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CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So the next case, the case at hand now that we have is Case No. 22-PRO-00054, Il Canale, License No. 83707. Good afternoon, Mr. Orellana. Can you please elevate the rights of the licensees, the attorney and the Protestant in this case?

MR. ORELLANA: Sure. John Uhar, your access has been elevated. Stephen O'Brien, your access has been elevated. Investigator Mikea Nelson, your access has been elevated, and I have a Manalle Mahmoud.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes. She's with Mr. O'Brien's office.

MR. ORELLANA: Okay, perfect. That is all we have.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, thank you. Mr. Uhar, okay thank you. So let me have the parties identify themselves by the -- I would like the parties to spell and state their names for the record, starting with the licensee and its representative. Mr. O'Brien, I cannot hear you sir.

MR. O'BRIEN: How about now?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I can hear, but I think you need to turn your volume up a little bit more sir. All right.

MR. O'BRIEN: How about now, Mr. Chair?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's perfect, thank you. Go ahead sir.

MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chair, a housekeeping matter. I believe that the case number for this afternoon starts with 19 as opposed to 22.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I appreciate that, and I see the calendar. I have a statement to make, and so therefore when I read -- I think, yeah. I believe that this case is going to be 19-PRO-00033. I think that's it, yeah. That's you're correct, so but I have an opening statement to make, and so I have the correct case.

So we'll, I'll make sure that the calendar is, the calendar is correct. So this case is 19-PRO-00033. Okay, thanks. Thank you sir, go ahead.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. Stephen O'Brien, counsel for the licensee. S-T-E-P-H-E-N, 0
apostrophe, B-R-I-E-N. I'm accompanied by my colleague, Manalle Mahmoud, M-A-N-A-L-L-E, M-A-H-M-O-U-D. Also present on in my office and on the screen are the principals of the licensee, Giuseppe Farruggio, spelled G-I-U-E -- I'm sorry, let me start again, G-I-U-S-E-P-P-E, last name Farruggio, F-A-R-R-U-G-G-I-O and Alessandro Farruggio, A-L-E-S-S-A-N-D-R-O.

Mr. Farruggio, could you move close to the table so that you're on the screen there? Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you, Mr. O'Brien. Mr. Uhar, can you please spell and state your name for the record and your relationship to this case?

MR. UHAR: My name is John Uhar, U-H-A-R. I am both an abutting neighbor, which would put me here under a protest, but I'm also a complainant, whose complaints have not been answered by ABRA.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So you're -you are -- this is a protest hearing and you're the protestant in this case. Thank you. Ms. Nelson, can you please identify yourself for the record please? I can't hear you Ms. Nelson.

INVESTIGATOR NELSON: There. ABCA Investigator Mikea Nelson.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Good afternoon, ma'am. Okay. All right. So I stand corrected. The Board calls Case No. 19-PRO-00033, related to the renewal application filed by Il Canale, ABRA License No. 083707, located at 1063 to 1065 31st Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

This case is not a full protest hearing, as noted in Board Order No. 2023-441. This case will solely address the remand order issued by the D.C. Court of Appeals on August 15th, 2023 in John G. Uhar v. D.C. Alcoholic Beverage Control Board, 20 AA-02 DC 23.

According to the Court's order, the present case is limited to further proceedings with respect to Mr. Uhar's claim that Il Canale's owner lacked good character because Il Canale made fraudulent misrepresentations in administrative proceedings. In particular, today's hearing will be limited to following as explained in Board Order No. 2023-598:
(1) Allegation 1. The allegation in the PIF alleging that the submission of a sidewalk cafe plan to DCRA was fraudulent. Uhar,
this came from Uhar Protestant Information Format 1, showing 12 seats at the bar where six are permitted, again in violation of DCMR 25-762.

Allegation 2. The allegation in Uhar Complaint No. 1, that the testimony of Mr. Farruggio, F-A-R-R-U-G-G-I-O, Mr. Farruggio on May 8th, 2016 in lines 7 through 19 of the transcript constituted fraud.

3, Allegation 3. The allegation in Complaint No. 3 is that the Applicant used the alleged use of a counterfeit occupancy permit since February 15th, 2015 in violation of D.C. Code Section 25-401 and 25-835.

Allegation 4. The allegation in Complaint No. 4, the allegation of using four counterfeit fence permits on the rear door of 1065 31st Street and the window on the front of 1065 31st Street on a date and time that has not been clearly identified in the complaint, in violation of Section 25-401 and 25-835, on the conditions that the date of the offenses be disclosed to the Applicant prior to the hearing. If this occurs, I need -- I need the parties to let us know if this is not occurred. If this is not correct, then we'll -- this
allegation will be dismissed under the D.C. APA. The Board further reminds the parties that unless otherwise stated, allegations of fraud will be generally viewed through the Bennett test, which generally requires a finding of fraud to be based on (1) a false representation, (2) in reference to a material fact, (3) made with the knowledge of its falsity, (4) with the intent to deceive an (5) action is taken in reliance upon the representation.

With these instructions of mine, the Board will proceed as follows. The Board will hear preliminary matters. The Board will then open the hearing for an opening statement by the Protestant on the issues outlined above, and then the Applicant, as this case picks up with the Protestant's case-in-chief based on the remand, the Board will then hear the Protestant's case-in-chief on the issues outlined above.

The Board will then permit rebuttal evidence by the Applicant and the Protestant, unless rebuttal is waived by the Applicant. The Board will then hear closing arguments from the Protestant and the Applicant.

The Board is further aware of the new
protest report but does not plan to call the Investigator as a witness, because the report provides background information and appears to contain nothing relevant to the specific issues as set forth in the Court's order. The parties may call the Investigator as a witness, as long as it is shown that the Investigator has personal knowledge and relevant testimony to provide related to the specific allegations at issue.

And so before we have opening statements, do the parties have any preliminary matters they need to bring to the attention? So we can start with you, Mr. O'Brien?

MR. O'BRIEN: No, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. Uhar, do you have any preliminary matters you need to bring to the attention of the Board?

MR. UHAR: Yes. Again, 48 hour notice was not posted and the case is currently and the Board order is currently under a Court of Appeal decision. So I have no understanding. These are complaints that should be investigated, not protest.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We're here on a protest hearing sir because you protested --
this is a 2019 case that it was a renewal of this license in 2019. You protested it. The Board issued a determination. The Board issued a determination to renew the license.

You disagreed with the decision of the Board, and then you went to the Court of Appeals. The Court of Appeals affirmed the majority of the Board's determination and remand this case specific on one allegation. And so we're here on the remand that you requested by the agency.

So if you're saying that you are -this is not a protest anymore and if you want to drop your protest, then we can conclude this case, renew the license because you have -you're not moving forward with your protest, and if you want to file a complaint with our Enforcement Office, then so be it.

But again, this is from 2019. So as I said, you're the one who's driving this case sir. We're here because of your protest. So this is a protest hearing that you filed and we're trying to complete.

MR. UHAR: The last time you had the hearing, there were five Board members, and I asked you all to look at the evidence, and now
there were only two after that, and then Mr. Grant came in. So I was wondering has anybody yet read the evidence? Have you read the complaints? The last time you said you didn't need to read them. I want to know what has happened since then.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The Board doesn't deal with complaints sir. Complaints are filed with Enforcement. Enforcement looks at the complaints, and if Enforcement -- if the Enforcement branch determines that there is a violation, then a case-in-chief will be brought to the Attorney General's office, and they will determine if we need to have a show cause hearing.

But the Board does not respond to complaints. The Enforcement Office of the agency deals with that. Whether or not, sir, there were three, four or five, the regulations require that if we have three members, we have a quorum and we do have a quorum today. We have had Board members whose terms have expired, and so because their terms have expired they're no longer here.

But the regulations require that we have -- that a quorum is three and we have a
quorum today. We're making a determination based on the remand, per your request. So as a -- yes, sir.

MR. UHAR: Actually, DCMR whatever the clause is states that there shall be seven members on the Liquor Board, and usually there's an attorney, and I just want to know legislatively how you got from a seven member board to a three member board, and has there ever been a time when three Board members were asked to read evidence and then didn't show up?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, the regulations require, it clearly states that three Board members, to have a quorum to have a meeting, we need to have three Board members, and we have three Board members here sir. And so we have a quorum, and we are ready to move forward with the protest hearing based on the specific issue that was remanded by the Court of Appeals. And so --

MR. UHAR: I have a question --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You have a what, sir? I can't hear you sir. I cannot hear -- I can't hear you sir.

MR. UHAR: Can you hear me now?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir, I can
hear you.
MR. UHAR: Okay. Now I lost my thought. The Board, show me the legislation that says you go from a seven member board to a three member board and I'm fine. But let's keep going because we're here, and I don't want to waste any more money of the government's time or my time or your time. So let's, let us proceed.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Fine. So we're moving forward with the remand to continue the protest hearing from 2019, and based on the -based on the unique facts where the Court of Appeals remanded us from us to move -- us to move on that issue. And so where we are today, if the parties wish to make an opening statement at this juncture, they can do that.

There's no requirement, and then we'll have you continue your case, present your case, because the Applicant has already presented their case and you need them to provide evidence to the Board on the remand issues, as was specified in my opening statement.

So Mr. O'Brien, do you wish to make an opening statement? Anything you wish to do, sir?

MR. O'BRIEN: Very very brief, Mr. Chair. The Board, you Mr. Chair, just have elicited the standard that governs here. The sole, narrow purpose of this proceeding is to allow Mr. Uhar to present evidence of fraudulent misstatements on the part of the licensee's principals or its agents, to gain -- to get permits of approval from other agencies of the District of Columbia government.

The Board has recognized the standards required to prove fraud, and mere mistake or inadvertence, whether on the part of the licensee or on the part of another government agency does not, without more, constitute fraud. There must have been an intent to deceive, and material reliance on a misstatement.

The licensee denies without qualification any fraudulent conduct on its part. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. Uhar, do you wish to make an opening statement before you start your presentation sir?

MR. UHAR: Yes, I do. First of all, the D.C. ABCA Board seems to show favoritism in looking backwards and narrowly defining a remand
for what has been continuing criminal or fraudulent misstatements since the date Canale opened, and before that when Mr. Farruggio opened Canale. So we have an original sin in that there's a claim of title directly --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, I can't hear you sir. You are -- I've lost you.

MR. UHAR: Somebody keeps calling me, and I don't know how to mute this. But what I was saying was -- I'm going to turn off the ringer. Anyway the remand. Continuing criminal activities have occurred since the protest, most recently in Protest No. 4, and it's unusual that complaints are not responded to. They're formed into protests, and then the protestor denied on legal technicalities.

I should not be in this venue and I'm under appeal, but as I said, I have my evidence and I'm ready to proceed based on the original -the original intention of Mr. Farruggio originally, he said he didn't have, you know, he had no connection. Anyway --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Do you wish to call any witnesses, sir, or are you going to be the only witness?

MR. UHAR: I'm calling Mr. Farruggio. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Did you -- did you do a PIF?

MR. UHAR: Yes, I did.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And you listed him as a witness?

MR. UHAR: I did. I listed him as a hostile witness. I listed Meredith Moldenauer (phonetic), attorney for his false summer outdoor cafe. I got Mr. Moore, who can attest to the fact that there was never anything on the second floor, and I had Richard Livingstone, who was with the Executive Office of the Mayor, who declared by fiat that Mr. Farruggio could have an outdoor summer cafe without US CFA approval.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So you're saying that the witness you called was Mr. Farruggio?

MR. UHAR: Yes, Mr. Farruggio.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. O'Brien, I thought when I looked at the PIF, was a PIF done and was Mr. Farruggio listed as a witness sir? MR. O'BRIEN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So we call Mr. Farruggio. Where is Mr. Farruggio?

All right, sir. I'm not hearing you, so I think you need to get closer to the, to the speaker sir.

MR. FARRUGGIO: My name is Giuseppe Farruggio, and I'm the --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you spell your name for the record please again sir?

MR. FARRUGGIO: G-I-U-S-E-P-P-E, F-A-R-R-U-double G-I-O.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Can you raise your right hand sir? WHEREUPON,

GIUSEPPE FARRUGGIO
was called as a witness and, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, your witness sir.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

MR. UHAR: Good morning, Mr.
Farruggio. In Exhibit 1 of the PIF, we will see that it is --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on, hold on. Hold on one minute please. Mr. Uhar, I need you to be stationary.

MR. UHAR: Okay, I'm stationary now. I was getting the PIF.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, thank you. All right, thank you.

MR. UHAR: Okay. In Exhibit 1 of the PIF, is an 1,852-page folder for Il Canale and Fratelli La Bufala, and you maintain that you got your permit directly from the Alamo Grill of Georgetown; is that correct?

MR. O'BRIEN: Objection, Mr. Chair. If he confronts the witness with a document, he has to exhibit the document and let the witness look at it.

MR. UHAR: It is page one in Exhibit 2. It's a letter from Candace Fitch, Esquire.

MR. O'BRIEN: Give us a chance to locate that among 1, 852 pages.

MR. UHAR: Oh no, no, you don't have to look at that. It's in my -- it's in my PIF. It's Exhibit 2, page one. Exhibit 1 is the 1,852 pages that contains a lot of redundancies that ABCA needs to clean up rather than telling me I'm giving them a garbage dump.

MR. O'BRIEN: A letter from Candace Fitch. Is -- you are referring to an April 8th,

2009 letter?
MR. UHAR: That's correct.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. I'm showing a copy of it to Mr. Farruggio.

MR. UHAR: Mr. Farruggio, you contend that you received your permit directly from -that there was no FLB DC, LLC, yet you were involved. How could you not know?

THE WITNESS: Is my name in here? I don't see my name. What is this? I don't --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Farruggio, you can't look at Mr. O'Brien. You need to respond to the questioning. If you can't answer the question, you state $I$ can't answer the question.

So I need you to answer the questions to the best of your ability sir. If you can answer the question, answer it. If you cannot, state you cannot sir.

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, John? I don't -- can you repeat the question please?

MR. UHAR: Yes. You claim that you received your rights directly from the Alamo Grill of Georgetown to GF, Inc. Where the truth
is you had an earlier operation with partners called FLB DC. All of your documents that you have stated since to the ABCA Board since Day 1 have claimed -- you mention no reference of your previous operation, which I believe the license was returned.

So you didn't get -- you didn't get your license directly, and you made fraudulent misstatements ever since, knowing that you couldn't have gotten it because you got it six months earlier from FLB DC.

MR. O'BRIEN: Objection, relevance. This does not address anyone, or items that the Board has identified are at the table, so to speak, here today.

MR. UHAR: Well then I'd like to call Mikea Nelson as a witness.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on. Hold on here. I'm confused. So that's why I'm trying to find the relevance of the question you're asking sir. So I'm just trying to get some clarification myself to make a ruling on his, on his motion.

MR. UHAR: Well if you had read it -CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, I
need you to respect this forum, sir. I do not want you to make allegations about whether or not I had read something sir. I need you -- you are questioning a witness.

MR. UHAR: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: It is your responsibility to ask cogent questions to the witness, sir, and whether or not -- whether or not I've read something or not, it's irrelevant sir. You're asking the questions, and you need to ask questions that the witness you're questioning can answer, okay?

