DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE AND CANNABIS BOARD + + + + + +

MEETING

-----=

IN THE MATTER OF:

:

2461 Corporation, t/a Madam's Organ

2461 18th Street NW : Show Cause Retailer CT-ANC 1C : Hearing

License #25273 :
Case # 23-251-00016 :

:

(Failed to Follow : Security Plan.) :

_____=

Wednesday
March 20, 2024

The Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board met via WebEx videoconference, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson presiding.

PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson SILAS GRANT, JR., Member JAMES SHORT, JR., Member

ALSO PRESENT:

JOSE ORELLANA, DC ABCA Staff RICHARD BIANCO, Licensee's Counsel SARA REYNOLDS, Licensee INVESTIGATOR MARK RUIZ, DC ABCA CHRISTOPHER SOUTHCOTT, DC OAG

C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S

OPENING STATEMENTS				PAGE
Government Respondent				12
WITNESS	DIRECT C	ROSS RE	DIRECT	RECROSS
MARK RUIZ	19	68	107	
EXHIBITS				MARK RECD
EXHIBITS				PAGE
1 MPD incident rep 2 Statement from a 3 Statement from a 4 Photo of injuric 5 Photo of injuric 6 Photo of injuric 7 Photo of injuric 9 Statement from a 10 Email from Sara Investigator Rus	Jakob Sch Javana Cl es es es Walter Jo Reynolds	eidt ark		
11 Establishment's				

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

1:33 p.m.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And so the only case that we have on our calendar this afternoon is a show cause hearing, Case Number 23-251-00016, Madam's Organ, License Number 25273. Good afternoon, Mr. Orellana, can you please elevate the rights of the parties in this case?

MR. ORELLANA: Sure, good afternoon.

Investigator Mark Ruiz, your access has been elevated. Christopher Southcott, your access has been elevated. Richard Bianco, your access has been elevated. And Sara Reynolds, your access has been elevated. That is all, Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Good afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon.

Let me have the attorneys identify themselves for the record, starting with the government.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Good afternoon,
Chairman Anderson. This is from the Attorney
General, Chris Southcott, first name C-H-R-I-S,
last name S-O-U-T-H-C-O-T-T, from D.C.'s Office
of the Attorney General representing the

District.

2.2

2.4

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon.

Mr. Bianco?

MR. BIANCO: Members of the board,
Richard Bianco on behalf of the respondent in
this case, 2461 Corp. R-I-C-H-A-R-D B-I-A-N-C-O.
With me I have the establishment's manager, Sara
Reynolds, as well as the owner, Bill Duggan. And
I can have them introduce themselves separately
at your pleasure.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I think we'll do that shortly. There is a preliminary matter that I would like to address at the beginning of the hearing. I am aware, Mr. Bianco, that you had filed a motion asking for this matter to be postponed. The government had opposed your motion, and clearly we're here today, and so on our legal agenda this morning the board denied your motion, and so therefore that's why we're here.

It's my understanding that in moving forward that you might want to call a witness out of order. We can address that if that is still relevant. Okay?

MR. BIANCO: Thank you very much, Mr.

Chair, I appreciate you putting that on the record. As of right now it's not based on when we're being called. I had planned for the potential of delay, but since we're going forward on time, I think I can call him in order. If that changes, I will speak up and let the board know.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, thank you. Mr. Southcott, are there any other preliminary matters that we need to deal with before we move forward?

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Yes, there are a couple of preliminary matters from the government and co with respect to the witness list that has been provided by the establishment. The first is that as iterated in the District's opposition to its continuance, the District believes that eyewitness testimony to the events that led to the altercation which took place on May 21, 2023 are not relevant to these proceedings.

The reason for that is that the single charge that the District is bringing is that the establishment violated its security claim by failing to file an incident report or, you know, to create an incident report, and failing to

2.2

2.4

contact MPD or EMS following an emergency situation. As such, the particular nature and truth of whose account and whose eyewitness account holds is not relevant to these proceedings and the determination of the charge before the board.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, as you're aware, Mr. Southcott, the government has the burden to prove its charge, and so the licensee doesn't have to put a case on because it's up to the government to prove that the infraction occurred. And I don't believe that the Board is in a position to tell the licensee how he can respond in defending the charge that we are here for today.

So as I stated before, you have the burden, so you need to convince the Board that the infraction did occur, and then the licensee will respond as he sees appropriate to respond if the licensee determines that he needs to put a case on to defend its position. I don't know if it's a motion that you're making for us to --

MR. SOUTHCOTT: I'm making a motion particularly to have excluded as witnesses Walter Johnson, Aaron Payton, Zach Miller, Javana Clark,

2.2

2.4

who have all been identified as eyewitnesses under respondent's witness list.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But I -- (Simultaneous speaking.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr.

Bianco. Go ahead, sir.

2.2

2.4

MR. BIANCO: So this is very unusual. As the chair mentioned, it's their burden to prove their case. When I had the discussion last week with Mr. Southcott about continuing the matter, he mentioned that he believed that there's no facts that we can put on here that would be successful in defense, and at that point I invited him to file a dispositive motion if he thought that there were no material facts in dispute. He declined to do that. Had he done that, we would have been able to lay out in brief format exactly what facts are in dispute.

The government opted to not do that, and to tell us what defense we are allowed or are not allowed to put on we think is something inappropriate for the government to dictate.

There are in fact facts in dispute in this case, and our witnesses are going to hash that out. If there was a motion to be made that would've

disposed of this matter, it should've been made.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Mr. Chair, may I

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sure, sir.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: I'd like to recategorize the characterization of my comments, which were not that there were no dispositive facts, or rather that the new set of facts that the establishment could put on that would mean that they are not liable to the charge, rather the facts that are relevant to the charge are whether the establishment did or did not contact MPD or EMS following an emergency situation and whether the establishment did or did not create an incident report of this situation.

Those are relevant facts and the District is perfectly fine to hear testimony related to, you know, any dispute that arises as to whether those factual conditions have been met. But because the charges for the establishment violating its security claim by not contacting MPD or EMS and by failing to create an incident report, the District is simply seeking to cabin a relevant information and testimony that has nothing to do with the actual charge in

2.2

2.4

respond?

this case, which is whether the establishment abided by their security plan. It has nothing to do with whose account of what actually took place on the night of May 21, 2023 is correct.

So Mr. Bianco was incorrect in saying that the District does not believe that. You know, there are any set of facts that, you know, the establishment could put on that would refute the District's case. The District just is clarifying what the scope of the case is, and that that scope does not include speculative testimony about what did or did not occur. Testimony about whether an incident occurred at all is relevant, but not testimony as to what happened during that incident.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

Well, we have a charge. We know the charge and it's the government's burden to sustain the charge, and so at this junction the board is not going to take a position on your motion.

I think that once the government has presented its case and the applicant decides to defend its case, they'll call witnesses, and I think that you can raise an objection and we'll find out the nature of the testimony -- that Mr.

2.2

2.4

Bianco can provide us a synopsis of what it is
that the witness will testify to and then we can
address it at that juncture.

But I think it's premature, I don't
know who the witnesses are. I see there are a

know who the witnesses are. I see there are a lot of witnesses on the list. I don't even know how many witnesses he plans to call this afternoon, and so maybe one of the things that we can start off as how many witnesses -- well, sorry. Yeah, I stand corrected.

As I stated before, at the juncture that the respondent decided to call a witness, you can raise your motion and then the board will rule at that juncture if a witness is called, okay? So just preliminarily, how many witnesses do you have, does the government have?

MR. SOUTHCOTT: The government will be calling Investigator Mark Ruiz as a witness.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Bianco, if you decide to call witnesses, how many witnesses will you be calling?

MR. BIANCO: At this point, Mr. Chair, because our case is responsive to the government's case, so our herd of witnesses may

2.2

2.4

be thin, so to speak, depending on what information is elicited in the government's case in chief. I will for the purpose of timing tell the board that my intention is to call three witnesses.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, that's fine, sir. I did briefly look at your witness list and I think I found maybe almost ten people listed I believe, and so I was just trying to get for time just to get an idea of how long this hearing might go. All right. So --

MR. SOUTHCOTT: The District does have a second --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir?

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Preliminary matter

related to the witness list. The District would

also be objecting to calling Joseph Massey as a

potential expert witness in this matter. I don't

know if he's one of the three that Mr. Bianco was

potentially indicating that he would be calling.

If so, you know, if not, then, you know, then obviously the objection is moot. To the extent that you would be calling him as an expert witness, the District does not believe that he's qualified to testify in this matter.

2.2

2.4

1	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Let
2	us wait to see once you have tested and the
3	respondent, if this respondent is going to call
4	witnesses, and once a witness is called, then you
5	would have the right to object to the witness,
6	and the board will make a ruling at that
7	juncture, okay?
8	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
9	Chair.
10	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right,
11	thank you. All right, does the government wish
12	to make an opening statement?
13	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Yes, the government
14	does.
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, sir.
16	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Good afternoon,
17	members of the board. We are here to discuss the
18	investigation that occurred to an incident that
19	took place in the early morning hours of May 21,
20	2023 at Madam's Organ.
21	In spite of the at times conflicting
22	accounts that underpin this case, at heart we
23	have a fairly simple matter. On May 21, a
24	violent physical altercation occurred at the

establishment between patrons Jakob Scheidt and

Javana Clark and employees Walter Johnson and Aaron Patton.

Now, who is at fault for the altercation is disputed, but what is undisputed is that following the altercation, Mr. Scheidt filed a police report with MPD reporting the incident. You will hear that MPD conducted an investigation of the incident, reaching out to staff at Madam's Organ. You will also hear that the MPD report generated by Mr. Scheidt triggered ABCA to conduct its own investigation into the events of May 21, 2023.

That investigation led to one charge, namely that Madam's Organ failed to abide by the terms of their board-approved security plan by failing to contact the Metropolitan Police

Department or create an incident log after a violent incident occurred inside of the establishment, in violation of D.C. Code Section 25-823A6.

Now crucially, failure to follow a security plan is the only charge that the District is bringing against Madam's Organ, and therefore testimony about who was at fault in the altercation is irrelevant to those proceedings.

2.2

2.4

It does not matter if Mr. Scheidt was at fault for it, or Ms. Clark, or Mr. Patton, or Mr. Johnson, what matters is that an incident occurred and that incident triggered obligations for Madam's Organ under their security plan.

So the District takes no position on whose eyewitness interpretation is correct. You will hear statements from patrons Scheidt and Clark who place the blame for the altercation at the hands of the establishment's security staff. By contrast, security staff Johnson and Patton provided statements to ABCA placing the blame for the altercation at the hands of Mr. Scheidt.

But who's at fault has no bearing on the nature of this case. You will hear Investigator Ruiz testify that regardless of whose version of events you believe, there are consistencies which exist between the accounts. All of the accounts describe a physical altercation between a patron and Madam's Organ staff. Accounts from both the patron and Madam's Organ employees describe security staff making comments which escalated the situation.

All accounts describe an assault taking place. And accounts from both the patrons

2.2

2.4

and from security staff describe Mr. Scheidt suffering physical injuries as a result of the encounter.

So regardless of whose version of events is true, you'll hear Investigator Ruiz testify that according to every eyewitness account provided to him in the course of his investigation, each version of the events of May 21 triggered requirements from Madam's Organ under their security.

Now, what were those requirements?

You'll hear that under their security plan,

police and/or EMS are called for any emergency

situation. Investigator Ruiz will testify that

each account of the altercation constituted an

emergency situation which required police and/or

EMS to be called. And the establishment failed

to do so.

You will also hear that under the security plan, managers are required to make incident reports for any issue that rises inside the bar. Such incident reports exist so that in the event of an investigation, MPR or ABCA can refer to the already created incident report instead of having to rely on a statement made by

2.2

2.4

employees who know that they are under investigation.

Yes, Investigator Ruiz will testify that he never received an incident report generated by a manager at the establishment, and to date the establishment has failed to produce such an incident report. To the extent that the establishment has provided any account of the events of May 21, those accounts were not recorded until June 10, 2023, which was several weeks after the events in question, and which occurred after MPD reached out to the establishment in the course of its investigation of the altercation.

Based on these facts, the District asks at the end of these proceedings, this court find Madam's Organ liable for violating D.C. Code Section 25-823A6 by failing to follow its security plan. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco, do you wish to make an opening statement or you defer?

MR. BIANCO: I will make an opening statement at this time.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay, go ahead,

2.2

2.4

sir.

2.2

2.4

MR. BIANCO: Members of the board, as you hear the evidence in this case, it is important to remember what this case is about. It's not about excessive force, it's not about misconduct by a security employee or some wrongful act of violence committed by the establishment. Nor is this case brought under the amorphous unlawful purpose provision that can mean basically anything.

If we look at the charging document, what's alleged here, it's that the establishment failed to call MPD or make an incident log report after a violent incident occurred inside of the establishment in violation of the board-approved security plan. Our position on this is two-fold.

Number one, nothing alleged in the charge is a violation of the letter or the intent of the security plan as approved. Number two, the facts as they occur, all of which were on a public sidewalk and not inside the establishment, did not trigger either of the cited provisions of the security plan. It is the government's burden to prove that the establishment's response to this occurrence violated their own security plan.

By the plain language of the document and application of the facts to the agreement and the law, it is clear that no violation occurred. The government glosses over some very important facts that they must prove in order to make their case, namely number one, as alleged that the incident occurred inside the establishment. It's pretty universally clear from what they presented it absolutely 100 percent did not.

Number two, what counsel dances around but does not directly address is the MPD notification requirement in the security plan.

If it exists, only requires notifying MPD in the case of a quote unquote emergency. Does he address specifically what constitute that? He does not.

Every single skinned knee or grabbed shirt or shove does not constitute an emergency. And that's not me saying that that's not going to be my client saying that, that is going to be the witnesses that we present, and the Metropolitan Police Department's own guidance saying that.

At the conclusion of the government's case, what we think we're going to see is a motion in the style of a directed verdict where

2.2

2.4

1	the government cannot meet its burden, has not
2	met its burden on the charging documents alone,
3	and the board will see fit to decide this case
4	summarily. Thank you very much.
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. All
6	right, does the government wish to call a
7	witness?
8	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Yes. This time the
9	government would like to call ABCA Investigator
LO	Mark Ruiz.
L1	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Ruiz, can
L2	you raise your right hand, please?
L3	WHEREUPON,
L4	MARK RUIZ
L5	Was called for examination by Counsel for the
L6	Government, and after having been first duly
L7	sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
L8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Your witness,
L9	sir.
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Thank you.
22	Investigator Ruiz, can you please briefly
23	introduce yourself to the board?
24	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: I'm Investigator
25	Mark Ruiz. That's M-A-R-K R-U-I-Z. I'm an

1 investigator with the Alcoholic Beverage and 2 Cannabis Administration, also known as ABCA, 3 which my primary responsibilities include 4 investigating and inspecting alcohol licensed 5 establishments throughout the District of Columbia. 6 7 BY MR. SOUTHCOTT: 8 And how long have you been in that 0 9 position? 10 Α Five years now. 11 In the course of your time as an 12 investigator, have you investigated cases 13 involving violent altercations between bar staff 14 and patrons? 15 Α Yes. 16 In the course of your investigation as an investigator, have you investigated cases that 17 involve violations of the security plan? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 Did you conduct an investigation into 21 Madam's Organ based on events which occurred on May 21, 2023? 2.2 23 Α Yes. 2.4 Could you describe how this case came 25 to you?

1 It was assigned to me from the 2 It was another investigator's case, supervisor. and she had to leave on emergency leave so he 3 asked me to take a look at it, review it, and 4 which I did. 5 And in the course of your 6 7 investigation, did you create a case report? 8 Α Yes. 9 Would you recognize that case report 10 if you saw it? 11 Α I would. This time -- I know 12 MR. SOUTHCOTT: 13 that the case report is already admitted into 14 evidence, Mr. Chair, but I'm going to largely 15 referring to the case report and having the 16 investigator testifying off of it. I'll pull it 17 up as muted, I don't need to get there quite at 18 this point. I just did want to note that for the 19 record. 20 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So noted. MR. SOUTHCOTT: 21 Investigator Ruiz, was there a MPD report that ABCA received which 2.2 23 triggered this investigation? 2.4 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yes. 25 MR. SOUTHCOTT: And now I will pull

1	up I'd like to, if I could share my screen?
2	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Orellana?
3	MR. ORELLANA: Granted.
4	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, sir.
5	You have access.
6	MR. ORELLANA: Is this visible to the
7	board?
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
9	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. I'm actually
10	going to cut a little bit. Investigator Ruiz,
11	this is marked as Exhibit 1. Can you identify
12	what this document is?
13	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
14	document was marked as Exhibit No. 1 for
15	identification.)
16	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yeah, is the MPD
17	incident report, CCN23079946.
18	BY MR. SOUTHCOTT:
19	Q And this is the incident report that
20	you received which triggered your investigation,
21	correct?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Okay. Could you read the public
24	narrative contained in the police report?
25	A MPD received a call for an apparent

assault report. Once on scene, it was determined 1 2 that V1 got into a verbal altercation about his 3 significant other with the club bouncers. V1 4 stated that once his significant other came from out of the restroom he confronted the bouncers in 5 reference to the statement that they made. 6 7 V1 states that the bouncer grabbed him 8 which resulted in an altercation outside of the club. V1 states the other bouncers inside of the 9 establishment came out and assaulted him as well. 10 11 S1 was last seen following V1 and W1 until they 12 were able to get to another safe location nearby. 13 And can you identify who D1 is? 0 V1 would be one of the victims, Jakob 14 Α Richard Scheidt. 15 And could you identify who W1 is? 16 0 W1 was identified as Javana Clark. 17 Α 18 And S1 is identified as who? 0 19 Α Unknown. Is S1 indicated to be a member of 20 21 Adams Morgan security staff? 2.2 Α Based on my knowledge, it is 23 indicated. 24 Based on your receipt of this Okay.

police -- actually, sorry, one more question on

1	this. Who creates, or where does the public
2	narrative come from in a police report like this?
3	A This created from the police officer
4	that responded to the victim's call.
5	Q Okay. And did MPD generate this in
6	response to a report that was filed by Madam's
7	Organ or any of its security staff or employees?
8	A No, this was generated from a 911 call
9	from the victims.
10	Q And the victim is Jakob Scheidt,
11	correct?
12	A Correct.
13	Q And what's the report, date and time
14	of this?
15	A May 21, 2023, 5:33 a.m.
16	Q Thank you. At this time, I would like
17	to direct your attention to what has been marked
18	as Exhibit Number 2.
19	
	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
20	(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as Exhibit No. 2 for
20 21	-
	document was marked as Exhibit No. 2 for
21	document was marked as Exhibit No. 2 for identification.)
21 22	document was marked as Exhibit No. 2 for identification.) Q Could you identify what this document

1 on May 21, 2023 at Madam's Organ. 2 And how did you receive this 3 statement? This statement was provided to me by 4 Α 5 a previous investigator, Dantzler, who started the investigation and has taken them from the 6 7 victims. 8 And how did she receive the statement? 0 Via email. 9 Α Who does she receive it from? 10 0 11 Mr. Scheidt. Α MR. SOUTHCOTT: 12 Okay. I don't want, 13 you know, you read every part of this document into the record, but I will have you read parts 14 15 of it. Do you --16 MR. BIANCO: I'm going to object 17 before there is any reading of this document into 18 the record. My objection is twofold. One, the board has, I believe, admitted this document into 19 20 evidence already, so it's unnecessarily 21 repetitive. And number two, I would object on 2.2 the basis of unreliable hearsay. While hearsay 23 is admissible in administrative proceedings, that hearsay has to be reliable. 2.4 25 This particular document does not meet the criteria. One, it's double hearsay, this particular witness did not take this statement by his own testimony, it was taken by someone else who then provided it to him. And number two, this particular person that it is taken from is somebody who has an interest in the outcome of these proceedings in his favor.

Based on that, the self-serving statement is not something that the board should consider, since it's not direct evidence or otherwise reliable.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: I have several responses to that. The first response is that this statement is not duplicative, it is important to understand, based on there were very sparse accounts of what actually occurred, and whether that triggered any obligations in the establishment based on the police report.

Going through the statement is necessary for Investigator Ruiz to make a determination as to whether the events as depicted therein triggered any obligation for the establishment to comply with various provisions of its security plan. Second, this isn't hearsay, because it's not being admitted for the

2.2

2.4

truth of the matter asserted therein.

As I mentioned in my opening, the District, throughout these proceedings, will take no position on the veracity of any of the statements are being made in any of the various four eyewitness accounts of which were provided to the investigator. The reason why these statements matter is because these statements all speak to Investigator Ruiz' investigation, and what he did, and his analysis as to whether any of the statements triggered an obligation for the establishment to conduct certain provisions under its security plan.

As such, it is important for the board to have the context needed for an evaluation and determination of whether -- even assuming arguendo, that one of these statements or any of them are credible, because the District's case will show that under each statement made, the provisions of the security plan were triggered.

It does not matter the truth of what is being asserted in them. Therefore it's not actually hearsay. Beyond that, to the extent that any of the truth or lack thereof would be admitted into this, that speaks to weight, not

2.2

2.4

1 admissibility. 2 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to 3 overrule the objection. I'll allow the witness 4 to testify. 5 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. Investigator 6 Ruiz, you have reviewed this statement, correct? 7 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yes. 8 BY MR. SOUTHCOTT: 9 Okay, and does this statement describe 0 an incident that occurred between a patron and 10 11 members of security staff which originated inside 12 the bar? 13 Α Yes. 14 Why did it originate inside the bar? 15 Α The bar was closing, and the bouncers 16 were trying to get the victim to leave the bar. 17 And per this statement, did a member 18 of the establishment say anything to him as he 19 was leaving the bar? 20 Objection. What we just MR. BIANCO: 21 heard counsel argue is that this document is not 2.2 being offered for the truth of the matter 23 asserted, and now he's asking the witness 2.4 directly what happened in this version of the

events.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: It's still not being admitted for the truth of the matter asserted, because the District is taking no position as to whether this or isn't true. The District is simply trying to say that there was an incident that is consistent with every account that is provided, regardless of which account that you believe.

And that regardless of whose version of events is depicted, there are consistencies which indicate that an incident occurred, which triggered the security provisions of the establishment. This is crucial for the District in terms of demonstrating the only charge, which is whether an incident occurred which required provisions under the security plan.

MR. BIANCO: If whether an incident occurred is dependent on the truth of the matter in this particular document, then the District doesn't meet its burden.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But, Mr.

Bianco, I guess let me ask a question, is your

client disputing -- whether or not the incident

occurred inside, outside, did an incident occur?

MR. BIANCO: An incident occurred

2.2

2.4

outside the bar on the sidewalk, and the content of any statements made inside of the bar is neither here nor there, and the only evidence presented is inadmissible hearsay.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: As I stated before, and I think I stated about the government, the document is not submitted for the truth to say that the version, I believe -- I think it appears that there is no dispute that an incident occurred. Where the incident occurred, well that's factually for the board to make a determination later on in your case.

Because I think part of the argument by the respondent is that because the incident did not occur inside the establishment that the security plan provisions were not triggered, I think that's what I heard earlier from you, the respondent. So, I'm going to overrule the objection, and allow the witness to testify.

I don't know what this case is about, this is a first impression, so I'm just trying to figure out how the board can make a determination. And I believe that in cross examination, you'll have an opportunity to cross examine the witness, and if your version is

2.2

2.4

correct then you should be able to establish that
on the record in cross examination of a witness,
so I'm going to overrule the objection.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
Back to my question, Investigator Ruiz. Per this
account, were there statements that were made
from employees to the patron?

INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: After the bouncer demanded him to leave, and after he was explaining that he was waiting for his significant other, the bouncer yelled several statements at him as he was inside the establishment.

BY MR. SOUTHCOTT:

Q What did they yell at him?

A So, the bouncer, I'm reading from the statement, the bouncers rudely refused, and repeatedly yelled quote unquote don't worry about her, she's probably in there fucking dudes and sucking dicks quote unquote.

Q After that statement was made according to this interpretation of events, did Mr. Scheidt then leave the establishment and wait for Ms. Clark?

A Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1	Q And once they left did Mr. Scheidt and
2	Ms. Clark then continue to have a discussion with
3	the bouncer, which continued out onto the
4	sidewalk?
5	A Yeah. Mr. Scheidt told Ms. Clark what
6	occurred, about the insult, and I believe she
7	confronted the bouncer.
8	Q So, I am going to have you read from
9	this top paragraph starting from the sentence the
10	bouncer, and continuing to the end of the
11	paragraph. Could you please read that portion of
12	the statement?
13	A Are you talking about the first
14	paragraph, second sentence?
15	Q Yeah, the bouncer responded by
16	yelling.
17	A The bouncer responded by yelling the
18	insult again in her face. As the first bouncer
19	shouted this at Javana as we were both standing
20	on the sidewalk outside, another bouncer,
21	shorter, with light brown skin and dreadlocks,
22	grabbed me with both hands from behind and
23	attacked me. I turned into him and faced him
24	while demanding that he release me several times.

The second bouncer refused and

1 continued assaulting me in an attempt to force me to the ground. When it was clear he would not 2 take his hands off me, I reacted in self-defense, 3 and reversed his attempt to bring me to the 4 5 pavement. Can you also read the next paragraph? 6 7 Now with both of us on the sidewalk, 8 the second bouncer knocked my glasses off my 9 face, I attempted to grab my glasses from the

ground as another bouncer kicked and punched me, while another deliberately stomped on my glasses.

After I got clear of the altercation, Javana and I began walking away as another patron attempted to create space between myself, and attacking employees who now numbered around five to six

They continued to follow us down the street mocking us, and hurling additional insults.

Q Thank you. So, according to the statement, after that altercation ended, did Mr. Scheidt eventually call emergency services?

A Yes, he called 911, and they responded to the hotel he was at.

