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Wednesday January 11, 2023
The Alcoholic Beverage Control Board met via WebEx videoconference, Chairperson Donovan W. Anderson presiding.

## PRESENT:

DONOVAN W. ANDERSON, Chairperson BOBBY CATO, JR., Member
RAFI ALIYA CROCKETT, Member
EDWARD S. GRANDIS, Member
JENI HANSEN, Member
JAMES SHORT, JR., Member
ALSO PRESENT:
JOSE ORELLANA, DC ABRA Staff
SIDON YOHANNES, Applicant Counsel
GEORGE SAULT, Applicant
SANTIAGO LAKATOS, ANC 1B04
YANIV BARIZILAI, Group of 20
BRIAN PARKER, Group of 20
MICHELLE RINGUETTE, Group of 20
DAVID PRICE, Group of 20
P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, we're on the record. Happy New Year, everyone. Good morning again, everyone. As Chairperson of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board for the District of Columbia, and in accordance with D.C. Code Section 2571, et seq., of the Open Meetings Act, OMA, I am welcoming you to the regularly scheduled meeting of the Alcoholic Beverage Control Board.

This meeting is being conducted pursuant to guidance made available by the District of Columbia's Office of Open Government regarding electronic meetings held by public bodies. Electronic meetings by the ABC Board are authorized pursuant to Section 2577A of the D.C. Code.

Pursuant to the OMA requirements, notice of today's meeting was scheduled to be provided 48 hours in advance of the meeting on ABRA's website and on the District's central meeting calendar. The notice included the time, date, agenda, and call in or login information for public participation.

This electronic meeting is being hosted by a Webex account provided by the District of Columbia Government. Please address any questions or complaints to the OOG at opengovoffice@dc.gov.

My name is Donovan Anderson. I am Chairman of the Board. I would like to introduce the other members of the $A B C$ Board who are also participating electronically. Please respond when I announce your name.

Mr. James Short?
MEMBER SHORT: Mr. James Short, present.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Bobby Cato?
MEMBER CATO: Bobby Cato, present.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Rafi Crockett?

MEMBER CROCKETT: Rafi Crockett, present.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Jeni Hansen?
(No audible response.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Edward Grandis? Mr. Grandis?

MEMBER GRANDIS: Present.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The Board has six members in attendance for the conduct of business today, and that constitutes a quorum. Before we get underway with today's hearing calendar, I need to make a few instructions very clear so that the conduct of these hearings is understood by everyone.

There are eight cases scheduled for today for this morning's calendar. Once a case is called, I will take a moment for IT specialists to elevate the rights for each party to enable their camera and microphone. Then and only then will you have the ability to enable your equipment. If your case is not being heard, you will remain mute, and your camera will be disabled.

At the conclusion of each case, the parties will have the option to leave. If the party chooses to stay, all cameras and microphones for the concluded case will be disabled. Should you have any questions or require any assistance during the hearing, please submit them using the question and answer feature.

Case Number 22-PRO-00115, Twale, License Number 122616.

Good morning, Mr. Orellana, can you please elevate the rights of the parties in this case, please?

MR. ORELLANA: Sidon Yohannes, your access has been elevated. Yaniv Barizilai, your access has been elevated. Ryan Parker, your access has been elevated. Santiago Lakatos, your access has been elevated. George Sault, your access has been elevated. Michelle Ringuette, your access has been elevated. That is all, Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's fine, thank you.

MEMBER GRANDIS: All right. Good morning, everyone. Let's have the parties introduce themselves for the record. Please spell and, I'm sorry, please say and spell your name for the record. Let's start with the representative of the Licensee.

MS. YOHANNES: Good morning and Happy New Year, Sidon Yohannes here on behalf of the Applicant, S-I-D-O-N, Y-O-H-A-N-N-E-S. And George Sault is here, and he can introduce
himself.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, Mr. Sault. Can you please spell and state your name for the record, please.

MR. SAULT: George Sault, G-E-O-R-G-E, S-A-U-L-T.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And what is your relationship, sir?

MR. SAULT: I am the Applicant, or one of the -- representing the Applicant on behalf of the business.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, sir.

MR. SAULT: Good morning.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning. Let's have the ANC representatives identify themselves, please.

MR. LAKATOS: Yes, good morning, sir. My video is coming in slowly, Santiago Lakatos, S-A-N-T-I-A-G-O, L-A-K-A-T-O-S. I represent Advisory Neighborhood Commission Single Member District 1B-04, which contains the Applicant.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, Mr. -- I'm sorry, say your name one more time for me, please, sir?

MR. LAKATOS: Lakatos, Santiago
Lakatos.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Lakatos, good morning.

MR. LAKATOS: Yes, good morning.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who is the representative for the group?

MR. BARIZILAI: My name is Yaniv Barizilai, Y-A-N-I-V, B-A-R-I-Z-I-L-A-I, and I am a representative of the so-called Group of 20 of neighbors that support of the protest for this license. Some of my colleagues and neighbors are here as well, and I'll let them introduce themselves.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Why don't you just call the names, and once you call the person's name, I'd like that person then to spell and state their name for the record.

MR. BARIZILAI: Great. Let me next go to Brian Parker who is also a designated representative of the Group of 20.

MR. PARKER: Hi, everyone. My name is Brian Parker, B-R-I-A-N, P-A-R-K-E-R. And I am in that Group of 20 that Yaniv had mentioned earlier as one of the members of the Group of 20.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning, sir.

MR. BARIZILAI: And let me turn to Michelle Ringuette who is an abutting neighbor and has standing both as abutting and as a part of the Group of 20.

MS. RINGUETTE: Hi, I am Michelle Ringuette of 1324 Florida Ave, next to 1328 Florida Ave. My name is spelled M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E, last name Ringuette, R-I-N-G-U-E-T-T-E, and, yay, my husband is able to join as well, name and spelling.

MR. PRICE: Hi, David Price, first name, D-A-V-I-D, last name Price, P-R-I-C-E.

MS. RINGUETTE: And we live here with our 15 year-old son, Eamon, E-A-M-O-N.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good morning.
MS. RINGUETTE: Good morning.
MR. PRICE: Good morning.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Who else is here, anyone else is here? Has Table 1 identified themselves for the record? That's what I'm trying to find out.