So why is this, Mr. O'Brien has stated that he doesn't think that this is relevant. So why is this relevant? So I will make a ruling to say whether or not he needs to answer the question, or whether or not I am going to not allow you to answer the question, to ask the question sir.

MR. UHAR: Okay. Well if you look at my Exhibit 4, you will see that there's a whole chain of title that goes back and only says that Il Canale got its license from the Alamo Grill of Georgetown. So the first question would be this April 8th, 2009 letter that went to FLB DC, LLC.

Mr. Farruggio, did you -- did you have any ownership interest in FLB DC, LLC at that time?

MR. O'BRIEN: Objection, relevance. These aren't issues that are before the Board.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, I'm going to give him -- I'm going to give him some leeway, sir. I think the fact that he is talking about fraud, and so I want to give him some leeway. But I am not going to give him a whole lot of leeway, so I'm going to overrule the objection. If the witness can answer the question, he can answer the question.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I had ownership of FLB. I was a silent partner.

MR. UHAR: Okay. Let's go to Exhibit 4.

MR. O'BRIEN: And we know what Exhibit 4 is?

MR. UHAR: Yes. It's the settlement agreements. It's the chain of title in the settlement agreements.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So what about -- what are you asking, sir? I mean what -- all right. So let's see. You're saying
a settlement agreement. So okay. Do you have a question that you're asking sir?

MR. UHAR: Yes, I do. Yes, I do. I just want everybody to get the paper. So on the settlement agreement on page -- well, let's go. The first settlement agreement was made and you'll see that they all claim -- well that one didn't even claim title through that.

Let's go through -- oh, actually it's all the way at the end, and it says whereas Advisory Commission to -- it's from E. David Harrison, 5/31/2009.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What page? Can you direct, direct, show your witness sir to a specific page? I have the document in front of me and I don't know where you are. So can you please direct us, direct the witness to where in the settlement agreement you're -- is there numbers?

MR. UHAR: Yeah actually, to tell you the truth. Start at the back. Let's start at the back. We'll start at the back going forward, okay? And we have the last page is whereas Advisory Neighborhood Commission 2E entered into an agreement with Alamo Grill of Georgetown, Inc.
on October 30, 2000, and whereas the license was transferred from Alamo and GF, Inc. t/a Il Canale on January 28, 2010.

So that's -- he claims, he claims rights that actually went to FLB DC that were extinguished when FLB DC went under. And he -since he was a silent partner, he had to know. So these are all fraudulent documents. Every time they were -- every time they recite whereas the license transferred to Alamo to GF, Inc. t/a Il Canale on January 28th.

Wherever that exists in that 1,872 pages is fraudulent.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Are you asking a question sir or are you making a statement?

MR. UHAR: I'm making a statement, because this -- this evidence speaks for itself. This is not --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, you are -- you are questioning the witness, sir. You cannot make statements and question the witness.

MR. UHAR: Okay --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: In doing your closing -- I'm sorry. In doing your closing, you can make those statements. But currently, you're
asking questions of the witness, so you have a document. You ask questions of the witness. The witness will answer and then we move on.

At the end of the case when you're doing your closing, then you can -- the statement you just stated, you can make those statements when you're doing your closing, sir. But not while you're cross -- not while you're questioning the witness, sir.

MR. UHAR: Thank you. I'm sorry. There's a second amendment to the settlement agreement that Mr. Farruggio signed on 3/5/2014. Mr. Farruggio, it says in the second paragraph whereas the license was transferred from Alamo to GF, Inc., trading as Il Canale on January 28th, 2010. Do you testify that this is a true statement?

MR. O'BRIEN: Objection Mr. Chair, relevance. It talks about misstatements as the four discrete issues that are identified in the Board's letter and that were identified at the beginning. I get what Mr. Uhar is doing, starting back in 2019. He's attempting to take every single misstatement of fact that Mr.

Farruggio may or may not have engaged in, and
throwing it up on the wall here to see if it sticks.

I've got a continuing objection to any discussion other than such misstatements as Mr. Farruggio may have made with respect to the four issues that are before the Board today.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, I did state what this case was about. It's four allegations. The first allegation, the allegation in the PIF alleging that the submission of -- dealing with a sidewalk cafe, the testimony of Mr. Fargama on May 8th, 2016, the allegation in the complaint that the Applicant used the -- the alleged use of a counterfeit occupancy permit since $2 / 15 / 2015$, and the allegation in complaint number four, the allegation of using four counterfeit permits on the radar.

I can give you some leeway, sir. So I'm going to overrule this objection, but I need you to come back to the case-in-chief. This hearing will be focused on the remand and the allegations that were listed in the record. I'll give you some leeway, but we're -- but not in the sense that you're going to back to 2000 to say
these misstatements were made. But I give you some leeway, sir.

So the witness can answer the question. I'm going to overrule the objection. If the witness can answer the question, I'm going to allow him to answer it. However, I need you to come back, sir, to the purpose of this hearing, okay? So I'm going to overrule the objection. If the witness can answer the question, then he can -- please move, go ahead.

MR. O'BRIEN: We ask the question to be restated.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, it's been asked that you restate the question sir.

BY MR. UHAR:
Q Yes, yes. Mr. Farruggio, when you signed the second amendment to the settlement agreement, stating that the license was transferred from Alamo to GF, Inc. trading as Il Canale on January 28th, 2010, is this a true statement?

A Yes.
Q It is? When you just saw that Exhibit 1 said it went through -- he said yes. I don't know. Tell me what to do.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mister -- the witness answered the question. You asked him a question, he has answered it sir. If you have a follow-up question, you ask a follow-up question. If not, let's move on. BY MR. UHAR:

Q Okay. How can that be true when the letter before said that it went to FLB DC, and you were a member of both?

A Oh, back to this one again? This 2009 went through, from Alamo to FLB. I was not handling this stuff. I was a silent partner. I had the partner that had majority and there was a secretary of the company was handling all this stuff. I was a silent partner. I was just an investor.

Q Why wouldn't you state that on your ABCA license?

A I was not asked. I did not -- I wasn't part of the license, so the old -everything got transferred from Mr. David Harrison. He handled everything at that time. We hire him and he handled all the transfer, and he told me, you know, this is what we're doing and I signed it, you know. I don't know whether

I did, in your analogy, whether I did something that was not right. I mean I think I did the right thing.

Q Well you knew about both? I mean how -- why didn't you just say ABCA, I'm the new guy and I'm taking all over, because all your permits run through Alamo Grill, and they stopped when your FLB DC stopped?

A I really don't understand your question, where you're trying to get. I had a license from Alamo. It got transferred to, you know, to Fratelli La Bufala, and then Fratelli Bufala failed, and then, you know, the company went down and lost a lot of money.

And then I switched the liquor license and the GFN (phonetic), which I was under as an owner. I asked, I asked my lawyer to do that and he did that regretious (phonetic), you know.

Q Well, your -- it's an original sin, Mr. Farruggio. You knew it and you should have, you should have been honest and you haven't been honest since then.

MR. O'BRIEN: Objection, Mr. Chair.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sustained. Mr. Uhar --

MR. O'BRIEN: That's not a question.
MR. UHAR: I agree, I agree.
MR. O'BRIEN: That's not a question.
MR. UHAR: I agree, I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Let's move on sir.
MR. UHAR: Okay. So the other questions were Complaint No. 3 . Oh no, no, no. I remember. I'd like to call Mikea Nelson.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on a minute sir. Let me, all right.

MR. UHAR: Oh no, no, no. You're right, you're right, you're right, you're right.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No hold on, hold on Mr. Uhar. All right. Are you done asking questions to this witness, because if you, if you want to move on, you cannot come back again and call him.

MR. UHAR: I'm sorry.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So all right.

MR. UHAR: So what were your -- I'm trying to find your specific questions. Okay. Complaint No. 1. Does everybody have Complaint No. 1 ?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I think it's Complaint No. 1 or Exhibit No. 1, or is it the same?

MR. UHAR: No, they were all -- it was just the Complaint No. 1. You hurried me. Well, never mind. Complaint No. 1.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't know what's Complaint No. 1, so I can't -- I'm trying to follow along. But I don't know what Complaint No. 1 is.

MR. UHAR: All right. It's exhibit --
I think, I believe it's Exhibit 5.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, go ahead. Is there a question? Is there a question presented sir?

MR. UHAR: Yes, because you want to know -- okay. Mr. Farruggio, on May 23, 2016, you state that Mr. Uhar, Mr. Uhar assumed that I'm applying my license now for the whole building, and my license right now is for the bottom floor and 1063 and 1065 bottom floor, and you know we're dragging this thing. I think that we're wasting time.

But later, on 2019, you get an occupancy permit for the 2 nd floor. How did you
get that?
MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chair, I want to show Mr. Uhar is reading from a page of a transcript of a hearing. I want to show the page to Mr. Farruggio.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's fine, sir.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's --
BY MR. UHAR:
Q Mr. Farruggio, was that a true statement at the time?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Then we go to Exhibit 2. Well, the next page. On 11/6/2019 when this was under complaint, you were able to expand onto the 2nd floor. How is that possible?

MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chair, I don't understand the question either.

MR. UHAR: Okay. Go to the last page on that exhibit. Go to the last page.
(Off mic comments.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry. He said go to the last page so --

MR. UHAR: No, no, no, no. No, no, no. Actually, go to -- it will say No. 3. It will say Exhibit 3, and it's Mr. Farruggio's permit issued for the first floor of 1065. But then on 11/6/2019, he gets -- he gets the second floor while it's under protest, and I just want to know how that happens.

MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chair, I still don't understand the question how it happens.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, can you rephrase? Can you rephrase your question sir? Mr. Uhar?

MR. UHAR: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You know, because you're not --

MR. UHAR: Let's move on. Okay. We can move on.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Go ahead, sir.

MR. UHAR: So what's the next one? What's the next one you want to know, because on your edited version?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, you're asking the witness questions sir, so it's your --

MR. UHAR: Yeah but Exhibit 3.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Is there a pending question for the witness sir.

MR. UHAR: Yes. Let's move on to ABRA -- let's move on to Exhibit 3. I call it ABRA Complaint No. 4. It's a letter, it's a complaint sent to Mr. Moosally that was never acted -(Off mic comments.)

MR. UHAR: Okay. We're going to get to -- on that, we're going to move to exhibit -we'll start with exhibit -- we'll start through Exhibits 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9, 11. Let's just start with Exhibit No. 3, and that's stop work order on an illegal shed.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on sir. Are you talking about Exhibit 3 in your PIF?

MR. UHAR: No, no. Exhibit 3 in Complaint No. 4, which should be PIF Exhibit No. 9. It's ABRA Complaint No. 4.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So you need to direct the witness to the specific -- in order to clarify the record, you need to tell us exactly where you are, what document that is in the record so we'll have a clear record of what we're referencing.

MR. UHAR: Okay, sure. I'm referencing ABRA Complaint No. 4, and I'm going to start with Exhibit -- you know it as PIF No. 9, because I think I added 5. We're going to go over these. We'll start with Exhibit 1.

MR. O'BRIEN: I'm sorry, Exhibit 1?
MR. UHAR: On PIF number -- yeah, on the 4. Protest. It's the Complaint No. 4. Yeah, Exhibit 1.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. If I may, Mr. Chair, just to try to move it along, is this a photograph?

MR. UHAR: Yes, sir.
MR. O'BRIEN: With the notation construction over public alley written on it?

MR. UHAR: Yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay.
MR. UHAR: All right.
MR. O'BRIEN: We know what Mr. Uhar is referring to, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, fine. Is there a question?

BY MR. UHAR:
Q Yes. Exhibit 1, Mr. Farruggio, you constructed this. This is a picture of you
constructing over the public alley?
A No. I never construction of anything at the public alley. I did it in the lot, on the square.

Q Now the square's on the other --
A I'm aware of -- the alley is not my property.

Q So you say that you didn't build this?
A In the alley, no. I built it on the lot.

Q Okay. Let's move on to No. 2. Let's move on to No. 2. This is -- these are your guys on the public alley in the back, true or not?

A They're not in the public alley. They are on top of my property that I lease.

Q No.
A There's a platform right next to the air conditioner.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, you asked him a question. He responds. You can't say yes or no. You just have to move on to another question.

MR. UHAR: Okay. Exhibit 3. Mr. Farruggio, you still contend that you didn't build over the alley?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I never built over the alley --

BY MR. UHAR:
Q Mr. Farruggio, if you look on the right, you'll see a stop work order. Do you acknowledge receiving a stop work order?

A Yes, I acknowledge receiving many stop orders because you called for it.

MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chair.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir.
MR. O'BRIEN: Can we get a proffer as to the relevance of this to any of the four issues before the Board today?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, are you able to do that sir?

MR. UHAR: Yes. This is fraudulent, illegal activity over a public alley that for a summer garden, absolutely. So let's move on. Exhibit 4. Mr. Farruggio?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. What's Exhibit 4?

MR. UHAR: Mr. Farruggio?
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. O'BRIEN: It's another photograph, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mister, what exhibit are -- on the PIF are we? Where are we on the PIF? What exhibit on the PIF?

BY MR. UHAR:
Q We're No. 9, which is Complaint No. 4, and we're on Exhibit 4, which is the picture of the shed that's removed. I was just going to ask Mr. Farruggio if he was made to clean that up by D.C.

A What's the question again?
Q Did D.C. make you clean up this shed? Did D.C. make you return the lot to how it was?

A The lot, there used to be a refrigerator there, Mr. Uhar.

Q Please answer --
A We never built on that, and after that we took it down the refrigerator. I put a fan around to use it for storage, and then I was told that I needed to get a permit because I was substituting the same space. I then got a permit, and then I was asked to take it down and I took it down.

MR. UHAR: Okay. Exhibit 5. Mr. Farruggio, Mr. Farruggio?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir?

BY MR. UHAR:
Q Okay, Exhibit 5. Is this a new fence you had constructed on 332, 3/30/22?

A Yes.
Q Did you get a permit for that?
A Yes.
Q And what lot and square was that permit for?

A Lot number --
Q Don't worry about it. Let's move on.
A I have the lot number, but you said let's move on.

Q We'll get to that. I have the lot number too. That's -- it should be for Lot 64 you had the permit for, and that's Lot 0842; correct?

A I have to look at it, John. I don't remember those numbers in my brain.

Q Well Mr. O'Brien can help you.
A Yes, he can answer for you.
Q Anyway, did you -- this is new fence that you built on 3/30/22, right?

A Yeah. I don't remember the date, but yeah that's the right time. That's what the permit says.

Q Okay, number -- Exhibit No. 6. This shows you blocking gates and fire exits for me at 3075 and 3073 Canal. You caused this, this -you caused this blocking of the gate; correct?

A I put a fan when I had the permit, John. I didn't block anybody. I had the right to put the -- I had the permit and I had the -built the fans with the permit that I had approved to.

Q Okay. Let's go to the next one. This your interior lot and storage yard, with a tent without occupancy. You never got occupancy permits for this interior lot storage yard, did you?

A No, no. I put the tent just to cover my son's equipment that I don't want to get damaged.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
Q And you're occupying it without a certificate of occupancy?

