 34:6 44:1,24 45:7,9 send 7:24 sort 16:14 25:25 26:10 15:18 20:3 28:11,13 45:13 46:19 47:6 sounds 24:21 sense 45:23 sustained 11:7 today's 2:18 3:15 44:10 sent 7:12 Southeast 10:13 sworn 8:4 told 24:6 separately 32:7 **space** 33:8 **topics** 38:24 Т speak 18:23 27:6 28:7 totally 46:9 **seq** 2:7 speaking 31:25 42:9 touches 17:2 t/a 1:6 serious 39:23 take 3:20 7:19 8:1 9:8 seriously 39:6 specialist 3:20 tour 8:21 served 36:3 **specific** 7:19 9:12 26:7 18:16 19:15 30:11 **traditional** 12:8 16:22 serves 42:3 specifics 20:4,9 31:17,21 39:6 45:4 tragic 31:10 46:2,10

trained 38:14 39:13 40:1,1 training 24:13,14,17,20 38:18,23 39:23 40:4 40:10 trainings 39:4 transpired 31:12 transported 7:6 8:23 10:20	vicinity 19:19 22:12 27:4 34:9 victim 6:23,25 7:2,3,8 8:22,25 11:2,5 14:23 20:22 21:1,22 22:23	word 12:8 17:15 work 24:12 38:20 worked 11:15 working 18:25	6 7
40:1,1 training 24:13,14,17,20 38:18,23 39:23 40:4 40:10 trainings 39:4 transpired 31:12 transported 7:6 8:23	27:4 34:9 victim 6:23,25 7:2,3,8 8:22,25 11:2,5 14:23 20:22 21:1,22 22:23	work 24:12 38:20 worked 11:15	
training 24:13,14,17,20 38:18,23 39:23 40:4 40:10 trainings 39:4 transpired 31:12 transported 7:6 8:23	victim 6:23,25 7:2,3,8 8:22,25 11:2,5 14:23 20:22 21:1,22 22:23	worked 11:15	7
38:18,23 39:23 40:4 40:10 trainings 39:4 transpired 31:12 transported 7:6 8:23	8:22,25 11:2,5 14:23 20:22 21:1,22 22:23		<i>I</i>
40:10 trainings 39:4 transpired 31:12 transported 7:6 8:23	20:22 21:1,22 22:23	TIVINING TO.ZU	1
trainings 39:4 transpired 31:12 transported 7:6 8:23		wound 39:15	l———
transpired 31:12 transported 7:6 8:23			8
transported 7:6 8:23	24:9,22 28:11,13	write 19:10	8 7:10 9:1
	32:15 34:11 35:2,23		
10:20	36:4,10 37:1	X	
	video 15:11,13,14,15		
trial 14:18 37:8	21:18,23 24:2	Y	
Triangle 11:15	videoconference 1:13	yards 29:12,14,17	
tried 21:25	view 22:12,20 23:17	year 10:3,3	
true 10:21 35:1 41:15	24:2 26:7,25	years 10:1 23:19,22,23	
try 17:14	violations 23:2,5	41:8	
trying 20:18 34:23	violence 31:20 44:23		
turn 5:1	violent 32:13 34:8 44:4	Z	
two 3:18 10:1 19:22	44:16 46:11		
		0	
20:19 25:16 32:3 33:2	visited 18:17		
41:8 44:15		0200 8:20 13:17	
type 16:16 21:2 26:6			
35:13 42:12,13,17	W 1:14,16	1	
43:2	waiting 5:2	1 41:2	
typical 12:1	walked 32:7	10 13:19	
typically 19:6	want 7:17 31:3,4 33:15	10:02 2:2	
	34:24 40:21 43:13,18	100 29:16	
U	43:23 46:16	11:01 47:11	
ultimately 32:12 33:17	wants 37:9 44:5	1100 1:7 6:21 9:21 10:7	
33:21	Washington 9:21	119595 1:8 4:9	
unable 20:3 28:20	wasn't 13:7 20:3 36:18	120 38:23	
unaware 40:13	45:1	120 30.23	
unclear 35:3	waste 46:13	15th 1:7 6:21 9:21 10:7	
unconscious 6:20	watch 8:21	1301 1.7 0.21 9.21 10.7	
understand 28:3 32:20	water 37:25	2	
41:24	way 22:21 27:18 32:14	2 6:18 8:20 13:4 32:24	
understanding 12:14	32:16 33:7 44:2 45:2	2:04 6:19	
32:24 35:4,7 36:14	we'll 5:11 47:4	2:15 13:20	
understood 3:18 30:5	we're 4:19 6:16 7:21	2:20 13:20,21	
underway 3:15	29:20 30:1 33:15,22	200 19:16 29:11	
unequivocally 32:25	33:22,23 34:6,23 44:1	2021 10:2	
unfair 40:14,18	46:5	2022 10:3 41:7	
unfortunate 31:13 44:4	we've 33:11 40:6 41:2,5	2024 1:11 6:18 7:10	
45:3	weapon 32:10 44:21	41:3	
unfortunately 7:8 17:17	WebEx 1:13 2:24	24-251-00012 1:8 4:8	
32:18	website 2:19	25 1:11	
unknown 9:3 11:3	week 46:10	2571 2:7	
44:24	welcome 29:7 37:10	2577(a) 2:15	
unrelated 33:20	welcoming 2:8	2C 1:7	
untrained 39:14	went 13:14 47:11	20 1.7	
updated 25:25	weren't 19:3	3	
upscale 16:24	Western 17:2		
use 39:1	wider 22:12 24:2 25:19	300 19:16 20:15 25:1	
ਪਤਦ ਹੁਝ.।		26:25 29:11,12,14	
v	26:2	45:18	
	wild 36:15		
various 38:24	willing 33:14	4	
verbal 7:2 35:22	wind 32:22	48 2:18	
verify 20:21	witness 42:6		
Veritas 5:17	witnesses 8:3	5	
versus 41:25	wondering 17:20		

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: AKEDO

Before: DC ABCA

Date: 04-25-24

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac Nous &