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P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon, everyone. We are on the record. I would like to thank -- all right. Our next case is Case No. -it's a continuation of Case No. 21-PRO-00079, Eighteenth Street Lounge, License No. 118846. Ms. Fashbaugh, please elevate the rights of all the parties in this case, please.

MS. FASHBAUGH: Please stand by. Andrew Kline, your rights have been elevated. Farid Nouri, your rights have been elevated. Ian Thomas, your rights have been elevated.

Jelena Budjevac, your rights have been elevated. John Guggenmos, your rights have been elevated. Jordan Naber, your rights have been elevated. Lamont Mahone, your rights have been elevated.

Robert Goldberg, your rights have been elevated. Sherene Joseph, your rights have been elevated. Sidon Yohannes, your rights have been elevated. Tracy Buck, your rights have been elevated. That is all, Chairperson.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Ms. Fashbaugh.

$$
\begin{aligned}
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& \text { CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Let me see }
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$$ where it is. All right. Good afternoon, everyone. This is a continuation of the protest hearing for Eighteenth Street Lounge.

The protest hearing initially started on January 19th, 2022. And because of the lateness of the hour, this case was continued to this afternoon. The Applicant had presented his case in chief. And today the purpose of this hearing today is for the protestant to present its case in chief.

And I know there's several
protestants, but we had decided that Mr. Ian Thomas, the attorney for one of protestants in the protestant group would present its case. If I remember correctly, I did inform the other protestant that they could have a closing if they so desire. But we're going to have Mr. Thomas who will present the witnesses and cross-examine the witnesses.
So just to bring -- all right. So prior to starting the hearing this afternoon, this matter comes before the Board as a protest on the application for a new license filed by the

Eighteenth Street Lounge, Retailer Class CT, ABRA No. 118846, located at 1230 9th Street, Northwest.

Prior to us resuming the case this afternoon for the protestant to present its case, are there any preliminary matters that needs to be addressed or started by either side?

MR. KLINE: Mr. Chairman --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry. Please, Mr. Kline. Please identify yourself for the record, please.

MR. KLINE: Oh, I apologize. Andrew Kline, Attorney for the Applicant. I would like --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on, Mr. Kline. I see there is something in your background, Mr. -- there's something in your background. Maybe you need to look to see what's in your background.

And I'm not sure how -- if you're aware that there's something in your -- there's another -- well, some people will say there's a person. So there's a person in your background, sir. So maybe you need to look at that person to make sure that they're okay.

MR. KLINE: My puppy is fine. He won't bother us.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Just wanted to let you know that. I saw that they were making themselves very comfortable. So $I$ just want to bring that to your attention. Okay.

MR. KLINE: He's quite comfortable. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Kline.

MR. KLINE: I would ask a proffer as to who will testify for the protestants. This is a very unusual situation in that $I$ cannot think of a case where the Applicant's case was put on and then the protestant had two weeks to think about what was put on.

I understand scheduling. But in looking at the log of who was on and when they were on, we were told at the conclusion, at about 6:04, when we were here two weeks ago, that the protestants had no witnesses. And it seems, in our review of who was on, it would seem all the people that they're probably going to call were online. And I don't really understand why it is that we were told that there were no witnesses
available.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, Mr. Kline, if I remember correctly -- and I'll have Mr. Thomas respond -- Mr. Thomas had informed me that he had six witnesses. That was what -- that was a presentation made by Mr. Thomas the last time. And I know that he had stated that he had some childcare issues, that some of his witnesses had left.

And I know that Mr. Thomas has not practiced in front of this Board prior to this hearing. And I was just reminding him -- I reminded all the parties while we have these hearings, we are here. The Board is available for the duration. So this hearing doesn't end at 5:00 o'clock. The hearing ends when it's over. So --

MR. KLINE: I'm well aware of that, Chairman.

## CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But as a

 courtesy, I will just -- okay. But I was told that there is some childcare issues and others -and because I know that if you're in court -because we're in court, I know that the court building is over at 5:00 o'clock. But thisadministrative hearing, the ABC Board, we will make ourselves available until the hearing has concluded. So therefore, I just want -- so I want to alert everyone that it's my intent that this hearing will be concluded today.

MR. KLINE: I get that.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I will not -so there's no cut off in the sense that, okay, it's 5:00 o'clock, we've got to leave. No. So we're going to complete this hearing today. And I would hope that Mr. Thomas -- it's your witnesses. You can tell me who the witnesses are, that they are aware that however long this is that they will be available. I know that when we started off this hearing, I said that each side had an hour. But the first hearing took six hours.

Again, the Board presented its witness. Both the Applicant and the Protestant had an opportunity to cross-examine the Board's witness. And that took much longer.

But -- so I just want to let you know, Mr. Thomas, I'm not going to say that you have an hour to do this case. But $I$ do hope that you are -- in bringing the witnesses, that you are
mindful of that there are some time constraints to ensure that we get this witness the hearing. And so therefore we're not here at 10:00 o'clock and then I'm being told that because the witnesses were here at 1:30 and it's now 10:00 o'clock that a person had to leave.

So I just want to repeat that at the forefront so you are aware that we're going to complete this hearing today. And I hope whatever witnesses are testifying, they're not repetitive, that they bring new information, because I would expect that counsel would object if -- and I might object if I believe that the witness is testifying just to what another -- I don't need a witness to pipe what was said by another witness. Any person who is testifying should bring new information.

MR. KLINE: My concern was I thought the representation was that there were no witnesses available on the part of the Protestants called at that time.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I think the witnesses -- where he said that there were no witnesses available because of the time constraint -- because of the time constraint.

But as I stated before, I was told that he had six witnesses. And the witnesses were disclosed.

But because of time constraint that -because I think the hearing, I believe, had started at 10:00 o'clock that morning and by 5:00 o'clock people had other obligations that they had to make themselves available. But Mr. Thomas, $I$ know that you're not a potted plant. So you can speak for yourself, sir.

MR. THOMAS: Yeah, thank you. I appreciate that. Just for the purposes of clarification, first of all, 1 don't really think I appreciate the implication that's being made by Mr. Kline at all and impugning my integrity as an officer of the D.C. bar, an officer of the court in the District of Columbia.

But putting aside that and trying to keep this professional and moving forward, our position the last time was that several of our witnesses were going to be unable to testify moving forward because of the fact of they had sat there through seven witnesses that Mr. Kline put on over the span of six hours. And so that caused serious issues. Our police officer witness, Lieutenant Miller, became unavailable
because his shift was over and he needed to go to sleep so that he could wake up the next day and protect the citizens of the District of Columbia. Myself and one of my witness, Amir Shaikh, had childcare issues. And you'll hear Mr. Shaikh testify as to the fact that he has two young children and lives -- abuts the alley where Mr. Kline's client is planning on trying to open his business. And there are several other witnesses that had issues and would be unable to attend the protest hearing if the protest hearing was going to continue on for several more hours. And so -- and I had no objection to Mr. Kline running well over whatever the time frame was in putting on his case. He was welcome to call six, seven, however many witnesses he wanted to call. And I think it's probably more important that this Board gets the information it needs to make the right decision.

So we are ready to proceed today. I believe we had six witnesses last time in respond to Mr. Kline's request for a proffer, I'm more than happy to do. I certainly don't want to play gotcha litigation.

We, I think, right now have four or
five witnesses that we intend to put on. One of our intended witnesses, Mr. Kerr, who is a sound expert, is actually now unable to appear because he's gotten ill and is unable to appear and testify today. So one of our witnesses has unintendedly gotten hurt by the delay, and it is what it is. We're ready to proceed anyway.

But we have two residents, potentially three residents that will testify about the alley and the impact of the proposed business. We intend to call Sherene Joseph, the chair of the ANC 2F. We intend to call Curtis Miller, Lieutenant Curtis Miller, who is an MPD officer in the area. And $I$ believe that is the list of witnesses that we intend to call today. And I anticipate that it will not be nearly as long as Mr. Kline's case in chief.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Any other preliminary matter that we need to address before we start?

MR. KLINE: None from the Applicant. (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. GUGGENMOS: Mr. Chairman? CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. -- yes, Mr. Guggenmos?

MR. GUGGENMOS: This is John from ANC 2F. When we were here last year, the ANC's position was we had a statement that we did want to read in the record at the very end and in our portion and go ahead and take questions from the Board. If we're still able to do that, we'd appreciate it.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I'll give the -- I will -- maybe I'll have the ANC just tell me not to forget. So maybe I'll have the ANC make its statement, and then we'll have Mr. Thomas. So I'll have the ANC -- yeah, Mr. Guggenmos, if you have a statement from the ANC, you can raise that. Do you have a question, Mr. Kline?

MR. KLINE: Hang on. I would just ask that if that's going to happen, then obviously the witness will be sworn and we'll have the opportunity to cross-examine --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry. I can't hear what you're saying.

MR. KLINE: I said I don't have a question. I would just -- I want to be sure that he's sworn --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I will, yes.

Right, and --
MR. KLINE: -- and then an opportunity to cross-examine.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, you'll have that opportunity, sir.

MR. KLINE: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So do you have any concern, Mr. Thomas, if I just put the ANC on, because $I$ don't want for us to -then for me to forget to do this. So at least by doing this at this juncture and then we'll move on with your witnesses, sir. Is that okay? That's what $I$ 'm going to do. And so --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr. Thomas.

MR. THOMAS: I was going to say there's no objection from the Protestant provided that we'll be permitted to put on our ANC witness as well and this will not obviate our ability to take testimony from the chair of the ANC, Ms. Joseph -- Commissioner Joseph I should say.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, my position is that whatever witnesses that are on your disclosure, you can -- as long as the person
is on your disclosure, then you will be able to call. I can look for the disclosure, but -- all right. Who are the witnesses you plan to call? I want to make sure that -- there might be objections. But I don't want objections raised based on the fact that they were not disclosed. So who are the witnesses that you're planning to call, sir?

So at least I can get some -- I can get an understanding from Mr. Kline, if there is an objection based on the fact that this person was not previously disclosed. If there are other objections, we can raise -- those objections will be addressed when the witness is called. But the only objection I want us to discuss now is if this person was not disclosed pursuant to seven days prior to the hearing.

MR. THOMAS: So all of them should've been disclosed.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. THOMAS: I'm going to give you the names right now.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, please.
MR. THOMAS: Amir Shaikh, Jelena
Budjevac --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry. Amir -- spell the name for me, please.

MR. THOMAS: First name, Amir, A-M-IR. Last name, Shaikh, S-H-A-I-K-H.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. Who else?
MR. THOMAS: Jelena Budjevac, J-E-L-E-N-A. Last name, Budjevac, B-U-D-J-E-V-A-C.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
MR. THOMAS: Sherene Joseph who is -or Commissioner Sherene Joseph who is an ANC representative.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Anyone else?
MR. THOMAS: Lieutenant Curtis Miller who is an MPD representative.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. And potentially Hector Pinto who is a representative of another Protestant, the Picnic Benchers.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr. Kline, do you have any objection just on whether or not you're saying that these witnesses were not disclosed seven days prior to the hearing?

MR. KLINE: I do not. They were all disclosed on the PIF.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay, fine. If
you have other objections, when the witness is called, then you can object. We can address it. But $I$ just want to make sure that there are no objections raised at this juncture to say that these witnesses were not previously disclosed. All right. So Mr. Guggenmos, can you please spell and state your name for the record, please?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Sure. It's
Commissioner John Guggenmos, John, J-O-H-N, Guggenmos, G-U-G-G-E-N-M-O-S.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you. And Mr. Guggenmos, can you just state what your position is, sir?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Sure. I am the Vice Chair of ANC 2F.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you raise your right hand for me, sir, please? Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth and nothing but the truth?

MR. GUGGENMOS: I do affirm.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Go ahead, sir.

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Board members. This statement was co-written by Commissioner Joseph and myself.

The Applicant, Farid Nouri, and his team first approached the ANC in mid-August at our monthly Alcoholic Policy Committee, or APC, which hears and advises our ANC and ABRA-related matters.

At this meeting, the Applicant presented information on his entertainment concept, programming, architectural plans, interior, and the rooftop. And the community had a chance to ask Mr. Nouri questions about the alley history of sound mitigation, security, and other concerns he was asked to address. After this meeting, the fellow Commissioner and I toured the property, advised Mr. Nouri on a specific list of points that we encouraged him to address at the second meeting with the APC later that night.

These included elaborating on the rooftop plans, security, deliveries, occupancy numbers, entertainment, and trash collection. At this stage, these issues were paramount to both the ANC and the neighbors. And as we wanted to continue the conversation with Mr. Nouri, before negotiations began on the settlement agreement, Mr. Nouri said that he understood the issues around noise and the alley.

He volunteered not to use the alley door except in emergencies, to have indoor and outdoor cameras with sufficient coverage and footage in line with ABRA's requirements. He said he'd have trained door and security staff present. In addition, his team was willing to work with other businesses in Blagden Alley to lead on the responsibilities of a trash compactor and other trash issues.

In all, we saw a good faith effort from the Applicant to address these concerns. Unfortunately, subsequent conversations between the ANC and the community fell apart and became increasingly difficult to reach common ground. My fellow Commissioner and I offered the community possible meetings but were unable to make them work.

The ANC stated to the Applicant that architectural plans were not satisfactory to confirm that noise from the rooftop would not be an issue and that we needed an acoustical engineer for sound mitigation. And the ANC would not be able to take a position on rooftop hours without an acoustical study. To that end, I gave Mr. Nouri a few options on sound engineers to
contact.
And he was able to retain Miller, Beam, and Paganelli to conduct the acoustical evaluation. Additionally, $I$ also suggested that Mr. Nouri consider modeling the hours of operations to 1:00. That has successfully worked elsewhere in our ANC.

This model allowed for timing beyond the ANC's template settlement agreement but does include clawback language that would be triggered by two adjudicated sound violations in a rolling time frame to ensure compliance. On December 21st, Lieutenant Miller, Commissioner Joseph, and I had a chance to meet with some of the Protestants from the community where they presented us with a list of concerns. The concerns were to remain confidential and were about the Applicant and Blagden Alley in general. When asked, we were told the expectation was to have terms that would be economically unattractive that the Applicant would move to another location. This in-person meeting was to serve as a reset point for the ANC and the community. And we confirmed that night that Commissioner Joseph would receive a list of
specific issues from the community that was shareable with the Applicant at a follow-up conversation.

Unfortunately, by the time the ANC convened its January 2022 meeting, we did still not have an acoustical study, revised plans from the Applicant, nor did we have a list of settlement points that we could share with the Applicant from our December 20th meeting with the community. At this stage with the protest hearing eminent, the ANC voted -- it wasn't unanimous, but voted at the January meeting on a letter outlining our positions on hours of operation, an entertainment endorsement, rooftop hours, trash, and the use of the back door. The ANC considered issues that the community had raised and concerns we as Commissioners outlined to the Applicant.

As the ANC, we are eager to support our community however we can. Unfortunately, in this instance, it was difficult to overcome some of the mistrust that was built up during the process. But we look forward to the Board ruling to allow this Applicant to coexist with the neighbors in a way that allows the neighborhood
to maintain its quality of life. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. That's the state I wish to submit.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. Guggenmos. Mr. Thomas, do you have any questions of Mr. Guggenmos?

MR. THOMAS: Has that statement been approved by the ANC?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Commissioner Joseph and I wrote it. No, it was not voted on in our ANC meeting.

MR. THOMAS: Okay.
MR. GUGGENMOS: But it does represent the facts of what happened as I was the designated representative and Commissioner Joseph was at all of the meetings with me.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Was there any other ANC Commissioners at these meetings with you?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Commissioner Romanowski was at the tour. APC meetings, no. Just at the full ANC meetings, just Commissioner Joseph and I.

MR. THOMAS: Are you of any outreach that Eighteenth Street Lounge did to the
community in general outside of the ANC?
MR. GUGGENMOS: No, I'm not sure.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. Are you -- what do you do for a profession, Mr. Guggenmos?

MR. GUGGENMOS: I'm in the hospitality industry. I own two bars currently.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Are you involved in something called the DC Nightlife Council?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes, sir. I am. I'm the Board Chair. We're a 501(c)(3) that does advocate on nightlife issues.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And what position do you advocate?

MR. GUGGENMOS: In general, just the wellbeing of the nightlife industry in the District.

MR. THOMAS: But you advocate on behalf of the nightlife industry, correct?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Our Board -- our industry -- I mean, our organization does. But we do not advocate on individual members. And Eighteenth Street Lounge is not a member if that's the question.

MR. THOMAS: No, the question is more general, that the industry --

MR. GUGGENMOS: Okay.
MR. THOMAS: -- you advocate on behalf of is the nightlife industry, correct?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes, in general. Yes, then that's the answer.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Have you
interacted with Mr. Nouri in the past due to your role in the DC Nightlife Council?

MR. GUGGENMOS: No. The very first time that $I$ met Mr. Nouri was after their first meeting with our APC Committee.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And I think you said that you provided the Miller -- the contact for Miller and Beam to Mr. Nouri. Is that correct?

MR. GUGGENMOS: I provided a number of acoustical engineering, Polysonics, Fuse, Miller, Beam \& Paganelli. I gave him some that I know have worked with the Board and that it worked with other condo projects and were licensed here in the District.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. As far as the ANC goes and its outreach with Eighteenth Street Lounge, $I$ believe your statement said that you had requested certain documentation from

Eighteenth Street Lounge in order for the ANC to fully evaluate its position on its license application. Is that correct?

MR. GUGGENMOS: One of the acoustical studies so that we could evaluate the rooftop hours.

MR. THOMAS: And I thought you -- I'm sorry if I have it wrong. I thought you also said that you wanted to see plans and permitting for the --

MR. GUGGENMOS: He presented his architectural plans at the first meeting --

MR. THOMAS: Okay.
MR. GUGGENMOS: -- of the APC meeting.
MR. THOMAS: Okay.
MR. GUGGENMOS: We felt that wasn't enough.

MR. THOMAS: Why was that not enough?
MR. GUGGENMOS: Because it didn't have -- I mean, it was architectural. But we wanted an actual expert in acoustical and sound engineering.

MR. THOMAS: And they're subject to change too, correct?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Bet your pardon? I'm
sorry?
MR. THOMAS: Plans are subject to change?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Plans are subject to change, yes.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Did the ANC ever request that the Applicant commit to any noise mitigation efforts?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Well, wouldn't take a position on the hours until we had them. I will say that I'm positive that Mr. Nouri understands his legal obligation to the sound ordinance. And that would've been in our template agreement.

MR. THOMAS: Is the template agreement the standard agreement or is it a starting point?

MR. KLINE: Objection. I've been indulgent, but we are perilously close to settlement discussions and we're not there.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I mean, he's asking a general question. I'm going to overrule the objection. He's just asking whether or not the template -- I'm going to overrule the objection because at least to me this is -- it's just general, asking general questions. It's not specifically asking what was in the template. So
you can answer the question. I'm going to overrule the objection.

MR. KLINE: My objection is we don't recognize a template agreement. I know some ANCs do them. We look at that as a rulemaking and it's not permissible to try to set a standard for the community. And if we're talking about that as a starting point and we're talking about starting point of negotiations. And it goes directly to settlement negotiations.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But Mr. -- I don't know what's in the agreement. I don't know what's in the agreement. They're not specifically discussing the contents of the agreement.

So if we start talking about the specifics of the agreement, you can raise your objection at this juncture. But at this time, I'm going to overrule the objection because it's just -- we're just being asked a general question. Now if you believe in further questions if we're moving towards that, then you can raise another objection and $I$ will revisit the issue. So go ahead, Mr. Guggenmos.

MR. KLINE: Thank you.

MR. GUGGENMOS: So the template has been on our website for 15 -plus years. And we felt it was just a good indication that anyone who wanted to do business in our ANC had at least a framework of what we would expect. We have deviated from that template.

So one that one hand, you would say it's a starting point. But it is what we would expect, by and large. That's what we're most comfortable with, so --

MR. THOMAS: And is it the ANC's position that they apply that template to anywhere in the ANC, correct?

MR. GUGGENMOS: That is what we -that is what we would prefer.

MR. THOMAS: Right. So it's not -you would agree that there are different areas within your ANC that have different considerations, correct?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes. I mean, all of our liquor licenses are in commercial or mixed use zones. But yes, in general, that's a fair -that's a fair statement.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And the considerations in a mixed use zone may not be the
same as the considerations in a commercial zone, correct?

MR. GUGGENMOS: That's a fair statement, yes.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. In terms of the ANC's position, you said that the ANC voted to protest, correct?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Do you recall how many Commissioners voted in favor of the protest?

MR. GUGGENMOS: It was unanimous. We were missing one Commissioner.

MR. THOMAS: Okay.
MR. GUGGENMOS: The original vote to protest which would've been months ago. Then the vote that I was talking about that was not unanimous was in January just before this hearing.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. So you originally voted to protest when?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Back -- geez, September, October. I'm sorry. Yeah, it was October, at our October meeting. I'm so sorry, sir.

MR. THOMAS: And then what was the
date of this follow-up protest vote?
MR. GUGGENMOS: Well, we protested, then there were, you know, our mediation and roll call and the attempts to try to -- try to find some common ground. But in January, not having really an agreement, we felt the ANC really wanted to try to -- try to find some common ground before we went to the protest hearing. So

MR. THOMAS: Sorry. That protest -the second vote in January --

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: -- do you recall what day that was?

MR. GUGGENMOS: January 2nd.
MR. THOMAS: And have you seen the Miller and Beam study that the Protestant -excuse me, that the Applicant has procured?

MR. GUGGENMOS: No, not at that point.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. Have you seen it now?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Wasn't it part of the

MR. THOMAS: It's part of the evidence.

MR. GUGGENMOS: It was part of the -yes.

MR. THOMAS: And it was dated January 3rd, right?

MR. GUGGENMOS: I believe so. I believe he just was engaged in late December.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. So the study came out after your vote --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes, that's correct.
MR. THOMAS: That follow-up protest vote, you said it was not unanimous?

MR. GUGGENMOS: That's correct.
MR. THOMAS: How many dissenting Commissioners were there?

MR. GUGGENMOS: I was the one dissenter.

MR. KLINE: Objection to relevance. We're here. There's a protest. What does it matter? I mean, if it was 4 to 3 or unanimous, the ANC protested. Here we are. And it's up to the Board to make a decision as to what happens here.

MR. THOMAS: If I may, the only thing I was trying to get was that Mr. Guggenmos was
the lone person who did not vote in favor of the ANC's position.

MR. KLINE: Objection. I move to strike the testimony.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Gentlemen, gentlemen. All right. I'm going to overrule the objection. Just ask him the question, sir.

And actually, Mr. Thomas, I just want to caution you that you have a certain amount of time to present your case. And I'm not saying that you should not cross-examine a witness. But if I have you on the clock, that will be taking a lot of time from your direct testimony on crossexamination because normally in these hearings, the parties are advised that when they crossexamine witnesses, that is also part of their time.

So I just wanted to let you know as an FYI. But I'm not doing that generally -- I've not generally done that. But just wanted to keep you aware of that, sir.

MR. THOMAS: I appreciate that. I will keep that in mind. And I can represent I
don't have very much left here. So --
MR. GUGGENMOS: I'll answer that.
I'll answer it. When I voted to protest, I said, we did not -- the terms that were there were far less than what our template agreement was. And I voted along that line. I felt it sent a bad message to -- I think stated to all the rest of the liquor Applicants and people that come before us. It was a longstanding tradition that I felt we were changing.

MR. THOMAS: What was?
MR. GUGGENMOS: That we were proposing terms that were far more restrictive than what our template agreement was which was, again, what we were telling -- what we had for 15 years plus said this is what we anticipate or expect licenses to come into. It's what had been discussed with Mr. Nouri throughout this time. So that's why I voted to -- against the motion.

MR. THOMAS: The one in January?
MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. Can you tell me -I mean, you're here as an official representative of the ANC, correct?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes, sir.

MR. THOMAS: Can you tell me what the official position of the ANC is in this hearing?

MR. GUGGENMOS: We were a Protestant.
MR. THOMAS: And what is the relief that you're asking from the Commission -- the Board?

MR. GUGGENMOS: The settlement items that were sent in a letter and our PIF.

MR. THOMAS: Be imposed upon the Applicant?

MR. GUGGENMOS: That is -- that is the position of the ANC.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. I have no further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. Kline? You're on mute, Mr. Kline.

MR. KLINE: My apologies. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mr. Guggenmos, just for clarity of the record, you referred to APC several times. What is that?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Our Alcohol Policy Committee. It's where we --

MR. KLINE: I want to go to something that you said. You were working with the other Protestants. Is that correct? And seeing if
this could somehow be resolved?
MR. GUGGENMOS: We were attempting to. We had a very good mediation session. But I proposed several other meetings that we couldn't make happen. But we finally did, and we did hear from some of the Protestants in December.

MR. KLINE: You said -- and I wrote it down because it was so striking -- that those other Protestants insisted on terms that were economically unattractive. Is that what you said?

MR. GUGGENMOS: That was the expectation from the -- that was the expectation that the ANC would propose those terms.

MR. THOMAS: I'm going to --
MR. KLINE: What does that mean --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on. Hold on. What's the objection, Mr. Thomas?

MR. THOMAS: I mean, Mr. Kline just raised an objection during my cross-examination about weighing in on settlement discussions. Settlement discussions amongst the parties and settlement positions amongst the parties are not relevant to this hearing. And Mr. Kline has just
taken that position not five minutes ago.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'll overrule the objection because again we're not having -- I don't know what the settlement terms are. And so if we start discussing settlement terms that there is an objection. But I believe that you opened the door where he said that he disagreed with the position of the ANC.

And so he's now explaining why that he disagreed as a member of the ANC, why he disagreed with that vote in January 2 to move forward with the protest. So I'm overruling the objection. He can answer the question.

MR. THOMAS: Well, I would just say it's not settlement terms. It's settlement position. So while I agree we haven't gotten into specific terms, he's characterizing my clients' and other Protestants' settlement positions in the context of a mediation that's been held by ABRA. And so that would be settlement discussions that this Board has ruled on several times in this case is not an admissible areas of testimony.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You are correct, Mr. Thomas. But remember you made a
point to make -- you made it a point to put on the record that he was the only member of the ANC to vote against the process. So therefore, he's just explaining why is it without being specific. He's just giving his rationale why he voted as a member of the ANC not to move forward with the protest. So I'm overruling the objection. He can answer the question.

MR. KLINE: All right. I'm going to rephrase it, if I may. So Mr. Guggenmos, right now we're talking about communications that you had with the representatives of what are now the other protestors. Is that correct?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes, but it was also with Commissioner Joseph. So we were both there at the meeting.

MR. KLINE: Okay. And someone said that they wanted terms -- demanded that -without getting into the terms because that's not where we're going. But they wanted terms that were, quote, economically unattractive, correct?

MR. GUGGENMOS: That's correct.
MR. KLINE: What did that mean, economically unattractive?

MR. THOMAS: I would go objection on
hearsay grounds.
MR. KLINE: Administrative hearing. It's permissible.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'll overrule the objection. But again, so yes, you're correct, Mr. Kline. At an administrative hearing, we -- this is not a court of law in a sense that we go strictly by the hearsay. But --

MR. THOMAS: Well, then let me update my objection. It calls for speculation as well.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry. Mr. Guggenmos, were you part of this discussion?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: He can answer the question.

MR. GUGGENMOS: Because the terms and the issues we were told to keep confidential, the question is, what do you expect from the ANC to do? And it was said to provide terms that would be so economically unfriendly that they would find another position. And that was the agreement of all of the people at the table. And it's -- Commissioner Joseph and I were both -- we both walked away. That was -- that was clear.

MR. KLINE: Okay. So it was to make it so they would look for another location? I think you may have used the wrong word. I'm not sure, though.

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yeah, I'm sorry. The -- the -- you know, the hours were restrictive that they couldn't operate.

MR. KLINE: All right. And there was testimony previously about -- you were asked about and I objected, but it was allowed -- about a template agreement. And the ANC then was asking for something more restrictive than the template. Is that correct?

MR. GUGGENMOS: That's correct.
MR. KLINE: Okay. Now you also said that even though there's a template that the ANC will, from time to time in appropriate circumstances, deviate from the template, correct?

MR. GUGGENMOS: That's correct.
MR. KLINE: And isn't that deviation done for operators who have proven themselves as responsible and responsive to community concerns?

MR. GUGGENMOS: It's a fair characterization, yes.

MR. KLINE: And based on what you know and you learned of Mr. Nouri since you met him and he started making presentations and responding to concerns, isn't he indeed the type of operator for whom the ANC might consider a deviation from the template to be more permissive?

MR. GUGGENMOS: I've never had a former chair of an ANC Commissioner come to testify for an Applicant in our ANC before. This was the first time.

MR. KLINE: Okay. Now you said that the goal is to have this template and have everyone kind of abide by the template unless there are deviations that are approved for various reasons, correct?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Correct.
MR. KLINE: All right. Are you
familiar with the application that was made by Causa, C-A-U-S-A, LLC in the fall of 2019 ?

MR. GUGGENMOS: They -- yes, I know there was an application.

MR. KLINE: Okay. And was that for 920 N Street Rear?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes.

MR. KLINE: Okay. And that location is in Blagden Alley, isn't it?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes.
MR. KLINE: And in fact, they were approved for full hours inside and out, 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m. Friday and Saturday and 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 a.m. -- I'm sorry, 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m. Sunday through Thursday and Friday and Saturday, 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 a.m., weren't they?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes, full hours.
MR. KLINE: All right. So they weren't required to abide by the template, were they?

MR. GUGGENMOS: There's -- yeah, there's no settlement agreement with them.

MR. KLINE: Okay. And they have live entertainment as well, don't they?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Until 2:00 a.m. on Friday and Saturday?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes.
MR. KLINE: And they're in the alley, aren't they?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Unlike this business which
has promised and intends to use 9th Street as its entrance and exit?

MR. GUGGENMOS: That's correct.
MR. KLINE: And they also have live entertainment on a summer garden approved as well, correct?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Causa? Yes.
MR. KLINE: Okay. So you said that there were attempts to find common ground to get this resolved. Were those attempts frustrated by the wishes of Protestants who wish to make egregious -- or have made egregious settlement demands?

MR. THOMAS: Objection as to settlement discussions.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. THOMAS: -- frustrated settlement entirely goes to the settlement discussion component of this.

MR. KLINE: Mr. Chair, counsel has opened all sorts of doors on settlement discussions with respect to everything except substance of the various parties' positions.

MR. THOMAS: My only question was about whether the template was a starting point
for them and that's it. Counsel has just asked whether my clients' settlement positions stopped settlement between the parties. That was the direct question. To equate the two is like comparing apples to oranges.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I still don't know what your client's position is. So I'm going to overrule the objection because I don't know what the position are currently of the parties. So I'll overrule --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry. Yes, sir.

MR. THOMAS: The accusation is that my clients have forced a settlement not to occur. That goes directly to the heart of settlement discussions, absolutely directly to the heart of settlement discussions.

## CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But I don't

 know that, sir. I mean, clearly, we're here at a protest hearing because the parties were unable to settle the matter. So that's why we're here. So whether or not --MR. THOMAS: The question is why they -- the question that Mr. Kline is asking is why,
in Mr. Guggenmos' opinion, that the parties did not settle. And he's attempting to attribute it to the residents which entirely goes to why this case didn't settle. It goes to the part of settlement discussions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on a minute. Hold on. Hold on a minute, please. I see Mr. Kline is having some technical issues.

MR. THOMAS: And I'll add -- or if I should hold off.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Thomas, hold on. Mr. Kline is not with us. Ms. Yohannes, are you able to find out what's going on with Mr. -- okay. Mr. Kline, I can't hear you, sir. Mr. Kline?

MR. KLINE: Yes, I'm sorry.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. All
right. As I was saying before -- okay, what were you saying, Mr. Thomas?

MR. THOMAS: I was saying Mr. Kline's line of questioning goes directly into why settlement may not have occurred and is asking Mr. Guggenmos to opine on why settlement didn't occur. It goes directly to settlement discussions between the parties. That is --
there is no point in having settlement discussions.

And the confidentiality of settlement is completely eroded if a party can come in here and claim the other side made terms too onerous. And therefore, we didn't reach a settlement. That completely goes to the heart of what settlement discussions are.

So to claim that is not -- has nothing to do with settlement terms is -- I mean, maybe it doesn't go to the exact precise terms. But it is characterizing the terms, characterizing the parties' settlement positions, and attributing causation to why this matter did not get resolved in a settlement at mediation. To set a precedent like this would chill settlement discussions in future mediations in front of the ABC Board's mediator.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, Mr.
Thomas. Let me see.
MR. KLINE: The night sale party who attempted to completely stonewall the process.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. All right. Hold on. Hold on. Gentlemen, hold on.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. THOMAS: -- then we can have Mr. Kline's opinion.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, gentlemen. All right. There are -- let me see who the -- we have several groups here who are protesting this matter. Now if the ANC was able to reach a settlement with the establishment, then the groups of -- I believe there are a couple of groups by itself. They would've fallen and we would've still moved forward with a hearing because of the neighborhood association.

So it would've been clear to the Board that the ANC was able to sign a settlement agreement with this establishment. And so the groups fell. But we still have a hearing because since the neighborhood association has standing, it would have been clear to the parties that, okay, the reason why we're here, it is because of this one specific group could not agree.

So I'm going to overrule the objection because it's clear to the Board that the parties were unable to reach a settlement agreement. And by operation of law, the ANC itself could have signed a settlement agreement with the
establishment. And all the other groups would have fallen with the exception of your client, sir. So --

MR. THOMAS: But with all due respect, the ANC is here characterizing -- and is offering sworn testimony characterizing my clients' settlement position. So while he's not saying the precise terms, he's using vague adjectives to describe my client's settlement position and his opinion. So that goes to the heart of settlement.

I will also add that one of the Commissioners at our last hearing asked a question of one of the witnesses about what positions that were taken were reasonable and unreasonable. And Commissioner Anderson, you -and Chairperson Anderson, you yourself shut down that line of questioning saying it was irrelevant. So if it was irrelevant two weeks ago, it should remain irrelevant now.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. All right.

MR. KLINE: Except for the fact that the door was opened by counsel.

MR. THOMAS: It was not opened. I
mean, $I$ fail to see how it opened.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I think part of -- we're on this line of questioning, Mr. Thomas, because you made it a point to state that it was this Commissioner. He was the only ANC Commissioner who voted against -- who disagreed with the ANC moving forward with a protest hearing. And so we're here because it's a question that you had asked.

And so I'm going to allow this question. But $I$ think at the same time I'm not sure if the information that we're gathering today, if this is, like, relevant to the Board to make a decision. $I$ think $I$ want to hear direct testimony.

I want to hear from the parties why is it that they believe that the Board should or should not grant a license and not whether or not one side was unreasonable or whether or not one side was trying to make it economically nonviable for the other side. That's not relevant, I believe, for the Board, what we want to know. I want to find out from the parties whether or not we should grant this license or if we should not, and if we're not going to grant the license,
why we should not grant the license. That's what I'm waiting to hear.

So I'm going to overrule the objection. But I'm telling the parties that there are more important issues that I need to hear to enable me to make an effective decision today because it's clear if the parties were able -- and one thing I've always stated, I prefer the parties settle matters because if the license is granted, you have to live together because -- and everyone is happy. If the license is not going to be granted by the Board, then one is going to be unhappy.

So where I believe the Board wants to hear today is that I need both sides to be clear why the license should be granted or why the license should not be granted. And if the license should be granted, should there be conditions? That's what I want to hear, and I'm not hearing that. So let's -- I'm going to overrule the objection. But just letting parties know that where we are now, it's not -- at least in my view, it's not helpful to enable me to make -enable me as one member of this Board to make a
decision. So let's move on.
MR. GUGGENMOS: Just the frustrating part was there was never a list of items that we were told to keep it confidential that we could share to try a further negotiation. And yeah, I view it as a personal failure of the ANC. We tried to allow all the parties and we let -- our responsibility was to move it forward. And I think that we failed in that because we allowed the bus to drive off the road is the best analogy. So it's unfortunate.

MR. KLINE: All right. Mr. Guggenmos,
did you find Mr. Nouri to be responsive to community concerns?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes. Prior to, I think, this statement, prior to even negotiations, he immediately volunteered a number of positions, not to use the back door, to have cameras, to get an acoustical engineer. So very easy to work with in regards to those items that were brought up. We unfortunately substantively did not get into the rooftop hours which is where I felt the issue was going to be.

MR. KLINE: All right. And there's a suggestion that Mr. Nouri failed to reach out to
the community. Didn't he do that outreach through the ANC?

MR. GUGGENMOS: The ANC -- yeah, that would have -- unless he did some other ones, I'm not aware of it. So traditional placards came to our APC meetings. But if there was additional outreach, I don't know.

MR. KLINE: Did you attempt to coordinate meetings among all the parties, including the Applicant and the parties that are now protesting?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Not with the Applicant. Just with the Protestants and the Blagden Alley Neighbor Association, yes.

MR. KLINE: And what was the result of that?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Unfortunately, I mean, I thought we had a very good mediation session. But we were not able to schedule them at all in November. And then December was the first of those meetings. And Commissioner Joseph had a follow-up in December.

MR. KLINE: I have no further
questions. Oh, no. I have one more. I apologize. You were asked about the plans and
you acknowledged the plans were subject to change. Do you have any reason to believe that the plans that were shown to the ANC were going to change in some way?

MR. GUGGENMOS: No, none. I mean, it's, again, $I$ know that the Applicant understood his legal obligation as far as the noise ordinance are concerned. And that was, I mean, very clear.

MR. KLINE: All right. That's all I have. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you. Mr. Guggenmos, you mentioned -- I think Mr. Kline had asked you about an establishment that is in the alley. What's the name of the establishment, please?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Causa.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry. What's the name, Cause?
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: How do you spell that, please? Causa?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Mm-hmm.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Because I didn't see that as one of the -- is this
establishment currently open? Is it operating? MR. GUGGENMOS: No, not yet. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. No, because when Mr. Puente testified, this was not an establishment that was listed. So what are the hours of -- what type of license -- I'm sorry. What type of -- what category of license does this application have, this establishment? What is it, a CT>? What type of establishment is it?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Sir, $I$ do not have that in front of me. So it's either a CT or CR. But that's not -- my answer is not helpful.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. What were the hours of operation of this establishment?

MR. GUGGENMOS: They were full hours.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So what are the hours, please, if you're aware?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Till -- I mean, until 2:00 a.m. during the weekdays, so Sunday through Thursday, and 3:00 a.m. on Friday and Saturday.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And so you're saying that they're able to have live entertainment?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes, until -- I mean, I want to just speak specifically. But I believe it's midnight on the weekends. I'm very sorry, Mr. Chairman. We'd have to --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's fine.
MR. GUGGENMOS: -- in front of me. I don't have it.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do they have a summer garden?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes, they do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Any questions by any of the Board members?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, fine. Let me ask. Mr. Thomas, do you have any follow-up of Mr. Guggenmos based on the questions that I asked?

MR. THOMAS: Yes, I think one or two brief ones concerning -- what is it? It's Causa?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Is that the name of the establishment? So that establishment is not open yet, correct?

MR. GUGGENMOS: That's correct.

MR. THOMAS: Did the ANC protest that license?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Sir, I believe so.
This was a while back, and there are a number of meetings that I was gone at dealing with elderly parents. But I do believe so. I believe that it was not sent in, but --

MR. THOMAS: So the ANC didn't have standing. Is that correct?

MR. GUGGENMOS: That's correct.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. So they didn't actually -- they voted to protest, but the protest wasn't properly submitted?

MR. GUGGENMOS: I don't think it was submitted at all.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. So in fact, they didn't actually formally protest?

MR. GUGGENMOS: Correct.
MR. THOMAS: Okay.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. GUGGENMOS: -- exactly what I know and if I wasn't there or wasn't a chairman at that time. That's my hesitation.

MR. THOMAS: That's all I have.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr.

Kline. All right. Thank you, Mr. Guggenmos. All right.

MR. GUGGENMOS: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Thomas, your first witness, please.

MR. THOMAS: Yeah. BANCA calls Lieutenant Curtis Miller.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Lieutenant Curtis Miller. Lieutenant Miller, do you have a camera that you can let us see you, sir?

LT. MILLER: Yeah, can you see me now?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. Can you raise your right hand, please? Do you sear or affirm to tell the truth and nothing but the truth?

LT. MILLER: Yes, I do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Your witness, sir.
MR. THOMAS: Good afternoon, Lieutenant Miller. Can you please state your name for the record?

LT. MILLER: Lieutenant Curtis Miller, Third District, MPD.

MR. THOMAS: Can you spell your name?
LT. MILLER: C-U-R-T-I-S M-I-L-L-E-R.

MR. THOMAS: And you said your rank as a lieutenant, correct?

LT. MILLER: That's correct.
MR. THOMAS: How long have you held that rank?

LT. MILLER: Two years.
MR. THOMAS: And how long have you been a member of MPD?

LT. MILLER: Eighteen years.
MR. THOMAS: And have you been assigned to a specific area during that time?

LT. MILLER: Several.
MR. THOMAS: Are you presently assigned to the area that Blagden Alley is located at?

LT. MILLER: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: And how long have you been assigned to that area?

LT. MILLER: The two years I've been a lieutenant at the Third District.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And can you quickly just describe to me what Blagden Alley is like on a daily basis from a policing standpoint?

LT. MILLER: The alley itself provides some -- it harbors some activity that stems from
a couple other blocks, 12th Street. There's a prostitute problem. There's some drugs at 12th and M. And a lot of that filters into the alley because it gives refuge to the people for illegal activity. So they find their way into the alley a lot of times at night, needles, urinating in public, sometimes assaults, fights between the Johns and the prostitutes, stuff like that.

MR. THOMAS: When you say it gives them -- I believe the word you said was refuge --

LT. MILLER: It's a hiding place. It's an alley. So obviously, especially for people that aren't familiar with the area, they look at something like Blagden Alley or a place like Blagden Alley, $I$ don't they see a residence space which is really what it is along with the restaurants. So it appears especially at night just as an alley. And so it attracts the type of behavior that you would find in an alley a lot of times that you would not see in a busy street, say, along the 11th Street corridor or U Street or something of that nature.

MR. THOMAS: Because it's more secluded --
(Simultaneous speaking.)

LT. MILLER: Correct, correct. And frankly, I mean, the officers -- and I've worked with them. I mean, getting them to even know that Blagden Alley is there and that people live there sometimes is a challenge.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. In terms of the current crime issues in Blagden Alley that you discussed, how have those changed over the past two years that you've been assigned to the area?

LT. MILLER: There's been an uptick in the drug use. Like I said, that stems from stuff coming from 12th and M, 1100 block of M Street. The unhoused, which has been sort of addressed down by Massachusetts Avenue, we're seeing a lot of activity from the people who are unhoused that come up 10th Street.

There's a CVS at 10th and M. And, you know, that's kind of increased. You know, we just had the prostitution problem is something that's been ongoing for many years. So that kind of remains -- that's stable. It's always been there.

MR. THOMAS: So you mentioned drug use just now and prostitution.

LT. MILLER: Right.

MR. THOMAS: In your experience as an MPD officer, are those types of crime issues exacerbated by the addition by a nightclub in an area?

LT. MILLER: No, the stuff that I just named that I'm talking about, I think honestly they're separate from what the nightclub would exacerbate. That would bring stuff like robberies, drunk driving, noise at night complaints, urinating in public from the drunk patrons walking back to their cars. You would have people parking in neighborhoods that they typically, you know, aren't parking in with Virginia and Maryland tags coming in to enjoy the nightlife. So you're going to get a lot of theft from autos, break-ins, stolen cars. That's the type of stuff that you're going to see an increase in.