Q And per his statement, did he end up

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

men.

	going to the emergency room?
2	A He received medical attention from
3	what I understand, I believe on site from an
4	ambulance.
5	Q I'll direct your attention to the
6	final paragraph of the statement. Does the
7	second sentence of that paragraph indicate that
8	Mr. Scheidt received medical attention at an
9	emergency room?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Okay. Does his statement describe the
12	injuries he suffered as a result of his
13	interpretation of what occurred?
14	A Yes.
15	Q What injuries did he sustain?
16	A Emotional strain
17	Q And then in the top paragraph on this
18	page?
19	A Cuts and bruises to both arms, his
20	forehead, torso, palms, and large lacerations to
21	both knees, and then his glasses were also
22	crushed.
23	Q And did those wounds, were they
24	temporary?
25	A His description is that they caused

1 serious pain for weeks, and at the time have yet 2 to fully heal. Did you receive any images attached to 3 this statement? 4 5 Α So, this statement was provided However, I did have email communication 6 7 with Mr. Scheidt afterwards, following up on his visit to the establishment. During that time he 8 9 provided me the photos of his injuries. 10 Would you recognize those photos? Q 11 Α Yes. 12 MR. BIANCO: So, Mr. Chair? 13 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. 14 MR. BIANCO: So, I'm going to object 15 here, but I want to first see how you'd like to 16 handle the objection. So, the objection I'm 17 going to make is to the photos specifically, and 18 I think I'm going to have to have some testimony 19 in order to hash out the objection, and I am glad 20 to hold off until cross examination, at which 21 time I can move to strike the photos. 2.2 Or I am glad to voir dire on the 23 photos at this point, whatever the board prefers. 2.4 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I think part of 25 the -- is this a portion of the investigator's

report?

2.2

2.4

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Yes, this is contained in the case report.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So, I'm unsure, Mr. Bianco, what would you voir dire? I mean, the investigator is just reporting based on what was provided to him, he's not -- go ahead, sir.

MR. BIANCO: No, I understand, but attaching inadmissible evidence to an investigative report doesn't make it admissible. So, my issue here is that these photos are undated, there's no identifying information on them, there is no indication as to when they're taken, there's no indication as to who took them, and there's no one to testify that they truly and accurately depict the condition that existed at the time.

These are all basic tenets of evidentiary foundation as well as authentication. They are prejudicial, and they should not be considered by the board regardless of whether or not they are attached to the report.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But Mr. Bianco,
I think I'm confused. I think the issue, and
maybe in another proceeding it becomes more

relevant. But for the board, and the charge, I'm looking at the charging document, and the charging document stated that you violated the terms of your board approved security plan by failing to contact Metropolitan Police

Department.

Or create an incident log after a violent incident occurred inside of the establishment, for which the board may take proposed action. I don't think that -- I mean, an incident occurred, I'm not sure if the board is going to determine whether or not this is violent or not.

But the case report by the agency, the investigator, as part of his investigation is providing information that was received by him to say this is the basis of writing this case report. So, I'm going to overrule your objection, you are welcome to cross examine the investigator, but I think at this juncture, based on the charging document, I don't see the basis of your objection.

So, I'm going to overrule your objection, sir, and that's based on what the charge is, and you'll have the opportunity during

2.2

2.4

cross examination to cross examine this witness.

MR. BIANCO: I get that. And I suppose my point is that these photos could have been taken any time since years ago up until the moment that this investigator received them somehow. And without laying that foundation, it's not proper to consider.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But you are welcome, on cross examination, to make those points. And I think the board will be in a better position to see what weight if any to give the documents, because maybe you could establish that this was taken a year after the event. So, I don't know. I think at this juncture, again, I'm going to overrule the objection.

And you'll have an opportunity to cross examine the witness on your version of these photographs. But at least for my purpose, the charging document is that it says that -- and I don't believe that -- and I had asked that earlier, I do not believe that you are disputing that an incident did occur.

I think what I had heard earlier is that the incident did not occur inside of the establishment. And so, because it did not occur

2.2

2.4

inside of the establish, the security plan violation did not occur. I think that's what I heard earlier.

MR. BIANCO: That's part of our argument. The other part being the severity of the incident didn't rise to the level requiring certain actions under the security plan. And this goes directly to that. And it's prejudicial, and without foundation, which is the government's burden to establish the foundation of evidence that they want to admit.

It's not admissible, it's not a hearsay objection, which would be lax and likely admissible, it's a foundation objection.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But you're aware that the council has the case report, by matter of law, it's a matter of the record, the case report. So, this is the case report. If this was a different piece of evidence that the government was trying to put in, I believe that your objection would be more relevant.

But this is already a part of our record, because this is the case report. The investigator went out to do his investigation, and this is a photograph that was given to him, I

2.2

2.4

1 think by the victim, to support, to say what, 2 from the victim's perspective occurred. don't believe that -- it's not being taken by me 3 4 that the investigator is saying that this is 5 factual or not. The investigator is just providing 6 7 information that was given to him. And so, again, I'm overruling the objection. This is in 8 9 the case report, and the case report is already a part of the documents that the board can rely on 10 11 to make a determination. And as said, you will 12 have an opportunity to cross examine this witness 13 on the documents that are in his case report. 14 I'm overruling the objection. 15 MR. SOUTHCOTT: BY MR. SOUTHCOTT: 16

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

At this point, Investigator Ruiz, I would like you to describe what you see in this photo, which has been marked as Exhibit No. 4.

So, this is a hand, partial forearm, and a red mark on the palm of the hand.

Okay, I'm moving onto Exhibit No. 5, could you describe what is contained in this photograph?

> This is a photo of a knee injury, Α

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

1	abrasion with some blood coming from it.
2	Q Moving onto Exhibit No. 6, can you
3	describe what you see in this photograph?
4	A Elbow, upper arm with red spots.
5	Q And finally, Exhibit No. 7?
6	A It looks like a bruise on the
7	forehead.
8	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
9	documents were marked as Exhibit Nos. 4 through 7
10	for identification.)
11	BY MR. SOUTHCOTT:
12	Q And you received these images directly
13	from Mr. Scheidt, correct?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And he indicated that these images
16	were taken immediately after the altercation
17	which took place on May 21st, 2023?
18	MR. BIANCO: Objection, leading,
19	argumentative.
20	MR. SOUTHCOTT: I can rephrase.
21	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, sir.
22	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Did Mr. Scheidt tell
23	you when these photographs were taken?
24	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: No, he didn't tell
25	me when.

1 BY MR. SOUTHCOTT: 2 Did he indicate why he was providing 3 the photographs to you? These were the injuries that were 4 Α 5 caused from the incident, that's what he indicated. 6 7 Thank you. Based on your review of 8 these photographs, and your review of the 9 statement, do you believe that these injuries are consistent with the statement that was provided? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 Okay. I am now going to ask you a 13 couple of questions about this version of the 14 events. Does Mr. Scheidt's version of the events 15 describe a physical altercation between employees 16 of Madam's Organ and a patron? 17 Α Yes. 18 Did that altercation originate inside 0 the bar? 19 20 Α Yes. 21 If that altercation had taken place 2.2 solely outside of the bar, would that have 23 triggered the security plan obligations of the 2.4 establishment?

Objection, calls for a

MR. BIANCO:

legal opinion.

2.2

2.4

MR. SOUTHCOTT: It does not call for a legal opinion. The obligations of the establishment under the security plan are clear regardless of where the incident took place, so long as it involved patrons and security members acting in their official capacity.

MR. BIANCO: I don't think it says that at all. But what he's asking for is opinion testimony about a hypothetical situation that he claims doesn't exist.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: The entire purpose of this is to determine that under any hypothetical interpretation of this, the obligations that the establishment has under its security plan are triggered by a violent confrontation between staff and patrons.

MR. BIANCO: That's legal argument.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: And I will make that legal argument in my conclusion. But I am determining whether the investigator in his investigative capacity believes that there was a violation that occurred which resulted in him needing to conduct an investigation into whether the establishment's security plan was violated.

1 So, what's being asked is MR. BIANCO: 2 a hypothetical not based on the facts that are 3 admitted into evidence, that's what's being objected to. 4 5 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to overrule the objection and allow the witness to 6 7 answer the question if he can. 8 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Can you repeat the 9 question please? 10 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Yes. An incident 11 which only occurred on the sidewalk between 12 security staff and a patron which led to a 13 violent altercation, would that trigger the 14 obligations under the establishment's security 15 plan? 16 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yes, I mean it's 17 involving establishment employees and an 18 individual. BY MR. SOUTHCOTT: 19 20 Thank you. So, based on your 0 21 evaluation of this statement, if this statement 2.2 were true, would it have required the 23 establishment to contact police and or EMS in regards -- under its security plan? 2.4 25 Α Yes.

1	Q At this time I'm going to move to what
2	has been marked in the case report as Exhibit No.
3	11. Do you recognize this document?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Is this the establishment's security
6	plan?
7	A It is.
8	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
9	document was marked as Exhibit No. 11 for
10	identification.)
11	BY MR. SOUTHCOTT:
12	Q Could you read Section A2 of the
13	security plan?
14	A Police and or EMS are called for any
15	emergency situation.
16	Q Do you believe that a physical
17	altercation constitutes an emergency situation
18	which requires calling police and or EMS?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Can you read section A1?
21	A Security are trained to recognize and
22	de-escalate conflict.
23	Q Do you believe that the statement made
24	that an employee telling a patron that she was a
25	whore who was sucking dicks in that bathroom is

1 de-escalating conflict? 2 MR. BIANCO: Objection, the government 3 has time and again said this statement is not 4 being offered to prove the truth of the matter 5 asserted, and then is using the content to prove the truth of the matter asserted. 6 7 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to 8 sustain the objection. MR. SOUTHCOTT: I'll move on. 9 BY MR. SOUTHCOTT: 10 11 Investigator Ruiz, did the 12 establishment contact police and or EMS in 13 response to the May 21st, 2023 incident? 14 Α No. 15 Could you read Section E of the 16 security plan? Managers are required to make incident 17 18 reports for any issues that arise inside the bar, 19 if any matters refer to MPD or ABRA, we refer to 20 their incident report. 21 Okay. Now, did you, after receiving 2.2 this statement, did you go to the establishment 23 and speak to any manager of the establishment? 24 I went to the establishment to speak Α 25 with the ABC manager that was working, yes.

1	Q And did that ABC manager provide you
2	with an incident report related to the May 21st,
3	2023 incident?
4	A Yes. Well, she provided me with
5	statements from the security employees, from
6	their version of what occurred that morning.
7	Q And when were those statements made?
8	A Only one statement was sent on June
9	10th, via internal email, I guess.
10	Q Okay. Did the manager herself create
11	that incident report, or did she just forward the
12	statement made by members of the security staff?
13	A Those were email statements that were
14	forwarded to me. Well, one was an email
15	statement, the other one was a typed up statement
16	that she forwarded to me.
17	Q And do you have experience in the past
18	reviewing incident reports for establishments?
19	A Yes.
20	Q What is the time period at which
21	incident reports are generated?
22	A Typically either immediate to first 24
23	hours of the incident.
24	Q Is there any indication that there was
25	an incident report that was generated immediately

1 or within 24 hours of the incident? 2 I didn't see any indication. And why are incident reports usually 3 created immediately or within 24 hours of the 4 5 incident? To memorialize the facts that occurred Α 6 7 that evening. 8 So, was there an immediate 0 9 memorialization by the establishment of the facts that occurred on the evening of May 21st, 2023? 10 11 Not that I have seen, no. 12 The second sentence of part E states 13 that if any matter is referred to MPD or ABRA, we 14 refer to their incident report. Can you explain 15 why that provision would be included in a security plan? 16 Objection, speculation. 17 MR. BIANCO: 18 MR. SOUTHCOTT: The investigator has testified that he's been dealing with security 19 20 plans, that he's been dealing with investigations 21 related to security plans, and incident reports. 2.2 This is a matter related to this establishment's 23 security report. He's more than willing and able 2.4 to testify why that language would be present. This witness didn't write 25 MR. BIANCO:

1 this report, and had nothing to do with it other 2 than reading it. If counsel wants to present 3 argument as to its meaning, he can do that, but the investigator's opinion as to why something is 4 5 or is not included is not relevant to these 6 proceedings. 7 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to 8 sustain the objection. 9 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Investigator Ruiz, do 10 you know why the statements of June 10th, 2023

were created by the establishment?

INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: They were created to be provided when the MPD detective was doing

their investigation at the time.

BY MR. SOUTHCOTT:

Q So, to your knowledge, those statements were provided after MPD reached out to the establishment related to this May 21st, 2023 incident?

A That's correct.

Q Okay. Is it typical for an establishment to provide an incident report only after -- or to generate an incident report rather, only after MPD has initiated its investigation?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

A I don't know if it's typical, usually
it's done right away.
Q Okay, thank you. So, at this point I
would like to direct your attention to what's
been marked as Exhibit No. 3. Could you identify
what this is?
A This is a statement from Javana Clark
regarding the assault on May 21st, 2023 at
Madam's Organ.
(Whereupon, the above-referred to
document was marked as Exhibit No. 3 for
identification.)
BY MR. SOUTHCOTT:
Q And actually just one last question on
the previous statement by Mr. Scheidt, do you
believe that that statement, if the facts
contained in it were true, describes an assault?
A Yes.
Q Okay, onto this exhibit. Who is
Javana Clark?
A Javana Clark was the significant other
of Mr. Scheidt.
Q Okay. And could you read her
statement?
MR. BIANCO: Mr. Chair, I have the

same objection to this statement. I understand the board has already ruled, but I will note my objection for the record, and that I understand the matter is being considered for reasons other than the truth of the matter asserted.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, so noted. Go ahead, Mr. Southcott.

INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: After ordering drinks I went to the bathroom, I was talking with a woman and a bartender in the bathroom. The bartender was clearly drunk, and complaining about the conditions of working at the bar. When I walked out, the bar was empty, I walked outside, and saw Jake standing outside and looking at his phone.

He told me that the bouncers wouldn't let him wait for me inside, and said I was having sex with men in the bathroom. I walked to the entrance where one of those bouncers was standing, I was on the sidewalk in front of the bouncer, and Jake was silently standing behind me, also on the sidewalk.

I asked the bouncer what he had said, and he leaned forward in my face, and loudly yelled quote unquote, I told him you're a whore

2.2

2.4

who was sucking dicks in that bathroom. I responded quote why would you say that? And before he answered, another bouncer came from behind and grabbed Jake while he was standing on the sidewalk.

Jake told the bouncer to get off of him until they both went down on the pavement. The bouncer was punching Jake and kicking him.

Jake was holding the bouncer's legs to stop the kicking. Then the other bouncer, the one I was talking to, engaged in the altercation by hitting Jake. I was standing on the side begging the bouncers to stop, and yelling for help.

The bartenders from inside came out, and everyone split up while Jake and I tried to calmly walk away without creating anymore confrontation. But the bouncers continued to follow and insult us.

BY MR. SOUTHCOTT:

Q Based on this depiction of the events, does this depiction describe a violent altercation which took place between a patron and members of the establishment's security staff?

A Yes.

Q Does this depiction also provide an

2.2

2.4

account wherein security staff were making inflammatory comments to the victim?

A Yes.

2.2

2.4

Q Does this statement indicate that the incident originated inside the bar, and continued onto the street?

A Yes.

Q Based on your review of Javana Clark's account of how the events transpired, do you believe that this account, if true, triggered provisions of the establishment's security plan to contact MPD or EMS?

MR. BIANCO: I'm going to object again. The question is premised on whether the statement is true or not, which is not the purpose for which it can be considered. The government has not presented any admissible evidence as to the truth of the allegations, and yet they're trying to rely on the truth of the allegations to make their case.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: I am merely trying to present every statement that was provided to the investigator, and point out that under each statement, regardless of whose interpretation you believe, they triggered the response of the

establishment to abide by the procedures of its security plan. I will be doing the exact same thing for the statements of the employees.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to

overrule the objection, and allow the witness to continue testifying.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: I'll repeat my question. Based on your review of this witness statement, do you believe that this statement describes a situation which would trigger the requirements of the establishment to abide by the provisions of its security plan to contact MPD or EMS because of an emergency situation?

INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yes.

BY MR. SOUTHCOTT:

Q Do you believe that this incident, as described, triggers the provisions of the establishment's security plan to immediately create an incident report as described -- sorry, I'll strike the question. Do you believe that this interpretation of the events triggers the establishment's obligations under the security plan to create an incident report?

- A Yes.
- Q Was MPD or EMS contacted by the

2.2

2.4

1	establishment?
2	A No.
3	Q Was there an incident report that was
4	generated immediately after the incident
5	occurred?
6	MR. BIANCO: Objection, this line of
7	questioning has been asked and answered.
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Let's move on,
9	that is correct. I sustain the objection.
10	BY MR. SOUTHCOTT:
11	Q Okay. At this point I will sorry,
12	does this document also describe individuals,
13	employees making inflammatory statements to
14	patrons?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And the previous statement also
17	described inflammatory statements to patrons,
18	correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay, I'm now going to move onto what
21	has been marked as Exhibit No. 9 in the case
22	report. Could you describe what this document
23	is?
24	A This was a statement provided by one
25	of the security personnel, Walter Johnson.

1		(Whereupon, the above-referred to
	d o a	
2		s marked as Exhibit No. 9 for
3	identificat	ion.)
4		BY MR. SOUTHCOTT:
5	Q	And how do you know it's provided by
6	Mr. Johnson	
7	А	It's signed below, Walter Johnson.
8	Q	Is the statement dated?
9	A	No.
10	Q	And how did you receive this
11	statement?	
12	А	It was forwarded to me via email.
13	Q	Who forwarded it to you?
14	A	The ABC manager.
15	Q	And when did she forward it to you?
16	А	Don't know the exact date, but it was
17	within the :	investigation.
18	Q	Did she forward it to you after you
19	went to the	establishment and spoke with her?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	And what was the date that you visited
22	the establis	shment?
23	A	June 28th, 2023.
24	Q	And this incident occurred on May
25	21st, 2023,	correct?

A Yes.

2.2

2.4

Q Could you read this statement?

A Yes. It was closing time when we asked the gentleman to leave, he insisted that he wait on his wife, as we instructed him that he can wait on the front porch. As the wife returned to us arguing, then she got into it with us as well, pointing her finger in my face. It then got to the front porch, we were still asking him to leave the property, he is still refusing to do so at this time after closing.

Then he walks over to the outside patio, where I told him it was closed, but then Aaron suggested that I go back inside, but then he started to go at it with Aaron, making comments, so we all stood there arguing. Aaron said something he didn't like, dude rushed him, and grabbed Aaron in a football clench hold by the shirt.

Aaron tried to move his hand, where the drunk dude tried to tie Aaron's legs up with his arms, Aaron lost his footing and fell in the process. I'm pulling dude's arms off of him, I guess he felt embarrassed, or butthurt, because once he got to his feet, he felt like he was

1 assaulted, butthurt, but he started the whole 2 thing. Walter Johnson. 3 Okay, I'm going to ask you some questions about this statement. Does this 4 5 statement describe an incident which originated inside the bar? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 Does this statement describe an 0 9 incident which depicts a violent altercation taking place? 10 11 Α Yes. Does the statement describe an 12 13 incident which involves the patron being hurt? 14 I'll direct your attention to the last two lines. 15 Α It says he felt like he was assaulted, 16 butthurt. Does the statement describe members of 17 18 the establishment making inflammatory comments? It describes that there were comments 19 Α 20 made, it doesn't describe exactly the comments 21 that were made. 2.2 Does the statement say Aaron said 23 something he didn't like, dude rushed him, and 2.4 grabbed Aaron in a football clench, did I read 25 that correctly?

1	A Yeah.
2	Q Based on your interpretation, or based
3	on this statement, do you believe that it
4	triggers the provisions under the establishment's
5	security plan to contact police and or EMS for an
6	emergency situation?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Do you believe that this statement
9	triggers the provisions in the establishment's
LO	security plan to generate an incident report?
L1	A Yes.
L2	Q Okay, I am now going to move to the
L3	last statement, which has been admitted as
L4	Exhibit No. 10 in the case report. Mr. Ruiz, can
L5	you describe what this document is?
L6	A This is an email sent to me by the ABC
L7	manager regarding Aaron Payton, security
L8	officer's statement.
L9	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
20	document was marked as Exhibit No. 10 for
21	identification.)
22	BY MR. SOUTHCOTT:
23	Q And who did Aaron Payton send this
24	email to?
25	A Ms. Reynolds, the ABC manager.

1 And what is the date associated with 0 2 the email? Saturday, June 10th, 2023. 3 Α 4 Can I have you read this depiction of 0 5 the events? The night of the incident Walter told 6 Α 7 the gentleman to leave Madam's Organ because it 8 was closing time. He had an issue with Walter 9 asking him to leave because he was looking for his girlfriend. He was informed that she would 10 11 be coming out shortly, as would everyone. walked out about a minute later. 12 13 Walter told her that her boyfriend was 14 being a jerk, she got upset, and proceeded to 15 argue with Walter on the property about what's 16 said about her boyfriend while pointing her finger in Walter's face. The boyfriend, who was 17 18 also on the property, was standing behind her in 19 a threatening manner as if he may assault Walter. 20 I stepped in between them, and told 21 the boyfriend to leave, he didn't listen. I then 2.2 attempted to guide him off of the property, and 23 it turned into a tussle. He grabbed my shirt, 2.4 and was trying to push me back into Walter while 25 repeatedly screaming don't touch me. I stood my

1 ground, and walked him backwards off of the 2 property. Still holding onto my shirt, he 3 4 tripped and pulled me down on top of him as he was on the ground. He wrapped his arms around my 5 legs and wouldn't let go. Walter, and a 6 7 bystander whose name I don't know had to pry his 8 hands from around my legs so I could stand up. 9 Once I stood up, he stood up, he screamed obscenities at us, and him and his girlfriend 10 11 left. 12 Okay. Based on your review of this 13 statement, does this statement describe an 14 incident which originated inside the bar? 15 Α Yes. Does this statement describe an 16 incident which involved a violent physical 17 18 altercation? 19 Α Yes. Does this statement describe an 20 21 incident which involves members of the bar making 2.2 -- or employees of the bar making inflammatory 23 comments? There was an indication that a comment 2.4 25 was made, yeah.

1	Q Does this statement describe an
2	assault?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Do employees have an obligation to
5	cooperate with an ABCA or MPD investigation by
6	providing true and accurate statements of events?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Based on your receipt or rather
9	what was this statement generated in regards to?
10	A This statement was generated in
11	response to be provided for MPD's investigation.
12	Q And so, this statement didn't exist
13	prior to MPD reaching out with respect to that
14	investigation, did it?
15	A That's my understanding, no.
16	Q Does this statement is this
17	statement consistent with the other three
18	statements in describing a physical altercation?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Do you believe that sorry. If this
21	account were correct, would this account trigger
22	the obligations of the establishment under the
23	security plan to contact MPD or EMS as a result
24	of an emergency situation?
25	A Yes.

1	Q Does this statement indicate an
2	obligation for the establishment to generate an
3	incident report for this situation?
4	A Yes.
5	Q At this point I'm going to direct your
6	attention to the conversation that you had when
7	you went to the establishment. Do you recall
8	speaking with ABC Manager Sara Reynolds?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And when did you speak with her?
11	A June 28th, 2023.
12	Q And why did you speak with her?
13	A I met with her to discuss the
14	incident, as well as to inspect and review their
15	surveillance system.
16	Q And did you attempt to inspect and
17	review their surveillance system?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Were you able to do so successfully?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Were you able to view surveillance
22	footage of this incident?
23	A No, this incident was no longer
24	available, the recorder was only holding a ten
25	day retention period.

	y Did the establishment provide a
2	justification for why the information was not
3	available?
4	MR. BIANCO: I'm going to object.
5	One, the issue of security cameras is not before
6	the board. Two, Mr. Ruiz did not speak to the
7	manager at the establishment until after 30 days
8	had elapsed from the date of the incident. The
9	testimony is not relevant, shouldn't be
10	considered by the board.
11	MR. SOUTHCOTT: I am merely having the
12	investigator describe the methods that he
13	conducted in the course of his investigation.
14	MR. BIANCO: He's done that. And the
15	length of time that their system held video for,
16	or what he was told it did isn't relevant to this
17	case in any way, shape, or form.
18	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to
19	sustain the objection, let's move on.
20	BY MR. SOUTHCOTT:
21	Q Did you speak to Ms. Reynolds about
22	the physical altercation which took place on May
23	21st, 2023?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And what did you tell her about that

altercation?

2.2

2.4

A Basically the apparent physical altercation that took place that security described, the male physically grabbing him, pulling him down, should be documented, and surveillance footage recorded and saved going forward with any incident like that.

- Q And did you inform her that the establishment should have notified MPD?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Why did you inform her of that?
- A Because they should have, I mean a physical altercation took place, police should have been notified.
- Q Would you say it's standard procedure for police to be notified whenever a physical altercation takes place?
- A It should be standard procedure, but again, I mean the severity of the situation with the individual not leaving, the MPD should have been called to assist in removing him.
- Q Okay. I'd also direct your attention to a little further down in the case report. Did the establishment document the incident when it happened as stated in their security plan?

1	A No.
2	Q Why not?
3	MR. BIANCO: Objection, speculation.
4	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: I don't know. My
5	bad.
6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, let's
7	move on, the witness stated that he can't answer
8	the question.
9	BY MR. SOUTHCOTT:
10	Q Your case report states that the
11	incident dated June 10th, 2023 by Aaron Payton
12	was provided after MPD Detective Aligria
13	inquired, why did you include that information in
14	your case report?
15	A Because it was dated June 10th, not
16	when the incident occurred. And to me, it was
17	provided after MPD inquiry.
18	Q So, last couple bit of questions.
19	Under any interpretation of the events as
20	provided by the four different witness
21	statements, did each of those interpretations
22	trigger the establishment's obligation to contact
23	MPD or EMS following an emergency situation?
24	MR. BIANCO: Objection, argumentative,
25	leading, calls for a legal opinion.

1 MR. SOUTHCOTT: It's not leading, this 2 question is one that speaks to Investigator Ruiz' competency in terms of evaluating whether a 3 violation has occurred. 4 5 MR. BIANCO: It's testimony with a question mark at the end of it. 6 It's absolutely 7 leading, it's absolutely argumentative. 8 witness is here to put facts on the record, this 9 is not a fact, it's an opinion. MR. SOUTHCOTT: The investigator would 10 11 be more than permitted to say not to the 12 question, it's not a leading question. 13 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, I'm 14 going to overrule the objection, the investigator 15 can respond. 16 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Can you repeat the 17 question please? 18 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Does each account of 19 the incident in question describe a situation 20 which triggers the establishment's obligation 21 under the security plan to contact MPD and EMS 2.2 following an emergency situation? 23 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yes. BY MR. SOUTHCOTT: 2.4 25 0 Does each account trigger the

1	establishment's obligations under the security
2	plan to generate an incident report following the
3	establishment or in accordance with the
4	establishment's security plant?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Does each amount describe an incident
7	which originated inside the bar, and spilled onto
8	the street?
9	A Yes.
10	MR. SOUTHCOTT: I have no further
11	questions for this witness.
12	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bianco?
13	MR. BIANCO: Yes, sir. Good
14	afternoon, investigator, I have some
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you close
16	the screen? All right, thank you.
17	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Good afternoon,
18	Mr. Bianco.
19	CROSS EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. BIANCO:
21	Q I'm going to have some questions about
22	your report, I just went through it sort of page
23	by page, and that's how I want to try to focus
24	the examination. So, this incident here occurred
25	on May 21st, 2023, but wasn't assigned to you

until June 27th, why is that?