A Was there a question about certificate of occupancy?

Q You don't have a certificate of occupancy for this do you?

A For what?

Q For this lot?
A I don't know what's the question. I don't know if it's --

Q Do you --
A I leased the property. I leased the property. It has 5,000 square foot in this square. I didn't, and there was a walk-in refrigerator there, and I just used it. I do not know that I had to get an occupancy for that.

Q Did you recent take -- did you recently take the fence down?

A Yes.
Q And why was that?
A Because I thought, you know, I wanted to make you happy and do whatever I thought it was supposed to be, you know, to -- if that would bother you because I had the permit. But anyway, you know, that's why I took it down. I don't want to continue arguing with you.
Q Did you recently -- you know, that lot is now under a tree easement that was from -well, that's not connected to this. Move on. Exhibit 8. This is a picture of your new fence and those are permits that you had on the back, Mr. Farruggio?

A Yes. That was the permit for the fence, yes.

Q Okay. Now if we go and we look in a little closer, Exhibit 9, these are the two permits; correct Mr. Farruggio?

A I'm just verifying, John. Hold on. I can't read it that much, but looks like yes. Looks like, but I can't read it very well in here. But I have the good copy here. That's the copy, yes.

Q Exhibit 10. These look like the permits that you posted on your property?

A Yeah.
Q Okay. Exhibit 11. Do you see -- it's hard to see, but that is Lot 64?

A Yeah.
Q And if you look at Exhibit 12, that Lot 64 is actually your original location, which was the Alamo Grill of Georgetown; is that correct Mr. Farruggio?

A I have to get clear here. Lot 842? This is what we're talking about, 842?

Q That's your outdoor summer garden. That was going to be your outdoor summer garden.

A That's not my summer garden. This is
-- you're talking about the same square?
Q Yes, Mr. Farruggio. The fence permit is clearly for Lot 64; correct?

A Yes.
Q But you built across Lot 842; correct?
A No. Well, the permit was for the whole behind 1065 and behind 1063.

Q No, it wasn't.
A I leased both properties, and then when I would apply for a permit, we used the lot of the addresses that $I$, you know, my architect. I didn't apply personally. I had architect, a professional, to give me the permit. He bring -and we built a fence.

Q Mr. Farruggio, the fence that you built on Lot 0842. Lot 0842 in this exhibit says existing -- well no. Okay. So Lot 64 is what you have the permit for, and you built across -you blocked Lot 0842 -- you fenced in Lot 0842 by blocking the access to the alley to 3073 and 3075; is that correct?

A No.
Q Why not?
A Because both the permit was behind both buildings, and was for both buildings.

Q Mr. Farruggio, the permit clearly states --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, give him an opportunity to answer the question sir, please. BY MR. UHAR:

Q Yeah.
A In my knowledge, they both are part of my lease, and my architect, you know, went in both address. They're both leased, and he applied for permit for me to wrap up the property that I'm paying rent for, so I could use it for my need. And he got me the permit and, you know, with the Department of Consumer Affairs, and then I was told that it was not right.

And I'm still after today, I think I did the right thing, but you know, you're saying it's not and it created a lot of chaos. But I think I'm legally with the permit. I still believe I did it right.

Q Okay, I'm sorry for interrupting. Continue.

A I'm done.
Q Okay. If we go to -- so you say that the fence permit was not for Lot 64; is that

## correct?

A Nope.
MR. O'BRIEN: Objection, that's not what he said.

BY MR. UHAR:
Q Okay. Then let me restate the question. You built the fence on Lot 64; correct?

A Yes. I have the two permits for it, yes.

Q So you built the property on Lot 64, thank you.

A Yes.
Q Okay. Now if we look to Exhibit 13, this is Lot 1198-0842. You'll see that there's no building or occupancy permits, but you occupy in violation of D.C. Municipal regulations; correct?

MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chair.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's the nature of the objection sir?

MR. O'BRIEN: The problem here is that the Board has not seen these exhibits as they're discussed, and in this exhibit, I think there's a predicate that Mr. Uhar has to ask, whether Mr.

Farruggio has ever seen this document before. I will represent to the Board it's not a document signed by Mr. Farruggio or generated. It's a DCRA printout.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
MR. UHAR: It's the legal --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So why don't we start at the beginning? So why don't you ask the witness if he knows what this document is, if he recognizes this document? The witness can -- so I --

MR. UHAR: No, no, no. I have a better way. I know how to ask it.

MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, all right. Let me, let me say this to you, Mr. Uhar. Normally, normally what occurs, you have exhibits. You call the exhibit that you're relying on.

The attorney looks at it to make sure that we're all looking at the same exhibit, and then you show the exhibit to the witness and then you ask the witness if the witness recognizes this document, so that witness can testify. If the witness says that I don't recognize this
document, then he can't testify.
So what I need you to do moving forward sir is that when we have you state what witness, what document you are -- you're relying upon. Mr. O'Brien will show the witness the document, and then you can ask a question of the document once the witness has had an opportunity to review the document, sir. Okay?

MR. UHAR: Yes, thank you. Okay. Exhibit 13. Mr. O'Brien, 1198-0842.

MR. O'BRIEN: The witness has the document in front of him.

BY MR. UHAR:
Q Okay. Mr. Farruggio, you're occupying Lot 11 -- Lot 0842 and 1198 without an occupancy permit; correct?

A No. I have a permit to build the fence on that property. I don't know what this document is really.

Q It's just a record of building and occupancy permits, and it says you don't have a building permit or an occupancy permit. But you say you do; correct?

A Yes.
Q That's your testimony. You testified
that --
A Lot 64 and 1198, 1198, Lot 64. I have two permits one, you know.

Q Mr. Farruggio, I'm asking about Lot 0842. It's your testimony here today that you have, you had a building permit for the fence and an occupancy permit for your continuing operations; yes?

A Yes.
MR. UHAR: Okay. We go now to Exhibit 15, which is the permit for the fence from the DC Scout website. Do you see that, Mr. O'Brien? MR. O'BRIEN: I've seen what you've labeled, Mr. Uhar, as Exhibit 15 to the witness. I suggest that predicate question is has the witness ever seen this document.

MR. UHAR: No. Have you ever seen this -- well he -- it was in the exhibit before. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. But Mr. Uhar, you're asking the witness about a document, and I think you're asking whether or not he is aware of it, has he seen it. So you need to start -- you need to start there first sir, okay?

BY MR. UHAR:

Q Okay. Mr. Farruggio, have you ever seen this document?

A No.
Q Okay. It says it removes existing wood stockade fence and install new pressuretreated stockade fence. Was there any existing -

MR. O'BRIEN: Objection.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's the nature of the objection sir?

MR. O'BRIEN: It's -- the witness says he's never seen this document before. I can represent to the Board it purports to be a DCRA printout.

MR. UHAR: Yes, it is.
MR. O'BRIEN: He hasn't seen it
before. He can't comment on it.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on, hold on. Let me -- what's the question? Let him ask the question first, Mr. O'Brien, because maybe -let me see what the question is. I know that he was about to say something and you object. So let me hear the question, then you can raise your objection at that time, sir. Go ahead, Mr. Uhar. BY MR. UHAR:

Q Mr. Farruggio, if you look at this document, you'll see that it says Ben and Mel, for Lot 64 in Square 1198, to remove the existing wood stockade fence and install a new pressuretreated stockade fence.

Did you remove the existing wood stockade fence and install a new pressure-treated stockade fence on Lot 64 in Square 1198?

A Yes.
Q That is, that is -- so you're saying that you put -- you replaced the fence that was behind me, and is part of the -- Mister -- the old Alamo Grill? You replaced that fence, because that's Lot 0064. You said you replaced that fence?

A No, I didn't say that. I said I put around a fence whatever $I$ had the permit for. I don't know what you're talking about, the way you describe it. I don't picture what side you're talking about.

Q The fence permits for Lot 64 and Square 1198?

A Yes.
Q And I'm asking you did you remove the existing wood stockade fence and install the new
pressure-treated stockade fence on the old Alamo Grill space 1063 -- 1063 31st Street, a/k/a 1198064 ? Did you build the fence there?

A Yes, I have to build it. I didn't build it. I had the fence company that built the fence and following the permits.

Q And you did this? You replaced the fence between us from the old Alamo Grill? That's what your -- that's what your permit's for and that's what you, that's what you --

A I replaced the fence. I replaced the fence that was behind the Alamo Grill, but it was not next to your property. It was, you know, between Alamo Grill and which is 1063, and Mr. Moore's building, which is 1065. The fence that I removed was between these two properties, which I'm leasing it, not on your property. I didn't touch any fence that had adjacent, that touches your property.

Q But you should have? That was the only fence you were permitted for, Mr. Farruggio. You did not have a permit for 0842 ?

MR. O'BRIEN: That's not, that's not a question. That's argument, Mr. Chair.

MR. UHAR: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, please ask a question. All right.

MR. UHAR: I'll move on. Exhibit 16.
(Pause.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's your question sir?

MR. UHAR: Well, I wanted to see if Mr. Farruggio had seen this.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: This is Chapter 3 of Administrative Enforcement. So I mean what is it you're asking him about, about this, these -- I don't know what regulations these are. I guess they're the zoning regulations. So what about it that you're asking him sir?

MR. UHAR: I'm asking him about zoning requirements.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, sir.
MR. UHAR: Yeah. Mr. Farruggio works with -- Mr. Farruggio, you say you worked with attorneys and other people to assist you in all this. How is it that you can occupy 0842 without a building or certificate of occupancy?

MR. O'BRIEN: Are we asking about Exhibit 15 now?

MR. UHAR: 16.

MR. O'BRIEN: 16.
THE WITNESS: I really don't know what -- I don't know what this is, building permit.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. Mr. Chair, do you see that Exhibit 16?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I am -- I am looking at the Exhibit 16. Exhibit 16 is Chapter 3, Administrative Enforcement. So I don't know what -- I don't know what's being asked, if that's -- we're looking at the same document. So what's being asked sir?

MR. UHAR: Mr. Farruggio, did you get a permit to put the tent over Lot 0842? Did you get a building permit for Lot 0842 for your fenced-in compound?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, all right. Exhibit 16 is, at least what I'm looking at, is Chapter 3, Administrative Enforcement. Are you asking the witness whether or not he's familiar with these regulations? We need to start there first because unless I'm looking at the wrong document --

BY MR. UHAR:
Q Yes, no. No, I hear you, and his attorney, his attorney, Mr. Farruggio claims that
his attorneys take care of everything. Well, his attorneys should know that you can't do a building permit or you can't have a certificate -- if you don't have a building permit or a certificate of occupancy, you can't occupy a property. So knowing this, how does he occupy Lot 0842?

A Is that a question to me?
Q Yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: He was explaining to somebody, he was explaining. Mr. Chair? CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir. MR. O'BRIEN: His occupancy or not of 0842 is not one of the four issues before the Board today.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm looking at Allegation 4 and maybe, maybe I'm confused. But I'm looking at Allegation 4. So I'm thinking that the allegation of using for counterfeit fence permits to the rear door of 1065, is that what we're talking about? If that's not what we're talking about, then --

MR. UHAR: We're talking -- let's move on to that then.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. No,
hold on. So therefore then if that's not what we're talking about, then I'm going to sustain the objection to move on, because I heard we've been talking about fences, and so because I know that was one of the allegations. That's one of the reasons I've allowed this to occur, because I thought that this conversation was dealing with the fence and using illegal permits.

MR. UHAR: And it is. It was.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But if that's not what it is -- I'm sorry sir. Go ahead, sir. MR. UHAR: Well that's what it is. That was the D.C. Code, and Mr. Farruggio said that he works through his attorneys. But maybe he doesn't listen to them and he builds not where they, where he gets his permits for. And so he claims -- well, that's it. That's pretty much it. So that's why I was asking about the occupancy permit, and that's why I was asking about the building permits.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Do we have another question that you want to ask? MR. UHAR: No. You said you wanted to move on. What was the one that you talked about, Exhibit 4 with the fraudulent permits? Let's
move on to that one.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's one of the allegations that we were supposed to address in this --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. UHAR: Yeah, let's -- and I'd like to move on.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes.
MR. UHAR: What is that? That is -what do you know it as sir?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I believe that based on -- based on the remand, this is one of the issues that needs to be addressed here, Allegation 4. The allegation in Complaint 4, the allegation of using four counterfeit fence permits on the rear door of 1065 31st Street and the window on the front of 1065 31st Street on a date and time that hasn't been clearly identified in the complaint, which is -- it says I'm not applying for anything new. I'm applying for the license as it stands.

MR. UHAR: Yeah, yes. That's the one.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So what is it that you want to ask about that sir?

MR. UHAR: Well, first I have to find
it. So I'm going to go online for a second.
(Pause.)
MR. UHAR: Where is it?
(Pause.)
MR. UHAR: That's 4, I'm sorry. If
you'll wait a second, I'll pull it up on -- I have it right here. So Exhibit 4.
(Pause.)
MR. UHAR: Okay. That's Complaint No. 4, right Chairman?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yeah. That's the -- yeah. The allegation Complaint No. 4, yes, sir.

MR. UHAR: Yes, and okay. So -- no, that's not it. Well hold on, let me see the page. That looks like a lot of what we just went over. Yeah, that was all what we just went over. Those are the fences and the permits and yeah. We've already -- that's what we've been discussing --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. UHAR: Yeah, yeah. So what was the next one that you wanted to consider?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I gave you the four allegations sir that should -- that I
believe they were in the board order. But the four allegations that needs to be addressed is the allegation in the PIF, alleging that the submission of a sidewalk cafe plan to DCRA was fraudulent.

The allegation in your Complaint No.
1 that the testimony of Mr. Fargama on May 8th, 2016 in line 7 was -- in the transcript was fraudulent. Allegation No. 3, the allegation in Complaint No. 3 that the Applicant used -- the alleged use of a counterfeit occupancy permit since February 15th, 2015 in violation of DC Code Section 25-401 and 25-835, and we have already addressed Allegation No. 4.

MR. UHAR: All right. Let's go to Allegation No. 3, because we didn't get number one. Let's go to Complaint No. 3.
(Pause.)
MR. UHAR: Okay. Have you ever seen this document?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now where -what document are we're at?

MR. UHAR: We're going to go through everything in exhibit number -- ABCA Complaint No. 3, because this is about the false, this is
about the false occupancy permits.
MR. O'BRIEN: We'll have to find these exhibits here. I have ABRA Complaint No. 3 in front of me, which is a statement by Mr. Uhar. Is he referring to that piece of paper or to the exhibits mentioned in it?

MR. UHAR: I'm starting with the whole thing, yes. That's the exhibit.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So I'm sorry. Which exhibit is that, so I can follow along myself? From the PIF, what exhibit is that so I can follow along?

MR. UHAR: It's exhibit -- if it's -what is it 3 ? Then it must be 8, right? It's Exhibit 8 in your PIF, ABRA Complaint No. 3.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's not No. 8, because No. 8 is ABRA Complaint No. 4.

MR. UHAR: Yeah. No it's --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: It's not number -- it's not, let me see. I just want to make sure that we're all on the same page.