MR. THOMAS: Okay.
LT. MILLER: And that's going to be -that's going to be on top of everything I just named.

MR. THOMAS: Got it. Okay. So these are new problems that are going to arise from the addition of a nightclub or nightclubs in the
area?
LT. MILLER: Correct.
MR. THOMAS: You mentioned noise
complaints. Have those become more frequent in Blagden Alley recently?

LT. MILLER: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Do you know --
LT. MILLER: Not recently. I mean, since $I$ would say my introduction to -- to the ANC meetings and handling them and BANCA dating back to last May is when $I$ became aware of them.

MR. THOMAS: And do you know what those noise complaints are generally attributed to?

LT. MILLER: Patrons of -- of the restaurants and the bars that come out of the alley.

MR. THOMAS: Is it generally attributed more to the bars that are open later?

LT. MILLER: I would have no way of knowing for sure, but $I$ could speculate, sure.

MR. THOMAS: Okay.
LT. MILLER: There's no other reason to be back in that alley.

MR. THOMAS: Right. Are you familiar
with an establishment known as Never Looked Better?

LT. MILLER: I am.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. And do you know where that establishment is located?

LT. MILLER: I do.
MR. THOMAS: Where is that?
LT. MILLER: Well, I guess the address it carries is $9 t h$ Street, but the back of it faces into Blagden Alley.

MR. THOMAS: And is that where the patrons --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. THOMAS: Is that the area -excuse me. Strike that. Is that area of Blagden Alley where the patrons of Never Looked Better enter and leave the bar?

LT. MILLER: So I've personally been in the alley on Friday evenings and seen lines stretching down the alley in the back, yes.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And are you aware, this Never Looked Better bar is located in the same exact building that Eighteenth Street Lounge attempting to open in? Is that correct?

LT. MILLER: I believe so. I don't
know the addresses, but that's my understanding.
MR. THOMAS: So I want to quickly ask you, I guess, a little bit more generally. I forget how long you've said you've been serving on MPD for. Did you say 18 years?

LT. MILLER: Eighteen years.
MR. THOMAS: Eighteen years. So during that time, you've watched the city change a lot, correct?

LT. MILLER: Absolutely.
MR. THOMAS: And have you see different sort of nightlife pockets expand in the city?

LT. MILLER: Sure. H Street, 18th Street, U Street, Adams Morgan decreased, U Street has grown. I was an officer in the Fourth District. I can speak to 800 block of Upshur Street is a perfect example.

When I came on the department in 2004, there was really nothing there. And by 2015, there was vibrant restaurants, bars, several restaurants. A few even made it onto the Washingtonian's top 100 list.

It brought lots of pedestrians, people
from out of town to eat at these food spots that
were advertised in the post and that kind of thing. And so it became a vibrant area. I've seen it all over the city to answer your question.

MR. THOMAS: And when these areas have become more vibrant, crime issues have followed. Is that correct?

MR. KLINE: Objection. He hasn't been qualified as an expert to talk about crime trends or crime statistics.

MR. THOMAS: He's a--
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to -go ahead, sir.

MR. THOMAS: $I$ was going to say he's a lieutenant with the MPD that's been serving for 18 years and is speaking to his own personal experience.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to overrule the objection. Go ahead.

MR. THOMAS: You can answer, Lieutenant Miller.

LT. MILLER: The question was -repeat the question for me. I'm sorry.

MR. THOMAS: When you've seen these pockets become more vibrant with bars,
restaurants, nightclubs, has crime followed with it?

LT. MILLER: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. And what types of crime have followed with it?

LT. MILLER: Well, I'll use U Street as an example since it's the most glaring one that $I$ currently deal with. And in the last ten years, even going back further, when there were only maybe one or two places to go eat or to go out to the club, there weren't very many robberies, no shootings to speak of, that type of 9:30 Club just around the corner was probably the most lively spot. And now it is our hardest area to manage as the Third District has in the entire district for Thursday, Friday, Saturday, into Sunday mornings, so much so that we have our own unit specifically designated just for the U Street corridor because of the robberies, shootings, the theft from autos, the assaults, et cetera. Violent crime has definitely increased in that corridor over the last ten years.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. As hospitality and liquor established have increased, correct?

LT. MILLER: Yeah. I mean, just in
general, restaurants, anything that brings people to the area for a good time.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Would it be safe to anticipate that as those -- that if more nightclubs and late night establishments get opened around Blagden Alley, those issues could occur as well?

LT. MILLER: It's likely.
MR. THOMAS: And would those issues even be exacerbated by the fact that it is an alley as opposed to an open street?

LT. MILLER: Yeah, I think that from what I've been seeing so far, even the people that are coming out of Never Looked Better, I don't think they realize they're standing in a residential area. So the noise, the urinating in public, those types of behavior, they think they go unnoticed essentially.

MR. THOMAS: I see. I see. And by the way, you use U Street as a reference. Is the character of $U$ Street the same type of residential character as Blagden Alley?

LT. MILLER: I think it's been what it is for a long time at this point. I mean, it's a mixed use area. I mean, I'm not going to --

MR. THOMAS: Okay.
LT. MILLER: I mean, that's the reality. But there's apartments there -- there's apartments there as well. We get the same kind of complaints. I mean, everybody that lives in D.C. sees the motorcycles in the summertime. I mean, I could show you video, and I've seen pictures and video of people that live on $U$ Street and I think within reason have an expectation even though they're living on $U$ Street of some peace and orderliness.

But, you know, it just attracts so much. Like, the motorcycles going up and down the street and it's just -- it's just too much. It's overwhelming for us from a manpower standpoint. So, you know, it becomes party central essentially.

MR. THOMAS: And that behavior used to be located somewhere else?

LT. MILLER: Yeah. I mean, there was no reason to go there if there's no people there. Then there's no reason for everybody to go show off or to hang out.

MR. THOMAS: One would say it's like history repeating itself, correct?

LT. MILLER: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: So if more nightclubs open up in Blagden Alley, would it be safe to anticipate an increase in public urination?

LT. MILLER: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Would it be safe to anticipate an increase in public intoxication?

LT. MILLER: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Would it be safe to anticipate an increase in noise complaints?

LT. MILLER: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: An increase in violent crime?

LT. MILLER: Likely in the form of robberies, yes.

MR. THOMAS: For break-ins or assaults?

LT. MILLER: There's probably going to be patrons fighting. But I suspect most likely we'll have an increase in robberies because there'll be targeted people. So people that are doing the robberies that come from further uptown, they've going to come down and they're going to see it as a target rich environment.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Are you familiar
with a nightlife plan that is put forth by the MPD?

LT. MILLER: You mean for what we deal with on U Street?

MR. THOMAS: Just in general, a general plan that's put forth by MPD for creating security plans for nightlife establishments.

LT. MILLER: I mean, we have -- yeah, I mean, I don't know specifically what you're speaking to. I mean, we have a U Street corridor. We have -- we provide a specific unit for on-duty officers.

But then we also have an entirely different plan for U Street and Adams Morgan for RDOs which bars and restaurants all have to pay into. They pay a fee to MPD, and then they provide overtime. And so that ends up being an overtime gig for officers.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. THOMAS: Oh, I'm sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off, Lieutenant Miller.

LT. MILLER: No, that's just -- I mean, that's the plan. That's the approach that MPD takes. We have RDOs designated for specific areas like U Street. There used to be some up in

Adams Morgan. I believe there still are a few. The Adams Morgan BID, the ADMO BID, they help supplement that for the bars and restaurants in Adams Morgan. That's decreased a lot because U Street is kind of -- to your point, U Street has kind of drawn -- it's grown, so it's kind of drawn a lot of the attention off of 18th Street. Not as many people go there as they did, say, 15 years ago.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And the
establishments -- essentially just so I understand the program -- they fund security for the outside of their establishments to ensure that crime is minimized?

LT. MILLER: Correct.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. Are you aware of whether Eighteenth Street Lounge has reached out to MPD to request this type -- what is it, RDO?

LT. MILLER: Yeah, I don't know if they have or not or if they've gone through our personnel office or not.

MR. THOMAS: Are you aware of whether Eighteenth Street Lounge has reached out to MPD to request any sort of assistance in forming a security plan for their particular establishment?

LT. MILLER: No, I'm not aware of one. MR. THOMAS: Okay. I want to show you -- if $I$ can show the witness an exhibit. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Fashbaugh, can you give Mr. Thomas the ability to share his screen, please? Are you still waiting, Mr. Thomas, or do you have the ability?

MR. THOMAS: I don't have the ability yet.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Fashbaugh? MS. FASHBAUGH: This has been accomplished.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. MR. THOMAS: Thank you. All right. Lieutenant Miller, do you see my screen now? LT. MILLER: Yes.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. I'm showing you what has been previously marked as Exhibit 15 in the Protestants' PIF. It's a document that says best practices for nightlife establishments. And on the top, it says District of Columbia Government Metropolitan Police Department. Do you see that?

LT. MILLER: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Are you aware if this is
a document that has been published by the Metropolitan Police Department to assist nightlife establishments in creating a security plan?

LT. MILLER: I'm aware of it. I have not read it.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Do you know if it's MPD -- do you know what the purpose of this for MPD is?

LT. MILLER: I mean, I could
speculate. It seems like a guidance for --
MR. KLINE: Objection as to
speculation.
LT. MILLER: Yeah, I know.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Did you have an objection, Mr. Kline?

MR. KLINE: Yes, I object it as to the speculation. If he knows, he knows. If he doesn't, let's move on.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What was the question? I'm sorry. I know the witness testified that he's aware of the exhibit. He has not read it. So what was the question? I apologize.

MR. THOMAS: I asked what the purpose
of this exhibit was for.
MR. KLINE: He said he didn't know. He could speculate which is when I objected.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to sustain the objection.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Would you agree that it is good practice, Lieutenant Miller, to -- for a nightlife establishment to have a security plan?

LT. MILLER: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: And would you agree that the Metropolitan Police Department would be a good source of information informing the security plan?

LT. MILLER: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Have you ever been asked by a nightlife establishment to provide any input in a security plan?

LT. MILLER: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. How frequently
does that occur?
LT. MILLER: Not that frequently. I mean, a few times a year I do walkthroughs. I've gotten calls. I know people in Adams Morgan. For example, Grand Central just recently got
their license for gaming. So I've been contacted by the owner, Brian Vasile, to assist with -there's a whole different element there, transportation of money and options looking for what to do with the Department, if there was any RDO opportunities which turns out there's not because it falls into the same category as, like, say, a strip club. So I worked -- I worked with them quite a bit over the last six months while they were getting their licensing.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. In terms of a nightlife venue --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do we need to have this exhibit up, Mr. Thomas? MR. THOMAS: No, we can take it down now.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Close your screen, please.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. In terms of a nightlife venue's security obligations, would you agree that its security obligations extend beyond its front door?

LT. MILLER: Yeah, I mean, I've been on ABRA hearings in the past as a sergeant where I was called because stuff that has spilled
outside of the club stemming -- we can prove that it comes from within. Then I've seen -- I've seen licenses suspended. I've seen clubs closed down -- closed down for a couple days. Stuff like that has definitely happened.

MR. THOMAS: So you would agree that any security plan should probably anticipate activity that could happen outside the bricks and sticks of a nightlife establishment, correct?

LT. MILLER: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. I have no further questions at this time.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Kline?
MR. THOMAS: Thank you very much, Lieutenant Miller.

LT. MILLER: You're welcome.
MR. KLINE: Good afternoon, Lieutenant Miller.

LT. MILLER: Good afternoon.
MR. KLINE: I'm Andrew Kline. I am counsel for the Applicant. Thank you first for your service. I appreciate it.

LT. MILLER: You're welcome.
MR. KLINE: Isn't it a fact that crime is increasing all over the District of Columbia
at this point?
LT. MILLER: Yes, it is.
MR. KLINE: And the premises that we're talking about, are you aware that it's currently vacant?

LT. MILLER: Yes.
MR. KLINE: So the fact of the matter is in terms of service calls, the only thing we might expect there at this point would be a break-in of that very premises, correct?

LT. MILLER: At this point, yes.
MR. KLINE: And if a business opens there, there would necessarily likely be an increase in service calls for that location, correct?

LT. MILLER: Correct.
MR. KLINE: All right. There was testimony -- you testified concerning existing crime issues in the alley, I think mostly fighting, drugs, prostitution. Does that about sum it up?

## LT. MILLER: Correct.

MR. KLINE: Isn't it a fact that if an establishment does not have its patrons enter or exit its premises from the alley, then those
issues would be mitigated, wouldn't it?
LT. MILLER: Well, we would just end up with the issues on the 9th Street side of the establishment and people would be in the street. We'd have pedestrian issues, people drunk out in the street, getting robbed on 9th Street instead of the alley, et cetera.

MR. KLINE: Right. But you testified the alley seems to provide a certain cover that doesn't exist out on the public street, didn't you?

LT. MILLER: That's correct.
MR. KLINE: People don't realize that are on the street -- or in the alley don't realize there are residents there, correct?

LT. MILLER: That's correct.
MR. KLINE: And there are residents there, right?

LT. MILLER: There are.
MR. KLINE: And on 9th Street conversely, there really aren't any residents. It faces the convention center, correct?

LT. MILLER: Correct.
MR. KLINE: You're familiar with the term, eyes on the street?

LT. MILLER: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Are you aware that one of the things contemplated with respect to this application is a roof deck terrace?

LT. MILLER: I'm sorry. You broke up. I didn't hear you. I'm sorry.

MR. KLINE: Are you aware that one of the things that's contemplated with respect to this operation is a roof deck terrace?

LT. MILLER: Oh, yes.
MR. KLINE: And that roof deck terrace overlooks the alley, are you aware of that?

LT. MILLER: I'm sorry. You keep coming in and out. Am I aware of what?

MR. KLINE: That a roof deck terrace is contemplated to overlook the alley?

LT. MILLER: Okay. Yes, I'm aware of where it's located or where it will be located, yeah.

MR. KLINE: Okay. The presence of patrons on that roof deck would tend to chill criminal activity in the alley, wouldn't it?

LT. MILLER: I doubt it. I don't think --
(Simultaneous speaking.)

MR. KLINE: You doubt it?
LT. MILLER: Yeah, I don't think a whole bunch of people partying and drinking on top of a rooftop would be too concerned with what goes on in an alley.

MR. KLINE: And you don't think the people in the alley that are perpetrating those crimes of prostitution and drug usage and what have you are concerned about being viewed and identified from people on the rooftop?

LT. MILLER: No.
MR. KLINE: Isn't that the very concept of eyes on the street?

LT. MILLER: Yeah, but that's not exactly applied in the correct manner that you're talking about. You're talking about people partying. Let's be honest.

I mean, people going up to a rooftop deck to have a good time aren't going to care too much. I mean, I've witnessed people who aren't even drinking or partying a lot of times aren't the best witnesses. So I don't think somebody at 2:00 in the morning on a rooftop listening to music drinking would be the exact application of eyes on the street that you're referring to.

MR. KLINE: Isn't the concept of eyes on the street not the behavior of the eyes but those that are potentially being viewed? Isn't their activity chilled by the possibility or likelihood that they're going to be seen engaging in criminal activity?

LT. MILLER: I mean, I could take you to U Street and disprove what you just said every single Friday and Saturday. I see it every week. So no, I don't think so.

MR. KLINE: Okay. All right. So you're saying if there's a sidewalk caf, on the street and people are out there eating and drinking that that doesn't tend to have any negative effect on criminal activity?

LT. MILLER: I mean, if you're familiar with any of the crime in the city, I mean, last summer, we had a shooting right at 14th and -- right at 14th and $R$, right in the middle of eating hours. Patrons were out in the sidewalks, and it didn't deter the shooting one bit.

MR. KLINE: Oh, I'm very well aware of that situation. But that doesn't define trends. But we'll move on. Now you were asked about
potential increasing crime based upon concentration of nightclubs like U Street, 14th Street, Adams Morgan. And you said that crime tended to increase when there was a concentration of such activity, correct?

LT. MILLER: Correct.
MR. KLINE: You don't believe the addition of this one license is going to result in the type of concentration of activity that you're talking about that would increase crime, do you?

LT. MILLER: Not to that -- not to that degree, no. I know what you're getting at, so no. But just it's about the consideration of, you know, the community. But am I comparing that to U Street? No.

MR. KLINE: All right. And you said that one of the things that increases crime is having a reason to go there, a reason to go to the neighborhood, right?

LT. MILLER: Correct.
MR. KLINE: Isn't there already a very big reason to go to this neighborhood in the form of the convention center located directly across the street from this proposed establishment?

LT. MILLER: Yeah, but not at 2:00 and 3:00 in the morning.

MR. KLINE: Okay. But the people that go to conventions, don't they come to the District to then enjoy eating establishments, drinking establishments, nightclubs, taverns, bars, whatever you want to call them?

LT. MILLER: Sure. They go up to U Street.

MR. KLINE: They all go up to U Street?

LT. MILLER: Yeah, go there on a Friday night. That's where everyone is.

MR. KLINE: So everyone from the Convention Center goes to U Street?

LT. MILLER: You get what I'm saying. Not everybody, obviously, literally, but --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
LT. MILLER: There's a few places that are in the neighborhood, Lost \& Found, that are on that street.

MR. KLINE: Okay. But Lost \& Found is not on that street. It's in the alley, isn't it?

LT. MILLER: Well, it's on 9th Street just like the other --

MR. KLINE: Don't you enter from and exit to the alley to Lost \& Found?

LT. MILLER: I think you can go in either side. I'm not sure. I don't know what their regulations are.

MR. KLINE: All right. Now in terms of increases in crime, we talked about public urination and public drunkenness and other things, doesn't a lot of that in many cases depend upon the quality and the character of the operator?

LT. MILLER: You mean impact in the crime of the neighborhood?

MR. KLINE: Yeah. I mean, don't better operators tend to manage that better and don't they have more of a minimal effect on a neighborhood than a not so good operator?

LT. MILLER: I honestly don't think that the operator of the establishment has much control over the crime in the neighborhood to be honest with you. I think it's just the draw of the people that creates the environment for the criminals.

MR. KLINE: Okay. Even though as you testified earlier they're supposed to be
responsible for activity outside the premises?
LT. MILLER: I mean, that's what they're held to, yeah. I mean, I've seen it happen. I've seen it where ABRA licenses are pulled because of stuff that happens inside.

MR. KLINE: Okay. You were asked whether you knew whether this operator had reached out to MPD concerning RDO, reimbursable detail?

LT. MILLER: Mm-hmm.
MR. KLINE: And you said you didn't know. Is there any reason why an establishment not yet open would reach out to MPD concerning RDO unless it's likely they didn't know about it?

LT. MILLER: I mean, they may -- they may be looking into it for, you know, getting it set up for the future and the preparation. I mean, I don't know why anybody would in good faith open an establishment and then wait for something bad to happen before looking into it. I don't even know if it's an option for them. I mean, they would have to go through risk management to find out.

MR. KLINE: Okay. But they don't have any need for RDO if they haven't opened yet,
correct?
LT. MILLER: Correct.
MR. KLINE: And certainly until they get closer to opening and they have a license, when you reach out to RDO, you're arranging for RDO officers, correct?

LT. MILLER: Correct.
MR. KLINE: And there's no reason for them to arrange for RDO officers if they don't yet have a license, is there?

LT. MILLER: I guess not.
MR. KLINE: Okay. And then you testified that MPD is a good source of info for a security plan which I don't think anyone would disagree with. But that was your testimony, correct?

LT. MILLER: Mm-hmm.
MR. KLINE: But if someone has been a successful operator for 25 years and operated their establishment with almost no incidents, they may not need that assistance. Isn't that correct?

LT. MILLER: Yeah. I mean, if they're comfortable handling their establishment.

MR. KLINE: And they have a proven
track record of handling an establishment, and they may not need that assistance, correct?

LT. MILLER: I would agree with that.
MR. KLINE: Okay. So there's no conclusions to be drawn as to whether someone did or did not reach out to MPD concerning their security plan?

MR. KLINE: No. I mean, I was just answering the question as to whether or not they did or not. I have no idea.

MR. KLINE: Understood. I think that is all the questions $I$ have. That's all I have. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you. Any questions by any Board members?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr. Thomas, do you wish to do redirect -- brief -any brief redirect?

MR. THOMAS: I do not.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you. Lieutenant Miller, thank you very much for your testimony today. Thank you.

LT. MILLER: You're welcome.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You have a
great day.
LT. MILLER: All right. Take care, everyone.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. THOMAS: Thank you very much, Lieutenant Miller.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You have another witness, Mr. Thomas?

MR. THOMAS: I do. I'd like to call Amir Shaikh to the stand.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Amir Shaikh, where is -- all right. Mr. Shaikh, can you raise your right hand, please? Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth and nothing but the truth?

MR. SHAIKH: I do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you. Your witness, sir.
MR. THOMAS: Good afternoon. Could you please state and spell your name for the record?

MR. SHAIKH: Amir Shaikh, A-M-I-R S-H-A-I-K-H.

MR. THOMAS: Thank you. So Amir, where do you currently reside?

MR. SHAIKH: 1233 10th Street,

Northwest.
MR. THOMAS: Okay.
MR. SHAIKH: It opens up onto Blagden Alley.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. So the rear of your house is directly adjacent to Blagden Alley?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes, and it's accessible as well.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. When you say it's accessible, what do you mean by that?

MR. SHAIKH: I can enter -- I can walk into the alley going up the back of my house.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And how long have you lived there for?

MR. SHAIKH: We've lived here since 2018, I think. 2017, we bought the house. In 2018, we moved in the house.

MR. THOMAS: Okay.
MR. SHAIKH: And I've lived in the neighborhood since 2003.

MR. THOMAS: All right. And when you say the neighborhood, how far away from Blagden Alley?

MR. SHAIKH: I used to live three blocks away.

MR. THOMAS: And now you live directly on Blagden Alley?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. Who do you live with?

MR. SHAIKH: My wife and my two children.

MR. THOMAS: And how old are your children?

MR. SHAIKH: Three and a half months which is why I'm so tired because she was up till 4:00 a.m. and not letting me sleep and at 1:00 a.m. and 2:00 a.m. So I apologize for being sleepy. And my son is 27 months, so two years old.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. What do you do for a living?

MR. SHAIKH: I am the Advisor to the Senior Vice President and General Counsel of the World Bank.

MR. THOMAS: All right. And you said general counsel. Does that mean you're a lawyer?

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah, I'm a New York barred lawyer.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And as a barred
lawyer, you have ethical obligations to be honest and make honest representations, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: In addition to the oath you just swore?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. I'm going to pivot for a second and ask you, so how long have you lived in Washington, D.C. for?

MR. SHAIKH: On and off for probably ten years. My wife was born and raised here who also lives with me. She was actually born in the District, so our kids are now second generation.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And in that time that you've lived in D.C. on and off, did you ever become familiar with the Eighteenth Street Lounge.

MR. SHAIKH: Absolutely. I used to -I used to be a patron.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And when you say you were a patron, you were a patron at its old location on 18th, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: On 18th Street, yes.
MR. THOMAS: Can you just describe your patronage, what it was like?

MR. SHAIKH: I mean, I was in law school at the time. We used to go there on a regular basis. It kind of for us was more of an afterhours spot. We actually used it as an afterhours spot. After our wedding, we asked our guests who wanted to stay later than the venue to head to Eighteenth Street Lounge. And we reserved some space. So my wife and I have been patrons for a long time at Eighteenth Street Lounge.

MR. THOMAS: And in your experience, it's a spot that's open late, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah, it's a spot you go to listen to music, have some drinks, have fun.

MR. THOMAS: Loud music?
MR. SHAIKH: Yeah.
MR. THOMAS: Lots of dancing?
MR. SHAIKH: Absolutely.
MR. THOMAS: It's noisy?
MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. So sorry. Let me -- I want to go back to the alley for a second. How do you -- you live right next to Blagden Alley. How do you use Blagden Alley on a daily basis?

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah. So we use Blagden Alley all the time, I mean, especially during the pandemic. It's probably gone up a lot and since we've had kids. We play with our kids in the alley. They, like -- my son rides his tricycle back and forth, up and down the alley.

We shop in the businesses. So we go to all the restaurants. We order pick-up from them. We've been supporting them throughout.

We go to Seylou. We actually invited all of the Blagden Alley and Naylor Court architects to bid when we did our renovation just because we wanted to use kind of the local businesses. So we're really active in the alley.

I used to be a Reformation Fitness member which is a gym in the alley. We use Dogtopia for our dog. So we're using all sorts of businesses in the alley on a daily basis.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And are you the only family that uses the alley. Or do you see other families using the alley as well?

MR. SHAIKH: I see other kids and family, and people walk their dogs in the alley and play back there. During -- you know, that's what we do.

MR. THOMAS: How do the neighbors know each other back there? Does everyone keep to themselves, or do they speak and interact?

MR. SHAIKH: You know, it's
interesting. So on the side of the alley where we're at especially, most of those are homeowners. We're not -- there's not that many renters that $I ' m$ aware of. There's a lot of, like, primary owners who own the house and live in the house or they're condos.

So a lot of us recognize each other and know each other, say hi. We stop on the street. You know, pre-pandemic, there used to be, you know, sidewalk parties or things like that that would happen so people could get to know each other. That's how I met a lot of my neighbors. And trick or treat, all of it, like Halloween, we all get to know each other.

MR. THOMAS: So your neighbors
directly next to you on the alley all as homeowners have a vested interest in that space?

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah, absolutely.
MR. THOMAS: It's part of your community?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.

MR. THOMAS: Now in terms of -- what is it like living directly adjacent to an alley that's sort of a mixed use alley? Because I mean, I would be fair to say Blagden Alley is not like other alleys, right?

MR. SHAIKH: No, that's fair. That's why we bought our house here actually. We specifically moved to this neighborhood because of the alley. We like -- you know, I'm not somebody who's, like, a NIMBY or who's like, not in my backyard, stay out.

No, I moved here because there are restaurants, because there are establishments, there are businesses. We could walk to everything. We could be there. We love the art that's in the alley. We love the double historic nature.

It's actually one of the things which attracted us to the alley is that it had a certain feel. It had a history to it. It had a way of being. And I mean, you know, one thing that we've got in our space is we've got a little of a private enclave which is nice and quiet.

And then when you go out into the alley, you can walk a little bit and it becomes a
little bit more lively. But that liveliness ends at some point. So around 10:00 p.m. generally the alley is quiet again.

So for us, it's a great mix of being able to be, you know, in a place with activity. You know, you don't have an alley that's only used for trash cans and dumpsters and things like that. But you have something that's, you know, livable and walkable. We thought it was just super. It's really unique in the city.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And when you talk about the unique nature of the alley and its businesses there, what is the business community like there?

MR. SHAIKH: I mean, it's interesting.
So, like, Seylou hires people in the local community. You actually see them out there sweeping in front. You know, it's a mix of restaurants and professional services. And, you know, there's some bars as well. So it's a mix.

MR. THOMAS: During the day, what's a day in Blagden Alley look like?

MR. SHAIKH: Well, lately, it's been a lot of delivery trucks and traffic which has been quite frustrating. But, like, during the
day, I mean, I see, you know, my neighbors across the street playing in the alley. My kids are out there every day, either with us or with the nanny.

You've got, you know, businesses kind of operating. There's an office -- there's a couple of offices. And you always see people in and out of the alley. The coffee shop, La Colombe, attracts quite a few patrons that kind of walk through the alley. It's a chill place. Anybody who's been there loves it.

MR. THOMAS: So what's a night like then?

MR. SHAIKH: Usually the nights are actually pretty quiet until Never Looked Better came in. To be blunt, $I$ think you guys have all heard about that. It was -- like, Calico came into the neighborhood. They have an outdoor area. You go there. You can have a drink after work or something like that.

And it kind of chills out after 9:00, 10:00 o'clock. I think they close stuff down or it goes inside and goes quiet. And then the alley is pretty -- I mean, it's quiet. I mean, you know, there's not a lot.

Late at night, as you heard from Curtis Miller or Lieutenant Miller, you know, we have -- you know, we do find things out back in the alley. We find, you know, needles. We do find used condoms. We find stuff.

You know, we have artwork on a lot of our garages. We actively chose not to put artwork on our garage because we didn't want people lingering outside of our alley because you can hear it. Because noise carries like crazy in the alley. So we discussed it as neighbors and, you know, that's one of the decisions we made.

MR. THOMAS: In terms of things going quiet, around what time do the businesses and specifically for purposes of this hearing, the ABC license businesses start to shut down their operations?

MR. SHAIKH: So I think it varies a little bit. I think the latest is, like, 12:00. I mean, on the weekends, it might be a little bit later. But, you know, when I go out there at night, it's -- I mean, it's quiet.

There's just not a lot of -- you know, it's, like, The Dabney is there. But it's a restaurant, right? So restaurant finishes. I
mean, $I$ feel like the kind of nature and character of the alley is almost like a place you go for kind of, like -- if you want to go party, this is not the place you come, right?

Like, if you want to go out, you want to have a late night, the alley isn't that. You may start your night there or start your evening there, but you're going to move on to $U$ Street or something else. It's very close to U Street, so it makes it there.

But it's not an end of night destination. It's kind of a beginning of night, you know, maybe launching pad. So you go have dinner, you know, go get a drink somewhere, and then move on.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. So you wouldn't describe the crowd as a nightlife -- or excuse me, a nightclub type of crowd?

MR. SHAIKH: No. I mean, prior to Never Looked Better, no. It tended to be a little bit older, a little more sophisticated. Never Looked Better has attracted much younger patrons that are less respectful of their environment for lack of a -- trying to say it diplomatically. Sorry. That's my World Bank
side, sparing you guys the details.
MR. THOMAS: Well, why don't you tell me about the issues that the community has -well, first of all, just to confirm, Never Looked Better is in the same exact building that Eighteenth Street Lounge is proposing to open up in. Is that correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah, that's correct. And that's -- I mean, going back to that, that's something I noticed when Detective Miller was testifying was, you know, I think he was asked whether it was vacant, that building or not. It's not vacant.

There's Never Looked Better in it. And so Never Looked Better has had lots of line issues going into the alley, blocking traffic. There's a ton of trash, a lot of broken glass bottles, and things like that have really been increasing. We have kids playing in the alley. So I'm, like, hypersensitive to having broken glass in that space. You know, I'm very conscientious of that.

There's Uber drivers, Lyft drivers getting lost and stuck in the alley. That's probably the most annoying thing I think all the
residents in the alley hate. You know, or the Uber and Lyft drivers that get stuck, block our traffic. I've had to sit there both in the day and the night with that. You know, trash cans, there's been the streeteries that have popped up that have just blocked free flow and, like, really changed the kind of nature of the area. MR. THOMAS: Okay. And in terms of the sound, you mentioned earlier that the sound carries. Does the sound carry worse at night when a lot of the other businesses, for example, like, The Dabney or the other sort of have kind of closed up?

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah, it does. I mean, we -- like, a lot of -- you know, people have roof decks in the alley, right? Most people in the alley aren't using their roof decks 24/7, right? They may use it once a month or whatever it is or once, whatever.

You hear everything. Like, I can literally participate in their conversations. You know what there another place on N Street. I can easily participate in their conversations.

The sound just -- I think because it's an alley, because it's in the back, there's not a
lot of really tall buildings or anything knocking it down. The roof -- the sound just carries. I mean, I'm not a sound engineer or anything like that.

But you can hear -- when people are out back in the alley, I'm, like, I can hear conversations from Lost \& Found when people leave out the back of Lost \& Found which is on the other side of the alley. So we have a straight view to it. And the sound just carries through.

MR. THOMAS: I was going to say, does the sound carry worse at night when there's not a lot of sort of background -- when there's not a lot of background noise?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes, absolutely.
MR. THOMAS: And you mentioned this uptick in traffic. When you were talking about uptick in traffic, $I$ kind of want to talk about two separate types of traffic, right? So first, trucks, I want to show you an exhibit if you don't mind.

MR. SHAIKH: Sure.
MR. SHAIKH: I believe I have the share screen ability already. So bear with me one second as I pull it up. Show you -- there we
go. Do you see that?
MR. SHAIKH: Yeah.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. I'm showing the witness what has been marked as Exhibit 10 in the BANCA Protestant PIF.
(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as Protestant

Exhibit No. 10 for identification.)
MR. THOMAS: Do you see those pictures there?

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah.
MR. THOMAS: Is that sort of an accurate representation of how the delivery trucks operate in the alley presently?

MR. SHAIKH: All too often -- and my son loves it, though, because he gets to watch the delivery trucks and trash trucks. He gets very excited. But we often get stuck in the car trying to get out of the alley because, I don't know, if you look in the Exhibit 10, the middle picture, you see the garbage truck where it's parked.

Basically, that happens way too often. We get delivery trucks parked around there. And that blocks all -- well, four ingress and egress
points to the alley. It's really dangerous.
But we oftentimes have trucks just stop there. It's a crap shoot. We play a game when we come home of which alley entrance we can use to get to our house where we won't find a delivery truck blocking it.

MR. THOMAS: And these delivery trucks are servicing the businesses of the alley, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Now that middle picture that you were just referencing that has -- let me see if I can use my -- right here, right by the little murals on the garage doors. Do you see that?

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah.
MR. THOMAS: Am I correct? Is that the sort of row that you live in there?

MR. SHAIKH: We live a little bit further back from that. There's a resident who lives up there above the love sign, but --

MR. THOMAS: Right there. Right literally in those windows right above there?

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. In terms of sound,
are these trucks loud?
MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: How frequently do they come?

MR. SHAIKH: I mean, every day. I mean, I don't know. There always -- there always seems to be something. I mean, there's a trash truck every morning that goes through. You see alcohol delivery, restaurant delivery, food delivery. There's all sorts of stuff happening.

MR. THOMAS: And --
MR. SHAIKH: And I'm not out there 24/7, right? So I hear it, though, when I'm sitting, you know, in my kitchen. You hear the trucks go by.

MR. THOMAS: Right. And so -- and then in terms of your time, I think you said four years living there, there have been more businesses that have opened up there since you have lived there, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah. I mean, businesses have come and gone through the alley.

MR. THOMAS: Would you say there are more businesses there now than when you first moved in?

MR. SHAIKH: Probably. Like, Calico opened since we were there. There's some other stuff on 9th Street which has come and gone. So I think Seylou -- Seylou opened after we were there.

MR. THOMAS: Has the truck traffic increased?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes. I think it's also just gotten worse during the pandemic. Sometimes -- something -- I don't know what switched. But suddenly, we had a lot of delivery trucks whereas before there weren't nearly as many. It didn't seem to be nearly as big of a problem. Now it's a nuisance.

MR. THOMAS: And then in terms of not commercial traffic but sort of Uber, Lyft, ridesharing, personal car traffic, has that increased at all?

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah. I mean, it's increased. It's probably been there for a while. But you can tell who the Uber and Lyft drivers are because they turn down to our side of the alley which does not have an exit.

And so they run into the dead end. And then they also tend to have Virginia and

Maryland -- they're not D.C. license plates. And quite frankly, we know the cars that, like -- the people -- like, you see some of the cars parked there.

You know, I can tell those are, like, usually people going to La Colombe. That truck that's there, if I'm not mistaken, that's either one of the residents who lives right there at the end of the alley. Sometimes they park their truck.

MR. THOMAS: This truck?
MR. SHAIKH: The pickup truck if you go down. There's, like, a nose of a pickup truck. I'm pretty sure that's their truck. We know the cars. We know the people in the alley, right? So you know who lives in the alley and you know who is passing through the alley. It's pretty easy to identify.

MR. THOMAS: Are the rideshare cars loud? Do they create noise issues?

MR. SHAIKH: Not really noise issues.
I mean, when people get in and out, it's more you have kind of -- in the evenings, you have drunk people looking for their rideshares. And so they're kind of walking up and down the alley
because it's a -- you put in Blagden Alley and it's an H-shaped for those of you who aren't familiar with it. So there's multiple ingress and egress points. So you have people walking up and down and around looking for where their rideshare is going to be.

MR. THOMAS: Right. So do you have people lingering in the alley looking for a rideshare --

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah, all the time.
MR. THOMAS: -- or waiting for a rideshare?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: And do they create sound issues?

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah, they're talking to each other while they're waiting or looking. And you hear them. I mean, noise carries, especially at night.

MR. THOMAS: Right. And is that -and these are people that are patrons of the businesses that abut the alley, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: That's correct.
MR. THOMAS: I want to talk about
trash for a second too. So I'm going to scroll
down here. And you see these photographs here. Is this an accurate depiction of what the trash situation in Blagden Alley currently looks like? MR. SHAIKH: Sadly, yes. It was not like this when I moved in.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. So it's only gotten worse recently?

MR. SHAIKH: Oh, absolutely.
MR. THOMAS: And where is this trash coming from if you know?

MR. SHAIKH: I mean, it's definitely not any of the residential buildings because you can kind of tell the Tenleytown Trash, they're all private trash vendors. They're not the public city ones. Most of it is food and alcohol bottles. So it's probably coming from the businesses along -- in and around the alley. MR. THOMAS: Okay. And do you have any knowledge as to why this trash has sort of gotten worse?

MR. SHAIKH: I think a lot of it's probably due to the streeteries and the pandemic. So, like, you can no longer access the alley, like, kind of places where they were. There's also been a turnover in businesses.

So, like, it's funny. The pictures that you're showing don't show some of our -- I would call them better neighbors. So like, Calico doesn't have its trash out. Dabney doesn't put its trash out into the alley. So there's -- you know, we have kind of people who take price in the alley and own the alley with the community and those who don't.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And has there been any plans to deal with any of these trash issues?

MR. SHAIKH: We have complained to the city many times because I don't understand how you can store, like, in a historic alley that was -- is supposed to be, like, a residential and pedestrian traffic, they just literally block the streets with trash cans. I don't -- I don't know what else to do. We've tried DDOT. We've tried 311.
We've tried -- and I'm a citizen. I'm
not full time sitting here trying to do everything. You can only complain so many times and you just get frustrated.

MR. THOMAS: Right. Has there been any proposal for the businesses to deal with the trash in Blagden Alley?

MR. SHAIKH: I mean, I've heard for the first time -- what, two weeks ago, I heard Mr. Nouri say that they were -- or I don't know if that was Mr. Nouri or Ms. Jordan say that they were going to do a trash compactor with the businesses. Nobody has talked to me about that before. That was news to me.

Never heard it when we asked about trash plans along the way. At the ANC meetings when we were here, we kept asking about trash and noise and all the typical things anybody would be concerned with. First time $I$ hear it is at a protest hearing that they have a new plan.

MR. THOMAS: Right. And do you recall where they said that trash compactor is going to be located?

MR. SHAIKH: No, they didn't say it. And they said -- I think they said Patrick Welch's lots. And Patrick lives across the street. Like, we know Patrick. I know he owns a bunch of the lots in the alley. You know, a lot of those, some of the empty lots that it would get placed on that he owns are on the -- like, on our side of the alley next to the residences.
(Simultaneous speaking.)

MR. SHAIKH: So I don't know where they're planning on putting it. There's no specificity. As a community member, I have no way of knowing.

MR. THOMAS: Do you have concerns as a community member as to whether a trash compactor will cause noise issues for your house?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes. I'm sure everything buys a multi-million dollar house to live next to a trash compactor. No, of course. Yeah, I'm being sarcastic. But yes, of course I have issues and concerns.

MR. THOMAS: And do you have issues and concerns about pests and rodents that could come from a trash compactor next to your home?

MR. SHAIKH: Oh, my son, again, loves watching the rats that come in and out of the alley because of all of the trash from the restaurants.

MR. THOMAS: Right.
MR. SHAIKH: He gets very excited by them. We do not. However, we try to keep them away. I mean, it costs us money. We have pest control. We call 311, have the DC Rat Squad come out. We have private pest control as well,
because trash is a huge problem in the alley.
MR. THOMAS: Right. Let me -- you also mentioned sort of some of the more unsavory aspects of living in an alley that $I$ think Officer Miller also talked about in terms of drugs and prostitution and things like that. I'm going to -- I'm showing you a picture right now. Are these sort of accurate pictures of the alley and what you would see in the alley?

MR. SHAIKH: I mean, they're objection taken --

MR. KLINE: Objection. This establishment is not open. What does this have to do with my client's application or his proposed establishment?

MR. THOMAS: If I may respond, it goes to the current issues that are in the alley and whether adding 270 drunk patrons at 3:00 a.m., whether they will be exacerbating these particular issues. It was testified to about by Lieutenant Miller. And I think the character of the alley and the issues that exist are extremely relevant.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to overrule the objection. Answer the question if
you can.
MR. SHAIKH: Yeah. I mean, we've had an increase in trash and things like that over the past 12 months. I don't exactly know, 15 months, something like that. It's been a huge increase.

And I think just maybe I'm going to just take a little liberty and just say, look, as a community member, one of our challenges is we've been seeing this increase in crime. We've seen an increase in trash. We've seen an increase in noise. We've seen an increase in traffic. It's been harder to park.

And we want -- before we add more load into the alley and things like that, we want to make sure that there's a plan. We expect businesses and residents to come with something so we can fix the existing issue. We don't want to add on to the existing issues. We want to -you know, we want a place that we can live and work and play.

MR. THOMAS: And these are -- I'm showing you another picture that is people urinating, it appears, at night in the alley. Is that consistent with things that you've seen in
the alley?
MR. SHAIKH: Smelled more than seen. But yeah, a little of both.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. I'm going to move to let Exhibit 10 into the record, to admit Exhibit 10.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Kline? Any objection, Mr. Kline? I can't hear you --

MR. KLINE: My question is to --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- Mr. Kline.
MR. KLINE: -- what Exhibit 10
encompasses. There are a number of pictures that are not broken up. Let me just make sure that we've identified all of them for 44 pages.

I object. I don't object to those that have been identified. I don't object to the photos in terms of what he says they characterize. But there are other photos here, another language here in which $I$ do object to. And I think we're at a disadvantage in that these exhibits were not properly separated as distinct exhibits.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So what is Exhibit $10 ?$

MR. THOMAS: Exhibit 10, and I've been
scrolling through it, it starts here.
MR. KLINE: Are you able to give me a page number on one of these?

MR. THOMAS: Yeah, absolutely, absolutely. Exhibit 10 starts in the PDF. It would be page 363.

MR. KLINE: 363? Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So let -- so how many pages is Exhibit 10?

MR. THOMAS: It goes from page 363 to 368.

MR. KLINE: Only the first page and a half has been identified and discussed, and we don't have any objection to that. We would object to the rest of it. It looks like testimony.

MR. THOMAS: First of all, the first three pages have been gone through. The fourth page deals with sound which I have been discussing with the witness for an extensive period of time. And the fifth page deals with sound. I'm happy to go through -- if I need to go through them, I can go through them. But that type of testimony and testimony about the sound has been extensively offered by this witness
already.
MR. KLINE: Yeah, I know. But he's not an expert. And we have opinions as to projected sound and reflected sound and things to which he's not competent to testify.

MR. THOMAS: To his experience in the alley. And I will stipulate to the fact that the witness is not an expert. In fact, I think the witness testified himself that he is not an expert. So I don't think there's any confusion on that point.

MR. KLINE: And then we have links. And then we have -- oh, and I guess then we go to 11. The last page, though, I'd certainly object to.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's the last page? Let's go through the --

MR. KLINE: Yeah, it's a little hard to tell what page is which. As I understand it, the first page is made up of four photographs, correct?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. THOMAS: Correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. KLINE: I don't have any objection
to that. I don't have any objection to the second page. I think he authenticated that. He didn't really authenticate the third one. It was, like, yeah, this is kind of like stuff that I've seen. But $I$ don't even know that it's the alley. He doesn't know where this picture was taken.