MR. BARIZILAI: To my knowledge, from the neighborhood perspective, yes.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Good morning. I heard Ms. Ringuette, I'm sorry, Michael, I'm sorry, what's the last name? Is it Michelle? What's the last name again, sorry? MS. RINGUETTE: Ringuette. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ringuette. So Ms. Ringuette and Mr. Price identified themselves as abutting property owners. So I need to get some clarification on that.

Ms. Yohannes, what is your position regarding that issue? Because it's my understanding that only two groups were granted standing at the roll call. And it was the ANC and the group of five or more. And standing was not granted to the abutting property owner by the Board's agent. Because the Board's agent determined that they were not abutting property owners. So what is your position, Ms. Yohannes?

MS. YOHANNES: Our position is that they are not abutting property owners, and we would like to move to dismiss their protest as such.

The Board has held that properties that don't share walls or ceilings with the licensed establishment or the Applicant are not
abutting property owners. And that is the case here. We do not share a wall or a ceiling with Ms. Ringuette or Mr. Price. Therefore, they are not abutting property owners.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What type of building, I guess from your perspective, is it a condo building? What is it? I don't know. What type of residence, from your perspective, do Ms. Ringuette and Mr. Price have?

MS. YOHANNES: Our understanding is that it is a townhome.

MR. BARIZILAI: Mr. Donovan, with your permission --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on, hold on, hold on. Who is speaking, please? I will identify -- I'm sorry, who was asking a question?

MR. BARIZILAI: I'm sorry, Mr.
Donovan, this is Yaniv Barizilai. I just wanted to offer GIS information.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on just one minute, sir. I am speaking to the Licensee. So they're the ones. And it was a preliminary -I was going to ask what preliminary would be discussed in the introduction.

They had stated that they were
abutting property owners. So therefore, since I'm aware that standing that was not granted to them, that's why I'm trying to get some clarification.

So it's my understanding that, at the roll call hearing, the Board's agent did not have sufficient information to determine that they were abutting property owners. So that's one of the reasons why standing was not granted to the abutting property owners.

And so in order for -- so this Board would have to make a determination this morning whether or not they have standing. And so I'm trying to get some clarification from the Licensees first.

And then once I have clarification from the Licensee, then I'm going to ask specific questions of the individuals who are stating that they are abutting property owners.

All right. So go ahead, Ms. Yohannes.
MS. YOHANNES: Yes. You know, our understanding is that it is a townhome. The building where the establishment is located, there is also a vacant, I would say, property or premises that would be abutting to their home.

But we are not abutting. There is a hallway, there is a whole other vacant establishment.

And we do have information to show as well. I could send this document to legal, prepare to do so now if the Board wants to review it, or I could share my screen.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What document is it that you have to share? What document do you have, ma'am?

MS. YOHANNES: It's a D.C. Zoning. It's a zoomed-in view of the actual property, and our establishment, and where exactly the abutting property is and where our establishment is, to show that there is a space in between where they are not actually touching.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. If you want to share, do you want to share your screen, ma'am?

MS. YOHANNES: Yes, if I could --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Orellana, can you please allow Ms. Yohannes to share her screen, please?

What are we looking at, Ms. Yohannes?
MS. YOHANNES: What we are looking at here is what is in pink is --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Are you able to enlarge that document? Because we're seeing -so we just see the document by itself rather than - I'm looking at your folder.

MS. YOHANNES: Right now you're looking at my folder?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm looking at the document and your folder with all your documents, right.

MS. YOHANNES: How about now?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The view has not changed.

MS. YOHANNES: Has not?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But why don't you double click on the document to open it? Yes, I believe that --

MS. YOHANNES: I don't know why it's doing that, sorry.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: It's frozen.
MS. YOHANNES: And now?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The document is frozen, it's not open.

MS. YOHANNES: Oh, God.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Maybe you can close it and try to open it again.

MS. YOHANNES: Okay. Let me stop and retry.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay, that's better.

MS. YOHANNES: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Although you need to close that. Yes, okay. All right, so what are you showing us now, ma'am?

MS. YOHANNES: Okay. What I'm showing is, what's in pink here is our establishment. This is the abutting property, and this is the vacant property.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So you're saying that --

MS. YOHANNES: Not the abutting property, my apologies. I'm speaking of Mr. Price and Ms. Ringuette's townhome is here.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, you're saying here, where you're showing --

MS. YOHANNES: Here in yellow.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- where the yellow is?

MS. YOHANNES: Correct. Where we're pointing, where that arrow is.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So you're
saying that the pink is the business. So what is the other building or drawings that you're showing us? What is that?

MS. YOHANNES: So what is it? And I don't know if you could see sort of my cursor here. I'm pointing here. Can you see this?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, I don't see your cursor.

MS. YOHANNES: So what is below and what is actually abutting --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yeah. I can see your cursor, yes.

MS. YOHANNES: Okay. So this area is the vacant premises. It's a vacant property or a vacant area within the building. And this is where Ms. Ringuette and Mr. Price's townhome is. But this is a whole other, an establishment that we don't own. I say establishment, a property or premises that we don't own, operate, or have a lease with. It's separate and apart from Tawle which is in pink here.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So you're saying the green between the pink and the yellow, that's a different establishment. That's
what you're saying, or a different property? MS. YOHANNES: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other assertions you wish to make?

MS. YOHANNES: No, not at this time.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, you can close your screen for the moment, please. Or no, well -- all right, I might ask you to do that.

Okay, so Mr. Price and Ms. -- oh, boy, I'm sorry.

MS. RINGUETTE: It's okay, it's Ringuette. And you can me Michelle.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Ringuette, all right, so explain to me why is it that you're stating that you're abutting property owner?

MS. RINGUETTE: Well, we live at 1324 Florida Ave. We moved here in 2006. And we have not been able to receive information from Tawle on what the interior of the building looks like. We've already been impacted by the construction that had been happening in the building.

And from our perspective, this is a license request for 1328, and anything happening inside that building -- and since we've lived
here it's had schools in it, it had a WeWork in it. Jose Andreas had World Central Kitchens offices in it.

Like, we've had a ton of great neighbors in there, but we also recognize that regardless of where in the structure a business is located it has direct impact on our home, the noise, the smells, the vibrations. Our house was built in 1890, I think. The walls crack pretty easily. So we experience some as an abutting neighbor.