MR. UHAR: Yeah. It says ABRA
Complaint No. 3. It's to Mr. Moosally. It would have been right before 4.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. Well go
ahead. Go ahead, please.
MR. UHAR: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay. I have it in front of me. Go ahead.

MR. UHAR: All right. I guess we'll
go to Exhibit 1. This is the testimony -actually, we can skip Exhibit 1. Let's go to Exhibit 2. Mr. O'Brien.

MR. O'BRIEN: Yeah. I'm reviewing it. I'm handing it to the witness. Why don't you look that over and see if you understand what that is?

MR. UHAR: Mr. Farruggio.
MR. O'BRIEN: Let him please finish reviewing it.

THE WITNESS: I've reviewed it now.
MR. O'BRIEN: All right. The witness is ready.

BY MR. UHAR:
Q Okay. Mr. Farruggio, are you familiar with a certificate of occupancy being granted to Fratelli La Bufala?

A No. I mean we -- they had to go and get one, but I wasn't part of this. I was a, I wasn't -- at that time $I$ did not deal with this.

Q But you see it's for a restaurant and bar and 88 seats, do you not?

A Yeah, yeah.
Q Do you see -- do you see an outdoor sidewalk cafe endorsement there? Mr. Farruggio?

A I'm looking, John.
(Pause.)
A Basically what I see, I see there is for the restaurant and the bar.

Q Yeah. This is 4/2/2009 occupancy permit issued to Fratelli La Bufala?

A Yeah. That's what it looks like, yeah.

Q Okay. Let's move on to the next, Exhibit No. 3.

A And that --
MR. O'BRIEN: He hasn't asked a question yet.

BY MR. UHAR:
Q Okay, Mr. Farruggio. You were -- you were pretty involved. Do you remember this? Do you remember this letter?

A No.
Q No.
A As I say, I was an investor and I did
not deal with any of this stuff. They deal with it, you know.

Q Okay. But do you see here that it says awning and signs for Fratelli La Bufala, existing permit?

A It says existing permit. I see that.
Q But do you see note is made that a sidewalk cafe with tables, chairs and umbrellas was installed on public space without review of permit. Do you see that?

MR. O'BRIEN: Objection. He stated he's not familiar with the document, Mr. Chair. He's being asked to agree to contents of a document which he did not prepare and he's never seen before.

MR. UHAR: He was a member of Fratelli
La Bufala as well as Il Canale. He's connected and he doesn't, he's misleading and been fraudulent since Day 1 on this. This is what this is all about.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But all right. Mr. Uhar --

MR. UHAR: Okay. Let's move to Exhibit No. 4.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.

MR. UHAR: Okay.
(Pause.)
MR. O'BRIEN: The witness has the document in front of him. BY MR. UHAR:

Q Okay. Have you ever seen this document, Mr. Farruggio?

A Yes. This is -- anything that's got to do with Il Canale, I am -- I was, I'm responsible for.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
Q Okay, do you see -- Mr. Farruggio. Do you remember the recommendation they gave you, returned without action. Permit application for awning signs and sidewalk cafe for 11 Canale was withdrawn at the written request of the applicant. So do you remember withdrawing your sidewalk cafe request?

A I remember that one. I remember that I had Mr. David Harrison, and he got me the permit that I needed and, you know, and then we started doing business. I do not question my lawyer. I mean he did a, you know, by the way, God bless his heart, he passed.

Q So even though it was your restaurant,
and they told you you couldn't have a sidewalk cafe, you kept going with your sidewalk cafe before and after September 18, 2009. Is that your testimony?

A Give me the question and I answer yes or no. You're saying a lot of words there that you put in my mouth. Can you tell me what it is that you want to know?

I mean I -- at that time I stated that I asked my lawyer, because we have a beautiful sidewalk and I think we need to extend the sidewalk from one building to front of another building, and he did it and that's what I understand, what I remember, and I don't know.

Q Mr. Farruggio, would you agree that if the U.S. Commission of Fine Arts says that you withdrew your permit for applications awnings and signs for a sidewalk cafe, do you think that's true or not?

A I don't remember doing that. What I know, there was one permit. We extend it and to do it, and then there was two address. There was a lot of confusion, all right. But I do not recall any, anything that I did, you know, because I wanted to do it, just like you stated
that I lied.
Q Well Mr. Farruggio, you've been operating a sidewalk cafe since 18 September 2009 without USCFA approval, yes or no?

A No, I have approval.
Q You have -- Mr. Farruggio. You're testifying here right now that you have USCFA approval for your outdoor --

A I mean USCFA, what that means?
Q That's what this letter is about, Mr. Farruggio. Your attorney should explain it to you. Perhaps Mr. O'Brien can tell you.

A I'm not -- I operated with a permit to me from the department as required. I don't know what you're talking about.

Q Mr. Farruggio, you know that you need USCFA approval, don't you?

A Yes, of course I know. I mean whoever they give the permits will have the, you know, the sitting outside. We did, you know, got it from them, yes, from the department.

Q So your contention that the United States government, through its agents, the USCFA, granted you an occupancy permit for 1063 and 1065 31st Street; correct? That's your testimony?

A In 18 of September --
Mr. Farruggio --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sir, let him answer the -- let him answer the question.

MR. UHAR: Correct, I'm sorry.
THE WITNESS: In September 2009, you know, there was a -- the Fratelli La Bufala had closed at that time, and we were -- I was working with my lawyer, Mr. David Harrison, to reopen it and to get me the permit that $I$ needed to have the sitting outside.

Yes, he did it through the channel of the U.S. government and the City of Washington, D.C., through the channel they needed to do it to get it, yes. He was a good lawyer.

BY MR. UHAR:
Q Mr. Farruggio, would you be willing to provide that proof within seven days to the D.C. ABCA and myself?

MR. O'BRIEN: Objection. This is -the hearing is today.

MR. UHAR: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sustained.
MR. UHAR: He doesn't have it. Let's move on to Exhibit No. 5.

MR. O'BRIEN: Your Honor, the copy of Exhibit No. 5 I have, I'm struggling to find a date on this. Can Mr. Uhar point us to a date?

MR. UHAR: Yeah. That was, that was -- that's pretty much how it still is. I went in last night and checked. So that's a -- that's a current, that's a current D.C. Scout report.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's the question presented?

MR. O'BRIEN: Well, I'll show the exhibit to the witness --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry. Hold on, Mr. Uhar. He has to show the witness the documents, but I don't know the question that's being asked. So it's -- I see a document. There's no date on it, and I don't know what the document stands for, and I don't know what it is that you're trying to --

MR. UHAR: Okay. It will become clear. I'll walk you through.
(Pause.)
MR. O'BRIEN: The witness is ready. BY MR. UHAR:

Q Okay. Mr. Farruggio, I assume you
haven't seen this document before?
A I don't even know what it is, no.
Q Okay.
A You're talking about this?
MR. O'BRIEN: Yes.
BY MR. UHAR:
Q Yeah.
A Yeah, no.
Okay. This is from D.C. Scout, and it indicates that there are no public space permits for construction and there are also no, if you -so Mr. Farruggio, where are the public space -MR. O'BRIEN: Objection.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's the nature of the objection sir?

MR. O'BRIEN: The predicate is that Mr. Uhar is testifying.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr. Uhar, I need you to ask a question, and I don't know what this document is. It's undated, and I'm not quite sure what it is that you're trying to ask.

MR. UHAR: Okay, we can move on. It's public record that there are no building permits.

MR. O'BRIEN: That's a statement by

Mr. Uhar.
MR. UHAR: Okay. Let's just move on to Exhibit 6.
(Pause.)
MR. O'BRIEN: All right. Just wait until you're asked a question. The witness is ready.

BY MR. UHAR:
Q Okay, Mr. Farruggio. This is also D.C. Scout for public space permits, and yours isn't on here. Where is your public space permit? Do you have a public space -- is it your testimony, Mr. Farruggio, that you have a public --

A I have a permit. We're talking about the same thing, with the open space --

Q I'll ask you again. Mr. Farruggio, do you have a public space permit for 1063 31st Street?

A And 1063 and 1065.
Q Well, no. This is just 1063, sir.
This shows up in D.C. Scout. So your contention is you have a -- you testified today, Mr.
Farruggio, that you have a public space permit -MR. O'BRIEN: Put the exhibit down.

Answer his question.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry, hold on a minute please. Remember, we have a court reporter. I can only have one person speaking at a time. All right, so what's -- you have a question, Mr. Uhar?

BY MR. UHAR:
Q Yes. Mr. Farruggio, it's your contention that you have public space permits for 1063 31st Street, N.W.?

A Yes.
MR. UHAR: Okay. Let's move to Exhibit 7.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Is there a question?

MR. UHAR: Well, I want to see if he's

MR. O'BRIEN: The witness is reviewing the document, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
(Pause.)
MR. O'BRIEN: You don't -- there's not a question yet. Have you had a chance to review it?
(Pause.)

THE WITNESS: Okay, I review it. MR. O'BRIEN: Okay.

BY MR. UHAR:
Q Okay. Okay Mr. Farruggio, this is the permit that you're talking about, 128-215, E. David Harrison sent to Alcoholic Beverage Control Administration; is that correct?

A I had, had a problem. Can you repeat it again?

Q Yes. Mr. Farruggio, this 1/28/2015 occupancy permit is for Lot 64 and Square 1198; correct?

A Okay. That's NGFA, okay. 2015.
Q Yep. Mr. Farruggio, do you know why Mr. Harrison didn't put the actual street address? Why does it just say Washington, D.C. Do you have any idea?

A No.
Q Okay. Now you come down, Mr. Farruggio, and you can see outlined in red sidewalk cafe adjacent to its premises located at 1063 31st Street, N.W. Said unenclosed sidewalk cafe area will be 9 feet in length and 71 feet in width. Do you see that sir?

A No, I'm not following you because I
don't read English very well. Very, very slow to read it. I assume that what you say is what it is.

Q Okay. Well, this permit for Lot 64 and Square 1198 states that it's 9 feet in length and 71 feet in width, and that's the permit that Mr. Harrison got for you; correct Mr. Farruggio? A Yes, at the time. Yeah I think, yeah, you're right.

MR. UHAR: Okay. Now let's move to Exhibit 8.

MR. O'BRIEN: We don't seem to have 8. We skip to 9.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, I have 8 so --

MR. UHAR: Yeah, $I$ have 8. It's a survey.

MR. O'BRIEN: Oh, the plat.
MR. UHAR: Yeah, the plat.
MR. O'BRIEN: Okay. Yeah, we had that one out earlier, that's why it's not in order. Okay. I'm showing this to Mr. Farruggio, Exhibit 8.

THE WITNESS: Is there a question?
BY MR. UHAR:

Q No, I just -- did you order this survey? This is your survey, correct sir?

A It is my survey, yes. It is a survey of the property that occupied both of them, yes.

Q Yeah. These are your lands. So if we look at Lot 64, we see that the width of the property is only 29.58 feet; is that correct?

A 29, yes.
Q Yeah. So that's -- Lot 64 is 29 feet, and not 71 feet, is that correct Mr. Farruggio?

A Definitely yes, it is correct.
Q But your permit is for 71 feet, and I want to know how did you get -- how did Mr. Harrison include Lot 819 in that permit application, which is only for Lot 64? Do you know what he did there?

A No. I didn't know what he did, but I assume that he corrected. There was a mistake he corrected, but I don't, you know, he extended the permit. He presented and he got the permit. I don't know how it or what.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
Q But you agree that this 2015 permit is for a sidewalk cafe that's less than 30 feet; correct?

MR. O'BRIEN: I'm sorry, could we have -- I'm sorry.

BY MR. UHAR:
Q The permit Mr. Harrison got is for Lot 64, which is 30 feet, correct Mr. Farruggio?

A Well that's before, that --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
A -- permit. Then when we expanded, he expanded the permit to get more seating.

Q Now we're talking about 2016 -- we're talking about 2015, and this is for Lot 64 and Square 1198, and you'll agree that Lot 64 and Square 1198 is 29.58 feet; correct?

A I agree with that, yes.
Q Okay. Now if we turn to Lot 0842, Mr. Farruggio, in the back on that same survey.

A 819?
Q No, no, 0842. You and your surveyor say that there's an existing one-story brick building; correct?

A No, I didn't got this. I didn't ask for the survey. I think it might have been my landlord provide and maybe the architect there got it. I didn't got it.

Q So Mr. Farruggio, that statement that
there's an existing one story brick building on 0842 is false; correct?

A For 842?
Q Yeah. There's no building there; correct?

A There was a freezer there, walk-in freezer --

Q We're talking about -- it's an existing --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
Q So that's a misstatement right there, right Mr. Farruggio?

A It says that I think he's going to build a brick building in there. That's the first I heard. I never saw that.

Q Okay. Let's move on to Exhibit 9.
MR. O'BRIEN: Objection.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on. What is your concern, Mr. O'Brien?

MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Uhar's attempting to portray this plat as generated by Mr. Farruggio, but it belongs to the D.C. Surveyor. It's clear. So if there's a mistake made here about a brick building, it's the Surveyor's mistake.

So on those grounds, I would object to
the line of questioning as irrelevant, irrelevant to the issue of fraud on -- fraud or not on Mr. Farruggio's part.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's, I mean he's asking questions, sir. I mean that is -that is for us to make a determination based on the standard, whether or not he has met the standard. So I'm going to -- I'm going to overrule the objection partly, but I mean yeah, that's coming to a legal conclusion.

He can ask whatever questions that he wants to ask, but or he can come to his conclusions, but at the end of the day, the trier of fact is going to make the determination whether or not we believe that they have met the standard.

MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chair I know better than to argue with the Chair, but may I make an observation?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, sir, go ahead.

MR. O'BRIEN: A question predicated on a misstatement of fact is objectionable.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
MR. O'BRIEN: It's not -- Mr. Uhar
attempted to get Mr. Farruggio to adopt this as his representation, and that's what $I$ object to. It's not his representation. The question itself was predicated on a misstatement of fact.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But I think the witness testified that this is not -- the witness clarified by testifying that this was my attorney or if there was an error, it was corrected. So I, so I believe that the witness has done a sufficient job in answering the question in the contrary.

So I'm fine with the answer that was provided, because at least from my perspective the witness has testified this was not, I did not do this. So I'm fine with that, so let's, let's move on. I'm sorry, go ahead Mr. O'Brien.

MR. O'BRIEN: I said very well.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr. Uhar, I mean one of the things that I want to do, I want to take a break, because this is going to be --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. UHAR: --could we get to Exhibit $9 ?$

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, I'm just --
no, I'm not telling you I'm taking a break now sir. I'm just saying to you that it is my goal, I didn't realize this would have gone over two hours, but it's my goal when I do these hearings to take a break every two hours, and so we're getting close to that.

So I'm just -- so I'm just pointing it out to you that in about -- in another 10-15 minutes, I'm going to take a break. So I need you to -- all right.

MR. UHAR: That's good, thank you. Exhibit 9.
(Off mic comments.)
MR. O'BRIEN: I'm showing it to the witness, so he can examine it.
(Pause.)
MR. O'BRIEN: Have you finished
reviewing it? Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. O'BRIEN: Now the witness has
finished reviewing it. BY MR. UHAR:

Q Okay. Mr. Farruggio, this is a July 13, 2018 letter email from Richard Livingstone to a lot of us, and I don't think you've seen this,
have you?
A It was sent July 17.
Q July 13th.
A July 17th, 2018.
MR. O'BRIEN: The question is have you seen this?