MR. SHAIKH: I'm happy to authenticate it. I can actually identify the alley. I was actually with the person who took the pictures when they took some of these pictures.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Who is speaking? Who said that they can -- who said they were --

MR. SHAIKH: Sorry. That was me, the witness. I mean, I know where all these places are.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, why don't you have him go through all the pages, Mr. Thomas, because I still don't -- I don't have the document in front of me to see how many pages this document is. So let's go through all the pages and then we'll decide. So let's start at page 1.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Page 1 which we've talked about already, Mr. Shaikh.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. THOMAS: But do you recognize these photos?

MR. SHAIKH: I do.
MR. THOMAS: Are they true and accurate depictions of Blagden Alley?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: As you've experienced them as a resident of the alley?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Do you see this page that is on the screen?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Do you recognize these photographs?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Are they true and accurate depictions of Blagden Alley as you've experienced them as a resident?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Objection as to what he's experienced. We're talking about these photos. Can he identified these photos --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. KLINE: -- not what he's
experienced.
MR. THOMAS: He just -- he literally just said, I recognize these photos. These are the photos of the alley.

MR. KLINE: That's not what you asked him.

MR. THOMAS: That is exactly what I asked him.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, gentlemen. All right.

MR. KLINE: Mr. Chair, what was asked is, is this the type of thing that you've experienced or words to that effect. I didn't hear, yes, I was there. This particular photo is an accurate depiction of what $I$ observed at this location at this time which is what we need to authenticate a photograph.

MR. THOMAS: That's exactly what was testified, but I'll say it again. Is this an accurate depiction of what you have observed in the alley?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes. I mean, if you want, I can go through and tell you which
buildings and probably where people were standing. I know this alley backward and forward. I just think it's an efficient use of time.

MR. THOMAS: Right, and --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on. Hold on. Mr. --

MR. SHAIKH: Shaikh.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- Shaikh, you're the witness, sir. You only speak when a question is shared to you, sir, please. All right. This is page 2. Where's page 3? Can the witness identify page 3 ? What is page 3?

MR. SHAIKH: So page 3 looks like it's pictures of trash. The one on the left is taken by the parking lot. I can recognize the asphalt and the boundary stone that's there and some of the other identifying markers.

Middle picture is trash that's outside of the building. The white brick building that's across from where Eighteenth Street Lounge is. The top left one is taken on that same wall.

The one on the bottom right is in front of what I think might be Patrick's office.

But it's right there at the corner. That's the yellow traffic barrier that's in the middle of the alley. I can go through each one. I mean, I - -

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. That's page 3. Page 4.

MR. THOMAS: Can you identify these photos?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes. Page 4, it's in front of the Jon Thompson pictures, somebody urinating on the light post. That's right across from where me and a lot of the neighbors live. The picture to the right is -- I believe that's the streetery in front of Tiger Fork. And you're look down. You can see all the residences in the background of that picture.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Page 5.
MR. THOMAS: Page 5, you see the picture on the right?

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah, page 5, it's similar to the picture on number 1 . It's a picture of the alley facing right. So on the map -- sorry, trying to get my -- east from the west side of the alley, looking down kind of the main
corridor of the alley. There in the distance, you see Tiger Fork, La Colombe. It's Blagden Alley. On the right side is the love mural. On the left side is the old Sabol (phonetic) building.

MR. THOMAS: And as far as the text and the picture on the left-hand side, is that consistent with your experience of how noise and sound in the alley works?

MR. SHAIKH: As a layman, yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Page 6.
MR. THOMAS: Page 6, we can skip. Oh, wait. Page 6? Yes, page 6. I can play both these videos for you if you'd like.

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah, I would need to see the videos. I don't know what the links mean.

MR. THOMAS: Right.
MR. SHAIKH: I've probably seen them before, these videos.

MR. THOMAS: I remember we had a sound issue the last time.

MR. SHAIKH: I mean, I can't hear any of the sound, but I recognize where that video was taken. Again, facing eastward into the alley in the middle of the alley, that's Tiger Fork --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. THOMAS: Have you seen that video before?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes, I have. And you can hear people talking. There's an Uber driver about to pull up who is backing down one of the dead ends of the alley, got lost, and is coming back down. You can see them coming right now. And so it's probably people -- I can't tell exactly what they're doing. But I think this is, like, some of the Never Looked Better who accessed the alley from the back.

MR. THOMAS: I'll agree to -- in the interest of time -- excise the final page and use the other ones.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So Exhibit 10 is page 1, 2, 3, 4, 5?

MR. THOMAS: Correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: It's admitted into evidence.
(Whereupon, the above-referenced to document was received into evidence as Protestant Exhibit No. 10.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. THOMAS: Thank you.

MR. KLINE: I don't have any objection to the photos. I do object to the editorial content and ask that it be stricken.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. They're in evidence just for the photos because that's what the witness described what's in the photos. All right.

MR. THOMAS: I'm going to stop sharing my screen now. Okay. I want to quickly talk about crime. You just saw photos of public urination. Is that something -- a common type of crime you've noticed in the alley recently?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes. There's actually been a huge rash of break-ins that we've been having in the alley. Me and my immediate neighbors, we all had our cars broken into on the same night.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Are you aware of any other -- oh, you said you and your neighbors?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes, I mean, my immediate neighbor. So I have 1235. They're also part of the Group of 5 Protestants or Group of 6 Protestants. I can't remember if there were five or six now.

MR. THOMAS: Are you aware of other
neighbors besides your immediate neighbors that have --

MR. SHAIKH: Yes, I mean, their building -- like, kind of neighborhood listservs and otherwise the community talking to each other. Just talking to people, there's been a huge spike in break-ins. We're having a real problem in the alley with crime.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Now I want to just ask you generally. You have testified you used to patronize Eighteenth Street Lounge. I want to know what is your opinion of Eighteenth Street Lounge coming to the Blagden Alley neighborhood?

MR. SHAIKH: So when I first heard about Eighteenth Street Lounge coming in, I was actually excited. I thought it'd be a great addition kind of generally speaking. It's actually been this process of trying to work with them or not being able to work with them that has been more concerning than anything.

You know, we moved in -- like I said earlier, we moved into this neighborhood. We knew there were bars. We knew there were restaurants. We're not afraid of them. But there was a new concept, so we listened to what
it was.
We asked to kind of give input. We gave input at ANC meetings. We offered ourselves to discuss our concerns over noise and trash. And every time we tried, we just kept running into walls or misled or broken telephone where we had our ANC not communicating things clearly who's our representative.

It's been a very frustrating process. It's just, like, somebody who's got two young kids, a full-time job. The number of -- you only can give so much to this, right? Like, it's been really frustrating.

And I think what I'm frustrating with is I have a sense that -- not just a sense. I hear one thing one day and the next thing something changes from the Applicant. So I can never get a story of what they're coming in to do.

> And I think that has led to a situation where $I$ don't have a lot of confidence they're going to be good neighbors. So taking that away, adding it on to the compounded problems we've had with Never Looked Better who are not good neighbors, we just have a -- I care
about my community. I don't want it to be destroyed.

I don't want it to be ripped apart. And I want neighbors who are good. So I want businesses to come in. I don't want that place sitting empty. But $I$ want it to come in and fit the character of the alley. Like, it's a historic neighborhood. I want it to be similar.

MR. THOMAS: What are the concerns that you tried to express to -- sorry, I almost said Never Looked Better -- to Eighteenth Street Lounge?

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah, so, you know, I tried to follow process. I'm a good World Bank bureaucrat and I like my process. So, you know, the ANC said they were representing us.

So I sent an email to all the ANC Commissioners listing out my concerns which I assumed would be incorporated in to the conversations with Eighteenth Street Lounge. You know, $I$ also spoke at ANC meetings multiple times. I brought up specifically trash, noise, parking, the sidewalk on 9th Street.

It's super narrow when I walk by with my -- you can barely get by with a stroller.

It's almost impossible during the day to get by with a stroller. It's that narrow without having to go into the street. You know, I brought up just, I mean, you know, concerns about the hours, concerns about a summer garden and the noise carrying out of it. I resolve these issues in public forum with my name out there into the public and got attacked for it which was great. MR. THOMAS: So I want to get to that in a second. But did you ever reach out or offer to speak directly with Mr. Nouri about what was going on?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: And did he ever respond to your invitation to have a conversation as a concerned neighbor that lived on the alley? MR. SHAIKH: No. MR. THOMAS: How many times did you ask Mr. Nouri to have a conversation?

MR. SHAIKH: So I'm trying to be careful of the settlement line or not settlement line. I know at ANC meetings which were public hearings, not part of any other negotiations, I offered my name and I sent in my email address and I stated on the record I'd be happy to speak
to them anytime.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. And in terms of -what response did you get?

MR. SHAIKH: I never heard anything from Jordan or Farid. I did get tweeted at after the first time by the Eighteenth Street Lounge resident DJ who called me a racist for opposing Black and Brown businesses the week that I was organizing a conference or racial justice and international development. So I appreciate that one. That made me chuckle. But it made my wife awfully concerned because it felt like we were getting attacked.

MR. THOMAS: Right. So you tried to reach out to Eighteenth Street Lounge to discuss issues of noise, traffic, parking, crime. And the result was you were attacked by an affiliate of Eighteenth Street Lounge. Is that correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah, by a resident DJ, whoever he was. I mean, he spoke on their behalf at the ANC meeting. He was -- I mean, he then personally started attacking which, I mean, I try to rise above.

But it's -- you know, it just -that's part of the reason for doing it. And we
brought that concern up to the owners because, you know, we were, like, look, how can we have a productive dialogue as a community when we're being attacked? And I know they've said the same thing. But I don't think it's quite, you know -it's just -- this is just not -- it's not productive at all, bluntly.

MR. THOMAS: Do you think that if you'd had a conversation with Mr. Nouri, you would've been able to raise some of this issues? MR. SHAIKH: Sure. But I mean, I did raise the issues multiple -- on different venues that we can't go into. The issues, I mean, I brought up have been consistent. I care about security. I care about noise. I care about trash, right?

I care about the character of the neighborhood. I care about the safety. I want my kids to be able to play in the alley. I want to be able to walk down 9th Street and not have to be accosted by a line going around drunk people.

I want to make sure that the alley is quiet. I want hours. I do not think a summer garden makes sense in the alley. I've been
really concrete and specific as possible. I always try to be concrete and specific.

MR. THOMAS: That's all I have right now. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Kline?
MR. KLINE: Yes, good afternoon. So I want to go through that litany of issues you just raised. You said -- you were concerned about security, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes, yes.
MR. KLINE: Concerned about noise?
MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Concerned about safety in the alley?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Quiet in the alley?
MR. SHAIKH: I don't think I said quiet. But if I -- noise and quiet are kind of two sides of the same coin.

MR. KLINE: Okay. Anything else? Did I miss anything of your litany?
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. KLINE: Trash?
MR. SHAIKH: Yeah. I mean, I can pull up my notes and tell you everything I said
because --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. KLINE: Oh, no. I want to know what you just said. So I was trying to take notes on it --

MR. SHAIKH: Trash, noise, safety, security, safe passage, summer garden hours, noise off the roof deck, the historic character of the alley.

MR. KLINE: Okay. All right. So let's take the last one, historic nature. They're not doing anything in there that violates the historic nature of the alley, are they? Compliance as far as you know with all HP requirements and other historic requirements, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: No, that's not correct. My experience was they started constructing in the alley before they had permits or before they had gone to HPB renewal. That's why there was a stop work order on the building. So I don't know that for sure --

MR. KLINE: You don't know that?
MR. SHAIKH: -- by any stretch of the imagination.

MR. KLINE: But your contention is they're somehow not in compliance with historic preservation requirements?

MR. SHAIKH: I'm not saying with historic preservation requirements. I said with the historic character of the alley. So if you actually look back at the historic character of the alley and why this area was designated as a protected area, why are there two blocks only in the entire city designated as alley living, right?

They were protected because of alley living. They were not protected because they were service alleys that have dump trucks going through it. They were protected on the National Register of Historic Places as alley living. (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. KLINE: All right. I'm going to cut you off because I think you're well beyond the question that I've asked you and I want to get to your other concerns. In terms of security, you're aware, are you not, as a result of issues raised concerning security that the Applicant prepared, produced, and provided a security plan, correct? It's a yes or no
question.
MR. SHAIKH: No.
MR. KLINE: You're not aware of that?
MR. SHAIKH: No. When I -- at the ANC meeting --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. KLINE: It's a yes or no question. You're not aware of that?

MR. THOMAS: Can you let the witness answer the question?

MR. SHAIKH: I'm getting cut off. I thought this was an administrative hearing.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry. All right. You're the witness, sir. You need to answer a question. If there's an objection, your attorney raises the objection. Your job, sir, is to answer the question when there's an objection raised.

As an attorney, you know when to be quiet. And so your job in this forum, sir, is not to question the questioner. It's just to speak when you are allowed to speak.

All right. So let's start. Mr. Kline had a question, I think. I believe Mr. Kline is asking you, yes or no. You choose not to answer
the question, yes or no.
But if you need explanations, your
attorney on redirect, and I'll give him an opportunity to give explanations, sir. So if the questions are phrased by counsel as a yes or no, sir, please answer yes or no. If you need further explanation, I will give your attorney an opportunity on redirect so you can provide whatever explanation. Okay?

MR. SHAIKH: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr. Kline, what's the question?

MR. KLINE: So the question is, were you aware that the Applicant prepared and provided a security plan?

MR. SHAIKH: At any time or what time? I mean, were you aware is a very -- I'm trying to understand the question. I don't understand the question.

MR. KLINE: Are you aware that there's a security plan in existence that was provided?

MR. THOMAS: Provided to who? Objection.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Thomas, let the witness answer the question, yes or no. If
he's not aware, he can say he's not aware.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Gentlemen, this is a simple question. Are you aware, yes or no? Please, sir.

MR. SHAIKH: Am I aware that there's -- there is something that I saw on a PIF that was called a security agreement.

MR. KLINE: Okay. So you have seen that?

MR. SHAIKH: I have seen it, yes.
MR. KLINE: Okay. And you're aware that it was prepared by the Applicant, or you don't have any knowledge?

MR. SHAIKH: I don't have any knowledge.

MR. KLINE: Okay. All right. With respect to hours, you said that you had concerns about the hours of the summer garden area, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Doesn't your concern relate to noise?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Okay. And you've also
said that you had concerns about noise in the summer garden area, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Were you here for the testimony of the sound engineer?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Martin Beam, yes?
MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: And do you have any reason to doubt the conclusions that he reached with respect to the sound mitigation procedures which were employed at the premises?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I think Mr. Kline is having some --

MR. THOMAS: Technical difficulties.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes.
MR. KLINE: I'm sorry. Can you hear me?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We can now, sir, yes.

MR. KLINE: I'm sorry.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: He answered the question yes. He said he had some concerns with the testimony of Mr. -- of the sound engineer.

What's the next question?
MR. KLINE: Okay. So how is it that you doubt the conclusions that were reached by Mr. Martin Beam?

MR. SHAIKH: What I'm worried about is noise from the alley. There is no roof covering that was shown there. So I don't know how noise is going to get knocked down, at least from what understood as he was talking.

There was also no -- you know, as I was listening to it, there is just -- I mean, I actually questioned whether he's actually been to the alley. I don't think he said he ever had. I think it was a theoretical exercise.

So, you know, the way the sound carries back here, if you can hear two people speaking on the ground in our residences and in our bedrooms, I don't understand how anybody can conclude that music that was amplified combined with people standing -- a bunch of people standing on a roof would be quieter. Just it doesn't pass the smell test to me. Yeah, I also -- I also question whether those plans by a sound engineer are actually going to get carried out because I haven't had the ability to see a
consistent message coming from the Applicant. It constantly changes.

The first time I saw those detailed plans was here. They made a presentation at the ANC meeting and it changed in the interim. So I'm constantly getting a changing story from the Applicant, and I don't know how to respond to that. So I don't have confidence in what he said.

MR. KLINE: All right. In terms of trash, you've heard Mr. Nouri's pledge to explore the use of a trash compactor. And you testified about it, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Did you also hear the testimony from the ANC Commissioner Mike Silverstein, Dupont area, and Patrick Powell about Mr. Nouri's leadership and efforts to bring a trash compactor to that area?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: And do you doubt their testimony?

MR. SHAIKH: No.
MR. KLINE: With respect to safety of the alley, wasn't Mr. Nouri's concession not to
use the alley as an entrance to or an exit from the establishment major for you in terms of disruption of the alley?

MR. SHAIKH: There's no settlement agreement or anything in writing that actually puts that down. That's my concern is I don't have that in writing anywhere. It's an ephemeral I'm not going to use this today. It doesn't mean I can't use it tomorrow. So that doesn't -- that doesn't satisfy anything. Plus as I said earlier, I'm worried about walking down 9th Street.

MR. KLINE: Okay. So the question was, was that important to you? You managed to duck it. That's still the question.

MR. THOMAS: Objection.
MR. KLINE: Does it matter to you whether he's agreed to use 9th Street as his principle entrance to and exit from the establishment? Is that your testimony?

MR. THOMAS: I object and move to strike. The first two-thirds of that question is just argumentative and counsel testifying.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to overrule. I don't hear that. I heard -- I'm
going to overrule the objection.
MR. THOMAS: I mean, come on. Are you kidding me?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. THOMAS: I mean, like, can we at least maintain some level of decorum here?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't believe there's an issue. And I think this is -although I enjoy the fact when we have these hearings that we have attorneys, but I think this is one of the downfalls of having three attorneys, the witness and the two attorneys. And that makes things a little bit more difficult. But let's start over. I'm going to overrule the objection. Answer the question if you can answer the question, sir.

MR. SHAIKH: Can you repeat the question, please?

MR. KLINE: Yes. The question is: doesn't the use of 9th Street as the entrance to and the exit from the establishment address many of your concerns with respect to what goes on the in the alley?

MR. SHAIKH: No.
MR. KLINE: No? So you don't care
whether the Applicant commits and agrees to only use 9th Street as an exit and an entrance to the establishment?

MR. SHAIKH: I do care about that, but that was not what you asked me.

MR. KLINE: Okay. So it matters to you if that happens?

MR. SHAIKH: If what happens?
MR. KLINE: If he's required to do that, if he agrees only use 9th Street?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes, that would be helpful for the alley.

MR. KLINE: It is helpful. It addresses many of the concerns you raised, doesn't it?

MR. SHAIKH: No.
MR. KLINE: It doesn't address safety in the alley in terms of people not coming into the establishment and out of the establishment from the alley?

MR. SHAIKH: Like, I'm trying to -I'm sorry. I'm literally struggling to answer your question because you're asking if it addressed many of the concerns. I have several concerns. So I'm trying to understand what you
think -- I don't get the question. I'm not understanding --

MR. KLINE: Doesn't people not coming in from the alley and coming out to the alley address issues with respect to noise? I'm not saying all issues. But doesn't it address issues with respect to noise?

MR. SHAIKH: Some issues, yes.
MR. KLINE: Okay. And doesn't it also mitigate with respect to safety in the alley?

MR. SHAIKH: I don't know.
MR. KLINE: You don't know? Fair enough. All right. Are you aware that there's a rideshare in front of 1230 9th Street.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. KLINE: I beg your pardon?
MR. SHAIKH: The Zipcars that are parked there or that there's an address so people can get dropped off there?

MR. KLINE: A designated rideshare.
MR. SHAIKH: A designated rideshare for Blagden Alley is on M Street.

MR. KLINE: Okay. So you're not aware is your answer?

MR. SHAIKH: No.

MR. KLINE: So the trash trucks and the service trucks that were shown in the pictures, that's mostly daytime, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Okay. And you've testified that's become more of a problem recently, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: To your observation?
MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Have you been working remotely during COVID?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: So it may not be any different than it was before. It's just that you're more in the position to observe it, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: No.
MR. KLINE: Blagden Alley isn't one alley. It's actually several alleys, isn't it?

MR. SHAIKH: It's a network of alleys.
MR. KLINE: Okay. And when we constantly talk about Blagden Alley, you referenced Blagden Alley, in my estimation, an alley is front to back, one space. This is a
network of alleys, several alleys involved, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: I mean, that's your characterization of it. I just -- it's all called Blagden Alley.

MR. KLINE: So you think it's all one alley?

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah, its designated historic zone is one alley, so yeah.

MR. KLINE: And you were previously a customer of Eighteenth Street Lounge, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Now you identified a picture of people peeing in the alley. Is that something that you and your friends did when you went to Eighteenth Street Lounge?

MR. SHAIKH: Not in the alley.
MR. KLINE: Okay. I would hope in the restroom.

## CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Is there a

 question?MR. KLINE: I mean, I asked him -- I think it's asked and answered. It's fine. Now you testified that some of the operators there are, quote, better neighbors, close quote, who
own the alley and take pride in it, correct?
MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: So there are good operators and sometimes not so good operators, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: And it's helpful to have people who are good operators and who cooperate with the community, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Were you here for Mike Silverstein's testimony?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Do you have any reason to doubt the efficacy or the truthfulness of what he testified to concerning Mr. Nouri's operation over on 18th Street?

MR. THOMAS: I'm going to object to this question insomuch as --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's the nature of your objection, sir?

MR. THOMAS: -- insomuch as the Chairman has already instructed us not to ask questions of witnesses commenting on the testimony of other witnesses which is precisely
what this question is asking, asking him to comment on the veracity of some other witness and their testimony.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to overrule the objection. Answer the question, sir, if you can.

MR. SHAIKH: Yes, yes.
MR. KLINE: You have reason to question the veracity of Mr. Silverstein?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: And what's that based upon?

MR. SHAIKH: He was talking about issues that were there. I don't know what time frame a lot of those were. And none of the residents actually spoke up. I found it interesting that there were no residents who actually lived back there and were directly impacted by this spoke up.

MR. KLINE: So you think that makes him a liar?

MR. SHAIKH: No.
MR. KLINE: Patrick Powell, were you here for his testimony?

MR. SHAIKH: Who?

MR. KLINE: Patrick Powell, the Director of the Golden Triangle Business Improvement District?

MR. SHAIKH: No, I did not hear his testimony.

MR. KLINE: You didn't hear his testimony? Now you talked about and I think you characterized kind of vaguely the crowd at Never Looked Better and you have some issues with the crowd that's there, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: That wasn't the type of crowd that you saw when you visited Eighteenth Street Lounge was it, on 18th Street?

MR. SHAIKH: I mean, $I$ don't know if there's underage people getting into Eighteenth Street Lounge or not. I don't -- I don't -- I just don't know. I mean, this is a new concept. But that's my concern with Never Looked Better is you have young college kids or below, younger than 21 entering in the -- you know, high school kids going into Never Looked Better.

MR. KLINE: Let's try it again. The crowd that you see at Never Looked Better is not the crowd that you saw when you visited

Eighteenth Street Lounge, was it?
MR. SHAIKH: The crowd at Eighteenth Street Lounge was diverse. So yeah, there could be some of the same people, yes.

MR. KLINE: So are you one of the people that you're concerned about in terms of visiting this establishment in your neighborhood?

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah, I mean, from 16 years ago, probably.

MR. KLINE: Okay. So it was okay for you to go over to Sixteenth Street Lounge in another neighborhood in Dupont Circle and okay for you to visit the establishment there. But that's not something that you want to have in your neighborhood. Is that your position?

MR. SHAIKH: I'm going to clarify what I'm saying just for simplicity. Eighteenth Street Lounge was a business district in downtown. There was nobody who --

MR. KLINE: That's not my question to you. I'm not asking you to characterize where Eighteenth Street Lounge was located, whether --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Thomas, hold on a minute, please, Mr. Thomas. Mr.

Thomas.
MR. THOMAS: I'm sorry. This is insane.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Thomas.
MR. THOMAS: He cuts him off left and right. He needs to let the witness testify.

MR. KLINE: He needs to answer the question --

MR. THOMAS: You just didn't like the answer.

MR. KLINE: It was nonresponsive to the question that was asked.

MR. THOMAS: It was responsive. And if you let him talk, you'd find out that it was responsive. But you got to let him talk.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Gentlemen, all right. Do you need to ask your question again, Mr. Kline?

MR. KLINE: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Please ask your question.

MR. KLINE: So the question to you is you're okay with Eighteenth Street Lounge over on 18th Street in the Dupont Circle area. And it was a fine place for you to visit. But you just
don't want it in your neighborhood. Is that your position?

MR. SHAIKH: No.
MR. KLINE: That's not your --
MR. SHAIKH: I can repeat myself. No.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Is there another question?

MR. KLINE: No, I don't think so. Just beg the Board's indulgence. In terms of the trash trucks, didn't the trash trucks also service residents in the alley?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Some of those trucks are for you and your neighbors, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Not for me or my immediate neighbors. Our trash goes out on 10th Street.

MR. KLINE: Okay. But some of the other residents of the alley have their trash picked up in the alley, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: And some of those trash trucks are the ones that sometimes block the alley when you're trying to get out, correct?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.

MR. KLINE: Your counsel categorized this establishment as having 270 drunk patrons. Is that what you experienced when you visited Eighteenth Street Lounge on 18th Street was all the patrons in there being drunk?

MR. SHAIKH: Is that what I
experienced? If I'm answering a yes or no, the answer is no because there were more people. And yes, many of them were drunk. Not all of them but many of them were. Absolutely.

MR. KLINE: I have no further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions by any Board members?

MEMBER GRANDIS: Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr.
Grandis.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Hello. I want to thank you for bringing your testimony today. But I must say that I'm not quite clear what you want the Board to do. It's my understanding that you lived near Blagden Ally for how many years?

MR. SHAIKH: Like, seven total, eight total.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Before you bought.

MR. SHAIKH: Before I bought, it was about four years.

MEMBER GRANDIS: How close were you to Blagden Alley at that time?

MR. SHAIKH: Three blocks away.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Did you ever go to any restaurants or bars that were within Blagden Alley during those four years?

MR. SHAIKH: No, not that I remember.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Okay. So before you purchased your home that fronts on Blagden Alley, did you ever go there after 11:00 o'clock, 12:00 o'clock at night to see what type of activities are going on?

MR. SHAIKH: Before I purchased my home? Sorry, just making sure. Yes, I did.

MEMBER GRANDIS: And what is different now than then?

MR. SHAIKH: There's a lot more -- now there are more people out there. There are more -- there's just more people out there in the alley at night making noise.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Going to the pictures that were presented to this hearing regarding garbage and waste on the ground, could you pick
out any of that waste or material that would've come from an ABC license establishment?

MR. SHAIKH: It's hard to know. I mean --

MEMBER GRANDIS: So you're suggesting that we take the picture of syringes as possibly coming from an ABC establishment?

MR. SHAIKH: No, I don't think I'm suggesting that.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Well, so I don't really understand how the pictures go to your concerns regarding ABC establishments?

MR. SHAIKH: So can I answer that?
MEMBER GRANDIS: Yes.
MR. SHAIKH: Yeah. So I think what my concern is -- and I'm happy to be more specific, I mean, like, about what I hope from the Board too. But my concern is this. As a community, we have a neighborhood.

We have problems in the neighborhood right now that we've trying to address with our ANC, with our 311, with DDOT. We want to fix those problems before adding new elements into that, bluntly. Like, we want to make sure we don't have underage kids drinking in the alley
before we open yet another bar in the alley, right?

Like, we don't want to have -- we want to make sure our alley is cleaned up before we bring in more trash, more delivery trucks, and more things like that into the alley. That's what I want as a community. It's not no to Eighteenth Street Lounge. They're off the books.

What I want is somebody who I'm going to be able to work with, who when I ask them a question, they respond or they talk to me. Like, when I have a problem with Calico, I go talk to Greg. It's fixed. You know, like, it's a super easy conversation. There's no problem.

If La Colombe is an issue, I just go talk to the manager and it's fixed. If one of the apartment buildings has a problem, it's fixed. So the reason for the pictures and that, at least from my perspective of why I would think they're relevant is it's really simple.

The alley is under an extreme load right now. There's, what, I don't know, how many establishments. ABC, non-ABC, it doesn't matter. The alley is overloaded. And we're talking about bringing in several hundred people additionally
who may be coming in and out with their trash and all that stuff. So as a resident, I'm concerned about that.

MEMBER GRANDIS: You are then aware because it seems like you've gotten yourself knowledgeable about some of these ABC issues that there are procedures that residents and ANCs can come to ABRA to try to deal with in a more global way than dealing with this particular application. I think I just heard you say that if the Applicant would do certain things, you would not be opposed to the Board granting a license. Is that what I just I think I heard you say?

MR. SHAIKH: I think it's a little probably more nuanced than that if I'm being just honest. Like, so, you know, blanket, if you grant the license which I suspect you will do because they're an Applicant, I'm not naive. What I really want to make sure is -- I'm being really specific -- the summer hour garden.

I don't think there should be a summer hour garden endorsement. I don't think we should have a roof deck with the noise and other issues it's going to create into the alley. I'd
restrict that.
As a neighborhood, the Causa thing was something where our ANC dropped the ball, bluntly. And so as residents, we're going to suffer for that which is really frustrating. We don't think -- I don't think there should be summer gardens or many summer gardens in the alley, especially not ones with any entertainment endorsements or things like that.

So -- and I also think the hours of operation should be restricted to fit with the businesses that are there. I'm really trying not to be unreasonable. I'm sorry if it got a little contentious with counsel.

But, like, honestly, I welcome more businesses in the alley. I think it makes sense. It's why I moved here. I said that at my opening. It's really why I moved here.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Okay. Are you aware that this Board does not have -- cannot issue a summer garden or a roof deck prior to BZA having a hearing? So I think that we only can offer licenses and endorsements on things that we legally have an ability to do. So there may be other avenues that the community needs to look
at. You said that you used to frequent Eighteenth Street Longue when it was on 18th Street.

MR. SHAIKH: Mr. Grandis, can I just address that just real quick. I think that's -just as a resident -- and I'm a lawyer. I'm smart. I'm going to not be humble. I'm smart. I can usually figure these things out.

This is opaque. This is very hard as a citizen to figure out how and where to say things. And the numbers of hours it required has been overwhelming.

So I don't necessarily know where to go. I'm literally asking for help from the city. This is an avenue -- my understanding is very simply there's an endorsement for a summer garden that's being asked for.

There's entertainment. There's hours of operation that's getting set that is all within your jurisdiction and your control. And I think there are things that should be limited in there.

There's things that should be in writing and whatever you guys approve that are squarely within your power. Maybe BZA. I don't
want to get into another hearing. I'm tired of this. Like, I'm getting worn down as a resident. So sorry, I just wanted to address that. It's very frustrating as a resident.

MEMBER GRANDIS: And I think all of us
on the Board can appreciate some of the frustrations of dealing with any government --

MR. SHAIKH: Exactly.
MEMBER GRANDIS: -- and the layers. So I hear you, and I think the Board hears you. I was just saying that we're not the only avenue. Okay? Now you used to frequent Eighteenth Street Lounge?

MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Did you ever concern yourself with the residents that are within the same proximity to the Eighteenth Street Lounge on 18th Street as they are in the proximity of the new location?

MR. SHAIKH: So I think there's a couple things there just to address. One, I don't drink. So I was actually usually the one ushering my friends and telling them to shut up, and driving oftentimes. My friends love me, I was a great designated driver. So I was actually
really conscientious of that.
I used to -- when I lived in New York, I lived above a bar. So I am hyper-aware of noise from patrons leaving. So actually, like, I'm not your average person on that. I'm actually super diligent about that because of that.

And then I also think, you know, there's residents, like, 100 feet, much closer than -- you know, this is a mixed use residential alley. This isn't downtown. 18th Street was downtown. It's very different. I mean, it just is.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Are you aware of the zoning along 9th Street which would be where the townhouses is situated. And my understanding, the Applicant is using the 9th Street --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. SHAIKH: It's MU-4.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Along 9th Street?
MR. SHAIKH: Yes.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Okay. And does that allow as a matter of right establishments that have ABC licenses, in other words, restaurants, bars, things of that nature?

MR. SHAIKH: I mean, it's not my area of law, so I don't know if it was a matter of right or not. But there are a bunch of other ABC establishments. So I would assume you could get a license. I mean --

MEMBER GRANDIS: But to be clear with you because I want to make sure it's clear to you, they don't matter of right to get the license. But they have a matter of right as that type of business to be in that zoning. You follow me?

MR. SHAIKH: Yeah, and I'm not opposed to, you know, an ABC issued license coming in. There are ABC issued licenses when I moved in the neighborhood. I know that's the case.

MEMBER GRANDIS: And my last question, when you hired your architects, and it sounds awesome to hear that you use local D.C. firms to help build out your home, did you get advice from them about what type of windows and window treatments that you may need?

MR. SHAIKH: So we -- I mean, so, we actually changed all of the windows on the back of our house from what the original ones were. So everything is double-paned. It's more for
energy efficiency and noise, because when we moved there, there wasn't a lot of noise.

Like, we lived in the house before finishing the renovation. So we were sleeping in the bedrooms that faced the alley. There was no noise. Now there's noise.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Okay, okay. But the noise is not coming from this establishment since they --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. SHAIKH: No. It's not in business.

MEMBER GRANDIS: I just want to make sure the record is clear on that. I want to thank you for your time. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you. Any other questions by any other Board members?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Kline, any recross based on the questions that were asked by the Board?

MR. KLINE: No, sir. Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Thomas, any
redirect?
MR. THOMAS: None at this time.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you. All right. We're going to take a ten-minute break. We have three more witnesses to go by -- three more witnesses. And I'm just asking be mindful of the time and how much time we're going to spend on each witness.

So it's 4:24. We'll come back at 4:35. So we'll take a ten-minute break. So no one log off. Just you can just put your camera and microphone on mute. Everyone please mute your phones so we do not hear what's going on in the background. So we're off the record for ten minutes.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 4:24 p.m. and resumed at 4:36 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We're back on the record. Mr. Thomas, do you have another witness?

MR. THOMAS: I do. I'd like to call Sherene Joseph.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Joseph, can you raise your right hand, please?

MS. JOSEPH: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth and nothing but the truth?

MS. JOSEPH: I do.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you. Your witness, sir.
MR. THOMAS: Good afternoon,
Commissioner Joseph, correct?
MS. JOSEPH: Yes, that's correct.
MR. THOMAS: Can you just quickly state your name and spell your name for the record?

MS. JOSEPH: Absolutely. My first name is Sherene. That's S-H-E-R-E-N-E. My last name is Joseph, J-O-S-E-P-H.

MR. THOMAS: And you're an ANC Commissioner, correct?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: What's your role with the ANC?

MS. JOSEPH: So I'm a Commissioner with ANC 2F. Specifically, I represent 2F05 and I'm also the Chair of the ANC.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And you're the
designated representative for the ANC in this protest hearing, correct?

MS. JOSEPH: I'm one of two designated representatives. Commissioner Guggenmos is the other designated representative.

MR. THOMAS: All right. And
Commissioner Guggenmos read a letter previously. And I just want to confirm, do you adopt every single thing that was said in that letter as accurate and truthful?

MS. JOSEPH: So I adopt the facts that were presented as were laid out, essentially the timeline that began with the Applicant presenting to the APC Committee last August. Yeah, so I adopt the timeline. I think there were other elements in there, characteristics that were identified that $I$ don't totally agree with it.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. So when he said that it was drafted by you, that's not actually correct, is it?

MS. JOSEPH: Oh, no. That is correct.
That is correct. You both did draft it, and we knew that there were points in which we were deferring. But we wanted to kind of move forward and make sure we had something on the record.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. So there's some things that he put in there that you don't agree with, and there's some things that you may have put in there that he doesn't agree with?

MS. JOSEPH: Correct.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. I want to ask you just so the record is clear, what is the ANC's official position on the licensure of Eighteenth Street Lounge?

MS. JOSEPH: So our official position based off of the vote that we took at our meeting on January 5th which was our January 2022 public meeting was around a letter that contained specific requirements that we did want the Applicant to follow in order for us to support this application.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And to your knowledge, has the Applicant agreed to follow those requests?

MS. JOSEPH: No.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. And so what you would be asking the ABC Board to do is to implement those limitations on any license. Is that correct?

MS. JOSEPH: That is correct. And
also to take our -- to take our request with, you know, a good deal of weight in this particular matter.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. How familiar are you with Blagden Alley?

MS. JOSEPH: I am very familiar. I've been living in ANC $2 F$ since 2015. So I've been a frequent visitor of Blagden Alley.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And are you familiar with some of the other ABC licenses that have been issued to establishments that are on Blagden Alley or abut --

MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: -- Blagden Alley?
MS. JOSEPH: Yes, I am.
MR. THOMAS: And have you been involved in some of the settlements for those?

MS. JOSEPH: I have not been.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. But are you familiar with those settlements?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes, I am.
MR. THOMAS: So the first thing I want to ask you about, and I noticed this in at least one of the settlements and I'm blanking on which one. But you guys sometimes impose a
probationary period on an Applicant that settles with the ANC. Is that correct?

MS. JOSEPH: That is correct.
MR. KLINE: Objection. Objection as to relevance. What they agreed to in a negotiated settlement has no relevance as to the issues that the Board is to decide in this hearing.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to sustain the objection. Let's move on. Do you have another question, Mr. Thomas?

MR. THOMAS: I do. I'm somewhat disappointed that $I$ wasn't able to respond to the objection. But I'll move on. There are other establishments that have opened up and then come back before the ANC after they've established good character, correct?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: And what is the purpose of that arrangement with those establishments?

MS. JOSEPH: Yeah, the purpose is essentially to, you know, engage in good faith communication with the Applicant, ensure that the -- that they're working closely with the ANC so that, you know -- that generally living in our

ANC doesn't become intolerable. And so that probationary period allows us to really see the operation in action. You know, a lot of what we're talking about is theoretical until the establishment actually opens. And so we like to have that in place to allow a little bit more concrete evidence of how they're operating. And then they will come back to us once that probationary period is over.

MR. THOMAS: So part of it is to show that they're going to be a good actor within the community. Is that correct?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. And other ABC establishments in Blagden Alley have gone through that probationary period that you were talking about and proving they were a good actor?

MR. KLINE: Objection. It's
irrelevant.
MR. THOMAS: Mr. Kline has put his character of his client at issue on numerous occasions. I'm just trying to point out that other Applicants have been given a test period to prove their character instead of just have their attorney come out here and spout hyperbole about
how much of a good actor they are.
MR. KLINE: We had two witnesses to that effect. So I'll let that go.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. KLINE: The point is --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on one second. Mr. Thomas, please do not personalize this. Okay? All right. I'm going to overrule the objection. So go ahead and answer the question.

MS. JOSEPH: Do you mind repeating the question?

MR. THOMAS: Sure. So other establishments have agreed to show their good character and come back before the ANC to get their full license and prove that they're a good actor in the community?

MS. JOSEPH: To my knowledge, yes.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. Are you familiar with the ABC licenses of the Columbia Room and Lost \& Found?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes, I am.
MR. THOMAS: And those are establishments that are directly in the vicinity of Eighteenth Street Lounge's proposed location,
correct?
MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: And they have more
limited -- their licenses are more limited in scope. Is that right?

MS. JOSEPH: Well, their settlement agreements --

MR. THOMAS: Correct.
MS. JOSEPH: -- limited their scope.
MR. THOMAS: Correct. So they have less hours and limitations on their endorsements, right?

MS. JOSEPH: Correct.
MR. THOMAS: And those settlement agreements were a byproduct of dialogue with the ANC? )

MR. KLINE: Objection as to relevance. They're settlement agreements. They speak for themselves.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'll sustain the objection. Let's move on.

MR. THOMAS: So the ANC has protested -- well, let me strike that. What do you understand as being issues that exist in Blagden Alley at the moment?

MS. JOSEPH: Yeah, so currently some of the issues are around noise complaints, about some of the establishments that are currently in Blagden Alley. Trash has been an issue that's been brought forward to the ANC, has been a concern for residents. There's been security issues with increased crime.

Another big issue has been just congestion from delivery trucks and, you know, folks not being able to leave their designated parking spots due to either Uber, delivery trucks, or other things like that. I think a lot of this has been talked about as existing and ongoing issues. But they have been brought before the ANC.

MR. THOMAS: And is the ANC's position that the addition of a nightclub is going to exacerbate these problems?

MS. JOSEPH: There is a possibility of it. However, the ANC has also engaged ESL in conversations around ways to mitigate what could be potential problems.

MR. THOMAS: Right. So there could be potential problems, and you're looking for ways to alleviate those problems by placing
limitations on the license, correct?
MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. And it's those potential problems that caused the ANC to protest this particular license?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: And the grounds for protest are the effect on peace, order, and quiet. Is that right?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: So there was concerns that the Applicant would be noisy?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Concerns with crime in the area? Potential crime in the area I should say.

MS. JOSEPH: Well, can I expand on that a little bit or no?

MR. THOMAS: Of course. I don't mind you answering the question.

MS. JOSEPH: So it wasn't so much that we saw that there was a -- like, the venue or the Applicant would be the cause for a crime. We just thought that there was the possibility of additional instances where crime would be more
prevalent.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. But that was the basis for the protest, part of it?

MS. JOSEPH: That was part of it and then -- yes, that was part of it.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And then traffic issues as well?

MS. JOSEPH: Mm-hmm.
MR. THOMAS: I want to take about the outreach issues that have sort of been raised. So first off, you're the Chair of the ANC 2F, right?

MS. JOSEPH: I'm the current Chair, yes.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. How many times did you speak to Mr. Nouri?

MS. JOSEPH: Zero times.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. And I understand he attended meetings. Is that correct?

MS. JOSEPH: That's correct.
MR. THOMAS: Do you recall how many ANC meetings he attended?

MS. JOSEPH: If my recollection is correct, I believe he attended two APC meetings and two ANC meetings on behalf of this
application. I can't speak to how many other ANC meetings he attended.

MR. THOMAS: Fair enough. For the purposes of clarity, anytime $I$ ask a question, it's going to be solely limited to the purposes of this particular application --

MS. JOSEPH: Fair enough.
MR. THOMAS: -- of this particular location. And if I'm not -- and if I'm talking about something else, $I$ will let you know. I promise.

MS. JOSEPH: Perfect.
MR. THOMAS: So who did he deal with mainly at the ANC?

MS. JOSEPH: Who did Mr. Nouri deal with mainly?

MR. THOMAS: Correct. Do you know what Commissioners he spoke with?

MS. JOSEPH: Commissioner Guggenmos was the primary contact and then on occasion Commissioner Romanowski who heads up our APC Committee.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And at the meetings that Mr. Nouri attended, did residence and your constituents reach out or express a
desire to speak with Mr. Nouri about concerns for his establishment?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes, they did.
MR. THOMAS: Are you aware of any instances in which Mr. Nouri reached out to these residents who expressed concern and expressed a desire to have a dialogue with him?

MS. JOSEPH: To my knowledge, there was no outreach.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Is it your understanding that that kind of was part of the problem with the entire process is that there was a lack of outreach?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Would you expect a good actor in the community to reach out to the residents when they express issues?

MS. JOSEPH: Definitely. I mean, we had residents, you know, providing their personal contact information, you know, really being willing to engage. But there wasn't reciprocity on behalf of the Applicant.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And in terms of other ABC licensed establishments in the alley, I don't know if you heard Mr. Shaikh commenting
before about being able to speak to the owner Calico or the manager at La Colombe. Has it been your experience that those establishments in Blagden Alley have dialogue with the residents?

MS. JOSEPH: Absolutely.
MR. THOMAS: And have engaged them on their concerns?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes, absolutely. My understanding is that there's actually a very close working relationship between the residents and the businesses that operate in Blagden Alley to the point where often rather than going through the ANC, residents will go just directly to businesses to, you know, discuss their concerns.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And that concerns ANC resources, right?