But I do thank you for sharing. And could you tell us how wide that distance is. Because we have a window that looks into that space. And I assumed you were going to use it as storage. It's not currently a business.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Let me ask you a question, Ms. Ringuette. Do you share a wall with the establishment?

MS. RINGUETTE: Well, with what they are seeking to build, based upon the schematics they've just shown, it does not look like the wall is shared.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So if you don't share a wall with them, then you are
not an abutting property owner.
MS. RINGUETTE: I just think it depends on the legal interpretation, if it's the building they're housed in or the wall that they've constructed in the buildings. So I would agree if you're saying the wall that they've constructed to be the back end of the restaurant, as opposed to the structure housing them, then I would have to agree with that. But I'm not a lawyer.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: As an abutting -- hold on, $I$ will get to you, sir. As an abutting property owner, you have to share a wall, and you also are a, I'm sorry, you have to share a wall, a common wall. Yeah, you have to share a common wall.

If you do not share a common wall, then you cannot be granted standing as an abutting property owner. I mean, you will have standing as a protestant, as a group of five or more, because whatever happens in the building impacts you as a group of five or more. But if you do not share a common wall with them, then you are not an abutting property owner.

MS. RINGUETTE: And I apologize for
pressing on this point. It's literally just my naivete on this in terms of the permit that they sought, I did not see it requesting a distinct space that already existed within 1328.

I thought the permit was for building it within 1328, in which case, regardless of where the restaurant is building a wall, if the permit is sought for 1328 , we do indeed -- it runs the length of my home.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But let me ask you a question, ma'am. You stated before that there were additional buildings, other usage of the property.

MS. RINGUETTE: In the past, yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Is that
correct? And you stated that you have a window where you can see. Is that correct?

MS. RINGUETTE: In our back courtyard area, right off of our porch, there is a barred window that looks into the space where there is a lot of construction gear, and debris.

But certainly before the permit was issued, and after the permit, we've been dealing with the impact of the deep construction that's been happening inside the Douglas Development
space.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I know, as I stated before, but if you're saying that there is a window, therefore you don't share a common wall then, because --

MS. RINGUETTE: No, no, no. Oh, no, I'm so sorry. I'd have to pull up a shot of it. It runs the whole length of my house, and their building is longer. So then it also continues to our back area which is a courtyard. And then there's a closed off alley behind our house. And that's where they have a courtyard. And then the building then extends and turns.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Let me see, hold on.

MS. RINGUETTE: Ms. Yohannes, did you want to put up the screen again? And then I could point on the screen to --

MS. YOHANNES: I can if you --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The question was answered, ma'am. You stated that you don't share a common wall with them. So yes, you are impacted by what occurs on the property. You can still participate. At this juncture, you can still participate in this hearing.

However, it does not appear, based on your assertion that you do not share a common wall with them, that you are an abutting property owner, okay. So that's where we are at the moment.

I'm going to have the Board, once I hear of an argument, I'm going to have -- hold on a minute, please, $I$ need to -- give me one minute. I need to plug in my laptop. Hold on one minute, please, before I -- hold on one minute, please.

MS. RINGUETTE: Am I able to ask questions for clarification since these are first times that we've seen the plans? Because I have comfort if the rest if the --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on, hold on, ma'am. I said hold on a minute. I needed to plug in my laptop, because my battery was dying. So now I'm back, but I've plugged in my laptop, okay. All right. I am glad that, I wasn't paying attention, so I'm glad that I got some notification from my laptop that my power source was low.

All right. What were you now saying, ma'am?

MS. RINGUETTE: Sorry, since this is the first time that plans of the specific space have been shared with us, and from my understanding that the permit was requesting the space in 1328 which would run all the way to my house, I'm wondering, the wall that's been built to contain the back side of the restaurant, for legal purposes then, the restaurant or the tavern would only be granted a license up until the point of that wall.

And then can you tell me how many feet exist between the wall that's being constructed and what would be my property?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, that's, Ms. --

MR. SAULT: If I might speak up here, Michelle, I'd be happy to go over the schematics and the floor plan at a different time.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Sault, hold on. That's a --

MR. SAULT: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Your attorney would have to allow you to speak. But Mr. -- I'm sorry, who has their hand up? Is that you, Mr. Lakatos?

MR. BARIZILAI: Barizilai. I'm happy to wait until after Michelle is done speaking. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Okay. Go ahead, ma'am.

You can lower your hand, sir, and then I'll have you speak. After she's done speaking you can speak.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, ma'am. All right, ma'am, you're asking here -- I can't hear you.

MS. RINGUETTE: Yes. Oh, no, no, no. So I had, sorry, I had asked. And George was willing to show me. But you advised that he speak with his lawyer first.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right. All right.

MS. RINGUETTE: So I --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The burden is on you to prove to us that you are an abutting property owner. And the question that I'd ask is, in order for you to be an abutting property owner, your building, your townhouse has to share a common wall or a common ceiling with the establishment to be an abutting property owner.

So if you do not share, and you have
stated that you do not, so if you do not share a common wall with them, you cannot be granted standing as an abutting property owner. And I believe that you stated that you do not share a common wall with them.

Whether or not the plan that they have, I mean, if a building, if I share a wall with another building, then I'm an abutting property owner. If there is half an inch of space between the property, you're not abutting. MS. RINGUETTE: I appreciate that completely. And the reason why I'm sort of sticking on the point is because I think it's fundamentally different in legal code whether or not the permit grants them space up into touching my wall even if they construct a wall. So I just don't know.

## Because I know that a wall was

constructed, but the question is, is their permit for a unit that is contained within where they built the wall, or did they build a wall which effectively made them not abut me. And yet the permit they're seeking does make them abutting. So if they wanted to take down the wall at some point to expand, they could do so. Because they
are permitted to do so.
So I apologize. It's on me, because I don't have the permit up in front of me, the permit request. But my understanding was it was I permit request for 1328. And that would go right up touching and running alongside our wall.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But let me ask you another question, ma'am. All right, what other businesses have been in that space?

MS. RINGUETTE: At one point there was a school that had a big gym area, playroom. At one point Maydan, the restaurant that shares some of the same owners on the other side of the pubic alley, they had opened up and were doing indoor seating, because it has a garage door.