THE WITNESS: No. You know, I have -like I have a GM now. I don't -- sometimes some of this stuff, you know, goes through without me. No, I don't remember this one. It's a renewal of a permit?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar -hold on, hold on. Mr. Uhar?

MR. UHAR: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You either recognize this or you don't. Don't ask questions sir. Either recognize it or I don't recognize it, and let's move on from there. So don't ask -

MR. UHAR: No, no, no, no, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, no. Hold on, Mr. Uhar. No, I'm sorry. Mr. Uhar, I'm telling Mr. Fargama. His job is not to ask you questions, sir. You asked him a question, he answers the question. If he has clarifications
and there's an objection after the clarifications, but that's --

MR. UHAR: Okay, I have a question. I have to ask.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes.
BY MR. UHAR:
Q Mr. Farruggio, who do you know in the Executive Office of the Mayor that would give you a permit on July 13, when you said you had one back in 2015? What -- do you have any idea what's happening here?

A What are you saying, John? Can you repeat the question?

Q Yeah. You were claiming that you had a permit in 2015; correct?

A Yes.
Q That's for occupancy permits, and then Richard Livingstone declares on July 13 that the sidewalk cafe for 1063 and 1065 is now permitted. So when was it permitted? Were you permitted 2015 or was this letter from the Mayor 2018? When did you -- when did you get your permit, Mr. Farruggio, for the --

A Repeat your question. Can you repeat the question?
(Simultaneous speaking.)
Q When did you get your outdoor sidewalk cafe permits?

A Since the first day I opened, and then I went for a loan. We extend it, then there was the error, then they both was one address, and then we put two address. We progress is we grew, and I assume that was in this time, that was when the permit expire and then there was some adjustment to make to the file. I don't know what you, what you say, but I know somebody in the Mayor's office. You what's can -- can you clear up about that?

Q Yes. Do you know John Falcichio?
A No, I don't know him.
Q Do you know Mayor Gray?
A Vince Gray?
Q Yes.
A I knew him when he ran for mayor. He came to start his mayor campaign in my restaurant, and there was a beautiful evening, and then he become the mayor. And that's the way we left, you know. I don't -- I was just running my business in Georgetown, and that's all I do, Mr. John.

Q Mr. Farruggio, if you -- if you said you got your -- if you got your first permit for the outdoor sidewalk cafe in 2015, how were you operating between 2009 and 2015 sidewalk cafes?

A I don't know, John. You tell me, because I had a valid permit, and I pay every year the fees. You told me how to operate. You told me.

Q Well, you said you got your first outdoor sidewalk cafe permits in 2015, and they're saying you got it in 2018 by --

MR. O'BRIEN: Objection.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. UHAR: And I want to know how it happened?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, hold on. I'm going to sustain the objection, because all this letter says is that the sidewalk cafe for 1063 through 1065 is now permitted. It didn't say when it occurred. So I'm reviewing -hold on, Mr. Uhar. I'm reviewing the previous letter, and I don't see anything in the letter that says that there was no permit.

The response is that it's now permitted, so I don't know what that means and I
don't know when it was. So I think it's too large of a leap that we're making, and so that we need to move on from here sir.

MR. UHAR: Well, it shows that there's some, there's some intercession from on high and, you know, you move on. All right.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't see how it shows anything, sir. There is, there is a letter. One was -- yes, a letter was written July 13th, 2008, '18 at 4:20 p.m., and then there was a response the same day at 4:38 p.m. to say that the sidewalk cafe is permitted. I don't know --

MR. UHAR: Now permitted? You're wondering about the time. The time was, the time was 7/13/2018, now permitted.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right, but I don't see anything here that says, states that the sidewalk was not permitted. There was no permit in 2015 or 2009 that you've been talking about. I don't see any allegation here, at least in this letter, to say that -- I don't see.

If, I don't see an answer at 4:28 to say that there was no permit from 2015. I don't see that.

MR. UHAR: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: This doesn't stand for that proposal. All right.

MR. UHAR: Well let's just continue to the bottom of the email. Let's finish off the chain, and it says why did Il Canale put the chairs and tables out in front of -- Mr. Farruggio, this is for you.

Why did Il Canale put the chairs and tables out in front of 1065 31st again, when the new permit from 2015 gotten when Il Canale was on the CFA watch list, still only applies to 1063 31st Street?

We were all there when his attorney, his manager, when Inspector McFadden ordered everything in front of 1065 31st removed. What changed? Mr. Farruggio, did you return -- where -- was your sidewalk cafe at 1065 31st Street taken apart before this letter from the governor, from the mayor?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on a minute, Mr. Farruggio. Did you have an objection that you're trying to rise, Mr. O'Brien, or just clarification?

MR. O'BRIEN: I don't know what Mr.

Uhar was reading from?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: He's reading from the bottom of that same email that we were just talking about sir, which is Exhibit 9. He was reading, he was reading the question presented at the bottom of Exhibit 9.

MR. O'BRIEN: He's reading from his own words. Mr. Uhar is the author of that bottom and he's reading his own words, and asking Mr. Farruggio to explain it.

MR. UHAR: I'm just asking Mr.
Farruggio if he remembers the sidewalk tables and chairs getting taken away, and if he doesn't, we can bring in Marvin McFadden who will tell him that he did. However you want to do it. This is supposed to be -- this is a complaint. This should have been investigated before but --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We're not -we're not doing, we don't do complaints at -complaints are not appropriate to be addressed at a protest hearing, sir. Complaints --

MR. UHAR: Then why do you have them -- why have you joined them together here?

MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chair, we haven't joined them together. Mr. Uhar has.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
MR. UHAR: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, Mr. Uhar .

MR. UHAR: Now let's -- I think we're ready. I'd like to call Mikea Nelson as a witness.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
We're going to take a ten minute break.
MR. UHAR: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We're going to take a ten minute break, and then I need you to give us a proffer what is it -- why you believe this witness is relevant to the proceeding. So it's 3:31. We're off the record until 3:41. So just mute your line, turn your camera off and let everyone come back at 3:41, okay? Thank you.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 3:31 p.m. and resumed at 3:41 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We're back on the record.
(Off mic comment.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm waiting for Mr. Short to come in camera.

All right. Mr. O'Brien, do you have any questions of Mr. Fargama?

MR. O'BRIEN: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Go ahead, sir.
MR. O'BRIEN: May I proceed?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, go ahead sir.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. O'BRIEN:
Q Mr. Farruggio, did you personally, you yourself apply for the fence permits that we reviewed?

A No.
Q Who did it on your behalf?
A My architect and Murray. It's in the permit then.

Q Okay. Now have you at any time knowingly lied to any District government agency in order to get a permit or an approval?

A Never, no.
MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
MR. UHAR: Can I ask --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Hold on, Mr.
Uhar. Hold on, hold on, hold on. Are there any questions by any Board members?

Hearing none, I'll give you limited opportunity to ask questions of the witness, sir. So this is not for you to come up with new areas. So you do have an opportunity to ask limited, some limited questions based on the questions that were asked. So what questions do you have you need to ask sir?

MR. UHAR: Could you repeat Mr.
O'Brien and Mister -- question and Mr.
Farruggio's answer, so I can address?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I can't repeat that sir. I don't, I can't -- I can't repeat it. So do you have a question that you need to ask based on the answer that he provided?

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. UHAR:
Q Yes. Mr. Farruggio, are you sure you have never lied to a D.C. or a federal agency?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you have any other questions sir?

MR. UHAR: No.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right,
thank you. Thank you very much, Mr. Farruggio,
for your testimony today.
All right. You said that you want to call Ms. Nelson. Now the Board did not call the investigator. This investigator basically, because this case took -- is a case from 2009, so I think the report was somewhat refreshed based on because of the period of time.

So I'm just trying to find out from you, sir, what is it that you believe that this investigator? What knowledge do you believe that this investigator has that's relevant to these proceeding, because we're talking about something that occurred in 2019? This investigator, to the best of my knowledge, was not working for this agency and I'm not sure if she was working for this agency in 2019.

I don't recall reading anything in the case report about these -- the issues that are supposed to be addressed today. So can you tell me what relevance can -- I mean what specific knowledge that's relevant to this proceeding that this investigator can provide today sir?

MR. UHAR: Well, Investigator Nelson had requested additional information that I could provide to address some of those questions that
were in your PIF, the very limited and backwardlooking PIF, when in fact the misdemeanors keep happening.

So I communicated with her over the weekend, and then in your testimony, you said that you would allow her to testify or to be a witness if called, provided she had actual knowledge of, you know, investigative issues. I would say not just limited to what's there, because if you find other things while you're investigating one, it's pretty much incumbent upon you, in the best interest of ABCA, to investigate so --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, but that's not that issue sir. This is a 2019 case. It's a 2019 case, and this case has to do with specific allegations that occurred prior to 2019.

MR. UHAR: Okay. These do, so these do pertain to prior to 2019.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But how is that -- how would -- how is it that this witness have -- all right. You need to show how the testimony she's going to give is relevant, and so therefore I will -- I'm the Chair, and if Mr. O'Brien doesn't object to certain things, I'm going to
object, because I want this to move on.
So Ms. Nelson, can you spell and state your name for the record?

INVESTIGATOR NELSON: Yes, sir. Mikea Nelson. That is M-I-K-E-A, last name N-E-L-S-O$N$.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Nelson, can you raise your right hand please?

INVESTIGATOR NELSON: Absolutely. WHEREUPON, MIKEA NELSON
was called as a witness and, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Mr. Uhar, as I stated before, she needs -- you need to ask her questions and she needs to testify about issues that's knowledgeable and relevant to the question presented in this case, sir, okay?

MR. UHAR: Sure.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. UHAR:
Q Hello, Inspector Nelson. Nice to meet
you. We had some conversations last week, and you wanted to interview me and ask about certain questions and things for your report; is that correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q And do you remember some of those things that you wanted me to address?

A I just wanted to clarify your complaints as it regards to ABRA regulation.

Q Yes, and we did have a couple of email -- I sent you a couple of emails about some of those issues. Is there any chance that we can get these in front of the Board? But I can read them, but let's go with my first email.

Do you remember -- do you remember that was the email that I, that I sent about Mr. Farruggio pulling his permit, pulling his application to the United States Commission on Fine Arts? Do you remember that email? Do you have access to that email?

A Can you provide me with the date of your email? I received quite a few.

Q Yeah. It was -- well, you received three. This would have been Friday, January 5th.

A Is it titled Proof of Fraudulent

Statement?
Q Yes.
A Yes, sir.
Q And could you read that email or could you show it to the -- well, I guess you need to show it to folks. You might want to describe it first, $I$ don't know.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What's the nature of the email? I mean I need to figure out if that's relevant to what's -- what's the nature of the email? What's the email?

BY MR. UHAR:
Q Mikea, what's the email?
A I'm sorry Mr. Chair. Are you asking me or are you asking Mr. Uhar what is the nature of this email?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You have the email in front of you. What's the question that he asked you?

THE WITNESS: This email states hello Mikea, attached is proof of ABCA requested of continuing Tier 1 violations at two locations for many years and Mr. Farruggio's own 4/7/2015 email. Unless Mr. Farruggio plans approved by the USCFA, D.C. ABCA and D.C. DOB approved plans
for outdoor sidewalk cafes after 4/7/2015.
These operations must be closed down immediately. They can't validly be insured, so I'm copying -- coping, excuse me, the D.C. Office of Risk Management. The same is true with the summer garden at 1063 31st. No valid occupancy permit for operating that way since it opened. It has never been a problem until somebody gets hurt. D.C. government supported by its taxpayers should not have to pay. Signed John Uhar.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So all right. So what's the question, Mr. Uhar?

MR. UHAR: Mikea, could you read the email?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: She just did, sir.

MR. UHAR: I'm sorry.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: She just did. So what's the question you're asking?
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MR. UHAR: There is the attachment.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry?
MR. UHAR: There is an attachment.
That's just my words. Mr. Farruggio, I sent her a copy of an email Mr. Farruggio sent. Can you
read that Mikea?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Where are we going with this? Where are we going? Where are we going? I mean I don't --

MR. UHAR: Talk to Mikea. She's the investigator.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I know sir. But I'm asking you, I said to you that -- I said to you that she needs to testify that she has specific knowledge about the proceedings today.

MR. UHAR: And she does?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: How does she have specific knowledge that if you sent her an email, that's what it -- you sent her an email, you're talking about allegations. So what specific knowledge would she have? I don't know. I'm trying to find -- you can tell me what --

MR. UHAR: Yeah, what I have. What I sent Mikea was an email from Mr. Farruggio on 4/7/2015 to Jose Martinez of the U.S. Commission on Fine Arts, asking Mr. Martinez to put his U.S. CFA application on hold, and that was April 7th, 2015. And all the permits that are in ABCA and everybody else's position are from before that time, so they were fraudulent.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You're making a -- you're making a statement, sir. You're making a statement that something was fraudulent. There's a difference. You're making a conclusory statement.

MR. UHAR: He pulled his permit. Show me the new permit. That's what I'm asking you. You have investigators. This is a complaint. Why do I have to do your work?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But that's not the purpose of a protest hearing sir.

MR. UHAR: This is a complaint here. I mean you're joining them and you know the difference.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You know, we're in an administrative hearing and this is hearsay. You don't know that. We can't prove that.

MR. UHAR: This is also, this is also under a Court of Appeals. Why are we doing this now?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We have a Court of -- the matter was remanded, sir, for you to provide us proof. I mean all you're just throwing stuff on the wall and you're hoping --

MR. UHAR: Your order, your order is
under -- your order under Court of Appeal. Why are we doing this sir? The Court of Appeals agrees with me. Why do we have to go through this all over again?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, the Court of Appeals remanded this case to address four allegations, and that's what we're trying to do.

MR. UHAR: But Mister --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: If you, if you appealed something else sir, the Court of Appeal has not addressed it, and so therefore we need to move on. We need to move on with this hearing, and I don't, I don't see how you -- I don't see how you can state that this witness had direct knowledge. Yes, sir.

MR. UHAR: She has the email. I thought you were asking me a question.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That's not her having direct knowledge, sir. Anyone, you're providing her an email. I don't see the email. The email is not in the record, and she's not the one who composed the email.

MR. UHAR: It's also in the other complaints that you haven't answered.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But I'm trying to ask you, how does she have direct knowledge?

MR. UHAR: Because she --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Because she what, because she got an email? Because you provided her an email, so that's direct knowledge sir?

BY MR. UHAR:
Q Why don't we ask Inspector Nelson, and we'll -- I'll defer to her. So Inspector Nelson, what do you think this represents?

A Mr. Uhar, I am not sure. My protest investigation was submitted on Wednesday, January 3rd, 2024. There has been no investigation since that report has been submitted on Wednesday, January 3rd. So I have not and cannot speak to the validity of this email or any of the information in it.

Q Inspector Mikea, why did you ask to talk to me and then tell me that you were submitting it on the 3rd? Why didn't you let me know when you had to submit it?