2.2

2.4

A It was assigned to another investigator previously who abruptly had to go out on leave, and then it was forwarded over to me.

Q Okay, and what instructions or guidance did you receive when the case was transferred to you?

A To review and investigate the matter that occurred.

Q All right, was there anything more specific provided to you other than just review and investigate?

A No.

Q And something that is not clear to me from your report is which statements you received from Investigator Dantzler, and which statements you procured on your own. Could you clarify that please?

A So, I obtained the two victim statements from Ms. Clark and Mr. Scheidt, that was forwarded to me by Ms. Dantzler through our supervisor, SI Vershears from Ms. Dantzler. And Mr. Scheidt, I've had email communication with, which described the incident in his statement,

1 and the employee statements from the security 2 members were provided to me after my visit with Ms. Reynolds discussing the incident. 3 And that visit was on or about June 4 0 the 27th, correct? 5 Yes, June 28th, 2023, I believe. 6 7 Okay. And so, do you know -- so, I 8 want to focus for a minute on the statements from Scheidt and Clark, which are the complaining 9 witnesses. Those statements are not dated, and 10 11 the report doesn't indicate when they were taken. Do you know when that occurred? 12 13 Α I do not. Okay, but it was some time prior to 14 15 you being assigned the case? 16 Α Correct. And do you know when in time, in 17 18 relation to the incident Investigator Dantzler started her investigation? 19 20 I do not. Α 21 And with respect to the Clark and 2.2 Scheidt statements, aside from not being dated, 23 they're not signed, do you know who prepared 2.4 them?

Α

I do not.

1	Q And were there any notes in the
2	transmission of the file from Investigator
3	Dantzler to you about those statements?
4	A No.
5	Q Now, do you know if there is any
6	reason why I'm sorry, strike that. What was
7	the time line of Investigator Dantzler going out
8	for leave versus the case being assigned to you?
9	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Objection, relevance,
10	I fail to see how this has anything to do with
11	the charge.
12	MR. BIANCO: So, this witness
13	testified about how important it was to get
14	information close in time to the incident. What
15	I'm developing here is the time line. So, based
16	on the investigator's own testimony, having an
17	idea of the time line as to when people were
18	spoken with about what occurred in the incident
19	is important.
20	So, that's the reason for the
21	testimony I'm eliciting. It develops a time line
22	to establish the witness' recollection.
23	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'll overrule
24	the objection.
J	

MR. BIANCO: So, do you need me to

1	restate the question?
2	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yes, please.
3	MR. BIANCO: So, what was the time
4	line between when Investigator Dantzler went out
5	for leave, and when you were assigned the case?
6	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: I do not know.
7	MR. BIANCO: Do you know when she went
8	out for leave?
9	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: I don't know.
10	BY MR. BIANCO:
11	Q Do you know why Investigator Dantzler
12	did not speak to the establishment being
13	investigated at the same time as she spoke with
14	the complaining witnesses?
15	A I do not know.
16	Q When was the first time the agency
17	contacted the establishment to inform them that
18	there was an investigation into the May 21st
19	incident?
20	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Objection, again on
21	relevancy grounds, I fail to see how this has
22	anything to do with the establishment's
23	obligations under their security plan. ABCA's
24	investigation is separate from their obligations

under their security plan, and the only matter at

1 issue here is their obligations under the 2 security plan. The questions go to the 3 MR. BIANCO: time line, which the government went to great 4 5 lengths, over objection, to develop. So, I'm entitled to inquire into it, since they put it on 6 7 in their case in chief, it's within the scope of 8 the testimony, and to the extent that the 9 government --10 MR. SOUTHCOTT: But that --11 (Simultaneous speaking.) 12 MR. BIANCO: Please let me finish my 13 argument. 14 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Gentlemen, all 15 right. 16 MR. BIANCO: So, to the extent that 17 it's relevant for the government, it's relevant 18 for us to inquire into as well. 19 MR. SOUTHCOTT: May I respond? 20 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir. 21 MR. SOUTHCOTT: To the extent that the 2.2 time line matters, the time line matters for what 23 the establishment was doing, not what ABCA was doing. The time line for ABCA in its 2.4 25 investigation has no bearing on whether the

1 establishment was meeting its obligations under the security plan. 2 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, I'll 3 give it some leeway, at this junction I'll 4 5 overrule the objection. Mr. Bianco, you know that I read the charging statement, so that's 6 7 where I need us to go, all right? Go ahead. 8 MR. BIANCO: Very well. So, do you know when the first time ABCA contacted the 9 establishment to inform them that an 10 11 investigation was being done into the May 21st, 2023 incident? 12 13 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: I do not. BY MR. BIANCO: 14 15 Now, if we look at the police report 16 that is attached to your report, and if I need to refresh your recollection, please let me know, 17 18 I'll bring up the report. The MPD report talks 19 about an apparent assault, is that fair to say? 20 Α Yes. And do you happen to know if 21 Okay. 2.2 any charges were filed in that case? 23 Α No. 2.4 Do you happen to know if any arrests 25 were made in that case?

1	A No.
2	Q Do you happen to know what in the
3	investigation
4	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Objection to
5	relevance, MPD's objection, MPD's
6	investigation into how MPD conducted something
7	with respect to this matter does not have any
8	bearing on this establishment's obligation under
9	their security plan.
10	MR. BIANCO: We disagree. The
11	government put on evidence that an assault
12	occurred, they're using that assault as a basis
13	to trigger obligations under our security plan.
14	We are very much entitled to inquire into whether
15	any assault ever took place.
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, I'm
17	going to sustain the objection, it's irrelevant
18	whether or not an assault occurred, at least as
19	far well.
20	MR. BIANCO: Mr. Anderson,
21	respectfully, this witness testified quote the
22	severity of the situation has I'm sorry, not

all violent altercations should be reported.

the severity of this particular situation has

bearing on whether or not their obligation to

23

24

25

So,

report to MPD was triggered.

2.2

2.4

And if MPD does not consider, or did not take action on what the government has couched as an assault, it is absolutely relevant to this case.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: -- sorry.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I'm going to sustain the objection, because the -- I think when you talk about the timing, at least from my perspective, how MPD responds to whatever occurs in the establishment, that's not really relevant to us.

We make an independent judgment about whatever investigations -- whether or not an incident occurred or whether or not that should be reported to us, and whether or not MPD decides that they're going to act on whatever decision -- whatever occurred.

So I'm going to sustain the objection.

I don't -- I don't see it's helpful. I don't see

-- I don't see -- whether or not an arrest was

made, whether or not MPD -- how they responded, I

don't think it's -- that's relevant to what

decision that I need to make or this Board needs

to make on the -- on whether or not this

1	establishment had an obligation to have to
2	either call MPD or have an incident report.
3	MR. BIANCO: Okay. Very well,
4	Mr. Chair. Thank you. I'd just like my
5	exception noted.
6	Moving on from there, you talked about
7	the timing of the MPD report, that it was taken
8	at 5:33 a.m. When was that in relation to the
9	actual incident taking place?
10	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: That was the time
11	and date of the report, I believe. The time of
12	the event taking place was roughly 3:00 a.m.,
13	3:00, 3:30 a.m.
14	MR. BIANCO: And when you say "the
15	report," you're referring to
16	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: MPD's report.
17	MR. BIANCO: MPD's report. So
18	okay. Very well. Moving on from there, now I
19	want to talk about the photos that are marked as
20	I think Exhibits 3, 4, and 5, to your to your
21	report. We had some discussion about that
22	earlier. And do you know who took those photos?
23	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: I do not.
24	MR. BIANCO: Okay. Do you know when
25	they were taken?

1 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: I do not. 2 MR. BIANCO: And you mentioned, I 3 think, that they were sent to you. When were they sent to you by the complaining witness? 4 5 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: I don't recall. I would have to look that up. 6 7 MR. BIANCO: Okay. And you have no 8 idea whether or not they truly and accurately depict Scheidt's condition after the incident, do 9 10 you? 11 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: No. 12 MR. BIANCO: And it's true, isn't it, 13 that they could have taken -- been taken at any 14 time between -- before they were produced to the 15 agency. 16 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Correct. 17 MR. BIANCO: I want to go to your --18 page 5 of your report, and in page 5 of your 19 report, you have a quote from a section of the 20 security plan. And it's a standalone quote, and 21 I think counsel had you read it into the record. It says, "Police and/or EMS are called for any 2.2 23 emergency situation, " correct? 2.4 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Correct. 25 MR. BIANCO: Okay. So I think I do at

1 this point need to bring up the report, so if 2 you'll bear with me for a moment while I share my 3 screen. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 4 Mr. Orellana, 5 please allow Mr. Bianco to share his screen. Thank you. Okay. So I 6 MR. BIANCO: 7 have the security plan up on my screen, and 8 counsel had you read Section A2 into the record, and that's the section you quoted, "Police and/or 9 EMS are called for any emergency situation," 10 11 correct? 12 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Correct. BY MR. BIANCO: 13 14 Okay. Could you read the top portion 0 15 of the paragraph there marked Section A? "All security at Madam's Organ is 16 trained for every location around the 17 18 establishment by senior staff and management." 19 0 Okay. And then, one, two, and three 20 follow that, correct? 21 Α Correct. 2.2 Okay. And that subsection is what you 23 used to draw your conclusion that the establishment is required to call the police in a 2.4 25 quote/unquote "emergency situation," right?

1 Α Correct. 2 Okay. And did you ask the establishment at any point in your investigation 3 about their training procedures? 4 5 Α I didn't. And so as we sit here today, you don't 6 7 know whether or not the establishment trained 8 their security staff to call police and/or EMS 9 for any emergency situation. 10 Α Correct. 11 Okay. So you touched on this in your testimony as well, about this -- about this 12 provision triggering an obligation of the 13 14 establishment to call the police. You would 15 agree, would you not, that your reading of this 16 provision only requires the police to be called in an emergency situation, correct? 17 18 Correct. Α 19 Okay. And how do you make that -- how 20 did you, in this case, make the determination 21 that what occurred constituted an emergency? 2.2 From the physical altercation 23 described and the punching, the injuries. There

altercation in itself I would determine to be an

is bleeding described. Just the physical

2.4

1 emergency. 2 Okay. So I want to walk through some 3 of those things. All right? You said I think 4 first the physical altercation and punching, 5 right? Correct. 6 7 Okay. And this notion of punching 8 happening, where does that allegation come from 9 in your report? 10 I thought I read it through Mr. Α 11 Scheidt's statement. 12 I'm sorry. I was just going to say --13 I was going to make it easier. The only 14 allegations of punching comes from Mr. Scheidt 15 and his girlfriend's statements, correct? 16 Α Correct. 17 And they are, of course, not here to 18 testify about that, correct? 19 Α Correct. 20 And I think the second piece of what 21 you said, and please correct me if I'm wrong, you 2.2 described -- you said the injuries and bleeding. 23 Is that -- is that an accurate recollection of 2.4 what your testimony is?

Α

Yes.

1	Q Okay. And your basis for that
2	testimony is, again, the Scheidt statement and
3	his girlfriend's statement, correct?
4	A Correct.
5	Q And the photos.
6	A Correct.
7	Q Okay. And the third thing you said
8	was a little bit broader, so I want to drill down
9	on this a bit. The third thing you said is the
10	altercation itself. What about the altercation
11	made it an emergency per se?
12	A Anytime I believe anytime
13	individuals put hands on each other, or in a
14	threatening manner, it can make it becomes an
15	emergency situation.
16	Q Okay.
17	A Who knows what that could transpire
18	from there and security should de-escalate it
19	from that point.
20	Q Okay. So is there a particular policy
21	or standard that sets forth that definition of an
22	emergency?
23	A No.
24	Q So where does that come from?
25	A My knowledge, experience, violence

1 emergency. 2 So --3 What I'm -- what I know it to be through my experiences. 4 5 MR. BIANCO: Okay. So what you consider to be an emergency, if I'm to understand 6 7 your testimony correctly, may be different from 8 what another investigator would deem to be an 9 emergency. Is that fair to say? I don't 10 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Objection. 11 believe that this investigator can speculate as to what every investigator considers to be an 12 13 emergency and why his interpretation would be 14 different from some other investigator. 15 MR. BIANCO: So I'm asking him about 16 his testimony. 17 MR. SOUTHCOTT: You were asking him to 18 speculate on the testimony of every other 19 investigator. 20 I'm not asking him to MR. BIANCO: 21 speculate on the testimony of every other 2.2 investigator. I'm asking him on cross-23 examination to talk about the possibilities of a

differing standard within the agency in the

absence of a firm policy.

2.4

1 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to 2 overrule the objection. The witness can answer the question if he can. 3 4 MR. BIANCO: Do you need me to restate 5 it, Investigator Ruiz? INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: I -- I can't 6 No. 7 speak to what other investigators would think, 8 but I would suppose there would be a varying -- I 9 -- I can't. I don't know. I can't say. BY MR. BIANCO: 10 11 Okay. Going back to your report, I'm 12 looking at what is page 5 of the report, and you 13 should see it --14 Α Yes. 15 -- up on your screen. And the 16 paragraph directly below the bold quote from the 17 security plan says in relevant part, "After 18 review of the statements, Investigator Ruiz did 19 identify a situation that involved a physical 20 altercation between a patron and a security 21 employee, which resulted with both parties on the 2.2 ground and a sign of bleeding injuries, which 23 should have prompted the establishment to notify 2.4 MPD." Correct?

Α

Correct.

1	Q Okay. So how do you know that the
2	bleeding injuries were apparent at the time this
3	altercation took place?
4	A I would have known it at the time of
5	the incident.
6	Q And the photos that are attached to
7	your report, based on what I see, the only
8	bleeding injury is from the complaining witness'
9	knee, correct?
10	A Correct.
11	Q And do you know how that witness was
12	attired on the evening in question?
13	A I don't know.
14	Q So you don't know whether he had
15	shorts or long pants on?
16	A No.
17	Q Okay. Now, on page 2 of the
18	complaining witness' statement, he states that as
19	soon as he got clear of the altercation, he
20	walked away, right?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And in your testimony you indicated
23	that the incident began inside of the
24	establishment, correct?
25	A Yeah.

1 And your basis for that is the 2 supposed inappropriate comments made by one of the bar staff inside the establishment. 3 The -- that was security of 4 Α Yeah. 5 course closing the establishment, telling him to leave, and then the verbal back and forth 6 7 happening, and then carrying out -- out of the 8 establishment. 9 Okay. So there's two parts to that, 0 both of which I want to take in turn. One is 10 11 telling him to leave the establishment. 12 -- is it your understanding that this was closing 13 time and everyone was being asked to leave the establishment? 14 15 Α That is my understanding, yes. 16 Okay. So how would this particular person being asked to leave the establishment at 17 18 the same time as everybody else be the beginning of an incident? 19 20 I think it was the comments, it was 21 the back and forth, my understanding, with the 2.2 comments being made that led to what occurred 23 outside. So the beginning of the incident, 2.4 25 then, is not necessarily everybody being asked to

1 leave the premises, but rather the allegation 2 that certain untoward remarks were made towards 3 this particular patron, correct? Correct. 4 Α 5 And the only place that those remarks 6 appear in your report are in the complaining 7 witness' own statement. Is that correct? 8 Correct. I think I copied and pasted Α 9 those statements into the report. I think that is accurate, 10 0 11 Investigator. I think you did that as well. 12 Now, are you aware of whether MPD has 13 a standard as to when they should be called in the event of an assault? 14 15 Α No. 16 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Objection. Relevance. I don't believe that -- the investigator is not a 17 18 member of MPD and doesn't need to be testifying to MPD's standards or what -- it should be 19 20 conducting its business. This is a matter before 21 ABCA. MPD standards have no bearing on this 2.2 case. 23 MR. BIANCO: So I would say two things. One, he testified that he is not aware 2.4 25 of any standards. And, two, in the absence of

1 any ABCA standards, it's absolutely relevant. 2 Instead of having investigators on a case-by-case basis make it up out of whole cloth, the 3 existence of a citywide standard from the 4 5 policing agency is very much relevant. 6 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. 7 going to -- I'm going to sustain the objection. 8 MR. BIANCO: So the second piece of 9 this allegation in this case is that the -- I'm The second conclusion that you draw in 10 sorry. 11 your report is that the establishment violated 12 the security plan by failing to take an incident 13 report, correct? 14 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Correct. 15 BY MR. BIANCO: 16 Okay. And I could bring up the --17 we're talking about paragraph E. I think you may 18 have read it into the record. But that 19 particular provision specifically states that 20 managers are required to make an incident report 21 for any issues that occur inside the bar, right? 2.2 I have to look at it again. 23 So we'll bring it up. Okay. 0 2.4 So I have on my screen the security plan, and I

direct your --

1	A Ah. Yes.
2	Q attention to paragraph E of the
3	A Correct.
4	Q security plan. And that, indeed,
5	requires managers to make incident reports for
6	issues that occur or it says arrive, but occur
7	inside of the bar, correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Now, counsel directed your attention
10	to one of the security persons' statements that
11	were taken in this case. And I'm going to scroll
12	to it.
13	A I think it might be further up.
14	Q Sorry about that. So it's Exhibit
15	Number 9 specifically. It's the statement of
16	Walter Johnson. And I think some of the
17	testimony you gave was about Mr. Johnson's
18	statement that, when referring to the complaining
19	witness, second-to-last line, "I guess he felt
20	embarrassed or butthurt, because once he got to
21	his feet he felt like he was assaulted."
22	Now, are you familiar with the term
23	"butthurt"?
24	A Yeah.
25	Q And does that refer to physical injury

or hurt feelings?

2.2

2.4

A Hurt feelings.

Q Okay. Now, in your -- in the actual body of your report, you make conclusions, specifically on page 7, that the establishment violated its security plan because there was a physical altercation resulting in both parties on the ground and a sign of bleeding injuries, and then, secondarily, in the next paragraph, the establishment did not document the incident when it happened as stated in their security plan. Right?

A Correct.

Q Now, nowhere in the report do you mention that the basis of your conclusion is that something occurred inside of the establishment, correct?

A No.

Q Okay. So is there a reason why, from the date that you submitted this report back in June of 2023 to today in March of 2024, why that has now -- why that fact has now been added to the allegations here?

A I don't know.

Q Now, I believe your testimony, and

1 please correct me if I'm wrong, is that -- in 2 this case was that all violent altercations need 3 to be reported. Is that an accurate recollection? 4 I was looking at the 5 Let me clarify. severity of the altercation contact, not at all 6 7 altercations. 8 0 Okay. So --9 Α I guess I'm distinguishing between an alteration that includes someone maybe being 10 11 shoved and ending, walking away, or an extended altercation to where people are grabbed, hit, 12 becomes a little more violent in nature. 13 14 Okay. So it's dependent on the -- how 0 severe the altercation is? 15 That's how I looked at it. 16 17 Okay. And the recitation of the 18 altercation as given by the personnel who worked for the establishment was far less severe than 19 20 the recitation by the complaining witness. 21 that fair to say? 2.2 Α Yes, it appeared that way. 23 MR. BIANCO: Okay. Okay. I don't 2.4 have any further questions of this witness.

Thank you.

1 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, sir. 2 Please close your screen. 3 Any questions by the Board members? Go ahead, Mr. Short. 4 5 MEMBER SHORT: And thank you, Investigator Ruiz. I hope I won't be an hour 6 7 like the last questioning you got. 8 However, I would like -- I would like 9 to ask you if you would -- can someone put on the 10 screen the incident report, please? 11 MR. SOUTHCOTT: I can. I can share my 12 -- share my screen. 13 MEMBER SHORT: Thank you very much. 14 And please go to the police report, the 251 that 15 was put in. 16 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Yep. Give me just a 17 sec. 18 MEMBER SHORT: Thank you. 19 All right. Investigator Ruiz, 20 although you weren't given this initial 21 investigation, what date is on the police 251, 2.2 which we are -- which we tried to establish is 23 the -- it's a fact that when the MPD does 2.4 reports, especially for ABRA, what does 251 stand 25 for?

1	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: 251, incident
2	report from the police department.
3	MEMBER SHORT: Is that normally when
4	a crime a crime has occurred?
5	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Crime, incident
6	that occurred. With us being notified, it's
7	usually an ABRA violation of some sort or some
8	ABC incident or assault.
9	MEMBER SHORT: But the report the
10	police report is dated the same date as the
11	incident. Is that correct?
12	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Correct.
13	MEMBER SHORT: And that's a fact,
14	correct?
15	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Correct.
16	MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Now, also on
17	that report, it gives the name of the victims.
18	We say victims in this case because they are
19	alleging they were assaulted. Do they give an
20	address for the victim or the victim who was
21	assaulted?
22	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yes.
23	MEMBER SHORT: What is that address?
24	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: It's a P.O. box.
25	Do you want me to read the whole address?

1	MEMBER SHORT: Please do. I think it
2	might be relevant to this case. It's a fact.
3	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: 26 West Main
4	Street, P.O. Box 893, Adamstown, PA 19501.
5	MEMBER SHORT: So would it be fair to
6	say that someone who lives in Pennsylvania
7	probably were glad to get out of Washington,
8	D.C., after being assaulted, and probably wasn't
9	in a big hurry to come back?
10	MR. BIANCO: Objection. Speculation,
11	argumentative.
12	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's the
13	question?
14	MEMBER SHORT: I'll rephrase it,
15	Mr. Chairman.
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
17	MEMBER SHORT: The persons on that
18	night were from Pennsylvania, who made the
19	complaint to ABRA and to police. Is that
20	correct?
21	MR. BIANCO: Objection. Assumes facts
22	not in evidence, speculation, foundation.
23	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That is
24	MEMBER SHORT: I'll rephrase that.
25	What is the address of the victim on the police

1	report?
2	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: It's a
3	Pennsylvania address.
4	MEMBER SHORT: Why would someone give
5	a Pennsylvania address if it's not relevant?
6	MR. BIANCO: Objection. Speculation.
7	MEMBER SHORT: I'll rephrase that. Is
8	that the address that the person who was alleged
9	to have been assaulted, is that their address?
LO	MR. BIANCO: I don't know. It looks
L1	like a mailing address.
L2	MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Now, I'd like
L3	also like to ask you, this establishment is a CT.
L4	Is that correct? And give us what a CT is.
L5	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: A tavern that's
L6	allowed to sell beer, wine, and spirits.
L7	MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Now, this
L8	establishment, how long have they been there?
L9	Can you tell us when they first opened up?
20	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: I can't. I don't
21	know off the top of my head.
22	MEMBER SHORT: You have no idea?
23	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: No. I know a long
24	time.
25	MEMBER SHORT: Can you talk to us

1	about the history on their ABRA license, their
2	history on their on the ABRA license?
3	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: I would have to
4	refer to the history I provided in my report.
5	MEMBER SHORT: Can someone put that on
6	the screen for us, please?
7	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Is this the
8	investigative history that you're referring to?
9	MEMBER SHORT: That is correct.
LO	Now, Mr. Ruiz, normally, when a 251 is
L1	written, that means the police department was
L2	called. Is that correct?
L3	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Normally, yes.
L4	MEMBER SHORT: How many times on the
L5	history of this establishment have they been
L6	called for assaults according to the history?
L7	MR. BIANCO: There's no events,
L8	there's no assault
L9	MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Chair, I would
20	Mr. Chair, I would simply say Mr. Chair, I
21	would simply say it is a fact that the police
22	were called because someone said they had been
23	assaulted. That is a fact.
24	MR. BIANCO: The fact is these are all
25	NFAs. They're not relevant to this particular

1	MEMBER SHORT: Wait a minute. Wait a
2	minute, please. Mr I understand you are
3	trying to answer for me, but I'd like to ask the
4	Chairman if he would say if the if our
5	investigator can answer, how many times has MPD
6	written 251s for this establishment? I think
7	that's a fair question.
8	MR. BIANCO: Same objection on
9	relevance.
10	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And, Mr. Short,
11	you asked a question, and Mr. Bianco, as the
12	attorney representing the establishment, he has
13	objected to the question that you asked because
14	he doesn't believe that it's relevant to this
15	to the issue at hand. And I'm in agreement with
16	him, and so, therefore, that's not a question you
17	should ask this witness, sir.
18	MEMBER SHORT: Okay. I would simply
19	say this. Have you ever been to this
20	establishment, Investigator?
21	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yeah. I've been
22	there.
23	MEMBER SHORT: Have you ever
24	personally been there?
25	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yeah. I've been

there.

2.2

2.4

MEMBER SHORT: Can you describe what you saw the nights or -- or the times when you -- when you went there? What did you see when you went there? What did you observe?

INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: An operating tavern, usually has bands. Usually security is on the front doing pat-downs. Normal operation of a nightlife establishment.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Now recently our District of Columbia government has started requiring places like CTs and nightclubs to have trained security. Is that correct? Recently.

INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yeah. That has come up a lot recently, yes.

MEMBER SHORT: Why did the District of government -- District of Columbia government decide to do that? No. There's a reason why they did that, sir. You can't speculate.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I think that this investigator -- that's not a question that this investigator can answer, Mr. Short.