MS. JOSEPH: Mm-hmm, definitely.
MR. THOMAS: It would presumably conserve ABRA resources if they didn't have to make complaints to ABRA too, correct?

MS. JOSEPH: Correct.
MR. THOMAS: In fact, your coCommissioner, Mr. Guggenmos, referenced a template. The ANC's template has a dispute
resolution sort of provision in it, right, where they will reach out to the ANC instead of involving ABRA. Is that right?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes, that's correct.
MR. KLINE: Objection as to relevance.
MR. THOMAS: Mr. Kline has talked about his Applicant's purported outreach to the community. And I'm just establishing that the ANC views outreach to the community as important, so important, in fact, that it's in their template settlement agreement and is --

MR. KLINE: Mr. Thomas need not testify. He can certainly make that point without reference to --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. THOMAS: -- in response to your objection.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to overrule the objection. Let's move on.

MR. THOMAS: You can answer the question, Commissioner Joseph.

MS. JOSEPH: Do you mind repeating the question?

MR. THOMAS: Sure, absolutely. So the presence of this dispute resolution term in your
template settlement agreement is designed so that the license -- I guess the licensee at that point will engage with the community as opposed to involving ABRA, correct?

MS. JOSEPH: That's correct.
MR. THOMAS: It's to resolve problems before they start, right?

MS. JOSEPH: Correct.
MR. THOMAS: So the expectation from the ANC is that the ABC licensee is going to be involved directly with the community at all times?

MS. JOSEPH: Correct.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. So we've heard a lot about noise, and I want to ask you about noise. So were issues and concerns about -- let me take a step back for a second. What meeting did you vote to protest this application? Do you recall when that was?

MS. JOSEPH: I believe it was October 2021.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. So prior to October 2021 you had at least one meeting in which residents and neighbors expressed concerns about, amongst other things, noise from

Eighteenth Street Lounge, correct?
MS. JOSEPH: Correct.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. And in response to that, did you ever receive a sound study?

MS. JOSEPH: I did not.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. So prior to the protest, no sound study was received?

MS. JOSEPH: Correct.
MR. THOMAS: When did you receive a sound study?

MS. JOSEPH: The first time --
MR. KLINE: Objection as to relevance. Like, where is this going? I mean, we're here to talk about whether this premises is appropriate given the case put on by the Applicant, the steps that he's agreed to take to mitigate. All these questions about process and when they met and who did what, $I$ question the relevance of it.

MR. THOMAS: Again, Mr. Kline has
injected on numerous occasions the fact that his client has been engaged in outreach to the community, outreach to the ANC, outreach to the neighbors. And he seems to only object when the neighbors and the ANC start testifying that no such outreach actually occurred.

MR. KLINE: I don't remember putting that on. The testimony that we put on has been his reputation and his history of 25 years of working with the community and his efforts to do here. I don't remember a lot of testimony about outreach and meetings and this and that.

MR. THOMAS: I seem to remember 20 minutes of you cross-examining Mr. Guggenmos about the outreach to the community. And so I feel this is entirely relevant.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I'm going to overrule the objection. But time is of the essence. And I think it's -- let's just move on. Okay?

MR. THOMAS: Thank you. When did you first receive the Miller Beam sound study?

MS. JOSEPH: In the Applicant's PIF for the hearing.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. So after the ANC had twice voted to protest the Applicant's license?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: But noise had been made -- it had been made clear previously that noise was a concern of the ANC?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Has the -- did the ANC make clear that traffic was a concern of the ANC as well?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Did the Applicant provide you with a traffic study?

MS. JOSEPH: I don't believe so, no. Not one that I saw.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. In terms of other -- what other documentation has been provided to you by the Applicant besides this sound study in -- well, actually, what -- let me strike that. What documents have been provided to you by the Applicant, period? Prior to receiving the PIF I should say.

MS. JOSEPH: The only documents that I have seen are from the original presentation that was made to the APC in August of 2021.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And what did that consist of?

MS. JOSEPH: It contained some architectural drawings. You know, I think they had, like, a general floor plan. I can't recall totally, but I definitely remember the
architectural drawings.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. Were there any contracts provided?

MS. JOSEPH: Not that I recall.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. There's discussion of meal service. Any information about food service?

MS. JOSEPH: I remember them mentioning the food would be a part of their concept. But they hadn't finalized what that would look like.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. So no menu?
MS. JOSEPH: No.
MR. THOMAS: No trash -- was a trash plan provided?

MS. JOSEPH: Not at that time, no.
MR. THOMAS: What about crowd management and line management? Was any information provided about that?

MS. JOSEPH: Not that I recall, no.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. Dogtopia is another business in your ANC, correct?

MS. JOSEPH: Correct.
MR. THOMAS: One that you would theoretically represent?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: And Dogtopia is -- to your knowledge, it's located directly next door to the proposed location to this Applicant?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Are you aware of any concerns Dogtopia has about the Applicant?

MS. JOSEPH: I can't say that I do.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. Was any plans for line management provided by ESL in relation to its neighboring businesses?

MS. JOSEPH: No.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. I think that's all I have at this time.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Kline?
MR. KLINE: Yes. Thank you. Good afternoon, Ms. Joseph.

MS. JOSEPH: Hello.
MR. KLINE: So you only recently became the Chair of the ANC, correct?

MS. JOSEPH: Correct.
MR. KLINE: In fact, you were elected, gee, maybe a month ago today?

MS. JOSEPH: January 5th.
MR. KLINE: Oh, I thought it was

January 2nd. Okay, January 5th. So less than a month, correct?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: And you didn't take the lead on the discussions with Mr. Nouri concerning this application, did you?

MS. JOSEPH: I did not.
MR. KLINE: Okay. Mr. Guggenmos as the then chair was the one who took the lead, correct?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Right. So you don't necessarily know all that was conveyed or all that was discussed between Mr. Nouri and Mr. Guggenmos, did you? Because you weren't the chair and you weren't necessarily a party to it, correct?

MS. JOSEPH: So no, I wasn't involved in those conversations, no.

MR. KLINE: Okay. So in terms of what was discussed and what plans there might be, you may or may not be fully aware. Is that a fair statement?

MS. JOSEPH: No, I was asking Commissioner Guggenmos, you know, because he and

I were engaging the community on these issues, you know, repeatedly for whatever plans were available and he did not have them.

MR. KLINE: Okay. Now you're aware, are you not, that ESL did agree to certain things, several things which were responsive to the concerns raised by residents, correct?

MS. JOSEPH: Correct.
MR. KLINE: Okay. And this included volunteering not to use the back door as a primary -- as an entrance or an exit, correct?

MS. JOSEPH: Mm-hmm, yes.
MR. KLINE: The placement of cameras?
MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Have a trained security staff?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Preparing a security plan?
MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: And taking the lead on -in the neighborhood on trash compactor and trash issues?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Okay. So it's not as if they stonewalled you. They made meaningful
concessions and agreements to address the issues that were raised, correct?

MS. JOSEPH: Some of the issues, yes.
MR. KLINE: Okay. That's fair. So it was kind of a give and take. And obviously, it didn't result in an agreement because there's no settlement agreement, correct?

MS. JOSEPH: Correct.
MR. KLINE: But they agreed to make those --

MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. I don't mean to interrupt. I just got kicked out. I'm speaking from Tracy Buck's laptop. I'm logging back in right now. But I just wanted to make the Committee aware that my computer crashed. So I'm here, just speaking from Ms. Buck's computer at the moment.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay, Mr. Thomas. Thank you.

MR. KLINE: Okay. But the things that they agreed to do, they said they would do regardless of whether there was any agreement reached, correct?

MS. JOSEPH: To my understanding, yes.
MR. KLINE: Okay. All right. Now
wasn't there a time -- didn't there come a time where the community -- there was reach out to residents in the community to meet with the Applicant and several dates were offered where that can happen?

MS. JOSEPH: That, $I$ don't recall.
MR. KLINE: You're not aware of that?
MS. JOSEPH: No.
MR. KLINE: Okay. If Mr. Guggenmos says that's what happened, is that something you would dispute?

MS. JOSEPH: Yeah. I mean, from my understanding, we as the ANC reached out to residents because they wanted me to hear their concerns. But if Mr. Guggenmos says that, then yes, $I$ do believe him.

MR. KLINE: Okay. And wasn't there a meeting in December where Lieutenant Miller was there?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes.
MR. KLINE: And one of the Protestants said, there's no reason to talk to all of us, that we can speak through a representative. Do you recall that at all?

MS. JOSEPH: That wasn't the way that

I perceived what was being conveyed. What I perceived was that, you know, because of scheduling issues and because they were in communication with each other, they were eager to get the ball rolling on these conversations. So they wanted to make sure that at least a representative of the group was there and that they were going to coordinate amongst themselves from a follow-up standpoint.

MR. KLINE: They had a lot of scheduling issues, didn't they?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes. Well, there were -yeah, there were quite a few people who were eager to get involved with the process. And so, you know, there were a lot of concerns and a lot of residents who shared those concerns. So they wanted to make sure that they felt heard.

MR. KLINE: They were eager, but they didn't necessarily have the time. Is that fair? MS. JOSEPH: Well, I don't think everyone has the time to sit in meetings or hearings that are eight hours long in part too. So I do think that some people's circumstances demands that they be elsewhere.

MR. KLINE: Fair enough. All right.

I have no further questions of the witness. Thank you, Ms. Joseph.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any questions by any Board members?

MR. THOMAS: Well, excuse me.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Buck -- I'm sorry. Mr. Thomas, you need to put someone's microphone on mute but both of you are -- it appears that both parties are --

MR. THOMAS: I need to be elevated.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Ms. Fashbaugh, can you please elevate Mr. Thomas' rights?

MS. FASHBAUGH: This has been accomplished.

MR. THOMAS: I'm back. Thank you. I apologize for the technical issues.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Thank you. All right. Ms. -- any questions by any Board members?

MEMBER CROCKETT: Yes, Chairman.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Ms.
Crockett. Go ahead.
MEMBER CROCKETT: Good afternoon, Ms. Joseph.

MS. JOSEPH: Good afternoon.
MEMBER CROCKETT: Both yourself and the previous witness have identified -- have stated that you have a good working relationship with the current establishments that are there that you're often able to just go directly to them and thereby bypassing the ANC and civic associations. Is that an accurate portrayal of your comments?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes, I guess I'll clarify that I'm not a resident of Blagden Alley. So it's not that I'm the one necessarily going to these establishments. But my understanding is, yes, the residents and the neighbors have been able to go to those establishments directly. MEMBER CROCKETT: But there's also been testimony and pictures showing trash, litter, issues about noise. So if they're able to resolve these directly with the current tenants and establishments, why then are these issues persisting in your opinion?

MS. JOSEPH: Yeah, that's a great question. So I think it kind of comes to the interplay between the fact that residents are, you know, hoping to be able to uphold their
quality of life but also, you know, these businesses wanting to be viable. And so in some instances, the conversations are ongoing.

We may need to -- where the ANC gets involved is when perhaps an issue is actually a DDOT issue. So then we need to kind of loop in the correct representatives so that we can get a little more clarity. So when I say that, you know, the door is open on behalf of the businesses to receive the comments, it's not necessarily that they're immediately getting resolved.

It's at least that there is a dialogue that's being engaged in, in good faith from both parties and all parties involved to come to a solution. Not everything has been solved. But we've always been striving towards that.

MEMBER CROCKETT: Thank you. I have no further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Joseph, when did you become a member? When did you join this ANC?

MS. JOSEPH: In 2021, January.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: In January
2021. Okay. All right. Now who is the ANC

Commissioner for Blagden Alley?
MS. JOSEPH: There currently is not an ANC Commissioner because the Commissioner resigned actually on January 28th.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Of what year?
MS. JOSEPH: Of this year, 2022.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. So there was a Commissioner until January 28?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes, there was, yeah.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you. All right. Any other questions by any other Board members?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr. Kline, any recross based on the questions that were asked of the Board?

MR. KLINE: No. Thank you, Mr. Chair.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Thomas, any redirect?

MR. THOMAS: Just a quick question actually. Commissioner Joseph, you mentioned that the Blagden Alley Commissioner recently resigned, correct?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes, he did.
MR. THOMAS: Do you know what his
prior position was on this Applicant?
MS. JOSEPH: He was staunchly in favor of protesting the application and only permitting it to be, you know, licensed upon the stipulations that we were setting forth.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Those are all my questions. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Joseph, for your testimony. All right. Mr. Thomas, do you have another witness?

MR. THOMAS: I do. I believe this is my last one. I'm going to call Ms. Jelena Budjevac to the stand.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Budjevac, can you raise your right hand, please? Do you swear or affirm to tell the truth and nothing but the truth? You're on mute, ma'am.

MS. BUDJEVAC: Sorry about that. Yes, I do.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you. Your witness, Mr. Thomas.
MR. THOMAS: Good afternoon. Can you
state your name for the record, please.
MS. BUDJEVAC: Yes, my name is Jelena Budjevac, and it's spelled J-E-L-E-N-A, surname

B-U-D-J-E-V-A-C.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. I apologize. I think I've been mispronouncing your name.

MS. BUDJEVAC: You won't be the first.
MR. THOMAS: What is it again? I just want to make sure $I$ say it right.

MS. BUDJEVAC: It's Budjevac.
MR. THOMAS: Budjevac.
MS. BUDJEVAC: You know, Ms. Jelena is just fine.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. That works too. So let me start off with a very basic question. Where exactly do you live?

MS. BUDJEVAC: So my postal address is 1215 10th Street. But my unit actually the way our building is, it's two units per floor. One unit faces 10th Street and the other unit faces Blagden Alley. And I'm in the unit that face Blagden Alley.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. So your unit directly abuts Blagden Alley?

MS. BUDJEVAC: There's a -- there's a business in front to the side. But I guess air space-wise, yes.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And you're on the
same side -- you heard Mr. Shaikh testify earlier, correct?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I did.
MR. THOMAS: And you're on -- are you familiar with him?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Yes, I am.
MR. THOMAS: Okay.
MS. BUDJEVAC: He's my neighbor.
MR. THOMAS: All the neighbors know each other in that area, correct?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Yeah, mostly, either by sight or closer. Some, you know, are friends. We hang out, go to each other's houses. Some you just see on streets, but yeah.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And let me show you what we have previously market for identification as Exhibit 11. If I could have the rights to the screen share.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Fashbaugh, can you please allow Mr. Thomas to share his screen, please.

MS. FASHBAUGH: This has been accomplished.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the above-referred to
document was marked as Protestant Exhibit No. 11 for identification.)

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Can you see my screen?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I -- I can.
MR. THOMAS: You can?
MS. BUDJEVAC: I can.
MR. THOMAS: Good. So I'm showing you what has previously been marked as Exhibit 11. Can you explain to me what this is?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Sure. It is a zoning map of Blagden Alley that's been put into black and white. And then you can see on the key to the right, it's been marked with residence, businesses, alcohol license businesses, and then some of the specific ones to show what's residential and what's not.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And who created this map?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I did.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. And is it a true and accurate representation of Blagden Alley and the surrounding areas?

MS. BUDJEVAC: To my knowledge, yes.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. And then if you
scroll down, there's a second, I guess, more zoomed in map?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Do you see that?
MS. BUDJEVAC: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Did you create this map as well?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I did. It's a smaller version of the previous one you shared.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And is it a true and accurate representation of Blagden Alley and the surrounding areas?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: And is the information on it in terms of the legend, where did you obtain that information from? Did you obtain it from public records?

MS. BUDJEVAC: A mix. So some people, I just know, right? I've been to their homes. And then like you said, public records, you can Google search. And then some of the businesses I've personally been to because I live here.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. I would move this Exhibit 11 into evidence.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Kline?

MR. KLINE: I object to the editorial comment on the second page.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What editorial comment?

MR. KLINE: Also Chad Spangler informed me of the meeting.

MR. THOMAS: I can stipulate to that being -- to that editorial comment not being entered into the record.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Because this has nothing to do with this case. That's Causa.

MR. THOMAS: Agreed.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right.
MR. THOMAS: Agreed.
MR. KLINE: Then I would object to -I think I would object in one of voir dire on about three questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: A voir dire on what, Mr. Kline?

MR. KLINE: I have about three questions concerning this map which represents -which has been represented as a true and accurate representation of the neighborhood.

MR. THOMAS: Why don't you wait until
-- why don't you cross-examine this witness on this map. And then, Mr. Thomas, why don't you -once we're done cross-examination, why don't you then make a motion at that juncture whether or not you want to make this a part of the evidence and I'll make a ruling at that time. Okay?

MR. THOMAS: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. KLINE: Thank you.
MR. THOMAS: So can you describe to me where precisely your house is on this map?

MS. BUDJEVAC: So it's been a while since I've created this. But I believe I'm Lot 125.

MR. THOMAS: 125?
MS. BUDJEVAC: I know. It's tiny and

MR. THOMAS: Right where the cursor is?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I don't see your cursor. Sorry.

MR. THOMAS: Right here? Can you see the highlighted portion, or is that not showing? MS. BUDJEVAC: Yeah, except -CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm not seeing
the cursor, so $I$ don't know where your -- I don't know where your cursor is, Mr. Thomas.

MR. THOMAS: I'm looking for --
MS. BUDJEVAC: Yeah, I see where you're moving around.

MR. THOMAS: You see right here?
MS. BUDJEVAC: Yeah, so if you move -yeah, where your cursor is now and a little bit to the right, yeah.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. So, like, right around here is where your house is?

MS. BUDJEVAC: That's my apartment. That's correct.

MR. THOMAS: Excuse me. Yeah, you're apartment. So essentially on the other side of Blagden Alley from where Eighteenth Street Lounge is proposed to be, correct?

MS. BUDJEVAC: That's correct.
MR. THOMAS: And that -- just so we're clear, that is right here, right?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Yes, it's where the red with the turquoise-y colored $X$ and the little sound speaker is.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And in terms of the alley, these are all sort of -- if I'm going
to show you, these are all one lane streets, correct?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Am I following your cursor?

MR. THOMAS: Correct. Like, right here at the beginning going from $M$ Street into the alley, that's a one way.

MS. BUDJEVAC: Oh, yes. All of the entrances to the network of alleys are one lane. And they're actually quite narrow.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. So if a truck or a car were to stop or double park in there, it would obstruct traffic?

MS. BUDJEVAC: So you can't double park. You can only stop. And yes, you're correct. It obstructs traffic.

MR. THOMAS: And trucks going through there obstruct the flow of traffic?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Correct.
MR. THOMAS: Does this create traffic issues in the alley?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Yes, it does.
MR. THOMAS: How long have you lived adjacent to Blagden Alley?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I move into Blagden

Alley in 2014.
MR. THOMAS: Okay.
MS. BUDJEVAC: In May, May 1st, 2014.
MR. THOMAS: So approaching eight years?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Yeah, yeah.
MR. THOMAS: Has the alley changed significantly since you moved there?

MS. BUDJEVAC: It has. So when I moved in --

MR. THOMAS: In what way?
MS. BUDJEVAC: In what way? There's different types of businesses that have entered. When I moved in, Lost \& Found had just opened and La Colombe was there. And that was it as far as consumption businesses to my knowledge.

There was a boxing gym. There were a lot of artist studios. So when we talk about neighborhood-y, Craig Nelson and I, he lives in the building in front to the right of my building in the alley. He would be out there doing his mosaic work.

Bill Burrell (phonetic) was down to the -- closer to where Amir lives. You had a graphic print shop that was in there. You had
the boxing gym. That was really cool. You'd see people jumping rope or, like, throwing punches and exercising.

And so since then, we've had about, I would say, six -- six new businesses come in, Calico and Tiger Fork. You had Espita, AllPurpose on the 9th Street side. Columbia Room, that used to be a fitness studio called Fuel. What else am I missing? Oh, Dabney, Dabney Cellar. So all of those are new. And so it was exciting and it became more vibrant. But definitely an increase in congestion.

And then most recently, I don't want to beat a dead horse, Never Looked Better moved in this past summer. And the streetery went up in the spring with the Reopen DC. And those have been just really problematic with --

MR. THOMAS: Why are they problematic?
MS. BUDJEVAC: They're problematic because the -- so you have delivery trucks that come in, and they just park the trucks. And people often -- sometimes the drivers leave to go in to talk to the restaurants and they're just left unattended. So people can't get out of their homes. Other traffic cannot pass.

Last night was lunar for the Chinese New Year, and that was a disaster. I've never seen anything like that because you have people coming in for DoorDash, for food pickup. You have patrons trying to come in, regular traffic.

People do actually drive through the alleys to cut through sometimes. And so what you had is vehicles that were left as owners when inside the business, other cars trying to come in, then realizing that they can't pass. But then other vehicles had already come in behind them, so they couldn't back up.

So people just started honking. I spoke to a woman who, you know, I was just walking by to go to Lost \& Found for a drink. And she rolled down her window and she was -- she was just yelling, this is sick. This is sick. What is this? There should be signs. We can't pass through. Now we're stuck.

And so that's -- that's not irregular.
I live on a building that exits on 10th Street, but I work on 9th Street, Reformation Fitness. And so my commute to work back and forth is through the alley. And I would say that's a daily problem.

MR. THOMAS: With traffic backup and build up?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Traffic backup and build up in vehicles. I've definitely called in transportation companies because they'll have their -- like, if you have a problem or how's my driving on the back. When I can't find the driver to call the company and say, you guys just have to let your driver know they can't just leave the vehicles unattended.

They can't park here. You know, people live here. And most of the time, people say they don't know that people live here. But, I mean, three sides of the alley are people reside here.

MR. THOMAS: And I was going to say it appears from your map that the majority of the ABC licensed establishments actually fall on the 9th Street side of the alley.

MS. BUDJEVAC: That's correct.
MR. THOMAS: Does that create even more congesting in the alley packing everything so densely concentrated?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Yes. These problems -when I moved in here in 2014, we didn't have this
problem. There was not the traffic congestion. There wasn't the trash piles. The bins that are in Blagden Alley, they are almost never closed. They're overfilled. There's also trash next door like recycling to the bins.

MR. THOMAS: Before we get to trash, let me ask you another question about traffic. So all of these ABC licensed establishments, they have rear doors that go out into the alley, correct? Or most of them do?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I believe so. But they also have 9th Street doors.

MR. THOMAS: Are the rear doors used for loading and unloading supplies, drinks, food service supplies, things like that?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Yes. I can see people stop the truck and they'll carry dollies with contents into -- into the businesses.

MR. THOMAS: So the traffic issue -would it be fair to say that a lot of the traffic issues that exist in Blagden Alley are not necessarily caused by patrons going in and out of the doors but by delivery people stopping and unloading and loading into these businesses through the backdoor?

MS. BUDJEVAC: It's both, right? During the day, it's definitely deliveries through the back doors.

MR. THOMAS: Are you aware of a rideshare drop that exists in the area?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I've -- I've heard of that. I will say just because a rideshare drop exists doesn't mean it precludes traffic by patrons because we see it. I mean, I live here and I walk through the alley and I see people getting dropped off and picked up.

MR. THOMAS: And so it's not -- it doesn't -- it's not a panacea for the traffic issues that come through, correct?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Wow, it's a great idea. But you can't force people to use a rideshare.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Talking about the trash now, so the trash, you were mentioning -what were you saying about the trash before when I cut you off?

MS. BUDJEVAC: So there's dumpsters and there's a series -- a couple series of trash bins. And it's by a huge conglomeration because that's where my commute is. I come out through the alley, past La Colombe and Tiger Fork, go
towards where Never Look Better is, make a right, and then go into Reformation Fitness.

And so you have this collection of trash bins that are just usually overflowing. And then the recycling is next door, like, sandwiched in between the trash bins. You can have extra bags on trash of trash, like, piled on top.

It's gotten worse the last six months. I've lived in D.C. since 1991. I know it's a city. I know we have rats. But in our condo building as sitting on the Board, we have, like, zero tolerance for anyone overstuffing our bins because it attracts rodents which then go next door to our neighbors who have like an English basement. It's unpleasant. Nobody needs that, right?

MR. THOMAS: Is it your opinion that adding another business with several hundred person occupancy is going to alleviate this problem?

MS. BUDJEVAC: No. I think it's just going to make it worse.

MR. THOMAS: So you mentioned that you work right on the other side of the alley, right?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I do.
MR. THOMAS: So how frequently do you traverse the alley from the 10th Street side to the 9th Street side?

MS. BUDJEVAC: So for work, it's every Tuesday, Thursday, and Saturday. And then personally, like Amir said, especially since the pandemic, my life is, like, a five block radius. So whether I'm running through Giant or depending on snow, like, the alley is my -- that is my daily life, whether $I$ go grab a coffee at La Colombe. But it's a minimum of three times.

MR. THOMAS: So it's your community?
MS. BUDJEVAC: Yeah, it's where I live. It's my neighborhood.

MR. THOMAS: And I wrote it down. I think you mentioned kind of offhand that you were walking the other day to Lost \& Found which is another ABC licensed establishment right off of Blagden Alley. Is that right?

MS. BUDJEVAC: That's correct.
MR. THOMAS: You patronize the businesses here?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Yeah, it's one of the reasons I moved here.

MR. THOMAS: Are the -- what type of crowd do these ABC licensed establishments attract?

MS. BUDJEVAC: So with the exception of Never Looked Better, I would say it's a pretty casual, mellow crowd. I think Amir actually appraised it really well. It's not where you -I mean, depending on your age, it's not where you end your evening but it's certainly where you start. It's, you know, cocktails, dinners. It's bars and restaurants, so --

MR. THOMAS: So we talked about -we've talked a lot about where people's hours are. And I understand that people may be allowed to do a certain thing. Doesn't mean they have to do a certain thing.

So can you describe to me how these bars and restaurants operate in the alley. Are they open till they're -- are they doing last call at midnight? Or does the alley really -- do they kind of shut down earlier than that?

MS. BUDJEVAC: So I feel that the alley winds down around 10:00 o'clock. There might be some open until, you know, 11:00, 11:30, maybe midnight on a Friday, Saturday. It
definitely starts winding down around 10:00 because, again, these are restaurants and bars. They're not nightclubs that are open to 2:00, 3:00, 5:00 o'clock in the morning or whatever.

MR. THOMAS: So you heard -- there was -- were you present at the hearing the other week?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Our hearing, this hearing?

MR. THOMAS: Yeah.
MS. BUDJEVAC: Like, the first part?
I was.
MR. THOMAS: Yeah.
MS. BUDJEVAC: I was.
MR. THOMAS: Did you hear your neighbor? And I'm blanking on his name, but he was a member of the military. And I believe he testified that the alley tends to really close down and wind down around 10:00 p.m. Would that be accurate?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Yeah, I feel so. Well, until Never Looked Better opened.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And so why don't you tell me what are the issues with Never Looked Better?

MS. BUDJEVAC: So I'll be honest. When I first heard Never Looked --

MR. KLINE: Objection to relevance. Why are we talking about Never Looked Better? We're here on Eighteenth Street Lounge.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sustained. We're talking about Eighteenth Street Longue. So all our questions should be toward this establishment. So let's move on.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Do you -- you mentioned that there were concerns about another establishment in Blagden Alley. Do you have a concern about Eighteenth Street Lounge coming into the same building as a problem establishment?

MS. BUDJEVAC: As the way it's been presented to date, $I$ do.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And what are those concerns?

MS. BUDJEVAC: So I'm not a lawyer. I don't -- I'm also new to all this, the ABRA regulations and ANC. But for all intents and purposes -- and I've heard about the licensing.

To me, it sounds just like a nightclub. There's a cover charge. There's
dancing. They're asking to be open until 2:00 o'clock and 3:00 o'clock in the morning.

The capacity is bigger than all of the neighboring establishments. I'm hearing 268 if DCRA signs off on that which is a lot larger than everything else in the alley. And so whether it's noise, whether it's trash, whether it's security, whether it's traffic, $I$ just don't see how it's going to fit.

And I understand Mr. Kline's
objection. But we don't live in a vacuum. And so I would love if -- as Eighteenth Street Lounge, the way it's being described with everything it's going to bring amidst an already existing problem of, like, a residential alley life that is just suffocating and can't manage the capacity and the load that exists. And so those are my concerns.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Let me ask you about Dogtopia quickly. You previously testified that you were here for the first day of this proceeding.

MS. BUDJEVAC: Yes.
MR. THOMAS: Did you hear Mr. Nouri testify that he had an agreement with Dogtopia to
allow his patrons to line up out in front of the establishment?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I did.
MR. THOMAS: Have you spoken with anyone at Dogtopia about whether that's an accurate statement?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I did. I spoke with Michael Bergeron, and I might now be pronouncing his name correctly. He represented himself as the general manager to Dogtopia. He shared with me that -- number one, that his -- he calls them pet parents, that they were actually concerned about noise.

He shared with me that they didn't have, like, a signed agreement or anything like that, that originally he said absolutely no lines in front of my business while it's open. He stated that at 9:00 p.m. So if Eighteenth Street Lounge is operating up until 9:00 p.m., no lines are supposed to be in front of Dogtopia.

From 9:00 p.m., he said they could be standing in front of the business, not blocking the door. But also he didn't want anyone -- they had to assure that nobody would -- any of the patrons would be tapping on the glass because
this would set off security alarms and it would disturb his sleep. He was just -- I just shared that ABRA hearing YouTube with him and said, just take a look. And he just seemed disconcerted and sent a follow-up email.

MR. THOMAS: A follow-up email to who?
MS. BUDJEVAC: I believe it was to Jordan Naber, he said he was going to reach out to.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. So it's not accurate that there's some sort of agreement whereby ESL can line their patrons up in front of Dogtopia?

MS. BUDJEVAC: That was not -correct, that's how I understood it.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. So I want to understand your involvement with this as well. So you have been present at the -- have you been present at the ANC meetings where this application was discussed?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Yes, I have.
MR. THOMAS: Have you been present at all of them?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I can't say I've been present at every single one, but I believe I have
been for most of them because there's the -- are you asking ANC or APC?

MR. THOMAS: Both.
MS. BUDJEVAC: Both? Yeah, I think I might've missed one meeting.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. Have you ever offered to speak with the Applicant about your concerns?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I have. I offered on the first ABC meeting in August when this was first rolled out to the community and then again in September at the follow-up APC meeting.

MR. THOMAS: Okay. And have you -has anyone from ESL reached out to you at all?

MS. BUDJEVAC: No, there was -- there was no response at the public forum --

MR. THOMAS: And what --
MS. BUDJEVAC: -- or afterwards.
MR. THOMAS: What was your goal in reaching out to ESL to discuss what issues existed?

MS. BUDJEVAC: So I think to have a dialogue to understand better what the plan was because what was presented in August seemed really incomplete. I keep hearing about the
security plan. But from my recollection in August, it was one paragraph. And I don't think that's very adequate.

There were -- the concept was a little bit fuzzy to me how it was going to be different other than smaller in size than the original Eighteenth Street Lounge. And so the hours of being 2:00 and 3:00 o'clock with an open roof deck with live entertainment as it was presented in August were alarming. All of the other roof decks in Blagden Alley don't have live entertainment. They're not open until 2:00 and 3:00 o'clock in the morning. And so that -- that was really concerning because it's just -- it goes to quality of life of being able to just go to sleep and wake up and go to work the next day.

MR. THOMAS: If -- to be candid and I would like you to be candid with me. If ESL of Eighteenth Street Lounge were to operate itself like some of the other good operators -- and putting aside Never Looked Better -- some of the other good operators under the same terms and conditions and with the same noise mitigation steps, is that something that you would've been able to work with?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Sure, yes.
MR. THOMAS: Was that ever offered to you?

MS. BUDJEVAC: No.
MR. THOMAS: Was there ever a dialogue about that?

MS. BUDJEVAC: No.
MR. THOMAS: A second. That is all I have right now.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Kline?
MR. KLINE: Yes. Thank you. Good afternoon, Ms. Budjevac?

MS. BUDJEVAC: It's good enough. I'll take --

MR. KLINE: Good enough?
MS. BUDJEVAC: Yeah, I'll take it.
MR. KLINE: All right. All right. So Exhibit 11, you prepared Exhibit 11, correct?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Yes, sir.
MR. KLINE: And you say it's a true and accurate representation of the neighborhood?

MS. BUDJEVAC: To my knowledge.
MR. KLINE: To your knowledge? Were you aware that there's a convention center across 9th Street that's not shown on this true and
accurate representation of the neighborhood?
MS. BUDJEVAC: So it's titled Blagden Naylor, right? And Blagden Naylor is -- the convention center is not part of Blagden Alley.

MR. KLINE: Okay. But yet you've starred one, two, three, four licensees across 9th Street that are located on the ground floor of the convention center, correct?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Correct.
MR. KLINE: So this, indeed, is not a true and accurate representation of the neighborhood in that it is missing one of the most important components of the neighborhood, isn't it?

MS. BUDJEVAC: No, it's accurate because it's Blagden Naylor. Anything --

MR. KLINE: Okay.
MS. BUDJEVAC: -- extra is extra. But
it is an accurate representation of Blagden Alley.

MR. KLINE: Okay. Even though you've got four licensees that are across the street, we're not supposed to count those. Is that your position?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Yes.

MR. KLINE: Okay. And you also -- you seem to demonstrate very thorough knowledge of the establishments that are in the neighborhood since you've been there. You said you moved in, in 2014, correct?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Sorry. Could you repeat that? I didn't hear the end. It broke up a little bit.

MR. KLINE: You said you moved in, in 2014, correct?

MS. BUDJEVAC: That's correct.
MR. KLINE: And you seem to display an encyclopedic knowledge of the establishments that were there then as opposed to now, right? You're familiar with all the ones that were there?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I never said that. Encyclopedic knowledge? I don't know what standard that would be.

MR. KLINE: Okay. But you testified as to all the ones that were there when you moved in, correct?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I testified -- no, I testified to some of the ones that were there.

MR. KLINE: Oh, so that was only a partial description of the ones that were there
when you moved in?
MS. BUDJEVAC: I don't believe I was at every single business that existed in Naylor Court -- sorry, Blagden Alley.

MR. KLINE: We're talking about ABC licenses. I think their point was that there's been tremendous growth and you were demonstrating the contrast between what's there now and what was there before, correct?

MS. BUDJEVAC: That's correct. There has been growth.

MR. KLINE: All right. But that wasn't accurate because Table was at 903 N Street backing up to Blagden Alley, correct?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Incorrect. Table was across the street on $\mathbf{N}$ Street.

MR. KLINE: It was across N Street from where --

MS. BUDJEVAC: Yes.
MR. KLINE: -- from Blagden Alley?
MS. BUDJEVAC: Yes.
MR. KLINE: At 903 N Street?
MS. BUDJEVAC: Yes, to my
recollection, yes. It was not on the southern side of $N$ Street. It's on the northern side of $N$

Street. I had brunch there and dinner and what have you.

MR. KLINE: All right. Now were you here for Martin Beam's testimony, the acoustical engineer?

MS. BUDJEVAC: No, I was not. I wasn't -- I didn't hear all of it. I heard maybe a little piece but not in its entirety.

MR. KLINE: Did you read his report?
MS. BUDJEVAC: No, I did not. Not all of it. Not from beginning to end. I read some of it.

MR. KLINE: You never bothered to review his complete report?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I did bother to go through what I could understand, yeah. But not -- I can't --

MR. KLINE: So --
MS. BUDJEVAC: -- testify that I read every single paragraph, no.

MR. KLINE: You don't have any basis to refute the conclusions in his report, do you?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I'm sorry. I can't hear you.

MR. KLINE: You do not have any basis
to refute the conclusions in his report, do you? MS. BUDJEVAC: Since I didn't read it in its entirety and I'm not a sound engineer or expert, $I$ do not.

MR. KLINE: Okay. The agreement that the Applicant has made to use 9th Street as its entrance and exit, that ameliorates many of the issues you're concerned about, doesn't it?

MS. BUDJEVAC: No, not many. Some.
MR. KLINE: So it wouldn't matter to you if the entrance and exit were in the alley?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Of course it would matter. You asked many.

MR. KLINE: You testified that everybody winds down around 10:00 o'clock. Is that correct?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I said it starts to wind down except for Never Looked Better was my testimony.

MR. KLINE: Except for Never Looked
Better?
MS. BUDJEVAC: Correct.
MR. KLINE: So Columbia Room winds
down around 10:00 o'clock?
MS. BUDJEVAC: It starts to.

MR. KLINE: It starts to?
MS. BUDJEVAC: I believe its summer garden might be until 11:00. I think I said until 11:30 on weekends, maybe into midnight.

MR. KLINE: Okay. And then TG Cigars, they also wind down at 10:00 o'clock?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I'm not aware of that.
MR. KLINE: And Maxwell, do they wind down at 10:00 o'clock as well?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Maxwell is facing on 9th Street. It doesn't face the alley.

MR. KLINE: Well, this establishment doesn't face the alley either, does it?

MS. BUDJEVAC: The back of it does.
MR. KLINE: The back of it.
MS. BUDJEVAC: And the rooftop does.
MR. KLINE: Got it. All right. I don't have any further questions. Oh, wait. No, I do have a question. Who is it you said you talked to at Dogtopia?

MS. BUDJEVAC: His name is Michael and I believe his -- I'm not 100 percent sure how to pronounce his last name, Bergeron, B-E-R-G-E-R-O$N$. And he identified himself as the general manager as opposed to the front desk employees.

MR. KLINE: And when did you speak with him?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I spoke with him yesterday.

MR. KLINE: All right. Those are all the questions $I$ have at this time.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Budjevac, how far away from the location do you currently live?

MS. BUDJEVAC: I think it's 200 feet, 200 feet. I haven't measured it. To be honest, I haven't taken out a measurement.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But can you see the location from where you --

MS. BUDJEVAC: From my balcony? Yeah, from my balcony, you've got -- so the way my apartment is, is that the bedroom and the living room, there are balcony doors that go out onto my balcony. And if I'm sitting on my balcony, I have a good view of the alley.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And so that's about 200 feet away?

MS. BUDJEVAC: Correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Any questions by any -- any other questions by any of
the Board members?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Kline, any questions based on the questions that $I$ asked?

MR. KLINE: No, Mr. Chair.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Thomas, any redirect?

MR. THOMAS: Just I think, like, one or two quick questions. And are you -- Mr. Kline keeps referencing an agreement not to use the back door. Are you aware of any formal written agreement that has been reached either with the ANC or with any of the Protestants in which the Applicant has, in writing, agreed not to use the back door?

MS. BUDJEVAC: No.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. That's all I have.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Thomas --
MR. THOMAS: Oh, actually, excuse me.
Yes, I have one more. I would like to move Exhibit 11 into evidence.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Kline?
MR. KLINE: I would object given that it's seriously lacking in accuracy, as has been demonstrated by the cross-examination.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to overrule the objection, and I'll admit it into evidence for what it's worth. So I'm moving Exhibit 11 into evidence.
(Whereupon, the above-referenced to document was received into evidence as Protestant Exhibit No. 11.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So can you please close your screen, Mr. Thomas. Did you have any other witnesses, sir?

MR. THOMAS: I do not.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Hold on one minute, please.

MR. KLINE: Mr. Chair, we do have rebuttal.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You do what? MR. KLINE: We have rebuttal.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I was about to -- well --

MR. THOMAS: Before we get to rebuttal, I realized there's one exhibit that was used I did not, I think, move into evidence. I believe it is Exhibit 15, the Best Practices for Nightlife Establishments.
(Whereupon, the above-referenced to
document was received into evidence as Protestant Exhibit No. 15 for identification.)

MR. THOMAS: So I'd like to move that into evidence now as well before we get to the rebuttal case.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Kline?
MR. KLINE: I don't have any objection.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So moved. Exhibit 15, so moved.
(Whereupon, the above-referenced to document was received into evidence as Protestant Exhibit No. 15.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So you have rebuttal. Who's the rebuttal witness that you have, Mr. Kline?

MR. KLINE: I have a couple, but they will be brief, I promise.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: A couple? Who are they?

MR. KLINE: Ms. Naber and Mr. Guggenmos and then a records person from ABRA.

MR. THOMAS: I object to certainly Ms. Naber and Mr. Guggenmos who both testified today.

I mean, sorry, Ms. Naber testified last time. But she already offered testimony, as did Mr. -and Guggenmos testified today. I don't really know what they would add, and I would ask for a proffer as to what they would add.

MR. KLINE: Ms. -- I can proffer and if it'll be accepted, that's fine.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr. Kline.

MR. KLINE: Ms. Naber will testify concerning an email exchange with Dogtopia concerning the issues that were just testified about. I'm happy to share my screen. And if that would just be accepted, then $I$ don't need to put her on. All $I$ need to do is to put her on to identify it.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Thomas?
MR. THOMAS: And Mr. Guggenmos?
MR. KLINE: Mr. Guggenmos will testify concerning Ms. Joseph's testimony about community engagement and the meetings.

MR. THOMAS: He already testified about community engagement and meetings. I don't have a problem if Ms. Naber wants to -- if he wants to offer Ms. Naber for that.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: He said he had an email. I mean, if he shows the email -- I don't know, the email, do we need her direct testimony if there's an email?

MR. THOMAS: I don't know what email he's referring to. If he wants to share his screen, $I$ can see it and we can look through it and we can go from there.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Why don't you -- are you able to share your screen, Mr. Kline?

MR. KLINE: I need to be elevated, but yes.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Fashbaugh, can you please allow Mr. Kline to share his screen, please?

MS. FASHBAUGH: It has already been accomplished.

MR. KLINE: Do you see it?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Not yet, sir.
MR. THOMAS: I do not.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, now we can -- now you're able to share your screen. So you can access the document, Mr. Kline.

MR. KLINE: Okay. And do you see the
email?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes.
MR. KLINE: Okay. So it starts here. MR. THOMAS: Okay, okay. I don't -I would have brief questions for her about that, I think.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So we'll call the witness. You can close the screen. All right. Is the witness available?

MS. NABER: Yes, I am.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I think -- all right. I'm sorry. This is Ms. -- where is she? I'm sorry.

MS. NABER: Naber.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Naber, I don't see you in my screen. That's why --

MS. NABER: Jordan Naber.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Oh, okay. I don't see your picture, but I see your name. All right.

MS. NABER: Oh, sorry. I can turn that on.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I previously sworn you in. So you're still under oath, Ms. Naber. So just can you spell and state
your name for the record, please?
MS. NABER: Yes, my name is Jordan Naber. It's J-O-R-D-A-N. Last name, Naber, N-A-B-E-R.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr. Kline.

MR. KLINE: Ms. Naber, subsequent to the last time you testified before the Board, did you have occasion to reach out to Dogtopia?

MS. NABER: Yes, I did.
MR. KLINE: And how did you do that?
MS. NABER: You're asking about prior to our previous hearing?

MR. KLINE: No, I'm asking you about the -- well, let me just -- I'll just show you the email. Let's put it this way. See it here?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm not sure if that was -- are you able to share?

MR. KLINE: I think so. Okay. I'll show you what we will mark as Applicant's 12.
(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as Applicant Exhibit No. 12 for identification.) MR. KLINE: Can you see it on the screen?

MS. NABER: Yes.
MR. KLINE: All right. I'd ask you to identify what this is.

MS. NABER: This is an email exchange between Michael, myself, and Ed. Ed is the person I first reached out to at Dogtopia. I just walked across.

He identified himself as the -- I'll look him up in my phone -- as the operations manager. So he's not the general manager. But he did identify himself as the operations manager.

After our previous hearing, I reached out to him again because I'd rather get something in writing as it seemed everyone wanted me to do. So I reached out to him and asked that he contact -- or give me contact info for the GM which he forwarded along my message. And then I spoke with the GM who said that they don't mind us lining up out in front of their business as long as it's after 9:00 p.m.