Upstairs there have been a couple of non-profits. But it's a giant unit that's really been customized for the occupants. So that's why I'm trying to understand whether or not Tawle is seeking a permit that is for 1328 and then being built to spec, or if their permit request is for a specific unit. Because 1328, I am indeed the abutting property owner of 1328.

I do not know if they are delimited by their request which would have been for unit
inside of 1328, in which case I could make a legal argument that, like, the building that they're in. But if we are technical about sharing a wall, I just want to make sure that their permit only grants them the space up to the wall that is newly constructed. And then I'd like to know how far that wall is from my home.

But I'm not -- we'd like to find an amicable solution. And I don't want to waste everyone's time over small details. But it is significant to us if they do own the property that extends to that space, whether or not they're actively using it.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I think that there are two big issues here. And this is how this becomes important. If you are a group of five or more, and if the Licensee signs a settlement agreement with the ANC, then the protest by the group of five or more falls.

If the Licensee signs a settlement agreement and there is an abutting property owner, then the abutting property owner can move forward with the protest hearing. So that's what I'm saying. That's the only time that becomes -the distinction that you're making becomes
apparent if there is a settlement agreement, and you're not a part of it. So that's from a legal perspective. That's when that becomes important.

If we move forward to a protest hearing, you're still participating. But it only becomes an issue if there's a settlement agreement with the ANC. And if you didn't agree with it then, as a regular protestant, then your protest will fall. But as an abutting property owner, you can still move forward with a protest hearing.

So that's the distinction where granting you standing would make a difference in this particular case. Okay.

MS. RINGUETTE: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So let me have the ANC. They had wanted to speak. Go ahead, sir.

MR. BARIZILAI: Thank you very much. I'm actually not the ANC. I'm a representative. But I have the schematics --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay, I'm
sorry. You're one of the designated representatives of the group. I'm sorry, go ahead.

MR. BARIZILAI: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What do you want to say?

MR. BARIZILAI: I just wanted to offer, I have the schematics that I think is clarifying. And if you just give me about one to two minutes to share, just to clarify this information, $I$ will share this right now.

So the issue here is a question of what this looks like. And so please confirm that you are able to see what I'm sharing.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We can see your screen, sir.

MR. BARIZILAI: The issue in question is 1328 Florida Avenue, NW, which is where Tawle is going to be, the abutting neighbor is 1324 Florida Avenue, NW, and they share this wall.

What has happened is that Tawle has constructed an interior component of this and built a wall for the purpose of arguing that there is no abutting neighbor.

But as a matter of fact, 1324 and 1328 Florida Avenue NW are abutting and share a common wall. And if Ms. Yohannes would like, she would be welcome to our clarification. But my
understanding is that prior to their construction, there was no dividing wall interior to create a separation and that the primary purpose of this construction was indeed to argue against Ms. Ringuette being an abutting property owner.

When a similar restaurant had been put
in here by the same owners, it was actually still
determined that Ms. Ringuette was an abutting property owner five years ago by ABRA. So I think that there is strong standing for Ms. Ringuette to be granted status as an abutting neighbor. And I would just pause there and stop sharing, if that's okay.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
What restaurant was there before?
MR. BARIZILAI: So over here is
Maydan. It is the sister restaurant of Tawle. And Michelle was granted status in 2017 as an abutting neighbor.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, what's the name of the restaurant again? I'm sorry.

MR. BARIZILAI: Maydan, M-A-Y-D-A-N. And because 1328 is a larger property, any
individual segment of that unit that is used, any part of 1328, is still considered to be an abutting property to 1324. And the DC GIS is what I'm presenting right now to try to make that clarification.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Go ahead. Ms. Yohannes, I mean, I see that your client wants to speak. But you need to give your -- if your client wants to speak, then you need to give him permission to speak or not, okay.

MS. YOHANNES: I will say something, and then I'll allow my client to speak. One, I mean, this is a, I just want to make two points.

Because we are hearing from Yaniv who is part of the group of five. He is not an abutting property owner, or he's not alleging to be a property owner. And Ms. Ringuette's or Mr. Price's representative, I think it's inappropriate for him to be presenting things at this time. I want to note that.

The second thing is regarding what he has shown or what has been stated by Ms. Ringuette regarding the property and the permits that are pulled.

We don't have access to this entire
building. The entire building is not our building. We are not making these divisions. That's important to note. If a property owner is dividing this property, that's up to the property owner. And we don't have access to this entire building. Our premises is only the premises which I have shown --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MS. YOHANNES: Go ahead.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, I'm sorry. Go ahead, ma'am. I thought you were done.

MS. YOHANNES: Yeah, I was just going to say there's been indications about -- I mean, I'll let Mr. Sault speak. I think he does want to speak. I will let him speak. But I know that there's a comment about the window and what she can see and not see.

This is into the other vacant premises. Whatever is being shown in that vacant premises, we don't have access to. We are not going to be in that premises. We don't share, you know, we don't have access to it. Therefore, we don't share walls, we don't share ceilings with Ms. Ringuette. And therefore, she's not an abutting property owner. I think that that's
clear.
Mr. Sault, did you want to add something there?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Sault, do you have your hand up? And I can't see you anymore, sir. Mr. Sault?
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, his hand is still raised, and I just can't see him. I don't know what it is. All right. I'm not going to have -- he's not available.

And so, sir, you're not a part of this group. I'm sorry, Mr. Barizilai, you are the designated representative for the group. I have not been told that you represent Ms. Ringuette. And I apologize. So therefore, I can't have you speak further on her behalf.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, ma'am?
MS. RINGUETTE: I'm so sorry.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm going to have you --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Ringuette, I'm going to have you the final say, and then I'm
going to state what --
MS. RINGUETTE: Okay. Sorry, I apologize. I should have made clear that Mr. Barizilai is indeed both my neighbor, but we did ask him to assist us with this as my husband and I are not lawyers and do not have legal training. And obviously Tawle is allowed to bring legal representation. So we asked for assistance as well in making our case.

Because as mentioned, we were granted standing as the abutting property owner for when they opened Maydan which is very much further away than this location would be.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Ma'am, all right, you were granted standing at the roll call hearing. So because you were not granted standing at the roll call hearing, at this forum the Board would have to make a decision to grant you standing. And so therefore, that's why we're making this decision. That's why I'm asking these questions today.