MEMBER SHORT: Okay. I'll just ask him, if it's okay, why -- or did the District just change their laws for security? And

1 security is a problem. Security has come up time 2 and time again in this hearing. Is that correct, Investigator? 3 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: I can only 4 5 speculate experiences. I don't know exact law changes or anything like that that have occurred. 6 7 MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Well, let me 8 rephrase that. The persons who were outside of 9 this club who are accused of assaulting a person who had been in the club, as employees of the 10 11 club, what they do, isn't the club have some 12 responsibility or does not the club have some 13 responsibility or the CT has some responsibility 14 for their employees? 15 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: The establishment 16 has some responsibility for the training -- has responsibility for the training of their staff in 17 18 how to handle. So if there are -- so 19 MEMBER SHORT: 20 if that staff is inside, and then have to go 21 outside because of a person who is -- who has 2.2 patronized them, does that give any 23 responsibility to that establishment? 2.4 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: 25 MEMBER SHORT: So security had the

1	obligation, once they went outside and someone
2	was assaulted, to call the police, according to
3	their security plan. Is that correct?
4	MR. BIANCO: Objection. Opinion,
5	legal conclusion.
6	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: If the witness
7	can I'm going to overrule the objection. If
8	the witness can answer it, let if not, move
9	on.
10	Are you able to answer the question,
11	Mr. Ruiz?
12	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: That's the
13	understanding of my of the regulation.
14	MEMBER SHORT: Can you state that
15	answer again? Is your to your knowledge about
16	the regulation, did they have responsibility, the
17	security?
18	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yes.
19	MEMBER SHORT: So them having
20	responsibility, shouldn't they have called the
21	police or either done some type of report?
22	MR. BIANCO: Objection.
23	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's the
24	nature of your objection, Mr. Bianco?
25	MR. BIANCO: It's the same objection.

	The questions are calling for a legal conclusion.
2	They're asking for an opinion on the ultimate
3	issue that the Board is to decide in this case.
4	And the investigator is neither competent to
5	testify about that nor is his testimony on that
6	issue relevant. It's the issue that the Board is
7	to decide based on facts. This is a fact
8	witness, not someone who can draw legal
9	conclusions or give opinion testimony.
10	MEMBER SHORT: Thank you, sir.
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'll sustain
12	that.
13	MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Thank you, sir.
14	Did or did we not establish that the
15	persons who are employed by this CT have
16	responsibility when someone is inside of that
17	club and they have to go outside of that club to
18	respond to them? Yes or no.
19	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Still part of the
20	establishment, yes.
21	MEMBER SHORT: So they have
22	responsibility.
23	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yes.
24	MEMBER SHORT: So wouldn't that
25	responsibility also say that they should report

1	it to MPD?
2	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yes.
3	MEMBER SHORT: Did they report it to
4	MPD?
5	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: No.
6	MEMBER SHORT: So was that a violation
7	of their security plan?
8	MR. BIANCO: Objection. Same it's
9	the same objection. I can restate it if the
10	Chair likes.
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I think that
12	the witness can answer this question, because I
13	believe I'm going to overrule the objection.
14	If the witness can answer the question, he can,
15	because I'm not sure I I believe that the
16	witness did make some conclusion I think in this
17	report.
18	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: It's my
19	understanding of the security plan that they are
20	required to call the police in this incident.
21	MEMBER SHORT: Okay. Now,
22	Investigator Ruiz, it's very important very
23	important to myself and the Board members, and
24	hopefully the public, that a fact came up that
25	the young lady who was along with the gentleman

1	who was assaulted was still in the female
2	restroom when he was escorted out of the club.
3	Is that correct?
4	MR. BIANCO: I'm going to I'm going
5	to object as it's both a mischaracterization and
6	any factual representations in the statements are
7	not in the record.
8	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. I'll
9	sustain the objection. Mr. Short, let's move on.
10	MEMBER SHORT: Investigator Ruiz, is
11	it a fact that when men escort women, they come
12	with them and they leave with them?
13	MR. BIANCO: Objection. Scope,
14	speculation, competence.
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's not a
16	question that Mr. Ruiz can answer, Mr. Short.
17	Let's move on.
18	MEMBER SHORT: So, again, the history
19	of this establishment has over over 10
20	incidents. Does the history of an establishment
21	have anything to do with the way it operates,
22	Mr. Ruiz, or Investigator Ruiz?
23	MR. BIANCO: Objection. Same
24	objection. I believe the Chair has previously
25	ruled on the relevance of the investigative

1	history.
2	MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Ruiz, were there
3	any assaults at all ever reported for this
4	establishment?
5	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short,
6	these are not questions that this witness can
7	answer, sir.
8	MEMBER SHORT: All right. Thank you,
9	Mr. Chair. I will be very brief. I know that
10	I've been long-winded, but I see that we had
11	other people who asked as many questions as I'm
12	asking. I'm just trying to get so that I can
13	make a proper opinion when I have to deliberate
14	about this.
15	I would really like to ask
16	Investigator Ruiz again, if I could, if he has
17	ever visited this establishment.
18	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: I have.
19	MEMBER SHORT: How many times?
20	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: I'd say at least
21	a dozen times. I can't recall. Twelve.
22	MEMBER SHORT: Have you ever had to
23	cite them for anything during those visits?
24	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: I can't recall.
25	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Let's do you

1	have another question, Mr. Short?
2	MEMBER SHORT: Thank you for allowing
3	me to ask. In that neighborhood where this
4	establishment is, how many or are there other CTs
5	or taverns located in that neighborhood?
6	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yes.
7	MEMBER SHORT: Have you ever had to
8	investigate any incidents at any of the other CTs
9	in this neighborhood where this location is on
10	18th Street?
11	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yes.
12	MEMBER SHORT: What was the nature of
13	those investigations?
14	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Various
15	investigations, occupancy, took to hospital.
16	It's very varied. It varies.
17	MEMBER SHORT: Would you say this is
18	a very busy area?
19	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yes. On the
20	weekends, they're busy.
21	MEMBER SHORT: What day of the week
22	was this incident on the 21st of on the 21st
23	of May 21st, 2023? What day of the week, or
24	would you know that?
25	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: I'm not sure off

1	the top of my head.
2	MEMBER SHORT: Investigator Ruiz, I
3	thank you for a very thorough report for what
4	filling in for another investigator, and
5	hopefully we will not be seeing this particular
6	establishment again anytime soon. But you did a
7	you did a great job filling in, and thank you
8	for the report you gave us. And thank you for
9	your testimony today.
LO	That's all I have, Mr. Chair.
L1	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: You're welcome,
L2	Mr. Short.
L3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you,
L4	Mr. Short.
L5	Any other questions by any other
L6	investigators? I'm sorry. Any other Board
L7	member?
L8	MEMBER GRANT: No questions.
L9	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you,
20	Mr. Grant.
21	Mr. Bianco, do you have any questions
22	of the witness based on the questions that were
23	asked by the Board?
24	MR. BIANCO: I do not, Mr. Anderson.
25	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.

1 Mr. Southcott, any redirect? 2 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Briefly like to touch 3 on a couple of matters. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 4 5 MR. SOUTHCOTT: I'll share my screen Investigator Ruiz, during your cross-6 again. 7 examination, you were asked about the security 8 Do you recall testifying about the plan. 9 security plan? INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: (No audible 10 11 response.) BY MR. SOUTHCOTT: 12 13 And, specifically, you were asked 0 14 about Section A of the security plan, which says 15 that all security at Madam's Organ is trained for 16 every location around the establishment by senior 17 staff and management, and then Section A2 says 18 that police and/or EMS are called for any 19 emergency situation. Do you recall testifying 20 about this portion? 21 Α Yes. 2.2 As you read this security plan, is 23 your understanding of it that the obligation is 2.4 just for security to be trained on calling EMS in

any emergency situation? Or do they actually

1	have to call EMS in an emergency situation?
2	A That they actually have to that
3	they are trained to call.
4	Q And so if they did not call, it would
5	be violating their training, correct?
6	A Correct.
7	MR. SOUTHCOTT: And would that be a
8	violation of their security plan?
9	MR. BIANCO: Objection. Calls for a
10	legal conclusion, opinion testimony on the
11	ultimate issue for the Board to decide.
12	MR. SOUTHCOTT: I believe that this
13	witness has testified to this type of question
14	before. I believe he can testify to it again.
15	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to
16	overrule the objection and allow him to answer if
17	he can.
18	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yes. It would be
19	a violation. That's the question, right? I'm
20	sorry.
21	BY MR. SOUTHCOTT:
22	Q And, also, while we're talking about
23	the security plan, do you remember the
24	testifying about focusing on the section that
25	says managers are required to make incident

1 reports for any issues that arrive inside the 2 Do you remember testifying about that? bar? 3 Α Yes. And do you recall opposing counsel 4 5 emphasized that it is incidents that just arise inside of the bar, not incidents that arise 6 outside of the bar? Do you recall that? 7 8 Α Yes. 9 MR. SOUTHCOTT: So according to opposing counsel's interpretation of the -- if an 10 11 incident occurs, regardless of the severity of 12 the incident, between an employee and a patron, 13 but that incident occurs outside, then according 14 to that interpretation that would not trigger any 15 obligation in the establishment to create an 16 incident report. Is that right? 17 MR. BIANCO: Objection. 18 Characterization, argument. 19 MR. SOUTHCOTT: I want the witness to 20 testify to matters that he just testified to with 21 respect to what the security report says about 2.2 whether there is an obligation that is triggered 23 by an incident that originates inside the bar. 2.4 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to --25 I'm going to overrule the objection and allow the

1 witness to answer the question if he can. 2 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Can you ask the question again, please? 3 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Yeah. 4 So according to 5 the interpretation of the incident report where the establishment only has to make a report for 6 7 an incident that arrives inside the bar, if an 8 incident occurs between a patron and a member of 9 security that takes place entirely outside the 10 bar, according that interpretation, then the 11 establishment wouldn't have to make an incident report, regardless of the severity of the 12 13 incident, correct? 14 INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Correct. 15 MR. SOUTHCOTT: Do you believe that 16 that is a reasonable interpretation of what a 17 security plan does? 18 Objection. This witness' MR. BIANCO: 19 opinion on reasonableness of a security plan is not relevant to these proceedings in any way. 20 21 MR. SOUTHCOTT: This witness has 2.2 testified that he has dealt with security plans 23 and incident reports on dozens of occasions in the past. I believe he is more than able to 2.4 25 testify as to a reasonable interpretation of a

security plan.

2.2

2.4

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That is not the role of the investigator, so I'm going to sustain. I'm going to sustain the objection and ask -- the word "reasonableness" -- I mean, if he felt -- yeah. So I'm going to sustain the objection on the -- how the question is characterized.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. I'll move on. BY MR. SOUTHCOTT:

Q So, Investigator Ruiz, during your testimony on cross-examination, you clarified some of your statements about what types of incidents are ones that trigger the requirement to -- or, rather, what constitute an emergency situation. Do you remember testifying about sort of what levels of interaction between patrons and staff constitute an emergency situation?

A Yes, I recall.

Q And during your testimony, you said that something like shoving a patron would not rise to the level of an emergency situation, but grabbing them and a prolonged conflict would rise to the level of an emergency situation. Do you recall giving that testimony?

A I do.

2.2

2.4

Q All right. I'm going to direct your attention to Exhibit Number 9, which is the statement provided by Walter Johnson, the member of the establishment's security. In this statement, does this statement describe a physical altercation that involves grabbing another individual?

A Yes.

MR. SOUTHCOTT: And so based on your understanding, does this statement from the establishment trigger the obligation to contact MPD or EMS in response to an emergency situation?

MR. BIANCO: Same objection. I realize the Board has ruled on this, but I just want to note it. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That is correct. So I'm overruling the objection, so the witness can answer the question if he can.

INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Yes.

BY MR. SOUTHCOTT:

Q Okay. Thank you. I'm actually going to go back down to the security plan, just for a second, and focus a little bit more on Section A2, which states, "Police and/or EMS are called

1	for any emergency situation."
2	Does the security plan define what an
3	emergency situation is?
4	A No.
5	Q Is there any provision that you are
6	aware of that defines what a quote/unquote
7	"emergency situation" is?
8	A Not that I'm aware of.
9	Q So is there any authority that anyone
10	can point to that definitively indicates what an
11	emergency situation would be?
12	A No.
13	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Okay. I have no
14	further questions for this witness. Sorry. No,
15	I have no further questions.
16	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
17	Thank you.
18	Thank you, Mr. Ruiz, for your
19	testimony. You are free to go.
20	INVESTIGATOR RUIZ: Thank you, sir.
21	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Close your
22	screen, please.
23	MR. SOUTHCOTT: Yes.
24	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Does the
25	Government rest?

1 MR. SOUTHCOTT: The Government does 2 rest. 3 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. 4 Thank you. 5 We're going to take a break. before taking a break, I just want to know 6 7 scheduling. How many witnesses do you plan to 8 call, Mr. Bianco? 9 MR. BIANCO: Our --CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Or does the 10 11 Government wish -- I'm sorry. Or, better yet, 12 are you going to call any witnesses? 13 MR. BIANCO: Yes. I intend, based on 14 the presentation of the case, to call three 15 witnesses as I think I initially indicated. there's one other thing I think that bears a 16 brief discussion is Mr. Southcott indicated at 17 18 the outset of his case he may want to make a 19 dispositive motion, and I indicated that I -- I 20 may want to as well. I think we both do, and 21 perhaps we should decide how that best be 2.2 presented to the Board in terms of scheduling out 23 the rest of this hearing today. 2.4 MR. SOUTHCOTT: I'll clarify, at no 25 point did I say that I wanted to make a

1	dispositive motion, and did not then and do not
2	now.
3	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. That
4	answers that I I thought that you, Mr. Bianco,
5	had made and eluded to the fact that you might
6	request a request for a
7	MR. BIANCO: And I do I do wish to
8	do that. So, again, from a scheduling
9	standpoint, I think we can maybe discuss quickly
10	how we want to handle that.
11	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So why don't
12	you make your motion, then, sir.
13	MR. BIANCO: Would we be able to take
14	the break first, so I can gather my thoughts and
15	make it more concise? That may be appealing to
16	the Board.
17	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: It's 4:00.
18	We'll take a 15-minute break.
19	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
20	went off the record at 4:00 p.m. and resumed at
21	4:17 p.m.)
22	CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you have a
23	motion, Mr. Bianco?
24	MR. BIANCO: I would like to make a
25	motion. I suppose it's in the style of a motion

for a directed verdict. We can also call it a motion to dismiss. I don't know exactly what to label it. But I will have brief argument on it, and we can go from there.

So we move that this charge against my client be dismissed, and the basis for our motion is that the Government is -- has the burden to prove all of the elements of the charge. Here the establishment is accused of violating its security plan in two ways. Number one, by failing to report a quote/unquote "emergency situation" to MPD. And, number two, by failing to take down an incident report.

First, there is no provision in the security plan requiring that the establishment call MPD after an emergency incident. The Government cherry-picks a subpart of an overarching paragraph that talks about how security staff is to be trained. Specifically, Section A talks about training requirements of security staff, and Subpart 2 underneath training indicates that the security staff should be trained to call MPD in the event of a quote/unquote "emergency situation."

Per the testimony of the Government's

2.2

2.4

only witness and the sole exhibit presented by the Government, there was no inquiry, let alone finding, with respect to the establishment failing to properly train their staff, since they have failed to put on any evidence that the plain language of that particular provision has been violated, the Government has failed to meet its burden on that point following their case in chief.

Second, my client is accused of failing to take down an incident report, and now we're dealing with paragraph E of the security plan. And, again, our argument is one of the plain language of the security agreement, which we think is how the Board has to read this. What that revision requires is the making -- the making of an incident report for situations that occur inside the establishment. It's a bright line test.

There is nothing in the report, in the narrative portion of the report, or in the portion prepared by the investigator, that indicates that there was anything that occurred inside of the establishment. And we need only look to his conclusions on page 7 where he

2.2

2.4

doesn't mention any nasty comments or rudeness with respect to that being the basis for something happening inside of the establishment. This is something new that the Government raised today, months and months after the report was filed.

And I think the more important piece of the argument with respect to the incident report is that there is no evidence on the record that anybody said anything to this person in any way inappropriate while inside of the establishment.

The Government has some tortured analysis of how the incident began inside the establishment based on the statement, the only statement of the complaining witness, which is not admitted as evidence for the purpose of any of the facts in it. There are no facts indicating anything happened inside. And that bright line test is why the Government's case fails on the second point.

The last thing I would note with respect to our motion is that security plans are, of course, submitted and approved by the Board, and I think your attorney does an excellent job

2.2

2.4

of conducting very thorough and detailed reviews, often kicks them back to attorneys and establishments for revisions, and that didn't happen here. What we're dealing with is a Board-approved security plan that was followed to its letter in this particular situation.

Moving on from the motion, we do have an additional argument that is not part of the motion that we will present facts on, and that will be whether or not the situation that occurred constitutes a quote/unquote "emergency." But that's not part of the motion. I think that requires development of a factual record to succeed on that defense.

Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.

Mr. Southcott, do you wish to respond?

MR. SOUTHCOTT: I have several

responses. So addressing Mr. Bianco's arguments in turn, a very tortured understanding of what a security plan is, how one operates, and how one should operate under this instance, according to him the only obligation under the security plan was that the individuals had to be trained to call police and/or EMS for any emergency

2.2

2.4

situation.

2.2

2.4

Therefore, regardless of the severity of any situation, they would not have violated the provisions of the security plan by failing to contact police or EMS, so long as they had been trained to do so. Clearly, the purpose of training is for it to be put into practice. It is a tortured understanding of how that security plan operates when, if you want to use the plain language, trying to shove a clear requirement that police and/or EMS are called for any emergency situation, under a subheading regarding training that then seems to, per the establishment, provide no further obligation.

We can tell that this is tortured because this is contrary to the testimony that was given by the investigator as to what he believed the establishment's obligations were under this -- under the security plan. He testified again and again and again that according to the security plan that the personnel of the establishment have an obligation to contact police and/or EMS for emergency situations the way that it says in the security plan.

To say that the security plan does nothing more than lay out a training regimen, and then say that failure to abide by that training regimen does not constitute a violation of the security plan, is a somewhat preposterous argument that I argue that the Board should not adopt.

With respect to the provision that managers are required to make an incident report for any issues that arrive inside of the bar, we have a similarly tortured logic where the only justification per them is that there is a -- you know, apparently a clear bright line test where something either occurs inside the bar or outside the bar.

Now, despite the evidence that we heard from the testimony of Investigator Ruiz repeatedly referring to incidents that originated inside the bar with comments that were made which were then directed out, this is, again, contrary to the argument that Investigator -- or not Investigator, but Counsel Bianco just made that those comments were made outside.

We have testimony on the record, again, noting that everything in the case report,

2.2

2.4

including the statements of the witness, is in the record. We have testimony from a witness in the record indicating that those comments that were first made saying that Ms. -- that the -- that Ms. Clark was in the bathroom sucking dicks, those comments were made, according to his statement, while he was standing outside of the bathroom waiting for her inside of the establishment.

So his argument that everything occurred outside of the establishment is -- are not true or even -- even under its own terms, but even -- but we take issue with the fact that it says that, nope, there is only a reporting -- an obligation to create an incident report for issues that arrive inside the bar.

As a quick side note, you know, Bianco

-- or the opposing counsel makes hay of, you

know, the great detail to which these, you know,

plans are reviewed and approved. We have a clear

typo in this relevant provision, which says that

"for any issues that arrive inside the bar." It

very clearly should be "arise," not "arrive."

Given that, it's hard to say that, you know, this was drafted with the exacting

2.2

precision that he seems to think that it implies. Even were that the case, that it would only trigger an obligation for something that occurred inside the bar and not outside of it, this is also facially ridiculous, because that, again, has nothing to do with severity and is at odds with the way that the rest of the portion of the security clearance is written.

Note that managers are required to make incident reports for any issues, regardless of the level of severity. Any issue is required -- a manager is required to make a security But their understanding is that, well, so issue. long as it is inside the bar, the second that you are a blade of grass outside of the establishment, regardless of whether that incident took place inside the establishment, whether that was between patrons of the establishment and members of the establishment, and regardless of the severity of that incident, then there is absolutely no obligation under the security plan for the establishment to make an incident report regardless of the severity of what happened out there.

So, per them, an individual who works

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

security could walk outside, produce a gun, shoot a bystander, and then that is -- there is no obligation, per them, to even make an incident report, despite the fact that if a patron were to lightly push a member of the establishment's security inside the bar, that does trigger their obligation to make an incident report for any issue that occurs inside the bar.

That's also a nonsensical interpretation of the security plan, and it's not what the security plan requires. The security plan requires that incident reports be made for incidents that arise inside the bar. This incident arose inside the bar. Had the patron not been -- and this is undisputed across all accounts -- had the patron not been inside the bar, there would not have been a physical altercation between the patron and bar staff.

It is impossible for them to escape this just by saying, "Because he was outside of it, we think, according to some interpretations of this, that does not trigger the requirements of the reporting -- the reporting requirements that exist under the security plan."

For these reasons, the District

2.2

2.4

believes it has more than amply met its burden, and it is wholly inappropriate for the Board to dismiss the case or to issue a directed verdict in favor of the establishment.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, sir.

All right. The Board is not going to make a determination. The Board will reserve our ruling on whether or not a directed verdict will be issued or to dismiss the matter at this juncture. It's more important for us to preserve the record. When the Board issues its ultimate decision, the Board will make a ruling at that time on your motion. Okay?

So that's the Board -- that's the decision. So I'm deferring making a decision at this juncture.

What the Board, however, will do at this juncture, you have made -- you have informed us, Mr. Bianco, that you have three witnesses. The Board is going to end this hearing at this juncture. We're going to end the hearing today and have the parties -- the Board will provide some dates to resume this hearing and to resume the hearing in the sense that you will present your -- call your witnesses.

2.2

2.4

And it's late in the day. The Board has another full day of hearing -- of hearings tomorrow, and I think it's appropriate for us to end our day on this note today. Okay?

MR SOUTHCOTT: Thank you members of

MR. SOUTHCOTT: Thank you, members of the Board.

MR. BIANCO: Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. So I don't -- I'm not going to close -- so I'm not closing the record. I'm not going to read the ruling, because the Board will not be discussing this case. So, but let me close the record.

I have to close the record -- I have to close the record for the day, but I am not closing this case because we are not -- we are making no decision on this case until we reconvene to hear the Respondent present its case. Okay?

All right. As Chairperson of the Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Board for the District of Columbia, in accordance with Title 3, Chapter 45, Office of Open Government, I move that the ABC Board hold a closed meeting on March 21st for the purpose of discussing and hearing reports concerning ongoing or planned

2.2

2.4

1 investigations of alleged criminal or civil 2 misconduct or violations of law or regulations that seek legal advice from our legal counsel, 3 and the Board's investigative agenda, legal 4 5 agenda, licensing agenda for March 21st, as published in D.C. Register on March 15th. 6 7 Is there a second? MEMBER SHORT: Short. I'll second. 8 9 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I will take a roll call vote on the motion before us now that 10 11 it that has been properly seconded. 12 Mr. Short? 13 MEMBER SHORT: Short. I agree. 14 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grant? 15 MEMBER GRANT: (No audible response.) 16 CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Anderson. 17 As it appears that the motion has passed, I 18 hereby give notice that the ABC Board will hold 19 this closed meeting pursuant to the Open Meetings Notice will also be posted on the ABC Board 20 21 hearing room bulletin board, placed on the 2.2 electronic calendar, and ABCA's website, and 23 published in the D.C. Register in as timely -- as 2.4 timely a manner as practical.

Thank you for your presentation today.