MR. KLINE: And that's what's
contained in this email?
MS. NABER: Correct.
MR. KLINE: All right. And this was
an email sent to -- originally to Ed Weddle from your regular email account?

MS. NABER: Yes, from my Eighteenth Street Lounge email.

MR. KLINE: All right. And then you also received a response from Michael Bergeron, correct?

MS. NABER: Correct.
MR. KLINE: That's all the questions I have, and I would move the exhibit.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Thomas?
MR. THOMAS: I don't have any objection to the exhibit being moved into evidence. I have a couple quick questions of the witness, though.

MS. NABER: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, sir. (Whereupon, the above-referenced to document was received into evidence as Applicant Exhibit No. 12.)

MR. THOMAS: This exhibit was -- this email exchange $I$ should say was sent after the last hearing, correct?

MS. NABER: That is correct.
MR. THOMAS: So these efforts all took
place after the representations about the agreement with Dogtopia were made to this Board, correct?

MS. NABER: No. I --
MR. THOMAS: The emails were sent
before?
MS. NABER: The email was not sent before. But the efforts to contact them --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. THOMAS: My question is --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Thomas, hold on. Give her an opportunity to answer the question.

MR. THOMAS: It's a yes or no question. Mr. Kline was provided this, the same luxury of not letting the witness walk all over the record and forcing the witness --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Thomas, Mr.
Thomas. You're an attorney, sir. You need to focus -- if you needed a yes or no answer, you need to focus your question to give her -- so she can only answer the question yes or no, sir. And

MR. THOMAS: Yes or no --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But however,

Mr. Thomas, if the witness also states that I need to explain it, you need to give her an opportunity to explain if she states so. Okay? MR. THOMAS: I understand that. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. THOMAS: Yes or no, is this email from after our last hearing on this application? MS. NABER: Yes, but I would like to explain.

MR. THOMAS: Explain what? That it was --

MS. NABER: I feel it's misrepresenting our efforts if you say this -MR. THOMAS: I didn't ask you about your effort. I asked you when the email was sent. That was the only question I asked. The only question I asked was when this email was sent.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. THOMAS: That's all $I$ asked.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. She has answered the question. Is there another question?

MR. THOMAS: No, that's it. That's
the only question. All of this was after the last hearing.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Any questions by any of the Board members?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'll ask you a question, Ms. Naber. Why was this email sent?

MS. NABER: This email was sent after the previous hearing since everyone was asking about if we had any formal agreement. I stated in the last hearing that we didn't have a formal agreement, that I had just spoken with Ed, the operations manager. So I sent this one as a response to that to make sure that $I$ was speaking to the right person who could give me a more formal answer.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Any questions by any of the Board members?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Thomas, any recross based on the questions that I'd asked?

MR. THOMAS: No.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Kline, any redirect based -- any redirect, Mr. Kline?

MR. KLINE: No, Mr. Chair. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Naber, for your testimony. Do you have another rebuttal witness, sir?

MS. NABER: Thank you.
MR. KLINE: John Guggenmos.
MR. THOMAS: I object to the testimony from Mr. Guggenmos. He's already testified today. The matter that's been proffered is something he already testified about. We've already discussed eliminating duplicative testimony, and there's already been seven, now eight witnesses from the Applicant. I don't see what this testimony adds at all.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And so Mr. Kline, the purpose of this testimony is again why are we calling Mr. Guggenmos?

MR. KLINE: There's been suggestion throughout the testimony that the Applicant failed to connect with the neighborhood, failed to reach out, failed to meet, failed to provide information. Mr. Guggenmos is Chair of the ANC prior to Ms. Joseph taking over on January 5th. He could shed some light on that.

MR. THOMAS: He already testified to all of these outreach issues in his statement and
questions from both myself, Mr. Kline, and the Board .

MR. KLINE: WE did not have Ms. Joseph's testimony at that point.

MR. THOMAS: He read a letter that was co-authored by Ms. Joseph.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I guess what I'm curious, Mr. -- I'm not quite sure what is it that he's -- I'm not quite sure what is it that he's going to rebut. At least from my -- from what I've heard, there were conversations. So I mean, I've heard from what was presented at least Mr. -- the licensee had four meetings with the ANC -- two with the ANC, two with, I guess, the license committee.

So that proves to -- at least proves to me that there was conversation. If the folks are saying that there were no individual conversations with members, okay, that's a different story. But if -- at least from what was presented to me, it's clear that there's conversation with the -- between the licensee and the ANC.

At least as I've stated before, there's an agreement that there was at least four
meetings. At least -- so that's clear to me. So I don't necessarily think that this witness is necessary to rebut because at least it's clear from what I've heard over the two days of testimony that there were at least four meetings between the licensee and the community.

MR. KLINE: We'll forego it then.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thanks.
MR. KLINE: And lastly, we have Lamont Mahone who is ABRA records.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And what is it that -- is he presenting?

MR. KLINE: He would present a record search which reflects that there are 57 CT licenses that have been approved that have entertainment endorsement, dancing endorsement, cover charge endorsement, and an outside seating endorsement via the sidewalk caf, , a summer garden, or both.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So he's just verifying the ABRA's records?

MR. KLINE: That's all he's doing. There was a suggesting by a Board member that what was being requested here is very unusual,
that this isn't done. And this would demonstrate that there are indeed 57 licensees that have been approved in exactly this fashion.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Thomas?
MR. THOMAS: In the interest of time, I'm willing to stipulate that ABRA's record are well kept and accurate. I'm not sure why it's necessary for ABRA's own recordkeeper to appear before here and testify to that fact. And I'm not aware of the testimony that Mr. Kline is referring to and to the issue that Mr. Kline is referring to.

MR. KLINE: If the Board can just take administrative notice that there are 57 licenses -- CT licenses that have been approved for entertainment endorsement, cover charge, dancing endorsement, and outside seating, then we can --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. THOMAS: In the District of Columbia, correct?

MR. KLINE: In the District of Columbia, yes. The Board can't approve licenses outside of the District of Columbia.

MR. THOMAS: No, no, no. But I meant we're talking about in the District -- the 57
licenses are not in Blagden Alley. They're in the District of Columbia.

MR. KLINE: Correct, yes.
MR. THOMAS: Okay. I just wanted to understand what that was.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You're talking about in the District of Columbia or within the 1,200 -- I mean, I'm confused.

MR. KLINE: No, we're talking about there were questions by a Board member, and there was a suggestion that having a tavern license and having these other endorsements was very, very unusual. There were questions from a Board member last time we were here suggesting that's just not done and this is unusual. And the only purpose of administrative notice of this fact was to show that there are 57 licenses that have the same endorsements that are being asked for by this Applicant.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Thomas, if you agree to a stipulation, then we don't need to

MR. THOMAS: Yeah, I agree to stipulate that ABRA's records are accurate and that to the extent the representation made by
counsel is consistent with it. I don't have any issue with that as long as the clarification it's the entire District of Columbia and not Blagden Alley.

MR. KLINE: Correct, yes, absolutely.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr. Mahone, thank you very much. I know you're prepared to testify. Sorry that I'm not going to give you that pleasure today, sir. But thank you, Mr. Mahone, for your willingness to serve today. All right. Thank you. Your testimony is not necessary from you today. Thank you, sir. All right. Thank you. All right. Do the parties rest?

MR. KLINE: Yes, the Applicant rests.
MR. THOMAS: Yes, Protestant, BANCA rests.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Do you need to break before you do the closing statement? The Applicant is first, the Protestant is first, or do you want to go straight into your closing arguments?

MR. KLINE: I'm ready to go.
MR. THOMAS: I'm ready to go as well.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

Okay. So what I would like both sides to tell me, we're starting out with the Applicant, what is it that the Applicant is seeking specifically and what is it that the Protestant is seeking specifically. So let's start with the Applicant.

MR. KLINE: Yes, thank you, Mr.
Chairman, thank you, members of the Board for not only your time today but also your time two weeks ago in giving this matter careful consideration. The Applicant is requesting that the application be approved as submitted with a couple of -- with one modification and one condition. The modification is that service would cease at 2:30 in the summer garden.

The condition is that the Applicant will only use 9th Street as an exit and entrance to the establishment. Mr. Nouri has been clear that's his intention. There's been lots of testimony about it.

There's been some fear it seems on the part of the Protestants that that's not going to happen. And we're perfectly agreeable to having that be a condition of approval of the license if the Board deems it appropriate. So that's what we're asking for.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on. I'M sorry. Hold on, Mr. Kline. I just want to get some clarification. I know what you're asking for. But -- and I want to make sure that when I review my notes, I'm clear because I need you to -- what was on the application? And the reason I'm saying because I could've sworn when your witness testified -- when the licensee testified, he stated that he was not seeking entertainment endorsement for the rooftop.

MR. KLINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. You are correct on that point. Yes, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I just want to make sure that when I'm reviewing my notes as to make a determination, we're all on the same page. That's why I'm stating -- I want to make sure that I know exactly -- if that's not the position -- if that's not your position in closing, I'm fine. But I don't want to review my notes and then I see something that's inconsistent.

MR. KLINE: I apologize, and I thank you for that clarification. That was my oversight. Yes, we agreed early on that the Applicant is not seeking entertainment endorsement for the summer garden outside area.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Go ahead, sir.

MR. KLINE: All right. So that's what we're seeking. In terms of this case, what we believe we've demonstrated through the testimony is what we have here is a reliably proven operator who has shown a history of being responsive to community concerns over a period of 25 years and has a good plan for this establishment at this location. And then we'll talk about the concerns that the neighbors have raised and why they do not undercut the cases made concerning this reliable, responsive operator with a viable plan to operate this business.

In terms of him being a reliable operator, we had, in my experience and observation, something that $I$ have never seen before which was we had testimony from an ANC single member district Commissioner in the person of Mike Silverstein who was a single member district Commissioner for the area in which Mr. Nouri previously operated Eighteenth Street Lounge for 25 years. And Mr. Silverstein's testimony was very clear as to Mr. Nouri's
cooperation, responsiveness, willingness to deal with issues that arose on a regular basis. And they deemed him reliable.

You also heard from Patrick Powell who was the director of operations at the Golden Triangle BID who has a lot of responsibility for dealing with other there what is called Club Central. And he testified that they lost one of the good ones when Mr. Nouri closed Eighteenth Street Lounge on 18th Street and was very clear that Mr. Nouri was a responsible operator, was always a leader in terms of issues that arose. There was talk about his organizing -- attempting to organize a trash compactor and talking leadership on trash issues and also taking leadership on a rideshare program that was successfully instituted over in the Golden Triangle BID.

So we also looked at his investigative history. And although Mr. Thomas may think, oh, my God, there's a lot on this page, we know, the Board knows a 25-year history, there was one violation that is shown there. Now we heard testimony about residents being concerned about underage drinking.

Mr. Nouri has never had even a sell to a minor violation at his other location in 25 years. So we think it's very clear. Usually in these cases, the Board is asked to give the benefit of the doubt to a new operator because who can say that what the neighbors are concerned about, what the residents are concerned about is going to come to pass. Is this fear or do we have an operator who's going to be responsible? And the Board has a demonstrated history of giving new operators the benefit of the doubt. In this case, I'm not asking you to give the new operator the benefit of the doubt. We have an established operator, one who's been doing it for 25 years and has proven himself not only responsible in terms of the operation of his business but also a leader on issues that might arise. And we think that's very, very important.
And it's puzzling -- and I'll get to
it in a little bit -- in terms of the community's sense, at least some of the witnesses, that Mr. Nouri is not one to engage, is not one to be responsive because that is contrary to his 25year history. In terms of responsiveness, we heard testimony concerning the fact that there
was at one point a noise problem, noise issue. And you heard testimony that there are actually residents in the way of Jefferson Row Condominiums who were closer, much closer to the back patio of Eighteenth Street Lounge on 18th Street.

And I think what $I$ heard from one witness when I asked whether they believed Mr. Silverstein or Mr. Powell, they said no because no residents testified. Well, I don't know what Mr. Silverstein is if not a resident. It seems to me that he's a longtime respected resident of that community, former ANC Chair and ANC Commissioner. He is a resident.

But there was also testimony concerning Abigail Nichols who I think is well known to the Board. She was head of something called the DC Nightlife Noise Coalition and had issues with a number of establishments there. But the testimony was when Ms. Nichols raised issues with Mr. Nouri, he went and figured it out. No protest was filed. You never heard about this.

Mr. Nouri went in. He got his sound people. And he said, how do we fix this? And
they went in and they adjusted the frequencies, and that was the end of it. And that was 11 or 12 years ago.

And even then, there may have been issues with other establishments in that neighborhood. The DC Nightlife Noise Coalition, they never had any issues with Mr. Nouri except that one time. Now in terms of a good plan, mentioned a good plan, Mr. Nouri has heard the community. He's voluntarily taken several steps.

And indeed once he gets open or if he gets open, I'm sure he will take more. And those included his agreement which we've now stipulated to be a condition of the license only to use the front door for an entrance to and exit from the establishment, his agreement to putting cameras -- put in a security camera system, his agreement to have trained security staff and do a security plan even though as a CT license, he's not required to, and also to take the lead on trash compactor and trash issues. Now this was affirmed not just by our witnesses but also by Sherene Joseph who was called by the Protestants. And she confirmed that, yes, Mr. Nouri agreed to do all of these things. Now she said
they didn't solve all the problems.
Understandable. But he did agree to do them. And I think that that shows -- and he didn't get anything in return because we're here.

There's no settlement agreement. But he said, yeah, I'll do this. These are concerns. I take this seriously. And this is consistent with the approach that he took when he ran Eighteenth Street Lounge on 18th Street.

We had Marty Beam as a witness. And when you talk about a plan, I know in these cases concern is frequently with outside space. It is always a difficult issue for the Board. Communities are concerned about it.

Mr. Beam was hired and came up with a plan as to how to mitigate the noise. And he was asked, well, how often are you right? When are you wrong? And Mr. Beam testified very clearly. If the client, in this case, Mr. Nouri, follows my recommendations, it always works.

And do you know why? Because it's science. Mr. Beam is a licensed acoustical engineer. So he knows what he's talking about. And the steps that he's recommended, he has told you as he's told you in other cases because he's
testified before you in the past, that if his plan is implemented, that the noise issues will not be a problem for the residents of the alley.

Now we've had several witnesses, lay people who tried to dispute that and how does that work. And I'm going to give you what I think -- and maybe only the older of us will remember, I'm going to give it a shot anyway -- a very perfect example of how this works. Have any of you been to a diner that has the jukebox -the remote jukebox thing in the booth?

If you put in your quarter sitting at your table, you only hear it at your table. And that's the beauty of many small speakers is that you can disperse the sound and make it so that it's only heard at one table of the diner. It's not heard at every table.

And the same thing could be done in a space such as this one. Use a lot of small speakers, and that is a way to effectively control the noise. But I'll say this to you. Even if it doesn't work and Mr. Beam has indicated that his success rate is 100 percent as long as the client follows his recommendations, you shouldn't have any doubt that Mr. Nouri will
then take the next steps which might be required if this is a problem.

And I don't ask you to take our word for it. I ask you to look at the two witnesses who testified about his operations in his previous neighborhood who said, yeah, that's what he did. That's who he is.

Now I've talked a lot about Mr. Nouri, the Applicant. I want to turn to the concerns of the neighborhood which I don't understand. It's kind of a disconnect.

Mr. Shaikh said he wants people he can work with. And I don't know what happened here. But I don't know that I could put on any better evidence of someone that you could work with than the witnesses that we had who testified as to Mr. Nouri's previous experience with the community. Again, the also expressed -- he may have been the one -- I think there were a couple witnesses actually that expressed concern about underage drinking. That's never been an issue in this establishment, not one time. And the Board knows how difficult that can be to police and how prevalent it is in many establishments.

And there were several as I said
previously who just simply doubted the veracity of Mr. Silverstein and Mr. Powell. For what reason, I can't fathom. I guess because they didn't present their position.

But Mr. Silverstein I know is well known to this Board. And I don't think there's any reason to doubt his veracity. Mr. Powell has been before you a few times in his role as Director of the Golden Triangle BID specifically on bar crawls and some other issues.

I don't know of any reason to doubt his veracity either, nor was any credible case made that somehow there would be noise from the summer garden area despite Mr. Beam's testimony. It was just, like, yeah, I don't believe that. I don't. I asked almost every witness, do you have any basis to refute Mr. Beam's conclusions? And not one of them could credibly say that they could do so.

So our position is the overwhelming weight of the evidence is that Mr. Nouri has shown that he is a capable, responsible, responsive operator and that this license should be approved with a couple of changes that we've talked about and acknowledged at the commencement
of closing and that there be no additional conditions imposed. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Thank you, Mr. Kline. Before I go to you, Mr. Thomas, does the ANC wish to make a closing, because when we started the hearing, I had stated that they could make an opening and closing. So does the ANC wish to make a closing statement?

MR. GUGGENMOS: If you -- at the moment, no. But if you would allow us to -we'll just pass.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Ms. -- I'm sorry. Ms. Joseph, I know that you both -- well, is there a consensus on the ANC that there's no statement that's necessary?

MS. JOSEPH: Yes, there is consensus.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. What about -- I'm sorry. Mr. Thomas, you work for the Blagden Alley Naylor Court Association. Is that correct?

MR. THOMAS: That's correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Now do we have anyone here from the -- and I know that you represent everyone. But I just want to for closing, what about the Picnic Benchers? Is
there anyone from the Picnic Benchers who wants to make a closing?
(No response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. And I know that Ms. Budjevac had testified. But she was representing for the Group of 6 . I'll still give you an opportunity, Ms. Budjevac, if you want to make a closing. Or you can defer to Mr. Thomas.

MS. BUDJEVAC: I'm happy to defer to Mr. Thomas.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, fine. Thank you very much. I just want to make sure that I address all the parties. I know that at the beginning of the hearing they were all there -- they were all here. I know that we simplified by saying that they had do use one representative.

But I did state that they could make an opening and closing if they so desire. So that's why I was just trying to make sure that I did that at closing. All right. So Mr. Thomas, what is it that you want the agency to do, please?

MR. THOMAS: We are requesting that
the Board deny the application in its entirety. It's not appropriate for the location.

Alternatively, we would ask that conditions on licensure be imposed, including not only the condition that Mr. Kline represented before but also the fact that --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What are the --
I know that you're arguing in the alternative. So what are the conditions that Mr. Kline had stated if the Board was to be inclined to grant the license?

MR. THOMAS: Mr. Kline had mentioned the condition on only the use of 9th Street for ingress and egress. We would also request that as a condition of licensure that any sound mitigation plan that they have put forth before the Board be implemented as a condition of any sort of licensure, both on the interior and exterior of the property. We would further ask for the endorsements -- the entertainment endorsement to be limited in not allowing for cover charge, valet, or dancing.

We would ask that the summer garden -the summer garden I understand now doesn't contain an entertainment endorsement. We would
ask that the hours of the summer garden be tailored to -- bear with me one second -- 8:00 a.m. to 12:30 a.m. And that -- oh, excuse me. And on the entertainment endorsement that noise from the establishment should not be audible from the sidewalk -- or on the sidewalk on 9th Street or in the alley. Excuse me. I apologize. The summer garden, we would want 12:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m., not 12:00 a.m., 12:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. That's it?

MR. THOMAS: Subject to -- yes, yes, that's it. I apologize. One more thing. The actual hours should end at 1:00 a.m. on Sunday and Thursday. Or excuse me, should end at 1:00 a.m. Friday and Saturday as well.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So you're saying seven days a week that they should stop operating at 1:00 a.m.

MR. THOMAS: Correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Is that what you're saying?

MR. THOMAS: Correct.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. All
right. Do the parties wish to file proposed
findings of fact and conclusions of law or waive that?

MR. THOMAS: We wish to file findings of fact and conclusions of law.

MR. KLINE: Well, then we will.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry.
What did you say, Mr. Kline?
MR. KLINE: I mean, if he's going to file, then we will also reserve our right to file.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. If the parties choose to file proposed findings of fact and conclusion of law, then 90 days from the Board receiving proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law. The transcripts will be emailed to the parties in approximately three weeks.

MR. THOMAS: Do I get to make a closing statement?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Oh, I thought that was your closing statement.

MR. THOMAS: No, no, no, no, no. I was --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's why I said, is that it? And you said yes. So --

MR. THOMAS: I thought you meant that was it on the alternative relief. I apologize.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, no, no. I thought that was your closing statement.

MR. THOMAS: No, no, no, no.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's fine. I'm sorry. Go ahead, sir. I apologize.

MR. THOMAS: No. Well, first of all, I'd like to than the Board for taking the time and personally thank the Board for indulging me and my own issues the last go around and continuing this case to a second day. It's very much appreciated. And I appreciate you guys taking the time to consider the evidence and consider the issues that my clients are facing.

At the beginning of this case, I told the Board that this case was about a nightclub that by its very own terms was moving to a neighborhood to make a lot of noise. And the evidence, I believe, has demonstrated that that is true. But this case as you've heard today is also about a neighborhood and about a community, a community that is a residential community and one that also has many small businesses.

And that these two sides of the same coin have struck an arrangement over the shared use this historic District of Columbia alley. The agreement involves businesses that cater to tamer, less raucous crowds. And you heard testimony about how the -- from both the Protestant's and the Applicant's witnesses about how the alley starts to wind down earlier, how people come to the alley to start their night, not to end their night.

This agreement between the local businesses and the residents is also an acknowledgment of quality of life issues that can exist in the alley, particularly that of noise. You heard that these types of arrangements have created the ability for the residents to dialogue with the members of the community -- excuse me, with the members of the business community and has allowed them to address quality of life issues that affect these residents in their homes and have maintained good working -- and allowed for the maintenance of good working relationships with the local businesses. This compromise as I believe one of the Commissioners has noted is not perfect.

It hasn't resolved every single issue. But the parties have made it work thus far. The Applicant, however, represents a threat to this equilibrium that's been struck between the residents and the local businesses

As the evidence has shown, ESL's planned business is a nightclub with live music and dancing into the early hours of the morning, something that does not exist currently in the alley and is, in fact, far different from the establishments that exist there. The Applicant's requested hours are later than those of every other establishment in its direct vicinity. Its requested entertainment endorsement is far more expansive than the licensed establishments in its direct vicinity.

Its summer garden hours are far more expansive than any other establishment in its direct vicinity. And unlike many of those other establishments, the Applicant has not entered settlement agreements with the ANC. This distinction is perhaps the most pronounced when you consider the precise location that the Applicant is looking to open up in which is a building with another ABC licensed establishment
directly below it that has caused problems to the community already.

Nothing that the Applicant has presented contradicts any of this at all. In fact, it confirms it. The Applicant's own owner represented looking to go back to its traditional roots as a nightclub when it was located in what Mr. Kline has himself termed Nightclub Central. And it's for this reason that the Applicant cannot establish its appropriateness for this particular area. The Applicant through Mr. Nouri offered testimony that it was a nightclub, that they were focused on music and dancing and worldwide acts that toured the world. It offered testimony from an ANC member, Mr. Silverstein, and who Mr. Kline made much of and which he admitted that his former location was Nightclub Central, not a residential alley such as Blagden Alley.

And in fact, Mr. Silverstein directly
stated that he would not opine on the appropriateness of the Applicant for this particular area. He actually declined on multiple occasions to do so. The Applicant also offered a witness from the Golden Triangle BID to
speak about its business practices.
But what was absent was while it provided a business leader, it didn't provide any residents from the Jefferson Row Condominiums that were behind it who could speak directly about these particular noise issues that existed and how they were appropriately addressed. This is important frankly because you also heard from my clients and the residents of Blagden Alley that have directly testified about the lack of outreach by ESL in this entire process, about their requests over and over and over again to sit down and discuss the issues, about how the patronize the local businesses, and how they are not opposed to ABC licensed establishments, but that the Applicant wouldn't reach out and wouldn't respond.

The lack of outreach can only lead to one sort of conclusion, that going forward, the Applicant has no intent to reach out to the community in the future, no intent to deal with the community on fair terms and to cooperate with the community. So unlike a lot of the testimony that was offered by Mr. Silverstein and the gentleman from the Golden Triangle BID, the

Applicant's conduct thus far is directly contradictory to it being a good actor and someone who works within the community. The inappropriateness of the Applicant is also confirmed by this uncertainty and inconsistency of its plans.

It's for this exact reason that Chairman Anderson asks point blank, what exactly is the type of license that the Applicant is looking for, because it's changed from the start of this hearing towards the end of it. The Applicant hasn't presented fully permitted plans. The Applicant did not present a sound study to the ANC or to the residents until after the ANC twice voted to protest.

It's a moving target. It always has been. It always will be. And there's been no showing by the Applicant that it will engage on terms that are fair to the residents of Blagden Alley.

In fact, as noted during our prior dialogue, the Applicant hasn't even offered to make its noise mitigation plans a condition of its licensure which should show and be direct evidence of how seriously it's taking its noise
obligations. If it really was concerned about noise and really was working to mitigate those issues, I would think that that would've been point blank something that would've been offered up as a condition of licensure. But it was noticeably absent.

I also think that there are serious credibility issues that the Applicant has demonstrated throughout this entire process. At first, the owner himself testified in front of this Board that it had -- after hearing about the line issues that I had an agreement with its next door neighbor concerning line management that it could implement. And it wasn't until his own general manager stepped in to contradict him that no such agreement actually existed. And you heard testimony from the residents describing something that is far shakier than any sort of firm agreement for line management.

Likewise, the Applicant's past is not so clean as Mr. Kline would suggest. Over 25 -the Applicant has been operating for 25 years. But within the past two, the Applicant has been fined and by ABRA for misleading ABRA investigators and hindering an investigation.

So I think it's far more relevant and far more telling how the Applicant operates conduct within the past couple of years rather than conduct 10, 15 years ago. All of these inconsistencies in Mr. Nouri's testimony and the checkered past of the Applicant should give the ABRA Board great pause. But I also think what should give the Board pause is the ANC's position as well which is supposed to be given a substantial amount of weight in these proceedings.

The ANC has discussed that it is protesting. It has discussed that it has issues with trash, with noise, with traffic, and that none of these issues have been fully alleviated at all. And while certain ANC Commissioners who represent nightlife establishments may have different opinions about what is appropriate and what is not, the official position of the ANC and not Mr. Guggenmos is that these issues have not been alleviated and have resulted in the ANC itself protesting this and requesting severe limitations on any sort of licensure.

So in sort, it's not just BANCA. It's not just the Group of 6 that are protesting this
license. But it's also their duly elected representatives. It is for these reasons that the Applicant has not met its appropriateness standard for this region -- or for this particular area.

And it is for this reason that the Protestant, Blagden Alley Naylor Court Association, would request that the license be denied in its entirety or in the alternative, subject to the limitations that we just previously discussed. And thank you very much for your time. And I hope you all have a pleasant evening.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Thank you, Mr. Thomas. And as -- all right. I asked were the parties going to do a proposed finding of conclusion of law, and you said yes. So the transcript will be emailed to the parties in approximately three weeks. And 30 days upon receipt of the transcript, the proposed finding of fact and conclusion of law that's due. All right? All right.

I want to thank everyone for their participation over the last two days, and -yeah, for the last -- I'm sorry, for the last --
this two-day hearing in a sense. Who's --
MR. SHAIKH: Mr. Anderson, sorry.
This is Amir Shaikh on behalf of the Group of 6. I want to just -- can I quickly give some specific community concerns?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on. Hold on. Hold on. Hold on. Hold on. I'm sorry. Hold on, sir. Hold on. Hold on one minute. I did call -- I'm sorry. Hold on. Mr. -- I'm sorry.

Mr. Shaikh, you were not designated as a representative for the Group of 6. For the Group of 6, it's Ms. Budjevac. She's the person who was designated as the designated representative of the Group 6.

So unfortunately, she determined that she did not want to give a closing. So sir, you guys have lost that opportunity. And so I cannot allow that to occur, sir. Okay?

But that's one reason I'm going from my notes, the notes where we started the hearing. We have ANC 2C which is Mr. Guggenmos, the Blagden Alley Naylor Court Association. It was initially Robert Goldberg, but then Mr. Thomas was representing as the designated
representative.
Then we had the Picnic Benchers and that was Hector Pinto and Vincent Easley were the designated representative. And for the Group of 6, it was Ms. Jelena Budjevac. And she deferred to Mr. Thomas. So I'm sorry, sir. All right. Okay. All right. So hold on one minute. All right. All right.

So I'm going to close the record on this case. As Chairperson of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board for the District of Columbia and in accordance with D.C. Official Code Section 2-574(b) of the Open Meetings Act, I move that $A B C$ Board wholly close the meeting for the purpose of seeking legal advice from our counsel on Case No. 21-PRO-00079, Eighteenth Street Lounge pursuant to D.C. Office Code 2574(b)(4) of the Open Meetings Act and deliberating upon Case No. 21-PRO-00079, Eighteenth Street Lounge for the reasons cited in D.C. Official Code Section 2-574(b)(13) of the Open Meetings Act. Is there a second?

MEMBER HANSEN: I second. Jeni Hansen.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Hansen has
second the motion. I will now take a roll call vote on the motion before us. Mr. Cato?

MEMBER CATO: Bobby Cato, I agree. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Crockett? MEMBER CROCKETT: Rafi Crockett, I agree.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Hansen? MEMBER HANSEN: Jeni Hansen, I agree. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grandis? MEMBER GRANDIS: Edward Grandis, I agree.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And Mr. Anderson, $I$ agree. As it appears that the motion has passed, $I$ hereby give notice that the ABC Board will recess these proceedings to hold a closed meeting in ABC Board conference room pursuant to Section 2-574(b) of the Open Meetings Act. Again, I want to thank the parties for their participation today, and we will take this matter under advisement.

The Board will wait to receive the proposed findings of fact and conclusion of law before we make a final decision and issue a written determination in this case. All right? Thank you. Have a great day. I don't need my --

I need my Board members to remain. I don't need you guys to leave until 1 tell you it's time to leave.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 6:43 p.m.)

1

A
A-I-K-H 88.2
A-IK-H 88:22
A-M-I- 17:3
A-M-I-R 88:21
a.m 42:6,6,7,7,7,8,9,9 42:19 54:21,22 90:12
90:13,13 113:18
260:3,3,9,14,16,19
ABC 9:1 46:17 98:16
155:2,7,12 156:23 157:6 161:24 162:3 162:13,14 167:22 168:10 170:14 171:20 177:24 180:10 207:18 208:8 211:19 212:2 218:10 223:5 264:25 266:15 272:14 273:14 273:16
abide 41:14 42:12
Abigail 251:16
ability 15:20 72:5,7,8 102:24 139:25 158:24 263:16
able 14:6 16:1 20:23 21:2 45:13 47:7,14 50:7 52:19 54:24 96:5 116:2 126:19 131:10 131:19,20 156:10 169:13 173:10 178:1 192:6,15,18,25 219:15,25 232:10,23 234:18
above-entitled 164:16 274:4
above-referenced 124:21 229:5,25 230:12 236:18
above-referred 103:6 197:25 234:21
ABRA 2:8,9 6:1 37:20 75:24 85:4 157:8 178:20,21 179:3 180:4 214:21 217:3 230:23 242:11 268:24 268:24 269:7
ABRA's 20:4 242:22 243:6,8 244:24
ABRA-related 19:4
absent 266:2 268:6
absolutely 44:17 64:10 91:18 92:18 94:22 102:15 109:8 116:4,5 153:10 165:14 178:5 178:8 179:24 216:16 245:5
abut 108:22 168:12 abuts 12:7 196:21 accepted 231:7,14
access 109:23 232:24
accessed 124:12
accessible 89:7,10
accomplished 72:12
191:15 197:23 232:18
accosted 131:21
account 236:2
accuracy 228:24
accurate 103:13 109:2 113:8 119:8,20 120:17,22 166:10 192:8 198:22 199:11 200:23 213:20 216:6 217:11 220:21 221:1 221:11,15,19 223:13 243:7 244:24
accusation 44:14
acknowledged 53:1 256:25
acknowledgment 263:13
acoustical 20:21,24 21:3 22:6 25:17 26:4 26:21 51:19 224:4 253:22
Act 272:13,18,22 273:18
action 170:3
active 93:14
actively $98: 7$
activities 154:13
activity 58:25 59:5 60:15 76:8 79:22 81:4 81:6,15 82:5,9 85:1 96:5
actor 170:11,17 171:1 171:17 177:16 267:2
acts 265:14
actual 26:21 260:14
Adams 64:15 70:14 71:1,2,4 74:24 82:3
add 45:9 48:12 114:14 114:19 231:4,5
adding 113:18 127:23 155:23 210:19
addition 20:6 61:3,25 82:8 91:4 126:17 173:17
additional 52:6 174:25 257:1
additionally 21:4 156:25
address 13:19 18:2 19:11,15 20:11 63:8 129:24 142:21 143:17 144:5,6,18 155:21 159:5 160:3,21 188:1 196:14 258:14 263:19
addressed 6:7 16:14 60:13 143:24 266:7
addresses 64:1 143:14
adds 240:13
adequate 219:3
adjacent 89:6 95:2 203:24
adjectives 48:8
adjudicated 21:11
adjusted 252:1
administrative 9:1 39:2 39:6 135:12 243:14 244:16
admissible 37:23
admit 115:5 229:2
admitted 124:19 265:17
ADMO 71:2
adopt 166:8,11,15
advertised 65:1
advice 162:19 272:15
advised 19:13 33:17
advisement 273:20
advises 19:4
Advisor 90:18
advocate 24:11,13,17 24:21 25:2
affect 263:20
affiliate 130:17
affirm 18:18,20 57:14 88:13 165:3 195:16
affirmed 252:22
afraid 126:24
afterhours 92:4,5
afternoon 4:3 5:3,9,23 6:5 57:19 76:17,19 88:18 132:6 165:8 185:17 191:24 192:1 195:22 220:12
age 212:8
agency 258:23
ago 7:20 30:15 37:1 48:20 71:9 111:2 150:9 185:23 246:9 252:3 269:4
agree 29:17 37:16 47:20 74:6,11 75:21 76:6 87:3 124:13 166:17 167:2,4 187:5 244:21,23 253:2 273:3,6,8,11,13
agreeable 246:22
agreed 141:18 167:18 169:5 171:14 181:16 188:9,21 200:13,15 228:14 247:23 252:25
agreement 19:23 21:9 27:13,14,15 28:4,12 28:13,15,17 31:6 34:5

34:14 39:23 40:11
42:15 47:15,23,25
137:8 141:5 179:11
180:1 188:6,7,22
215:25 216:15 217:11
225:5 228:10,12
237:2 239:10,12
241:25 252:13,16,17
253:5 263:4,11
268:12,16,19
agreements 172:7,15
172:18 188:1 264:21
agrees 143:1,10
ahead 7:10 14:5 15:15 18:22 28:24 65:13,19 171:9 191:22,23 231:8 234:5 236:17 248:2 262:8
air 196:23
alarming 219:10
alarms 217:1
alcohol 35:21 105:9 109:15 198:15
Alcoholic 1:2,14 19:3 272:10
alert 9:4
ALIYA 1:21
All- 205:6
alleviate 173:25 210:20
alleviated 269:15,21
alleys 95:5 134:14 145:20,21 146:1,1 203:9 206:7
allow 22:24 49:10 51:7 161:23 170:6 197:20 216:1 232:15 257:10 271:19
allowed 21:8 40:10 51:9 135:22 212:14 263:19 263:21
allowing 259:21
allows 22:25 170:2
Ally 153:22
alternative 259:8 262:3 270:9
Alternatively 259:3 ameliorates 225:7 amidst 215:14
Amir 2:20 3:4 12:4 16:24 17:2,3 88:10,11 88:21,23 204:24 211:7 212:6 271:3
amount 33:11 269:10
amplified 139:19
analogy 51:11
ANC's 14:2 21:9 29:11 30:6 33:2 167:7 173:16 178:25 269:8

ANCs 28:4 157:7
Andrew 2:12 4:11 6:12 76:20
annoying 100:25
answer 25:5 28:1 34:2
34:3 37:13 38:8 39:15
54:13 65:3,20 113:25
135:10,15,17,25
136:6,25 142:15,16 143:22 144:24 148:5 151:7,10 153:8 155:13 171:9 179:20 237:12,20,22 239:16
answered 138:23 146:23 238:23
answering 87:9 153:7 174:20
anticipate 13:16 34:16 67:4 69:4,7,10 76:7
anybody 85:18 97:11 111:11 139:18
anytime 130:1 176:4
anyway 13:7 254:8
apart 20:13 128:3
apartment 156:17
202:12,15 227:17
apartments 68:3,4
APC 19:3,15 23:21 25:11 26:14 35:19 52:6 166:14 175:24 176:21 183:19 218:2 218:12
apologies 35:17
apologize 6:12 52:25 73:24 90:13 191:17 196:2 247:21 260:7 260:13 262:3,8
appear 13:3,4 243:8 appears 59:17 114:24 191:9 207:17 273:13
apples 44:5
Applicant 2:10,11 3:13 3:21 5:9 6:13 9:19 13:21 19:1,5 20:11,18 21:18,21 22:2,7,9,18 22:24 27:7 31:18 35:10 41:10 52:10,13 53:6 76:21 127:17 134:24 136:14 137:13 140:1,7 143:1 157:11 157:19 161:17 166:13 167:15,18 169:1,23 174:12,23 177:22 181:15 183:6,12,15 185:4,7 189:4 195:1 218:7 225:6 228:14 234:22 236:20 240:12 240:18 244:19 245:15

245:20 246:2,3,5,10 246:15 247:24 255:9 264:3,20,24 265:3,10 265:11,22,24 266:16 266:20 267:4,9,12,13 267:18,22 268:8,22 268:23 269:2,6 270:3
Applicant's 2:12,13 7:14 179:7 182:17,20 234:20 263:7 264:11 265:5 267:1 268:20
Applicants 34:8 170:23
application 1:10 5:25
26:3 41:19,22 54:8 79:4 80:24 113:14 157:10 167:16 176:1 176:6 180:18 186:6 195:3 217:20 238:8 246:10 247:6 259:1
applied 80:15
apply 29:12
appraised 212:7
appreciate 11:11,13 14:7 33:24 76:22 130:10 160:6 262:14
appreciated 262:14
approach 70:23 253:8
approached 19:2
approaching 204:4
appropriate 40:17 181:14 246:24 259:2 269:18
appropriately 266:7 appropriateness 265:10,22 270:3
approval 246:23
approve 159:24 243:22
approved 23:8 41:15 42:5 43:5 242:16 243:3,15 246:11 256:24
approximately 261:16 270:19
architects 93:12 162:17
architectural 19:7
20:19 26:12,20 183:23 184:1
area 13:14 58:11,14,18 59:13 60:9 61:4 62:1 63:14,15 65:2 66:14 67:2,16,25 97:19 101:7 134:8,9 137:19 138:2 140:17,19 151:24 162:1 174:15 174:15 197:10 209:5 247:25 248:22 256:14 265:11,23 270:5
areas 29:17 37:23 65:5

70:25 198:23 199:12 arguing 259:8 argumentative 141:23 arguments 245:22
arose 249:2,12
arrange 86:9
arrangement 169:20 263:2
arrangements 263:15 arranging 86:5
art 95:15
artist 204:18
artwork 98:6,8
aside 11:17 219:21
asked 19:11 21:19
28:20 40:9 44:1 48:13
49:9 52:25 53:14
55:18 73:25 74:16
81:25 85:6 92:5
100:11 111:8 120:7
120:10,13 127:2
134:20 143:5 146:22
146:23 151:12 159:17
163:22 194:16 225:13
228:4 235:16 238:16
238:17,18,21 239:21
244:18 250:4 251:8
253:17 256:16 270:16
asking 27:20,21,24,25 35:5 40:12 44:25
45:22 111:10 135:25
143:23 148:1,1
150:21 159:14 164:7 167:22 186:24 215:1 218:2 234:12,14 239:9 246:25 247:3 250:12
asks 267:8
aspects 113:4
asphalt 121:17
assaults 59:7 66:20 69:17
assigned 58:11,14,18 60:9
assist 73:2 75:2
assistance 71:24 86:21 87:2
association 47:12,17
52:14 257:19 270:8 271:23
associations 192:8
assume 162:4
assumed 128:19
assure 216:24
attacked 129:8 130:13 130:17 131:4
attacking 130:22
attempt 52:8
attempted 46:22
attempting 36:2 45:2 63:24 249:13
attempts 31:4 43:9,10
attend 12:11
attended 175:19,22,24 176:2,24
attention 7:6 71:7
attorney 5:15 6:13 135:16,19 136:3,7 170:25 237:19
attorneys 142:10,12,12
attract 212:3
attracted 95:19 99:22
attracts 59:18 68:12 97:9 210:14
attribute 45:2
attributed 62:13,19
attributing 46:13
audible 260:5
August 166:14 183:19 218:10,24 219:2,10
authenticate 118:3,8 120:19
authenticated 118:2
autos 61:16 66:20
available 8:1,14 9:2,14 10:20,24 11:7 187:3 233:9
avenue 60:14 159:15 160:11
avenues 158:25
average 161:5
aware 6:21 8:18 9:13 10:8 33:23 52:5 54:19 62:11 63:21 71:16,22 72:1,25 73:5,22 77:4 79:2,7,12,14,17 81:23 94:8 125:18,25
134:22 135:3,8 136:14,17,20 137:1,1 137:4,6,12 144:13,23 157:4 158:19 161:14 177:4 185:6 186:22 187:4 188:15 189:7 209:4 220:24 226:7 228:11 243:10
awesome 162:18
awfully 130:12

| B |
| :---: |
| B-E-R 234:4 |
| B-E-R-G-E-R-O- 226:23 |
| B-U-D-J-E-V-A-C 17:7 |
| 196:1 |
| back 22:15 30:21 51:18 |
| 56:4 61:11 62:11,24 |
| 63:9,20 66:9 89:12 |

92:22 93:6,24 94:2
98:3 100:9 101:25 102:6,8 104:20 124:8 124:12 134:7 139:16 145:25 148:18 162:23 164:9,19 169:16 170:8 171:15 180:17 187:10 188:14 191:16 206:12,23 207:7
209:3 226:14,15 228:11,15 251:5 265:6
backdoor 208:25
background 6:17,18,19 6:23 102:13,14 122:16 164:14
backing 124:6 223:14
backup 207:1,3
backward 121:2
backyard 95:11
bad 34:6 85:20
bags 210:7
balcony 227:15,16,18 227:19,19
ball 158:3 190:5
BANCA 57:6 62:10 103:5 245:16 269:24
Bank 90:20 99:25 128:14
bar 11:15 63:17,22 156:1 161:3 256:10
barely 128:25
barred 90:24,25
barrier 122:2
bars 24:6 62:16,19
64:21 65:25 70:15 71:3 83:7 96:20 126:23 154:7 161:25 212:11,18 213:2
based 16:6,11 41:1 55:17 82:1 148:11 163:22 167:11 194:15 228:4 239:21,24
basement 210:16
basic 196:12
Basically 103:23
basis 58:23 92:3,25 93:18 175:3 224:21 224:25 249:2 256:17
Beam 21:3 25:14,18 31:17 138:7 139:4 182:16 253:10,15,18 253:22 254:22
Beam's 224:4 256:14 256:17
bear 102:24 260:2 beat 205:14 beauty 254:14
bedroom 227:17
bedrooms 139:18 163:5
beg 144:16 152:9
began 19:23 166:13
beginning 99:12 203:6 224:11 258:15 262:17
behalf 24:18 25:2 130:20 175:25 177:22 193:9 271:3
behavior 59:19 67:17 68:18 81:2
believe 10:13 11:4 12:21 13:14 25:24 28:21 32:5,6 37:6 47:9 49:17,22 50:14 53:2 55:2 56:3,6,6 59:10 63:25 71:1 82:7 102:23 122:13 135:24 142:7 175:24 180:20 183:8 189:16 195:11 201:13 208:11 213:17 217:7,25 223:2 226:2 226:22 229:23 248:5 256:15 262:21 263:24
believed 251:8
Benchers 17:18 257:25 258:1 272:2
benefit 250:5,11,13
Bergeron 3:14 216:8 226:23 236:6
best 3:11 51:10 72:20 80:22 229:23
Bet 26:25
better 63:2,16,22 67:14 84:15,15 97:15 99:20 99:22 100:5,14,15 110:3 124:11 127:24 128:11 146:25 149:9 149:19,22,24 205:14 210:1 212:5 213:22 213:25 214:4 218:23 219:21 225:18,21 255:14
Beverage 1:2,14 272:11
beyond 21:8 75:21 134:19
bid 71:2,2 93:12 249:6 249:18 256:9 265:25 266:25
big 82:23 106:13 173:8
bigger 215:3
Bill 204:23
bins 208:2,5 209:23 210:4,6,13
bit 64:3 75:9 81:22 95:25 96:1 98:19,20 99:21 104:19 142:13