And I was going to wait for the parties to bring that, I was waiting for Ms. Yohannes to make a motion. But in your introduction, you had stated that you are the
abutting property owner.
So therefore, that's one of the reasons why I input myself into this argument. Because it's my understanding that you were not granted standing, and so therefore that's why I'm allowing arguments.

This is actually more contentious than I had -- this is not a simple decision to make. So what the Board is going to do today, we are not going to make a decision on granting you standing. However, I'm going to ask both parties to file a motion.

So Ms. Yohannes, you can file a motion asking to dismiss that basically provides us legal argument, your charts, to state that they are not an abutting property owner.

And Ms. Ring --
MS. RINGUETTE: Ringuette.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Ringuette, then you can respond. You can file something to provide us proof that you are. I mean, the Board, if this matter goes to -- if this matter is not settled, we're going to have a protest hearing. A protest hearing will be scheduled for February 1st, 2023, at 1:30.

The Board is going to be -- and, sir, I tried to reach you before, but at this juncture -- you can put your hand down, Mr. Sault. At this juncture, I prefer for your lawyer to provide us something in writing. You can consult with your lawyer, provide something in writing to formally state that they are not abutting property owners.

And Ms. Ringuette will respond to state why she believes that they are abutting property owners and why the Board should grant standing.

And I would say that because this hearing will be scheduled -- if we go to court, this hearing will be scheduled February 1st. The Board is going to be off next week because of the holidays. So our next hearing would be on the 25th. So can the parties file these motions in sufficient time for the Board to make a determination at our next meeting on the 25th? How long would it take to provide us the document that you're stating to assert, Ms. Ringuette, that you are an abutting property owner and, Ms. Yohannes, that you are not? Would a week be sufficient?

MS. YOHANNES: Yes, that's fine for us.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sir, you can't shake your head, sir. We have not received anything in writing from Ms. Ringuette saying that you are her designated representative. So there is some formality to that.

But this matter was scheduled for a protest hearing on the first. So therefore, February 1st, so therefore we need to make a decision prior to February 1st whether or not you have standing as an abutting property owner.

You're going to participate in this hearing, because you're a member of the group of five or more. As I stated before, it only becomes significant if there is a settlement agreement between the Licensee and the ANC. That's when it becomes significant.

Yes, ma'am?
MS. RINGUETTE: If I may, I'm sorry, the reason why I am sticking on this point and not -- I mean, obviously I am part of the Group of 20 neighbors. We, because of our proximity and the location, we have a different set of issues that we've experienced living near the
sister or cousin restaurant.
So I have real concerns about what's the limitations and what's going to happen. For example, this is the first time I've even seen any suggestion of where the interior space would be. And I'm not sure when that wall was sort of decided and for what purpose.

So it's, like, any settlement agreement with the neighborhood, there are some separate concerns that are for my husband, son, and I. Because we've been having health impacts and there are other things going on.

Now, like, I did not realize, and I apologize, that I needed to inform in writing that we'd asked Yaniv to work with us. I can certainly do that. But again, like I don't have a law firm that's working with me. And I have never drafted up something like that.

So I'm worried that trying to get this together in a week seems like it definitely privileges Tawle and Ms. Yohannes with something that, as a resident of this city, I don't have the same access to.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. As I stated, ma'am, you're asking the Board to grant
you standing as an abutting property owner. Because you are saying that you share a wall with this establishment, okay.

MS. RINGUETTE: And I would have to
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But this is what I m trying to say to you, ma'am, okay. The law says you have to share a wall, okay. And you're saying to me that you don't share a wall. There are a -- and that's the problem that we're having here. So I don't know.

I think what the GIS, initially the GIS that was provided said that, no, you are not abutting, okay, so I don't know. I don't know. And so whatever it is that you can provide to us, diagrams to say that -- pictures to say that their property, the physical confines of this restaurant, it touches my home.

So it doesn't necessarily have to be a legal -- it's that you're saying to us that, you are proving to us, by whatever means you have, to say that I share a common wall with this establishment. If you can provide us, anything you can provide us to share a common wall with this establishment, then so be it.

But that's your burden to prove to us.

It's not necessarily asking you to draft a legal document. You can send a letter to say this is my proof that I'm an abutting property owner. MS. RINGUETTE: Yes, I appreciate that. And I will do my very best to do that. It has been very difficult since it's been very hard to get information from those applying for the permit to understand what they're building and where they're building it. And so I still don't have access to that information.

And when I've tried to talk to the construction folks out there, they have been -- I have some photos of that, but they have not been forthcoming. Or they've given me misleading, contradictory information.

So I will need to put it together. I think I can make a strong case, but it will take sort of understanding the limits of what the permit actually grants them as opposed to however they are designing the restaurant. It sort of depends on what the definition of is is. Like, is the restaurant only the space they choose to occupy, or is it what they're being given a permit to use?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I'm --
you're either an abutting property or you're not. It's not a matter of -- so you either are or you are not. This is something that at least, I believe, should be obvious. Because they're applying for a license, and you're saying that they have a lease for a two-bedroom apartment, okay. All right, I'm just --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MS. RINGUETTE: That's a perfect example. This is a perfect example. Because what's happened in the alley?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Okay, they have a lease for a two-bedroom apartment, okay. So their lease is for a twobedroom apartment. We will issue a license for two-bedrooms in the apartment, the two spaces in the apartment, to say they'll be given a Certificate of Occupancy to say the total occupancy of the space.

Now, if they elect only to use one bedroom, they still own -- and I'm not sure if I want to go down the road with this analogy, because I could be wrong. But I'm just saying though, ma'am, at least in my mind it is a simple question whether or not they're abutting or not.

MS. RINGUETTE: This is the last thing that I will offer just to, like, keep an open mind on this, that historically a group of owners of Maydan, which has overlapped with the people applying for a permit, occupy the space. And they have now taken over the public alley, partially because of, like, what was permitted under COVID rules. But they have extended beyond what our agreement had been.

So from my experience, if is very likely they could put up a wall and then, two months into their tenure, could take down the wall and extend all the way if the permit is granted for the space that is the building and not limited to a confined space within 1328. And it seems very inconsistent with precedent, given that we were given standing for when they opened Maydan which is much further away.