A I did speak with you prior. I received the complaints and upon reviewing, I was advised that this was not a protest
investigation. It was an investigation of the D.C. Court of Appeals complaint.

Q Okay. So you were told to stand down basically?

A No, I was not told to stand down. I was told to answer the questions that were submitted from D.C. Court of Appeals. I was not advised to stand down by anyone.

Q Okay. Well then at least on that one. How about the second email?

A Can you --
Q That would be Monday, January 8th.
A Okay, and at which time?
Q Exhibit 2.
A I'm sorry. At which time was the email sent?

Q Oh. It was Monday, January 8th at 5:21 a.m. I hadn't gotten the report yet.

A I'm sorry. I received one at 7:43 a.m. and one at 7:24 a.m., one at 9:22 a.m., one at 10:19 a.m. and one at 8:18 a.m.

Q Let me go, ABRA Complaint No. 2.
A Give me -- yes, sir.
Q Okay, and this was about again, we were looking for evidence of fraudulent
statements and other things, and page four is -could you, you know, what did we talk about then, the lack of an occupancy permit for the summer garden?

A I'm sorry. When you say page four, are you considering Exhibit 4?

Q No, Exhibit 2, Exhibit 2.
A Yes, sir, I'm here.
Q Okay. So you have actual proof or not actual proof, because you haven't investigated, but here I presented you proof that Il Canale has been operating an illegal summer garden. In 2020, they tried to apply and they said they had to get an occupancy permit. Does that pretty much state it?

A No, sir, I cannot speak to what --
Q What does --
A -- the D.C. Department of Buildings is try to say.

Q Could you read -- could you read the first paragraph of Exhibit 2 please? CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry. What's the exhibit in the PIF?

MR. UHAR: It's not in the PIF. It's in the email to her, because she didn't include
it in the protest, like I also didn't get --
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Why would an email to her -- I mean we're talking about an email to her. That's not even in the record.

MR. UHAR: It is in the record.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But it's not -it's not in the record. Sir, it's not in our case report. It's not in our case report. Is it in the case report Ms. Nelson?

MR. UHAR: Yes, it is. Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I did not ask you a question, Mr. Uhar. I'm asking the witness, is this document in your case report ma'am?

THE WITNESS: This document is listed under Complaint, ABCA Complaint No. 2 from Mr. Uhar. I can give you the exact --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So it's in your case report; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: I believe so, Mr. Chair.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: If it's in your case report, we can move on with it. Therefore, it's in the record. I just want to -- all right. I just want to make sure it is in -- it's in the case report. If it's in the case report, then
it's in the record.
MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chair?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mr.
0'Brien.
MR. O'BRIEN: I object to Mr. Uhar reading his own words to the witness and saying then to the witness isn't that proof of fraud.

MR. UHAR: I take it back. I take it back.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I agree with your statement, Mr. O'Brien. I mean it's a conclusory statement that's been made by the witness, and that doesn't prove anything. So as I state, these are -- it's a conclusory statement. It's not -- this is not factual, and so that's the -- that is something that I believe can be addressed in closing.

But it's someone making a statement, a conclusory statement, that's not law, that's not fact.

MR. UHAR: True, and I apologize. Can Mikea read from that for you?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: If it's in the record, yes she can.

THE WITNESS: Are we speaking of the
highlighted portion in the email, Mr. Uhar? BY MR. UHAR:

Q Yes. Yes, Ms. Nelson.
A Okay. It does not complete the entire statement of comments that was made. It states that after our review, DCRA is withdrawing your application and you will need to file a new application once you have completed building permit required.
(1) apply for a building permit to establish the summer garden or the existing restaurants. Apply for a building permit via DCRA's website. Useful guidance regarding permitting may be found here.

Q Thank you.
A Yes, sir.
Q So that shows that there's a reason to investigate, and I guess there's no investigation.

A Is there a question I'm supposed to answer, Mr. Chair?

Q Yes. Did you -- this has been -- this complaint has been presented to ABCA before; correct?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Is this a
question you can answer, Ms. Nelson? If you can't answer, you can't answer.

THE WITNESS: No, sir. I cannot speak to what has been submitted to ABRA before my protest hearing.

BY MR. UHAR:
Q Okay. Did you notice, as an impartial observer, in those five -- in those five complaints, did it seem reasonable to you that the scope was limited to backward-looking, when you were presented with current violations as well?

A I'm sorry Mr. Uhar. I don't quite understand the question.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, Mr. Uhar. This is a protest hearing from 2019, okay. We can only address issues from 2019 going backwards. It is not appropriate for us to be talking about issues or complaints in 2019 about issues that occurred in the future.

MR. UHAR: Okay. But Mr. Chairman Anderson, if these are ongoing violations, why couldn't they have been --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, Mr.

Uhar. Mr. Uhar, it could not have existed in 2019. So therefore we cannot --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The violations, whatever violations -- if a violation occurred in 2020, 2021, '22, we're going back to 2000 -- we are going back to 2019 to renew the license. I don't see how we can -- I don't see how we can talk about something that happened in 2022-2023, to say that because something happened in 20222023, we cannot renew a license in 2019, sir.

You'll have opportunities. I think that -- I think the 2021 renewal is on hold, and then I think in 2024-2025, we're going to have another renewal, where if you want to protest, you'll have those opportunities. But we're talking about incidents that occurred prior to 2019 sir, and that's where --

MR. UHAR: And these are sir, because he's been operating without a certificate of occupancy for the summer garden since 2009, and they picked it up in 2020, and you need to investigate and enforce. Whether you want to or not is fine.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Do you have
another question for the witness sir?
MR. UHAR: Sure. Actually, I think I'm done. Thank you Mikea.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Mr. Uhar.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have any questions?

CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. O'BRIEN:
Q Investigator Nelson, you stated that you were charged with only what the Court of Appeals had remanded?

A Yes, sir.
Q Is it fair to say that you have not uncovered yourself, as opposed to hearing allegations from Mr. Uhar, that you have not uncovered yourself any evidence of fraudulent misrepresentations by Mr. Farruggio?

A I can't speak to that, simply because most of the claims of fraudulent misstatements have to do with other D.C. government agencies, and I'm not qualified to determine the validity of what another agency submits.

Q But you can't -- you didn't determine that Mr. Farruggio lied to anybody did you?

A No, sir.

MR. O'BRIEN: Okay, thank you.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Any other questions, Mr. O'Brien?

MR. O'BRIEN: No, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Nelson, I just have -- I just have one question for you ma'am. You were asked some questions regarding emails.

Did you compose the email or any of the documents, or did you have -- did you compose the email or were you, did you have a part in composing any of the documents that were referenced in the email?

THE WITNESS: No, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. I don't have any other questions for you. Any other questions by any Board members?

Mr. O'Brien, do you have any questions of the witness based on the question that was asked by me?

MR. O'BRIEN: No, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, do you have any questions, any redirect based on the -- any brief redirect sir?

MR. UHAR: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Brief, not -I don't need you to ask new areas that you didn't cover before sir, okay.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. UHAR:
Q No. So Inspector Nelson, you saw no evidence, not even a reasonable amount of evidence to continue investigations beyond what the scope of the work was based upon what you saw?

A I'm sorry?
Q If you were -- if you knowing the mandate of ABCA, and what you saw in this investigation, you saw no reason to continue investigating given your five years' experience?

A There's always a reason to continue investigating. I'm not sure how to answer that question.

Q Well for example, you don't think that that outdoor sidewalk cafe that's picked up as a violation in 2020 needs to be investigated?

A I'm sorry, was it picked up as a violation by ABCA?

Q Right.
A Or ABRA at the time, or who was that
picked up by?
Q Well, it was presented to you. It was picked up by DOB -- by the Department of Buildings. I mean how does this differ from say Charcoal Town?

A I'm not familiar with Charcoal Town. MR. O'BRIEN: Objection, objection Mr.

Chair. This is far beyond any reasonable redirect.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sustained.
MR. UHAR: Okay, okay. So it's your testimony here that you saw no evidence that you should refer evidence of misstatements to other agencies? You saw nothing that warranted interdisciplinary coordination to try to stop what could be costing, you know? You saw nothing that was worth investigating? Nothing in this case seemed abnormal to you; correct?

THE WITNESS: I believe you're asking if --

MR. O'BRIEN: Objection.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry.
What's the nature of the objection sir?
MR. O'BRIEN: Yes. The question that

I -- the ultimate question I asked Investigator Nelson was whether apart from allegations of Mr. Uhar, whether she independently determined that Mr. Farruggio lied to anyone? Her answer was no. Now what Mr. Uhar is -- and that's the scope of the cross-examination.

Mr. Uhar's gone far beyond it, and basically hectoring Investigator Nelson to say she should go out and pound the pavement more, based on his allegations.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, as I stated, this is not a -- this is not an opportunity to ask questions that weren't asked before. So you'll have -- I'll provide you with a brief opportunity to ask, to redirect questions of the witness sir. So do you have any other questions that you need to ask?

MR. UHAR: Yes. Inspector Nelson, you see no reason to investigate any of these other complaints, and you see no evidence of any crimes presented in prima facie evidence, presented in documents and blacklines and stuff from the public record. You see nothing?

MR. O'BRIEN: I made an objection.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'll overrule the objection. She'll answer the question if she can.

THE WITNESS: Mr. Uhar, just for clarification, are you asking if I conducted an investigation based on the Court of Appeals order, or if I feel as though this warrants a new investigation?

MR. UHAR: No. I'm just asking you as an impartial person, if presented with the evidence that I gave you in the five complaints, do you think that the -- do you think that the -that the scope imposed by ABCA was appropriate, given the mandate of ABCA? Just your personal opinion, just your personal opinion.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, I cannot --

MR. O'BRIEN: Objection to personal opinions Mr. Chair.

MR. UHAR: Okay. Your professional opinion.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Ms. Nelson, if you can answer the question to the best of your ability, and let's move on.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. I cannot give
my opinion at all as an ABCA investigator. I can only speak to the facts of my investigation. BY MR. UHAR:

Q And the facts didn't point towards further investigation?

A I conducted an investigation of the protest report for the Court of Appeals.

Q And you saw no evidence of fraud that a reasonable person might see as fraud, that might warrant future investigation?

A I can't speak to what warrants a future investigation. However, from my investigation $I$ did conduct one.

Q And what did you find?
A Upon visiting the --
Q No, no, no. Let's talk about the complaints that you reviewed. You saw no -- you saw no evidence of criminal activity or fraudulent activity in the evidence that was presented to you. That's your testimony, yes or no.

| A | I cannot speak to -- |
| :--- | :--- |
| Q | Yes or no. |
| A | I can't speak to that sir. |
| Q | I need a yes or no. |

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, the witness has testified. Let's move on. She is giving an answer. Let's move on, sir. The witness, she has told you she cannot answer that question, so let's move on.

MR. UHAR: If you were running ABCA, would you --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, she is not running $A B C A$. Let's move on, sir.

MR. UHAR: No, I'm done.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Nelson. Thank you very much, Ms. Nelson. Mr. Uhar, do you have another witness you wish to call sir?

MR. UHAR: Well, I had five of them, but you didn't, you didn't subpoena them.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sir, I have not seen -- I have not seen a request from you to subpoena a witness.

MR. UHAR: It was my PIF.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Sir, I have not seen, I have not --

MR. UHAR: Well, that's not my fault sir.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well --

MR. UHAR: You're going to have to subpoena them.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well sir, you can't put a name in a PIF, which is seven days before the hearing, and expect me to subpoena because I'm supposed to see your PIF when it's filed. That's a process --

MR. UHAR: Isn't that what the regulations say?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't see that's -- I don't know what the regulations say sir, but I know that if you subpoena a witness, you're not going to -- first and foremost, you're supposed to identify the documental witnesses you're going to rely on seven days before the hearing. So therefore -- yes, sir.

MR. UHAR: You have them. You have them. Just look at the PIF. Just please look at the PIF, please.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: But you -- not because you put the witnesses on --

MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chair, may I speak
to that?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Yes, Mister -MR. O'BRIEN: Regulation 23, DCMR
1704.1, 1704.1 says the Board may upon motion or upon the request of a party, compel witnesses to appear and testify. I'm not aware of any request for subpoenas.

Apparently Mr. Uhar is arguing that if he lists a witness on a PIF, that that somehow imposes an obligation on the Board to go out and subpoena those witnesses. That's just plain not the law or practice.

MR. UHAR: You know, you're absolutely right, and this is how I got screwed up the last time. You're absolutely right. Goddammit.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mister, we have a public record, so please watch your -- please watch.

MR. UHAR: Well, it's been five years. I know. It's just been five years and I forgot to put them on the subpoena, because they're hostile witnesses. They're on the PIF, I didn't do it, but we'll pick up on the appeal.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And you were given -- and you were given specific -- this is -- this was addressed, Mr. Uhar, in the Court of Appeals opinion on page three, about subpoenas sir, okay? All right. Do you -- so you have no
more witnesses? You have no more witnesses, sir? Do you rest or are you going to testify?

MR. UHAR: I'd like to read my PIF. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What do you mean read your PIF sir?

MR. UHAR: I'm going to read my PIF into the record, because that's basically my argument.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Well, why don't you do that as a closing statement?

MR. UHAR: Oh okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Why don't you do that as a closing? Sir, you can do that as a closing, as a closing argument.

MR. UHAR: Okay. So I can be my own witness?

MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chairman, the PIF is in the record.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Right. But I'm trying to figure out what it is that he's trying to do. I mean if you try to give a summation of this case, then he can give a closing statement, or does he want to testify, because if he's going to testify, I need to swear him in. That's why I'm just trying to find clarification.

MR. UHAR: Oh, I'm happy to testify. CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And so therefore if you're going to testify, I'm going to swear you in, and then Mr. O'Brien is going to have an opportunity to cross-examine, to crossexamine you sir. All right. So if you're going to testify, can you raise your -- and I need to look at you sir, because all of this time --

MR. UHAR: Can you see me?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Now I can see you.

WHEREUPON,
JOHN UHAR
was called as a witness and, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, fine. Go ahead, sir. Mr. Uhar?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I thought you were ready to testify?

MR. UHAR: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: So go ahead. I'm waiting for you. I just swore you in, so I'm waiting.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. My name is John Uhar. I grew up in Cheverly, Maryland and I've been an industrial real estate broker since I graduated from the University of Chicago with a degree in History. I've been selling warehouses and industrial properties since 1982, and I started my own company in 1986.

I am well aware with the land records and I thought that I had presented prima facie evidence of misdemeanors, and I found through my records numerous instances of fraudulent misstatements that I presented in a series of complaints that were never answered, in violation of DCMR.

Now I'm sitting here again with a protest and all I can say is all the evidence I've presented is true and faithful, and the only reason I'm doing it, I don't hate Mr. Farruggio, but nobody is above the law. And he's been abusive to me and my neighbor, Louise Sagalin (phonetic), whose trees and garden he destroyed in violation of the Tree Protection Agency, and he worked with other people to move that tree easement onto 0824, where Mr. Farruggio now keeps
his umbrellas.
The violations also include, that I presented, are the fact that despite many protests, there has never been any bona fide evidence presented by Mr. Farruggio or his attorneys regarding the getting of an occupancy permit on public space.