170:6 174:18 202:8
219:5 222:8 250:20
black 130:8 198:12
Blagden 3:10 20:7
21:18 42:2 52:14
58:14,22 59:14,15 60:4,7 62:5 63:10,15 67:6,22 69:3 89:3,6 89:22 90:2 92:23,24 93:1,11 95:4 96:22 108:1 109:3 110:25 119:8,20 123:2 126:13 144:22 145:19 145:23,24 146:5 153:22 154:4,7,11 168:5,8,12,14 170:15 172:24 173:4 178:4 178:11 192:11 194:1 194:22 196:18,19,21 198:12,22 199:11 202:16 203:24,25 208:3,21 211:20 214:12 219:11 221:2 221:3,4,16,19 223:4 223:14,20 244:1 245:3 257:19 265:19 266:9 267:19 270:7 271:23
blank 267:8 268:4
blanket 157:17
blanking 168:24 213:16
block 60:12 64:17 101:2 110:15 152:23 211:8
blocked 101:6
blocking 100:16 104:6 216:22
blocks 59:1 89:25 103:25 134:9 154:5
blunt 97:16
bluntly 131:7 155:24 158:4
Board 1:2,14 5:24 8:11 8:14 9:1,18 12:18 14:6 18:24 22:23 24:10,19 25:19 32:22 35:6 37:21 47:13,22 49:13,17,22 50:12,14 50:25 55:13 87:15 153:14,21 155:17 157:12 158:20 160:6 160:10 163:19,23 167:22 169:7 191:4 191:20 194:12,16 210:12 228:1 234:8 237:2 239:4,18 241:2 242:24 243:13,22 244:10,13 246:7,24

249:22 250:4,10 251:17 253:13 255:22 256:6 259:1,10,17 261:14 262:10,11,18 268:11 269:7,8 272:11,14 273:15,16 273:21 274:1
Board's 9:20 46:17 152:9
Bobby 1:20 273:3
books 156:8
booth 254:11
born 91:11,12
bother 7:2 224:15
bothered 224:13
bottles 100:18 109:16
bottom 121:24
bought 89:16 95:7 153:25 154:1
boundary 121:18
boxing 204:17 205:1
break 164:5,10 245:19
break-in 77:10
break-ins 61:16 69:16 125:14 126:7
Brian 75:2
brick 121:21
bricks 76:8
brief 55:20 87:18,19 230:19 233:5
bring 5:22 7:6 10:11,16 61:8 140:18 156:5 215:14
bringing 9:25 153:19 156:25
brings 67:1
broke 79:5 222:7
broken 100:17,20 115:13 125:16 127:6
brought 51:21 64:24 128:22 129:3 131:1 131:14 173:5,14
Brown 130:8
brunch 224:1
Buck 2:15 4:22 191:6
Buck's 188:13,16
build 162:19 207:2,4
building 8:25 63:23 100:5,12 121:21,21 123:5 126:4 133:21 196:16 204:20,20 206:21 210:12 214:14 264:25
buildings 102:1 109:12 121:1 156:17
built 22:22
bunch 80:3 111:21 139:20 162:3
bureaucrat 128:15
Burrell 204:23
bus 51:10
business 12:9 13:10 29:4 42:25 77:12 96:13 149:2 150:18 162:10 163:12 184:22 196:23 206:9 210:19 216:17,22 223:3 235:20 248:15 250:17 263:18 264:7 266:1,3 businesses 20:7 93:7 93:14,18 95:14 96:13 97:5 98:14,16 101:11 104:8 105:19,21,24
108:22 109:17,25
110:24 111:6 114:17
128:5 130:8 158:12
158:16 178:11,14
185:11 193:2,10
198:15,15 199:21
204:13,16 205:5
208:18,24 211:23
262:25 263:4,12,23
264:5 266:14
busy 59:20
buys 112:9
bypassing 192:7
byproduct 172:15
BZA 158:21 159:25
C
C-A-U-S-A 41:20
C-U-R-T-I-S 57:25
caf 81:12 242:19
Calico 97:17 106:1 110:4 156:12 178:2 205:6
call 7:23 12:16,17 13:11
13:12,15 16:2,3,8 31:4 83:7 88:9 110:3 112:24 164:22 195:12 207:8 212:20 233:8 271:9 273:1
called 10:21 16:14 18:2 24:8 75:25 130:7
137:8 146:5 205:8
207:4 249:7 251:18
252:23
calling 240:16
calls 39:10 57:6 74:24
77:8,14 216:11
camera 57:10 164:11 252:17
cameras 20:3 51:19
187:13 252:16
candid 219:17,18
cans 96:7 101:4 110:16
capable 256:22
capacity 215:3,17
car 103:18 106:17 203:12
care 80:19 88:2 127:25 131:14,15,15,17,18 142:25 143:4
careful 129:21 246:9
carried 139:24
carries 63:9 98:10 101:10 102:2,10 108:18 139:16
carry 101:10 102:12 208:17
carrying 129:6
cars 61:11,16 107:2,3 107:15,19 125:16 206:9
case 1:9 4:5,5,6,9 5:8 5:10,12,16 6:4,5 7:14 7:14 9:24 12:15 13:17 33:12 37:22 45:4 162:15 181:15 200:11 230:6 248:4 250:12 253:19 256:12 262:13 262:17,18,22 272:10 272:16,19 273:24
cases 84:9 248:12 250:4 253:11,25
casual 212:6
categorized 153:1
category 54:7 75:7
cater 263:4
Cato 1:20 273:2,3,3
Causa 41:20 43:7 53:17 53:22 55:20 158:2 200:12
causation 46:14
cause 53:19 112:7 174:23
caused 11:24 174:4 208:22 265:1
caution 33:11
cease 246:13
Cellar 205:10
center 78:22 82:24 83:15 220:24 221:4,8
central 68:17 74:25 249:8 265:8,18
certain 25:25 33:11 78:9 95:20 157:11 187:5 212:15,16 269:16
certainly 12:23 86:3 117:14 179:13 212:9 230:24
cetera 66:21 78:7
Chad 200:5
chair 13:11 15:21 18:15 24:10 35:18 41:9 43:20 120:13 165:24 175:11,13 185:20 186:9,16 194:17 228:5 229:14 239:25 240:21 247:11 251:13
chairman 6:8 8:19 13:23 18:24 23:2 55:4 56:22 147:23 153:15 163:16 191:21 246:7 257:2 267:8
challenge 60:5
challenges 114:9
chance 19:9 21:14
change 26:24 27:3,5 53:2,4 64:8
changed 60:8 101:7 140:5 162:23 204:7 267:10
changes 127:17 140:2 256:24
changing 34:10 140:6 character 67:21,22 84:10 99:2 113:21 128:7 131:17 133:8 134:6,7 169:17 170:21,24 171:15
characteristics 166:16
characterization 40:25 146:4
characterize 115:18 150:21
characterized 149:8
characterizing 37:17 46:12,12 48:5,6
charge 214:25 242:18 243:16 259:22
checkered 269:6
chief $5: 10,12$ 13:17
childcare 8:8,22 12:5
children 12:7 90:7,9
chill 46:16 79:21 97:10
chilled 81:4
chills 97:21
Chinese 206:1
choose 135:25 261:12
chose 98:7
chuckle 130:11
Cigars 226:5
Circle 150:12 151:24
circumstances 40:18 190:23
cited 272:20
citizen 110:19 159:10
citizens 12:3
city 64:8,13 65:3 81:17 96:10 109:15 110:12

134:10 159:14 210:11
civic 192:7
claim 46:5,9
clarification 11:12
245:2 247:3,22
clarify 150:16 192:10
clarity 35:18 176:4 193:8
Class 6:1
clawback 21:10
clean 268:21
cleaned 156:4
clear 39:25 47:13,18,22
50:7,15 53:9 153:20 162:6,7 163:14 167:7 182:24 183:3 202:20 241:21 242:1,3 246:17 247:5 248:25 249:10 250:3
clearly 44:20 127:7 253:18
client 12:8 48:2 170:21 181:21 253:19 254:24
client's 44:7 48:9 113:14
clients 44:15 262:16 266:9
clients' 37:18 44:2 48:6
clock 33:14
close 27:17 75:17 97:22 99:9 146:25 154:3 178:10 213:18 229:9 233:8 272:9,14
closed 76:3,4 101:13 208:3 249:9 273:16
closely 169:24
closer 86:4 161:9 197:12 204:24 251:4 251:4
closing 3:19 5:18 245:19,22 247:18 257:1,5,7,8,25 258:2 258:8,20,22 261:19 261:21 262:5 271:17 club 66:11,13 75:8 76:1 249:7
clubs 76:3
co- 178:23
co-authored 241:6
co-written 18:25
Coalition 251:18 252:6
cocktails 212:10
Code 272:13,17,21
coexist 22:24
coffee 97:8 211:11
coin 132:19 263:2
collection 19:19 210:3 college 149:20

Colombe 97:9 107:6 123:2 156:15 178:2 204:15 209:25 211:12
colored 202:22
Columbia 1:1 11:16 12:3 72:21 76:25 171:20 205:7 225:23 243:20,22,23 244:2,7 245:3 263:3 272:12
combined 139:19
come 34:8,17 41:9 46:4 60:16 62:16 69:22,23 83:4 99:4 104:4 105:4 105:22 106:3 112:15 112:17,24 114:17 128:5,6 142:2 155:2 157:8 164:9 169:15 170:8,25 171:15 189:1 193:15 205:5 205:21 206:5,9,11 209:14,24 250:8 263:9
comes 5:24 76:2 192:23
comfortable 7:5,7 29:10 86:24
coming 60:12 61:14 67:14 79:14 109:10 109:16 124:7,8 126:13,15 127:18 140:1 143:18 144:3,4 155:7 157:1 162:13 163:8 206:4 214:13
commencement 256:25
comment 148:2 200:2,4 200:8
commenting 147:24 177:25
comments 192:9 193:10
commercial 29:21 30:1 106:16
Commission 35:5
Commissioner 15:22 17:10 18:9,25 19:12 20:15 21:13,25 23:9 23:15,20,22 30:12 38:15 39:24 41:9 48:16 49:5,6 52:21 140:16 165:9,18,22 166:4,7 176:19,21 178:24 179:21 186:25 194:1,3,3,8,21,22 248:20,22 251:14
Commissioners 22:17 23:18 30:10 32:15 48:13 128:18 176:18

263:24 269:16
commit 27:7
commits 143:1
committee 19:3 25:11 35:22 166:14 176:22 188:15 241:15
common 20:14 31:5,7 43:9 125:11
communicating 127:7
communication 169:23 190:4
communications 38:11
Communities 253:14
community 19:8 20:13
20:16 21:15,24 22:1
22:10,16,20 24:1 28:7 40:23 51:14 52:1
82:15 94:24 96:13,17
100:3 110:8 112:3,6 114:9 126:5 128:1 131:3 147:9 155:18 156:7 158:25 170:12 171:17 177:16 179:8 179:9 180:3,11 181:22 182:4,9 187:1 189:2,3 211:13 218:11 231:20,23 242:6 248:8 251:13 252:10 255:17 262:23 262:24,24 263:17,18 265:2 266:21,22,23 267:3 271:5
community's 250:20 commute 206:23 209:24
compactor 20:8 111:5 111:15 112:7,10,15 140:12,19 187:21 249:14 252:21
companies 207:5
company 207:8
comparing 44:5 82:15
competent 117:5
complain 110:21
complained 110:11
complaints 61:10 62:4
62:13 68:5 69:10 173:2 178:21
complete 9:10 10:9 224:14
completely $46: 4,7,22$
compliance 21:12 133:14 134:2
component 43:19
components 221:13
compounded 127:23
compromise 263:23
computer 188:15,16
concentrated 207:23
concentration 82:2,4,9
concept 19:7 80:13
81:1 126:25 149:18 184:10 219:4
concern 10:18 15:8 131:1 137:22 141:6 149:19 155:16,18 160:15 173:6 177:6 182:25 183:3 214:13 253:12 255:20
concerned 53:8 80:4,9 111:12 129:16 130:12 132:8,11,13 150:6 157:2 216:12 225:8 249:24 250:6,7 253:14 268:1
concerning 55:20
77:18 85:8,13 87:6 126:20 134:23 147:16 186:5 200:22 219:14 231:11,12,20 248:13 250:25 251:16 268:13
concerns 19:11 20:11 21:16,17 22:17 40:23 41:4 51:14 112:5,12 112:14 127:4 128:9 128:18 129:4,5 134:21 137:18 138:1 138:24 142:22 143:14 143:24,25 155:12 174:11,14 177:1 178:7,15,16 180:16 180:24 185:7 187:7 189:15 190:15,16 214:11,19 215:18 218:8 248:8,11 253:6 255:9 271:5
concession 140:25
concessions 188:1
conclude 139:19
concluded 9:3,5
conclusion 7:19 261:13 266:19 270:17,21 273:22
conclusions 87:5 138:10 139:3 224:22 225:1 256:17 261:1,4 261:15
concrete 132:1,2 170:7
condition 246:12,15,23 252:14 259:5,13,15 259:17 267:23 268:5
conditions 50:19 219:23 257:2 259:3,9
condo 25:20 210:11
Condominiums 251:4 266:4
condoms 98:5
condos 94:10
conduct 21:3 267:1 269:3,4
conference 130:9 273:16
confidence 127:21 140:8
confidential 21:17 39:18 51:4
confidentiality 46:3
confirm 20:20 100:4 166:8
confirmed 21:24 252:24 267:5
confirms 265:5
confused 244:8
confusion 117:10
congesting 207:22
congestion 173:9 205:12 208:1
conglomeration 209:23
connect 240:19 conscientious 100:22 161:1
consensus 257:14,16
conserve 178:20
consider 21:5 41:5 262:15,16 264:23
consideration 82:14 246:9
considerations 29:19 29:25 30:1
considered 22:16
consist 183:21
consistent 114:25 123:8 131:14 140:1 245:1 253:7
constantly 140:2,6 145:23
constituents 176:25
constraint 10:25,25 11:3
constraints 10:1 constructing 133:18 consumption 204:16
contact 21:1 25:13 176:20 177:20 235:16 235:17 237:8
contacted 75:1
contain 259:25
contained 167:13 183:22 235:23
contemplated 79:3,8 79:16
content 125:3
contention 134:1
contentious 158:14
contents 3:1 28:14 208:18
context 37:19
continuation 4:6 5:4
continue 12:12 19:22
continued 5:8
continuing 262:13
contracts 184:3
contradict 268:15
contradictory 267:2
contradicts 265:4
contrary 250:23
contrast 223:8
control 1:2,14 84:20 112:24,25 159:20 254:21 272:11
convened 22:5
convention 78:22 82:24 83:15 220:24 221:4,8
conventions 83:4
conversation 19:22 22:3 129:15,19 131:9 156:14 241:17,22
conversations 20:12 101:21,23 102:7 128:20 173:21 186:19 190:5 193:3 241:11 241:19
conversely 78:21
conveyed 186:13 190:1 cool 205:1
cooperate 147:8 266:22
cooperation 249:1
coordinate 52:9 190:8
corner 66:13 122:1
correctly 5:17 8:3 216:9
corridor 59:21 66:19,22 70:11 123:1
costs 112:23
could've 247:7
Council 24:8 25:8
counsel 2:12,13,15,16 10:12 43:20 44:1 48:24 76:21 90:19,22 136:5 141:23 153:1 158:14 245:1 272:16
count 221:23
couple 47:10 59:1 76:4 97:7 160:21 209:22 230:18,20 236:14 246:11 255:19 256:24 269:3
course 112:10,11 174:19 225:12
court 8:23,24,24 11:15 39:7 93:11 223:4 257:19 270:7 271:23
courtesy 8:21
cover 78:9 214:25 242:18 243:16 259:22
coverage 20:3
covering 139:6
COVID 145:12
CR 54:12
Craig 204:19
crap 104:3
crashed 188:15
crawls 256:10
crazy 98:10
create 107:20 108:14 157:25 199:6 203:20 207:21
created 198:18 201:13 263:16
creates 84:22
creating 70:6 73:3
credibility $268: 8$
credible 256:12
credibly 256:18
crime 60:7 61:2 65:6,9 65:10 66:1,5,21 69:13 71:14 76:24 77:19
81:17 82:1,3,10,18
84:7,13,20 114:10
125:10,12 126:8 130:16 173:7 174:14
174:15,23,25
crimes 80:8
criminal 79:22 81:6,15
criminals $84: 23$
Crockett 1:21 191:21 191:23,24 192:2,16 193:18 273:4,5,5
CROSS 3:2
cross- $33: 15,17$
cross-examination 36:21 201:3 228:25
cross-examine 5:20 9:20 14:19 15:3 33:13 201:1
cross-examining 182:8
crowd 99:17,18 149:8 149:10,13,24,25 150:2 184:17 212:2,6
crowds 263:5
CT 1:8 6:1 54:9,12 242:15 243:15 252:19
curious 241:8
current 60:7 113:17 175:13 192:5,19
currently 24:6 44:9 54:1 66:8 77:5 88:24 109:3 173:1,3 194:2 227:8 264:9
cursor 201:18,21 202:1 202:2,8 203:4

Curtis 2:19 3:3 13:12
13:13 17:13 57:7,9,22 98:2
customer 146:11
cut 9:8 70:21 134:19
135:11 206:7 209:20
cuts 151:5
CVS 60:17

| D |
| :---: |
| D.C 11:15 68:6 91:9,15 | 107:1 162:18 210:10 272:12,17,21

Dabney 98:24 101:12 110:4 205:9,10
daily 58:23 92:24 93:18 206:25 211:11
dancing 92:17 215:1 242:17 243:16 259:22 264:8 265:14
dangerous 104:1
date 31:1 214:17
dated 32:3
dates 189:4
dating 62:10
day 12:2 31:13 88:1 96:21,22 97:1,3 101:3 105:5 127:16 129:1 209:2 211:18 215:21 219:16 262:13 273:25
days 16:17 17:22 76:4 242:4 260:18 261:13 270:19,24
daytime 145:3
DC 2:8,9,19 24:8 25:8 112:24 205:16 251:18 252:6
DCRA 215:5
DDOT 110:17 155:22 193:6
dead 106:24 124:7 205:14
deal 66:8 70:3 110:10 110:24 157:8 168:2 176:13,15 249:1 266:21
dealing 56:5 157:9 160:7 249:7
deals 116:19,21
December 21:12 22:9 32:6 36:6 52:20,22 189:18
decide 118:24 169:7
decided 5:14
decision 12:19 32:22 49:14 50:6 51:1 273:23
decisions 98:12
deck 79:4,9,11,15,21 80:19 133:8 157:24 158:21 219:9
decks 101:16,17 219:11
declined 265:23
decorum 142:6
decreased 64:15 71:4
deemed 249:3
deems 246:24
defer 258:8,10
deferred 272:5
deferring 166:24
define 81:24
definitely 66:21 76:5 109:11 177:18 178:18 183:25 205:12 207:4 209:2 213:1
degree 82:13
delay 13:6
deliberating 272:19
deliveries 19:18 209:2
delivery 96:24 103:13 103:17,24 104:6,7 105:9,9,10 106:11 156:5 173:9,11 205:20 208:23
demanded 38:18
demands 43:13 190:24
demonstrate 222:2 243:1
demonstrated 228:25 248:5 250:10 262:21 268:9
demonstrating 223:7
denied 270:9
densely 207:23
deny 259:1
department 64:19 72:22 73:2 74:12 75:5
depend 84:10
depending 211:9 212:8
depiction 109:2 120:17 120:22
depictions 119:8,20
describe 48:9 58:22 91:24 99:17 201:10 212:17
described 125:6 215:13
describing 268:17
description 222:25
designated 23:15 66:18 70:24 134:8,10 144:20,21 146:8 160:25 166:1,3,5 173:10 271:11,14,14 271:25 272:4
designed 180:1
desire 5:19 177:1,7

258:20
desk 226:25 despite 256:14
destination 99:12
destroyed 128:2
detail 85:9
detailed 140:3
details 100:1
Detective 100:10
deter 81:21
determination 247:15
273:24
determined 271:16
development 130:10
deviate 40:18
deviated 29:6
deviation 40:21 41:6
deviations 41:15
dialogue 131:3 172:15 177:7 178:4 193:13 218:23 220:5 263:16 267:22
different 3:11 29:17,18 64:12 70:14 75:3 131:12 145:15 154:17 161:12 204:13 219:5 241:20 264:10 269:18
difficult 20:14 22:21
142:14 253:13 255:23
difficulties 138:16
diligent 161:6
diner 254:10,16
dinner 99:14 224:1
dinners 212:10
diplomatically 99:25
dire 200:17,19
direct 3:2 33:15 44:4 49:14 232:3 264:13 264:16,19 267:24
directly 28:10 44:16,17 45:21,24 82:24 89:6 90:1 94:20 95:2 129:11 148:18 171:24 178:13 180:11 185:3 192:6,15,19 196:21 265:1,20 266:5,10 267:1
director 149:2 249:5 256:9
disadvantage 115:20
disagree 86:15
disagreed 37:7,10,11 49:6
disappointed 169:13
disaster 206:2
disclosed 11:2 16:7,12 16:16,19 17:22,24 18:5
disclosure 15:25 16:1,2 disconcerted 217:4 disconnect 255:11
discuss 16:15 127:4 130:15 178:14 218:20 266:13
discussed 34:18 60:8 98:11 116:13 186:14 186:21 217:20 240:10 269:12,13 270:11
discussing 28:14 37:5 116:20
discussion 39:13 43:18 184:5
discussions 27:18 36:22,23 37:21 43:15 43:22 44:17,18 45:5 45:25 46:2,8,16 186:5
disperse 254:15
display 222:12
disprove 81:8
dispute 178:25 179:25 189:11 254:5
disruption 141:3
dissenter 32:17
dissenting 32:14
distance 123:1
distinct 115:21
distinction 264:22
district 1:1 11:16 12:3 24:16 25:21 57:23 58:20 64:17 66:15,16 72:21 76:25 83:5
91:13 149:3 150:18
243:19,21,23,25
244:2,7 245:3 248:20
248:22 263:3 272:11
disturb 217:2
diverse 150:3
DJ 130:7,19
document 3:11 72:19
73:1 103:7 118:22,23 124:22 198:1 229:6 230:1,13 232:24 234:22 236:19
documentation 25:25 183:11
documents 183:14,17
dog 93:17
dogs 93:23
Dogtopia 3:16 93:17 184:21 185:2,7 215:20,25 216:5,10 216:20 217:13 226:20 231:11 234:9 235:6 237:2
doing 15:11 33:21
69:22 124:10 130:25

133:12 204:21 212:19
242:23 250:15
dollar 112:9
dollies 208:17
Donovan 1:15,19
door 20:2,5 22:15 37:7 48:24 51:18 75:22 185:3 187:10 193:9 208:4 210:5,15 216:23 228:11,15 252:15 268:13
DoorDash 206:4
doors 43:21 104:14 208:9,12,13,23 209:3 227:18
double 95:16 203:12,14
double-paned 162:25
doubt 79:23 80:1 138:10 139:3 140:21 147:15 250:5,12,13 254:25 256:7,11
doubted 256:1
downfalls 142:11
downtown 150:19 161:11,12
draft 166:22
drafted 166:19
draw 84:21
drawings 183:23 184:1
drawn 71:6,7 87:5
drink 97:19 99:14 160:22 206:15
drinking 80:3,21,24 81:14 83:6 155:25 249:25 255:21
drinks 92:14 208:14
drive 51:10 206:6
driver 124:5 160:25 207:8,9
drivers 100:23,23 101:2 106:21 205:22
driving 61:9 160:24 207:7
drop 209:5,7
dropped 144:19 158:3 209:11
drug 60:11,23 80:8
drugs 3:9 59:2 77:20 113:6
drunk 61:9,10 78:5 107:23 113:18 131:21 153:2,5,9
drunkenness 84:8
duck 141:15
due 25:7 48:4 109:22 173:11 270:21
duly 270:1
dump 134:14
dumpsters 96:7 209:21
duplicative 240:10
Dupont 140:17 150:12 151:24
duration 8:15
E
eager 22:19 190:4,14 190:18
earlier 84:25 101:9 126:22 141:11 197:2 212:21 263:8
early 247:23 264:8
easily 101:23
Easley 272:3
east 122:24
eastward 123:24
easy 51:20 107:18 156:14
eat 64:25 66:10
eating 81:13,20 83:5
economically 21:21 36:10 38:21,24 39:21 49:20
Ed 3:15 235:5,5 236:1 239:12
editorial 125:2 200:1,3 200:8
Edward 1:22 273:10
effect 81:15 84:16 120:15 171:3 174:8
effective 50:6
effectively 254:20
efficacy 147:15
efficiency 163:1
efficient 121:3
effort 20:10 238:16
efforts 27:8 140:18 182:4 236:25 237:8 238:14
egregious 43:12,12
egress 103:25 108:4 259:14
eight 153:23 190:22 204:4 240:12
Eighteen 58:9 64:6,7
Eighteenth 1:6 4:7 5:5 6:1 23:25 24:22 25:23 26:1 63:23 71:17,23 91:16 92:7,9 100:6 121:22 126:11,12,15 128:11,20 130:6,15 130:18 146:11,16 149:13,16 150:1,2,17 150:22 151:23 153:4 156:8 159:2 160:12 160:17 167:8 171:25 181:1 202:16 214:5,7

214:13 215:12 216:18 219:7,19 236:3 248:23 249:9 251:5 253:9 272:16,20
either 6:7 54:12 84:4 97:3 107:7 173:11 197:11 226:13 228:12 256:12
elaborating 19:17
elderly 56:5
elected 185:22 270:1
element 75:3
elements 155:23 166:16
elevate 4:8 191:12
elevated 4:11,12,13,15
4:16,17,18,20,21,22
4:23 191:10 232:12
eliminating 240:10
email 128:17 129:24
217:5,6 231:11 232:2
232:2,3,4,5 233:1
234:16 235:4,23
236:1,2,4,22 237:7
238:7,16,18 239:7,8
emailed 261:16 270:18
emails 3:14 237:5
emergencies 20:2
eminent 22:11
employed 138:12
employees 226:25
empty 111:22 128:6
enable 50:6,24,25
enclave 95:23
encompasses 115:12
encouraged 19:14
encyclopedic 222:13 222:17
endorsement 22:14 157:23 159:16 242:17 242:17,18,19 243:16 243:17 247:10,25 259:21,25 260:4 264:14
endorsements 158:9 158:23 172:11 244:12 244:18 259:20
ends 8:16 70:17 96:1 124:7
energy 163:1
engage 169:22 177:21 180:3 250:22 267:18
engaged 32:6 173:20 178:6 181:21 193:14 engagement 231:21,23
engaging 81:5 187:1
engineer 20:22 51:19 102:3 138:5,25

139:24 224:5 225:3 253:23
engineering 25:17 26:22
engineers 20:25
English 210:15
enjoy 61:14 83:5 142:9
ensure 10:2 21:12
71:13 169:23
enter 63:17 77:24 84:1 89:11
entered 200:9 204:13 264:20
entering 149:21
entertainment 19:6,19 22:14 42:17 43:5 54:25 158:8 159:18 219:9,12 242:17 243:16 247:9,24 259:20,25 260:4 264:14
entire 66:15 134:10 177:12 245:3 266:11 268:9
entirely 43:18 45:3 70:13 182:10
entirety 224:8 225:3 259:1 270:9
entrance 43:2 104:4 141:1,19 142:20 143:2 187:11 225:7 225:11 246:16 252:15
entrances 203:9
environment 69:24 84:22 99:24
ephemeral 141:7
equate 44:4
equilibrium 264:4
eroded 46:4
ESL 173:20 185:10 187:5 217:12 218:14 218:20 219:18 266:11
ESL's 264:6
especially 59:12,17 93:2 94:6 108:18 158:8 211:7
Espita 205:6
ESQ 2:12,13,15,16,20
essence 182:13
essentially 67:18 68:17 71:11 166:12 169:22 202:15
establish 265:10
established 66:24 169:16 250:14
establishing 179:8
establishment 47:8,15 48:1 53:15,16 54:1,5

54:8,9,16 55:23,23
63:1,5 71:25 74:8,17
76:9 77:24 78:4 82:25
84:19 85:12,19 86:20
86:24 87:1 113:13,15
141:2,20 142:21
143:3,19,19 150:7,13
153:2 155:2,7 163:8
170:5 177:2 211:19
214:9,12,15 216:2
226:12 246:17 248:10
252:16 255:22 260:5
264:13,18,25
establishments $3: 12$
67:5 70:7 71:11,13
72:20 73:3 83:5,6
95:13 155:12 156:23
161:23 162:4 168:11
169:15,20 170:15
171:14,24 173:3
177:24 178:3 192:5
192:13,15,20 207:18
208:8 212:2 215:4
222:3,13 229:24
251:19 252:5 255:24
264:11,15,20 266:15
269:17
estimation 145:24
et 66:20 78:7
ethical 91:1
evaluate 26:2,5
evaluation 21:4
evening 99:7 212:9 270:13
evenings 63:19 107:23
everybody 68:5,22 83:17 225:15
evidence 31:25 124:20 124:22 125:5 170:7
199:24 201:5 228:21
229:3,4,6,22 230:1,5
230:13 236:14,19
255:15 256:21 262:15
262:21 264:6 267:25
exacerbate 61:8 173:18
exacerbated 61:3 67:10
exacerbating 113:19
exact 46:11 63:23 80:24 100:5 267:7
exactly 56:21 80:15 114:4 120:9,20 124:10 160:8 196:13 243:3 247:17 267:8
examination $33: 16$
examine 33:18
example 64:18 66:7
74:25 101:11 254:9
exception 48:2 212:4
exchange 231:11 235:4 236:22
excise 124:14
excited 103:18 112:21 126:16
exciting 205:11
excuse 31:18 63:15 99:17 188:11 191:5 202:14 228:19 260:3 260:7,15 263:17
exercise 139:14
exercising 205:3
exhibit 3:7 72:3,18 73:22 74:1 75:14 102:20 103:4,8,20 115:5,6,11,24,25 116:5,9 124:17,23 197:17 198:2,9 199:24 220:18,18 228:21 229:4,7,21,23 230:2,11,14 234:23 236:10,13,20,21
exhibits 115:21,22
exist 78:10 113:22 172:24 208:21 263:14 264:9,11
existed 218:21 223:3 266:6 268:16
existence 136:21
existing 77:18 114:18 114:19 173:13 215:15
exists 209:5,8 215:17
exit 43:2 77:25 84:2 106:23 141:1,19 142:21 143:2 187:11 225:7,11 246:16 252:15
exits 206:21
expand $64: 12$ 174:17
expansive 264:15,18
expect 10:12 29:5,9 34:16 39:19 77:9 114:16 177:15
expectation 21:20 36:13,13 68:10 180:9
experience 61:1 65:17 92:11 117:6 123:8 133:18 178:3 248:17 255:17
experienced 119:10,21 119:24 120:3,15 153:3,7
expert 13:3 26:21 65:9 117:3,8,10 225:4
explain 198:10 238:2,3 238:10,11
explaining 37:9 38:4
explanation 136:7,9
explanations 136:2,4
explore 140:11
express 128:10 176:25 177:17
expressed 177:6,6 180:24 255:18,20
extend 75:21
extensive 116:20
extensively 116:25
extent 244:25
exterior 259:19
extra 210:7 221:18,18
extreme 156:21
extremely 113:22
eyes 78:25 80:13,25 81:1,2

F
face 196:18 226:11,13
faced 163:5
faces 63:10 78:22
196:17,17
facing 122:23 123:24 226:10 262:16
fact 11:21 12:6 16:6,11
42:4 48:23 56:16
67:10 76:24 77:7,23
117:7,8 142:9 178:23 179:10 181:20 185:22
192:24 243:9 244:16
250:25 259:6 261:1,4
261:13,14 264:10
265:5,20 267:21
270:21 273:22
facts 23:14 166:11
fail 49:1
failed 51:9,25 240:19 240:19,20,20
failure 51:6
fair 29:22,23 30:3 40:24 95:4,6 144:12 176:3,7 186:22 188:4 190:19
190:25 208:20 266:22 267:19
faith 20:10 85:19 169:22 193:14
fall 41:20 207:18
fallen 47:10 48:2
falls 75:7
familiar 41:19 59:13 62:25 69:25 78:24 81:17 91:16 108:3 168:4,6,10,20 171:19 197:5 222:15
families 93:21
family 93:20,23
far 25:22 34:4,13 53:7 67:13 89:22 123:6

133:14 204:15 227:8 264:2,10,14,17 267:1 268:18 269:1,2
Farid 2:11 4:12 19:1 130:5
Fashbaugh 2:8 4:8,10 4:25 72:4,10,11 191:12,14 197:19,22 232:14,17
fashion 243:3
fathom 256:3
favor 30:10 33:1 195:2
fear 246:20 250:8
February 1:12
fee 70:16
feel 95:20 99:1 182:10 212:22 213:21 238:13
feet 161:9 227:10,11,22
fell 20:13 47:16
fellow 19:12 20:15
felt 26:16 29:3 31:6 34:6,9 51:23 130:12 190:17
fifth 116:21
fighting 69:19 77:20
fights 59:7
figure 159:8,10
figured 251:21
file 260:25 261:3,9,10 261:12
filed 5:25 251:22
filters 59:3
final 124:14 273:23
finalized 184:10
finally 36:5
find 31:4,7 39:22 43:9 45:13 49:23 51:13 59:5,19 85:23 98:3,4 98:5,5 104:5 151:14 207:7
finding 270:17,20
findings 261:1,3,12,14 273:22
fine $7: 117: 25$ 55:6,16 146:23 151:25 196:10 231:7 247:19 258:13 262:7
fined 268:24
finishes 98:25
finishing 163:4
firm 268:19
firms 162:18
first 9:16 11:12 17:3 19:1 25:9,10 26:12 41:11 52:20 57:5 76:21 100:4 102:19 105:24 111:2,12 116:12,17,17 117:20

126:14 130:6 140:3 141:22 165:14 168:22 175:11 181:11 182:16 196:4 213:11 214:2 215:21 218:10,11 235:6 245:20,21 262:9 268:10
fit 128:6 158:11 215:9
fitness 93:15 205:8 206:22 210:2
five 13:1 37:1 125:23 211:8
fix 114:18 155:22 251:25
fixed 156:13,16,18
floor 183:24 196:16 221:7
flow 101:6 203:18
focus 237:20,21
focused 265:13
folks 173:10 241:17
follow 128:14 162:11 167:15,18
follow-up 22:2 31:1 32:11 52:22 55:17 190:9 217:5,6 218:12
followed 65:6 66:1,5
following 203:3
follows 253:19 254:24
food 64:25 105:9 109:15 184:6,9 206:4 208:14
footage 20:4
force 209:16
forced 44:15
forcing 237:17
forefront 10:8
forego 242:7
forget 14:10 15:10 64:4
Fork 122:14 123:2,25 205:6 209:25
form 69:14 82:23
formal 228:11 239:10 239:11,16
formally 56:17
former 41:9 251:13 265:17
forming 71:24
forth 70:1,6 93:6 195:5 206:23 259:16
forum 129:7 135:20 218:16
forward 11:18,21 22:23 37:12 38:6 47:11 49:7 51:8 121:3 166:24 173:5 266:19
forwarded 235:18
found 83:20,22 84:2

102:7,8 148:16
171:21 204:14 206:15
211:18
four 12:25 103:25 105:17 117:20 154:2 154:8 221:6,22 241:13,25 242:5
fourth 64:16 116:18
frame 12:15 21:12 148:15
framework 29:5
frankly 60:2 107:2 266:8
free 101:6
frequencies 252:1
frequent 62:4 159:1 160:12 168:8
frequently 74:20,22 105:3 211:2 253:12
Friday 42:6,8,19 54:22 63:19 66:16 81:9 83:13 212:25 260:16
friends 146:15 160:23 160:24 197:12
front 8:11 46:17 54:12 55:7 75:22 96:18 118:22 121:25 122:10 122:14 144:14 145:25 196:23 204:20 216:1 216:17,20,22 217:12 226:25 235:20 252:15 268:10
fronts 154:11
frustrated 43:10,17 110:22
frustrating 51:2 96:25 127:9,13,14 158:5 160:4
frustrations 160:7
Fuel 205:8
full 23:22 42:5,10 54:17 110:20 171:16
full-time 127:11
fully 26:2 186:22 267:12 269:15
fun 92:14
fund $71: 12$
funny 110:1
further 28:21 35:13 51:5 52:23 66:9 69:22 76:11 104:20 136:7 153:11 191:1 193:19 226:18 259:19
Fuse 25:17
future 46:17 85:17 266:21
fuzzy 219:5
FYI 33:21

| G | GM 235:17,19 | 88:13 164:25 195:15 | 50:20 98:15 111:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G-U-G-G-E-N-M-O-S | goal 41:13 218:19 | handling 62:10 8 | 5:12 154:24 158:22 |
| 8:10 | God 249:21 | 87:1 | 160:1 166:2 169:8 |
| game 104:3 | Goldberg 4:19 271:24 | hang 14:16 68:23 | 182:18 213:6,8,9 |
| gaming 75:1 | Golden 149:2 249:5,17 | 197:13 | 215:4 217:3 218:25 |
| garage 98:8 104:14 | 256:9 265:25 266:25 | Hansen 1:23 272:23,24 | 234:13 235:13 236:23 |
| garages 98:7 | Google 199:21 | 272:25 273:7,8,8 | 238:8 239:2,9,11 |
| garbage 103:21 154:25 | gotcha 12:24 | happen 14:17 36:5 76:8 | 257:6 258:15 267:11 |
| garden 43:5 55:10 | gotten 13:4,6 37:16 | 85:4,20 94:15 189:5 | 268:11 271:1,21 |
| 129:5 131:25 133:7 | 4:24 106:9 109:7,20 | 246:22 | hearings 8:14 33:16 |
| 137:19 138:2 157:21 | 157:5 210:9 | happened 23:14 76:5 | 75:24 129:23 142:10 |
| 157:23 158:21 159:16 | government 72:22 | 189:10 255:13 | 190:22 |
| 226:3 242:20 246:14 | 160:7 | happening 105:10 | hears 19:3 160:10 |
| 247:25 256:14 259:23 | grab 211:11 | happens 32:22 85:5 | hearsay 39:1,8 |
| 259:24 260:1,8 | Grand 74:25 | 103:23 143:7,8 | heart 44:16,17 46:7 |
| 264:17 | Grandis 1:22 153:15,17 | happy 12:23 50:11 | 48:10 |
| gardens 158 | 153:18,25 154:3,6,10 | 116:22 118:8 129:25 | Hector 17:17 272:3 |
| gathering 49:12 | 154:17,23 155:5,10 | 155:16 231:13 258:10 | held 37:20 58:4 85:3 |
| gee 185:23 | 155:14 157:4 158:19 | harbors 58:25 | Hello 153:18 185:18 |
| geez 30:21 | 159:4 160:5,9,15 | hard 117:18 15 | help 71:3 159:14 |
| general 21:18 24:1,14 | 161:14,20,22 162: | harder 11 | 162:19 |
| 24:25 25:4 27:20,24 | 162:16 163:7,13 | harder 11 | helpful 50:24 54:13 |
| 27:24 28:20 29:22 | 273:9,10,10 | hardest 66:1 | 143:12,13 147:7 |
| 67:1 70:5,6 90:19,22 | grant 49:18,24,25 | hate 101:1 | esitation 56:23 |
| 183:24 216:10 226:24 | 157:18 259:10 | head 92:7 251:17 | hi 94:12 |
| 235:10 268:15 | granted 50:10,12,16,17 | heads 176:21 | hiding 59:11 |
| generally 33:21,22 | 50:18 | hear 12:5 14:21 | high 149:21 |
| 62:13,18 64:3 96:2 | granting 157:12 | 45:14 49:14,16 50:2,6 | highlighted 201:23 |
| 126:10,17 169:25 | graphic 204:25 | 50:15,19 79:6 98:10 | hindering 268:25 |
| generation 91:13 | Greg 156:1 | 101:20 102:5,6 | hired 162:17 253:15 |
| gentleman 266:25 | ground 20:14 31:5,8 | 105:13,14 108:18 | hires 96:16 |
| gentlemen 33:7,7 46:25 | 43:9 139:17 154:25 | 111:12 115:8 120:16 | historic 95:16 110:13 |
| 47:5 120:12 137:3 | 221:7 | 123:22 124:5 127:16 | 128:8 133:8,11,13,15 |
| 151:16 | grounds 39:1 174:7 | 138:18 139:16 140:15 | 134:2,5,6,7,16 146:9 |
| getting 38:19 60:3 | group 5:16 47:20 | 141:25 149:4,6 | 263:3 |
| 75:10 78:6 82:13 | 125:22,22 190:7 | 160:10 162:18 164:13 | history 19:10 68:25 |
| 85:16 100:24 130:13 | 258:6 269:25 271:3 | 189:14 213:15 215:24 | 95:20 182:3 248:7 |
| 135:11 140:6 149:16 | 271:12,13,15 272:4 | 222:7 224:7,24 | 249:20,22 250:11,24 |
| 159:19 160:2 193:11 | groups 47:6,9,10,16 | 254:13 | hold 6:15 36:18,18 45:6 |
| 209:11 | 48:1 | heard 97:17 98:1 111:1 | 45:7,7,10,12 46:25,25 |
| Giant 211:9 | grown 64:16 71:6 | 111:2,8 126:14 130:4 | 46:25 121:7,7 150:25 |
| gig 70:18 | growth 223:7,11 | 140:11 141:25 157:10 | 171:6 229:13 237:12 |
| give 14:9 16:21 72:5 | guess 63:8 64:3 86:11 | 157:13 177:25 180:14 | 247:1,2 271:6,6,7,7,7 |
| 116:2 127:2,12 136:3 | 117:13 180:2 192:10 | 190:17 197:1 209:6 | 271:8,8,8,9 272:7 |
| 136:4,7 188:5 235:17 | 196:23 199:1 241:7 | 213:5 214:2,23 224:7 | 273:15 |
| 237:12,21 238:2 | 241:14 256:3 | 241:11,12 242:4 | home 104:4 112:15 |
| 239:15 245:9 250:4 | guests 92:6 | 249:4,23 250:25 | 154:11,16 162:19 |
| 250:13 254:6,8 258:7 | Guggenmos' 45:1 | 251:2,7,22 252:9 | homeowners 94:7,21 |
| 269:6,8 271:4,17 | guidance 73:11 | 254:16,17 262:22 | homes 199:19 205:25 |
| 273:14 | gym 93:16 204:17 | 263:5,15 266:8 | 263:20 |
| ven 170:23 181:15 | 205:1 | 268:17 | honest 80:17 84:21 |
| 228:23 269:9 | H | hearing 1:8 5:5,6,11,23 | 91:1,2 157:17 214:1 |
| gives 59:4,9 |  | 8:12,15,16 9:1,2,5,10 | 227:11 |
| giving 38:5 246:9 | H 6 | 9:15,16 10:2,9 11:4 | honestly 61:6 84:18 |
| 250:11 | H-shaped 108:2 | 12:11,11 16:17 17:22 | 158:15 |
| glaring 66:7 | half 90:10 116:13 | 22:11 30:18 31:8 35:2 | honking 206:13 |
| glass 100:17,21 216:25 | Halloween 94:18 | 36:25 39:2,7 44:21 | hope 9:11,24 10:9 |
| global 157:8 | hand 18:17 29:7 57:13 | 47:12,16 48:13 49:8 | 146:18 155:17 270:12 |