But that's why I'm just, I'm trying to figure out what they are actually seeking a permit for and being granted. And we've not been able to see anything, because we are not allowed inside, we've not been shown drawings, and we've definitely been impacted by --

MS. YOHANNES: Excuse me. With all
due respect here, we allowed a lot of leniency. And we've heard over and over again that we don't share an abutting wall or a ceiling with Ms. Ringuette or Mr. Price. And now we're going on a tangent in some other, you know, and making other arguments. If we're going --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MS. YOHANNES: -- it's fine. But, you know, to have Ms. Ringuette here just sort of speaking about all of these, you know, making allegations at this point, is very inappropriate. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Yohannes, I have already made my decision on what it is that I'm asking the parties to do. So as a layperson, I'm giving you opportunity to speak. But as I stated before, the Board is not going to make that decision today to grant or deny standing. We're not going to issue that. Because this is an issue, it is not as simple as I thought it would be. Because there is a dispute.

And so that's one of the reasons why I'm asking the parties. You can file a summary motion to state why is it that they are not an abutting property owner. And Ms. Ringuette, she
can provide information.
Mr. Sault, it's not important for you to speak since I've made a decision, and your attorney can respond to that. This is a period when we have, okay, we had the status. Have you guys had mediation?

MS. YOHANNES: Yes, we did have mediation. I'm sorry, we did --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, and none of this was discussed at mediation?

MS. YOHANNES: It --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, just generally, I'm saying generally. I mean --

MS. YOHANNES: Apparently --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: - just generally I'm hearing, like, I don't know, I don't know, I don't know. So I'm just saying as a thought mediation -- I mean, did the parties have any type of conversation at mediation?

MS. YOHANNES: Again, which is why I say a lot of allegations are being thrown in right now.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right. That's what I'm just saying. Because I'm hearing, like, you know, I don't have, I don't have. And that's
why we have mediation where the parties can sit and talk.

If you had a mediation, and if you believe that you need another mediation, I can have our Board agent schedule another mediation for the parties to speak. Or the parties can informally speak without us involved. So you have this opportunity to do that.

But as I stated, the Board will make a determination once we -- our next hearing is on the 25 th. The parties have until close of business on the 18th, which is a week from today, to provide us a motion that the Licensee -- the Applicant can provide a motion.

Ms. Ringuette, you can provide a response just to prove to the Board why it is that you believe that you're an abutting property owner. And you can provide a letter, and with pictures, to state why it is that you believe you're an abutting property owner. And the Board will schedule that.

And again, if the parties want us to schedule another mediation, if both sides are in agreement, I will ask our Board agent to reach out and schedule another mediation for the
parties. Is that something that the parties want the Board to do?

MS. RINGUETTE: Yes, please.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Yohannes?
MS. YOHANNES: No.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No?
MS. YOHANNES: Not at this time.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Okay.
MS. YOHANNES: But --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So the parties can -- all right, that's fine, ma'am.

The parties can informally speak with the Board. The parties can informally speak about this, okay. So we will make a decision -I'm sorry.

Mr. Lakatos, do you need to make a statement, sir?

MR. LAKATOS: A point of order on your question. Is the question about mediation specifically on that of Ms. Ringuette's standing as an abutting property owner or broadly?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Broadly, broadly.

MR. LAKATOS: I would be in support
of that at this time. But $I$ understand that Ms. Yohannes is not.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So, Ms. Yohannes, if the parties want the Board to schedule -- and this is not to put you on the spot, ma'am, that's why I'm saying you don't need to respond. If the parties want the Board to schedule another mediation, the parties can reach out to our legal office. They will ensure that our agent schedules another mediation.

And if not, the parties can also, the parties are free to meet and have any type of conversations about settlement at any point without the Board's involvement. And the Board will make a decision based on what's presented to us. All right.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Mr. Chairman?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr.
Grandis. Yes, sir?
MEMBER GRANDIS: When Ms. Yohannes had the diagram up, I was hoping that you were going to ask if any Board member had any questions regarding the diagram. Because I certainly have some questions which will help me understand what we are looking at.

So I know we've been on this quite a while, but I'd like for the record to have her put it back up so $I$ can ask these questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The reason why, Mr. Grandis, this is more contentious. The Board cannot make this decision looking at a diagram, because they're -- and that's one of the reasons why it's -- there's disagreement about whether they're an abutting property owner. That's one of the reasons why I --

MEMBER GRANDIS: Mr. Chairman, I would respectfully ask that you allow Ms. Yohannes to put the document back up. None of the Board members have kept this dialogue going 45 minutes, Mr. Chairman.

And I really had questions. And I was hoping, at the time when it was up, you would ask the Board if they had any questions. I am respectfully asking that Ms. Yohannes be able to put the diagram back up so I can ask the questions that I've had. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Yohannes, you can put -- fine, Mr. Grandis, whatever questions you want to ask, you are free to ask it. But the question I - but where we are
today, the Board is not going to make any decision today regarding whether or not it's an abutting property, whether or not they're abutting or not.

This is a complicated issue that cannot be addressed by asking questions. So, Ms. Yohannes, you can share. You can ask questions. But as I stated before, this discussion is not going to solve the problem. But you can ask whatever questions you want, sir.

MS. YOHANNES: Can the member --
MEMBER GRANDIS: And Happy New Year. Happy New Year, Ms. Yohannes, ha, ha.

MS. YOHANNES: Thank you. Happy New Year. Can you all see this?

MEMBER GRANDIS: Yes.
MS. YOHANNES: Okay.
MEMBER GRANDIS: $I$ can see it.
MS. YOHANNES: Okay.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Okay. The pink, once again, that pink area is where the new restaurant is proposing its location.

MS. YOHANNES: Yes.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Correct?
MS. YOHANNES: That's correct.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Okay. And the address of the restaurant, $I$ believe, on the application, is 1836?

MS. YOHANNES: The address is, no, the address is 1328.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Twenty-eight, I'm sorry, okay. And what is the address for the building where the parties are located? What's that address?

MS. YOHANNES: 1324 Florida Avenue. MEMBER GRANDIS: Okay. Is there a 1326?