I believe that this all connects in with the Executive Office of the Mayor, who had Richard Livingstone give permission to run outdoor sidewalk cafes, which are now permitted. The fact, the bigger fact is what was happening before and after these, he got these permits, he was operating illegally from 2009 to 2015. Or was he operating legally from 2009 to 2018? 2015 to 2018?

You look at the land records. You go to D.C. Scout. You'll see that he's mixed 1063 with 1065. He talks about the moving things around, and if you look at the records, you will see that it's fraud based on the original sin of his being connected with Fratelli La Bufala, which he, who he knew and he's lied about and he lied about his occupancy permits for outside, and he never had a sidewalk cafe. So you know,
that's all I can say.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right.
Let's, all right. So you're done sir?
MR. UHAR: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. O'Brien, do you have any questions of Mr. Uhar?

CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. O'BRIEN:
Q Yes, I do. Mr. Uhar, you have used the word repeatedly counterfeit in the context of permits. What do you mean by counterfeit?

A You know, I think of counterfeit as fraudulent. I'm not an attorney. It's just a lie. For example, you know, Meredith Moldenauer says that there's a 15 foot setback when she's trying to get his outdoor sidewalk cafe over a public alley, but there's no, you know, there's no backyard. There's no, there's no -- you don't have it.

I mean and the fact of the matter is, when you're talking about land records, of which I know a little bit, I know enough to know it's either 29 feet where it's 71 feet of permits either for 1063 or it's for 1065. You have two properties, two owners, two permits. If I'm a
free market here, the government that governs best is a government that governs least, and the best way to govern least is to have strict enforcement.

Mr. Farruggio, through his people, have just ruined the land records for those three properties.

Q Okay. I'm going to ask you again, what is the meaning in your mind of the word counterfeit?

A Made to deceive.
Q Are you suggesting --
A Something fraudulent passed off as real. That's what counterfeit is, something fraudulent.

Q Okay, all right. Are you, are you questioning or suggesting that Mr. Farruggio himself printed out permits that don't legitimately come from the government agencies they purport to come from, that he might have a printing press in his basement?

A Well you know, you can't hide behind your attorneys, and you can't hide behind your architects. You know, you can only have one captain of the ship and, you know, this -- I
don't know. Is he above the law? You tell me.
Q Your allegations regarding the fence permits, I want to sum up the allegation, and I invite you to correct me if I'm wrong. The allegation is that the fence permit is on -- it recites Lot 64, when the fence was actually erected on Lot 842.

A Correct.
Q Is that an accurate statement?
A Yes, correct. But he also blocked another ABCA licensee, Bluefin Tuna and I, who share a common access, through the alley by a settlement agreement originally executed the Alamo Grill of Georgetown.

So we've been using the alley. We move our stuff back and forth through the alley. My bicycles are trash, and on the 22nd of March, Mister -- or the 30th of March, Mr. Farruggio erected a fence that blockaded us for over a year until April 12th, when Mr. Farruggio last talked.

Q All right. Let me ask again. Is your allegation that the fence permits are counterfeit? Does that allegation arise from the circumstance that the permits say Lot 64, but the fence was erected on Lot 842?

A Correct.
Q Okay. Now there are two permits, are there not?

A No. He just -- he just filed -- he filed two copies on 842. I don't know why. He had four, it appeared to me that they had four of the same permits, two on the front, two on the back, because he likes to mix 1063 with 1065. If you look at the Scout records, they're commingled.

Q Okay. I have, I have in front of me two permits.

A Okay.
Q Both dated, both dated October 29th , 2021 --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
Q Say again?
A How would I know?
Q Well, I assume the permits you're saying they're counterfeit.

A Oh yeah, okay. Yeah, go with them. Yeah, okay.

Q All right. One permit ends in the number 49, and the other permit ends in the number 50. Do you have those?

A No, but you can read them to me. They're here somewhere. I've got a pretty good memory.

Q Okay. Are you suggesting that the permit, the stated permit dated 10/29/21, which ends in the number 050, and authorizes the erection of the fence on Lot 64, you're alleging that it is counterfeit because it doesn't recite Lot 842?

A Yes, and also because it didn't -- no fence was replaced there. There was, there was a new fence that was erected across Lot 0842, and the public access for ABCA licensee Bluefin Tuna, Bluefin Sushi and us at 3075, yes.

Q So you're saying that the fraud perpetrated by Mr. Farruggio is getting a permit for Lot 64, when he erected the fence on Lot 842? That's the fraud?

A Yes, yes.
Q Okay. I'm going to show you -- can we elevate a document, Mr. Chair?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Orellana, can you please allow Mister -- who is -- who needs to elevate it? You or Ms. Mahmoud?

MS. MAHMOUD: I do Mr. Chair, and I
believe that I'm permitted to.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay, fine. Go ahead, if you have that right. Okay, go ahead. BY MR. O'BRIEN:

Q Okay. We'll show you what is D.C. government stamped application for the fence permit, and I'm going to ask you to note that in the red, on the upper red, there's numbers, the number and date typed in, and the permit number is 050. Do you see that? This is the application for the permit. It's 050. Do you see that, Mr. Uhar?

A Yeah, I do see that.
Q Now do you see at the very top of the document the application is for Square 1198, Lot 842?

A Yes, sir.
Q Do you see that?
A Yes, sir.
Q So you see the application was filed for 842 , but it came out of DCRA as Lot 64.

A That's incorrect sir, because if you -- if you were to check the CFA things, Mr. Farruggio went into to try to get a permit to put some containers, and he tried to get a permit to
fence, and he needs USCFA approval. That fence permit is probably part of the ongoing fraud that I've found in the various agencies in D.C. So no

Q You just testified in response to my question that the fraud was Mr. Farruggio applying for Lot 64, when in fact he erected the fence on 842, but you've now seen that he applied for 842 with this same permit number?

A But he doesn't have --
Q 050. Now I ask you --
A Okay.
Q I ask you how do you maintain now that you've seen this, that there was any fraudulent conduct on Mr. Farruggio's part in respect to application for this supposedly counterfeit permit?

A Okay. Two easy answers. One, it has not USCFA approval, which he needs to put a fence on the premises. Two, it wasn't the replacement of the fence, which the permit states. It's a replacement. It's not a new fence. That permit is not for a new fence.

Q All right. I'll change the subject. Can we call up the plat again?

A And one more thing. The proof is in the pudding. If Mr. Farruggio had a permit, he would have kept it up. He pulled it down because he knows he doesn't have a permit, and other thing is in my exhibits that were presented to everyone, was a stop work order that was up for over 100, over 365 days and that's a Tier 1 violation every day.

Q All right. Now on the screen is your Exhibit 8, which was discussed before, which is a plat from the surveyor.

A Uh-huh.
Q Now you pointed out that Lot 64 is only 28.58 in width, but then you referred back to his 2015 public space permit, which shows 71 feet.

A That's correct, but it's only for one lot.

Q Right. Lot 64, Lot 64 --
A Is 29 feet.
Q Right, and that's 1063; correct?
A Yeah, and that's the only one -that's the only one the permit's for. It's not -- the permit that he had --
(Simultaneous speaking.)

Q For Lot 08 --
A No, he doesn't have that. Look, it's Lot 64 on that permit. It's not Lot 64 -- it's not Lot 64 and 0819. It's Lot 64.

Q But it says 71 feet; correct, the permit in 2015?

A But that's, and that's the fraud, sir. That's the fraud. It's one lot. One permit for one lot. You know that.

Q Now can you answer my next question?
A Sure.
Q It shows Lot 819 at 42.20 feet.
A Sure.
Q Have you added up the two numbers, or do you want me to do the arithmetic --

A No, I get it. The fact of the matter is you get one permit for one lot. He doesn't get two permits for two different owners on one lot. He had to get a new permit for 1065. That would have gotten him to 71. But David Harrison, and what date was that again sir? What date is that permit?

Q The fence permit or which permit? Oh, this public space permit?

A Yeah, yeah.

Q With no street address at all on it.
A Yeah, I pointed that out.
Q Yeah, is January 28th, 2015.
A Okay. So what --
Q I'm going to invite your attention now -- I'm going to invite your attention now to what we just put up on the screen, which is a permit dated July 9th, 2018. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And do you see it has the same description in italics of 70 feet?

A Yep. That's another example of fraud.
Q And can you see that the address for the permit is 1063 and 1065?

A Yes, but that's -- that's counterfeit, because if you look up top, it says Lot 64 and Square 1198, and it refers to a Permit No. PA10160729 that both go back to 1063 31st Street, but was extinguished when, when Fratelli La Bufala kicked out and, you know, the permit lapsed. You had to go all new, so continue.

Q Will you concede that this permit actually came from Department of Transportation, that it wasn't printed up by Mr. Farruggio in his basement? Will you concede that it actually came
out of Department of Transportation?
A Sure, and it probably had -- but it's still fraudulent.

Q Okay. Where is it fraudulent?
A Because it's Lot 65 in 1198. (Simultaneous speaking.)

Q It has the same street, the correct street address and the correct measurement?

A No. No, it isn't. It isn't, sir. It isn't, and if you would have let me -- if I could have gone back to Courtney Williams and some of the stuff with Mikea, you'd see. That is not -that is a fraudulent permit. That is a counterfeit permit intended to deceive. So continue.

Q Yes, all right. The street address is correct, 63 and 65.

A Sir, you get one --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
Q Please answer my question. Please let me finish my question, Mr. Uhar.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, hold on, hold on. We have a court reporter trying to capture the testimony, so I need you to ask a question Mr. O'Brien. Mr. Uhar, you need to
listen and then you respond please. I can't have you two talking over each other.

MR. UHAR: Sorry.
MR. O'BRIEN: All right. I need to
call the permit back up.
(Off mic comments.)
BY MR. O'BRIEN:
Q We're told it's lagging on the -- oh there it is, okay. Mr. Uhar, please let me finish my question, okay?

A Okay.
Q All right. The permit says 1063 and 1065. The italics say 71 feet, which you agree is both, both lots. But your objection to it is that it only says Lot 64; is that correct?

A Yes, sir, and that is because each lot gets its own occupancy permits when you have different property owners, and Mr. Corricidi (phonetic) owns Lot 1063, and as I pointed out before, it's for Lot -- it's for Lot 064 in Square 1198 and it is -- and that was added later.

If you look at the D.C. Scout records, you will see where Mr. Farruggio and his accomplices have consistently been commingling
both addresses to obfuscate, confuse and fraudulently engage in activities that do not benefit the city, the taxpayers and the people that play by the rules.

Q All right. Still talking about this permit, whose mistake is it?

A It's not a mistake. It's a willful, fraudulent act by Mr. Farruggio and his assistants, that's permeating all these different agencies.

Q But I understood you to say if the permit also said Lot 819, you would not have an issue. So the fraud --

A Sir, sir, sir.
Q Please listen, Mr. Uhar.
A That's not what $I$ was saying.
Q The fraud I hear from you is that it doesn't say Lot 64 and Lot 819? Is that the fraud?

A Mr. O'Brien, you can have -- he would have, he would have to have two permits for each owner. He would have the 1063, which is the one that he had, and then he joined 1065 fraudulently. That is what I think because --

Q I suggest, I suggest to you that with
both street addresses, with the combined lot width in the permit, that any mistake in this permit is the mistake of the D.C. government?

A No.
Q Now if you want to accuse the D.C. government of fraud --

A No, I don't. What I'm accusing Mr. Farruggio and his accomplices is burdening D.C. government by, by commingling addresses and then, and then these poor intake specialists have to -they play a game on them, and it's just, it's just how many hours have we spent on this, on this stuff?

I mean, you know, you've got to tell the truth on the land records. That's the way this whole system works, and if he had a permit for 1065, it would say 1065 and it would say Lot 0819 and it would say Robert E. Moore, and he would have insurance for each of them, and that's not what this says, and it comes in at 2015, which makes me ask you this question.

If this is when he got them and he was operating illegally all these years before, how many Tier 1 violations does this add up to?

Q You mentioned Courtney Williams?

A Yes.
Q Now on the screen is an email to you in response to a complaint from Courtney Williams.

A Uh-huh.
Q And she tells you right in that email that the permit was amended on November 17th, 2023 to include both Lot 64 and 819?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Now so she told you that Courtney Williams of Department of Transportation told you the permit covered both lots, but you're screaming fraud today. How do you justify that?

A Hold on, let me get -- let me get my response to her. Because it is fraud. It's fraud pure and simple, for the reasons I just told you.

MR. O'BRIEN: Well Mr. Chair, I have no further questions.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Close your screen please.

THE WITNESS: I have to, I have to answer his --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, Mr. Uhar.

THE WITNESS: Here we go.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You're being questioned. There's no -- the attorney has --

THE WITNESS: He asked me a question.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: And you answered your question of him. So there's no pending question.

THE WITNESS: No. He asked me a question and I have an answer. He asked me about the question of Williams, and I told him to wait, so I could pick up the email and --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: You answered the question, and then you said you have an email to explain this. Sir, I'll give you an opportunity to explain yourself. Any questions of Mr. Uhar by the Board?

All right, sir. I'll give you a minute if you need to provide any clarification of any questions that Mr. O'Brien just asked you sir.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: Thank you. This was, this was an email sent Monday, January 8th at

8:09 to Courtney Williams.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What year? What year sir?

THE WITNESS: This year, this year, 2024.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Okay.
THE WITNESS: To Courtney Williams. This was responding to Courtney and I had Mikea Nelson and Susanna Swarez (phonetic) at EOM and, you know, it is what she says. Il Canale, blah blah blah. I said good morning Ms. Williams; as shown in the evidence submitted to you, Mr. Farruggio of Il Canale asked to have his USCFA approval put on hold on 4/7/2015.

So your January 1 approval is not valid because it lacks USCFA approval of plan submitted after 1/21/2015. But it should be 4/7/2015. You do understand this is a federal offense. How can DDOT lawfully approve either outdoor sidewalk cafes when the pictures clearly show they're not at grade.

Please send over your inspection team for immediate enforcement after speaking with Ms. Edwards. Ms. Edwards is aware of the situation with Il Canale both in front of 1063 and 1065

31st. Marvin McFadden of her office closed down the sidewalk cafes. He also ordered the structurally complete summer garden over the public alley be torn down.

Mr. Farruggio reopened his illegal sidewalk cafes the next day. Then the Executive Office of the Mayor weighs in, and enforcement backs down all across D.C. government. I've spent a lot of time at DCRA, starting with microfiche and Open William, when those -- on hot days at 614 H N.W.
D.C. has come a long way, but it was nice to see the old records were preserved. I appreciate the honest effort most D.C. employees put into their jobs, to see that the law is applied fairly to all. D.C. DDOT, DOB and other agencies have to assume that the information being presented is as truthful as attested to run efficiently and fairly.

If it is found to be untruthful, justice must be swift. It's the least we can do to reduce the workload for an overburdened staff and ensure good government. In the interest of good government, the Il Canale case should be investigated thoroughly. I am very interested in
learning how Il Canale's summer garden over a public alley advanced at the stage where it was put on the ANC agenda.