1	Our legal office will get back to the parties
2	with a date to reconvene this hearing.
3	Thank you very much. Have a great
4	day.
5	I'd ask all Board members to return to
6	executive session for a brief meeting.
7	All right. Thank you. Have a great
8	day.
9	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
10	went off the record at 4:32 p.m.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

A
a.m 24:15 77:8,12,13 A1 45:20
A2 45:12 79:8 107:17
112:25
Aaron 6:25 13:2 57:14 57:15,16,18,20,22
58:22,24 59:17,23
66:11
Aaron's 57:21 ABC 46:25 47:1 56:14
59:16,25 63:8 93:8
126:23 127:18,20
ABCA 1:20,21 13:11 14:12 15:23 19:9 20:2
21:22 62:5 73:23,24
74:9 87:21 88:1
ABCA's 72:23 127:22 abide 13:14 54:1,11
121:3
abided 9:2 able 7:17 23:12 31:1
48:23 63:19,21
100:10 110:24 115:13
above-entitled 115:19 128:9
above-referred 22:13
24:19 41:8 45:8 50:10
56:1 59:19 ABRA 46:19 48:13
92:24 93:7 94:19 96:1
96:2
abrasion 41:1 abruptly 69:3
absence 83:25 87:25
absolutely 18:9 67:6,7 76:4 88:1 123:21
access 3:11,12,13,14
22:5
account 6:3,4 9:3 15:7 15:15 16:8 29:6,7
31:6 53:1,9,10 62:21
62:21 67:18,25
accounts 12:22 14:18 14:19,21,24,25 16:9
26:16 27:6 124:16
accurate 62:6 81:23
87:10 91:3 accurately 36:16 78:8
accused 99:9 116:9
117:10
act 17:7 76:17 127:20 acting 43:7
action 37:10 76:3
actions 39:7 actual 8:25 77:9 90:3
Adams 23:21
Adamstown 94:4

added 90:22
additional 33:18 119:8
address 4:13,23 10:3
18:11,15 93:20,23,25
94:25 95:3,5,8,9,11
addressing 119:19
Administration 20:2
administrative 25:23 admissibility 28:1
admissible 25:23 36:10
39:12,14 53:17
admit 39:11
admitted 21:13 25:19
26:25 27:25 29:2 44:3
59:13 118:17
adopt 121:7
advice 127:3
afternoon 3:4,7,10,16
3:17,18,21 4:2 10:8
12:16 68:14,17
agency 37:14 72:16
78:15 83:24 88:5
agenda 4:18 127:4,5,5
ago 38:4
agree 80:15 127:13
agreement 18:2 97:15
117:14
Ah 89:1
ahead 7:6 12:15 16:25
22:4 36:7 41:21 51:7
74:7 92:4
alcohol 20:4 Alcoholic 1:2,13 20:1
126:20
Aligria 66:12
allegation 81:8 87:1
88:9
allegations 53:18,20
81:14 90:23
alleged 17:12,17 18:6
95:8 127:1
alleging 93:19
allow 28:3 30:19 44:6
54:5 79:5 108:16
109:25
allowed 7:20,21 95:16
allowing 105:2
alteration 91:10
altercation 5:19 12:24
13:4,5,25 14:9,13,20
15:15 16:14 23:2,8 33:12,21 41:16 42:15
33:12,21 41:16 42:15
42:18,21 44:13 45:17
52:11,22 58:9 61:18
62:18 64:22 65:1,3,13
65:17 80:22,25 81:4
82:10,10 84:20 85:3
85:19 90:7 91:6,12,15

addad 00:22

91:18 112:7 124:18 altercations 20:13 75:23 91:2,7 ambulance 34:4 amorphous 17:9 amount 68:6 amply 125:1 analysis 27:10 118:14 and/or 15:13,16 78:22 79:9 80:8 107:18 112:25 119:25 120:11 120:23 **Anderson** 1:14,17 3:3 3:16,18,22 4:2,11 5:8 6:7 7:3,5 8:4 9:16 10:19 11:6,14 12:1,10 12:15 16:20,25 19:5 19:11,18 21:20 22:2,4 22:8 28:2 29:21 30:5 35:13,24 36:4,23 38:8 39:15 41:21 44:5 46:7 49:7 51:6 54:4 55:8 64:18 66:6 67:13 68:12,15 71:23 73:14 73:20 74:3 75:16.20 76:7 79:4 84:1 88:6 92:1 94:12.16.23 97:10 98:20 100:6,23 101:11 102:11 103:8 103:15 104:5,25 106:13,19,24,25 108:15 109:24 111:2 112:17 113:16,21,24 114:3,10 115:3,11,17 115:22 119:16 125:5 126:8 127:9,14,16,16 answer 44:7 66:7 84:2 97:3,5 98:22 100:8,10 100:15 102:12,14 103:16 104:7 108:16 110:1 112:19 answered 52:3 55:7 **answers** 115:4 **anybody** 118:10 anymore 52:16 anytime 82:12,12 106:6 apparent 22:25 65:2 74:19 85:2 apparently 121:13 appealing 115:15 appear 87:6 appeared 91:22 appears 30:9 127:17 applicant 9:22 application 18:2 appreciate 5:1 **appropriate** 6:19 126:3 approved 17:19 37:4

118:24 122:20 area 105:18 argue 28:21 60:15 121:6 arguendo 27:17 arguing 57:7,16 argument 30:13 39:5 43:18,20 49:3 73:13 109:18 116:3 117:13 118:8 119:8 121:6,21 122:10 argumentative 41:19 66:24 67:7 94:11 arguments 119:19 arises 8:18 arm 41:4 **arms** 34:19 57:22,23 61:5 arose 124:14 arrest 76:21 arrests 74:24 arrive 89:6 109:1 121:10 122:16,22,23 arrives 110:7 aside 70:22 asked 21:4 38:20 44:1 51:23 55:7 57:4 86:13 86:17,25 97:11,13 104:11 106:23 107:7 asking 4:15 28:23 43:9 57:9 60:9 83:15,17,20 83:22 101:2 104:12 asks 16:16 assault 14:24 23:1 24:25 50:8,17 60:19 62:2 74:19 75:11,12 75:15,18 76:4 87:14 93:8 96:18 assaulted 23:10 58:1 58:15 89:21 93:19,21 94:8 95:9 96:23 100:2 103:1 assaulting 33:1 99:9 assaults 96:16 104:3 asserted 27:1,22 28:23 29:2 46:5,6 51:5 assigned 21:1 68:25 69:2 70:15 71:8 72:5 assist 65:21 associated 60:1 **Assumes** 94:21 assuming 27:16 attached 35:3 36:22 74:16 85:6 attaching 36:9 attacked 32:23 attacking 33:14

89:20.23

attempt 33:1,4 63:16 began 33:13 85:23 **attempted** 33:9,13 118:14 60:22 begging 52:12 attention 24:17 34:2,5,8 **beginning** 4:14 86:18 50:4 58:14 63:6 65:22 86:24 89:2,9 112:3 behalf 4:5 attired 85:12 believe 6:12 9:6 11:9,24 14:17 25:19 29:8 30:8 attorney 3:22,25 97:12 30:23 32:6 34:3 38:20 118:25 attorneys 3:19 119:2 38:21 39:20 40:3 42:9 audible 107:10 127:15 45:16,23 50:16 53:10 authentication 36:19 53:25 54:9,16,20 59:3 59:8 62:20 70:6 77:11 authority 113:9 available 63:24 64:3 82:12 83:11 87:17 90:25 97:14 102:13 aware 4:14 6:8 39:16 102:15 103:24 108:12 87:12,24 113:6,8 108:14 110:15,24 В believed 7:11 120:18 **B-I-A-N-C-O** 4:6 **believes** 5:17 43:22 back 31:5 57:14 60:24 125:1 84:11 86:6,21 90:20 **best** 114:21 better 38:11 114:11 94:9 112:23 119:2 128:1 Beverage 1:2,13 20:1 backwards 61:1 126:20 **Beyond** 27:23 **bad** 66:5 **bands** 98:7 Bianco 1:20 3:13 4:3,4 **bar** 15:22 20:13 28:12 4:5,15,25 7:6,7 9:5 28:14,15,16,19 30:1,2 10:1,20,23 11:19 16:20,23 17:2 25:16 42:19,22 46:18 51:12 51:13 53:5 58:6 61:14 28:20 29:17,22,25 61:21,22 68:7 86:3 35:12,14 36:5,8,23 38:2 39:4 41:18 42:25 88:21 89:7 109:2,6,7 109:23 110:7,10 43:8,18 44:1 46:2 121:10,14,15,19 48:17,25 50:25 53:13 122:16,22 123:4,14 55:6 64:4,14 66:3,24 124:6,8,13,14,17,18 67:5 68:12,13,18,20 **bartender** 51:10,11 71:12,25 72:3,7,10 bartenders 52:14 73:3,12,16 74:5,8,14 based 5:2 16:15 20:21 75:10,20 77:3,14,17 23:22,24 26:8,15,18 77:24 78:2,7,12,17,25 36:6 37:20,24 42:7 79:5,6,13 83:5,15,20 44:2,20 52:20 53:8 84:4,10 87:23 88:8,15 54:8 59:2,2 61:12 91:23 94:10,21 95:6 62:8 71:15 85:7 101:7 95:10 96:17,24 97:8 106:22 112:10 114:13 97:11 100:4,22,24,25 102:8 103:4,13,23 118:15 **basic** 36:18 106:21,24 108:9

bleeding 80:24 81:22 84:22 85:2,8 90:8 **blood** 41:1 board 1:2,14 4:4,19 5:6 6:6,13,17 9:19 10:13 11:4 12:6,17 17:2 19:3,23 22:7 25:19 26:9 27:14 30:11,22 35:23 36:21 37:1,4,9 37:11 38:10 40:10 51:2 64:6,10 76:24 92:3 101:3,6 102:23 106:16,23 108:11 112:15 114:22 115:16 117:15 118:24 121:6 125:2,6,7,11,12,14,17 125:20,22 126:1,6,11 126:20,23 127:18,20 127:21 128:5 **Board's** 127:4 board-approved 13:15 17:15 119:5 **body** 90:4 **bold** 84:16 bouncer 23:7 31:8.11 31:16 32:3,7,10,15,17 32:18,20,25 33:8,10 51:21,23 52:3,6,8,10 bouncer's 52:9 **bouncers** 23:3,5,9 28:15 31:17 51:16,19 52:13.17 box 93:24 94:4 boyfriend 60:13,16,17 60:21 break 114:5,6 115:14 115:18 brief 7:17 104:9 114:17 116:3 128:6 briefly 11:7 19:22 107:2 **bright** 117:18 118:20 121:13 bring 33:4 74:18 79:1 88:16,23 **bringing** 5:22 13:23 broader 82:8 brought 17:8 **brown** 32:21 **bruise** 41:6 **bruises** 34:19 bulletin 127:21 burden 6:9,17 7:8 9:18 17:23 19:1,2 29:20 39:10 116:7 117:8 125:1 **business** 87:20 **busy** 105:18,20 **butthurt** 57:24 58:1,16

bystander 61:7 124:2 C C-H-R-I-S 3:23 C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S 2:1 cabin 8:24 calendar 3:4 127:22 call 4:22 5:5 9:23 10:7 10:12,20 11:4 12:3 17:13 19:6,9 22:25 24:4,8 33:22 43:2 77:2 79:24 80:8.14 100:2 102:20 108:1,3 108:4 114:8,12,14 116:1,16,23 119:25 125:25 127:10 called 5:3 10:14 12:4 15:13,17 19:15 33:23 45:14 65:21 78:22 79:10 80:16 87:13 96:12.16.22 100:20 107:18 112:25 120:11 calling 10:18,22 11:17 11:20,23 45:18 101:1 107:24 calls 42:25 66:25 108:9 **calmly** 52:16 cameras 64:5 **Cannabis** 1:2.13 20:2 126:20 capacity 43:7,22 carrying 86:7 case 1:8 3:4,5,9 4:6 6:10,21 7:9,23 9:1,9 9:10,22,23 10:24,25 11:2 12:22 14:15 17:3 17:4,8 18:6,14,24 19:3 20:24 21:2,7,9 21:13,15 27:18 30:12 30:20 36:3 37:14,17 39:16,18,18,23 40:9,9 40:13 45:2 53:20 55:21 59:14 64:17 65:23 66:10,14 69:7 70:15 71:8 72:5 73:7 74:22,25 76:5 80:20 87:22 88:9 89:11 91:2 93:18 94:2 101:3 114:14,18 117:8 118:20 121:25 123:2 125:3 126:12,15,16 126:18 case-by-case 88:2 cases 20:12,17 **cause** 1:7 3:5

109:17 110:18 112:14

114:8,9,13 115:4,7,13

122:17 125:19 126:7

115:23,24 121:22

bit 22:10 66:18 82:8,9

Bianco's 119:19

big 94:9

112:24

blade 123:15

blame 14:9,12

Bill 4:8

bear 79:2

basically 17:10 65:2

basis 25:22 37:17,21

90:15 116:6 118:2

bathroom 45:25 51:9

bearing 14:14 73:25

75:8,25 87:21

bears 114:16

beer 95:16

75:12 82:1 86:1 88:3

51:10,18 52:1 122:5,8

caused 34:25 42:5

CCN23079946 2:9

1	ı	1	1
22:17	114:24	100:5 101:1 102:16	Corporation 1:6
certain 27:12 39:7 87:2	clarifying 9:10	108:10	correct 9:4 14:7 22:21
chair 5:1 7:8 8:2 10:23	Clark 2:10 6:25 13:1	conclusions 90:4 101:9	24:11,12 28:6 31:1
12:9 21:14 31:4 35:12	14:2,9 23:17 31:24	117:25	41:13 49:20 55:9,18
40:15 50:25 77:4	32:2,5 50:7,20,21	condition 36:16 78:9	56:25 62:21 70:5,16
96:19,20,20 102:10	69:21 70:9,21 122:5	conditions 8:19 51:12	78:16,23,24 79:11,12
103:24 104:9 106:10	Clark's 53:8	conduct 13:11 20:20	79:20,21 80:1,10,17
Chairman 3:15,22	clear 18:3,8 33:2,12	27:12 43:24	80:18 81:6,15,16,18
94:15 97:4	43:4 69:15 85:19	conducted 13:7 64:13	81:19,21 82:3,4,6
Chairperson 1:14,17	120:10 121:13 122:20	75:6	84:24,25 85:9,10,24
3:3,16,18 4:2,11 5:8	clearance 123:8	conducting 87:20	87:3,4,7,8 88:13,14
6:7 7:3,5 8:4 9:16	clearly 4:17 51:11	119:1	89:3,7 90:13,17 91:1
10:19 11:6,14 12:1,10	120:6 122:23	conflict 45:22 46:1	93:11,12,14,15 94:20
12:15 16:20,25 19:5	clench 57:18 58:24	111:23	95:14 96:9,12 98:13
19:11,18 21:20 22:2,4	client 18:20 29:23	conflicting 12:21	99:2 100:3 103:3
22:8 28:2 29:21 30:5	116:6 117:10	confrontation 43:16	108:5,6 110:13,14
35:13,24 36:4,23 38:8	close 68:15 71:14 92:2	52:17	112:18
39:15 41:21 44:5 46:7	113:21 126:9,12,13	confronted 23:5 32:7	corrected 10:10
49:7 51:6 54:4 55:8	126:14	confused 36:24	correctly 58:25 83:7
64:18 66:6 67:13	closed 57:13 126:23	consider 26:10 38:7	couched 76:4
68:12,15 71:23 73:14	127:19	76:2 83:6	council 39:16
73:20 74:3 75:16 76:7	closing 28:15 57:3,11	considered 36:21 51:4	counsel 1:20 18:10
79:4 84:1 88:6 92:1	60:8 86:5,12 126:10	53:16 64:10	19:15 28:21 49:2
94:12,16,23 97:10	126:15	considers 83:12	78:21 79:8 89:9 109:4
98:20 100:6,23	cloth 88:3	consistencies 14:18	121:22 122:18 127:3
101:11 102:11 103:8	club 23:3,9 99:9,10,11	29:10	counsel's 109:10
103:15 104:5,25	99:11,12 101:17,17	consistent 29:6 42:10	couple 5:13 42:13
106:13,19,25 108:15	103:2	62:17	66:18 107:3
109:24 111:2 112:17	Code 13:19 16:17	constitute 18:15,18	course 15:7 16:13
113:16,21,24 114:3	Columbia 1:1 20:6	111:15,18 121:4	20:11,16 21:6 64:13
114:10 115:3,11,17 115:22 119:16 125:5	98:11,17 126:21	constituted 15:15	81:17 86:5 118:24 court 16:16
126:8,19 127:9,14,16	come 24:2 81:8 82:24 94:9 98:15 99:1	80:21 constitutes 45:17	create 5:25 8:14,22
change 98:25	103:11	119:11	13:17 21:7 33:14 37:7
changes 5:6 99:6	comes 81:14	contact 6:1 8:12 13:16	47:10 54:19,23
Chapter 126:22	coming 41:1 60:11	37:5 44:23 46:12	109:15 122:15
characterization 8:6	comment 61:24	53:12 54:12 59:5	created 15:24 24:3 48:4
109:18	comments 8:6 14:23	62:23 66:22 67:21	49:11,12
characterized 111:8	53:2 57:16 58:18,19	91:6 112:12 120:5,23	creates 24:1
charge 5:22 6:5,9,14	58:20 61:23 86:2,20	contacted 54:25 72:17	creating 52:16
8:10,11,25 9:17,17,19	86:22 118:1 121:19	74:9	credible 27:18
13:13,22 17:18 29:14	121:23 122:3,6	contacting 8:22	crime 93:4,4,5
37:1,25 71:11 116:5,8	committed 17:7	contained 22:24 36:2	criminal 127:1
charges 8:20 74:22	communication 35:6	40:23 50:17	criteria 26:1
charging 17:11 19:2	69:24	content 30:1 46:5	cross 2:5 30:23,24 31:2
37:2,3,21 38:19 74:6	competence 103:14	context 27:15	35:20 37:19 38:1,1,9
cherry-picks 116:17	competency 67:3	continuance 5:17	38:17 40:12 68:19
chief 11:3 73:7 117:9	competent 101:4	continue 32:2 54:6	cross-83:22 107:6
Chris 3:23	complaining 51:11 70:9	continued 32:3 33:1,17	cross-examination
Christopher 1:22 3:12	72:14 78:4 85:8,18	52:17 53:5	111:12
cite 104:23	87:6 89:18 91:20	continuing 7:10 32:10	crucial 29:13
cited 17:22 citywide 88:4	118:16	contrary 120:16 121:20 contrast 14:11	crucially 13:21 crushed 34:22
civil 127:1	complaint 94:19 comply 26:23	conversation 63:6	CT 95:13,14 99:13
claim 5:23 8:21	concerning 126:25	convince 6:17	101:15
claims 43:11	concise 115:15	cooperate 62:5	CT-ANC 1:7
clarified 111:12	conclusion 18:23 43:20	copied 87:8	CTs 98:12 105:4,8
clarify 69:18 91:5	79:23 88:10 90:15	Corp 4:6	cut 22:10
II			

II			
Cuts 34:19	depending 11:1	77:21 114:17	Elbow 41:4
	depict 36:16 78:9	dismiss 116:2 125:3,9	electronic 127:22
D	depicted 26:22 29:10	dismissed 116:6	elements 116:8
D.C 13:19 16:17 94:8	depiction 52:20,21,25	disposed 8:1	elevate 3:8
127:6,23	60:4	dispositive 7:14 8:7	elevated 3:12,13,14,15
D.C.'s 3:24	depicts 58:9	114:19 115:1	elicited 11:2
D1 23:13	describe 14:19,22,24	dispute 7:16,18,23 8:18	eliciting 71:21
dances 18:10	15:1 20:24 28:9 34:11	30:9	eluded 115:5
Dantzler 25:5 69:17,22	40:18,23 41:3 42:15	disputed 13:4	email 2:13 25:9 35:6
69:23 70:18 71:3,7	52:21 55:12,22 58:5,8	disputing 29:23 38:21	47:9,13,14 56:12
72:4,11	58:12,17,20 59:15	distinguishing 91:9	59:16,24 60:2 69:24
date 16:6 24:13 56:16	61:13,16,20 62:1	District 1:1 4:1 5:17,22	embarrassed 57:24
56:21 60:1 64:8 77:11	64:12 67:19 68:6 98:2	8:17,23 9:6,9 11:12	89:20
90:20 92:21 93:10	112:6	11:16,24 13:23 14:6	emergency 6:1 8:13
128:2	described 54:17,19	16:15 20:5 27:3 29:3	15:13,16 18:14,18
dated 56:8 66:11,15	55:17 65:4 69:25	29:4,13,19 98:11,16	21:3 33:22 34:1,9
70:10,22 93:10	80:23,24 81:22	98:17,24 124:25	45:15,17 54:13 59:6
dates 125:23	describes 50:17 54:10	126:21	62:24 66:23 67:22
day 63:25 105:21,23	58:19	District's 5:16 9:9 27:18	78:23 79:10,25 80:9
126:1,2,4,14 128:4,8	describing 62:18	document 17:11 18:1	80:17,21 81:1 82:11
days 64:7	description 34:25	22:12,14 24:20,22	82:15,22 83:1,6,9,13
DC 1:20,21,22	despite 121:16 124:4	25:13,17,19,25 28:21	107:19,25 108:1
de-escalate 45:22	detail 122:19	29:19 30:7 37:2,3,21	111:15,18,22,24
82:18	detailed 119:1	38:19 45:3,9 50:11	112:13 113:1,3,7,11
de-escalating 46:1	detective 49:13 66:12	55:12,22 56:2 59:15	116:11,16,24 119:11
deal 5:10	determination 6:5	59:20 65:24 90:10	119:25 120:12,23
dealing 48:19,20	26:21 27:16 30:12,23	documented 65:5	Emotional 34:16
117:12 119:4	40:11 80:20 125:7	documents 19:2 38:12	emphasized 109:5
dealt 110:22	determine 37:12 43:13	40:10,13 41:9	employed 101:15
decide 10:20 19:3	80:25	doing 49:13 54:2 73:23	employee 17:6 45:24
98:18 101:3,7 108:11	determined 23:1	73:24 98:8	70:1 84:21 109:12
114:21	determines 6:20	Donovan 1:14,17	employees 13:1 14:22
decided 10:12	determining 43:21	double 26:1	16:1 24:7 31:7 33:15
decides 9:22 76:16	develop 73:5	dozen 104:21	42:15 44:17 47:5 54:3
decision 76:17,24	developing 71:15	dozens 110:23	55:13 61:22 62:4
125:12,15,15 126:16	development 119:13	drafted 122:25	99:10,14
declined 7:16	develops 71:21	draw 79:23 88:10 101:8	empty 51:13
deem 83:8	dicks 31:20 45:25 52:1	dreadlocks 32:21	EMS 6:1 8:13,22 15:13
defend 6:21 9:23	122:5	drill 82:8	15:17 44:23 45:14,18
defending 6:14	dictate 7:22	drinks 51:9	46:12 53:12 54:13,25
defense 7:13,20 119:14	different 39:19 66:20	drunk 51:11 57:21	59:5 62:23 66:23
defer 16:22	83:7,14	dude 57:17,21 58:23	67:21 78:22 79:10
deferring 125:15	differing 83:24	dude's 57:23	80:8 107:18,24 108:1
define 113:2	dire 35:22 36:5	dudes 31:19	112:13,25 119:25
defines 113:6	direct 2:5 19:20 24:17	Duggan 4:8	120:5,11,23
definition 82:21	26:10 34:5 50:4 58:14	duly 19:16	encounter 15:3
definitively 113:10	63:5 65:22 88:25	duplicative 26:14	ended 33:21
delay 5:4	112:2	E	engaged 52:11
deliberate 104:13	directed 18:25 89:9		entire 43:12
deliberately 33:11	116:1 121:20 125:3,8	E 46:15 48:12 88:17	entirely 110:9
demanded 31:9	directly 18:11 28:24	89:2 117:12	entitled 73:6 75:14
demanding 32:24	39:8 41:12 84:16	earlier 30:17 38:21,23	entrance 51:19
demonstrating 29:14	disagree 75:10	39:3 77:22	escalated 14:23
denied 4:19	discuss 12:17 63:13 115:9	early 12:19	escape 124:19
department 13:17 37:6 93:2 96:11	discussing 70:3 126:11	easier 81:13 either 17:22 47:22 77:2	escort 103:11 escorted 103:2
Department's 18:22	126:24	100:21 121:14	especially 92:24
dependent 29:18 91:14	discussion 7:9 32:2	elapsed 64:8	establish 31:1 38:12
	41304331311 7.3 02.2	Ciapsed OT.O	55.00 11511 51.1 50.12
II	•	•	•

39:1.10 71:22 92:22 101:14 establishment 5:15,23 8:9,12,14,21 9:1,8 12:25 13:19 15:17 16:5,6,8,13 17:8,12 17:15,21 18:7 23:10 26:18,23 27:12 28:18 29:13 30:15 31:13,23 35:8 37:9 38:25 42:24 43:4,15 44:17,23 46:12,22,23,24 48:9 49:11,18,22 54:1,11 55:1 56:19,22 58:18 62:22 63:2,7 64:1,7 65:9,24 68:3 72:12,17 73:23 74:1,10 76:11 77:1 79:18,24 80:3,7 80:14 84:23 85:24 86:3,5,8,11,14,17 88:11 90:5,10,16 91:19 95:13,18 96:15 97:6,12,20 98:9 99:15 99:23 101:20 103:19 103:20 104:4.17 105:4 106:6 107:16 109:15 110:6.11 112:12 116:9,15 117:3,18,24 118:3,12 118:15 120:14,22 122:9.11 123:16.17 123:19.19.22 125:4 establishment's 2:14 4:7 14:10 17:24 43:25 44:14 45:5 48:22 52:23 53:11 54:18,22 59:4,9 66:22 67:20 68:1,4 72:22 75:8 112:5 120:18 124:5 establishments 20:5 47:18 119:3 evaluating 67:3 evaluation 27:15 44:21 evening 48:7,10 85:12 event 15:23 38:13 77:12 87:14 116:23 events 5:18 13:12 14:17 15:5,8 16:9,11 20:21 26:21 28:25 29:10 31:22 42:14,14 52:20 53:9 54:21 60:5 62:6 66:19 96:17 eventually 33:22 everybody 86:18,25 **evidence** 17:3 21:14 25:20 26:10 30:3 36:9 39:11,19 44:3 53:18 75:11 94:22 117:5

118:9.17 121:16 evidentiary 36:19 **exact** 54:2 56:16 99:5 exacting 122:25 exactly 7:18 58:20 116.2 examination 19:15,20 30:24 31:2 35:20 38:1 38:9 68:19.24 83:23 107:4,7 examine 30:25 37:19 38:1,17 40:12 examined 19:17 excellent 118:25 exception 77:5 excessive 17:5 excluded 6:24 executive 128:6 exhibit 22:11,14 24:18 24:20,24 40:19,22 41:2,5,9 45:2,9 50:5 50:11,19 55:21 56:2 59:14,20 89:14 112:3 117:1 **Exhibits** 2:7.8 77:20 exist 14:18 15:22 43:11 62:12 124:24 existed 36:16 existence 88:4 **exists** 18:13 **experience** 47:17 82:25 experiences 83:4 99:5 expert 11:18,24 explain 48:14 explaining 31:10 extended 91:11 extent 11:23 16:7 27:23 73:8,16,21

F face 32:18 33:9 51:24

evewitness 5:18 6:3

14:7 15:6 27:6

eyewitnesses 7:1

57:8 60:17
faced 32:23
facially 123:5
fact 7:23 67:9 90:22
92:23 93:13 94:2
96:21,23,24 101:7
102:24 103:11 115:5
122:13 124:4
facts 7:12,15,18,23 8:8
8:8,11,16 9:7 16:15
17:20 18:2,5 44:2
48:6,9 50:16 67:8
94:21 101:7 118:18
118:18 119:9

factually 30:11 fail 71:10 72:21 failed 1:9 13:14 15:17 16:6 17:13 117:5,7 failing 5:24,25 8:22 13:16 16:18 37:5 88:12 116:11.12 117:4,11 120:4 **fails** 118:21 failure 13:21 121:3 fair 74:19 83:9 91:21 94:5 97:7 **fairly** 12:23 familiar 89:22 far 75:19 91:19 fault 13:3,24 14:1,14 favor 26:7 125:4 **feelings** 90:1,2 feet 57:25 89:21 fell 57:22 felt 57:24,25 58:15 89:19,21 111:6 **female** 103:1 **figure** 30:22 file 5:24 7:14 71:2 filed 4:15 13:6 24:6 74:22 118:6 filling 106:4,7 final 34:6 **finally** 41:5 find 9:25 16:17 **finding** 117:3 fine 8:17 11:7 finger 57:8 60:17 **finish** 73:12 firm 83:25 first 3:23 5:15 19:16 26:13 30:21 32:13,18 35:15 47:22 72:16 74:9 81:4 95:19 115:14 116:14 122:4 fit 19:3 five 20:10 33:15 focus 68:23 70:8 112:24 focusing 108:24 follow 1:9 13:21 16:18 33:17 52:18 79:20 followed 119:5 following 6:1 8:13 13:5 23:11 35:7 66:23 67:22 68:2 117:8 **follows** 19:17 footage 63:22 65:6 football 57:18 58:24 footing 57:22

factual 8:19 40:5 103:6

119:13

force 17:5 33:1 forearm 40:20 forehead 34:20 41:7 form 64:17 **format** 7:18 forth 82:21 86:6,21 forward 4:22 5:4,11 47:11 51:24 56:15,18 65:7 forwarded 47:14,16 56:12,13 69:4,22 **found** 11:8 foundation 36:19 38:6 39:9,10,14 94:22 four 27:6 66:20 free 113:19 front 51:20 57:6,9 98:8 **fucking** 31:19 full 126:2 **fully** 35:2 further 65:23 68:10 89:13 91:24 113:14 113:15 120:14