MS. RINGUETTE: No, there is not.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: This to ma'am. You can only speak if a question is directed to you.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Is there a 1326 ?
MS. YOHANNES: I do not know. I do not know, but I believe that 1328, I don't know, let me say that.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Okay. So where this wall had been constructed is next to the yellow area, is that correct?

MS. YOHANNES: Next to the yellow area?

MEMBER GRANDIS: Yes. That's where the --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MEMBER GRANDIS: Excuse me?
MS. YOHANNES: So are you saying it's between the properties?

MEMBER GRANDIS: Your argument or your presentation is that they're not abutting because of this wall, correct?

MS. YOHANNES: Well, my presentation is that they're not abutting because of the green. This is another - the green as in here. This is another part of the building.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Part of which building though?

MS. YOHANNES: Part of 1328.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Okay. So it's part of the building where your client wants to open the restaurant?

MS. YOHANNES: Correct.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Okay. So the current lease which would be required for the ABC Board to determine whether we can grant a license, the current lease is only for the pink area?

MS. YOHANNES: It should be, yes. I
mean, yes, it should be.
MEMBER GRANDIS: So you're --
MS. YOHANNES: I don't know, I don't have the -- sorry.

MEMBER GRANDIS: So it may be possible that your client is actually leasing some of the area outside of the pink area?

MS. YOHANNES: No, that's not possible, in that we don't have access to that area. So we are not -- we physically do not have the right to be in that area, in that space.

MEMBER GRANDIS: So how is the access to that area right now?

MS. YOHANNES: So there is a hallway. So there is this hallway. And this part of the entire building, this is a vacant area right now. And --

MEMBER GRANDIS: But would their door be, where would their front door to enter that space be?

MS. YOHANNES: I don't know if you can see my cursor, and I could even - and I could have Mr. Sault further discuss this, but right here --

MEMBER GRANDIS: Oh, I don't need
that.
MS. YOHANNES: Okay. Right here is where -- I mean, it's separated. And where Ms. Ringuette said she could peer into the other premises, she's looking into this premises, into this area where my cursor is at, not into where we are going to be, not where this establishment will be operating. Because we're not -

MEMBER GRANDIS: But there's been a prior use of a restaurant that included the pink area as well as part of the green.

MS. YOHANNES: I cannot speak about that. Because I don't have knowledge to that in terms of --

MEMBER GRANDIS: Thank you. I just understand, if I understand the concerns of Ms. Ringuette, there's a potential that that area could become part of the lease. And we don't know. That's all in the future. But I just wanted to get clarification if that green area which abuts the pink area was part of the former restaurant.

And that's my last question. If you've already answered, perhaps in your document that you're going to provide us in a week, you
can address that.
MS. YOHANNES: Yes, I will just state that our position would be that, if that ever were the case, where there would be some sort of expansion, that would be a substantial change.

MEMBER GRANDIS: Absolutely, ha, ha, ha.

MS. YOHANNES: Yeah.
MEMBER GRANDIS: Yes, ma'am. I think you're right on that point. And, Mr. Chairman, I thank you for your indulgence on letting me ask those questions. It does give me some clarification. Thank you very much.

MS. YOHANNES: Thank you. Could I exit out?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes.
MS. YOHANNES: Okay. I will exit.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MS. YOHANNES: There we go.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You have exited. All right, so this matter is scheduled -- you can put your hand down, sir. I'm not going to entertain any more questions. If it's about -- if you're asking a general question, but your question, sir, Mr. Lakatos --

MR. LAKATOS: Apologies, I understand, Your Honor. This has nothing to do with the abutting neighbors, it has nothing to do with that. It's a general question about the protest date.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
MR. LAKATOS: So I've informed Mr. Barizilai, who's the lead representative for the group of neighbors who are also protesting he's out of the country, he's a diplomat working on behalf of all of us -- on the date of the protest.

I would like to see if it's possible to postpone the protest hearing at least one week to allow him to attend, since he is leading this representation. I think that would be fair. I'm not sure that's possible for the Board to do, but I can say it'd be very much appreciated.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well then, wouldn't it be -- Yes, ma'am?

MS. YOHANNES: I would just say, Commissioner, if you -- there's been a change in the commissioners. I know this was previously stated. Commissioner, if you want to contact me.

I'm happy to address that with you and put something in writing. Because I believe that's what the Board will ask us do.

MR. LAKATOS: Okay, I'll speak with Mr. Yohannes after this meeting.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, fine. So if the parties can make a motion to -if the representatives are not available for February 1st, 2023 -- I'm sorry, this is a new license.

MS. YOHANNES: New application.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: This is a new application. All right, in order for us to move the timeline, the Applicant has to agree. The Board will not change the date of this protest hearing unless the Applicant agrees.

And the reason why, because it's a new license we have some specific timelines that the Board has to make a decision. And if this was a renewal, then the Board has more flexibility. But because it's a new application, there is a specific timeline that the Board has to issue its decision.

So unless the Applicant consents to an agreement, then we're not going to do that.

Because it's just, as I said before, the law states how long it is that the Board has to issue a license for a new application. And so we have to comply with our legal requirement, okay.

All right, so that's basically where we are. The parties can jointly make a motion to the Board what it is that they are asking. But basically by close of business of January the 18th, 2023, a motion should be filed by the parties whether or not this -- a request of whether or not the Board should grant standing to an alleged abutting property owner, okay. And the documents should be served on the other side along with ABRA Legal.

MS. YOHANNES: Yes, thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. If this matter is scheduled -- we're going to have a protest hearing on February 7th, I'm sorry, on February 1st at 1:30. Seven days prior to the hearing, all the parties must exchange documents. You have a PIP, and the parties must exchange these documents and witnesses amongst each other and also with the Board.

Failure by any party to provide us a PIP seven days prior to the hearing, the Board
might prevent that person or that party from relying on documents and witnesses that have not been disclosed previously.

If you are unsure what the process is, you can reach out to ABRA Legal, and we will provide information to all the parties about what a PIP is, and how to put this document together, and to ensure that seven days prior to the hearing, which is scheduled for February 1st, 2023, that it's disclosed.

Any other general questions that the parties might have?

MS. YOHANNES: None for the Applicant.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I will ask the parties to -- you have an opportunity to speak.