Mr. Farruggio bragged to me and my brother about his guardian angels in D.C. government. They were and are not good angels and must be rooted out. I consider it my civic duty and I'm happy to help. Please advise. Thank you.

I understand the summer garden is on private property. At the request of Ms. Swarez can get with me for that on insured liability. John Uhar. And what I gave her was a statement from Mr. Livingstone that now permitted the sidewalk cafes, because there was a lot of things going around at that time.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Anything else sir?

THE WITNESS: Nope.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, thank you.

Mr. O'Brien, did you wish to call any rebuttal witness or do you waive that right to do so?

MR. O'BRIEN: No more witnesses.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, thank you. So we're ready for closing. Do you want to take a break or do you want to go straight to closing? I think Mister, let's say MR. UHAR: I'd like to take a break. Could we?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So we'll take -- it's 4:46. We're off the record until five o'clock, and then we'll, we'll -we're off the record until five o'clock, and then the parties will, we'll do closing and specifically what it is that the parties are requesting from ABCA.

Okay. So we're off the record until five o'clock.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 4:46 p.m. and resumed at 5:00 p.m.)

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We're back in the record. Mr. Uhar.

MR. UHAR: Yes, sir.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So we're now ready for closing, and the Applicant goes first.

MR. O'BRIEN: Mr. Chair, Members of the Board, Mr. Uhar threw around the word counterfeit, describing a couple of permits and approvals. I think our cross-examination established that Mr. Uhar's definition of counterfeit is not the commonplace definition of counterfeit, just like Mr. Uhar use of the word fraud or fraudulent.

Not every innocent mistake, inadvertence or discrepancy is proof of fraud, and to illustrate that, I look at what we called Exhibit 8, which was the plat. It lists -- it depicted or described a one-story brick building on Lot 842, but the testimony is unchallenged that there's no structure on 842.

But this is -- this document was generated by the Surveyor for the District of Columbia. Did the Surveyor engage in fraud? No, I don't think so. He may have made a mistake. And then we looked at the sidewalk cafe permit dated 2018. The permit listed both street addresses 1063 and 1065. It listed the correct length of the sidewalk cafe as 71 feet, which combines the two lots. It only listed one of the two lot numbers on the permit.

Did the Department of Transportation engage in fraud when it issued that permit? I don't think so. I suggest not. So I have to ask the Board to be skeptical any time Mr. Uhar throws about the word fraud, because I don't think Mr. Uhar's definition of fraud comports with any common definition of fraud, and it certainly doesn't comport with the requirements of Bennett v. Kiggins, which is the Court of Appeals' case on the elements of fraud.

The bottom line is that given every opportunity on remand, in pleadings and today, Mr. Uhar has not established that Mr. Farruggio or any attorney or architect acting on his behalf knowingly misled any government agency. That's the bottom line.

He's had the opportunity to prove fraudulent misstatements on the part of the licensee, and we heard not one syllable of proof that Mr. Farruggio or any agents acting on his behalf ever knowingly misled any government agency. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Thank you. Mr. Uhar?

MR. UHAR: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Closing please. MR. UHAR: Yes. I don't -- can you see me?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Nope, but I can hear you.

MR. UHAR: Okay. Well, here we are again, five years later, complaints still unanswered, wrapped into protests, derailed by legal language and start all over again. Mr. O'Brien may know ABCA law, but I don't think he's familiar with real estate as me, and when he claims that the D.C. Surveyor signed that paper, I'm pretty sure that the D.C. Surveyor makes somebody go out, Mr. Maiden, go get a survey and present it to him as truthful and they just take it in.

So the correct width and address but not having the correct lot and square, no. That's not, that's not DDOT's fraud. That's Il Canale, and I don't know what kind of definition of fraud Mr. O'Brien is talking about. But I think that the prima facie evidence that I presented was never rebutted, and it's more than prima facie. It speaks for itself.

I mean where are we going to go when
we have people submitting false documents to government agencies, here and there and everywhere, commingling Scout records? I mean I've been an industrial real estate broker for a long time. I've put up with his threats. I've had him spit upon me and, you know, I would have loved to have solved this a long time ago.

Who wouldn't want to be a neighbor to a nice restaurant? But he's proven that he can't keep the place clean, and he will not follow the law and it's not just me. Ms. Sagalin, and he's still up to it. And the last -- and he's also -it's, you know. As a guy that's in the land records business, to see them desecrated and commingled and mumble-jumbled up and then these excuses, it's just, it's terrible. So I'd like to just end by reading my Protest Information Form, and so anyway, the action that $I$ was requesting, being requested is the revocation of the liquor license for fraudulent misstatements and documents presented to D.C. administrative agencies, and I think I've presented that.

As I said, that's all prima facie. A lot's either 28 feet or it's not. A setback's
either 15 feet or it's not. You either have an occupancy permit for the second floor, or you don't. So the action being requested, the issues I will present to the Board for resolution are:

Is the Alamo Grill -- no one. The Alamo Grill of Georgetown transferred its ABCA rights to FLB DC, not Il Canale. This means anything issued claiming rights under Il Canale from the Alamo Grill is false.

Second, can the Mayor's office grant Il Canale a sidewalk cafe without USCFA approval, and if DCMR requires a seven member ABCA Board, are decisions of a three member Board legal and fair, and four, in this instance is ABCA adhering to its mission statement or being arbitrary and capricious?

I'd like to comment that I had to put this all together pretty quickly, because I kept hoping that we would wait to -- until we got the D.C. Court of Appeals on this backward-looking order, when crimes are still being committed. But I was rushed into this, and I have my list and once again, I made a -- I forgot to list the witness' subpoena, so I'd like to list who they were.

I wanted to call Giuseppe Farruggio, a hostile witness. ABCA must compel Mr. Farruggio to testify, to rebut evidence of fraudulent statements and documents submitted on his behalf, on his behalf to D.C. administrative agencies and USA.

Then I wanted to call Richard Livingstone. He's Mayor Bowser's agent, and my testimony was a hostile witness. ABCA must compel Mr. Livingstone to provide evidence that the Executive Office of the Mayor complied with United States Commission of Fine Arts Historic Georgetown laws.

The third witness I wanted to call was Meredith Moldenauer, Esquire, who was Il Canale's zoning attorney. A hostile witness. ABCA must compel Ms. Moldenauer to show the lot setbacks in her D.C. office submittal. I'm still awaiting DC OZFOA and the summer garden over the public alley questions.

The fourth I wanted to take was William Maiden, and a hostile witness. ABCA must compel Mr. Maiden to testify on the building plans and documents he submitted to D.C. administrative agencies, and the last was Robert

Lee Moore, who is Il Canale's landlord, a hostile witness. ABCA must compel Mr. Moore to testify on the structural changes Mr. Farruggio made to his building and Protestant and neighbors historical alley access.

I'd like to mention that this would be no way inclusive, except for the limitations set by D.C. I would also like to call the ANC Commissioners and the CAG, and the Executive Office of the Mayor, but something's going to dump from there.

And you know again, I'm painted as a wild man, a crazy man. Well you know, he's sort of made me crazy. If somebody blocks your access to the alley and your fire escape for over a year and you let ABCA know, and you let the Fire Department know and everybody know, and he just sits there blocking your alley.

Yep, crazy like a rat in a cage, and spitting on me. I could have lived with it if you guys had, if you guys had reined him in. If you had, if you had -- if you had reined him in, we could have gotten along a lot better. But you created a monster by letting these things go unabated, and I just hope this gets investigated
more thoroughly, and I'm going to keep at it, because I've got nothing to lose now.

I know my real estate business is
ruined. I can't do business with industrial clients because I deal with D.C. government. I'm painted as a crazy man. Thanks a lot Joe. Thanks a lot D.C. ABCA, thanks a lot attorney general. Okay, that's it. Bye.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. Thank you, Mr. Uhar. I now feel compelled that I need to put this on the record, because you also -- it's the second time you have brought this up, Mr. Uhar. Section 25-431 of the DCMR, Review Procedures. General Provisions. (a) Except as otherwise provided herein, Board actions and production procedures shall be governed by Chapter 5 of Title II.
(b) Except as provided in subsection (c) of this section, the Board may meet in panels of at least three members for the purpose of conducting hearings and taking official action. Three members shall constitute a quorum. All right.

MR. UHAR: Wait, wait. Could you read that? Could you read that again, because --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: 25 -- Mr. Uhar, I'm not going to address this again. It's 25431.

MR. UHAR: And when was, when was, and when was it issued?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, go to 25-431. This has been -- it has been that way. Section 25-431. You can go pull up our regulations and you'll have that sir. 25-431, okay?

MR. UHAR: How about your attorneys? Don't you usually have two attorneys?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, all the regulations require is that we have a member. The Chair should have -- I'm an attorney, and as the chair, the regulations require that the Chair have some basically be a lawyer, and I'm a lawyer, okay? I'll say that to you.

MR. UHAR: So this is a -- so this is a new development?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: This is not a new development, sir. This has always -- this has been the way it has been, sir, okay?

MR. UHAR: Can I ask two quick questions? Can I ask Mr. Grant a question, if
he's read the complaints?
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: No, sir. You're not -- you can't ask anyone any questions, but no, sir. That's not, I'm not going to have you ask a Board member a question, okay?

MR. UHAR: So he has no knowledge of the evidence that he's supposed to weigh upon? He's supposed to take your word in a group that doesn't enforce and doesn't inspect; is that correct?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: That is incorrect, sir. That's incorrect sir, all right. MR. UHAR: All right.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. The record is now closed. Do the parties -- well, it's not necessarily closed. Do the parties wish to do proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, or do the parties want to do that? Do the parties waive their right to do so?

MR. UHAR: No. I'm just going to -I'm going to straight to appeal.

MR. O'BRIEN: We'll waive.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right. So, all right.

MR. UHAR: Yeah. I'm just going to go
to appeal.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, hold on. Hold on a minute please.

Mr. Uhar, the Board has not issued a final decision, so don't you have to wait for a final decision by the Board before you can appeal?

MR. UHAR: Yeah, your final decision. I've spent enough time on this craziness.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: All right, hold on please.

MR. UHAR: So don't you have to wait till the Board, till we have this meeting?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I'm sorry sir?
MR. UHAR: Didn't we have -- shouldn't we have waited for the Court before we had this meeting?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: What meeting are you talking about sir?

MR. UHAR: This protest hearing, because your Board order on this protest hearing is still in front of the Court of Appeals. So now we have two?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: I don't know what's in front of --I don't know what's in front
of the Court of Appeals sir, but all I know was that --

MR. UHAR: Well, I told Ms. Jenkins. She knows. Your people know this is in front of the Court of Appeals. Is this customary that you run, that you run these protest hearings while the Court of Appeals is weighing your board order? Is that, is that standard procedure?

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: We have this -we had this protest hearing today because this matter was remanded by the Court of Appeal on the limited issue, and so therefore we are complying with --

MR. UHAR: No, no, no.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, let me say this. I'm a lawyer and you have said before you are not a lawyer. So but so I'm going to say to you, I'm an attorney, okay sir?

MR. UHAR: Okay.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: The Board issued a final decision. After the Board issues a final decision, as your right you went to the Court of Appeals and appealed it, after the Board issued its final decision. The Board, the Court of Appeals remanded it for a limited purpose,
which is what we're addressing today.
You might have appealed -- you might have appealed something else sir, but we move on until whatever, whatever --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, please sir. The decision, we are in compliance with the Court of Appeals. That's what -- this is how we're bringing closure to this action, okay? I don't -- if you have another appeal currently --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Listen to me sir, listen to me sir, please. If you appealed the Board order, this agency has not received any instructions from the Court of Appeals to say that we need to not move forward, sir. So therefore we need to move forward unless we are instructed by the Court of Appeals to move forward.

If you want to appeal this decision sir, you have to wait until the Board issues a decision. The Board will not issue a decision in this case for another 90 days. So therefore you cannot appeal this decision because the Board has
not issued a decision yet.
So if you go to the Court of Appeals to appeal, they're going to say that the agency has not issued a final decision as yet sir. So you have to wait for 90 days for the Board to issue its final decision in this matter, okay. So let me close. Let me do the statement and close the record.

Let me do this part sir, let me close this off, and then if you have --

MR. UHAR: I just want to mention Charcoal Town, and right down the street --

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Uhar, Mr. Uhar, this case is over. Let me close the record in this case because if I don't close, if I don't do the statement, then I'm going to get in trouble, okay sir? So let me do this part, okay.

As Chairperson, and I've given you the leeway to argue your case. I know that Mr. O'Brien probably was not happy with some of the rulings I've made, but I've given you the opportunity to present your case, because I do not want you to go to the Court of Appeals to say I did not give you an opportunity to present your case to the best of your abilities, sir, okay?

All right. As Chairperson of the Alcoholic, Beverage and Cannabis Board for the District of Columbia and in accordance with D.C. Official Code Section 2575 of the Open Meetings Act, I move that the ABC Board hold a closed meeting for the purpose of seeking legal advice from our counsel on Case No., I'm sorry.

Let me make sure I'm reading the right -- on case number 19PRO-00033, Il Canale, pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 2575(b)(4)(A) of the Open Meetings Act, and deliberating upon Case No. 19PRO-00033, Il Canale, for the reasons cited in D.C. Official Code Section 2575(b)(13) of the Open Meetings Act. Is there a second?

MEMBER SHORT: Second.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Short has seconded the motion. We'll now have a roll call vote. Mr. Short.

MEMBER SHORT: I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grant.
MEMBER GRANT: (Audio interference.)
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Anderson, I agree. As it appears that a motion has passed 3-0, I hereby give notice that the ABC Board will
recess this proceeding to hold a closed meeting pursuant to Section 2575 of the Open Meetings Act. I want to thank everyone for their presentation today, and this matter is adjourned.

All right, so thank you very much, and now let me close the record for the day. As Chairperson of the Alcoholic, Beverage and Cannabis Board for the District of Columbia and in accordance with Title III, Chapter 405, Office of Open Government, I move that the ABC Board hold a closed meeting on January 24th, 2024 for the purpose of discussing and hearing reports concerning ongoing or planned investigations of alleged criminal or civil misconduct or violations of law or regulations and seek legal advice from our legal counsel on the Board's investigative agenda, legal agenda, and licensing agenda for January 24th, 2024, as published in the D.C. Register on January 19th, 2024. Is there a second?

MEMBER GRANT: Mr. Grant, I second the motion.

CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grant has seconded the motion. I will now take a roll call vote on the motion before us, now it has been
properly seconded. Mr. Short.
MEMBER SHORT: Mr. Short, I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Grant.
MEMBER GRANT: Mr. Grant, I agree.
CHAIRPERSON ANDERSON: Mr. Anderson. As it appears that a motion has passed 3-0, I hereby give notice that the ABC Board will hold this closed meeting pursuant to the Open Meetings Act. Notice will also be posted on the ABC Board hearing room bulletin board, placed on electronic calendar on ABCA's website, and published in the D.C. Register in as timely a manner as practical.

I want to thank again everyone for their presentation today, thank the public, the members of the public who have participated in our hearing, and thank our Board members. We are adjourned for the day. I now ask all Board members to return to executive session for further developments. Thank you very much. Have a great day.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 5:23 p.m.)
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