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.
hoping 192:25
horse 205:14
hospitality 24:5 66:23
hour 5:8 9:16,24 157:21 157:23
hours 9:17 11:23 12:12
20:23 21:5 22:13,15
26:6 27:10 40:6 42:5
42:10 51:22 54:6,15
54:17,19 81:20 129:4
131:24 133:7 137:18
137:19 158:10 159:11
159:18 172:11 190:22
212:13 219:7 260:1
260:14 264:8,12,17
house 89:6,12,16,17
94:9,10 95:7 104:5
112:7,9 162:24 163:3
201:11 202:11
houses 197:13
how's 207:6
HP 133:14
HPB 133:20
huge 113:1 114:5
125:14 126:7 209:23
humble 159:7
hundred 156:25 210:19
hurt 13:6
hyper-aware 161:3
hyperbole 170:25
hypersensitive 100:20
I

Ian 2:16 4:12 5:14
idea 87:10 209:15
identification 103:8 197:17 198:2 230:3 234:23
identified 80:10 115:14 115:16 116:13 119:25 146:13 166:17 192:3 226:24 235:8
identify 6:10 107:18 118:9 121:14 122:7 231:16 235:3,11
identifying 121:19
ill 13:4
illegal 59:4
Images 3:8
imagination 133:25
immediate 125:15,20 126:1 152:16
immediately 51:17 193:11
impact 13:10 84:12
impacted 148:19
implement 167:23 268:14
implemented 254:2 259:17
implication 11:13
important 12:18 50:5 141:14 179:9,10 221:13 250:18 266:8
impose 168:25
imposed 35:9 257:2 259:4
impossible 129:1
Improvement 149:3
impugning 11:14
in-person 21:22
inappropriateness 267:4
incidents 86:20
inclined 259:10
include 21:10
included 19:17 187:9 252:13
including 52:10 259:4
incomplete 218:25
inconsistencies 269:5
inconsistency 267:5
inconsistent 247:20
incorporated 128:19
Incorrect 223:15
increase 61:18 69:4,7 69:10,12,20 77:14 82:4,10 114:3,6,10,11 114:12,12 205:12
increased 60:18 66:21 66:24 106:7,18,20 173:7
increases 82:18 84:7
increasing 76:25 82:1 100:19
increasingly 20:14
indicated 254:23
indication 29:3
individual 24:21 241:18
indoor 20:2
indulgence 152:9
indulgent 27:17
indulging 262:11
industry 24:6,15,18,20 24:25 25:3
info 86:13 235:17
inform 5:17
information 3:11 10:11 10:17 12:18 19:6 49:12 74:13 177:20 184:6,19 199:14,16 240:21
informed 8:4 200:6
informing 74:13
ingress 103:25 108:3 259:14
initially 5:6 271:24
injected 181:20
input 74:17 127:2,3
insane 151:3
inside 42:5 85:5 97:23 206:9
insisted 36:9
insomuch 147:19,22
instance 22:21
instances 174:25 177:5 193:3
instituted 249:17
instructed 147:23
integrity 11:14
intend 13:1,11,12,15
intended 13:2
intends 43:1
intent 9:4 266:20,21
intention 246:18
intents 214:22
interact 94:3
interacted 25:7
interest 94:21 124:14 243:5
interesting 94:5 96:15 148:17
interim 140:5
interior 19:8 259:18
international 130:10
interplay 192:24
interrupt 188:12
intolerable 170:1
intoxication 69:7
introduction 62:9
investigation 268:25
investigative 249:19
investigators 268:25
invitation 129:15
invited 93:10
involved 24:7 146:1 168:17 180:11 186:18 190:14 193:5,15
involvement 217:17
involves 263:4
involving 179:3 180:4
irregular 206:20
irrelevant 48:19,19,20 170:19
issue 20:21 28:24 51:23 114:18 123:21 142:8 156:15 158:20 170:21 173:4,8 193:5,6 208:19 243:11 245:2 251:1 253:13 255:21 264:1 273:23
issued 162:13,14 168:11
it'd 126:16
it'll 231:7
items 35:7 51:3,20

## J

J-E-L-E- 17:6
J-E-L-E-N-A 195:25
J-O-H-N 18:9
J-O-R-D-A-N 234:3
J-O-S-E-P-H 165:16
January 5:7 22:5,12
30:17 31:5,11,15 32:3
34:20 37:11 167:12
167:12 185:24 186:1
186:1 193:23,24
194:4,8 240:22
Jefferson 251:3 266:4
Jelena 2:17 3:5 4:14
16:24 17:6 195:12,24
196:9 272:5
Jeni 1:23 272:23 273:8
job 127:11 135:16,20
John 2:14 3:3 4:15 14:1
18:9,9 240:5
Johns 59:8
join 193:21
Jon 122:10
Jordan 2:10 3:5,15 4:16
111:4 130:5 217:8
233:17 234:2
Joseph's 231:20 241:4
JR 1:20
jukebox 254:10,11
jumping 205:2
juncture 15:11 18:4
28:18 201:4
jurisdiction 159:20
justice 130:9
$\frac{\text { K }}{\text { keep 11:18 33:22,25 }}$
39:18 51:4 79:13 94:2
112:22 218:25
keeps 228:10
kept 111:10 127:5
243:7
Kerr 13:2
key 3:11 198:13
kicked 188:12
kidding 142:3
kids 91:13 93:4,4,22
97:2 100:19 127:11
131:19 149:20,22
155:25
kitchen 105:14
Kline's 12:8,22 13:17
45:20 47:3 215:10
knew 85:7 126:23,23 166:23
knocked 139:8
knocking 102:1
knowing 62:21 112:4
knowledge 109:19 137:14,16 167:18 171:18 177:8 185:3 198:24 204:16 220:22 220:23 222:2,13,17
knowledgeable 157:6
known 63:1 251:17 256:6
knows 73:18,18 249:22 253:23 255:23
$\frac{L}{\text { La 97:8 107:6 123:2 }}$
156:15 178:2 204:15
209:25 211:11
lack 99:24 177:13 266:10,18
lacking 228:24
laid 166:12
Lamont 2:9 4:17 242:10
lane 203:1,9
language 21:10 115:19
laptop 188:13
large 29:9
larger 215:5
lastly 242:10
Iate 32:6 67:5 92:12
98:1 99:6
lately 96:23
lateness 5:8
latest 98:19
launching 99:13
law 39:7 47:24 92:1 162:2 261:1,4,13,15 270:17,21 273:22
lawyer 90:22,24 91:1 159:6 214:20
lay 254:4
layers 160:9
layman 123:10
lead 20:8 186:5,9 187:20 252:20 266:18
leader 249:12 250:17 266:3
leadership 140:18 249:15,16
learned 41:2
leave 9:9 10:6 63:17 102:7 173:10 205:22 207:10 274:2,3
leaving 161:4
led 127:20
left 8:9 34:1 121:16,23 123:4 151:5 205:24 206:8
left-hand 123:7
legal 27:12 53:7 272:15
legally 158:24
legend 199:15
let's 50:21 51:1 73:19 80:17 117:17 118:23 118:24 133:11 135:23 142:14 149:23 169:10 172:21 179:19 182:13 214:9 234:16 246:5
letter 22:13 35:8 166:7 166:9 167:13 241:5
letting 50:22 90:12 237:16
level 142:6
liar 148:21
liberty 114:8
license 1:8,10 4:7 5:25 26:2 49:18,24,25 50:1 50:9,11,16,17,18 54:6 54:7 56:2 75:1 82:8 86:4,10 98:16 107:1
155:2 157:13,18 162:5,9,13 167:23 171:16 174:1,5 180:2 182:21 198:15 241:15 244:11 246:23 252:14 252:19 256:23 259:11 267:9 270:1,8
licensed 25:20 177:24 195:4 207:18 208:8 211:19 212:2 253:22 264:15,25 266:15
licensee 180:2,10 241:13,22 242:6 247:8
licensees 221:6,22 243:2
licenses 29:21 34:17 76:3 85:4 158:23 161:24 162:14 168:10 171:20 172:4 223:6 242:16 243:14,15,22 244:1,17
licensing 75:10 214:23
licensure 167:8 259:4 259:15,18 267:24 268:5 269:23
lieutenant 2:19 11:25 13:13 17:13 21:13 57:7,8,9,20,22 58:2 58:20 65:15,21 70:21 72:15 74:7 76:15,17 87:22 88:6 98:2 113:21 189:18
life 23:1 193:1 211:8,11 215:16 219:15 263:13 263:19
light 122:11 240:23
likelihood 81:5
Likewise 268:20
limitations 167:23 172:11 174:1 269:23 270:10
limited 159:21 172:4,4 172:9 176:5 259:21
line 20:4 34:6 45:21 48:18 49:3 100:15 129:21,22 131:21 184:18 185:10 216:1 217:12 268:12,13,19
lines 3:16 63:19 216:16 216:19
lingering 98:9 108:8
lining 235:20
links 117:12 123:16
liquor 29:21 34:8 66:24
list 13:14 19:14 21:16 21:25 22:7 51:3 64:23
listed 54:5
listen 92:14
listened 126:25
listening 80:23 139:11
listing 128:18
listservs 126:4
litany 132:7,21
literally 83:17 101:21 104:23 110:15 120:4 143:22 159:14
litigation 12:24
litter 192:18
little 64:3 95:22,25 96:1 98:19,20 99:21,21 104:14,19 114:8 115:3 117:18 142:13 157:15 158:13 170:6 174:18 193:8 202:8 202:22 219:4 222:8 224:8 250:20
livable 96:9
live 42:16 43:4 50:10 54:24 60:4 68:8 89:24 90:1,4 92:23 94:9 104:18,19 112:9 114:20 122:12 196:13 199:22 206:21 207:12 207:13 209:9 211:15 215:11 219:9,11 227:9 264:7
lived 89:14,15,19 91:9 91:15 105:20 129:16 148:18 153:22 161:2 161:3 163:3 203:23 210:10
liveliness 96:1
lively 66:14 96:1
lives 12:7 68:5 91:12 104:21 107:8,16 111:19 204:19,24
living 68:10 90:17 95:2 105:18 113:4 134:10 134:13,16 168:7 169:25 227:17
LLC 41:20
load 114:14 156:21 215:17
loading 208:14,24
local 93:13 96:16 162:18 263:11,23 264:5 266:14
located 6:2 58:15 63:5 63:22 68:19 79:18,18 82:24 111:16 150:22 185:3 221:7 265:7
location 21:22 40:2 42:1 77:14 91:22 120:18 160:19 171:25 176:9 185:4 227:8,14 248:10 250:2 259:2 264:23 265:17
$\log 7: 18$ 164:11
logging 188:13
lone 33:1
Iong 9:13 13:16 15:25 58:4,7,17 64:4 67:24 89:13 91:8 92:9 190:22 203:23 235:20 245:2 254:24
longer 9:21 109:23
longstanding 34:9
longtime 251:12
Longue 159:2 214:7
look 6:18,24 16:2 22:23 28:5 40:2 59:14 96:22 103:20 114:8 122:15 131:2 134:7 158:25 184:11 210:1 217:4 232:7 235:9 255:4
looked 63:1,16,22 67:14 97:15 99:20,22 100:4,14,15 124:11 127:24 128:11 149:9 149:19,22,24 205:14 212:5 213:22,24 214:2,4 219:21 225:18,20 249:19
looking 7:18 75:4 85:16 85:20 107:24 108:5,8 108:17 122:25 173:24 202:3 264:24 265:6 267:10
looks 109:3 116:15 121:15
loop 193:6
lost 83:20,22 84:2 100:24 102:7,8 124:7 171:21 204:14 206:15 211:18 249:8 271:18
Iot 33:15 59:3,6,19
60:14 61:15 64:9 71:5
71:7 80:21 84:9 93:3
94:8,11,16 96:24
97:25 98:6,23 100:17
101:11,15 102:1,13
102:14 106:11 109:21
111:21 121:17 122:12 127:21 148:15 154:19 163:2 170:3 173:12 180:15 182:5 190:10 190:15,15 201:13 204:18 208:20 212:13 215:5 249:6,21
254:19 255:8 262:20 266:23
lots 64:24 92:17 100:15 111:19,21,22 246:18
loud 92:15 105:1 107:20
Lounge 1:7 4:7 5:5 6:1 23:25 24:22 25:24 26:1 63:23 71:17,23 91:17 92:7,10 100:6 121:22 126:11,13,15 128:12,20 130:6,15 130:18 146:11,16 149:14,17 150:1,3,11 150:18,22 151:23 153:4 156:8 160:13 160:17 167:9 181:1 202:16 214:5,13 215:13 216:19 219:7 219:19 236:4 248:24 249:10 251:5 253:9 272:17,20
Lounge's 171:25
love 95:15,16 104:21 123:3 160:24 215:12
loves 97:11 103:16 112:16
Iunar 206:1
luxury 237:16
Lyft 100:23 101:2 106:16,21
$\bar{M}$

M 59:3 60:12,12,17 144:22 203:6
M-I-L-L-E-R 57:25
ma'am 195:17
Mahone 2:9 4:17 242:11 245:7,10 main 122:25
maintain 23:1 142:6
maintained 263:21
maintenance 263:22
major 141:2
majority 207:17
making 7:4 41:3 154:16 154:22
manage 66:15 84:15 215:16
managed 141:14
management 85:23 184:18,18 185:10 268:13,19
manager 156:16 178:2 216:10 226:25 235:10 235:10,12 239:13 268:15
manner 80:15
manpower 68:15
map 122:23 198:12,19 199:2,6 200:22 201:2 201:11 207:17
Maps 3:10
mark 3:7 234:20
marked 72:18 103:4,7 198:1,9,14 234:22
markers 121:19
market 197:16
Martin 138:7 139:4 224:4
Marty 253:10
Maryland 61:14 107:1
Massachusetts 60:14
material 155:1
matter 1:5 5:24 13:19 32:20 44:22 46:14 47:7 77:7 141:17 156:23 161:23 162:2 162:8,9 164:16 168:3 225:10,13 240:8 246:9 273:20 274:4
matters 6:6 19:4 50:9 143:6
Maxwell 226:8,10
meal 184:6
meaningful 187:25
meant 243:24 262:2
measured 227:11
measurement 227:12
mediation 31:3 36:3 37:19 46:15 52:18
mediations 46:17
mediator 46:18
meet 21:14 189:3 240:20
meeting 1:3 19:5,12,15 21:23 22:5,9,12 23:11 25:11 26:12,14 30:23

38:16 130:21 135:5 140:5 167:11,13 180:17,23 189:18 200:6 218:5,10,12 272:14 273:16
meetings 20:16 23:16
23:18,21,22 36:4 52:6
52:9,21 56:5 62:10
111:9 127:3 128:21
129:22 175:19,22,24
175:25 176:2,24
182:6 190:21 217:19
231:21,23 241:13
242:1,5 272:13,18,22 273:17
mellow 212:6
member 1:20,21,22,23
24:22 37:10 38:2,6
50:25 58:8 93:16
112:3,6 114:9 153:15
153:18,25 154:3,6,10
154:17,23 155:5,10
155:14 157:4 158:19
160:5,9,15 161:14,20
161:22 162:6,16
163:7,13 191:21,24
192:2,16 193:18,21
213:17 242:24 244:10
244:14 248:20,21
265:15 272:23 273:3
273:5,8,10
members 18:24 24:21
55:13 87:15 153:14 163:19 191:4,20 194:12 228:1 239:4 239:18 241:19 246:7 263:17,18 274:1
mentioned 53:13 60:23 62:3 101:9 102:16 113:3 194:21 210:24 211:17 214:11 252:9 259:12
mentioning 184:9 209:18
menu 184:12
message 34:7 140:1 235:18
met 1:14 25:10 41:2 94:16 181:17 270:3
Metropolitan 72:22 73:2 74:12
Michael 3:14 216:8 226:21 235:5 236:6
microphone 164:12 191:8
mid-August 19:2
middle 81:20 103:20
104:11 121:20 122:2

123:25
midnight 55:3 212:20 212:25 226:4
might've 218:5
Mike 140:16 147:11 248:21
military 213:17
mind 33:25 102:21 171:11 174:19 179:22 235:19
mindful 10:1 164:7
minimal 84:16
minimized 71:14
minimum 211:12
minor 250:2
minute 45:7,7 150:25 229:13 271:8 272:7
minutes 37:1 164:15 182:8
misleading 268:24
misled 127:6
mispronouncing 196:3
misrepresenting 238:14
missed 218:5
missing 30:12 205:9 221:12
mistaken 107:7
mistrust 22:22
mitigate 144:10 173:21 181:16 253:16 268:2
mitigated 78:1
mitigation 19:10 20:22 27:8 138:11 219:23 259:16 267:23
mix 96:4,18,20 199:18
mixed 29:21,25 67:25 95:3 161:10
model 21:8
modeling 21:5
modification 246:12,13
moment 172:25 188:17 257:10
money 75:4 112:23
month 101:18 185:23 186:2
monthly 19:2
months 30:15 75:9 90:10,14 114:4,5 210:9
Morgan 64:15 70:14 71:1,2,4 74:24 82:3
morning 11:5 80:23 83:2 105:8 213:4 215:2 219:13 264:8
mornings 66:17
mosaic 204:22
motion 34:19 201:4

273:1,2,13
motorcycles 68:6,13
move 15:11 21:22 33:3 37:11 38:6 51:1,8 73:19 81:25 99:8,15 115:4 141:21 166:24 169:10,14 172:21 179:19 182:13 199:23 202:7 203:25 214:9 228:20 229:22 230:4 236:10 272:14
moved 47:11 89:17
95:8,12 105:25 109:5
126:21,22 158:17,18
162:14 163:2 204:8 204:10,14 205:14 207:25 211:25 222:4 222:9,20 223:1
230:10,11 236:13
moving 11:18,21 28:22 49:7 202:5 229:3 262:19 267:16
MPD 2:19 13:13 17:14 57:23 58:8 61:2 64:5 65:15 70:2,6,16,24 71:18,23 73:8,9 85:8 85:13 86:13 87:6
MU-4 161:19
multi-million 112:9
multiple 108:3 128:21
131:12 265:24
mural 123:3
murals 104:14
music 80:24 92:14,15
139:19 264:7 265:13
mute 35:16 164:12,12 191:8 195:17
$\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N} 41: 24101: 22223: 13}$

N 41:24 101:22 223:13 223:16,17,22,25,25 226:24
N-A 17:7
N-A- 234:3
Naber 2:10 3:5,15 4:16 217:8 230:22,25 231:1,10,24,25 233:10,14,14,15,17 233:17,21,25 234:2,3 234:3,7,10,12 235:1,4 235:24 236:3,8,16,24 237:4,7 238:9,13
239:7,8 240:2,4
naive 157:19
name 17:2,3,4,7 18:7 53:16,19 55:22 57:21 57:24 88:19 129:7,24 165:12,12,15,16

195:23,24 196:3
213:16 216:9 226:21
226:23 233:19 234:1 234:2,3
named 61:6,22
names 16:22
nanny 97:4
narrow 128:24 129:2 203:10
National 134:15
nature 59:22 95:17 96:12 99:1 101:7 133:11,13 147:21 161:25
Naylor 93:11 221:3,3,16 223:3 257:19 270:7 271:23
near 153:22
nearly 13:16 106:12,13
necessarily 77:13 159:13 186:13,16 190:19 192:12 193:11 208:22 242:2
necessary 242:3 243:8 245:12 257:15
need 6:18,24 10:14 13:19 50:5,15 75:13 85:25 86:21 87:2 116:22 120:18 123:15 135:14 136:2,6 151:17 162:21 179:12 191:7,10 193:4,6 231:14,15 232:3,12 237:19,21 238:2,2 244:21 245:19 247:5 273:25 274:1,1
needed 12:1 20:21 237:20
needles 59:6 98:4
needs 6:6 12:19 151:6 151:7 158:25 210:16
negative 81:15
negotiated 169:6
negotiation 51:5
negotiations 19:23 28:9,10 51:17 129:23
neighbor 52:14 125:21 129:16 197:8 213:16 268:13
neighborhood 22:25 47:12,17 82:20,23 83:20 84:13,17,20 89:20,22 95:8 97:18 126:4,13,22 128:8 131:18 150:7,12,15 152:1 155:19,20 158:2 162:15 187:21 200:24 211:15 220:21

221:1,12,13 222:3 240:19 252:6 255:6 255:10 262:20,23
neighborhood-y 204:19
neighborhoods 61:12
neighboring 185:11 215:4
neighbors 19:21 22:25 94:1,17,19 97:1 98:11 110:3 122:12 125:16 125:19 126:1,1 127:22,25 128:4 146:25 152:14,16 180:24 181:23,24 192:14 197:9 210:15 248:11 250:6
Nelson 204:19
network 145:21 146:1 203:9
never 41:8 51:3 63:1,16 63:22 67:14 97:15 99:20,22 100:4,14,15 111:8 124:11 127:18 127:24 128:11 130:4 149:8,19,22,24 205:14 206:2 208:3 210:1 212:5 213:22 213:24 214:2,4 219:21 222:16 224:13 225:18,20 248:18 250:1 251:22 252:7 255:21
new 1:10 5:25 10:11,16 61:24 90:23 111:13 126:25 149:18 155:23 160:19 161:2 205:5 205:10 206:2 214:21 250:5,11,13
news 111:7
nice 95:23
Nichols 251:16,20
night 19:16 21:24 46:21 59:6,17 61:9 67:5 83:13 97:12 98:1,22 99:6,7,11,12 101:4,10 102:12 108:19 114:24 125:17 154:13,22 206:1 263:9,10
nightclub 61:3,7,25 99:18 173:17 214:25 262:18 264:7 265:7,8 265:13,18
nightclubs 61:25 66:1 67:5 69:2 82:2 83:6 213:3
nightlife 3:12 24:8,11 24:15,18 25:3,8 61:15 64:12 70:1,7 72:20

73:3 74:8,17 75:12,20 76:9 99:17 229:24 251:18 252:6 269:17
nights 97:14
NIMBY 95:10
noise 19:25 20:20 27:7
53:7 61:9 62:3,13
67:16 69:10 98:10
102:14 107:20,21
108:18 111:11 112:7 114:12 123:8 127:4 128:22 129:5 130:16 131:15 132:11,18 133:6,8 137:23 138:1 139:6,7 144:5,7 154:22 157:24 161:4 163:1,2,6,6,8 173:2 180:15,16,25 182:23 182:24 192:18 215:7 216:13 219:23 251:1 251:1,18 252:6 253:16 254:2,21 256:13 260:4 262:20 263:14 266:6 267:23 267:25 268:2 269:14
noisy 92:19 174:12
non- 49:20
non-ABC 156:23
nonresponsive 151:11
normally 33:16
northern 223:25
Northwest 6:3 89:1
nose 107:13
noted 263:24 267:21
notes 132:25 133:5 247:5,14,19 271:21 271:21
notice 243:14 244:16 273:14
noticeably 268:6
noticed 100:10 125:12 168:23
Nouri 2:11 4:12 19:1,9 19:13,22,24 20:25 21:5 25:7,10,14 27:11 34:18 41:2 51:13,25 111:3,4 129:11,19 131:9 175:16 176:15 176:24 177:1,5 186:5 186:14 215:24 246:17 248:23 249:9,11 250:1,22 251:21,24 252:7,9,24 253:19 254:25 255:8 256:21 265:12
Nouri's 140:11,18,25 147:16 248:25 255:17 269:5

November 52:20
nuanced 157:16
nuisance 106:14
number 25:16 51:17
56:4 115:12 116:3
122:22 127:11 216:11 251:19
numbers 19:19 159:11
numerous 170:21
181:20
NW 1:7
$\frac{\text { O }}{\frac{\text { o'clock 8:16,25 9:9 10:3 }}{}}$
10:6 11:5,6 97:22
154:12,13 212:23
213:4 215:2,2 219:8 219:13 225:15,24 226:6,9
oath 91:4 233:25
object 10:12,13 18:2 73:17 115:15,15,16 115:19 116:15 117:14 125:2 141:21 147:18 181:23 200:1,16,17
228:23 230:24 240:6
objected 40:10 74:3
objection 12:13 15:18
16:11,15 17:20 27:16
27:21,23 28:2,3,18,19
28:23 32:18 33:3,8
36:19,21 37:3,6,13
38:7,25 39:5,10 43:14
44:8 47:21 50:4,22
65:8,19 73:12,16 74:5 113:10,12,25 115:8 116:14 117:25 118:1 119:23 125:1 135:15 135:16,17 136:23
141:16 142:1,15
147:21 148:5 169:4,4
169:10,14 170:18
171:9 172:17,21
179:5,17,19 181:12
182:12 214:3 215:11
229:2 230:9 236:13
objections 16:5,5,13,13
18:1,4
obligation 27:12 53:7
obligations 11:6 75:20
75:21 91:1 268:1
observation 145:9 248:18
observe 145:16 observed 120:17,22 obstruct 203:13,18 obstructs 203:16 obtain 199:15,16
obviate 15:20
obviously 14:17 59:12 83:17 188:5
occasion 176:20 234:9
occasions 170:22 181:20 265:24
occupancy 19:18 210:20
occur 44:15 45:24 67:7 74:21 271:19
occurred 45:22 181:25
October 30:22,23,23 180:20,23
offer 129:10 158:22 231:25
offered 20:15 116:25 127:3 129:24 189:4 218:7,9 220:2 231:2 265:12,15,25 266:24 267:22 268:4
offering 48:5
offhand 211:17
office 71:21 97:6 121:25 272:17
officer 11:15,15,24 13:13 61:2 64:16 113:5
officers 60:2 70:12,18 86:6,9
offices 97:7
official 34:23 35:2 167:8,10 269:19 272:12,21
oftentimes 104:2 160:24
old 90:8,15 91:21 123:4
older 99:21 254:7
on-duty 70:12
once 101:18,19 170:8 201:3 252:11
onerous 46:5
ones 52:4 55:20 109:15 124:15 152:23 158:8 162:24 198:16 222:15 222:20,23,25 249:9
ongoing 60:20 173:14 193:3
online 7:24
opaque 159:9
open 12:8 54:1 55:23 62:19 63:24 67:11 69:3 85:13,19 92:12 100:6 113:13 156:1 193:9 212:19,24 213:3 215:1 216:17 219:8,12 252:11,12 264:24 272:13,18,22 273:17
opened 37:7 43:21
48:24,25 49:1 67:6
85:25 105:19 106:2,4
169:15 204:14 213:22
opening 86:4 158:18 257:7 258:20
opens 77:12 89:3 170:5
operate 40:7 103:14
178:11 212:18 219:19 248:14
operated 86:19 248:23
operates 269:2
operating 54:1 97:6
170:7 216:19 260:19 268:22
operation 22:14 47:24 54:15 79:9 147:16 158:11 159:19 170:3 250:16
operations 21:6 98:17 235:9,11 239:13 249:5 255:5
operator 41:5 84:11,17 84:19 85:7 86:19 248:7,14,17 249:11 250:5,9,13,14 256:23
operators 40:22 84:15 146:24 147:4,4,8 219:20,22 250:11
opine 45:23 265:21
opinion 45:1 47:3 48:10 126:12 192:21 210:18
opinions 117:3 269:18
opportunities 75:6
opportunity 9:20 14:19 15:2,5 136:4,8 237:12 238:3 258:7 271:18
opposed 67:11 157:12 162:12 180:3 222:14 226:25 266:15
opposing 130:7
option 85:21
options 20:25 75:4
oranges 44:5
order 26:1 93:8 133:21 167:15 174:8
orderliness 68:11
ordinance 27:12 53:8
organization 24:20
organize 249:14
organizing 130:9 249:13
original 30:14 162:24 183:18 219:6
originally 30:19 216:16 236:1
other's 197:13
outdoor 20:3 97:18
outlined 22:17
outlining 22:13
outreach 23:24 25:23
52:1,7 175:10 177:9
177:13 179:7,9
181:21,22,22,25
182:6,9 240:25
266:11,18
outside 24:1 71:13 76:1 76:8 85:1 98:9 121:20 242:18 243:17,23 247:25 253:12
overcome 22:21
overfilled 208:4
overflowing 3:9 210:4
overloaded 156:24
overlook 79:16
overlooks 79:12
overrule 27:20,22 28:2 28:19 33:8 37:2 39:4 44:8,10 47:21 50:3,21 65:19 113:25 141:25 142:1,15 148:5 171:8 179:19 182:12 229:2
overruling 37:12 38:7
oversight 247:23
overstuffing 210:13
overtime 70:17,18
overwhelming 68:15 159:12 256:20
owner 75:2 178:1 265:5 268:10
owners 94:9 131:1 206:8
owns 111:20,23

## P

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 4:1
p.m 4:2 96:2 164:17,18 213:19 216:18,19,21
235:21 260:8,9,9,9
274:5
packing 207:22
pad 99:13
Paganelli 21:3 25:18
page 3:19 116:3,6,10 116:12,19,21 117:14 117:17,19,20 118:2 118:25 119:1,13 121:13,13,14,14,15 122:6,6,9,18,19,21 123:11,12,13,13 124:14,17 200:2 247:15 249:21
pages 115:14 116:9,18 118:20,22,24
panacea 209:13
pandemic 93:3 106:9 109:22 211:8
paragraph 219:2 224:20
paramount 19:20
pardon 26:25 144:16
parents 56:6 216:12
park 107:9 114:13 203:12,15 205:21 207:11
parked 103:22,24 107:3 144:18
parking 61:12,13 121:17 128:23 130:16 173:11
part 10:20 31:22,24 32:1 33:18 39:13 45:4 49:2 51:3 94:23 125:21 129:23 130:25 170:10 175:3,4,5 177:11 184:9 190:22 201:5 213:11 221:4 246:21
partial 222:25
participate 101:21,23
participation 270:24 273:19
particular 71:25 113:20 120:16 157:9 168:2 174:5 176:6,8 265:11 265:23 266:6 270:5
particularly 263:14
parties 4:9 8:13 33:17 36:23,24 44:3,10,21 45:1,25 47:18,22 49:16,23 50:4,7,9,22 51:7 52:9,10 94:14 191:9 193:15,15 245:14 258:14 260:25 261:12,16 264:2 270:16,18 273:18
parties' 43:23 46:13
party 46:4,21 68:16 99:3 186:16
partying 80:3,17,21
pass 139:22 205:25 206:10,19 250:8 257:11
passage 133:7
passed 273:14
passing 107:17
patio 251:5
Patrick 111:18,19,20 140:17 148:23 149:1 249:4
Patrick's 121:25 patron 91:19,21,21 patronage 91:25
patronize 126:11
211:22 266:14
patrons 61:11 62:15 63:12,16 69:19 77:24 79:21 81:20 92:9 97:9 99:23 108:21 113:18 153:2,5 161:4 206:5 208:22 209:9 216:1 216:25 217:12
pause 5:1 269:7,8
pay $70: 15,16$
PDF 116:5
peace 68:11 174:8
pedestrian 78:5 110:15
pedestrians 64:24
peeing 146:14
people 6:22 7:23 11:6 34:8 39:23 59:4,13 60:4,15 61:12 64:24 67:1,13 68:8,21 69:21 69:21 71:8 74:24 78:4 78:5,13 80:3,7,10,16 80:18,20 81:13 83:3 84:22 93:23 94:15 96:16 97:7 98:9 101:15,16 102:5,7 107:3,6,15,22,24 108:4,8,21 110:6 114:23 121:1 124:5,9 126:6 131:22 139:16 139:20,20 143:18 144:3,18 146:14 147:8 149:16 150:4,6 153:8 154:20,21 156:25 190:13 199:18 205:2,22,24 206:3,6 206:13 207:12,12,13 207:14 208:16,23 209:10,16 212:14 251:25 254:5 255:12 263:9
people's 190:23 212:13
perceived 190:1,2
percent 226:22 254:23
perfect 64:18 176:12 254:9 263:25
perfectly 246:22
perilously 27:17
period 116:21 169:1 170:2,9,16,23 183:15 248:8
permissible 28:6 39:3
permissive 41:7
permits 133:19
permitted 15:19 267:12
permitting 26:9 195:3
perpetrating 80:7
persisting 192:21
person 6:23,23,24 10:6 10:16 15:25 16:11,16 33:1 118:10 161:5 210:20 230:23 235:6 239:15 248:20 271:13
personal 51:6 65:16 106:17 177:19
personalize 171:7
personally 63:18 130:22 199:22 211:7 262:11
personnel 71:21
perspective 156:19
pest 112:23,25
pests 112:14
pet 216:12
phone 235:9
phones 164:13
phonetic 123:4 204:23
photo 120:16
photograph 120:19
photographs 109:1 117:20 119:17
photos 115:17,18 119:5 119:24,25 120:5,6 122:8 125:2,5,7,10
phrased 136:5
pick 154:25
pick-up 93:8
picked 152:20 209:11
pickup 107:12,13 206:4
Picnic 17:18 257:25 258:1 272:2
picture 103:21 104:11 113:7 114:23 118:6 121:20 122:13,16,20 122:22,23 123:7 146:14 155:6 233:19
pictures 68:8 103:9 110:1 113:8 115:12 118:10,11 121:16 122:10 145:3 154:23 155:11 156:18 192:17
piece 224:8
PIF 17:24 35:8 72:19 103:5 137:7 182:17 183:15
piled 210:7
piles 208:2
Pinto 17:17 272:3
pipe 10:15
pivot 91:7
placards 52:5
place 59:11,14 96:5 97:10 99:2,4 101:22 114:20 128:5 151:25 170:6 237:1
placed 111:23
placement 187:13
places 66:10 83:19 109:24 118:17 134:16
placing 173:25
plan 16:3 70:1,6,14,23 71:25 73:4 74:9,14,18 76:7 86:14 87:7 111:13 114:16 134:25 136:15,21 183:24 184:15 187:18 218:23 219:1 248:9,14 252:8 252:9,19 253:11,16 254:2 259:16
planned 264:7
planning 12:8 16:8 112:2
plans 19:7,18 20:19 22:6 26:9,12 27:2,4 52:25 53:1,3 70:7 110:10 111:9 139:23 140:4 185:9 186:21 187:2 267:6,12,23
plant 11:8
plates 107:1
play 12:23 93:4,24 104:3 114:21 123:13 131:19
playing 97:2 100:19
pleasant 270:13
please 4:8,9,10 6:10,10 6:11 16:23 17:2 18:6 18:7,17 45:7 53:16,22 54:19 57:5,13,20 72:6 75:18 88:13,19 121:12 136:6 137:5 142:18 150:25 151:20 164:12,25 171:7 191:12 195:15,23 197:20,21 229:9,13 232:15,16 234:1 258:24
pleasure 245:9
pledge 140:11
plus 34:15 141:10
pockets 64:12 65:25
point 21:23 27:15 28:8 28:9 29:8 31:19 38:1 38:1 43:25 46:1 49:4 67:24 71:5 77:1,9,11 96:2 117:11 170:22 171:5 178:12 179:13 180:2 223:6 241:4 247:12 251:1 267:8 268:4
points 19:14 22:8 104:1 108:4 166:23
police 11:24 72:22 73:2 74:12 255:23
policing 58:23
Policy 19:3 35:21
Polysonics 25:17
popped 101:5
portion 14:5 201:23
portrayal 192:8
position 11:19 14:3
15:24 18:13 20:23
24:12 26:2 27:10
29:12 30:6 33:2 35:2
35:12 37:1,8,16 39:22
44:7,9 48:7,9 145:16
150:15 152:2 167:8 167:10 173:16 195:1 221:24 247:17,18 256:4,20 269:8,19
positions 22:13 36:24
37:19 43:23 44:2
46:13 48:15 51:18
positive 27:11
possibility 81:4 173:19 174:24
possible 20:16 132:1
possibly 155:6
post 65:1 122:11
postal 196:14
potential 82:1 173:22 173:24 174:4,15
potentially 13:8 17:16 81:3
potted 11:8
Powell 140:17 148:23 149:1 249:4 251:9 256:2,7
power 159:25
practice 74:7
practiced 8:11
practices 3:11 72:20 229:23 266:1
pre-pandemic 94:13
precedent 46:15
precise 46:11 48:8 264:23
precisely 147:25 201:11
precludes 209:8
prefer 29:15 50:8
preliminary 6:6 13:19
premises 77:3,10,25 85:1 138:12 181:14
preparation 85:17
prepared 134:24 136:14 137:13 220:18 245:8
Preparing 187:18 presence 79:20 179:25 present 1:17 2:6 5:11 5:16,20 6:5 20:6

33:12 213:6 217:18
217:19,22,25 242:14
256:4 267:13
presentation 8:6 140:4 183:18
presentations 41:3
presented 5:9 9:18 19:6 21:16 26:11 154:24 166:12 214:17 218:24 219:9 241:12,21 265:4 267:12
presenting 166:13 242:13
presently 58:13 103:14
preservation 134:3,5
President 90:19
presiding 1:15
presumably 178:19
pretty 97:15,24 107:14 107:18 212:5
prevalent 175:1 255:24
previous 192:3 199:9 234:13 235:13 239:9 255:6,17
previously 16:12 18:5 40:9 72:18 146:10 166:7 182:24 197:16 198:9 215:20 233:24 248:23 256:1 270:11
price 110:7
pride 147:1
primary 94:9 176:20 187:11
principle 141:19
print 204:25
prior 5:23 6:4 8:11 16:17 17:22 51:15,16 99:19 158:21 180:22 181:6 183:15 195:1 234:12 240:22 267:21
private 95:23 109:14 112:25
probably 7:23 12:17 66:13 69:18 76:7 91:10 93:3 100:25 106:1,20 109:16,22 121:1 123:18 124:9 150:9 157:16
probationary 169:1 170:2,9,16
problem 59:2 60:19 106:13 113:1 126:8 145:6 156:12,14,17 177:12 206:25 207:6 208:1 210:21 214:14 215:15 231:24 251:1 254:3 255:2
problematic 205:17,18

205:19
problems 61:24 127:24 155:20,23 173:18,22 173:24,25 174:4 180:6 207:24 253:1 265:1
procedures 138:11 157:7
proceed 12:20 13:7
proceeding 215:22
proceedings 269:11 273:15
process 22:23 38:3 46:22 126:18 127:9 128:14,15 177:12 181:17 190:14 266:11 268:9
procured 31:18
produced 134:24
productive 131:3,7
profession 24:4
professional 11:18 96:19
proffer 7:11 12:22 231:5,6
proffered 240:8
program 71:12 249:16
programming 19:7
projected 117:4
projects 25:20
promise 176:11 230:19
promised 43:1
pronounce 226:23
pronounced 264:22
pronouncing 216:8
properly 56:13 115:21
property 19:13 259:19
proposal 110:24
propose 36:14
proposed 13:10 36:4 82:25 113:15 171:25 185:4 202:17 260:25 261:12,14 270:16,20 273:22
proposing 34:12 100:6
prostitute 59:2
prostitutes 59:8
prostitution 60:19,24 77:20 80:8 113:6
protect 12:3
protected 134:9,12,13 134:15
protest 1:7 5:4,6,24 12:11,11 22:10 30:7 30:10,15,20 31:1,8,10 32:11,19 34:3 37:12 38:7 44:21 49:7 56:1 56:12,13,17 111:13