G

gather 115:14 **General** 3:23.25 generate 24:5 49:23 59:10 63:2 68:2 generated 13:10 16:5 24:8 47:21,25 55:4 62:9,10 gentleman 57:4 60:7 102:25 Gentlemen 73:14 girlfriend 60:10 61:10 girlfriend's 81:15 82:3 give 38:11 74:4 92:16 93:19 95:4,14 99:22 101:9 127:18 given 39:25 40:7 91:18 92:20 120:17 122:24 gives 93:17 giving 111:25 glad 35:19,22 94:7 glasses 33:8,9,11 34:21 glosses 18:4 **go** 7:6 11:11 12:15 16:25 22:4 36:7 41:21 46:22 51:7 57:14,15 61:6 69:3 73:3 74:7,7 78:17 92:4,14 99:20 101:17 112:23 113:19 116:4 goes 39:8

goes 39:8 going 5:4 7:24 9:20 12:3 18:19,20,24 21:14 22:10 25:16

II	1	I	1
26:19 28:2 30:18 31:3	115:10	identification 22:15	included 48:15 49:5
32:8 34:1 35:14,17,18	hands 14:10,13 32:22	24:21 41:10 45:10	includes 91:10
37:12,18,23 38:15	33:3 61:8 82:13	50:12 56:3 59:21	including 122:1
42:12 44:5 45:1 46:7	happen 74:21,24 75:2	identified 7:1 23:17,18	incorrect 9:5
49:7 53:13 54:4 55:20	119:4	identify 3:19 22:11	independent 76:13
58:3 59:12 63:5 64:4	happened 9:15 28:24	23:13,16 24:22 50:5	indicate 29:11 34:7
64:18 65:6 67:14	65:25 90:11 118:19	84:19	42:2 53:4 63:1 70:11
68:21 71:7 75:17 76:8	123:24	identifying 36:12	indicated 23:20,23
76:17,19 81:12,13	happening 81:8 86:7	images 35:3 41:12,15	41:15 42:6 85:22
84:1,11 88:7,7 89:11	118:3	immediate 47:22 48:8	114:15,17,19
100:7 102:13 103:4,4	hard 122:24	immediately 41:16	indicates 113:10
108:15 109:24,25	hash 7:24 35:19	47:25 48:4 54:18 55:4	116:22 117:23
111:3,4,6 112:2,22	hay 122:18	implies 123:1	indicating 11:20 118:19
114:5,12 125:6,20,21	head 95:21 106:1	important 17:4 18:4	122:3
126:9,10	heal 35:2	26:15 27:14 71:13,19	indication 36:13,14
good 3:7,10,16,17,18	hear 8:17 13:7,9 14:8	102:22,23 118:7	47:24 48:2 61:24
3:21 4:2 12:16 68:13	14:15 15:5,12,19 17:3	125:10	individual 44:18 65:20
68:17	126:17	impossible 124:19	112:8 123:25
government 2:3 3:20	heard 28:21 30:17	impression 30:21	individuals 55:12 82:13
4:16 5:13 6:8,11 7:19	38:23 39:3 121:17	inadmissible 30:4 36:9	119:24
7:22 9:21 10:16,17	hearing 1:7 3:5 4:14	inappropriate 7:22 86:2	inflammatory 53:2
12:11,13 18:4 19:1,6	11:11 99:2 114:23	118:11 125:2	55:13,17 58:18 61:22
19:9,16 30:7 39:20	125:20,21,23,24	incident 2:9 5:24,25	inform 65:8,11 72:17 74:10
46:2 53:17 73:4,9,17 75:11 76:3 98:11,17	126:2,25 127:21 128:2	8:15,23 9:13,15 12:18	information 8:24 11:2
98:17 113:25 114:1	hearings 126:2	13:7,8,17,18 14:3,4 15:21,22,24 16:4,7	36:12 37:16 40:7 64:2
114:11 116:7,17	hearsay 25:22,22,24	17:13,14 18:7 22:17	66:13 71:14
117:2,7 118:4,13	26:1,25 27:23 30:4	22:19 28:10 29:5,11	informed 60:10 125:18
126:22	39:13	29:15,17,23,24,25	infraction 6:12,18
government's 9:18	heart 12:22	30:10,10,14 37:7,8,11	initial 92:20
	Hourt IZ.ZZ		111111111111111111111111111111111111111
II 10:25 11:2 17:23	held 64·15		initially 114·15
10:25 11:2 17:23 18:23 39:10 116:25	held 64:15 help 52:13	38:22,24 39:6 42:5	initially 114:15 initiated 49:24
18:23 39:10 116:25	help 52:13	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17	initiated 49:24
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20	help 52:13 helpful 76:20	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20 127:14,15	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11 hold 35:20 57:18 126:23 127:18 holding 52:9 61:3 63:24	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17 61:21 63:3,14,22,23 64:8 65:7,24 66:11,16 67:19 68:2,6,24 69:25	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14 inquired 66:13 inquiry 66:17 117:2 inside 13:18 15:21
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20 127:14,15 Granted 22:3	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11 hold 35:20 57:18 126:23 127:18 holding 52:9 61:3 63:24 holds 6:4	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17 61:21 63:3,14,22,23 64:8 65:7,24 66:11,16 67:19 68:2,6,24 69:25 70:3,18 71:14,18	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14 inquired 66:13 inquiry 66:17 117:2 inside 13:18 15:21 17:14,21 18:7 23:9
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20 127:14,15 Granted 22:3 grass 123:15	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11 hold 35:20 57:18 126:23 127:18 holding 52:9 61:3 63:24 holds 6:4 hope 92:6	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17 61:21 63:3,14,22,23 64:8 65:7,24 66:11,16 67:19 68:2,6,24 69:25 70:3,18 71:14,18 72:19 74:12 76:15	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14 inquired 66:13 inquiry 66:17 117:2 inside 13:18 15:21 17:14,21 18:7 23:9 28:11,14 29:24 30:2
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20 127:14,15 Granted 22:3 grass 123:15 great 73:4 106:7 122:19	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11 hold 35:20 57:18 126:23 127:18 holding 52:9 61:3 63:24 holds 6:4 hope 92:6 hopefully 102:24 106:5	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17 61:21 63:3,14,22,23 64:8 65:7,24 66:11,16 67:19 68:2,6,24 69:25 70:3,18 71:14,18 72:19 74:12 76:15 77:2,9 78:9 85:5,23	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14 inquired 66:13 inquiry 66:17 117:2 inside 13:18 15:21 17:14,21 18:7 23:9 28:11,14 29:24 30:2 30:15 31:12 37:8
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20 127:14,15 Granted 22:3 grass 123:15 great 73:4 106:7 122:19 128:3,7	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11 hold 35:20 57:18 126:23 127:18 holding 52:9 61:3 63:24 holds 6:4 hope 92:6 hopefully 102:24 106:5 hospital 105:15	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17 61:21 63:3,14,22,23 64:8 65:7,24 66:11,16 67:19 68:2,6,24 69:25 70:3,18 71:14,18 72:19 74:12 76:15 77:2,9 78:9 85:5,23 86:19,24 88:12,20	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14 inquired 66:13 inquiry 66:17 117:2 inside 13:18 15:21 17:14,21 18:7 23:9 28:11,14 29:24 30:2 30:15 31:12 37:8 38:24 39:1 42:18
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20 127:14,15 Granted 22:3 grass 123:15 great 73:4 106:7 122:19 128:3,7 ground 33:2,10 61:1,5	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11 hold 35:20 57:18 126:23 127:18 holding 52:9 61:3 63:24 holds 6:4 hope 92:6 hopefully 102:24 106:5 hospital 105:15 hotel 33:24	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17 61:21 63:3,14,22,23 64:8 65:7,24 66:11,16 67:19 68:2,6,24 69:25 70:3,18 71:14,18 72:19 74:12 76:15 77:2,9 78:9 85:5,23 86:19,24 88:12,20 89:5 90:10 92:10 93:1	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14 inquired 66:13 inquiry 66:17 117:2 inside 13:18 15:21 17:14,21 18:7 23:9 28:11,14 29:24 30:2 30:15 31:12 37:8 38:24 39:1 42:18 46:18 51:17 52:14
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20 127:14,15 Granted 22:3 grass 123:15 great 73:4 106:7 122:19 128:3,7 ground 33:2,10 61:1,5 84:22 90:8	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11 hold 35:20 57:18 126:23 127:18 holding 52:9 61:3 63:24 holds 6:4 hope 92:6 hopefully 102:24 106:5 hospital 105:15 hotel 33:24 hour 92:6	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17 61:21 63:3,14,22,23 64:8 65:7,24 66:11,16 67:19 68:2,6,24 69:25 70:3,18 71:14,18 72:19 74:12 76:15 77:2,9 78:9 85:5,23 86:19,24 88:12,20 89:5 90:10 92:10 93:1 93:5,8,11 102:20	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14 inquired 66:13 inquiry 66:17 117:2 inside 13:18 15:21 17:14,21 18:7 23:9 28:11,14 29:24 30:2 30:15 31:12 37:8 38:24 39:1 42:18 46:18 51:17 52:14 53:5 57:14 58:6 61:14
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20 127:14,15 Granted 22:3 grass 123:15 great 73:4 106:7 122:19 128:3,7 ground 33:2,10 61:1,5 84:22 90:8 grounds 72:21	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11 hold 35:20 57:18 126:23 127:18 holding 52:9 61:3 63:24 holds 6:4 hope 92:6 hopefully 102:24 106:5 hospital 105:15 hotel 33:24 hour 92:6 hours 12:19 47:23 48:1	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17 61:21 63:3,14,22,23 64:8 65:7,24 66:11,16 67:19 68:2,6,24 69:25 70:3,18 71:14,18 72:19 74:12 76:15 77:2,9 78:9 85:5,23 86:19,24 88:12,20 89:5 90:10 92:10 93:1 93:5,8,11 102:20 105:22 108:25 109:11	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14 inquired 66:13 inquiry 66:17 117:2 inside 13:18 15:21 17:14,21 18:7 23:9 28:11,14 29:24 30:2 30:15 31:12 37:8 38:24 39:1 42:18 46:18 51:17 52:14 53:5 57:14 58:6 61:14 68:7 85:23 86:3 88:21
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20 127:14,15 Granted 22:3 grass 123:15 great 73:4 106:7 122:19 128:3,7 ground 33:2,10 61:1,5 84:22 90:8 grounds 72:21 guess 29:22 47:9 57:24	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11 hold 35:20 57:18 126:23 127:18 holding 52:9 61:3 63:24 holds 6:4 hope 92:6 hopefully 102:24 106:5 hospital 105:15 hotel 33:24 hour 92:6 hours 12:19 47:23 48:1 48:4	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17 61:21 63:3,14,22,23 64:8 65:7,24 66:11,16 67:19 68:2,6,24 69:25 70:3,18 71:14,18 72:19 74:12 76:15 77:2,9 78:9 85:5,23 86:19,24 88:12,20 89:5 90:10 92:10 93:1 93:5,8,11 102:20 105:22 108:25 109:11 109:12,13,16,23	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14 inquired 66:13 inquiry 66:17 117:2 inside 13:18 15:21 17:14,21 18:7 23:9 28:11,14 29:24 30:2 30:15 31:12 37:8 38:24 39:1 42:18 46:18 51:17 52:14 53:5 57:14 58:6 61:14 68:7 85:23 86:3 88:21 89:7 90:16 99:20
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20 127:14,15 Granted 22:3 grass 123:15 great 73:4 106:7 122:19 128:3,7 ground 33:2,10 61:1,5 84:22 90:8 grounds 72:21 guess 29:22 47:9 57:24 89:19 91:9	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11 hold 35:20 57:18 126:23 127:18 holding 52:9 61:3 63:24 holds 6:4 hope 92:6 hopefully 102:24 106:5 hospital 105:15 hotel 33:24 hour 92:6 hours 12:19 47:23 48:1 48:4 hurling 33:18	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17 61:21 63:3,14,22,23 64:8 65:7,24 66:11,16 67:19 68:2,6,24 69:25 70:3,18 71:14,18 72:19 74:12 76:15 77:2,9 78:9 85:5,23 86:19,24 88:12,20 89:5 90:10 92:10 93:1 93:5,8,11 102:20 105:22 108:25 109:11 109:12,13,16,23 110:5,7,8,11,13,23	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14 inquired 66:13 inquiry 66:17 117:2 inside 13:18 15:21 17:14,21 18:7 23:9 28:11,14 29:24 30:2 30:15 31:12 37:8 38:24 39:1 42:18 46:18 51:17 52:14 53:5 57:14 58:6 61:14 68:7 85:23 86:3 88:21 89:7 90:16 99:20 101:16 109:1,6,23
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20 127:14,15 Granted 22:3 grass 123:15 great 73:4 106:7 122:19 128:3,7 ground 33:2,10 61:1,5 84:22 90:8 grounds 72:21 guess 29:22 47:9 57:24 89:19 91:9 guidance 18:22 69:7	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11 hold 35:20 57:18 126:23 127:18 holding 52:9 61:3 63:24 holds 6:4 hope 92:6 hopefully 102:24 106:5 hospital 105:15 hotel 33:24 hour 92:6 hours 12:19 47:23 48:1 48:4 hurling 33:18 hurry 94:9	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17 61:21 63:3,14,22,23 64:8 65:7,24 66:11,16 67:19 68:2,6,24 69:25 70:3,18 71:14,18 72:19 74:12 76:15 77:2,9 78:9 85:5,23 86:19,24 88:12,20 89:5 90:10 92:10 93:1 93:5,8,11 102:20 105:22 108:25 109:11 109:12,13,16,23 110:5,7,8,11,13,23 116:13,16 117:11,17	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14 inquired 66:13 inquiry 66:17 117:2 inside 13:18 15:21 17:14,21 18:7 23:9 28:11,14 29:24 30:2 30:15 31:12 37:8 38:24 39:1 42:18 46:18 51:17 52:14 53:5 57:14 58:6 61:14 68:7 85:23 86:3 88:21 89:7 90:16 99:20 101:16 109:1,6,23 110:7 117:18,24
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20 127:14,15 Granted 22:3 grass 123:15 great 73:4 106:7 122:19 128:3,7 ground 33:2,10 61:1,5 84:22 90:8 grounds 72:21 guess 29:22 47:9 57:24 89:19 91:9 guidance 18:22 69:7 guide 60:22	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11 hold 35:20 57:18 126:23 127:18 holding 52:9 61:3 63:24 holds 6:4 hope 92:6 hopefully 102:24 106:5 hospital 105:15 hotel 33:24 hour 92:6 hours 12:19 47:23 48:1 48:4 hurling 33:18 hurry 94:9 hurt 58:13 90:1,2	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17 61:21 63:3,14,22,23 64:8 65:7,24 66:11,16 67:19 68:2,6,24 69:25 70:3,18 71:14,18 72:19 74:12 76:15 77:2,9 78:9 85:5,23 86:19,24 88:12,20 89:5 90:10 92:10 93:1 93:5,8,11 102:20 105:22 108:25 109:11 109:12,13,16,23 110:5,7,8,11,13,23 116:13,16 117:11,17 118:8,14 121:9	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14 inquired 66:13 inquiry 66:17 117:2 inside 13:18 15:21 17:14,21 18:7 23:9 28:11,14 29:24 30:2 30:15 31:12 37:8 38:24 39:1 42:18 46:18 51:17 52:14 53:5 57:14 58:6 61:14 68:7 85:23 86:3 88:21 89:7 90:16 99:20 101:16 109:1,6,23 110:7 117:18,24 118:3,11,14,19
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20 127:14,15 Granted 22:3 grass 123:15 great 73:4 106:7 122:19 128:3,7 ground 33:2,10 61:1,5 84:22 90:8 grounds 72:21 guess 29:22 47:9 57:24 89:19 91:9 guidance 18:22 69:7	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11 hold 35:20 57:18 126:23 127:18 holding 52:9 61:3 63:24 holds 6:4 hope 92:6 hopefully 102:24 106:5 hospital 105:15 hotel 33:24 hour 92:6 hours 12:19 47:23 48:1 48:4 hurling 33:18 hurry 94:9 hurt 58:13 90:1,2 hypothetical 43:10,13	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17 61:21 63:3,14,22,23 64:8 65:7,24 66:11,16 67:19 68:2,6,24 69:25 70:3,18 71:14,18 72:19 74:12 76:15 77:2,9 78:9 85:5,23 86:19,24 88:12,20 89:5 90:10 92:10 93:1 93:5,8,11 102:20 105:22 108:25 109:11 109:12,13,16,23 110:5,7,8,11,13,23 116:13,16 117:11,17 118:8,14 121:9 122:15 123:10,17,20	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14 inquired 66:13 inquiry 66:17 117:2 inside 13:18 15:21 17:14,21 18:7 23:9 28:11,14 29:24 30:2 30:15 31:12 37:8 38:24 39:1 42:18 46:18 51:17 52:14 53:5 57:14 58:6 61:14 68:7 85:23 86:3 88:21 89:7 90:16 99:20 101:16 109:1,6,23 110:7 117:18,24 118:3,11,14,19 121:10,14,19 122:8
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20 127:14,15 Granted 22:3 grass 123:15 great 73:4 106:7 122:19 128:3,7 ground 33:2,10 61:1,5 84:22 90:8 grounds 72:21 guess 29:22 47:9 57:24 89:19 91:9 guidance 18:22 69:7 guide 60:22 gun 124:1	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11 hold 35:20 57:18 126:23 127:18 holding 52:9 61:3 63:24 holds 6:4 hope 92:6 hopefully 102:24 106:5 hospital 105:15 hotel 33:24 hour 92:6 hours 12:19 47:23 48:1 48:4 hurling 33:18 hurry 94:9 hurt 58:13 90:1,2	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17 61:21 63:3,14,22,23 64:8 65:7,24 66:11,16 67:19 68:2,6,24 69:25 70:3,18 71:14,18 72:19 74:12 76:15 77:2,9 78:9 85:5,23 86:19,24 88:12,20 89:5 90:10 92:10 93:1 93:5,8,11 102:20 105:22 108:25 109:11 109:12,13,16,23 110:5,7,8,11,13,23 116:13,16 117:11,17 118:8,14 121:9 122:15 123:10,17,20 123:23 124:3,7,12,14	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14 inquired 66:13 inquiry 66:17 117:2 inside 13:18 15:21 17:14,21 18:7 23:9 28:11,14 29:24 30:2 30:15 31:12 37:8 38:24 39:1 42:18 46:18 51:17 52:14 53:5 57:14 58:6 61:14 68:7 85:23 86:3 88:21 89:7 90:16 99:20 101:16 109:1,6,23 110:7 117:18,24 118:3,11,14,19 121:10,14,19 122:8 122:16,22 123:4,14
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20 127:14,15 Granted 22:3 grass 123:15 great 73:4 106:7 122:19 128:3,7 ground 33:2,10 61:1,5 84:22 90:8 grounds 72:21 guess 29:22 47:9 57:24 89:19 91:9 guidance 18:22 69:7 guide 60:22 gun 124:1	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11 hold 35:20 57:18 126:23 127:18 holding 52:9 61:3 63:24 holds 6:4 hope 92:6 hopefully 102:24 106:5 hospital 105:15 hotel 33:24 hour 92:6 hours 12:19 47:23 48:1 48:4 hurling 33:18 hurry 94:9 hurt 58:13 90:1,2 hypothetical 43:10,13	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17 61:21 63:3,14,22,23 64:8 65:7,24 66:11,16 67:19 68:2,6,24 69:25 70:3,18 71:14,18 72:19 74:12 76:15 77:2,9 78:9 85:5,23 86:19,24 88:12,20 89:5 90:10 92:10 93:1 93:5,8,11 102:20 105:22 108:25 109:11 109:12,13,16,23 110:5,7,8,11,13,23 116:13,16 117:11,17 118:8,14 121:9 122:15 123:10,17,20 123:23 124:3,7,12,14 incidents 103:20 105:8	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14 inquired 66:13 inquiry 66:17 117:2 inside 13:18 15:21 17:14,21 18:7 23:9 28:11,14 29:24 30:2 30:15 31:12 37:8 38:24 39:1 42:18 46:18 51:17 52:14 53:5 57:14 58:6 61:14 68:7 85:23 86:3 88:21 89:7 90:16 99:20 101:16 109:1,6,23 110:7 117:18,24 118:3,11,14,19 121:10,14,19 122:8 122:16,22 123:4,14 123:17 124:6,8,13,14
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20 127:14,15 Granted 22:3 grass 123:15 great 73:4 106:7 122:19 128:3,7 ground 33:2,10 61:1,5 84:22 90:8 grounds 72:21 guess 29:22 47:9 57:24 89:19 91:9 guidance 18:22 69:7 guide 60:22 gun 124:1 H hand 19:12 40:20,21	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11 hold 35:20 57:18 126:23 127:18 holding 52:9 61:3 63:24 holds 6:4 hope 92:6 hopefully 102:24 106:5 hospital 105:15 hotel 33:24 hour 92:6 hours 12:19 47:23 48:1 48:4 hurling 33:18 hurry 94:9 hurt 58:13 90:1,2 hypothetical 43:10,13 44:2	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17 61:21 63:3,14,22,23 64:8 65:7,24 66:11,16 67:19 68:2,6,24 69:25 70:3,18 71:14,18 72:19 74:12 76:15 77:2,9 78:9 85:5,23 86:19,24 88:12,20 89:5 90:10 92:10 93:1 93:5,8,11 102:20 105:22 108:25 109:11 109:12,13,16,23 110:5,7,8,11,13,23 116:13,16 117:11,17 118:8,14 121:9 122:15 123:10,17,20 123:23 124:3,7,12,14 incidents 103:20 105:8 109:5,6 111:14	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14 inquired 66:13 inquiry 66:17 117:2 inside 13:18 15:21 17:14,21 18:7 23:9 28:11,14 29:24 30:2 30:15 31:12 37:8 38:24 39:1 42:18 46:18 51:17 52:14 53:5 57:14 58:6 61:14 68:7 85:23 86:3 88:21 89:7 90:16 99:20 101:16 109:1,6,23 110:7 117:18,24 118:3,11,14,19 121:10,14,19 122:8 122:16,22 123:4,14 123:17 124:6,8,13,14 124:16
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20 127:14,15 Granted 22:3 grass 123:15 great 73:4 106:7 122:19 128:3,7 ground 33:2,10 61:1,5 84:22 90:8 grounds 72:21 guess 29:22 47:9 57:24 89:19 91:9 guidance 18:22 69:7 guide 60:22 gun 124:1 H hand 19:12 40:20,21 57:20 97:15	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11 hold 35:20 57:18 126:23 127:18 holding 52:9 61:3 63:24 holds 6:4 hope 92:6 hopefully 102:24 106:5 hospital 105:15 hotel 33:24 hour 92:6 hours 12:19 47:23 48:1 48:4 hurling 33:18 hurry 94:9 hurt 58:13 90:1,2 hypothetical 43:10,13 44:2	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17 61:21 63:3,14,22,23 64:8 65:7,24 66:11,16 67:19 68:2,6,24 69:25 70:3,18 71:14,18 72:19 74:12 76:15 77:2,9 78:9 85:5,23 86:19,24 88:12,20 89:5 90:10 92:10 93:1 93:5,8,11 102:20 105:22 108:25 109:11 109:12,13,16,23 110:5,7,8,11,13,23 116:13,16 117:11,17 118:8,14 121:9 122:15 123:10,17,20 123:23 124:3,7,12,14 incidents 103:20 105:8 109:5,6 111:14 121:18 124:13	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14 inquired 66:13 inquiry 66:17 117:2 inside 13:18 15:21 17:14,21 18:7 23:9 28:11,14 29:24 30:2 30:15 31:12 37:8 38:24 39:1 42:18 46:18 51:17 52:14 53:5 57:14 58:6 61:14 68:7 85:23 86:3 88:21 89:7 90:16 99:20 101:16 109:1,6,23 110:7 117:18,24 118:3,11,14,19 121:10,14,19 122:8 122:16,22 123:4,14 123:17 124:6,8,13,14 124:16 insisted 57:4
18:23 39:10 116:25 118:20 grab 33:9 grabbed 18:17 23:7 32:22 52:4 57:18 58:24 60:23 91:12 grabbing 65:4 111:23 112:7 Grant 1:17 106:18,20 127:14,15 Granted 22:3 grass 123:15 great 73:4 106:7 122:19 128:3,7 ground 33:2,10 61:1,5 84:22 90:8 grounds 72:21 guess 29:22 47:9 57:24 89:19 91:9 guidance 18:22 69:7 guide 60:22 gun 124:1 H hand 19:12 40:20,21	help 52:13 helpful 76:20 herd 10:25 history 96:1,2,4,8,15,16 103:18,20 104:1 hit 91:12 hitting 52:11 hold 35:20 57:18 126:23 127:18 holding 52:9 61:3 63:24 holds 6:4 hope 92:6 hopefully 102:24 106:5 hospital 105:15 hotel 33:24 hour 92:6 hours 12:19 47:23 48:1 48:4 hurling 33:18 hurry 94:9 hurt 58:13 90:1,2 hypothetical 43:10,13 44:2	38:22,24 39:6 42:5 43:5 44:10 46:13,17 46:20 47:2,3,11,18,21 47:23,25 48:1,3,5,14 48:21 49:19,22,23 53:5 54:16,19,23 55:3 55:4 56:24 58:5,9,13 59:10 60:6 61:14,17 61:21 63:3,14,22,23 64:8 65:7,24 66:11,16 67:19 68:2,6,24 69:25 70:3,18 71:14,18 72:19 74:12 76:15 77:2,9 78:9 85:5,23 86:19,24 88:12,20 89:5 90:10 92:10 93:1 93:5,8,11 102:20 105:22 108:25 109:11 109:12,13,16,23 110:5,7,8,11,13,23 116:13,16 117:11,17 118:8,14 121:9 122:15 123:10,17,20 123:23 124:3,7,12,14 incidents 103:20 105:8 109:5,6 111:14	initiated 49:24 injuries 2:10,11,11,12 15:2 34:12,15 35:9 42:4,9 80:23 81:22 84:22 85:2 90:8 injury 40:25 85:8 89:25 inquire 73:6,18 75:14 inquired 66:13 inquiry 66:17 117:2 inside 13:18 15:21 17:14,21 18:7 23:9 28:11,14 29:24 30:2 30:15 31:12 37:8 38:24 39:1 42:18 46:18 51:17 52:14 53:5 57:14 58:6 61:14 68:7 85:23 86:3 88:21 89:7 90:16 99:20 101:16 109:1,6,23 110:7 117:18,24 118:3,11,14,19 121:10,14,19 122:8 122:16,22 123:4,14 123:17 124:6,8,13,14 124:16

inspecting 20:4 instance 119:22 instructed 57:5 instructions 69:6 insult 32:6,18 52:18 **insults** 33:19 **intend** 114:13 **intent** 17:18 intention 11:4 interaction 111:17 interest 26:6 internal 47:9 interpretation 14:7 31:22 34:13 43:14 53:24 54:21 59:2 66:19 83:13 109:10 109:14 110:5,10,16 110:25 124:10 interpretations 66:21 124:21 introduce 4:9 19:23 investigate 69:9,13 105:8 investigated 20:12,17 72:13 investigating 20:4 investigation 12:18 13:8,11,13 15:8,23 16:2,13 20:16,20 21:7 21:23 22:20 25:6 27:9 37:15 39:24 43:24 49:14,25 56:17 62:5 62:11,14 64:13 70:19 72:18,24 73:25 74:11 75:3.6 80:3 92:21 investigations 48:20 76:14 105:13,15 127:1 investigative 36:10 43:22 96:8 103:25 127:4 investigator 1:21 2:13 3:11 10:18 14:16 15:5 15:14 16:3 19:9,22,24 19:24 20:1,12,17 21:16,21,24 22:10,16 25:5 26:20 27:7,9 28:5,7 31:5,8 36:6 37:15,20 38:5 39:24 40:4,6,17 41:24 43:21 44:8,16 46:11 48:18 49:9,12 51:8 53:23 54:14 64:12 66:4 67:2 67:10,14,16,23 68:14 68:17 69:3,17 70:18 71:2,7 72:2,4,6,9,11 74:13 77:10,16,23 78:1,5,11,16,24 79:12