MR. BARIZILAI: I didn't know. Is this the end of the discussion of Tawle or is the end of the discussion about abutting neighbors?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: This is the end of the discussion unless you have any preliminary issues regarding Tawle that you want to raise, sir.

MR. BARIZILAI: Well, sure. I mean, we didn't have a chance to actually get to the substance, but I just wanted to inform --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We don't discuss, sir, we don't discuss substance here. MR. BARIZILAI: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: This is just, we don't discuss substance at -- this is just a status hearing. And what we do at this hearing is I ask are there any preliminary issues, as we discussed this morning. And if there are no preliminary matters, I schedule a date for the day for the protest hearing, and that's it. We do not discuss substance at this hearing, because it is just a status hearing for the Board to provide general guidance to the parties if that is required, sir.

MR. BARIZILAI: Thank you very much for the clarification. This is the first time I've done this, so I appreciate your explanation.

The one procedural status issue that I just wanted to raise, and the Board to be aware of, is the big challenge that we are facing, as we debate a settlement agreement from the neighbors' perspective, with Ms. Yohannes is about the sidewalk caf,. We are requesting just the basic plans that have been submitted to ABRA. Until we can get that, we are not able
to have a substantive discussion about what a compromise would look like. And so that's been the big challenge for nearly three months now. We've been asking where will the sidewalk caf, be located, how will that be deconflicted with the existing sidewalk caf, in the alley that occupies the entire space?

So that is just the challenge from a procedural status matter that we continue to face as we work towards negotiating some sort of settlement.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And my
understanding of the sidewalk caf,, sir, is that they have to apply for a public space permit to utilize that. And all that the ABC Board does, once they have gotten approval from another agency to utilize, if it's public space for the sidewalk caf,, we'll issue an endorsement. And we can put some limitations on the utilization of the sidewalk caf, based on what has been approved.

But if you're asking for what was submitted, you can send a FOIA request to our agent. You can contact Mr. Austin Hill, and we'll provide you with whatever documents that
they have provided to us.
MR. BARIZILAI: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But as I stated, yes --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. BARIZILAI: - is that the process you described about going to DDOT and seeking the relevant permissions from the city has not even been initiated, to our knowledge, as of mediation, at least about a week and a half ago.

So what we're being asked is to provide a blank check from the neighborhood for whatever comes into that space. And we're not able to have a serious conversation about what a sidewalk caf, looks like. Because we're not able to ascertain what is even being requested from the city.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, the bottom line is, if we go to a hearing, sir, they have to provide us information about, in a sense, of what the occupancy of the sidewalk caf,. They would have to let us know the hours they're asking for a sidewalk caf,, they would have to state whether or not they're asking for an entertainment endorsement, and the hours.

So those are all decisions that, if this matter goes to a protest hearing, and as a part of the application, that they're asking for a sidewalk caf,, these are all the questions that the Board would ask before the Board.

Well, first and foremost, they would have to come with a permit from DDOT. And once they have a permit from DDOT with an occupancy, because DDOT will state that, for the sidewalk caf,, the sidewalk caf, can only have an occupancy of ten.

But then the Board can determine that we're not going to approve ten, we will approve five or something like that. But the Board would not approve and occupancy greater than what has been decided by another agency.

MR. BARIZILAI: Yes, sir. I just want to inform the Board that at mediation of roughly ten --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on, sir. I don't want to hear what happened at mediation. Because that remains there. So the only question I ask, do you have mediation? But I don't want you to discuss the conversation that occurred at mediation at this process.

MR. BARIZILAI: Okay. Just to clarify your statement, the ABRA Board will only review a sidewalk caf, if the DDOT permit has been granted. Because that is not what we heard previously.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. We're only going to -- in order to operate a sidewalk caf,, they have to have a permission from DDOT to utilize public space, if the sidewalk caf, is public space, okay. So if it's public space, then they have to be approved to utilize this public space. So before ABRA will issue a license or an endorsement for a sidewalk caf,, we have to receive that permit from DDOT for any licensee, okay.

MR. BARIZILAI: Thank you for your explanation, sir. I appreciate that. That's exactly what I was hoping to ascertain.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. All right. This took much longer than I anticipated. But I'm hoping that the parties will have conversations. The parties will provide us their response by close of business on the 18th, whether or not Ms. Ringuette, whether or not she's an abutting property owner, and she will respond,
provide us documents, whatever it is that you can provide to support your position. And the Board will make a determination.

Yes, ma'am, do you have a last
question?
MS. RINGUETTE: This is a very quick question. How much time will I have to respond to their arguments?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: It's not a matter of responding to their arguments, ma'am. They're going to file a motion, and you're going to file something, so that simultaneously you're going to provide that --

MS. RINGUETTE: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: -- to state that you are, as I stated, you either are an abutting property owner or you're not. I know that you have stated that whatever occurs in the restaurant impacts your daily life activities. But there is a different standard if you're asking for that you need standing because you're an abutting property owner.

MS. RINGUETTE: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So that's to define what an abutting property owner is. Okay,

SO --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MS. RINGUETTE: And do I have the right to know whether or not it's a temporary wall or, like, I have no right to their documents or their architectural plans?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ma'am, I don't know what it is that we have, if they have provided to us. I have said you can send a FOIA request to Mr. Austin Hill who is our FOIA officer.

So if they have provided us that information, you can send a request. And we will provide it to you if the agency has that information. Okay. Any other questions?

All right, hearing none, have a great day. I do support the parties having conversations. Because if this matter moves to a hearing, a protest hearing, parties should seek and parties should have some understanding of what the issues are. And for us, for the Board, to make a decision, we're going to have to grant this hearing.

Yes, ma'am. The hearings are public. Anyone, you have the link, anyone in the world
can watch this hearing. One thing I need to remind both parties, if we have this hearing, you are limited to no more than five witnesses and an hour to argue to argue your case. All right.

So then, if there's more than one, if there are three protestants, then we're going to ask that the protestants come up with one designated representative who will represent all three protestant groups, if that is going to occur. Okay.

Any other questions as to -- if you have any other questions about a protest hearing, you can reach out to the legal office, and we'll provide that information, okay?

All right. Let me make sure there's no other question. All right, I responded to your comment in that chat.

All right. Thank you very much, have a great day.

MS. YOHANNES: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Bye-bye.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 12:04 p.m.)
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