166:2 174:4,8 175:3 180:18 181:7 182:20 251:22 267:15
protestant 2:17,20 3:8 3:23 5:11,16,18 6:5 7:15 9:19 15:18 17:18 31:17 35:3 103:5,7 124:23 198:1 229:7 230:2,14 245:16,21 246:4 270:7
Protestant's 263:7
protestants 5:14,15 7:12,21 10:21 21:15 35:25 36:6,9 43:11 52:13 125:22,23 189:21 228:13 246:21 252:23
Protestants' 2:15,16 37:18 72:19
protested 31:2 32:21 172:22
protesting 47:7 52:11 195:3 269:13,22,25
protestors 38:13
prove 76:1 170:24 171:16
proven 40:22 86:25 248:6 250:15
proves 241:16,16
provide 39:20 70:11,17 74:17 78:9 136:8 183:6 240:20 266:3
provided 15:18 25:13 25:16 134:24 136:15 136:21,22 183:11,14 184:3,15,19 185:10 237:15 266:3
provides 58:24
providing 177:19
proving 170:17
provision 179:1
proximity 160:17,18
public 59:7 61:10 67:17 69:4,7 78:10 84:7,8 109:15 125:10 129:7 129:8,22 167:12 199:17,20 218:16
published 73:1
Puente 54:4
pull 102:25 124:6 132:24
pulled 85:5
punches 205:2
puppy $7: 1$
purchased 154:11,15
purported 179:7
purpose 5:10 73:8,25 169:19,21 205:7

| 240:15 244:16 272:15 | 147:24 153:12,13 | 266:20 | 129:25 163:14 164:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| purposes 11:11 98:15 | 163:18,22 181:17 | reached 71:17,23 85:8 | 164:17,20 165:13 |
| 176:4,5 214:23 | 191:1,3,19 193:19 | 138:10 139:3 177:5 | 166:25 167:7 195:23 |
| pursuant 16:16 272:17 | 194:11,15 195:7 | 188:23 189:13 218:14 | 200:9 234:1 237:17 |
| 273:17 | 200:18,22 214:8 | 228:12 235:6,13,16 | 242:14 243:6 272:9 |
| put 7:14,16 11:23 13:1 | 226:18 227:6,25,25 | reaching 218:20 | 274:5 |
| 15:9,19 38:1 70:1,6 | 228:4,4,9 233:5 236:9 | read 14:4 73:6,23 166:7 | recordkeeper 243:8 |
| 98:7 108:1 110:5 | 236:14 239:4,18,21 | 224:9,11,19 225:2 | records 199:17,20 |
| 164:11 167:2,4 | 241:1 244:10,13 | 241:5 | 230:23 242:11,22 |
| 170:20 181:15 182:2 | quick 159:5 194:20 | ready 12:20 13:7 | 244:24 |
| 191:7 198:12 231:15 | 228:9 236:14 | 245:23,24 | recross 3:2 163:22 |
| 231:15 234:16 252:17 | quickly 58:22 64:2 | real 126:7 159:5 | 194:15 239:21 |
| 254:12 255:14 259:16 | 125:9 165:11 215:20 | reality 68:3 | recycling 208:5 210:5 |
| puts 141:6 | 271:4 | realize 67:15 78:13,15 | red 202:21 |
| putting 11:17 12:15 | quiet 95:23 96:3 97:15 | realized 229:21 | redirect 3:2 87:18,19 |
| 112:2 182:1 219:21 | 97:23,24 98:14,22 | realizing 206:10 | 136:3,8 164:1 194:19 |
| 252:16 | 131:24 132:16,18,18 | rear 41:24 89:5 208:9 | 228:7 239:24,24 |
| puzzling 250:19 | 135:20 174:9 | 208:13 | reference 67:20 179:14 <br> referenced 145:24 |
| Q | quite 7:7 75:9 96:25 | 62:23 68:9,21,22 | 178:24 |
| qualified 65:9 | 97:9 107:2 131:5 | 82:19,19,23 85:12 | referencing 104:12 |
| quality 23:1 84:10 | 153:20 190:13 203:10 | 86:8 130:25 138:9 | 228:10 |
| 193:1 219:15 263:13 | 241:8,9 | 147:14 148:8 156:18 | referred 35:19 |
| 263:19 | quote 38:21 146:25,25 | 189:22 247:6 256:3,7 | referring 80:25 232:6 |
| quarter 254:12 |  | 256:11 265:9 267:7 | 243:11,12 |
| question 14:14,23 | R | 270:6 271:20 | reflected 117:4 |
| 24:23,24 27:20 28:1 | R 17:4 81:19 | reasonable 48:15 | reflects 242:15 |
| 28:21 33:9 37:13 38:8 | racial 130:9 | reasons 41:16 211:25 | Reformation 93:15 |
| 39:16,19 43:24 44:4 | racist 130:7 | 270:2 272:20 | 206:22 210:2 |
| 44:24,25 48:14 49:9 | radius 211:8 | rebut 241:10 242:3 | refuge 59:4,10 |
| 49:11 65:4,22,23 | Rafi 1:21 273:5 | rebuttal 229:15,17,21 | refute 224:22 225:1 |
| 73:21,23 87:9 113:25 | raise 14:14 16:13 18:16 | 230:6,16,16 240:3 | 256:17 |
| 115:9 121:12 134:20 | 28:17,23 57:13 88:12 | recall 30:9 31:13 | regarding 154:24 |
| 135:1,7,10,15,17,21 | 131:10,12 164:25 | 111:14 175:21 180:19 | 155:12 |
| 135:24 136:1,12,13 | 195:15 | 183:24 184:4,20 | regardless 188:22 |
| 136:18,19,25 137:4 | raised 16:6 18:4 22:17 | 189:6,24 | regards 51:20 |
| 138:24 139:1,23 | 36:21 91:11 132:8 | RECD 3:7 | region 270:4 |
| 141:13,15,22 142:15 | 134:23 135:18 143:14 | receipt 270:20 | Register 134:16 |
| 142:16,18,19 143:23 | 175:10 187:7 188:2 | receive 21:25 181:4,9 | regular 92:3 206:5 |
| 144:1 146:21 147:19 | 248:12 251:20 | 182:16 193:10 273:21 | 236:2 249:2 |
| 148:1,5,9 150:20 | raises 135:16 | received 124:22 181:7 | regulations 84:5 |
| 151:8,12,17,21,22 | ran 253:8 | 229:6 230:1,13 236:6 | 214:22 |
| 152:7 156:11 162:16 | rank 58:1,5 | 236:19 | reimbursable 85:8 |
| 169:11 171:10,12 | rash 125:14 | receiving 183:15 | relate 137:23 |
| 174:20 176:4 179:21 | Rat 112:24 | 261:14 | relation 185:10 |
| 179:23 181:18 192:23 | rate 254:23 | recess $273: 15$ | relationship 178:10 |
| 194:20 196:12 208:7 | rationale 38:5 | reciprocity 177:21 | 192:4 |
| 226:19 237:10,13,15 | rats 112:17 210:11 | recognize 28:4 94:11 | relationships 263:22 |
| 237:21,22 238:17,18 | raucous 263:5 | 119:4,16 120:5 | relevance 32:18 169:5 |
| 238:23,24 239:1,7 | RDO 71:18 75:6 85:8,14 | 121:17 123:23 | 169:6 172:17 179:5 |
| questioned 139:12 | 85:25 86:5,6,9 | recollection 175:23 | 181:12,18 214:3 |
| questioner 135:21 | RDOs 70:15,24 | 219:1 223:24 | relevant 36:25 49:13,21 |
| questioning 45:21 | reach 20:14 46:6 47:8 | recommendations | 113:23 156:20 182:10 |
| 48:18 49:3 | 47:23 51:25 85:13 | 253:20 254:24 | 269:1 |
| questions 14:5 19:9 | 86:5 87:6 129:10 | recommended 253:24 | reliable 248:13,16 |
| 23:5 27:24 28:22 | 130:15 176:25 177:16 | record 4:4 6:11 14:4 | 249:3 |
| 35:14 52:24 55:13,17 | 179:2 189:2 217:8 | 18:7 35:19 38:2 57:21 | reliably 248:6 |
| 76:12 87:12,15 136:5 | 234:9 240:20 266:16 | 87:1 88:20 115:5 | relief 35:4 262:3 |

remain 21:17 48:20 274:1
remains 60:21
remember 5:17 8:3 37:25 123:20 125:23 154:9 182:1,5,7 183:25 184:8 254:8
reminded 8:13
reminding 8:12
remote 254:11
remotely 145:12
renewal 133:20
renovation 93:12 163:4
renters 94:8
Reopen 205:16
repeat 10:7 65:23 142:17 152:5 222:7
repeatedly 187:2
repeating 68:25 171:11 179:22
repetitive 10:10
rephrase 38:10
report 224:9,14,22 225:1
represent 23:13 33:25 165:23 184:25 257:24 269:17
representation 10:19 103:13 198:22 199:11 200:24 220:21 221:1 221:11,19 244:25
representations 91:2 237:1
representative 17:11 17:14,17 23:15 34:23 127:8 166:1,5 189:23 190:7 258:18 271:12 271:15 272:1,4
representatives $38: 12$ 166:4 193:7 270:2
represented 200:23 216:9 259:5 265:6
representing 128:16 258:6 271:25
represents 200:22 264:3
reputation 182:3
request 12:22 27:7 71:18,24 168:1 259:14 270:8
requested 25:25 242:25 264:12,14
requesting 246:10 258:25 269:22
requests 167:19 266:12
required 42:12 143:9 159:11 252:20 255:1 requirements 20:4

133:15,15 134:3,5 167:14
reserve 261:9
reserved 92:8
reset 21:23
reside 88:24 207:15
residence 59:15 176:24 198:14
residences 111:24 122:15 139:17
resident 104:20 119:11 119:21 130:7,19 157:2 159:6 160:2,4 192:11 251:11,12,14
residential $67: 16,22$ 109:12 110:14 161:10 198:17 215:15 262:24 265:18
residents 13:8,9 45:3 78:15,17,21 101:1 107:8 114:17 148:16 148:17 152:11,19 157:7 158:4 160:16 161:9 173:6 177:6,17 177:19 178:4,10,13 180:24 187:7 189:3 189:14 190:16 192:14 192:24 249:24 250:7 251:3,10 254:3 263:12,16,20 264:5 266:4,9 267:14,19 268:17
resigned 194:4,23
resolution 179:1,25
resolve 129:6 180:6 192:19
resolved 36:1 43:10 46:14 193:12 264:1
resources 178:17,20
respect 43:22 48:4 79:3 79:8 137:18 138:11 140:24 142:22 144:5 144:7,10
respected 251:12
respectful 99:23
respond 8:4 12:21
113:16 129:14 140:7 156:11 169:13 266:17
responding 41:4
response 55:14 87:16
130:3 163:20 179:16 181:3 194:13 218:16 228:2 236:6 239:5,14 239:19 258:3
responsibilities 20:8 responsibility $51: 8$ 249:6
responsible 40:23 85:1

249:11 250:9,16
256:22
responsive 40:23 51:13 151:13,15 187:6 248:8,13 250:23 256:23
responsiveness 249:1 250:24
rest 34:7 116:15 245:14 restaurant 98:25,25 105:9
restaurants 59:17 62:16 64:21,22 66:1 67:1 70:15 71:4 93:8 95:13 96:19 112:19 126:24 154:7 161:24 205:23 212:11,18 213:2
restrict 158:1
restricted 158:11
restrictive 34:13 40:6 40:12
restroom 146:19
rests 245:15,17
result 52:15 82:8 130:17 134:22 188:6
resulted 269:21
resumed 164:17
resuming 6:4
Retailer 1:86:1
retain 21:2
return 253:4
review 7:22 224:14 247:5,19
reviewing 247:14
revised 22:6
revisit 28:23
rich 69:24
rides 93:5
rideshare 107:19 108:6 108:9,12 144:14,20 144:21 209:5,7,16 249:16
rideshares 107:24
ridesharing 106:17
rights $4: 8,11,12,13,14$
4:15,16,17,19,20,21
4:22 191:13 197:18
ripped 128:3
rise 130:23
risk 85:22
road 51:10
robbed 78:6
robberies 61:9 66:12 66:19 69:15,20,22
Robert 4:19 271:24
rodents 112:14 210:14
role 25:8 165:20 256:8
roll 31:3 273:1
rolled 206:16 218:11
rolling 21:11 190:5
Romanowski 23:21 176:21
roof $79: 4,9,11,15,21$ 101:16,17 102:2 133:8 139:6,21 157:24 158:21 219:8 219:10
rooftop 19:8,18 20:20 20:23 22:14 26:5 51:22 80:4,10,18,23 226:16 247:10
room 171:20 205:7
225:23 227:18 273:16
roots 265:7
rope 205:2
row 104:18 251:3 266:4
ruled 37:21
rulemaking 28:5
ruling 22:23 201:6
run 106:24
running 12:14 127:5 211:9

| S |
| :--- |
| S 1:22 |
| S-H- 88:21 |
| S-H-A-I-H 17:4 |
| S-H-E-R-E-N-E 165:15 |
| Sabol 123:4 |
| Sadly 109:4 |
| safe 67:3 69:3,6,9 133:7 |
| safety 131:18 132:13 |

safety 131:18 132:13 133:6 140:24 143:17 144:10
sale 46:21
sandwiched 210:6
SARAH 2:8
sarcastic 112:11
sat 11:22
satisfactory 20:19
satisfy 141:10
Saturday 42:6,9,20
54:22 66:16 81:9 211:6 212:25 260:16
saw 7:4 20:10 125:10 137:7 140:3 149:13 149:25 174:22 183:9
saying 14:21 17:21 33:12 45:18,19,20 48:7,18 54:24 81:12 83:16 134:4 144:6 150:17 160:11 209:19 241:18 247:7 258:17 260:18,22
says 72:19,21 115:17

189:10,15
schedule 52:19
scheduling 7:17 190:3 190:11
school 92:2 149:21 science 253:22
scope 172:5,9
screen 72:6,15 75:18 102:24 119:14 125:9 197:18,21 198:4 229:9 231:13 232:7 232:10,16,23 233:9 233:16 234:25
scroll 108:25 199:1 scrolling 116:1
sear 57:13
search 199:21 242:15
seating 242:18 243:17
secluded 59:24
second 19:15 31:11 91:8,13 92:22 102:25 108:25 118:2 129:10 171:7 180:17 199:1 200:2 220:8 260:2 262:13 272:22,23 273:1
Section 272:13,21 273:17
security 19:10,18 20:5 70:7 71:12,25 73:3 74:9,13,18 75:20,21 76:7 86:14 87:7 131:15 132:9 133:7 134:22,23,25 136:15 136:21 137:8 173:6 187:15,18 215:8 217:1 219:1 252:17 252:18,18
seeing 35:25 60:14 67:13 114:10 201:25
seeking 246:3,4 247:9 247:24 248:4 272:15 seen 31:16,20 63:19 65:3,24 68:7 76:2,3,3 81:5 85:3,4 114:11,11 114:12,25 115:2 118:5 123:18 124:2 137:9,11 183:18 206:3 248:18
sees 68:6
sell 250:1
Senior 90:19
sense 9:8 39:8 127:15 127:15 131:25 158:16 250:21 271:1
sent 34:6 35:8 56:7 128:17 129:24 217:5 236:1,22 237:5,7

238:17,19 239:7,8,13
separate 61:7 102:19
separated 115:21
September 30:22 218:12
sergeant 75:24
series 209:22,22
serious 11:24 268:7
seriously 228:24 253:7 267:25
serve 21:23 245:10
service 76:22 77:8,14 134:14 145:2 152:11 184:6,7 208:15 246:13
services 96:19
servicing 104:8
serving 64:4 65:15
session 36:3 52:18
set 28:6 46:15 85:17 159:19 217:1
setting 195:5
settle 44:22 45:2,4 50:9
settlement 19:23 21:9 22:8 27:18 28:10 35:7 36:22,23,24 37:4,5,15 37:15,18,21 42:15 43:12,15,17,18,21 44:2,3,15,16,18 45:5 45:22,23,24 46:1,3,6 46:8,10,13,15,16 47:8 47:14,23,25 48:7,9,11 129:21,21 141:4 169:6 172:6,14,18 179:11 180:1 188:7 253:5 264:21
settlements 168:17,20 168:24
settles 169:1
seven 11:22 12:16 16:16 17:22 153:23 240:11 260:18
severe 269:22
Seylou 93:10 96:16 106:4,4
shakier 268:18
share 22:8 51:5 72:5 102:24 197:18,20 231:13 232:6,10,15 232:23 234:18
shareable 22:2
shared 121:12 190:16
199:9 216:10,14 217:2 263:2
sharing 125:8
shed 240:23
Sherene 2:18 3:4 4:20 13:11 17:9,10 164:23

165:15 252:23
shift 12:1
shoot 104:3
shooting 81:18,21
shootings 66:12,20
shop 93:7 97:8 204:25
shot 254:8
should've 16:18
show 68:7,22 72:2,3 102:20,25 110:2 170:10 171:14 197:15 198:16 203:1 234:15 234:20 244:17 267:24
showing $3: 872: 17$ 103:3 110:2 113:7 114:23 192:17 198:8 201:23 267:18
shown 53:3 139:7 145:2 220:25 248:7 249:23 256:22 264:6
shows 232:2 253:3
shut 48:17 98:16
160:23 212:21
sick 206:17,17
side 6:7 9:16 46:5 49:19 49:20,21 78:3 84:4 94:5 100:1 102:9 106:22 111:24 122:25 123:3,4,7 196:23 197:1 202:15 205:7 207:19 210:25 211:3 211:4 223:25,25
sides 50:15 132:19 207:14 246:1 263:1
sidewalk 81:12 94:14 128:23 242:19 260:6 260:6
sidewalks 81:21
Sidon 2:13 4:21
sight 197:12
sign 47:14 104:21
signed 47:25 216:15
significantly 204:8
signs 206:18 215:5
Silverstein 140:17 148:9 248:21 251:9 251:11 256:2,5 265:16,20 266:24
Silverstein's 147:12 248:24
similar 122:22 128:8
simple 137:4 156:20
simplicity 150:17
simplified 258:17
simply 159:16 256:1
Simultaneous 13:22
15:14 16:20 32:9 33:5 36:17 39:11 43:16

44:11 46:23 47:1
53:20 55:5 56:20
59:25 63:13 70:19
79:25 83:18 111:25 118:12 120:1 121:6 124:1 132:22 133:2 134:17 135:6 137:2 144:15 150:23 161:18
163:10 171:4 179:15 237:9 243:18
single 81:9 166:9 217:25 223:3 224:20 248:20,21 264:1
sir 6:24 11:9 15:5,12 16:8 18:13,17,22 24:9 30:24 33:9,23 34:25 44:13,20 45:15 48:3 54:11 56:3 57:10,18 65:13 88:17 121:11 121:12 135:14,16,20 136:4,6 137:5 138:21 142:16 147:21 148:6 163:24 165:7 220:19 229:10 232:20 236:17 237:19,22 240:3 245:9,12 248:2 262:8 271:8,17,19 272:6
sit 101:3 190:21 266:13
sitting 105:14 110:20 128:6 210:12 227:19 254:12
situated 161:16
situation 7:13 81:24 109:3 127:21
$\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i x }}$ 8:5 9:16 11:2,23 12:16,21 75:9 125:24 205:5,5 210:9
Sixteenth 150:11
size 219:6
skip 123:12
sleep 12:2 90:12 217:2 219:16
sleeping 163:4

## sleepy 90:14

small 254:14,19 262:25
smaller 199:8 219:6
smart 159:7,7
smell 139:22
Smelled 115:2
snow 211:10
solely 176:5
solution 193:16
solve 253:1
solved 193:16
somebody 80:22 95:10 122:10 127:10 156:9
someone's 191:7
somewhat 169:12
son 90:14 93:5 103:16

## 112:16

sophisticated 99:21
sorry 6:9 14:20 17:1 26:8 27:1 30:22,23 31:10 39:12 40:5 42:7 44:12 45:16 53:18 54:7 55:3 65:23 70:20 73:21 79:5,6,13 92:21 99:25 118:13,14,16 122:24 128:10 135:13 138:18,22 143:22 151:2 154:16 158:13 160:3 191:7 195:18 201:21 222:6 223:4 224:23 231:1 233:12 233:13,21 245:8 247:2 257:13,18 261:6 262:8 270:25 271:2,7,9,10 272:6
sort 60:13 64:12 71:24 95:3 101:12 102:13 103:12 104:18 106:16 109:19 113:3,8 175:10 179:1 202:25 217:11 259:18 266:19 268:18 269:23,24
sorts 43:21 93:17 105:10
sound 3:10 13:2 19:10 20:22,25 21:11 26:21 27:12 101:9,9,10,24 102:2,3,10,12 104:25 108:14 116:19,22,24 117:4,4 123:9,20,23 138:5,11,25 139:15 139:23 181:4,7,10 182:16 183:12 202:23 225:3 251:24 254:15 259:15 267:13
sounds 162:17 214:24
source 74:13 86:13
southern 223:24
space 59:16 92:8 94:21
95:22 100:21 145:25 253:12 254:19
space-wise 196:24
span 11:23
Spangler 200:5
sparing 100:1
speak 11:9 55:2 64:17 66:12 94:3 121:11 129:11,25 135:22,22 172:18 175:16 176:1 177:1 178:1 189:23 218:7 227:1 266:1,5
speaker 202:23
speakers 254:14,20
speaking 13:22 15:14
16:20 32:9 33:5 36:17
39:11 43:16 44:11
46:23 47:1 53:20 55:5
56:20 59:25 63:13
65:16 70:10,19 79:25
83:18 111:25 118:12
118:14 120:1 121:6
124:1 126:17 132:22
133:2 134:17 135:6 137:2 139:17 144:15 150:23 161:18 163:10 171:4 179:15 188:13 188:16 237:9 239:14 243:18
specific 19:14 22:1
37:17 38:4 47:20
58:11 70:11,24 132:1 132:2 155:16 157:21
167:14 198:16 271:5
specifically 27:25
28:14 55:2 66:18 70:9
95:8 98:15 128:22
165:23 246:3,5 256:9
specificity 112:3
specifics $28: 17$
speculate 62:21 73:11 74:3
speculation 39:10 73:13,18
spell 17:2 18:7 53:22 57:24 88:19 165:12 233:25
spelled 195:25
spend 164:8
spike 126:7
spilled 75:25
spoke 128:21 130:20 148:16,19 176:18 206:14 216:7 227:3 235:18
spoken 216:4 239:12
spot 66:14 92:4,5,12,13
spots 64:25 173:11
spout 170:25
spring 205:16
Squad 112:24
squarely 159:25
stable 60:21
staff 2:8,9 20:5 187:16 252:18
stage 19:20 22:10
stand 4:10 88:10 195:13
standard 27:15 28:6 222:18 270:4
standing 47:17 56:9 67:15 121:2 139:20

139:21 216:22
standpoint 58:23 68:16 190:9
starred 221:6
start 13:20 28:16 37:5 98:16 99:7,7 118:24 135:23 142:14 180:7 181:24 196:12 212:10 246:5 263:9 267:10
started 5:6 6:7 9:15 11:5 41:3 130:22 133:18 206:13 257:6 271:21
starting 5:23 27:15 28:8 28:9 29:8 43:25 246:2
starts 116:1,5 213:1 225:17,25 226:1 233:3 263:8
state 18:7,12 23:2 49:4 57:20 88:19 165:12 195:23 233:25 258:19
stated 8:7 11:1 20:18 34:7 50:8 129:25 192:4 216:18 239:10 241:24 247:9 257:6 259:10 265:21
statement 14:3,11,13 18:24 23:7 25:24 29:23 30:4 51:16 186:23 216:6 240:25 245:20 257:8,15 261:19,21 262:5
STATEMENTS 3:19
states 238:1,3
stating 247:16
statistics 65:10
staunchly 195:2
stay 92:6 95:11
stemming 76:1
stems 58:25 60:11
step 180:17
stepped 268:15
steps 181:15 219:24
252:10 253:24 255:1
sticks 76:9
stipulate 117:7 200:7 243:6 244:24
stipulated 252:13
stipulation 244:21
stipulations 195:5
stolen 61:16
stone 121:18
stonewall 46:22
stonewalled 187:25
stop 94:12 104:3 125:8 133:21 203:12,15 208:17 260:19
stopped 44:2
stopping 208:23
store 110:13
story 127:18 140:6 241:20
straight 102:9 245:22 streeteries 101:5 109:22
streetery 122:14 205:15
streets 110:16 197:14 203:1
stretch 133:24
stretching 63:20
stricken 125:3
strictly 39:8
strike 33:4 63:15 141:22 172:23 183:13
striking 36:8
strip 75:8
striving 193:17
stroller 128:25 129:2
struck 263:2 264:4
struggling 143:22
stuck 100:24 101:2 103:18 206:19
studies 26:5
studio 205:8
studios 204:18
study 20:24 22:6 31:17 32:7 181:4,7,10 182:16 183:7,12 267:13
stuff 59:8 60:11 61:5,8 61:17 75:25 76:4 85:5 97:22 98:5 105:10 106:3 118:4 157:2
subject 26:23 27:2,4 53:1 260:12 270:10
submit 23:3
submitted 56:13,15 246:11
subsequent 20:12 234:7
substance 43:23
substantial 269:10
substantively 51:21
success 254:23
successful 86:19
successfully 21:6 249:17
suddenly 106:11
suffer 158:5
sufficient 20:3
suffocating 215:16
suggest 268:21
suggested 21:4
suggesting 155:5,9
242:24 244:14
suggestion 51:25

240:17 244:11
sum 77:21
summer 43:5 55:10 81:18 129:5 131:24 133:7 137:19 138:2 157:21,22 158:7,7,21 159:16 205:15 226:2 242:19 246:14 247:25 256:14 259:23,24 260:1,8 264:17
summertime 68:6
Sunday 42:8 54:21 66:17 260:14
super 96:10 128:24 156:13 161:6
supplement 71:3
supplies 208:14,15
support 22:19 167:15
supporting 93:9
supposed 84:25 110:14 216:20 221:23 269:9
surname 195:25
surrounding 198:23 199:12
suspect 69:19 157:18
suspended 76:3
sustain 74:5 169:10 172:20
Sustained 214:6
swear 18:18 88:13 165:2 195:16
sweeping 96:18
switched 106:10
swore 91:5
sworn 14:18,24 48:6 233:24 247:7
syringes 155:6
system 252:17
T
t/a 1:6
table 39:23 223:13,15 254:13,13,16,17
tags 61:14
tailored 260:2
taken 37:1 48:15 113:11 118:7 121:16 121:23 123:24 227:12 252:10
takes 70:24
talk 65:9 96:11 102:18 108:24 125:9 145:23 151:14,15 156:11,12 156:16 181:14 189:22 204:18 205:23 248:11 249:13 253:11
talked 84:7 111:6 113:5 119:2 149:7 173:13

179:6 212:12,13
226:20 255:8 256:25
talking 28:7,8,16 30:16 38:11 61:6 77:4 80:16 80:16 82:10 102:17 108:16 119:24 124:5 126:5,6 139:9 148:13 156:24 170:4,16 176:9 209:17 214:4,7 223:5 243:25 244:6,9 249:14 253:23
tall 102:1
tamer 263:5
tapping 216:25
target 69:24 267:16
targeted 69:21
tavern 244:11
taverns 83:6
team 19:1 20:6
technical 45:8 138:16 191:17
telephone 127:6
tell 9:12 14:10 18:18 34:22 35:1 57:14 88:14 100:2 106:21 107:5 109:13 117:19 120:25 124:9 132:25 165:3 195:16 213:24 246:1 274:2
telling 34:15 50:4 160:23 269:2
template 21:9 27:13,14 27:22,25 28:4 29:1,6 29:12 34:5,14 40:11 40:13,16,18 41:6,13 41:14 42:12 43:25 178:25,25 179:11 180:1
ten 66:8,22 91:11 164:14
ten-minute 164:5,10
tenants 192:20
tend 79:21 81:14 84:15 106:25
tended 82:4 99:20
tends 213:18
Tenleytown 109:13
term 78:25 179:25
termed 265:8
terms 21:20 30:5 34:4 34:13 36:9,14 37:4,5 37:15,17 38:18,19,20 39:17,20 46:5,10,11 46:12 48:8 60:6 75:11 75:19 77:8 84:6 95:1 98:13 101:8 104:25 105:17 106:15 113:5 115:17 130:2 134:21

140:10 141:2 143:18 150:6 152:9 177:23 183:10 186:20 199:15 202:24 219:22 248:4 248:16 249:12 250:16 250:20,24 252:8
262:19 266:22 267:19
terrace 79:4,9,11,15
test 139:22 170:23
testified 54:4 73:22
77:18 78:8 84:25 86:13 113:20 117:9 120:21 126:10 140:12 145:6 146:24 147:16 213:18 215:20 222:19 222:22,23 225:14 230:25 231:1,3,12,22
234:8 240:7,9,24
247:8,8 249:8 251:10 253:18 254:1 255:5 255:16 258:5 266:10 268:10
testify 7:12 11:20 12:6 13:5,9 41:10 117:5 151:6 179:13 197:1
215:25 224:19 231:10
231:19 243:9 245:8
testifying 10:10,14,16
100:11 141:23 181:24
testimony 15:21 33:4
33:15 37:23 40:9 48:6
49:15 77:18 86:15
87:23 116:16,24,24
138:5,25 140:16,22
141:20 147:12,25
148:3,24 149:5,7
153:19 182:2,5
192:17 195:9 224:4 225:19 231:2,20
232:4 240:2,6,11,13 240:15,18 241:4 242:5 243:10 245:11 246:19 248:5,19,25 249:24 250:25 251:2 251:15,20 256:14 263:6 265:12,15
266:23 268:17 269:5

## text 123:6

TG 226:5
thank 4:5,24 7:8 11:10 15:6 18:12,23 23:1,4 28:25 35:15,17 53:11 53:13 56:25 57:1,3 72:13,14 76:14,21 87:13,15,22,22,23 88:5,17,23 124:25 132:4 153:19 163:15 163:15,18,24 164:4

165:7 182:15 185:16 188:19 191:2,16,19 193:18 194:10,17 195:7,9,21 197:24 201:9 220:11 238:4 239:17,25 240:1,2,4 245:7,9,11,12,13 246:6,7 247:11,12,21 257:2,4 258:13 262:11 270:11,15,23 273:18,25
Thanks 242:9
theft 61:15 66:20
theoretical 139:14 170:4
theoretically 184:25
things 79:3,8 82:18
84:9 94:14 95:18 96:7
98:3,13 100:18
111:11 113:6 114:3 114:15,25 117:4 127:7 142:13 156:6 157:11 158:9,23 159:8,11,21,23 160:21 161:25 167:2 167:3 173:12 180:25 187:6,6 188:20 208:15 252:25
third 57:23 58:20 66:15 118:3
Thomas' 191:12
Thompson 122:10
thorough 222:2
thought 10:18 26:7,8 52:18 96:9 126:16 135:12 174:24 185:25 261:20 262:2,5
threat 264:3
three 13:9 89:24 90:10 116:18 142:11 154:5 164:5,6 200:18,21 207:14 211:12 221:6 261:16 270:19
throwing 205:2
Thursday 42:8 54:22 66:16 211:6 260:15
Tiger 122:14 123:2,25 205:6 209:25
till 54:20 90:11 212:19
timeline 166:13,15
times 35:19 37:22 59:6 59:20 74:23 80:21 110:12,21 128:22 129:18 175:15,17 180:12 211:12 256:8
timing 21:8
tiny 201:16
tired 90:11 160:1

```
titled 3:11 221:2
today 5:10,11 9:5,10
    10:9 12:20 13:5,15
    49:13 50:7,15 87:23
    141:8 153:19 185:23
    230:25 231:3 240:8
    245:9,11,12 246:8
    262:22 273:19
told 7:19,25 8:21 10:4
    11:1 21:19 39:18 51:4
    253:24,25 262:17
tolerance 210:13
tomorrow 141:9
ton 100:17
top 61:21 64:23 72:21
    80:4 121:23 210:8
total 153:23,24
totally 166:17 183:25
tour 23:21
toured 19:13 265:14
town 64:25
townhouses 161:16
track 87:1
Tracy 2:15 4:22 188:13
tradition 34:9
traditional 52:5 265:6
traffic 96:24 100:16
    101:3 102:17,18,19
    106:6,16,17 110:15
    114:13 122:2 130:16
    175:6 183:3,7 203:13
    203:16,18,20 205:25
    206:5 207:1,3 208:1,7
    208:19,20 209:8,13
    215:8 269:14
trained 20:5 187:15
    252:18
transcript 270:18,20
transcripts 261:15
transportation 75:4
    207:5
trash 3:9 19:19 20:8,9
    22:15 96:7 100:17
    101:4 103:17 105:7
    108:25 109:2,9,13,14
    109:19 110:4,5,10,16
    110:25 111:5,9,10,15
    112:6,10,15,18 113:1
    114:3,11 121:16,20
    127:4 128:22 131:16
    132:23 133:6 140:11
    140:12,19 145:1
    152:10,10,16,19,22
    156:5 157:1 173:4
    184:14,14 187:21,21
    192:17 208:2,4,6
    209:18,18,19,22
    210:4,6,7,7 215:7
```

249:14,15 252:20,21 269:14
traverse 211:3
treat 94:17
treatments 162:21
tremendous 223:7
trends 65:9 81:24
Triangle 149:2 249:6,18 256:9 265:25 266:25
trick 94:17
tricycle 93:5
tried 51:7 110:17,17,19 127:5 128:10,14 130:14 254:5
triggered 21:10
truck 103:21 104:6 105:8 106:6 107:6,10 107:11,12,14,14 203:11 208:17
trucks 3:9 96:24 102:20 103:14,17,17,24 104:2,7 105:1,15 106:11 134:14 145:1 145:2 152:10,10,13 152:23 156:5 173:9 173:12 203:17 205:20 205:21
true 119:7,19 198:21 199:10 200:23 220:20 220:25 221:11 262:22
truth 18:18,19 57:14,15 88:14,14 165:3,4 195:16,17
truthful 166:10
truthfulness 147:15
try 28:6 31:4,4,7,7 51:5 112:22 130:22 132:2 149:23 157:8
trying 11:17 12:8 32:25 49:20 99:24 103:19 110:20 122:24 126:18 129:20 133:4 136:17 143:21,25 152:24 155:21 158:12 170:22 206:5,9 258:21
Tuesday 211:6
turn 106:22 233:21 255:9
turnover 109:25
turns 75:6
turquoise-y 202:22
tweeted 130:5
twice 182:20 267:15
two 7:15,20 12:6 13:8 21:11 24:6 44:4 48:19 55:19 58:6,19 60:9 66:10 90:6,14 102:19 111:2 127:10 132:19

134:9 139:16 142:12
166:3 171:2 175:24
175:25 196:16 221:6
228:9 241:14,14
242:4 246:8 255:4
263:1 268:23 270:24
two-day 271:1
two-thirds 141:22
type 41:4 54:6,7,9 59:18 61:17 66:12 67:21 71:18 82:9 99:18 116:24 120:14 125:11 149:12 154:13 162:10,20 212:1 267:9
types 61:2 66:4 67:17
102:19 204:13 263:15
typical 111:11
typically 61:13


U 59:21 64:15,15 66:6 66:18 67:20,21 68:8 68:10 70:4,10,14,25 71:5,6 81:8 82:2,16 83:8,10,15 99:8,9
Uber 100:23 101:2 106:16,21 124:5 173:11
unable 11:20 12:10 13:3,4 20:16 44:21 47:23
unanimous 22:12 30:11 30:17 32:12,20
unattended 205:24 207:10
unattractive 21:21 36:10 38:21,24
unavailable 11:25
uncertainty 267:5
underage 149:16
155:25 249:25 255:21
undercut 248:12
understand $7: 17,24$
71:12 110:12 117:19 136:18,18 139:18 143:25 155:11 172:24 175:18 212:14 215:10 217:17 218:23 224:16 238:4 244:5 255:10 259:24
Understandable 253:2 understanding 16:10 64:1 144:2 153:21 159:15 161:16 177:11 178:9 188:24 189:13 192:13
understands 27:11
understood 19:24 53:6
87:11 139:9 217:15
unfortunate 51:11
unfortunately 20:12 22:4,20 51:21 52:17 271:16
unfriendly 39:21
unhappy 50:13
unhoused 60:13,15
unintendedly 13:6
unique 96:10,12
unit 66:18 70:11 196:15 196:17,17,18,20
units 196:16
unloading 208:14,24
unnoticed 67:18
unpleasant 210:16
unreasonable 48:16 49:19 158:13
unsavory 113:3
unusual 7:13 242:25 244:13,15
update 39:9
uphold 192:25
Upshur 64:17
uptick 60:10 102:17,18
uptown 69:23
urinating 3:9 59:6
61:10 67:16 114:24 122:11
urination 69:4 84:8 125:11
usage $80: 8$
use 20:1 22:15 29:22,25
43:1 51:18 60:11,23
66:6 67:20,25 92:24
93:1,13,16 95:3 101:18 104:5,13 121:3 124:14 140:12 141:1,8,9,18 142:20 143:2,10 161:10 162:18 187:10 209:16 225:6 228:10,14 246:16 252:14 254:19 258:17 259:13 263:3
uses 93:20
ushering 160:23 usually $97: 14$ 107:6 159:8 160:22 210:4 250:3

## V

vacant 77:5 100:12,13 vacuum 215:11
vague 48:8
vaguely 149:8
valet 259:22
varies 98:18
various 41:16 43:23
Vasile 75:2
vehicles 206:8,11 207:4 207:10
vendors 109:14
venue 75:12 92:6 174:22
venue's 75:20
venues 131:12
veracity 148:2,9 256:1 256:7,12
verifying 242:22
version 199:9
vested 94:21
viable 49:21 193:2 248:14
vibrant 64:21 65:2,6,25 205:11
Vice 18:14 90:19
vicinity 171:24 264:13 264:16,19
video 68:7,8 123:23 124:2
videoconference 1:14
videos 123:14,16,19
view 50:24 51:6 102:10 227:20
viewed 80:9 81:3
views 179:9
Vincent 272:3
violates 133:12
violation 249:23 250:2
violations 21:11 violent 66:21 69:12
Virginia 61:14 106:25
visit 150:13 151:25
visited 149:13,25 153:3
visiting 150:7
visitor 168:8
voir 200:17,19
voluntarily 252:10
volunteered 20:1 51:17 volunteering 187:10
vote 30:14,16 31:1,11 32:8,12 33:1 37:11 38:3 167:11 180:18 273:2
voted 22:11,12 23:10 30:6,10,20 34:3,6,19 38:5 49:6 56:12 182:20 267:15

| $\frac{W}{W} 1: 15,19$ |
| :--- |
| wait 85:19 123:13 |
| 200:25 226:18 273:21 |
| waiting 50:2 72:6 |
| 108:11,17 |

waive 261:1
wake 12:2 219:16
walk 89:11 93:23 95:14 95:25 97:10 128:24 131:20 209:10 237:16
walkable 96:9
walked 39:25 235:7
walking 61:11 107:25 108:4 141:11 206:15 211:18
walkthroughs 74:23
wall 121:23
walls 127:6
wanted 7:3 12:17 19:21 26:9,20 29:4 31:7 33:20,22 38:18,20 92:6 93:13 160:3 166:24 188:14 189:14 190:6,17 235:15 244:4
wanting 193:2
wants 50:14 231:24,25 232:6 255:12 258:1
Washington 91:9
Washingtonian's 64:23
wasn't 22:11 26:16 31:22 56:13,22,22 140:25 149:12 163:2 169:13 174:21 177:21 186:18 189:1,17,25 208:2 223:13 224:7 268:14
waste 154:25 155:1
watch 103:16
watched 64:8
watching 112:17
way $22: 2553: 459: 5$ 62:20 67:20 95:21 103:23 111:9 112:4 139:15 157:9 189:25 196:15 203:7 204:11 204:12 214:16 215:13 227:16 234:16 251:3 254:20
ways 173:21,24
WebEx 1:14
website 29:2
wedding 92:5
Weddle 3:15 236:1
Wednesday 1:12
week 81:9 130:8 213:7 260:18
weekdays 54:21
weekends 55:3 98:20 226:4
weeks $7: 15,2048: 19$ 111:2 246:8 261:17 270:19
weighing 36:22
weight 168:2 256:21 269:10
Welch's 111:19
welcome 12:15 76:16 76:23 87:24 158:15
wellbeing 24:15
went 31:8 146:16 164:17 205:15 251:21 251:24 252:1 274:5
weren't 42:9,12 66:11 106:12 186:15,16
west 122:24
white 121:21 198:13
wholly 272:14
wife 90:6 91:11 92:8 130:11
willing 20:6 177:21 243:6
willingness 245:10 249:1
wind 213:19 225:18 226:6,8 263:8
winding 213:1
window 162:20 206:16
windows 104:23 162:20,23
winds 212:23 225:15,23
wish 23:2 43:11 87:18 257:5,8 260:25 261:3
wishes 43:11
witness 2:18 3:2 9:19 9:21 10:2,13,15,15 11:25 12:4 14:18 15:19 16:14 18:1 33:13 57:5,18 72:3 73:21 88:8,17 103:4 116:20,25 117:8,9 118:17 121:11,14 125:6 135:9,14 136:25 142:12 148:2 151:6 164:8,21 165:7 191:1 192:3 195:10 195:21 201:1 230:16 233:8,9 236:15 237:16,17 238:1 240:3 242:2 247:8 251:8 253:10 256:16 265:25
witnessed 80:20
witnesses 5:20,21 7:21 7:25 8:5,8 9:12,12,25 10:5,10,20,23,24 11:2 11:2,20,22 12:10,16 12:21 13:1,2,5,15 15:12,24 16:3,7 17:21 18:5 33:18 48:14 80:22 147:24,25

164:5,6 171:2 229:10 240:12 250:21 252:22 254:4 255:4,16,20 263:7
woman 206:14
word 40:3 59:10 255:3
words 120:15 161:24
work 20:7,17 51:20
97:20 114:21 126:18 126:19 133:21 156:10 204:22 206:22,23 210:25 211:5 219:16 219:25 254:6,22 255:13,15 257:18 264:2
worked 21:6 25:19,19 60:2 75:8,8
working 35:24 145:11 169:24 178:10 182:4 192:4 263:21,22 268:2
works 123:9 196:11 253:20 254:9 267:3
world 90:20 99:25 128:14 265:14
worldwide 265:14
worn 160:2
worried 139:5 141:11
worse 101:10 102:12 106:9 109:7,20 210:9 210:23
worth 229:3
would've 27:13 30:15 47:10,11,13 131:10 155:1 219:24 268:3,4
wouldn't 27:9 78:1 79:22 99:16 225:10 266:16,17
Wow 209:15
writing 141:5,7 159:24 228:14 235:15
written 228:11 273:24
wrong 26:8 40:3 253:18
wrote 23:10 36:7 211:16

| $\mathbf{X}$ |
| :---: |
| $\mathbf{X} 202: 22$ |
| year 14:2 74:23 194:5,6 |
| $206: 2$ 250:24 |
| years 29:2 34:15 58:6,9 |
| $58: 1960: 9,20$ 64:5,6 |
| $64: 765: 16$ 66:9,22 |
| $71: 986: 19$ 90:14 |
| $91: 11$ 105:18 150:9 |
| $153: 22154: 2,8 \quad 182: 3$ |

204:5 248:9,24 250:3
250:15 252:3 268:22
269:3,4
yelling 206:17
yellow 122:2
yesterday 227:4
YFE 1:6
Yohannes 2:13 4:21 45:13
York 90:23 161:2
young 12:7 127:10 149:20
younger 99:22 149:20
YouTube 217:3
Z
zero 175:17 210:13
Zipcars 144:17
zone 29:25 30:1 146:9
zones 29:22
zoning 3:10 161:15
162:10 198:11
zoomed 199:2


236:20 252:3
12:00 98:19 154:12 260:8,9,9
12:30 260:3
1215 196:15
1230 1:7 6:2 144:14
1233 88:25
1235 125:21
125 201:14,15
129 3:10
12th 59:1,2 60:12
137 3:4
14th 81:19,19 82:2
15 3:11 34:15 71:9 72:18 114:4 229:23 230:2,11,14 269:4
15-plus 29:2
16 150:8
172 3:4
18 64:5 65:16
18th 64:14 71:7 91:22
91:23 147:17 149:14
151:24 153:4 159:2
160:18 161:11 249:10 251:5 253:9
19 3:3
193 3:4
1991 210:10
19th 5:7
1st 204:3
2

2 1:12 37:11 121:13 124:17
2-272:17
2-574(b) 272:13 273:17
2-574(b)(13) 272:21
2:00 42:6,8,19 54:21 80:23 83:1 90:13 213:3 215:1 219:8,12
2:30 246:13
20 182:7
200 227:10,11,22
2003 89:20
2004 64:19
2014 204:1,3 207:25
222:5,10
2015 64:20 168:7
2017 89:16
2018 89:16,17
2019 41:20
2021 180:21,23 183:19 193:23,25
2022 1:12 5:7 22:5 167:12 194:6
203 3:4
204 3:5
206 3:11

20th 22:9
21 149:21
21-PRO-00079 1:9 4:6
272:16,19
21st 21:13
230 3:5
239 3:5,11
24 3:3
24/7 101:17 105:13
240 3:12
241 3:12
245 3:5,16
247 3:5,16
25 86:19 182:3 248:9,24
250:2,15 268:21,22
25-250:23
25-year 249:22
257 3:21
268 215:4
27 90:14
270 113:18 153:2
271 3:23
28 194:8
28th 194:4
2C 271:22
2F 1:8 2:14,18 13:12 14:2 18:15 165:23 168:7 175:11
2F05 165:23
2nd 31:15 186:1
3

3 32:20 121:13,14,14,15 122:6 124:17
3:00 42:7,9 54:22 83:2
113:18 213:4 215:2
219:8,13
30 270:19
311 110:18 112:24 155:22
363 116:6,7,10
368 116:11
37 3:3
3rd 32:4


501(c)(3) 24:10
57 242:15 243:2,14,25 244:17
574(b)(4) 272:18
58 3:3
59 3:3
5th 167:12 185:24
186:1 240:22


9:00 97:21 216:18,19,21 235:21
9:30 66:13
90 261:13
903 223:13,22
92 3:4
920 41:24
9th 1:7 6:2 43:1 63:9
78:3,6,20 83:24 106:3 128:23 131:20 141:11 141:18 142:20 143:2 143:10 144:14 161:15 161:17,20 205:7 206:22 207:19 208:12 211:4 220:25 221:7 225:6 226:11 246:16 259:13 260:6

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Eighteenth Street Lounge

Before: DC ABRA

Date: 02-02-22

Place: teleconference
was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

> Neal N Gurs -----------------Court Reporter