83:8.11.12.14.19.22 84:5,6,18 87:11,17 88:14 92:6,19 93:1,5 93:12,15,22,24 94:3 95:2,15,20,23 96:3,13 97:5,20,21,25 98:6,14 98:21,22 99:3,4,15,24 100:12,18 101:4,19 101:23 102:2,5,18,22 103:10,22 104:16,18 104:20,24 105:6,11 105:14,19,25 106:2,4 106:11 107:6,10 108:18 110:2,14 111:3,11 112:20 113:20 117:22 120:17 121:17,21,22 investigator's 21:2 35:25 49:4 71:16 investigators 84:7 88:2 106:16 invited 7:14 **involve** 20:18 involved 43:6 61:17 84:19 involves 58:13 61:21 112:7 involving 20:13 44:17 irrelevant 13:25 75:17 issue 15:21 36:11,24 60:8 64:5 73:1 97:15 101:3,6,6 108:11 122:13 123:11,13 124:8 125:3 **issued** 125:9 issues 46:18 88:21 89:6 109:1 121:10 122:16 122:22 123:10 125:11 iterated 5:16

Jake 51:14,21 52:4,6,8 52:9,12,15 **Jakob** 2:9 12:25 23:14 24:10,25 **JAMES** 1:18 Javana 2:10 6:25 13:1 23:17 32:19 33:12 50:7,20,21 53:8 jerk 60:14 job 106:7 118:25 **Johnson** 2:12 6:25 13:1 14:3,11 55:25 56:6,7 58:2 89:16 112:4 **Johnson's** 89:17 **JOSE** 1:20 Joseph 11:17

JR 1:17,18

J

judgment 76:13 junction 9:19 74:4 juncture 10:3,11,14 12:7 37:20 38:14 125:10,16,18,21 June 16:10 47:8 49:10 56:23 60:3 63:11 66:11,15 69:1 70:4,6 90:21 justification 64:2 121:12

K

kicked 33:10 kicking 52:8,10 kicks 119:2 knee 18:17 40:25 85:9 knees 34:21 knocked 33:8 **know** 5:7,24 6:21 8:18 9:7,7,17 10:5,6 11:19 11:21.22 16:1 21:12 25:13 30:20 38:14 49:10 50:1 56:5,16 61:7 66:4 70:7,12,17 70:23 71:5 72:6,7,9 72:11,15 74:5,9,17,21 74:24 75:2 77:22,24 80:7 83:3 84:9 85:1 85:11.13.14 90:24 95:10,21,23 99:5 104:9 105:24 114:6 116:2 121:13 122:17 122:19,19,25 knowledge 23:22 49:16 82:25 100:15

L

known 20:2 85:4

knows 82:17

label 116:3 lacerations 34:20 lack 27:24 lady 102:25 language 18:1 48:24 117:6,14 120:10 large 34:20 largely 21:14 late 126:1 law 18:3 39:17 99:5 127:2 laws 98:25 lax 39:13 lay 7:17 121:2 laying 38:6 leading 41:18 66:25 67:1.7.12 leaned 51:24

leave 21:3.3 28:16 31:9 31:23 57:4,10 60:7,9 60:21 69:4 71:8 72:5 72:8 86:6,11,13,17 87:1 103:12 leaving 28:19 65:20 **led** 5:18 13:13 44:12 86:22 leeway 74:4 left 32:1 61:11 legal 4:18 43:1,3,18,20 66:25 100:5 101:1,8 108:10 127:3,3,4 128:1 legs 52:9 57:21 61:6,8 length 64:15 lengths 73:5 **let's** 55:8 64:19 66:6 103:9,17 104:25 **letter** 17:18 119:6 level 39:6 111:22,24 123:11 levels 111:17 liable 8:10 16:17 license 1:8 3:6 96:1,2 licensed 20:4 licensee 1:21 6:10.13 6:18,20 Licensee's 1:20 licensing 127:5 light 32:21 **lightly** 124:5 likes 102:10 line 55:6 71:7,15,17,21 72:4 73:4.22.22.24 89:19 117:19 118:20 121:13 **lines** 58:14 list 5:14 7:2 10:6 11:8 11:16 **listed** 11:9 **listen** 60:21 little 22:10 65:23 82:8 91:13 112:24 lives 94:6 located 105:5 location 23:12 79:17 105:9 107:16 **log** 13:17 17:13 37:7 logic 121:11 long 11:10 20:8 43:6 85:15 95:18,23 120:5 123:14 long-winded 104:10 longer 63:23 look 11:7 17:11 21:4

74:15 78:6 88:22

117:25

looked 91:16 looking 37:2 51:15 60:9 84:12 91:5 looks 41:6 95:10 lost 57:22 lot 10:6 98:15 loudly 51:24 M M-A-R-K 19:25 Madam's 1:6 3:6 12:20 13:9,14,23 14:5,20,21 15:9 16:17 20:21 24:6

M-A-R-K 19:25
Madam's 1:6 3:6 12:20
13:9,14,23 14:5,20,21
15:9 16:17 20:21 24:6
25:1 42:16 50:9 60:7
79:16 107:15
mailing 95:11
Main 94:3
making 6:22,23 14:22
53:1 55:13 57:15
58:18 61:21,22
117:16,17 125:15
126:16

male 65:4 management 79:18 107:17

manager 4:7 16:5 46:23 46:25 47:1,10 56:14 59:17,25 63:8 64:7 123:12

managers 15:20 46:17 88:20 89:5 108:25 121:9 123:9

manner 60:19 82:14 127:24

March 1:12 90:21 126:24 127:5,6

mark 1:21 2:6,7 3:11 10:18 19:10,14,25 40:21 67:6

marked 22:11,14 24:17 24:20 40:19 41:9 45:2 45:9 50:5,11 55:21 56:2 59:20 77:19 79:15

Massey 11:17 material 7:15

matter 1:5 4:13,16 7:11 8:1 11:15,18,25 12:23 14:1 27:1,8,21 28:22 29:2,18 39:17,17 46:4 46:6 48:13,22 51:4,5 69:9 72:25 75:7 87:20 115:19 125:9 128:9

matters 5:10,13 14:3 46:19 73:22,22 107:3 109:20

mean 8:9 17:10 36:5 37:10 44:16 65:12,19 111:5 meaning 49:3 means 96:11 medical 34:2,8 meet 19:1 25:25 29:20 117:7

meeting 1:3 74:1 126:23 127:19 128:6 Meetings 127:19

Meetings 127:19 member 1:17,18 23:20 28:17 87:18 92:5,13 92:18 93:3,9,13,16,23 94:1,5,14,17,24 95:4 95:7,12,17,22,25 96:5 96:9,14,19 97:1,18,23 98:2,10,16,23 99:7,19 99:25 100:14,19 101:10,13,21,24 102:3,6,21 103:10,18 104:2,8,19,22 105:2,7 105:12,17,21 106:2

127:15 members 4:4 12:17 17:2 28:11 43:6 47:12 52:23 58:17 61:21 70:2 92:3 102:23

112:4 124:5 127:8,13

106:17,18 110:8

123:19 126:5 128:5 memorialization 48:9 memorialize 48:6 men 33:16 51:18 103:11

mention 90:15 118:1 mentioned 7:8,11 27:2

78:2 merely 53:21 64:11 met 1:14 8:20 19:2

63:13 125:1 methods 64:12 Metropolitan 13:16

18:21 37:5

Miller 6:25 minute 60:12 70:8 97:1 97:2

mischaracterization 103:5

misconduct 17:6 127:2 mocking 33:18 moment 38:5 79:2 months 118:5 5

months 118:5,5 moot 11:22

Morgan 23:21 morning 4:18 12:19 47:6

motion 4:15,17,19 6:22 6:23 7:14,25 9:20 10:13 18:25 114:19

115:1,12,23,25,25 116:2,6 118:23 119:7 119:9,12 125:13 127:10,17 **move** 5:11 35:21 45:1

nove 5:11 35:21 45:1 46:9 55:8,20 57:20 59:12 64:19 66:7 100:8 103:9,17 111:9 116:5 126:22

moving 4:21 40:22 41:2 77:6,18 119:7

77:6,18 119:7 MPD 2:9 6:1 8:13,22 13:6,7,10 16:12 17:13 18:11,13 21:22 22:16 22:25 24:5 46:19 48:13 49:13,17,24 53:12 54:12,25 62:5

62:13,23 65:9,20 66:12,17,23 67:21 74:18 75:6 76:1,2,10 76:16,22 77:2,7 84:24

87:12,18,21 92:23 97:5 102:1,4 112:13 116:12,16,23

MPD's 62:11 75:5,5 77:16,17 87:19 MPR 15:23 muted 21:17

Ν

name 3:23,24 61:7 93:17 narrative 22:24 24:2 117:21 nasty 118:1 nature 6:2 9:25 14:15 91:13 100:24 105:12 nearby 23:12 necessarily 86:25 necessary 26:20 need 5:10 6:17 21:17 71:25 74:7,16 76:24 79:1 84:4 87:18 91:2 117:24

needed 27:15 needing 43:24 needs 6:20 76:24 neighborhood 105:3,5 105:9

new 8:8 118:4 NFAs 96:25 night 9:4 60:6 94:18 nightclubs 98:12

neither 30:3 101:4

never 16:4

nightlife 98:9 nights 98:3

nonsensical 124:9

nope 122:14 **Normal** 98:8 normally 93:3 96:10,13 **Nos** 41:9 note 21:18 51:2 112:16 118:22 122:17 123:9 126:4 **noted** 21:20 51:7 77:5 notes 71:1 notice 127:18,20 notification 18:12 notified 65:9,14,16 93:6 **notify** 84:23 notifying 18:13 noting 121:25 notion 81:7 number 3:5,6 17:17,19 18:6,10 24:18,24 25:21 26:4 89:15 112:3 116:10,12 numbered 33:15

0

NW 1:7

OAG 1:22 object 12:5 25:16,21 35:14 53:13 64:4 103:5 objected 44:4 97:13 objecting 11:17

objection 9:24 11:22

25:18 28:3,20 30:19 31:3 35:16,16,19 37:19,22,24 38:15 39:13,14,21 40:8,14 41:18 42:25 44:6 46:2 46:8 48:17 49:8 51:1 51:3 54:5 55:6,9 64:19 66:3,24 67:14 71:9,24 72:20 73:5

74:5 75:4,5,17 76:8 76:19 83:10 84:2 87:16 88:7 94:10,21 95:6 97:8 100:4,7,22 100:24,25 102:8,9,13 103:9,13,23,24 108:9 108:16 109:17 25

108:16 109:17,25 110:18 111:4,7 112:14,18

obligation 26:22 27:11 62:4 63:2 66:22 67:20 75:8,25 77:1 80:13 100:1 107:23 109:15 109:22 112:12 119:23 120:14,22 122:15 123:3,21 124:3,7

obligations 14:4 26:17 42:23 43:3,14 44:14

			137
E4.00 60.00 60.4	106.4.10		noried 47:00 60:05
54:22 62:22 68:1 72:23,24 73:1 74:1	126:4,18 once 9:21 12:2,4 23:1,4	owner 4:8	period 47:20 63:25 permitted 67:11
75:13 120:18	32:1 57:25 61:9 89:20	P	person 26:5 86:17 95:8
obscenities 61:10	100:1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S	99:9,21 118:10
observe 98:5	ones 111:14	3:1	personally 97:24
obtained 69:20	ongoing 126:25	p.m 3:2 115:20,21	personnel 55:25 91:18
obviously 11:22	Open 126:22 127:19	128:10	120:21
occasions 110:23	opened 95:19	P.O 93:24 94:4	persons 94:17 99:8
occupancy 105:15	opening 2:2 12:12	PA 94:4	101:15
occur 6:18 9:12 17:20	16:21,23 27:2	page 2:2,8 34:18 68:22	persons' 89:10
29:24 30:15 38:22,2	4 operate 119:22	68:23 78:18,18 84:12	perspective 40:2 76:10
38:25 39:2 88:21 89	6 operates 103:21 119:21	85:17 90:5 117:25	phone 51:15
89:6 117:18	120:9	pain 35:1	photo 2:10,11,11,12
occurred 6:12 9:13	operating 98:6	palm 40:21	40:19,25
12:18,24 13:18 14:4	operation 98:8	palms 34:20	photograph 39:25
16:12 17:14 18:3,7	opinion 43:1,3,9 49:4	pants 85:15	40:24 41:3
20:21 24:25 26:16 28:10 29:11,15,18,2	66:25 67:9 100:4 101:2,9 104:13	paragraph 32:9,11,14 33:6 34:6,7,17 79:15	photographs 38:18 41:23 42:3,8
29:25 30:10,10 32:6	108:10 110:19	84:16 88:17 89:2 90:9	photos 35:9,10,17,21
34:13 37:8,11 40:2	opportunity 30:24	116:18 117:12	35:23 36:11 38:3
43:23 44:11 47:6 48		part 25:13 30:13 35:24	77:19,22 82:5 85:6
48:10 55:5 56:24	opposed 4:17	37:15 39:4,5,22 40:10	physical 12:24 14:19
66:16 67:4 68:24	opposing 109:4,10	48:12 84:17 101:19	15:2 42:15 45:16
69:10 70:12 71:18	122:18	119:8,12	61:17 62:18 64:22
75:12,18 76:15,18	opposition 5:16	partial 40:20	65:2,13,16 80:22,24
80:21 86:22 90:16	opted 7:19	particular 6:2 25:25	81:4 84:19 89:25 90:7
93:4,6 99:6 117:23	order 4:23 5:5 18:5	26:2,5 29:19 75:24	112:7 124:17
119:11 122:11 123:3		82:20 86:16 87:3	physically 65:4
occurrence 17:25	ordering 51:8	88:19 96:25 106:5	piece 39:19 81:20 88:8 118:7
occurs 76:11 109:11,7 110:8 121:14 124:8	3 Orellana 1:20 3:7,10 22:2,3,6 79:4	117:6 119:6 particularly 6:24	place 5:19 9:3 12:19
odds 123:6	Organ 1:6 3:6 12:20	parties 3:8 84:21 90:7	14:9,25 41:17 42:21
offered 28:22 46:4	13:9,14,23 14:5,20,22	125:22 128:1	43:5 52:22 58:10
office 3:24 126:22	15:9 16:17 20:21 24:7	parts 25:14 86:9	64:22 65:3,13,17
128:1	25:1 42:16 50:9 60:7	passed 127:17	75:15 77:9,12 85:3
officer 24:3	79:16 107:15	pasted 87:8	87:5 110:9 123:17
officer's 59:18	originate 28:14 42:18	pat-downs 98:8	placed 127:21
official 43:7	originated 28:11 53:5	patio 57:13	places 98:12
okay 4:24 10:15,19 12	:7 58:5 61:14 68:7	patron 14:20,21 28:10	placing 14:12
12:8 16:25 22:9,23	121:18	31:7 33:13 42:16	plain 18:1 117:5,14
23:24 24:5 25:12 28	•	44:12 45:24 52:22	120:9
28:9 34:11 40:22	outcome 26:6	58:13 84:20 87:3	plan 1:10 2:14 9:2
42:12 46:21 47:10	outset 114:18	109:12 110:8 111:21	13:15,22 14:5 15:12
49:21 50:3,19,23	outside 23:8 29:24 30:1	124:4,14,16,18	15:20 16:19 17:16,19
55:11,20 58:3 59:12 61:12 65:22 69:6 70	32:20 42:22 51:14,14 57:12 86:23 99:8,21	patronized 99:22	17:23,25 18:12 20:18 26:24 27:13,20 29:16
70:14 74:21 77:3,18		patrons 12:25 14:8,25 20:14 43:6,17 55:14	30:16 37:4 39:1,7
77:24 78:7,25 79:6,1		55:17 111:17 123:18	42:23 43:4,15,25
79:19,22 80:2,11,19	121:23 122:7,11	Patton 13:2 14:2,11	44:15,24 45:6,13
81:2,7 82:1,7,16,20	123:4,15 124:1,20	pavement 33:5 52:7	46:16 48:16 53:11
83:5 84:11 85:1,17	overarching 116:18	Payton 6:25 59:17,23	54:2,12,18,23 59:5,10
86:9,16 88:16,23,23	overrule 28:3 30:18	66:11	62:23 65:25 67:21
90:3,19 91:8,14,17,2		Pennsylvania 94:6,18	68:2 72:23,25 73:2
91:23 93:16 94:16	44:6 54:5 67:14 71:23	95:3,5	74:2 75:9,13 78:20
95:12,17 97:18 98:1		people 11:9 71:17	79:7 84:17 88:12,24
98:23,24 99:7 101:1		91:12 104:11	89:4 90:6,11 100:3
102:21 111:9 112:22		percent 18:9	102:7,19 107:8,9,14
113:13 115:3 125:13	112:18	perfectly 8:17	107:22 108:8,23
	I	I	I

110:17,19 111:1	premature 10:4	122:21	raise 9:24 10:13 19:12
112:23 113:2 114:7	premised 53:14	provisions 17:22 26:23	raised 118:4
116:10,15 117:13	premises 87:1	27:12,20 29:12,16	reached 16:12 49:17
119:5,21,23 120:4,9	prepared 70:23 117:22	30:16 53:11 54:12,17	reaching 13:8 62:13
120:19,21,25 121:1,5	preposterous 121:5	59:4,9 120:4	reacted 33:3
123:22 124:10,11,12	present 1:16,19 18:21	pry 61:7	read 22:23 25:13,14
124:24	48:24 49:2 53:22	public 17:21 22:23 24:1	32:8,11 33:6 45:12,20
planned 5:3 126:25	119:9 125:24 126:17	102:24	46:15 50:23 57:2
plans 10:7 48:20,21	presentation 114:14	published 127:6,23	58:24 60:4 74:6 78:21
110:22 118:23 122:20	127:25	pull 21:16,25	79:8,14 81:10 88:18
plant 68:4	presented 9:22 18:8	pulled 61:4	93:25 107:22 117:15
please 3:8 19:12,22	30:4 53:17 114:22	pulling 57:23 65:5	126:10
32:11 44:9 67:17	117:1	punched 33:10	reading 25:17 31:16
69:19 72:2 73:12	preserve 125:10	punching 52:8 80:23	49:2 80:15
74:17 79:5 81:21 91:1	presiding 1:14	81:4,7,14	realize 112:15
92:2,10,14 94:1 96:6	pretty 18:8	purpose 11:3 17:9	really 76:11 104:15
97:2 110:3 113:22	previous 25:5 50:15	38:18 43:12 53:16	reason 5:21 27:7 71:6
pleasure 4:10	55:16	118:17 120:6 126:24	71:20 90:19 98:18
point 7:13 10:23 21:18	previously 69:3 103:24	pursuant 127:19	reasonable 110:16,25
35:23 38:3 40:17 50:3	primary 20:3	push 60:24 124:5	reasonableness 110:19
53:23 55:11 63:5 79:1	prior 62:13 70:14	put 6:10,20 7:12,21 8:9	111:5
80:3 82:19 113:10	probably 31:19 94:7,8	9:8 39:20 67:8 73:6	reasons 51:4 124:25
114:25 117:8 118:21	problem 99:1	75:11 82:13 92:9,15	recall 63:7 78:5 104:21
pointing 57:8 60:16	procedure 65:15,18	96:5 117:5 120:7	104:24 107:8,19
points 38:10	procedures 54:1 80:4	putting 5:1	109:4,7 111:19,25
police 13:6,16 15:13,16	proceeded 60:14	patting 5.1	recategorize 8:6
18:22 22:24 23:25	proceeding 36:25	Q	RECD 2:7
24:2,3 26:18 37:5	proceedings 5:20 6:5	qualified 11:25	receipt 23:24 62:8
44:23 45:14,18 46:12	13:25 16:16 25:23	question 16:11 23:25	receive 25:2,8,10 35:3
59:5 65:13,16 74:15	26:7 27:3 49:6 110:20	29:22 31:5 44:7,9	56:10 69:7
78:22 79:9,24 80:8,14	process 57:23	50:14 53:14 54:8,20	received 16:4 21:22
80:16 92:14,21 93:2	procured 69:18	66:8 67:2,6,12,12,17	22:20,25 34:2,8 37:16
93:10 94:19,25 96:11	produce 16:6 124:1	67:19 72:1 84:3 85:12	38:5 41:12 69:16
96:21 100:2,21	produced 78:14	94:13 97:7,11,13,16	receiving 46:21
102:20 107:18 112:25	prolonged 111:23	98:21 100:10 102:12	recitation 91:17,20
119:25 120:5,11,23	prompted 84:23	102:14 103:16 105:1	recognize 21:9 35:10
policing 88:5	proper 38:7 104:13	108:13,19 110:1,3	45:3,21
policy 82:20 83:25	properly 117:4 127:11	111:7 112:19	recollection 71:22
porch 57:6,9	property 57:10 60:15	questioning 55:7 92:7	74:17 81:23 91:4
portion 32:11 35:25	60:18,22 61:2	questions 42:13 58:4	reconvene 126:17
79:14 107:20 117:21	proposed 37:10	66:18 68:11,21 73:3	128:2
117:22 123:7	prove 6:9,11 7:9 17:24	91:24 92:3 101:1	record 3:20 5:2 21:19
position 6:13,21 9:20	18:5 46:4,5 116:8	104:6,11 106:15,18	25:14,18 31:2 39:17
14:6 17:16 20:9 27:4	provide 10:1 47:1 49:22	106:21,22 113:14,15	39:23 51:3 67:8 78:21
29:3 38:11	52:25 64:1 120:14	quick 122:17	79:8 88:18 103:7
possibilities 83:23	125:22	quickly 115:9	115:20 118:9 119:13
posted 127:20	provided 5:15 14:12	quite 21:17	121:24 122:2,3
postponed 4:16	15:7 16:8 25:4 26:4	quote 18:14 31:18,20	125:11 126:10,12,13
potential 5:4 11:18	27:6 29:7 35:5,9 36:7	51:25 52:2 75:21	126:14 128:10
potentially 11:20	42:10 47:4 49:13,17	78:19,20 84:16	recorded 16:10 65:6
practical 127:24	53:22 55:24 56:5	quote/unquote 79:25	recorder 63:24
practice 120:7	62:11 66:12,17,20	113:6 116:11,24	RECROSS 2:5
precision 123:1	69:12 70:2 96:4 112:4	119:11	red 40:21 41:4
prefers 35:23	providing 37:16 40:6	quoted 79:9	redirect 2:5 107:1,4
prejudicial 36:20 39:9	42:2 62:6		refer 15:24 46:19,19
preliminarily 10:15	provision 17:9 48:15	R	48:14 89:25 96:4
preliminary 4:13 5:10	80:13,16 88:19 113:5	R-I-C-H-A-R-D 4:6	reference 23:6
5:13 11:15	116:14 117:6 121:8	R-U-I-Z 19:25	referred 48:13
			I

referring 21:15 77:15 89:18 96:8 121:18 refresh 74:17 refused 31:17 32:25 refusing 57:10 refute 9:8 regarding 24:25 50:8 59:17 120:12 regardless 14:16 15:4 29:7,9 36:21 43:5 53:24 109:11 110:12 120:2 123:10,16,20 123:23 regards 44:24 62:9 regimen 121:2,4 **Register** 127:6,23 regulation 100:13,16 regulations 127:2 related 8:18 11:16 47:2 48:21,22 49:18 relation 70:18 77:8 release 32:24 **relevance** 71:9 75:5 87:16 97:9 103:25 relevancy 72:21 relevant 4:24 5:20 6:4 8:11.16.24 9:14 37:1 39:21 49:5 64:9,16 73:17,17 76:4,12,23 84:17 88:1,5 94:2 95:5 96:25 97:14 101:6 110:20 122:21 reliable 25:24 26:11 rely 15:25 40:10 53:19 remarks 87:2,5 remember 17:4 108:23 109:2 111:16 removing 65:21 repeat 44:8 54:7 67:16 repeatedly 31:18 60:25 121:18 repetitive 25:21 rephrase 41:20 94:14 94:24 95:7 99:8 report 2:9 5:24,25 8:15 8:23 13:6,10 15:24 16:4,7 17:13 21:7,9 21:13,15,22 22:17,19 22:24 23:1 24:2,6,13 26:18 36:1,3,10,22 37:14,18 39:16,18,18 39:23 40:9,9,13 45:2 46:20 47:2,11,25 48:14,23 49:1,22,23 54:19,23 55:3,22 59:10,14 63:3 65:23 66:10,14 68:2,22 69:16 70:11 74:15,16

74:18,18 76:1 77:2,7 77:11,15,16,17,21 78:18,19 79:1 81:9 84:11,12 85:7 87:6,9 88:11,13,20 90:4,14 90:20 92:10,14 93:2,9 93:10,17 95:1 96:4 100:21 101:25 102:3 102:17 106:3,8 109:16,21 110:5,6,12 116:11,13 117:11,17 117:20,21 118:5,9 121:9,25 122:15 123:23 124:4,7 reported 75:23 76:16 91:3 104:3 reporting 13:6 36:6 122:14 124:23,23 92:24 109:1 110:23 representing 3:25

reports 15:21,22 46:18 47:18,21 48:3,21 89:5 123:10 124:12 126:25 representations 103:6

97:12 request 115:6.6 **required** 15:16,20 29:15 44:22 46:17 79:24 88:20 102:20 108:25 121:9 123:9 123:11,12

requirement 18:12 111:14 120:10 requirements 15:9,11 54:11 116:20 124:22 124.23

requires 18:13 45:18 80:16 89:5 117:16 119:13 124:11,12 requiring 39:6 98:12

116:15 reserve 125:7

respect 5:14 62:13 70:21 75:7 109:21 117:3 118:2,8,23 121:8

respectfully 75:21 respond 6:14,19,19 8:3 67:15 73:19 101:18 119:17

responded 24:4 32:15 32:17 33:23 52:2 76:22

respondent 2:3 4:5 10:12 12:3,3 30:14,18 126:17

respondent's 7:2 responds 76:10

response 17:24 24:6 26:13 46:13 53:25 62:11 107:11 112:13 127:15

responses 26:13 119:19

responsibilities 20:3 responsibility 99:12,13 99:13,16,17,23 100:16,20 101:16,22

101:25 responsive 10:24 rest 113:25 114:2,23

123:7 restate 72:1 84:4 102:9

restroom 23:5 103:2 result 15:2 34:12 62:23 resulted 23:8 43:23 84:21

resulting 90:7 **resume** 125:23,23 resumed 115:20

Retailer 1:7 retention 63:25 **return** 128:5

returned 57:7 reversed 33:4

review 21:4 42:7,8 53:8 54:8 61:12 63:14,17

69:9,12 84:18 reviewed 28:6 122:20 reviewing 47:18 **reviews** 119:1

revision 117:16 revisions 119:3

Reynolds 1:21 2:13 3:14 4:8 59:25 63:8 64:21 70:3

Richard 1:20 3:13 4:5 23:15

ridiculous 123:5 right 4:11 5:2,8 9:16 11:6,11 12:1,5,10,11 19:6,12 50:2 51:6 67:13 68:16 69:11 73:15 74:3,7 75:16 76:7 79:25 81:3,5 85:20 88:6,21 90:12 92:19 104:8 108:19 109:16 112:2 113:16 114:3 125:6 126:19

128:7 rights 3:8 rise 39:6 111:22,23 **rises** 15:21 **role** 111:3 **roll** 127:10 room 34:1,9 127:21 roughly 77:12 **rudely** 31:17 rudeness 118:1 **Ruiz** 1:21 2:6,13 3:11 10:18 14:16 15:5,14 16:3 19:10,11,14,22 19:24,25 21:21,24 22:10,16 26:20 28:6,7 31:5,8 40:17 41:24 44:8,16 46:11 49:9,12 51:8 54:14 59:14 64:6 66:4 67:16,23 68:17 72:2,6,9 74:13 77:10 77:16,23 78:1,5,11,16 78:24 79:12 84:5,6,18 88:14 92:6,19 93:1,5 93:12,15,22,24 94:3 95:2,15,20,23 96:3,10 96:13 97:21,25 98:6 98:14 99:4,15,24 100:11,12,18 101:19 101:23 102:2,5,18,22 103:10,16,22,22 104:2,16,18,20,24 105:6,11,14,19,25 106:2.11 107:6.10 108:18 110:2.14 111:11 112:20 113:18 113:20 121:17 **Ruiz'** 27:9 67:2

rule 10:14 ruled 51:2 103:25 112:15 ruling 12:6 125:8,12 126:11 rushed 57:17 58:23

S-O-U-T-H-C-O-T-T 3:24 **S1** 23:11,18,20 **safe** 23:12 **Sara** 1:21 2:13 3:14 4:7 63:8 Saturday 60:3 **saved** 65:6 saw 21:10 51:14 98:3 saying 9:5 18:19,20,22 40:4 122:4 124:20 **says** 38:19 43:8 58:15 78:22 84:17 89:6 107:14,17 108:25 109:21 120:24 122:14 122:21 **scene** 23:1 scheduling 114:7,22

Scheidt 2:9 12:25 13:5

115:8

11			140
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1 407 40 40	05.40.400.0
13:10 14:1,8,13 15:1	116:10,15,19,21,22	127:13,13	soon 85:19 106:6
23:15 24:10,25 25:11	117:12,14 118:23	shorter 32:21	sorry 6:7 10:10 23:25
31:23 32:1,5 33:22	119:5,21,23 120:4,8	shortly 4:12 60:11	54:19 55:11 62:20
34:8 35:7 41:13,22	120:19,21,24 121:1,5	shorts 85:15	71:6 75:22 76:6 81:12
50:15,22 69:21,24	123:8,12,22 124:1,6	should've 8:1	88:10 89:14 106:16
70:9,22 81:14 82:2	124:10,11,11,24	shouted 32:19	108:20 113:14 114:11
Scheidt's 42:14 78:9	see 10:5 12:2 18:24	shove 18:18 120:10	sort 68:22 93:7 111:16
81:11	19:3 35:15 37:21	shoved 91:11	Southcott 1:22 3:12,17
scope 9:10,11 73:7	38:11 40:18 41:3 48:2	shoving 111:21	3:21,23 5:9,12 6:8,23
103:13	71:10 72:21 76:20,20	show 1:7 3:5 27:19	7:10 8:2,5 10:17
screamed 61:9	76:21 84:13 85:7 98:4	SI 69:23	11:12,15 12:8,13,16
screaming 60:25	104:10	side 52:12 122:17	19:8,21 20:7 21:12,21
screen 22:1 68:16 79:3	seeing 106:5	sidewalk 17:21 30:1	21:25 22:9,18 25:12
79:5,7 84:15 88:24	seek 127:3	32:4,20 33:7 44:11	26:12 28:5,8 29:1
92:2,10,12 96:6 107:5	seeking 8:23	51:20,22 52:5	31:4,14 36:2 40:15,16
113:22	seen 23:11 48:11	sign 84:22 90:8	41:11,20,22 42:1 43:2
scroll 89:11	sees 6:19	signed 56:7 70:23	43:12,19 44:10,19
se 82:11	self-defense 33:3	significant 23:3,4 31:11	45:11 46:9,10 48:18
sec 92:17	self-serving 26:8	50:21	49:9,15 50:13 51:7
second 11:13 26:24	sell 95:16	SILAS 1:17	52:19 53:21 54:7,15
32:14,25 33:8 34:7	send 59:23	silently 51:21	55:10 56:4 59:22
48:12 81:20 88:8,10	senior 79:18 107:16	similarly 121:11	64:11,20 66:9 67:1,10
112:24 117:10 118:21	sense 125:24	simple 12:23	67:18,24 68:10 71:9
123:14 127:7,8	sent 47:8 59:16 78:3,4	simply 8:23 29:5 96:20	72:20 73:10,19,21
second-to-last 89:19	sentence 32:9,14 34:7	96:21 97:18	75:4 76:6 83:10,17
secondarily 90:9	48:12	Simultaneous 7:4	87:16 92:11,16 96:7
seconded 127:11	separate 72:24	73:11	107:1,2,5,12 108:7,12
section 13:19 16:18	separately 4:9	single 5:21 18:17	108:21 109:9,19
45:12,20 46:15 78:19	serious 35:1	sir 7:6 8:4 11:7,14	110:4,15,21 111:9,10
79:8,9,15 107:14,17	services 33:22	12:15 17:1 19:19 22:4	112:10,21 113:13,23
108:24 112:24 116:20	session 128:6	22:8 36:7 37:24 41:21	114:1,17,24 119:17
security 1:10 2:14 5:23	set 8:8 9:7	68:13 73:20 92:1	119:18 126:5
8:21 9:2 13:15,22	sets 82:21	97:17 98:19 101:10	space 33:14
14:5,10,11,22 15:1,10	severe 91:15,19	101:13 104:7 113:20	sparse 26:16
15:12,20 16:19 17:6	severity 39:5 65:19	115:12 125:5	speak 5:6 11:1 27:9
17:16,19,23,25 18:12	75:22,24 91:6 109:11	sit 80:6	46:23,24 63:10,12
20:18 23:21 24:7	110:12 120:2 123:6	site 34:3	64:6,21 72:12 84:7
26:24 27:13,20 28:11	123:11,20,23	situation 6:2 8:13,15	speaking 7:4 63:8
29:12,16 30:16 37:4	sex 51:18	14:23 15:14,16 43:10	73:11
39:1,7 42:23 43:4,6	shape 64:17	45:15,17 54:10,13	speaks 27:25 67:2
43:15,25 44:12,14,24	share 22:1 79:2,5 92:11	59:6 62:24 63:3 65:19	specific 69:12
45:5,13,21 46:16 47:5	92:12 107:5	66:23 67:19,22 75:22	specifically 18:15
47:12 48:16,19,21,23	shirt 18:18 57:19 60:23	75:24 78:23 79:10,25	35:17 88:19 89:15
52:23 53:1,11 54:2,12	61:3	80:9,17 82:15 84:19	90:5 107:13 116:19
54:18,22 55:25 59:5	shoot 124:1	107:19,25 108:1	speculate 83:11,18,21
59:10,17 62:23 64:5	Short 1:18 92:4,5,13,18	111:16,18,22,24	98:19 99:5
65:3,25 67:21 68:1,4	93:3,9,13,16,23 94:1	112:13 113:1,3,7,11	speculation 48:17 66:3
70:1 72:23,25 73:2	94:5,14,17,24 95:4,7	116:12,24 119:6,10	94:10,22 95:6 103:14
74:2 75:9,13 78:20	95:12,17,22,25 96:5,9	120:1,3,12	speculative 9:11
79:7,16 80:8 82:18	96:14,19 97:1,10,18	situations 117:17	spilled 68:7
84:17,20 86:4 88:12	97:23 98:2,10,16,22	120:24	spirits 95:16
88:24 89:4,10 90:6,11	98:23 99:7,19,25	six 33:15	spite 12:21
98:7,13,25 99:1,1,25	100:14,19 101:10,13	skin 32:21	split 52:15
100:3,17 102:7,19	100:14,19 101:10,13	skinned 18:17	spoke 56:19 72:13
107:7,9,14,15,22,24	103:9,10,16,18 104:2	sole 117:1	spoke 50.19 72.15
107.7,9,14,15,22,24	103.9, 10, 10, 16, 16 104.2	solely 42:22	spots 41:4
110:9,17,19,22 111:1	104.5,6,19,22 105.1,2	somebody 26:6	staff 1:20 13:9 14:10,11
112:5,23 113:2	106:12,14 127:8,8,12	somewhat 121:5	14:21,22 15:1 20:13
112.0,20 110.2	100.12,14 121.0,0,12	Somewhat 121.0	17.21,22 13.1 20.13
II	•	•	•

tavern 95:15 98:7 23:21 24:7 28:11 **stomped** 33:11 30:6,9,13,17 35:18,24 stood 57:16 60:25 61:9 43:17 44:12 47:12 **taverns** 105:5 36:24,24 37:10,20 52:23 53:1 79:18 80:8 61.9 tell 6:13 7:20 11:3 41:22 38:10,14,23 39:2 40:1 **stop** 52:9,13 86:3 99:17,20 107:17 41:24 64:25 95:19 43:8 76:9,23 77:20 111:18 116:19,21,22 **strain** 34:16 120:15 78:3,21,25 81:3,20 117:4 124:18 street 1:7 33:18 53:6 telling 45:24 86:5,11 84:7 86:20 87:8,10,11 stand 10:10 61:8 92:24 68:8 94:4 105:10 temporary 34:24 88:17 89:13,16 94:1 standalone 78:20 strike 35:21 54:20 71:6 ten 11:8 63:24 97:6 98:20 102:11,16 tenets 36:18 standard 65:15.18 **style** 18:25 115:25 114:15,16,20 115:9 82:21 83:24 87:13 term 89:22 117:15 118:7,25 subheading 120:12 submitted 30:7 90:20 terms 13:15 29:14 37:4 119:12 123:1 124:21 88:4 **standards** 87:19,21,25 118:24 67:3 114:22 122:12 126:3 subpart 116:17,21 test 117:19 118:20 third 82:7,9 standing 32:19 51:14 subsection 79:22 121:13 thorough 106:3 119:1 **tested** 12:2 51:20,21 52:4,12 **succeed** 119:14 thought 7:15 81:10 testified 19:17 48:19 60:18 122:7 successful 7:13 115:4 standpoint 115:9 successfully 63:19 71:13 75:21 87:24 **thoughts** 115:14 **start** 10:9 108:13 109:20 110:22 **sucking** 31:20 45:25 threatening 60:19 started 25:5 57:15 58:1 52:1 122:5 120:20 82:14 suffered 34:12 70:19 98:11 testify 10:2 11:25 14:16 three 11:4,19 62:17 **starting** 3:20 32:9 suffering 15:2 15:6,14 16:3 28:4 79:19 114:14 125:19 state 100:14 30:19 36:15 48:24 tie 57:21 suggested 57:14 stated 6:16 10:11 23:4 81:18 101:5 108:14 time 5:5 11:10 16:24 summarily 19:4 30:5,6 37:3 65:25 **supervisor** 21:2 69:23 109:20 110:25 19:8 20:11 21:12 66:7 90:11 testifying 21:16 54:6 24:13,16 35:1,8,21 support 40:1 statement 2:9.10.12 **suppose** 38:3 84:8 87:18 107:8,19 36:17 38:4 45:1 46:3 12:12 15:25 16:21,24 115:25 108:24 109:2 111:16 47:20 49:14 57:3.11 23:6 24:24 25:3,4,8 supposed 86:2 testimony 5:18 8:17,24 60:8 64:15 70:14,17 26:2,9,14,19 27:19 sure 3:10 8:4 37:11 9:12,13,14,25 13:24 71:7,14,15,17,21 72:3 28:6,9,17 31:17,21 102:15 105:25 26:3 35:18 43:10 64:9 72:13,16 73:4,22,22 32:12 33:21,25 34:6 surveillance 63:15,17 67:5 71:16.21 73:8 73:24 74:9 77:10.11 78:14 85:2,4 86:13,18 34:11 35:4,5 42:9,10 63:21 65:6 80:12 81:24 82:2 83:7 sustain 9:18 34:15 46:8 44:21,21 45:23 46:3 83:16,18,21 85:22 95:24 99:1,2 125:13 46:22 47:8,12,15,15 49:8 55:9 64:19 75:17 89:17 90:25 101:5,9 timely 127:23,24 50:7,15,16,24 51:1 76:8.19 88:7 101:11 106:9 108:10 111:12 times 12:21 32:24 53:4,15,22,24 54:9,9 103:9 111:4,4,6 111:20,25 113:19 96:14 97:5 98:3 104:19,21 55:16,24 56:8,11 57:2 sworn 19:17 116:25 120:16 121:17 timing 11:3 76:9 77:7 58:4,5,8,12,17,22 synopsis 10:1 121:24 122:2 59:3,8,13,18 61:13,13 **system** 63:15,17 64:15 thank 4:25 5:9 10:19 **Title** 126:21 61:16,20 62:1,9,10,12 12:8,11 16:19 19:4,5 today 4:18 6:15 80:6 Т 62:16,17 63:1 69:25 19:21 24:16 31:4 90:21 106:9 114:23 118:5 125:21 126:4 74:6 81:11 82:2,3 33:20 40:15 42:7 **t/a** 1:6 take 9:20 21:4 26:2 27:3 85:18 87:7 89:15,18 44:20 50:3 68:16 77:4 127:25 33:3 37:9 76:3 86:10 79:6 91:25 92:1,5,13 told 32:5 51:16,25 52:6 112:4,6,6,11 118:15 118:16 122:7 88:12 114:5 115:13 92:18 101:10,13 57:13 60:6,13,20 **statements** 2:2 14:8,12 104:8 105:2 106:3,7,8 64:16 115:18 116:13 117:11 27:5,8,8,11,17 30:2 122:13 127:9 106:13,19,25 112:16 tomorrow 126:3 31:6,12 47:5,7,13 taken 25:6 26:3,5 36:14 112:22 113:17,18,20 top 32:9 34:17 61:4 79:14 95:21 106:1 49:10,17 54:3 55:13 38:4,13 40:3 41:16,23 114:4 119:15,16 55:17 62:6,18 66:21 torso 34:20 42:21 70:11 77:7,25 125:5 126:5,7,8 69:16,17,21 70:1,8,10 127:25 128:3,7 tortured 118:13 119:20 78:13,13 89:11 70:22 71:3 81:15 takes 14:6 65:17 110:9 thereof 27:24 120:8,15 121:11 84:18 87:9 89:10 touch 60:25 107:2 talk 76:9 77:19 83:23 thin 11:1 103:6 111:13 122:1 thing 54:3 58:2 82:7,9 touched 80:11 95:25 **states** 23:7,9 48:12 **talked** 77:6 114:16 118:22 train 117:4 trained 45:21 79:17 66:10 85:18 88:19 talking 32:13 51:9 things 10:8 81:3 87:24 112:25 52:11 88:17 108:22 think 4:12 5:5 7:21 9:21 80:7 98:13 107:15,24 **stepped** 60:20 talks 74:18 116:18,20 9:24 10:4 11:8 18:24 108:3 116:19,23

119:24 120:6 training 80:4 99:16,17 108:5 116:20,21 120:7,13 121:2,3 transferred 69:8 transmission 71:2 transpire 82:17 transpired 53:9 tried 52:15 57:20,21 92:22 trigger 17:22 44:13 54:10 62:21 66:22 67:25 75:13 109:14 111:14 112:12 123:3 124:6,22 triggered 13:10 14:4 15:9 21:23 22:20 26:17,22 27:11,20 29:12 30:16 42:23 43:16 53:10,25 76:1 109:22 triggering 80:13 triggers 54:17,21 59:4 59:9 67:20 tripped 61:4 true 15:5 29:4 44:22 50:17 53:10.15 62:6 78:12 122:12 truly 36:15 78:8 truth 6:3 27:1,21,24 28:22 29:2.18 30:8 46:4,6 51:5 53:18,19 try 68:23 trying 11:9 28:16 29:5 30:21 39:20 53:19,21 60:24 97:3 104:12 120:10 turn 86:10 119:20 turned 32:23 60:23 tussle 60:23 **Twelve** 104:21 two 17:19 18:10 25:21 26:4 58:14 64:6 69:20 79:19 86:9 87:23,25 116:10,12 two-fold 17:16 **twofold** 25:18 type 100:21 108:13 **typed** 47:15 types 111:13 typical 49:21 50:1 Typically 47:22 typo 122:21

ultimate 101:2 108:11 125:11 undated 36:12 underneath 116:21 underpin 12:22 understand 26:15 34:3 36:8 51:1,3 83:6 97:2 understanding 4:21 62:15 86:12,15,21 100:13 102:19 107:23 112:11 119:20 120:8 123:13 undisputed 13:4 124:15 universally 18:8 **Unknown** 23:19 unlawful 17:9 unnecessarily 25:20 unquote 18:14 31:18,20 51:25 unreliable 25:22 **unsure** 36:4 untoward 87:2 unusual 7:7 **upper** 41:4 upset 60:14 use 120:9 **usually** 48:3 50:1 93:7

٧

98:7.7

V1 23:2,3,7,9,11,14 varied 105:16 varies 105:16 various 26:23 27:5 105:14 varying 84:8 veracity 27:4 verbal 23:2 86:6 verdict 18:25 116:1 125:3.8 Vershears 69:23 version 14:17 15:4,8 28:24 29:9 30:8,25 38:17 42:13,14 47:6 versus 71:8 victim 24:10 28:16 40:1 53:2 69:20 93:20,20 94:25 victim's 24:4 40:2 victims 23:14 24:9 25:7 93:17,18 video 64:15 videoconference 1:14 view 63:21 violated 5:23 17:25 37:3 43:25 88:11 90:6 117:7 120:3 violating 8:21 16:17 108:5 116:9

violation 13:19 17:15

17:18 18:3 39:2 43:23 67:4 93:7 102:6 108:8 108:19 121:4 violations 20:18 127:2 violence 17:7 82:25 violent 12:24 13:18 17:14 20:13 37:8,13 43:16 44:13 52:21 58:9 61:17 75:23 91:2 91:13 visible 22:6 visit 35:8 70:2,4 visited 56:21 104:17 visits 104:23 voir 35:22 36:5 vote 127:10

W W 1:14,17 **W1** 23:11,16,17 wait 12:2 31:23 51:17 57:5.6 97:1.1 waiting 31:10 122:8 walk 52:16 81:2 124:1 walked 51:13,13,18 60:12 61:1 85:20 walking 33:13 91:11 **walks** 57:12 Walter 2:12 6:24 13:1 55:25 56:7 58:2 60:6 60:8,13,15,19,24 61:6 89:16 112:4 Walter's 60:17 want 4:22 21:18 25:12 35:15 39:11 68:23 70:8 77:19 78:17 81:2 82:8 86:10 93:25 109:19 112:16 114:6 114:18,20 115:10 120:9 wanted 114:25 **wants** 49:2 Washington 94:7 wasn't 68:25 94:8 way 64:17 91:22 103:21 110:20 118:11 120:24 123:7 ways 116:10 we'll 4:12 9:24 88:23 115:18 we're 4:17,20 5:3,4 18:24 88:17 108:22 114:5 117:12 119:4 125:21 **WebEx** 1:14

weekends 105:20 weeks 16:11 35:1 weight 27:25 38:11 welcome 37:19 38:9 106:11 went 39:24 46:24 51:9 52:7 56:19 63:7 68:22 72:4,7 73:4 98:4,5 100:1 115:20 128:10 weren't 92:20 West 94:3 wholly 125:2 whore 45:25 51:25 wife 57:5,6 willing 48:23 wine 95:16 wish 12:11 16:21 19:6 114:11 115:7 119:17 witness 2:5 4:22 5:14 7:2 10:2,12,14,18 11:8,16,18,24 12:4,5 19:7,18 26:2 28:3,23 30:19,25 31:2 38:1,17 40:12 44:6 48:25 54:5 54:8 66:7.20 67:8 68:11 71:12 75:21 78:4 84:2 85:11 89:19 91:20,24 97:17 100:6 100:8 101:8 102:12 102:14,16 104:6 106:22 108:13 109:19 110:1,21 112:19 113:14 117:1 118:16 122:1.2 witness' 71:22 85:8,18 87:7 110:18 witnesses 6:24 7:24 9:23 10:5,6,7,9,15,21 10:21,25 11:5 12:4 18:21 70:10 72:14 114:7,12,15 125:19 125:25 woman 51:10 women 103:11 word 111:5 worked 91:18 working 46:25 51:12 works 123:25 **worry** 31:18 would've 7:25

website 127:22

Wednesday 1:11

week 7:10 105:21,23

wouldn't 51:16 61:6

101:24 110:11

written 96:11 97:6

wounds 34:23

wrapped 61:5

writing 37:17

write 48:25

123:8

11			143
1470 n a 94-94 04-4	12,10,15,0,10,0,00	044 04:0 00:00	
wrong 81:21 91:1	13:12 15:9 16:9 20:22	911 24:8 33:23	
wrongful 17:7	24:15 25:1		
X	21st 41:17 46:13 47:2		
 	48:10 49:18 50:8		
	56:25 64:23 68:25		
<u> </u>	72:18 74:11 105:22		
yeah 10:10 22:16 32:5	105:22,23 126:24		
32:15 59:1 61:25	127:5		
85:25 86:4 89:24	22 2:9		
97:21,25 98:14 110:4	23-251-00016 1:8 3:6		
111:6	24 2:9 47:22 48:1,4		
year 38:13	2461 1:6,7 4:6		
years 20:10 38:4	25-823A6 13:20 16:18		
yell 31:15	251 92:14,21,24 93:1		
yelled 31:11,18 51:25	96:10		
yelling 32:16,17 52:13	251s 97:6		
Yep 92:16	25273 1:8 3:7		
young 102:25	26 94:3		
	27th 69:1 70:5		
Z	28th 56:23 63:11 70:6		
Zach 6:25			
	3		
	3 2:10 50:5,11 77:20		
1	126:21		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3:00 77:12,13		
1 2:9 22:11,14	3:30 77:13		
1:33 3:2	30 64:7		
10 2:13 16:10 59:14,20	4		
103:19			
100 18:9	4 2:10 40:19 41:9 77:20		
107 2:6	4:00 115:17,20		
10th 47:9 49:10 60:3	4:17 115:21		
66:11,15	4:32 128:10		
11 2:14 45:3,9	41 2:10,11,11,12		
12 2:3	45 2:14 126:22		
15-minute 115:18	5		
15th 127:6			
17 2:3	5 2:11 40:22 77:20		
18th 1:7 105:10	78:18,18 84:12		
19 2:6	5:33 24:15 77:8		
19501 94:4	50 2:10 56 2:12		
1C 1:7	59 2:13		
2	33 2.13		
2 2:9 24:18,20,24 85:17	6		
116:21	6 2:11 41:2		
20 1:12	68 2:6		
20 1.12 2023 5:19 9:4 12:20	00 2.0		
13:12 16:10 20:22	7		
24:15 25:1 41:17	7 2:12 41:5,9 90:5		
46:13 47:3 48:10	117:25		
49:10,18 50:8 56:23	117.20		
56:25 60:3 63:11	8		
64:23 66:11 68:25	893 94:4		
70:6 74:12 90:21	000 07.7		
105:23	9		
2024 1:12 90:21	9 2:12 55:21 56:2 89:15		
21 5:19 9:4 12:19,23	112:3		
-1 0.10 3.1 12.10,20	112.0		
į			

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Madam's Organ

Before: DC ABCA

Date: 03-20-24

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

near Nous &